MARMON LAW OFFICES

WATT PLAZA 1875 CENTURY PARK EAST, SUITE 1600 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90067-2517 <u>WWW.VIMLAW.COM</u> TELEPHONE (310) 551-8120 FACSIMILE (310) 551-8113

VMARMON@EARTHLINK.NET

PLEASE REFER TO FILE NO:

11834.01

February 11, 2014

BY HAND DELIVERY or EMAIL (<u>patrice.lattimore@lacity.org</u>)

The Honorable Los Angeles City Council c/o Holly L. Wolcott, Interim City Clerk 200 N. Spring Street, Room 360 Los Angeles, CA 90012

Re: COUNCIL FILE 14-0171 -- Case No. ZA 2012-1402-ZV-ZAA-ZAD-1A

Dear Honorable Councilmembers:

Attached please find a copy of the transcript of the hearing on January 15, 2014, before the West Los Angeles Area Planning Commission in the above Case. As this transcript clearly shows, there is no basis for asserting jurisdiction in this Case, and the decision of the West Los Angeles Area Planning Commission should be upheld.

Very truly yours,

hr J. Marm

Victor I. Marmon

\$X

ON CEN

如何无形日 机物:13

CALLY CLEAR CALLS

VIM:et

Attachment

1

1	
2	
3	
4	WEST LOS ANGELES AREA PLANNING COMMISSION
. 5	REGULAR MEETING
6	HENRY MEDINA WEST L.A. PARKING ENFORCEMENT FACILITY
7	11214 W. EXPOSITION BOULEVARD, SECOND FLOOR,
8	ROLL CALL ROOM
9	LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90064
10	
11	
12	
13	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
14	- 00o -
15	Wednesday, January 15, 2014
16	Commencing at 4:44 p.m.
17	
18	•
19	
20	
21	Joanna B. Brown, CSR No. 8570, RPR, CRR, RMR 369616
22	
23	
24	
25	
-	
	1

1	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:
2	FOR THE APPLICANT:
3 4	LEWIS, BRISBOIS, BISGAARD & SMITH LLP BY: BRANT DVEIRIN, ESQ. 221 South Figueroa Street
5	Suite 1200 Los Angeles, California 90012 (213) 580-6317
6 7	(213) 250-7900 Fax brant.dveirin@lewisbrisbois.com
8	FOR THE APPELLANT:
9	LAW OFFICES OF VICTOR I. MÅRMON BY: VICTOR I. MARMON, ESQ. 1875 Century Park East
10	Suite 1600 Los Angeles, California 90067
11	(310) 551-8120 (310) 551-8113 Fax
12	vmarmon@earthlink.net
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	·
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	2

ſ

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

-....

 $r = r_{1} r_{1} r_{2} r_{2} r_{3} r_{3}$

-	
1	Los Angeles, California; Wednesday, January 15, 2014
2	4:44 p.m.
3	
4	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Good afternoon.
5	Welcome to the West Los Angeles Area Planning
6	Commission Meeting of Wednesday, January 15th.
7	Housekeeping items, phones should be off or on vibrate.
8	If you are planning to speak this evening, please fill
9	out a speaker card, and turn it in to staff. Parking
10	seems to be okay. The lot wasn't too full. So I won't
11	make any announcements about folks needing to move
12	their cars.
13	Let the records reflect the Commissioners
14	present today, Commissioner Halper,
15	Commissioner Donovan, Commissioner Linnick, and
16	Commissioner Foster. We are going to go in order of
17	the items on the agenda, although I think I'm going to
18	take four out of order because it's been continued. So
19	we'll start off with the departmental report, if there
20	is one, from the City Planning Department.
21	Hi, Mr. Tokunaga.
22	JIM TOKUNAGA: So I am going to be doing
23	everything today, yes. Shana could not be here today.
24	She had a conflicting meeting. So she asked that I
25	just convey that to you, and there was nothing to
	3

report.

1

COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay.

2 JIM TOKUNAGA: And so I'll leave it at that. 3 COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. Thank you. 4 We have on the agenda, although this may not 5 6 be coming up tonight, but other items of interest. We 7 have the presentation on the Expo corridor. COMMISSIONER FOSTER: No. We are not going to 8 have that. 9 10 COMMISSIONER LINNICK: We are not? JIM TOKUNAGA: Yeah. So I got a call from 11 Patricia Diefenderfer just saying that even though it 12 was on the agenda, the intent -- that they were not 13 ready. So they could possibly come on the next agenda. 14 COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. Great. 15 Thank you. No. 2 is "Commission Business." The advance 16 17 calendar, are there any changes to the advance calendar? 18 19 RANDA HANNA: We are good. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. Thank you. 20 Are 21 there any Commission requests? No. We are just rolling along. The third item on "Commission 22 Business," approval of the minutes from our last 23 24 meeting, which was December 4th. It was last year. 25 COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Commissioner Foster, Ι

4

BARKLEY Couri Reporters

1	would move we approve the minutes of December 4th.
2	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Commissioner Donovan.
3	Second.
4	RANDA HANNA: Commissioner Foster?
5	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Aye.
6	RANDA HANNA: Commissioner Donovan?
7	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Aye.
8	RANDA HANNA: Commissioner
9	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Halper.
10	RANDA HANNA: Halper?
11	COMMISSIONER HALPER: Aye.
12	RANDA HANNA: Commissioner Linnick?
13	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Aye.
14	RANDA HANNA: And the item has been the
15	motion is carried. Thank you.
16	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Thank you. Okay. And
17	then our next item, I'm going to take Item No. 4 out of
18	order. It's VTT-71898-CN-A1 and its related cases,
19	DIR-2012-1112-DB, CEQA Environmental
20	2012-111-MND [sic], and the address is 11965 West
21	Montana Avenue. We understand that this matter has
22	been continued.
23	JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes. Just so I set the record
24	straight, that is another one of those instances where
25	there was a tract map appeal, and there was a companion
	5

ſ

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

1 density bonus case that's actually currently still in 2 the appeal period. So we don't want that -- a 3 situation which has happened, like, last time where we 4 had two things going on at different times. So we are waiting for the appeal period on the density bonus to 5 finish so that if that's appealed, that it gets all 6 7 bundled as one package. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Good. 8 JIM TOKUNAGA: Okay? So that's -- we noticed 9 that on the agenda last week, and I immediately -- even 10 11 though it's not my case, I immediately let the staff 12 people know that this Commission would not accept it 13 that way. COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Thank you. 14 JIM TOKUNAGA: Okay. Thank you. 15 COMMISSIONER FOSTER: You are listening. 16 JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes. 17 COMMISSIONER LINNICK: So do we need to -- do 18 we need to do anything or -- it happened, I know -- I 19 20 got a call. It happened from --JIM TOKUNAGA: 21 Oh. 22 COMMISSIONER LINNICK: -- your department, but 23 do we need to continue the matter? 24 COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Right. I think so. 25 JIM TOKUNAGA: I believe a letter has been --6

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

1 COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Are the parties here --(Simultaneously speaking.) 2 RANDA HANNA: Yes. It will be continued until 3 4 February 28th. It has been --COMMISSIONER FOSTER: So we will make -- T 5 will make a motion --6 7 COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Yeah. COMMISSIONER FOSTER: -- that we continue 8 case, that 11966 [sic] West Montana Avenue, to 9 February the 18th, is it? 10 COMMISSIONER LINNICK: 19th? Oh. 11 12 COMMISSIONER FOSTER: February --13 RANDA HANNA: February 28th. COMMISSIONER FOSTER: -- 28th. Okay. 14 Commissioner Foster. 15 COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. We don't, oh --16 Commissioner Linnick -- point-of-order -- information. 17 18 We don't have -- do we have a meeting on -- we have 19 February 5th and then February 19th. 20 RANDA HANNA: February 19th. So it will be on 21 February 19th. 22 COMMISSIONER FOSTER: 19th. 23 COMMISSIONER LINNICK: 19th. Okay. COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Okay. So I move -- I 24 change my motion -- I modify my motion to 25 7

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

1	February 19th.
2	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Commissioner Donovan.
3	Second.
4	RANDA HANNA: Okay. Commissioner Foster?
5	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Aye.
6	RANDA HANNA: Commissioner Donovan?
7	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Aye.
8	RANDA HANNA: Commissioner Halper?
9	COMMISSIONER HALPER: Aye.
10	RANDA HANNA: Commissioner Linnick?
11	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Aye.
12	RANDA HANNA: And the motion is carried.
13	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. Great. So
14	now we'll go back to Item No. 3,
15	ZA-2012-1402-ZV-ZAA-ZAD-1A, CEQA Environmental
16	2005-8611-MND-REC2, and the address is 10550 West
17	Bellagio Road. If staff can address that for us.
18	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Excuse me,
19	Madam President. I just have one quick a couple
20	quick disclosures. I have viewed the property site,
21	and also I received a telephone call from a
22	Steve Twining, asking me if I was going to attend
23	today's APC meeting. I understand Mr. Twining may
24	represent one of the homeowners associations in the
25	neighborhood. I told him yes. We had no discussion

8

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

. . . .

r	
1	whatsoever regarding the merits of this case.
2	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Thank you.
3	Mr. Tokunaga
4	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Commissioner Foster. I
5	have the same disclosure. I went and I saw the
6	property. I viewed it. I did get a call from
7	Mr. Twining, but we had no discussion about the case at
8	all. It was just whether I was going to be here
9	tonight. I said, yes, I was.
10	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Commissioner Linnick.
11	I'm feeling very alone in that I did not get a call
12	from this said Mr. Twining, whoever he is, but I also
13	have seen the property. Okay. Staff.
14	JIM TOKUNAGA: Okay. So
15	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Thank you.
16	JIM TOKUNAGA: this item is an appeal of my
17	approval of a height variance. Actually, it's a
18	partial appeal. The appeal itself is on the variance
19	that was granted for an over-in-height home, a
20	single-family home of 50 feet in lieu of the 36 feet
21	allowed. The site itself, I felt
22	First of all, I think the site might be
23	familiar to you because, about a year ago, there was an
24	adjacent site that also was under the same request for
25	a variance for height, and in that case, I denied the
	9

- --

-	
1	appeal I mean, denied the request. So, in this
2	particular case, I've approved it. Okay. And
3	There are a lot of things that have happened
4	in that one year that we've held the original hearing,
5	which was in January, approximately one year ago from
6	today. We held another hearing back in September, and
7	a lot of new information was given to me. And I felt
8	that, on this particular site, there are some
9	circumstances on the site that perhaps should allow for
10	a variance. There is a creek, that you are all aware
11	of, that is required to be maintained. There is a
12	15-foot easement for the creek itself and then 10-foot
13	landscape buffer on each side. And that is part of a
14	parcel map approval that was approved by this
15	Commission, I want to say, five years ago or so.
16	And although the original applicant
17	application was to remove that condition, they've kept
18	that condition. So, now, they have to comply with it.
19	In doing so, I felt that it did cut into the property,
20	at least portions of the property. The site itself has
21	what I believe is a very long frontage along the
22	street, and you have to maintain setbacks along that
23	street frontage.

And if you look at the site, too, it's described -- and this is the way the applicants

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

1	themselves described it. It's sort of a bowl shape,
2	and by that, I mean, if you from the street, it sort
3	of slopes down a little. And because of the
4	landscaping and the creek and the way it's set back
5	from the street, I although the height, you know, is
6	50 feet, I didn't believe that it would be that
7	visible. And only a portion of that, the building
8	itself, the home itself, is actually above at the
9	50 feet, the portion that's measured nearest to the
10	creek. And so in order

And I understand that the building can -- the home can be designed to, sort of, terrace along the topography, but in doing so, it may cut into the hillside. There is -- once you, sort of, leave the level -- marginally level area, it sort of slopes up, not that they would build up there, but that is another way to construct on the site.

And so, because of the slope, the creek going through there, the setbacks that are required, I felt that the site has some constraints on it that perhaps allowed for the variance to be granted.

And then the appeal was filed by a neighboring property owner, who believes that, you know, first, a variance should not be granted because there's no hardship, there's no special circumstance, and that,

11

you know, perhaps that the building itself would be -obstruct views, or it would be -- sort of obstruct views along the road itself, which is what I'm reading now.

So the neighborhood itself, this is like, I 5 want to say, the last remaining or one of the last two 6 remaining parcels along this street. The homes vary. 7 Some are set back a lot, quite a bit. Others are --8 don't have much of a setback. I don't -- some -- I 9 don't remember seeing the creek anywhere else. 10 Ίt could be behind walls or fences so I can't see it, but 11 in this particular case, yeah, the creek is pretty 12 13 prominent. So that in itself I felt was a special circumstance. 14

With that being said, the variance was granted, and here we are today. The neighbors have appealed.

COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Commissioner Linnick.
 COMMISSIONER HALPER: Commissioner Halper. A
 question --

JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes.

21

22 COMMISSIONER HALPER: -- Mr. Tokunaga. This 23 is, like, almost deja vu. The Stone Canyon case, which 24 the Commission heard, is very parallel to this 25 particular case. What would -- succinctly, what would

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

BARKLEY

Court Reporters

be the differences? Because the Commission did not 1 2 approve the case or did not approve the request of the developer. 3 What do you see as the specifics that would 4 make this different than for approval? 5 JIM TOKUNAGA: Well, for myself, the original 6 case, the one adjoining this site -- I believe that was 7 the 360 Stone Canyon -- and in that particular case, 8 the whole argument from the very beginning, at least --9 and they changed representatives, but the applicant's 10 original representative was saying that it -- the 11 hardship was that they had pulled the building permits, 12 and it was under construction, and therefore, it was a 13 hardship, you know, that --14 15 But if that was their rationale for granting a variance, I felt that that was not appropriate. 16 And 17 then -- so they changed the representatives, and we held the hearing. I felt that, at the second hearing, 18 19 the special circumstances were more geared towards the 20 actual physical site and not so much, you know, well, 21 the height is measured differently now than when we 22 originally pulled the permit, and, you know, so, 23 therefore, we have a hardship. 24 But, you know, in fairness to the question, 25 the sites are contiguous. So, you know, they are the

13

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

1	same.
2	COMMISSIONER HALPER: Thank you.
3	JIM TOKUNAGA: Yeah.
4	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Commissioner Linnick.
5	So is that the new information that you are referring
6	to? When you started off your presentation, you said
7	that, you know, we had heard this before but that based
8	on the new information given to you, and then you
9	stated the slope and the creek and the setbacks.
10	JIM TOKUNAGA: Well, yes.
11	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: You now are
12	JIM TOKUNAGA: The original hearing, which
13	was, you know was a joint hearing and with the
14	Advisory Agency, there were other this case, along
15	with two other cases, we were hearing all three
16	together, and there seemed to be all over the place.
17	It wasn't specific to one or the other. So it was hard
18	to discern what the requests were, but the hardship in
19	that particular case was in the 360 Stone Canyon was
20	that it was already under construction, and they
21	measured the height different.
22	Subsequent to that, they dropped the parcel
23	map modification request. So the original parcel map
24	that was approved by the West L.A. Area Planning
25	Commission now stands. And all this new information as
	14

9 year. 10 COMMISSIONER FOSTER: I have my question is 11 the parcel map was approved 12 JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes. 13 COMMISSIONER FOSTER: with the conditions, 14 the setback, and everything from the creek. Was the 15 current owner was the current owner the same owner 16 then? Did he own the property then? 17 JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes. I believe it was Mr 18 (Simultaneous speaking.) 19 COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Okay. So he's before 20 he started any construction, he was aware of all of the 21 conditions that were put on the property; is that 22 correct? 23 JIM TOKUNAGA: I would imagine he was. 24 COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Okay. 25 JIM TOKUNAGA: I can't speak for him, but I		
 sort of being pushed onto the Commission perhaps during the appeal for the 360 Stone Canyon, but all that was information that the Zoning Administrator originally never really was presented. So we that's why we held the other hearing. And we held another hearing for this case specifically in September of last year. COMMISSIONER FOSTER: I have my question is the parcel map was approved JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes. COMMISSIONER FOSTER: with the conditions, the setback, and everything from the creek. Was the current owner was the current owner the same owner then? Did he own the property then? JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes. I believe it was Mr (Simultaneous speaking.) COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Okay. So he's before he started any construction, he was aware of all of the conditions that were put on the property; is that correct? JIM TOKUNAGA: I would imagine he was. COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Okay. JIM TOKUNAGA: I can't speak for him, but I 	1	far as measuring and the way the setbacks are, the open
 4 the appeal for the 360 Stone Canyon, but all that 5 was information that the Zoning Administrator 6 originally never really was presented. So we that's 7 why we held the other hearing. And we held another 8 hearing for this case specifically in September of last 9 year. 10 COMMISSIONER FOSTER: I have my question is 11 the parcel map was approved 12 JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes. 13 COMMISSIONER FOSTER: with the conditions, 14 the setback, and everything from the creek. Was the 15 current owner was the current owner the same owner 16 then? Did he own the property then? 17 JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes. I believe it was Mr 18 (Simultaneous speaking.) 19 COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Okay. So he's before 10 he started any construction, he was aware of all of the 21 conditions that were put on the property; is that 22 correct? 23 JIM TOKUNAGA: I would imagine he was. 24 COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Okay. 25 JIM TOKUNAGA: I can't speak for him, but I 	2	space, the hillside, the topography, all that stuff was
 was information that the Zoning Administrator originally never really was presented. So we that's why we held the other hearing. And we held another hearing for this case specifically in September of last year. COMMISSIONER FOSTER: I have my question is the parcel map was approved JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes. COMMISSIONER FOSTER: with the conditions, the setback, and everything from the creek. Was the current owner was the current owner the same owner then? Did he own the property then? JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes. I believe it was Mr (Simultaneous speaking.) COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Okay. So he's before he started any construction, he was aware of all of the conditions that were put on the property; is that correct? JIM TOKUNAGA: I would imagine he was. COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Okay. JIM TOKUNAGA: I can't speak for him, but I 	3	sort of being pushed onto the Commission perhaps during
 originally never really was presented. So we that's why we held the other hearing. And we held another hearing for this case specifically in September of last year. COMMISSIONER FOSTER: I have my question is the parcel map was approved JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes. COMMISSIONER FOSTER: with the conditions, the setback, and everything from the creek. Was the current owner was the current owner the same owner then? Did he own the property then? JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes. I believe it was Mr (Simultaneous speaking.) COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Okay. So he's before he started any construction, he was aware of all of the correct? JIM TOKUNAGA: I would imagine he was. COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Okay. JIM TOKUNAGA: I can't speak for him, but I 	4	the appeal for the 360 Stone Canyon, but all that
 why we held the other hearing. And we held another hearing for this case specifically in September of last year. COMMISSIONER FOSTER: I have my question is the parcel map was approved JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes. COMMISSIONER FOSTER: with the conditions, the setback, and everything from the creek. Was the current owner was the current owner the same owner then? Did he own the property then? JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes. I believe it was Mr (Simultaneous speaking.) COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Okay. So he's before he started any construction, he was aware of all of the conditions that were put on the property; is that correct? JIM TOKUNAGA: I would imagine he was. JIM TOKUNAGA: I can't speak for him, but I 	5	was information that the Zoning Administrator
 hearing for this case specifically in September of last year. COMMISSIONER FOSTER: I have my question is the parcel map was approved JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes. COMMISSIONER FOSTER: with the conditions, the setback, and everything from the creek. Was the current owner was the current owner the same owner then? Did he own the property then? JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes. I believe it was Mr (Simultaneous speaking.) COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Okay. So he's before he started any construction, he was aware of all of the conditions that were put on the property; is that JIM TOKUNAGA: I would imagine he was. JIM TOKUNAGA: I can't speak for him, but I 	6	originally never really was presented. So we that's
9 year. 10 COMMISSIONER FOSTER: I have my question is 11 the parcel map was approved 12 JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes. 13 COMMISSIONER FOSTER: with the conditions, 14 the setback, and everything from the creek. Was the 15 current owner was the current owner the same owner 16 then? Did he own the property then? 17 JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes. I believe it was Mr 18 (Simultaneous speaking.) 19 COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Okay. So he's before 20 he started any construction, he was aware of all of the 21 conditions that were put on the property; is that 22 correct? 23 JIM TOKUNAGA: I would imagine he was. 24 COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Okay. 25 JIM TOKUNAGA: I can't speak for him, but I	7	why we held the other hearing. And we held another
10 COMMISSIONER FOSTER: I have my question is 11 the parcel map was approved 12 JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes. 13 COMMISSIONER FOSTER: with the conditions, 14 the setback, and everything from the creek. Was the 15 current owner was the current owner the same owner 16 then? Did he own the property then? 17 JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes. I believe it was Mr 18 (Simultaneous speaking.) 19 COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Okay. So he's before 20 he started any construction, he was aware of all of the 21 correct? 23 JIM TOKUNAGA: I would imagine he was. 24 COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Okay. 25 JIM TOKUNAGA: I can't speak for him, but I	8	hearing for this case specifically in September of last
11 the parcel map was approved JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes. 13 COMMISSIONER FOSTER: with the conditions, 14 the setback, and everything from the creek. Was the 15 current owner was the current owner the same owner 16 then? Did he own the property then? 17 JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes. I believe it was Mr 18 (Simultaneous speaking.) 19 COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Okay. So he's before 20 he started any construction, he was aware of all of the 21 conditions that were put on the property; is that 22 correct? 23 JIM TOKUNAGA: I would imagine he was. 24 COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Okay. 25 JIM TOKUNAGA: I can't speak for him, but I	9	year.
12 JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes. 13 COMMISSIONER FOSTER: with the conditions, 14 the setback, and everything from the creek. Was the 15 current owner was the current owner the same owner 16 then? Did he own the property then? 17 JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes. I believe it was Mr 18 (Simultaneous speaking.) 19 COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Okay. So he's before 20 he started any construction, he was aware of all of the 21 conditions that were put on the property; is that 22 JIM TOKUNAGA: I would imagine he was. 23 JIM TOKUNAGA: I can't speak for him, but I 24 JIM TOKUNAGA: I can't speak for him, but I	10	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: I have my question is
 COMMISSIONER FOSTER: with the conditions, the setback, and everything from the creek. Was the current owner was the current owner the same owner then? Did he own the property then? JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes. I believe it was Mr (Simultaneous speaking.) COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Okay. So he's before he started any construction, he was aware of all of the conditions that were put on the property; is that correct? JIM TOKUNAGA: I would imagine he was. COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Okay. JIM TOKUNAGA: I can't speak for him, but I 	11	the parcel map was approved
14 the setback, and everything from the creek. Was the 15 current owner was the current owner the same owner 16 then? Did he own the property then? 17 JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes. I believe it was Mr 18 (Simultaneous speaking.) 19 COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Okay. So he's before 10 he started any construction, he was aware of all of the 21 conditions that were put on the property; is that 22 correct? 23 JIM TOKUNAGA: I would imagine he was. 24 COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Okay. 25 JIM TOKUNAGA: I can't speak for him, but I	12	JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes.
<pre>15 current owner was the current owner the same owner 16 then? Did he own the property then? 17 JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes. I believe it was Mr 18 (Simultaneous speaking.) 19 COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Okay. So he's before 20 he started any construction, he was aware of all of the 21 conditions that were put on the property; is that 22 correct? 23 JIM TOKUNAGA: I would imagine he was. 24 COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Okay. 25 JIM TOKUNAGA: I can't speak for him, but I</pre>	13	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: with the conditions,
16 then? Did he own the property then? 17 JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes. I believe it was Mr 18 (Simultaneous speaking.) 19 COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Okay. So he's before 20 he started any construction, he was aware of all of the 21 conditions that were put on the property; is that 22 correct? 23 JIM TOKUNAGA: I would imagine he was. 24 COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Okay. 25 JIM TOKUNAGA: I can't speak for him, but I	14	the setback, and everything from the creek. Was the
 JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes. I believe it was Mr (Simultaneous speaking.) COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Okay. So he's before he started any construction, he was aware of all of the conditions that were put on the property; is that correct? JIM TOKUNAGA: I would imagine he was. COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Okay. JIM TOKUNAGA: I can't speak for him, but I 	15	current owner was the current owner the same owner
18 (Simultaneous speaking.) 19 COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Okay. So he's before 20 he started any construction, he was aware of all of the 21 conditions that were put on the property; is that 22 correct? 23 JIM TOKUNAGA: I would imagine he was. 24 COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Okay. 25 JIM TOKUNAGA: I can't speak for him, but I	16	then? Did he own the property then?
19 COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Okay. So he's before 20 he started any construction, he was aware of all of the 21 conditions that were put on the property; is that 22 correct? 23 JIM TOKUNAGA: I would imagine he was. 24 COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Okay. 25 JIM TOKUNAGA: I can't speak for him, but I	17	JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes. I believe it was Mr
20 he started any construction, he was aware of all of the 21 conditions that were put on the property; is that 22 correct? 23 JIM TOKUNAGA: I would imagine he was. 24 COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Okay. 25 JIM TOKUNAGA: I can't speak for him, but I	18	(Simultaneous speaking.)
<pre>21 conditions that were put on the property; is that 22 correct? 23 JIM TOKUNAGA: I would imagine he was. 24 COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Okay. 25 JIM TOKUNAGA: I can't speak for him, but I</pre>	19	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Okay. So he's before
<pre>22 correct? 23 JIM TOKUNAGA: I would imagine he was. 24 COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Okay. 25 JIM TOKUNAGA: I can't speak for him, but I</pre>	20	he started any construction, he was aware of all of the
 JIM TOKUNAGA: I would imagine he was. COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Okay. JIM TOKUNAGA: I can't speak for him, but I 	21	conditions that were put on the property; is that
 24 COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Okay. 25 JIM TOKUNAGA: I can't speak for him, but I 	22	correct?
JIM TOKUNAGA: I can't speak for him, but I	23	JIM TOKUNAGA: I would imagine he was.
	24	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Okay.
15	25	JIM TOKUNAGA: I can't speak for him, but I
15		
10		15

ſ

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

would imagine he was, yes. 1 COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Well, I would think 2 3 so --JIM TOKUNAGA: Yeah. Yes. 4 COMMISSIONER FOSTER: -- since he owned the 5 property then. You are saying he did own the property. 6 7 JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes. COMMISSIONER FOSTER: And I remember very well 8 when we had a lot of testimony about that property from 9 various environmental groups and from the Council 10 11 office at the time because there was a great concern 12 over the creek. And it, the creek, runs all the way 13 down Stone Canyon. JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes. 14 COMMISSIONER FOSTER: So it does. 15 16 JIM TOKUNAGA: Okay. 17 COMMISSIONER FOSTER: So it does. Okay. So he was the owner. Thank you. 18 19 JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes, he was. 20 COMMISSIONER FOSTER: So he had that -- all of that information before he drew plans and before he 21 started building? 22 23 JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes. COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Okay. Thank you. 24 COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Commissioner Linnick. 25

16

BARKLE

Court Reparts

1	A couple quick ones, although I probably have some more
2	later. So the information we received from the
3	architect, I think was in the letter from the
4	architect, of the appellant talked about the lack of a
5	slope analysis or a plot plan. Are those things that
6	you have or that you've seen?
7	JIM TOKUNAGA: I do not have them. No, I do
8	not have them.
9	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Is that something that
10	you usually would have in a case like this? And was
11	that at all an issue for you?
12	JIM TOKUNAGA: We had some slope analysis
13	maps, but it wasn't specifically geared towards the
14	request. It was just sort of like a map that had the
15	topo lines on it, and I we did have that map, but it
16	wasn't an analysis of how the project height was
17	measured. So, you know, that's all I can say. I do
18	have that, but it's not a specific analysis.
19	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay.
20	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Do you do you not
21	have a plot plan still?
22	JIM TOKUNAGA: I do have a I do have a plot
23	plan that sort of defines the outline of the building,
24	yes. This is the one that we approved.
25	COMMISSIONER FOSTER. Okay. Thank you.
	17

.

1.5.2

COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Go ahead. 1 COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Commissioner Donovan. 2 3 Just so that I understand everything here, there's no appeal of the adjustment allowing the overheight fence; 4 correct? 5 JIM TOKUNAGA: No, I did not see that. 6 COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: So that's not before 7 Okay. And, now, we have these two companion 8 us. They are property right next to each other, 9 cases. Stone Canyon and Bellagio. And the applications for 10 11 variances was filed -- both filed on the same day, September 21, 2012, and they both requested the same 12 13 height variance; correct? JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes, it sounds familiar. Yes. 14COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: And they both had the 15 same public hearing on January 9, 2013? 16 JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes. 17 Okay. Then, looking 18 COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: 19 through the timeline here, you denied the variance for 20 Stone Canyon, and then that was appealed to this APC, and we denied -- that was -- we heard it on 21 June 5th, 2013. We denied the appeal, and we upheld 22 23 your denial; right? 24 JIM TOKUNAGA: That's correct. 25 COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. And then CD5 18

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

filed a 245 motion to remove the matter to the 1 2 City Council. 3 JIM TOKUNAGA: Uh-huh, yes. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: And then the 4 5 City Council essentially vetoed our determination and remanded it back to this APC. б JIM TOKUNAGA: That's correct. 7 COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. So, then, on 8 August 7, we had another hearing on this Stone Canyon 9 property. 10 11 JIM TOKUNAGA: Appeal, yes. 12 COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: And at that time, you did not change your initial denial -- determination to 13 deny the variance. 14 15 JIM TOKUNAGA: No. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. And, then, 16 17 there's another 245 motion. And then, on September 11, 18 the Council reversed the decisions and granted the 19 variance to Stone Canyon. 20 JIM TOKUNAGA: That's correct. 21 COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. And, then, after that, on September 25th, you hold another hearing on 22 the Bellagio property. 23 24 JIM TOKUNAGA: That's correct. 25 COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. And then, on 19

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

1 November 1st, you grant the variance on pretty much the 2 same facts as presented on the Stone Canyon property. JIM TOKUNAGA: You mean as far as what 3 happened at Council or --4 5 COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Well, I quess, when Commissioner Halper was asking you for the difference, 6 what seemed to come out for me is that the facts were 7 the same, but the reasoning behind the applicant's 8 9 request for a variance had changed slightly. JIM TOKUNAGA: Slightly, yes. 10 COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: But the facts are the 11 12 same. 13 JIM TOKUNAGA: The facts are the same. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. And I quess the 14 15 tough question I have to ask, did the decision by the 16 City Council on Stone Canyon have any effect whatsoever on your determination to grant the variance on 17 Bellagio? 18 No, it did not. 19 JIM TOKUNAGA: COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Did the -- when the 20 21 City Council made -- overturned both of our rulings, did they find -- make different findings of facts? 22 23 Were different facts presented? JIM TOKUNAGA: They would have had to -- well, 2425 in order to grant the variances, they would have had to 20

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

1 make those findings.

2 COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: They'd have to make 3 findings, but did they -- did they -- were different 4 facts provided to them?

5 JIM TOKUNAGA: I have -- I do not know. Okay. 6 COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. And so did you, 7 in any way, decide that the Stone Canyon case created 8 precedent for the Bellagio variance?

JIM TOKUNAGA: Did the Stone -- no, no,
because I -- well, my initial decision wasn't a denial.
COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Yeah. I only ask that
because --

JIM TOKUNAGA: Yeah.

13

COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: -- in your report, you said you -- the adjacent property is currently being developed with a similar height variance granted by the City Council, and I was wondering about the significance --

JIM TOKUNAGA: Oh, yeah. I just put that inthere as background information. Yeah.

21 COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. When we go 22 through the five findings that you have to make for a 23 variance -- and the first one is that the strict 24 application of the zoning ordinance would result in 25 practical difficulties or unnecessary hardships

inconsistent with the general purposes and intent of 1 2 the zoning regulations -- we asked the same question 3 with Stone Canyon. Can a house of approximately the same footage 4 presently be built on the Bellagio property without a 5 variance? б JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes, it could. 7 COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. And I went 8 through the transcript of the -- of your hearing there, 9 and nobody from applicant represented to you that "If 10 11 we don't get this variance, we can't build a house that's of the same square footage." Nobody said that; 12 13 correct? JIM TOKUNAGA: No, I don't believe they did. 14 15 COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. And you did 16 receive a report, as a matter of fact, from the appellant, David Applebaum, saying that they can 17 build -- they can redesign the house and basically 18 19 build something about the same size without needing a 20 variance. You did. JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes, uh-huh. 21 22 COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: And you didn't receive any evidence that contradicted Mr. Applebaum. 23 24 JIM TOKUNAGA: I did not. 25 COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. So a denial of a 22

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

1	variance is not going to prevent the applicant from
2	building a house on his property.
3	JIM TOKUNAGA: No, it would not.
4	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: So I'm trying I'm
5	having difficulty finding the unnecessary hardship or
6	the practical difficulties if the applicant the
7	house isn't started to be built. They could just
8	design a house that's within the height limits. It can
9	be just as big as it was going to be big.
10	What are the unnecessary hardships or
11	practical difficulties?
12	JIM TOKUNAGA: Well, when I'm this is
13	Jim Tokunaga. When I'm reviewing a case, I'm looking
14	at the case as far as what they are proposing to build,
15	and I felt that with you know, I guess I can what
16	you are saying is I could say, "Well, no. You can
17	design it in a different way. So I'm going to deny the
18	variance."
19	But what I'm looking at is, based on the
20	proposal of the project, for what they want to do, do I
21	find that there are, you know, special circumstances or
22	any reasons why the hardships on the site would prevent
23	them from developing the home the way they want? And
24	that's, you know that was my reasoning for the
25	variance.

23

COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: That gets to the crux 1 of the matter because I remember, in the Stone Canyon 2 case, the applicant's attorney said, "We just want this 3 for aesthetic reasons." And I noticed in this case 4 that the reason for the variance is so that the 5 proposed residence can have a consistent roof line for 6 7 the entire home. So they basically want this variance 8 for subjective, aesthetic reasons.

JIM TOKUNAGA: You know, yeah, I imagine. You
will have to ask the applicants, but I would imagine
that's probably it.

12 COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Now, you had -- one of 13 the things you had to find is that the proposed height 14 variance is going to be consistent with all of the 15 goals of the Baseline Hillside Ordinance, the BHO, and 16 I looked at that. And isn't one of the BH goals to 17 encourage terrace structures that break up a boxy 18 building?

JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes, it is.

19

20 COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: And the other thing, 21 you know, I saw a lot of things in the hearing 22 transcript and -- about that this height is not going 23 to block a view, which, I guess, is the subject of a 24 debate between both sides. But I looked at the BHO, 25 and it doesn't say anything about blocking the view.

24

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

1	It says the policy at 1-3.3 is to "preserve existing
2	views in hillside areas."
3	So even if it's not going to block the view, a
4	height variance on here is not it won't have the
5	same view it would have had if it was within the height
6	limit; correct?
7	JIM TOKUNAGA: "View" meaning from the
8	neighbor or
9	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Yes.
10	JIM TOKUNAGA: Well
11	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: That would be the only
12	view that would be subject to the appeal, the neighbors
13	having their views changed, if not blocked.
14	JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes. Well, yeah. It's a
15	vacant site. So anything that you put on the site, you
16	know, is going to be visible regardless, I think,
17	whether it's 50 feet or 36 feet.
18	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: And there was evidence,
19	at least from some of the neighbors, that they felt
20	that it was going to block their views.
21	JIM TOKUNAGA: The adjacent property owner, at
22	least their representative, did indicate that they felt
23	that there might be some obstruction of views.
24	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Now, the second part of
25	the variance findings that have to be made are the
	25
	1

-	
1	special circumstances. And, again, we went through
2	this on Stone Canyon, but the second one there is that
3	there have to be special circumstances applicable to
4	the property such as size, shape, topography, location,
5	or surroundings that do not generally apply
6	generally to the other property in the vicinity. And
7	the special circumstances that I heard you cite in your
8	report and also today are the creek, the topographical
9	changes, and the long frontage on the street.
10	Now, this is not the only property in the
11	vicinity that has a stream running through it.
12	JIM TOKUNAGA: That's correct.
13	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: And this is not the
14	only property in the vicinity that had varying
15	elevations.
16	JIM TOKUNAGA: That would be correct.
17	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: I mean, all of the
18	properties on the hillsides have varying elevations;
19	right?
20	JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes.
21	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. And the reason
22	why there's such a long frontage in this particular
23	case is the applicant voluntarily tied two properties
24	together to build the project; right?
25	JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes, the tied parcels are
	26

1 tied. 2 COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: So wouldn't that 3 special circumstance be self-imposed? JIM TOKUNAGA: Well, they tied it. So it's --4 you know, it's their decision. 5 COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. And, then, I 6 think we've covered the No. 3, which is necessary -- is 7 the variance necessary for the preservation and 8 enjoyment of a substantial property right or use 9 10 generally possessed by other property but because of 11 the special circumstances and practical difficulties or 12 unnecessary hardship is denied. But we already know 13 that this property can be built on. A large house can be built on. 14 And are there any other properties that 15 received a height variance for aesthetic reasons? 16 JIM TOKUNAGA: Well, for aesthetic reasons, I 17 18 can't say for sure. There are other variances in the 19 area, but I couldn't answer that. There is a house across the street. 20 21 COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: And let's see. Now, No. 4, which is another finding that you have to make 22 for granting a variance, whether it's going to -- and 23 you have to find that the variance will not be 24 materially detrimental to the public welfare. But the 25

,	
1	only finding I saw that you had there on page 16 was
2	that it's not going to block any views, and the height
3	won't be noticeable. But that brings us back to
4	whether the BHO says "preserve existing views," not
5	necessarily "block," but isn't
6	One thing that struck me on this was that you
7	stated at page 17 that "The proposed height is not
8	consistent with the plan's intent to require compliance
9	with regulations pertaining to development in the
10	hillside area." And I saw that, and it jumped out at
11	me. Isn't compliance with regulations important to the
12	public welfare?
13	JIM TOKUNAGA: Okay. So what I'm saying here
14	is that the height that they are asking for is, of
15	course, not permitted by the zone, and the only way we
16	can grant that additional height is through a variance
17	process subject to these findings, and I guess what all
18	I'm saying is that I've made those findings.
19	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Can the granting of a
20	variance on this property have any precedential effect
21	on future land use in the area?
22	JIM TOKUNAGA: I think any kind of approval
23	would, yes.
24	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: I think we've covered
25	the fifth one about all of the things that go with
	28

tied.

1

2 COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: So wouldn't that 3 special circumstance be self-imposed?

JIM TOKUNAGA: Well, they tied it. So it's --5 you know, it's their decision.

COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. And, then, I 6 think we've covered the No. 3, which is necessary -- is 7 the variance necessary for the preservation and 8 enjoyment of a substantial property right or use 9 generally possessed by other property but because of 10 the special circumstances and practical difficulties or 11 unnecessary hardship is denied. But we already know 12 13 that this property can be built on. A large house can be built on. 14

15 And are there any other properties that 16 received a height variance for aesthetic reasons?

JIM TOKUNAGA: Well, for aesthetic reasons, I can't say for sure. There are other variances in the area, but I couldn't answer that. There is a house across the street.

21 COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: And let's see. Now, 22 No. 4, which is another finding that you have to make 23 for granting a variance, whether it's going to -- and 24 you have to find that the variance will not be 25 materially detrimental to the public welfare. But the

27

-	
1	only finding I saw that you had there on page 16 was
2	that it's not going to block any views, and the height
3	won't be noticeable. But that brings us back to
4	whether the BHO says "preserve existing views," not
5	necessarily "block," but isn't
6	One thing that struck me on this was that you
7	stated at page 17 that "The proposed height is not
8	consistent with the plan's intent to require compliance
9	with regulations pertaining to development in the
10	hillside area." And I saw that, and it jumped out at
11	me. Isn't compliance with regulations important to the
12	public welfare?
13	JIM TOKUNAGA: Okay. So what I'm saying here
14	is that the height that they are asking for is, of
15	course, not permitted by the zone, and the only way we
16	can grant that additional height is through a variance
17	process subject to these findings, and I guess what all
18	I'm saying is that I've made those findings.
19	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Can the granting of a
20	variance on this property have any precedential effect
21	on future land use in the area?
22	JIM TOKUNAGA: I think any kind of approval
23	would, yes.
24	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: I think we've covered
25	the fifth one about all of the things that go with
	28

No. 4 also are included in No. 5. Thank you. 1 I have 2 no more questions. 3 COMMISSIONER LINNICK: All right. Let's start with the appellant. Can I have the appellant's 4 5 representative, Mr. Marmon. If you can, state your name and address for the record, please, and you have 6 7 five minutes. MR. MARMON: Thank you. Members of the 8 9 Commission, Mr. Tokunaga, quests, public speakers, my name is Victor Marmon. My office address is 10 11 1875 Century Park East, Suite 1600, Los Angeles, California 90067. 12 COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Do you have a cell phone 13 14on? 15 MR. MARMON: No. 16 COMMISSIONER FOSTER: It might be causing --COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Our last meeting, the 17 18 same thing happened. 19 COMMISSIONER FOSTER: We had a problem with 20 that. Okay. 21 COMMISSIONER LINNICK: And I don't know -- we 22 don't know what it was. So we'll --23 COMMISSIONER FOSTER: -- give you an extra 24 minute there. 25 COMMISSIONER LINNICK: We'll bear with it. 29

MR. MARMON: Hopefully -- I've moved it 1 further --2 COMMISSIONER LINNICK: 3 Okav. MR'. MARMON: -- further back. Wait. I have 4 5 it with me. That's the problem. COMMISSIONER FOSTER: There you go. Maybe 6 7 that makes a difference. Give him an extra --8 COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Yeah. We're --9 COMMISSIONER FOSTER: We'll give him an extra 10 minute. 11 MR. MARMON: Sorry. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: This won't count 12against your time. 13 MR. MARMON: That's all right. I hope to not 14 use the time. 15 16 COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. Okay. MR. MARMON: First, I'd like to give to the 17 18 Commission some proposed findings of fact that specify how the ZA erred and abused his discretion in this --19 20 in issuing the letter of decision. So if I may. Second, I'd like to point out that my client 21 is not here. She is extremely disappointed. 22 She's been at every single public hearing in this matter. 23 She was involved in issues relating to the protection 24 25 of the stream and -- since 2006, and she has the flu.

30

She just can't -- couldn't make it. So she's really
 quite saddened that she can't be here.

I know that you all do your homework. You really read through what people provide to you. So I'm not going to repeat what I've said in my letter to you or in the appeal. I just want to point out a few things.

While the ZA said that approving cases will 8 have a precedential effect, I want to make it very 9 10 clear that the 360 case is not final. We have filed a 11 petition for writ of mandate against the City. It will 12 be heard, so that that matter is open. There is no final decision there. And we will pursue that to the 13 14 Court of Appeal or the Supreme Court if necessary 15 because that adoption of the zone variance by the City Council was in error and a massive abuse of 16 discretion. In fact, it was just a political hack job, 17 but we'll leave that for another time. 18

Mr. Tokunaga indicated that there were different facts presented in the 360 case, perhaps more effectively in the 10550 case, about grade differences and elevations and things like that. I want to point out that when Councilmember Koretz first 245'ed to this -- your initial action, he cited the sloping property from the northwest to -- northeast to the

31

BARKLEY

Court Reporte.

southwest. He cited the grade difference between the westerly portion and the easterly portion. He cited the creek. These are not new facts. These are facts that are the same for this property and the other property, and you should treat both properties the same.

7 Mr. Tokunaga was not provided with a slope 8 analysis map. That is a very particular document that 9 the Planning Department requires in order to determine 10 how much square footage can be built on a particular 11 property.

Now, Mr. Tokunaga told us at the hearing, at 12 the public hearing, that we could not talk about the 13 fact that this property will not comply with the 14 Baseline Hillside Ordinance for square-footage purposes 15 16 because that's just for the Planning Department or the Building Department to determine after the variance 17 issues are determined, but the fact is he did not have 18 the slope analysis map. 19

20 Commissioner Donovan mentioned one of the 21 objectives of the plan is to preserve existing views. 22 Well, one of the existing views is from Stone Canyon 23 Road. This is a major entrance and exit to Bel Air, 24 and this house, like the 360 house, will tower above 25 that roadway.

32

BARKLEY

Court Reporters

And I want to also point out that the applicant at the hearing before Mr. Tokunaga -- and I expect the applicant to say it again today -- says that the property is in a bowl.

First of all, this land was sort of foothill 5 It sloped upward gradually. You can see by -land. 6 7 well, you were -- many of you were on the same 8 Commission that approved the parcel map. What they 9 did -- you -- I don't want to repeat what you already 10 know, but I have to make it for the record. They installed a massive 1700 -- sorry -- I think around a 11 750-foot double retaining wall roughly 17 to 20 feet in 12 height. They've chopped off the back of the hill. 13 14 They graded the property. They raised the grade of the 15 property. And, now, we have essentially a flat pad 16 that rises upward gradually. This is not in a bowl.

And I'd like to provide the Commission with the applicant's own retaining wall exhibit from the January hearing in 2013. Just a moment.

20 COMMISSIONER HALPER: Excuse me. You know, 21 it's very difficult for me and, I think, other members 22 of the Commission to be able to absorb documents in 23 lieu of a --

24 MR. MARMON: I completely understand.
 25 COMMISSIONER HALPER: Yeah.

33

BARKLE

Court Reporte.

MR. MARMON: I'm sorry. I didn't mean to 1 interrupt you. No. I appreciate that. But the point 2 that I will make orally to you, Stone Canyon Road, as 3 shown on this exhibit that I've provided to you -- and 4 there's some blowups so that you can see it --5 Stone Canyon Road ranges from an elevation of 478 feet 6 at the southwest corner of the property to 490 feet at 7 8 the corner of Stone Canyon and Bellagio. The finished floor of the house, where you will see the house from 9 for the most part except for the west side where you 10 will see the full height of the house because of the 11 12 basement being exposed, is at 494.30. So the house itself is not in a bowl. The house is actually above 13 Stone Canyon Road, which is the location that most 14 people will see the house. 15

16 And it's clear that the Commission understands 17 the Baseline Hillside Ordinance. I'd just like to 18 provide an ex- -- I'd just like to read very briefly an excerpt from the City Attorney's report to the Council 19 when the City Council adopted the Baseline Hillside 20 Ordinance. It says, "The current method of calculating 21 height gives developers incentive to build large, tall, 22 box-like structures in the hillsides, which many 23 communities have specifically identified as a problem. 24 25 Thus, the existing regulations discourage the terracing

BARKLE

Court Reports

of structures up and down a slope. 1 By contrast, the proposed ordinance would encourage such terracing as a 2 design feature and would visually break up the massive 3 buildings. The proposed ordinance would also utilize a 4 method of calculating height which follows the slope of 5 6 the lot referenced in the proposed ordinance as envelope height and encourage buildings to step up and 7 down a hillside and resulting in" -- "and results in a 8 more aesthetically pleasing development." 9 So I'd just like to conclude by saying that --10 11 COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. 12MR. MARMON: -- this property is not significantly different from the other properties, the 13 The applicant has not made -- provided evidence 14 360. sufficient to make the findings. You'll see in the 15 16 proposed findings that I provided that there are numerous errors of fact and law as well as abuse of 17 discretion, and we request that you grant the appeal 18 19 and reverse the granting of the variance. Thank you. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: 20 Thank you. Any questions? 21 I will provide a copy of the City 22 MR. MARMON: 23 Attorney's Report. 24 COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Are there any questions 25for Mr. Marmon at this time? No? Okav.

35

Okay. The applicant has five minutes. 1 Т have -- I don't know if I'm going to pronounce this 2 3 correctly -- Dveirin, Mr. Brant Dveirin. MR. DVEIRIN: Yeah. 4 If I may, I think I filled out the MR. LO: 5 wrong side. 6 COMMISSIONER LINNICK: You can talk to the 7 staff. 8 MR. MARMON: We do have other speakers. 9 Is that permitted or not? 10 11 COMMISSIONER LINNICK: It happens -- it happens after. 1213 MR. MARMON: Sorry. 14 COMMISSIONER LINNICK: The appellant goes. 15The applicant goes, and then we have the speakers for and against. So, if you can, state your name and 16 address for the record, please. 17 MR. DVEIRIN: Yes. 18 COMMISSIONER LINNICK: You have five minutes. 19 MR. DVEIRIN: I'm Brant Dveirin with the law 20firm of Lewis, Brisbois, Bisqaard & Smith. I'm the 21 representative for the applicant M & A Gabaee. 22 I have with me at these tables my architect, project manager, 23 land use consultant, and another attorney from my firm 24 25 if there are any questions.

36

BARKLEY

Court Reporters

I always understood this to be about 1 10550 Bellagio, not 360. I think that's what we should 2 be looking at. I do believe that it's pretty clear 3 that the properties are different. The -- one thing we 4 have to recognize with 360 is at the time when that 5 application was done, there was a huge issue regarding 6 7 the stream, that it was going to be covered, and that characterized and invaded that whole process. 8

9 That is no longer the case. We're preserving 10 the stream. That was asked for us to do. We're doing 11 that, and because of that, this site requires us to be 12 55 feet away from Stone Canyon.

So I take issue with the fact that this idea that you are going to see this driving along Stone Canyon -- I was there the other day. The cars zip along there. There's already a stone wall there. There's going to be some ironwork on top of that. So I just don't think that's correct.

I submitted some photos. Hopefully, everybody got to see it. I understand that everybody -- at least two people have said they've been to the site. They say photos are worth a thousand words, and I agree with that. If you look at the photos, particularly Photos No. 1, 4, and 10, you can see in Photo No. 1, for example, just how far --

37

COMMISSIONER FOSTER: What exhibit -- excuse 1 What exhibit is this? 2 me. MR. DVEIRIN: These are the photos I --3 COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Oh, okay. You --4 (Simultaneous speaking.) 5 MR. DVEIRIN: The first photo shows you just 6 how far this property is from the -- from the --7 Stone Canyon, which is on the other side of that wall. 8 None of that -- none of that property between the 9 10 bottom of this picture and the stone wall can be used. That has to be preserved at least 55 feet, in some 11 places more, further away from that wall. 12 If you look at the picture on page 4 -- the 13 14 picture on page 4, at the top, there's a little 15 building at the top. That's part of 33 [sic] Copa de Oro Road, which is Mr. Marmon's client's property. 16 That's not her house. That's some art studio. 17 18 It's barely visible to this property with that This property sits -- I don't know if you 19 vegetation. want to call it a bowl, but it has a huge wall behind 20It has -- it's below the grade of the street. 21 it. None of the properties that surround it on the east and 22 on the north can see virtually anything on this 23 property except some of the roof, and it won't matter 24 whether that roof is 40 feet, 39 feet, 60 feet. They 25

38

can't see it. That's why you need to go out to the
 property. That's why these pictures are important.

Essentially, what you have out there is you 3 have a slope that goes like this. It slopes down to 4 the stream. Then you have a flat roof. So the part to 5 my right is going to be a little bit higher than the 6 part to my left. So it's only the part of the home 7 that's closest to the stream that's going to be 8 50 feet. Eighty-two percent of this property is going 9 to be at the 36 feet. Eighteen percent is going to be 10 11 at 50 feet, and it's only this one part.

When we get into the detail of this, we have a substantially difficult site to build on. Only 65 percent of that site can be used for building. The rest of it has to be preserved because of the stream, which we agreed to do. Because of that imposition, we are entitled to seek a variance.

18 One of the most basic things under American 19 law is a property owner to use his property to his 20 desire and maximum use under the law, and the law allows him to apply for a variance. And if you meet 21 the requirements for a variance, you are entitled to 22 23 get it. And I believe, based on what the zoning 24 administrator outlined in the -- in the determination, 25 that we've met the requirements for a variance.

I don't think it's particularly helpful to say that these properties -- this should be treated exactly the same way as 360 because -- because, at the time that we did 360, we had a stream issue that we don't have on Bellagio. We didn't have the -- we didn't have the same information regarding the site. This site is -- information is different.

And I really believe that if we look at the 8 particular opposition that we have in this case and 9 that we had in Stone Canyon, you will see, in light of 10 the two letters that I submitted today, one from the 11 homeowners association and one from another neighbor, 12 13 is that we don't have opposition from the neighborhood. We have opposition essentially from one neighbor, maybe 14 15 two neighbors. It's always the same neighbor, Ms. Lazarof -- Lazarof. That's her right. 16 But as her attorney said, he's going to take the Stone Canyon case 17 all the way to the Supreme Court. Good luck with that. 18 But the thing is, is that this is not about 19 It's personal, and it's typical. 20 land use. When you're the last one to build in a lot that everybody is 21 22 used to seeing empty for a substantial period of time, 23 certain people don't like it. I've seen it all over 24 the city.

This dispute needs to stop. It needs to stop

BARKLEY

Couri Reporters

25

here. It needs to stop now, and it needs your help to
 approve this variance so we can finally put an end to
 this and we can finish the job on Bellagio Road.

There was a comment made with respect to the 4 5 variance that somehow this site, you could -- you could do a home, I quess, that's terraced or that is a б different height. And I suppose there's a lot of 7 things you can do on a particular site, but understand 8 this -- and I think this goes for a lot of projects in 9 the city -- as a matter of right, when this thing 10 started, he had a parcel map, and he had four lots, and 11 he could have built four houses on there to spec and 12 13 sold those lots. He's now building two larger homes on two lots that he's going to live in, and I understand 14 one -- his brother is going to live in one of them. 15

This is a much less intensive use of this 16 It's not for profit. It's for personal use. 17 site. This is the type of thing we should support, not 18 19 This is what we want. We want people to oppose. maximally use a site, not to create waste, at the same 20 21 time to do something that's attractive and to make sure 22 that you listen to the requirements of the City 23 regarding the stream, regarding the retaining walls, regarding the landscaping. We've done all of that. 24 We've met all of the requirements. 25

1	So I would ask that the appeal be denied, that
2	the zoning administrator's determination be affirmed.
3	And if you have any specific questions, I'm here to
4	answer them, and if I can't, I have several of my
5	experts here. They can answer them as well.
6	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Commissioner Donovan.
7	I have some questions. Now, it's my understanding I
8	know you are saying that the Stone Canyon property is
9	different from the Bellagio property, but it was my
10	understanding from the last the Stone Canyon
11	hearings that this well, first, let me ask you this:
12	The applicant has graded done preliminary grading on
13	both properties; correct?
14	MR. DVEIRIN: I believe that the applicant has
15	done preliminary grading on both properties. I've been
16	out there. There are pads there, yes.
17	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: And he did them at the
18	same time?
19	MR. DVEIRIN: I don't know that.
20	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. Well, didn't
21	your client apply for the Bellagio variance at the same
22	time as the Stone Canyon variance?
23	MR. DVEIRIN: I believe that's correct. I
24	believe they were heard at different times. I believe
25	that there was an initial reapplication.
	42

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

1	Is that correct?
2	There were not just two. There were three
3	applications, one for a parcel map as well.
4	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: And the applicant's
5	prior representative at the last hearing at
6	Stone Canyon said that the grading on there actually
7	lowered the level of the property somewhat.
8	MR. DVEIRIN: I read the transcript. I do
9	recall someone saying that. I don't believe it was
10	I don't believe that that was a significant change on
11	the site, but, yes, there was a change in grading.
12	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: So, in other words, to
13	some extent, if there is a bowl there, the applicant
14	did some of the creation of that?
15	MR. DVEIRIN: Yeah, but I look, I don't
16	I don't doubt that there was some grading there, and I
17	don't doubt that some of that property may have been
18	raised or lowered in order to create a pad, which is
19	not unusual. But the idea that this is a bowl is a
20	misnomer. You can call it a bowl. What it really
21	is and if you go out there and it's in the photos
22	that I submitted there is there are two retaining
23	walls and a large hill in the back, extremely dense
24	vegetation north and east on the site, and there is a
25	55-foot-imposed setback from the road on Stone Canyon

43

1 and Bellagio that limits you to 65 percent use of the site. And because of that hill and because of the fact 2 that even with the minimal grading that occurred, that 3 the pads are below the street level, you can't see the 4 home that -- well, from Stone Canyon, and you certainly 5 can't see it from the homes that are blocked by the б 7 vegetation. So there are no view impacts. That's what's important. 8 COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: So I'm clear on this, 9 you are saying this property is not in a bowl, or is it 10 in a bowl? 11 MR. DVEIRIN: I'm saying it's below the street 12 level, and it's located --13 COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: But I'm using something 14 specific. Is it a bowl or not a bowl -- in a bowl? 15 MR. DVEIRIN: As I define a bowl, it is -- it 16 is -- it is backed up by a -- on a hill with 17 significant vegetation on the -- on the east side, and 18 it's below the street grade as it -- as it slopes 19 towards the west. Whether that's a bowl in your view 20 and my view, I don't know. I'm saying that's what it 21It is below grade, and it's surrounded by a hill 22 is. 23 and dense vegetation. You can call that a bowl, I 24 quess. 25 COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. I noticed also

44

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

1	that the height-variance request is to allow additional
2	height so the proposed residence can have a consistent
3	roof line for the entire home.
4	MR. DVEIRIN: Yes.
5	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: That's so it for
6	aesthetic purposes?
7	MR. DVEIRIN: I would say that it is all
8	homes have to have aesthetic appeal for some reason or
9	other. I get that. I don't know if it's solely for
10	aesthetic purposes, but if your property slopes this
11	way towards this way towards the stream and you
12	want and your roof your roof, whether if
13	it's if it's an A-shaped roof, flat roof, whatever,
14	is going to be flat like this, you are going to have it
15	a little bit higher on this side, which is only
16	18 percent of the home. Eighty-two percent of this is
17	going to be at 36 feet.
18	But, yes, if you have a flat if you have a
19	consistent roof line and a and a and a slope this
20	way, you are going to have a little bit of a of a
21	of a higher property towards the towards the water
22	channel than you are away from the water channel.
23	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: But you can build a
24	home on this property with a varied roof line; correct?
25	MR. DVEIRIN: I don't know. I'm not a

>

45

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

builder. I'm a lawyer. I have an architect here. 1 You 2 can ask him. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. Well, we can get 3 back to that, then. But you have -- any other -- it 4 seems -- it seemed to me -- and I'll say, the prior 5 representative of your client admitted that this was 6 for aesthetic purposes, said it on the record, and so 7 I'm asking you, is this for aesthetic purposes? 8 MR. DVEIRIN: Not -- I don't believe anything 9 is solely done for aesthetic purposes because --10 because a roof also has structural integrity uses and 11 12 things like that, but, yes, all homes have an aesthetic purpose, mine and yours. 13 COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. And I note there 14 15 was no evidence presented to the ZA in the underlying 16 hearings here to the effect that your client cannot build a home on this property unless he gets the 17 variance. You didn't present any -- you haven't 18 presented any evidence to the ZA or to us to the effect 19 that if you don't get this variance, you can't build a 20 home? 21 What we've explained to the 22 MR. DVEIRIN: 23 zoning administrator and we've made clear in our 24 submittals is that this neighborhood is characterized by large, estate-type homes. In order to have a large, 25

46

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

estate-type home similar to our neighbors with the 1 amenities that all of our neighbors have such as tennis 2 courts and swimming pools, in order to do that with the 3 limited constraints of this site, that you can't use 4 35 percent of the site for building purposes, you need 5 to build a home in this way so that you can have the 6 What our --7 same amenities. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: But that's not my 8 question. It's a very narrow question because it goes 9 to the heart of finding the factors to find a variance. 10 Can your client build an estate home on this 11 12 property without a variance? Yes or no? MR. DVEIRIN: I don't think that's -- I think 1.3 if you --1415 COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Yes or no? 16 MR. DVEIRIN: What? COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Yes or no? 17 MR. DVEIRIN: No. 18 19 COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Okay. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: You cannot? 20 21 MR. DVEIRIN: No. 22 COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okav. 23 MR. DVEIRIN: And I'm saying that the -- if 24 you look at what a variance is for, which is, by law, a 25 variance is to allow you to have the same use as your

47

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

neighbors because of physical and other types of restraints on your property -- of course, it's a discretionary determination, but a variance isn't defined by whether or not you can build something smaller.

Of course, you can build something smaller 6 7 anywhere, but the idea is that in order to maximize the use of your property, which is your right and my right 8 and my client's right, you're entitled to seek a 9 variance. And if you can show, which we can, that this 10 11 site is severely constrained by its gradient and by its 12size and that it won't impact the neighbors, we're not 13 causing anybody any distress, if you stand -- and as we've pointed out, if you stand on 333 Copa de Oro Road 14 on the first floor, you are looking 15 feet over the 15 roof line at 50 feet. 16

17 So we're not impacting any of our neighbors. 18 And because we have the severe restraints on the site, 19 it's within our right to seek a variance.

20 COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Well, first of all, 21 Counsel, there's no doubt that your client is entitled 22 to seek a variance. Whether the client gets a variance 23 or not, nobody has impeded your client's right to seek 24 a variance thus far.

MR. DVEIRIN: That's correct.

25

COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okav. You now sav you 1 cannot build an estate-like home without a variance. 2 What kinds of homes can you not build? 3 What can't you build here if you don't get 4 this variance? 5 MR. DVEIRIN: I would ask my architect to 6 7 answer that question because that's -- that's beyond my -- my pay grade, but -- but -- I -- I do think 8 that -- that -- that anytime that you apply for a 9 variance -- anytime you apply for a variance, it's a 10 discretionary determination. And what I'm arguing for 11 is that we meet the requirements for you to exercise 12your discretion in favor of granting the variance. And 13 we are asking you to do that, but it's not a -- it's 14 not a mandatory determination. It's a discretionary 15 16 determination. And in order for my client to maximally --17 maximize the use of his property as his right in order 18 to have something similar to the estate-size homes that 19 surround him, he needs the variance, but he can't get 20 it as a matter of right, which is why we're here. 21COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Commissioner Linnick. 22 23 But you were mentioning that he could have built four 24 homes, and --MR. DVEIRIN: 25 Yes.

1 COMMISSIONER LINNICK: -- they obviously would 2 have been smaller, and they wouldn't have been the sort 3 of estate-like home that you are saying, you know, if they build the two. So I'm kind of confused. 4 I mean. you are saying both -- sort of saying both things. 5 So --6 They could have --7 MR. DVEIRIN: COMMISSIONER LINNICK: -- I'm saying, they 8 9 could have just built the four homes, and --10 MR. DVEIRIN: What I'm saying is --COMMISSIONER LINNICK: -- I've got this 11 variance to make this home that is, like, similar to 12 13 the others in the neighborhood, you are saying? 14 MR. DVEIRIN: My understanding -- and someone 15 on my side will correct me if I'm wrong -- is that the City Planning Department wanted something different 16 than what he legally could do with the property; in 17 18 other words, to tie the lots together, to put some -to put bigger homes on the property. 19 20 There's a difference between what you can 21 build as a matter of right and what is wise to build, and -- and I'm saying is -- is that, all over the city, 22 23 there are instances where people seek approvals --24 I've -- I've --as -- I've done this before where --25 where -- where -- where people come out, and they --

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

BARKLEY

Court Roportors

1	they they don't like the particular project. And
2	one of the things that you need to make clear to the
3	opposition at times is that what you can do as a matter
4	of right, you might like less. That's what I'm saying.
5	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Sure.
6	MR. DVEIRIN: What we can do as a matter of
7	right may not be as aesthetically and practical
8	practically pleasing, not only to us, but to our
9	neighbors. And I don't want that that fact lost on
10	this Commission because because what we're
11	essentially doing is a less dense use and a more
12	attractive use of this site than four smaller homes,
13	and I think that's something we should promote.
14	COMMISSIONER HALPER: Counselor,
15	Commissioner Halper. You refer to the fact that there
16	was a single resident or neighbor who was the
17	complaining source. I've got a number of the
18	letters
19	MR. DVEIRIN: Yes.
20	COMMISSIONER HALPER: that are complaints
21	from let me finish, please. I've got one here from
22	the Federation of Hillside and Canyon Associations,
23	which indicate that they represent 42 associations and
24	200,000 constituents, and asking us to enforce the
25	hillside ordinance. So I would say we the

51

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Commission is very sensitive to what the neighbors are 1 concerned with in our decision-making. 2 It doesn't appear -- do you want to make a comment back? 3 MR. DVEIRIN: No, no. What I'm saying is that 4 I'm aware of some other opposition. Primarily, we have 5 one consistent opposition who is behind us on the hill 6 at 333 Copa de Oro, which is Ms. Lazarof, who I 7 understand is ill today, and I hope she gets better. 8 But that -- that -- that's what's driving this is that 9 single opposition. 10 But there are some other people that have sent 11 in letters, but that's not who is at every hearing, 12 opposed to everything that we've done on this property, 13 and will be with us until this gets done. And I think 14 it needs to stop, and I need your help to make it stop. 15 16 And the only way we can get that to stop is to get this 17 variance finally approved. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Commissioner Donovan. 18 Do you believe that the Stone Canyon case created 19 20 precedent for the variance in this case? MR. DVEIRIN: No. 21 22 COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: So you are not 23 asserting that? No, not at all. 24 MR. DVEIRIN: No, no. Ι think this case stands on its own. I think I'm here on 25 52

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

1	Bellagio. I'm not here on Stone Canyon.
2	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: And you would agree
3	that the Bellagio property isn't the only property in
4	the vicinity that has a stream running through it?
5	MR. DVEIRIN: I don't know that for a fact.
б	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: I do. I do.
7	MR. DVEIRIN: I do know this, that that stream
8	is not just on that property. I don't know where else
9	it runs. I do know this, is that
10	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: It runs down
11	Stone Canyon, doesn't it?
12	MR. DVEIRIN: Yes. But I'm saying that there
13	are other properties that I am aware of and I can't
14	cite their addresses that they have this stream, and
15	they've been able to cover it, build over it, do
16	various things with it. We are actually preserving it,
17	and because of our preservation of this, we have
18	imposed on us a 50 at least a 55-foot setback from
19	the property line in order to build on this site. That
20	makes this site usable only 65 percent of this site
21	is actually usable. That's one of the big constraints
22	of the site in addition to the slope that makes our
23	property not as usable as we would like and why we need
24	a variance to maximize the use of this property for my
25	client's purposes.

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

1	
1	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Commissioner Donovan
2	again. Your client's property isn't the only property
3	in the vicinity with varying elevations; correct?
4	MR. DVEIRIN: I don't know of any other
5	properties in that immediate vicinity that has a
6	16-foot difference in elevation within a mere couple of
7	feet of property. Remember that that this property
8	slopes down towards the stream at a fairly a fairly
9	steep slope. There is a 16-foot difference between the
10	west and the east. That 16-foot differential is what
11	accounts for it being 50 feet here and then the rest of
12	the property, the other 82 percent, just being the
13	36 feet. So that's a very steep differential.
14	I'm unaware, as I sit here today, of any other
15	properties in that immediate vicinity that has a
16	16-foot differential in a matter of a few feet.
17	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Is it not true
18	Commissioner Foster that your client did the grading
19	on that property?
20	He did all of the grading and the backfill and
21	built the big retaining walls. He's had that property
22	for many years. Did he not know what the slope was?
23	He had no choice but to go along with the
24	preserving of the stream. That was something that this
25	Commission put on many years ago as an absolute. So

54

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

. .

when he bought this -- when he had the property, when he went to design it, when he went to grade it, if he knew about that, why didn't he do something at that time when he had all of the grading done?

5 I've been to the site several times. I 6 remember the site when there was another home on it. 7 It's -- it's hard for me to imagine that these aren't 8 self-imposed conditions that he's put -- that he's put 9 on himself. He knew right off -- from the beginning 10 that the stream had a buffer zone, that he had to 11 plant --

12 I mean, all of those things have been known since before he designed the house. So it's difficult 13 for me to understand how, now that he knows all of 14 that, he wants a variance, because he could have 15 designed the house to go along with what was the 16 hillside ordinance and the stream preservation. All of 17 those things could have been taken into consideration. 18 19 I don't -- what I don't understand is why he didn't do Just, a variance seemed easier? 20 that. MR. DVEIRIN: I don't -- I wouldn't 21

23 COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Well, it was pretty easy 24 getting the one on 360 because it just got taken care 25 of in Council, you know.

characterize this as "easy." By the way --

22

55

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

MR. DVEIRIN: No, no.

1

2	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: We've spent a lot of
3	time on this ourselves as a Commission. We've spent a
4	lot of time looking, reading, and studying this. So
5	it's not something that we take lightly either, you
6	know. And it's not easy for you, I'm sure, and it's
7	not easy for your client. But, on the other hand, it
8	hasn't been easy for us either because we've spent a
9	really lot of time reading through all of this
10	material, and so, you know, we are trying to do the
11	right thing for everybody. So that's
12	MR. DVEIRIN: I don't know my understanding
13	from looking at the documents is that, when this
14	originally got started, there was a lot of time and
15	effort put into covering the stream okay? not
16	preserving the stream.
17	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Right.
18	MR. DVEIRIN: Then there was a change to
19	preserving the stream. That's what I gathered from the
20	documents, that that, originally, there was a belief
21	that you could have a much deeper, longer pad than what
22	you have out there now.
23	When I was out there the other day, two weeks
24	ago, looking at this, for me the first time and walking
25	off that 55 feet, it's pretty clear that it's a

56

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

severely restricted building pad. Almost 50 percent of 1 your lot is not usable, and that requires a certain 2 3 type of design if you are going to have homes like what surround you and are behind you. 4 COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Okay. I think we 5 understand that. Yeah. Okay. 6 MR. DVEIRIN: So, yes, I think it's -- he 7 graded -- yes, he bought the property, but I think --8 what I keep getting back to and I think is important is 9 that the most fundamental of American rights is to use 10 your property to its maximum use within the law --11 COMMISSIONER FOSTER: 12 Right. 13 MR. DVEIRIN: -- and that, based on a very 14 detailed job done by the zoning administrator, we can meet the requirements of the variance. 15 16 I think that the detail with which the zoning 17 administrator dealt with this is in response to the detail with which we addressed it, which is not the 18 19 same as what we did on Bellagio -- I mean, on 20 Stone Canyon. And I don't think they are exactly the same, and I don't think we should let one invade the 21 22 other. And I'm not arguing that 360 has precedential value of any kind. 23 What I'm saying is that this is exactly the 24 type of situation that someone would want a variance on 25

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

57

1 and should get a variance. So I'm asking for your help for him because he -- in order to make this work and to 2 have something similar to the neighbors, he needs the 3 variance, and he can't get it other than through your 4 5 discretionary approval. Okay. 6 COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Thank you. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Commissioner Donovan. 7 Just to be clear on this --8 MR. DVEIRIN: Yes, sir. 9 10 COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: -- you are asserting that this property has the greatest degree in varying 11 12 elevations of any other properties in the vicinity? 13 MR. DVEIRIN: I don't know that for a fact. I'm saying is -- is that when I was out there and when 14 I looked around and drove around, I'm unaware of any 15 properties that have a 16-foot differential --16 17 personally unaware, in that immediate area, including around the hills and behind him and on the other side 18 19 of the golf course, that -- that have a 16-foot 20 differential in such a short pad. That's what I'm 21 saying, and that's part of the difficulty of this site. 22 COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: All right. So that's your personal impression. You don't have any evidence 23 to that effect? 24 MR. DVEIRIN: No, I don't have -- I don't have 25 58

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

any evidence other than what I saw. 1 2 COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. Thank you. 3 MR. DVEIRIN: Thank you. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Commissioner Linnick. 4 5 If you could bring up your architect, that would be 6 great. I don't know if -- some of the questions --COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Why don't we wait and 7 8 hear some more and then --9 COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Do you want to --COMMISSIONER FOSTER: -- ask the architect 10 some questions --11 12 COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. 13 COMMISSIONER FOSTER: -- after we hear some 14 testimony just -- unless you have something immediate 15 you want to ask the architect. 16 COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Well, I wanted to ask 17 about -- the same question I asked of Mr. Tokunaga about the plot plan and the -- you know, whether or 18 19 not --20 COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Oh. Go ahead. I'm 21 sorry. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: -- those things were 22 provided, the slope analysis. 23 24 COMMISSIONER FOSTER: The architect? 25 MR. DVEIRIN: Yeah, the architect would be 59

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

1 better to answer that --COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. 2 MR. DVEIRIN: -- than me. 3 COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Yeah. Just be quick. 4 MR. DVEIRIN: I know we are all aware of the 5 I'm not familiar with the specific slope 6 slope. analysis. 7 COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. Okay. 8 COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Sorry. I just --9 COMMISSIONER LINNICK: No. That's okay. 10 MR. DVEIRIN: Do you want the architect? 11 12 COMMISSIONER LINNICK: That would be great. Thank you. 13 MR. DVEIRIN: Yeah. He's here. 14 COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Sure. 15 16 State your name and address for the record, 17 please. MR. LO: Roland Lo, 9034 Sunset Boulevard in 18 West Hollywood. 19 COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Commissioner Linnick. 20 21 I just wanted to ask you the same question I had asked of staff --22 23 MR. LO: Sure. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: -- about whether or not 24 there was a slope analysis presented to the planning 25 60

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

staff and whether there was a plot plan. 1 MR. LO: I have no knowledge of the slope band 2 analysis, but, generally, the slope band analysis is 3 required, you know, during the plan-check process. 4 That's an item that is technically reviewed by the 5 Planning Department for the appropriate size of the 6 building, FAR. I don't know if that's -- that was 7 requested by the ZA on this particular case. 8 COMMISSIONER LINNICK: But --9 Commissioner Linnick. So, when you were designing, you 10 didn't have the benefit of a --11 MR. LO: We have a preliminary slope analysis 12 saying that you -- this -- this is a maximum -- because 13 the site -- let's come back to it. The site is two 14 lots. It's about roughly 2.1 acres. So that's 80,000 15 square feet. The footprint of the building is really 16 about 12,000 square feet. That's about 15 percent lot 17 coverage. Fifteen percent, that's -- I believe the 18 19 Code allows you for 30 percent or 35 percent lot 20 coverage. I'm not -- I've got to verify that for sure. But the slope band analysis is -- it will be 21 22 an item that will be technically approved by the Planning Department during the plan-check process. 23 So I am aware of a big number, a number for a maximum 24 square footage, but I believe what we have designed is 25

61

1	within the maximum allowed square footage for this
2	particular site.
3	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Do you have a question?
4	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: I have a question.
5	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Oh. Well, I was just
6	going to so back to Commissioner Linnick. So
7	back to the question of, you know, were there other
8	designs, you know, we heard from appellant's we had
9	testimony from appellant's architect about, you know,
10	another design that could give you, you know, a
11	wonderfully a wonderful estate-like, you know, home
12	that would be similar to those in the neighborhood
13	without doing without asking for the variance.
14	MR. LO: That is an aesthetics from architect
15	to architect.
16	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Sure.
17	MR. LO: So it's a very subjective issue.
18	But, for a fact, an estate my understanding of an
19	estate site, a building, is that there are pitched
20	roofs, you know, a great motor court, backyard, a
21	great, large backyard. The pitched roof is actually a
22	functional and around the Bel Air area, the pitched
23	roof is very common for these French-chateau type of
24	buildings. So it's a functional thing where, you know,
25	you quickly shed water. I mean, it's really a

62

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

بالمروشي الزوداني

. . .

BARKLEY Court Réporters

4.34

functional thing, and, also, it's a good way of locating mechanical equipment and exhaust equipment that's up there.

So, you know, in terms of it being solely on 4 aesthetics, I don't believe this is solely aesthetic 5 reasons why -- that you -- you know, that -- that 6 7 this -- this variance is granted. So what it is, it is an opinion from architect to architect that -- you 8 9 know, what constitutes an estate, but I do believe that this building does -- this house does -- contextually 10 is very responsive to the surrounding neighborhoods. 11 12 COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Commissioner Donovan. 13 There are some questions that your attorney said you had to answer. So I'm going to ask them. 14 MR. LO: I'm going to try my best. 15 16 COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. Are you -- are 17 you saying that you could not design a home on this property without the need of a variance? 18 19 MR. LO: Without the need of a variance? The property is constrained, you know, by the way it's 20 being sited. I have got to actually -- you have to go 21 22 to the site and actually take a look at it. That's a 23 yes-or-no question. Am I correct? COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: (Inaudible response.) 24 MR. LO: This circumstance is no. 25

63

BARKLE

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: You cannot design a 1 home on this property without getting a height 2 variance? 3 MR. LO: In this particular -- the way it's 4 5 sited, no. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: And tell me why you 6 can't design a home --7 MR. LO: Because the --8 COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: -- that's going to be 9 within the height limit. 10 MR. LO: Because the motor court is -- you 11 know, the motor court is facing the street, and the way 12 the site is being situated, the building has to situate 13 a particular location for, you know -- for the 14 circulation to actually function. So, in this 15 16 particular case, in this particular design, no. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: So the only reason you 17 can't do it is because of the location of the motor 18 court? 19 No. Various reasons of siting the 20 MR. LÖ: site. There's, you know -- you've got -- you've got 21 22 sun. You've got wind. You've got solar access. You've got all of these other reasons. So, in this 23 particular location --24 Any architect can tell you, you know, that 25 64

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

there is an alternative design to it, you know. 1 COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. That's what I'm 2 speaking to. I mean, let's see if I can be more 3 accurate -- I mean, specific. 4 Are you saying it's impossible to design a 5 home --6 7 MR. LO: Oh, no. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: -- on this property? 8 9 MR. LO: No. No, I'm not saying that 10 whatsoever. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: So you could design a 11 12 home with a varied roof level; correct? MR. LO: Varied roof level, yes. 13 COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. And you could 14 design a home on this property that would not need a 15 height variance; correct? It's possible; correct? 16 17 MR. LO: It's possible if it is -- you are talking about a hypothetical scenario. Yes. 18 COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: And the varied roof 19 line, you said the reason why that won't --20 First of all, one of the reasons you want a 21 consistent roof line is aesthetics. That's one reason; 22 correct? 23 MR. LO: Aesthetics and --24 25 COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Yeah, how it looks. 65

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

MR. LO: -- contextually responsive to the 1 2 surrounding neighbors. Yes. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Yes. It's a 3 subjective, aesthetic viewpoint; correct? 4 MR. LO: Yes. 5 COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. And --6 MR. LO: And we can't discuss aesthetics. 7 It's really subjective in reality, you know. 8 COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Aesthetics are always 9 subjective. 10 MR. LO: Yes. 11 COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Yes, of course. 12 All right. So -- all right. I guess I have no further 13 questions. Thank you. 14 15 COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. Thank you. So now we have --16 MR. DVEIRIN: Do you have any questions for 17 me, or do you want to hear from the other speakers? 18 19 COMMISSIONER FOSTER: We are ready. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: No. I think we are 20 21 good. MR. DVEIRIN: Okay. Thank you. 22 23 COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Thank you. So let's 24 hear from speakers for the appeal. I have Jon Perica or Perica and then John Murdock, then 25 66

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

1 Tania Hackbarth -- Hackbarth.

2 Name and address for the record. You have two3 minutes.

JON PERICA: Jon Perica, 10338 Etiwanda Avenue, Northridge, California 91326. I'm a retired zoning administrator. Commissioners know that all five variance findings have to be made. The zoning administrator made none of the required variance findings. I'm going to pick on two of those.

No. 3, evidence of a right to a 50-foot-built house generally possessed by other property owners in the same zone. The applicant lists no other examples of houses that have the same zone, the same vicinity, the same measuring distance, and the same type of use to justify a previous precedent.

The ZA's findings relate to characteristics of 16 the lot and topography, not any other precedential 17 By not citing another precedent of a previous 18 cases. grant similar to what's being asked for, this finding 19 cannot be made. The inability of the zoning 20 21 administrator to provide a precedent finding also occurred at the adjacent property at 360 Stone Canyon 22 23 Road, owned by the same family partnership. This adjacent property has very similar topography and 24 features, and that was denied twice by your 25

67

condition -- Commission last year.

1

In that previous decision on the adjacent 2 Stone Canyon variance request, the ZA found that the 3 precedential -- that no precedential height grant 4 exists in the record previously in the community that 5 can be used as an example to justify granting this 6 There is absolutely no justification 7 particular case. for this finding submitted by the zoning administrator 8 or the applicants. 9

Finding No. 1, identifying a city hard- -- a city-created hardship that can only be overcome by a variance. As your Commission has already noted, the applicant was not forced by the City to purchase this property. Due diligence would have indicated what the exact limitations on the property that was buildable could have been for that.

17 The applicant had a choice of what the building footprint was, where to locate it, and he 18 chose to make this particular location. At this point, 19 a noted architect has submitted a letter to you that 20 there are at least four other alternatives to build the 21 22 same size house on the property. I think that's a The applicant gets a house similar 23 valid compromise. to what he wants in size. The neighbors aren't 24 burdened by another precedent. 25

68

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

BARKLEY

L Court Reporter

1	As a zoning administrator, I've seen too many
2	examples of bad grants that other developers use to
3	say, "Well, the City granted that this time. I'm
4	entitled to the same thing." So I have that historical
5	long view for that.
6	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. Your time has
7	run.
8	JON PERICA: Thank you so much.
9	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. Thank you.
10	John Murdock, then Tania Hackbarth, and then Mr. Fisk.
11	Name and address for the record.
12	JOHN MURDOCK: Good evening, Madam President,
13	members of the Commission. My name is John Murdock. I
14	am an attorney, 1209 Pine Street, Santa Monica. I am
15	not being paid to be here. I'm actually speaking on my
16	own behalf, although I must say I'm biased because I do
17	represent this property owner in the litigation that's
18	pending on the adjacent property. Mr. Marmon and I
19	have filed a petition for a writ of mandate.
20	And I am here to say, as a member of the
21	public, I am extremely outraged at what happened in
22	this companion case with the use and, I would call it,
23	the misuse of Section 245.
24	I've been through the whole history of that
25	case, and I've been through all of the paper in this
	69

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

case. There really isn't a difference. There's no
 topographical difference. There's nothing that
 distinguishes this case from that case. Your findings
 were completely correct when you denied the variance or
 upheld the zoning administrator's denial of a variance.

6 The same zoning administrator denied the 7 variance, and why is he granting the variance here? 8 Let's be real. The City Council reversed his decision 9 and said, "Here are the findings we want for this 10 property." Those findings are bogus. I guarantee you 11 they will be overturned in court.

Now, the applicant is here to ask you to do the same thing, a set of bogus findings. You already have pinpointed the main issue. Can you build a house? Well, finally, you got a concession. "Yes, we can build a house." That's it. It's all over. They must make every one of the five findings.

18 When counsel says, "This is discretionary, and 19 please help us maximize the use of this property," 20 that's completely wrong. It's not discretionary. It's 21 mandatory that the findings be made. And the courts 22 have consistently said, "It should be hard to get a variance. It's not easy to get a variance." And 23 24 Councilmember Koretz was completely out of line because 25 how that happened, he came to the City Council at the

70

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

BARKLE

r				
1	last minute. He didn't comply with the Brown Act. He			
2	didn't agendize his request. He said, "Oh, I found out			
3	too late, just Friday, about this decision."			
4	His deputy, the same deputy, was at your			
5	hearing. He knew that you had denied the variance.			
6	Your letter went to his office. So how could he come			
7	to the Council and excuse his violation of the			
8	Brown Act by saying he didn't know about it?			
9	That's completely abusive in my view, and that			
10	led to the remand. You again denied it, and then he			
11	took it up again. I guarantee you are going to deny			
12	this, and he's going to take it up, and we'll be right			
13	there. Thank you.			
14	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Ms. Hackbarth and then			
15	Dan Fisk and then Steve Twining or Twining.			
16	Name and address for the record. You have two			
17	minutes.			
18	TANIA HACKBARTH: Good evening.			
19	Tania Hackbarth, 300 Stone Canyon Road. I am the			
20	property owner directly next door to 360 Stone Canyon.			
21	I submitted a letter, which you all have and you've all			
22	read. First off, I'd like to compliment all four of			
23	you. I've been listening very intently to your very,			
24	in my opinion, correct questioning and your very			
25	accurate overview to look at this the way you have			
	71			

71

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

BARKLEY Court Reporters

looked at it, and I want to compliment you on your very 1 wise questions that you've put forth this evening. 2 I've listened to this site being described as 3 a bowl, and in my mind, a bowl goes like this. Just a 4 womanly observation, to me, this is more like a saucer. 5 6 It's not even a bowl. It's more flat than what the -what the applicant wants everybody to believe. 7 The 55 feet that he's talking about, that the 8 structure has to be pushed back 55 feet because of the 9 stream, et cetera, et cetera, to build the type of home 10 that he wants to build, one would normally do that 11 anyway to create a beautiful driveway, to create 12 13 beautiful landscaping, to create beautiful hardscape so 14 that you have a presence going up to a seemingly beautiful house. 15 So I don't see that this 55 foot that he has 16 to bring this property back as being a detriment but 17 more being something that would logically need to be 18 designed anyway to create a beautiful frontage. 19 He also talked about how there's no other 20 21 properties in the neighborhood that have a significant 22 differential, and my property has an even stronger differential, and I'm right next door. 23 Plus, I've observed other homes along Stone Canyon Road that have 24 25 differentials. So I would like to point out that it's

72

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

BARKLEY Court Roporters

ſ				
1	my observation that I have found many properties that			
2	have differentials that have managed to build beautiful			
3	homes and taking the stream into consideration.			
4	My only my only other comment and I			
5	truly believe this is that the reason that we are			
6	all here today is that this applicant is once again			
7	trying to obtain a special privilege that no other			
8	homeowner is allowed to have. You we have in			
9	Los Angeles a Baseline Mansionization Ordinance.			
10	Everybody has to work within those guidelines. And I			
11	want to plead to you one more time to deny his appeal			
12	and to uphold the Mansionization Ordinance, which you			
13	have put forward. Some other thoughts			
14	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. Well, your time			
15	ran.			
16	TANIA HACKBARTH: Okay.			
17	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Commissioner Linnick.			
18	I have a question. Does the stream does it			
19	TANIA HACKBARTH: go right through my			
20	property too?			
21	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Does your on your			
22	property?			
23	TANIA HACKBARTH: It goes right through my			
24	property.			
25	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: And how does your			
	73			

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Statustic state

n second terrara un

1 property treat the stream?

TANIA HACKBARTH: Well, our structures are
pushed back. Our structures -- and my home is 36 feet.
And our structures are pushed back.

COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. And I have a --5 6 TANIA HACKBARTH: And my home is a -- was 7 built -- newer built, 2000 -- it was built in 8 the 2000 -- you know, I don't exactly remember the exact year, but it was between 2000 and 2005. So it's 9 a newer-built home. But the stream runs straight 10 through, and we hear that beautiful water going 11 straight through. 12

13 COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Well, I was --Commissioner Linnick. That was a question that I had 14 15 because the stream sounds like it's this huge burden, but I would -- it would be lovely to have a stream on 16 17 my property. It would seem very estate-like, I would think. So I'm glad to hear that, you know, you are 18 okay with -- the stream is okay. It's not like a 19 huge -- you like your stream. 20 TANIA HACKBARTH: Well, that's --2122 COMMISSIONER LINNICK: She's, like, "No, I 23 don't really like my stream."

TANIA HACKBARTH: -- not even the point.
That's not even the point. The -- you know, what I --

74

BARKLEY

Coart Reporters

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

what I basically just want to convey to you is that the 1 2 parameters with which he has to build with, you know, he can certainly do it with complying with the 3 ordinance, I believe, that is on the books, and I just 4 believe that he's asking for a special privilege. 5 And, also, Stone Canyon Road -- and he's saying, well, only 6 18 percent of it is going to be 55 feet, and the 7 other --8

The problem is that the part that's going to 9 be 55 feet is on Stone Canyon Road. So as the 10 neighborhood drives through, up and down, they are 11 going to see this big towering structure, which is 12 probably equivalent to a four- or five-story office 13 building, and that's -- you know, that's the reality. 14 15 As you drive down Stone Canyon Road, it's the front half of it that he's saying is the 18 percent that 16 17 needs to be 50-plus feet.

COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. Okay. Thank
 you. Dan Fisk. H. Dan Fisk and then Mr. Twining.
 H. DAN FISK: Yes.

21 COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Name and address for22 the record. You have two minutes.

H. DAN FISK: My name is Dan Fisk. I live at
1527 Stone Canyon Road, just off of Stone Canyon Road.
My mailbox is there. Our home is on Tanner Bridge

Road. We have -- our property is called
 "Stone Bridge." It's the principal part of the
 original estate that started Bel Air, the
 Doheny Estate. Later, Bel Air, as you know, was
 developed by the Bell family.

I'm here pro bono. The last time I appeared before a -- in a commission setting, I was on your side of the podium as chairman of a planning commission and city councilman.

I have some empathy for the property owners. 10 Philosophically, it's nice to be able to develop your 11 own property the way you want to, but those of us who 12 13 have been involved in land use planning know that if you don't have rules to follow, you end up with a 14 15 hodgepodge community. And I share the comments that have been made against the variance before me. 16 I have 17 provided you with a letter that concisely states my point of view on this. 18

Picking up off of what Tania said a few minutes ago, I'm quite concerned that coming into Bel Air on Stone Canyon Road or on Bellagio, which is the marquee entrance into this beautiful community, I don't want to see a commercial-like structure there with -- that has the appearance of three or four stories to be incompatible with all of the beautiful

76

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

1 architecture that we have in the community. I think
2 that would be a big mistake. And I have not seen any
3 indication that they've met the five criteria that
4 should be met in good land use planning to permit such
5 a variance.

And so it is with that that I respectfully oppose the issuance of the variance, and I would appreciate the Commission carefully considering the points made in the letter that I have submitted. Thank you very much.

11 COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Thank you.
12 Steve Twining.

H. DAN FISK: There is one further comment I
might make. I think, if you talk to Ms. Ferris -Tania, you'd find that the slope of her property is
very comparable to what the applicant has represented
their property has for their variance.

STEVE TWINING: Yes. My name is
Steve Twining. I live at 1535 Roscomare Road in
Bel Air. I am a Bel Air resident and have been for
over 40 years.

First of all, I'll say that a flat roof is ugly in general. I'm speaking on behalf of the Hillside Federation. You got the document. I won't have to read, but I especially refer you to page 2.

77

BARKLE

Court Reporte:

This instance is a blatant political -- there's blatant 1 political influence on the zoning administrator to 2 change his mind from 360 to this property even though 3 they are adjacent, and I have -- I believe, if I'm not 4 incorrect, it was a councilman who wanted to preserve 5 Is that -- is that true? 6 the stream. SHAWN BAYLISS: He's supportive of it. The 7 previous councilmember was in office when we got that. 8 STEVE TWINING: But what is a current -- the 9 current councilman is in support of the stream. 10 11 Is that correct? 12SHAWN BAYLISS: He certainly is. Thank you. STEVE TWINING: 13 In the prior case, the top floor was -- on one 14 hand, it was for utilities, air-conditioning and so on. 15 On the other hand, it was for aesthetics. This 16 17property will clearly be visible from Stone Canyon, and I also want to say that the Hillside Federation 18 represents 42 homeowner associations. 1.9 They are listed on the left side. If I had more time, I would read 20 them to you, but you don't need -- you are capable of 21 seeing those. 22 So the fact of the matter is that there are 23 hillside residents -- and I would say the majority --24 25 that would be opposed to this variance. Thank you.

78

COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Thank you. Okay. 1 We have rebuttal time unless counsel --2 COMMISSIONER FOSTER: There's nobody opposing? 3 COMMISSIONER LINNICK: No. There's -- that's 4 5 all that I have. Was there anyone -- I don't have any speaker 6 7 cards in favor of the -- or against the appeal. Okay. 8 I don't know if Mr. Bayliss -- would you like to go before or after rebuttal? 9 10 SHAWN BAYLISS: I can go now. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. Mr. Bayliss. 11 SHAWN BAYLISS: Shawn Bayliss, planning and 12 13 land use quy for Councilmember Paul Koretz' office. 14 There's definitely been a lot said here this evening. The main takeaway points that we look at -- "we" being 15 in our office -- this is an irregular-shaped lot. 16 Α lot of them are in Bel Air. It has a stream that runs 17 down it that, as it has been discussed, some properties 18 19 have. That stream is actually mandated to maintain by order of this Commission. On top of that, it also has 2021 an additional 15-foot buffer on top of it as mandated by this Commission. It runs down the entire length of 22 23 this property. Over 30 percent of the property is unusable 24 25 because of the river, the creek, the setbacks, the 79

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

1 hillside. One part of the Baseline Hillside 2 Ordinance -- something that we try to avoid is grading into the hillsides. If you were to push this home 3 back, you further tear into the hillside. I don't 4 think Mrs. Lazarof would be a fan of that, nor would 5 anybody. 6 Mr. Twining is correct. The Councilmember is 7 a staunch supporter of the preservation of that creek, 8 does not want it touched, and let the applicant know 9 loud and clear that the request for it to be moved from 10 the tract map was not a good idea. 11 Knowing the limitations that the City has 12 13 placed on this project and that a small portion, roughly 18 to 20 percent of it, reaches that 14 15 36 percent, our office feels that those findings can be made. We felt that the situation was actually similar 16 to the other one, which is why we took the route that 17 we did, and we support the applicant's request here as 18 well. 19 20 I'm more than happy to take questions, address anything you want me to talk about. 21 22 COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. Thank you. 23 SHAWN BAYLISS: Okay. 24 COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Now we are going to 25 have rebuttal from both the applicant and the

80

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

BARKLE

Court Reporter

1appellant, two minutes.Does the applicant want to2come forward and have two minutes, Mr. Dveirin?

3 MR. DVEIRIN: Thank you, Ms. Linnick. A
4 couple quick points.

First of all, the way this thing, as I pointed 5 out, goes down to the stream and the roof is the 6 same -- it's the same elevation. The hardship -- and I 7 don't know if I made this clear -- is that the 8 requirement is that you measure the height five feet 9 10 from the lowest point. It's because of that requirement that it's -- he deserves a variance 11 because, when you have to use that for the measuring 12 13 point, it only makes that one portion 50 feet. The house isn't all 50 feet, but it's the artificial 14 constraint of the measurement five feet from the lowest 15 point that makes this difficult. We shouldn't lose 16 17 sight of that.

There are -- in the ZA's determination, there 18 19 are a list of properties that have similar variances that have been granted to numerous properties that 20 21 surround us. We are not asking for anything that other 22 people don't normally get when they are developing on this type of property. As I said to you when I was up 23 24 here, the problem is -- this is no different than when 25 I represented the Groman [ph] brothers, who were

81

building on the last lot at some fancy tract up on Mulholland. And all of the neighbors came out because they wanted to look over their lot. When you're last, you hardly ever get the same modifications and variances that your neighbors have.

6 There are no other properties that I'm aware 7 of that are under this type of constraint that have to 8 measure under this ordinance, with this sort of 16-foot 9 differential, and then pay the price for the entire 10 house. That's what makes this stand out. That's why 11 it's difficult. That's why you should support the 12 variance and deny the appeal.

My understanding about the hillside group, they have no jurisdiction here. I don't believe the gentleman who spoke to you is actually on that board. I don't fully understand why they're here. The Mansionization Ordinance, my understanding, doesn't apply here.

19 If you want to talk mansionization, let's go
20 to my neighborhood just south of the Mormon temple.
21 I've got a mansionization problem. I've got big houses
22 next to my little house on Holmby. That's not what
23 this is about, but I understand what she's talking
24 about.

25

I think Mr. Bayliss is correct. This was

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

BARKLEY

Court Reporters

imposed on us. We are happy to deal with it, but it 1 does constrain this property. And with that, I'd ask 2 that you support the variance, deny the appeal, and 3 affirm the zoning administrator's very detailed and 4 dedicated work. Thank you. 5 COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Thank you. Any 6 7 questions? No. Okay. Mr. Marmon, two minutes. Name - 8 for the record, please. COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Don't touch it. 9 My name is Victor Marmon. 10 MR. MARMON: Do I have to give my office address, 11 et cetera? 12 13 COMMISSIONER LINNICK: No. That's fine. MR. MARMON: Okay. First, measuring five feet 14 out from the perimeter of the property is not a 15 It's the law. It's been the law since 1993 16 hardship. 17 with the original hillside ordinance. It is the law today. 18 Second, measuring from that point is not 19 the -- the way the building envelope works. 20 The building envelope goes up the grade along with the 21 property. You can keep your 36-foot height if you move 22 23 up the grade and terrace your house. 24 There was some discussion about how much 25 grading was done at the property. I have a letter from

83

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

BARKLEY Court Reporters

1	Mr. Tokunaga, granting a waiver of a tract map, where
2	he states that the previous approved amounts were
3	17,430 cubic yards of cut, 494,000 [sic] cubic yards of
4	fill, and 2,936 feet of export. The
5	Mr. Dveirin talked about the house having a
6	flat roof. Think about it. A flat roof, the limit is
7	30 feet, not 36 feet. You are talking about a 20-foot
8	variance, a 50-foot variance instead of a 30-foot
9	house.
10	Again, Mr. Dveirin talked about the pad being
11	below. The pad is above street level. He talked so
12	I'm not sure if it was Mr. Dveirin or someone else
13	talked about preservation was imposed on us. It was
14	part of the conditions of a four-lot subdivision. It
15	was agreed to voluntarily when the map was recorded.
16	This is not an imposition. It was a voluntary
17	agreement.
18	And, then, there was reference to the Baseline
19	Mansionization Ordinance. I think that was a mistaken
20	reference. We all know it was the Baseline Hillside
21	Ordinance.
22	And, finally, there is no additional 15-foot
23	buffer. There is a stream which you can't go
24	affect, and then there's a 10-foot vegetation buffer.
25	There is no 15-foot buffer.

84

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

BARKLEY Court Reportors

Thank you very much. Thank you for your time 1 2 on this. I know you spent a lot of time on it. Thanks. 3 COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Thank you. 4 Okay. Does anyone have any questions for 5 6 anyone? 7 Okay. We are going to close the public hearing and begin deliberations. 8 COMMISSIONER HALPER: Commissioner Halper. 9 Ιf 10 I may start. I am always reluctant to oppose a 11 councilman's presentation from a council district. They are the elected official, and I respect them 12 13 greatly. I also am -- would like to satisfy the needs 14 of a homeowner to build what he wants. 15 And I do resent the implication that 16 Mr. Tokunaga is being acted on in a political manner 17 because I believe he's a distinguished professional. However, I don't believe that the findings were made in 18 19 a manner which satisfy me. I think they were fairly weak, and for that reason, I have reservations about 20 21 the project. 22 COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Commissioner Donovan. 23 I haven't heard any facts in this case that differentiate from our ruling in the Stone -- in the 24 25 Stone Canyon case, and I agree with

85

BARKLE Court Reparters

Commissioner Halper. It is with great reluctance that 1 I disagree with a distinguished councilmember and 2 frankly with anybody from the Planning Department, and 3 I do that with the utmost respect. And I cast no 4 aspersions whatsoever on the planning staff or 5 Mr. Tokunaga at all, whatsoever, for his findings. 6 He calls them as he sees them. That's the way I see it, 7 but I just see them differently in this case. 8

COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Commissioner Foster. 9 Ι agree with what's been said by Commissioner Halper and 10 11 Commissioner Donovan. I have seen nothing that changes 12the conditions. I see no reason why this house 13 couldn't have been -- knowing the owner had the home since we made the ruling -- I think it was 2006 --14 15 there's, to me, no real reason that this home couldn't 16 have been designed with the ordinance in mind and follow the rules. 17

18 It's still a very big piece of property, and I 19 think the stream is an asset to the property, not a 20 liability. The stream makes for a natural beauty, and I think Stone Canyon is one of the most beautiful 21 streets in our city. I've spent all my life living in 22 23 Westwood, and I used to jog up Stone Canyon. I know it 24 well, and it is beautiful. And a house that size with a flat roof -- and I believe a flat roof is supposed to 25

86

1	be 30 feet, not 36 feet according to the ordinance I
2	just I can't see that it couldn't be built in a way
3	that would allow the ordinance to be followed. It
4	doesn't I can't make the findings either. I looked
5	at the findings, and I think the findings are very
6	difficult for me to make to justify this.
7	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Commissioner Linnick.
8	I think I agree with everything that's been said, and I
9	think we've both the architect for the applicant and
10	the architect for the appellant have said that there
11	are other alternatives for this same property. So I
12	think that also, sort of, adds to my thoughts about the
13	fact that, you know, something else could be done.
14	I agree about the stream. And then, also, you
15	know, talking about the fact that it would that, you
16	know, this is a very large property and something very
17	estate-like I think the discussion from applicant's
18	lawyer was that you know, it needs to be something
19	like everyone else has, a big estate, and it sounds
20	like you can still do that, and it's a large, wonderful
21	property. And I think it could be you know, it
22	could be with more in keeping with the character of
23	the neighborhood. So that's all I have to add, I
24	think.
25	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Commissioner Donovan.

87

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

BARKLEY Court Réporters

1 I'm prepared to make a motion to -- on this case with 2 the recognition and the cognizance that we have a court reporter here. We have another companion case in 3 litigation right now, and so I have some detailed 4 findings to make, and I apologize for --5 COMMISSIONER LINNICK: 6 Okav. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: -- the length of it. 7 But I would -- my motion would be to grant the appeal, 8 and the findings that I would make, this, as concerns 9 10 the variance, would be as follows. And I will do the best I can and get it all together along with my notes, 11 and I can make this available to staff in the next 12 couple of days so that you will have something to look 13 at. but --14 15 In this particular case, you have to make five 16 findings in order to grant a variance, and in this 17 particular case, I don't think the applicant can make a 18 single one of these findings as he -- but even if you 19 made four of them and you couldn't make the fifth one, 20 you couldn't get a variance. So -- and this will be 21 pursuant to L.A. Municipal Code Section 12.27-D. The 22 first finding you have to make is that it would make a strict application of the provisions of the zoning 23 24 ordinance will not result in practical difficulties or

25 unnecessary hardships inconsistent with the general

88

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

BARKLEY Cobrt Reporters 1 purposes and intent of the zoning regulations.

We saw from the report and from the transcript 2 of the hearing before the zoning administrator that the 3 applicant said the variance request is only to allow 4 additional height so the proposed residence can have a 5 consistent roof line for the entire home. Due to the 6 7 varying elevations at the site -- that's on page 13, the second full paragraph -- the case is Committee To 8 Save Hollywoodland v. City of Los Angeles. It is 2008, 9 61 Cal. App. 4th, 1168, and Zakessian v. City 10 of Sausalito, 1972, 28 Cal. App. 3rd, 794 -- mandate 11 12 that hardships must be substantial.

There are no practical difficulties or 13 14 unnecessary hardships in designing and building a house with a variance on this property, and the denial of a 15 variance will not prevent the applicant from designing 16 17 and building such a house that would be comparable to others in the neighborhood. The evidence to support 18 19 this would be the September 24th, '13 report of 20 David Applebaum, which is attached as Exhibit C to the January 6 -- January 2014 letter from the Marmon law 21 offices and testimony of Jon Perica as stated on 22 page 53 of the transcript of the September 25, 2013, 23 24 hearing. There's also testimony and letters submitted 25 to the ZA by Edgar Khalatian, Victor Marmon,

89

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

BARKLEY

Court Reporters

Michael Piszker, Jon Perica and Janice Lazarof. So I
 would incorporate the evidence referenced in the Marmon
 law offices January 6th of 2014 letters as though fully
 set forth.

5 I would also incorporate the testimony 6 evidence submitted at this hearing as though fully set 7 forth.

Additionally, there was no competent evidence submitted by applicant to the effect that applicant could not build and design a house comparable to his neighbors' homes without a variance. This application for a variance is essentially for subjective reasons.

The second finding that you have to make before you can grant a variance is that -- has to do with special circumstances, and in this case, there are no special circumstances applicable to the subject property such as size, shape, topography, location, or surroundings that do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

20 Committee To Save Hollywoodland required --21 that case requires that special circumstances 22 pertaining to the property must be such that the 23 property is distinct in character from comparable 24 nearby properties. We have received substantial 25 evidence that this is not the only property in the

90

BARKLEY

Court Reporters

vicinity that has a stream running through it. This is
 not the only property in the vicinity with varying
 elevations. The general topography of this property is
 essentially the same as the surrounding property, which
 Stone Canyon Creek also runs through.

6 There are no special circumstances that 7 prevent applicant from designing and building an estate 8 home without a variance. The same evidence to support 9 this is the same evidence I just cited for Finding 10 No. 1, and it also includes the testimony we heard 11 today.

The third finding that you have to make is 12 that regarding the preservation and enjoyment of a 13 14 substantial property right or use generally possessed by other property. In this case, the variance is not 15 necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of a 16 substantial property right or use generally possessed 17 by other property in the same zone and vicinity but 18 19 which, because of the special circumstances and practical difficulties or unnecessary hardships, is 20 denied to the property in question. 21

No special circumstances have been demonstrated for the same reasons in the other findings. No practical difficulties or unnecessary hardships have been demonstrated. The property can be

91

BARKLEY Court Reporters built upon and used similarly as other properties in
 the vicinity.
 There are no nearby properties in the vicinity

4 with the same zoning that have received a height 5 variance for the same or similar reasons that are used 6 to justify the present request, and we touched on that 7 in the -- that was not really highlighted in the 8 testimony except for on the rebuttal by the applicant's 9 attorney.

I will say some of the properties --10 540 Crestline is three miles away in a different zoned 11 255 Mabery is eight miles away in a different 12 area. zoned area. 480 Bel Air, which was done in 1995, is a 13 14 quarter of a mile away and approved for only 45 feet in height. 457 Bel Air was in 2003, and it's a quarter of 15 a mile away as well, an approval for only 55 feet. So 16 those comparable properties offer no support to the 17 applicant. All of the other evidence is the same as 18 19 I've cited to No. 1.

The fourth finding has to do with material detrimental -- finding the variance to be materially detrimental to the public welfare, and the granting of this variance would be materially detrimental to the public welfare, or injurious to the property or improvements in the same zone or vicinity in which the

92

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

BARKLEY

Court Reporters

1 property is located.

2	We have evidence before us that the granting
3	of a variance on this property will create an adverse
4	visual effect as respects neighborhood neighboring
5	properties. We've seen that in letters, and it's the
6	testimony that we received today. It will defeat
7	Granting a variance on this property will
8	defeat the purpose of the goals of the Baseline
9	Hillside Ordinance, including which includes the
10	encouraging of building terraced structures. They
11	break up the mass of the structures.
12	The granting of a variance will defeat the
13	purpose of the BHO also in that, under Policy 1-3.3,
14	it's to preserve existing views in hillside areas.
15	There's nothing in there about obstructing. It's
16	supposed to be preserve existing views.
17	Finally, the granting of a variance on this
18	property will and is likely to have a precedential
19	effect. It would essentially raise the general height
20	limit on the neighborhood because anybody could come in
21	and say, "I want a higher structure now." The same
22	evidence that I used before, on the other ones, would
23	be applicable here.
24	The fifth and last one is the granting
25	finding would be the granting of the variance will

93

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

BARKLEY Court Reporters

adversely affect elements of the General Plan. The 1 Bel Air-Beverly Crest Community Plan purposes include 2 preserving and enhancing the positive characteristics 3 of existing residential neighborhoods; preserving and 4 enhancing the positive characteristics of existing 5 uses, which provide the foundation for community 6 identity, identity such as scale, height, bulk, 7 setbacks, and appearances; and the land use policies in 8 the Community Plan there speak to the intensity, that 9 the land use should be limited in accordance; the 10 compatibility of the proposed development with existing 11 adjacent development; and the design should minimize 12 adverse visual impact on neighboring single-family 13 uses. The proposed --14

15 It will adversely affect the existing 16 neighborhood. The proposed height is excessive and not compatible with existing uses and appearances. 17 It does not minimize the adverse visual effect on neighboring 18 uses, and most importantly of all, it's likely to set a 19 precedent that will adversely affect the positive 20 characteristics of the neighborhood, and for all of the 21 22 other reasons that I have found, it applied to the BHO 23 as stated in No. 4.

Finally, the granting of this variance will operate to grant a special privilege and permit a use

94

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

BARKLE Court Repostar

substantially inconsistent with the limitations upon
 other properties in the same zone and vicinity.

We have no evidence, nor has any been 3 asserted, that another property has received a height 4 variance in the nearby vicinity under the same set of 5 circumstances and facts for the same reason, and 6 there's no evidence submitted by the applicant that he 7 could not design and build an estate home comparable to 8 his neighboring -- neighbors' homes without a variance. 9 This application for a variance is essentially for 10 11 aesthetic reasons, also for the same evidence.

The other finding that I would additionally make, the need for a height variance is self-imposed by the applicant. This need is for aesthetic purposes only. A house -- a home can be designed that is aesthetically pleasing without a variance and for the same facts that I cited in the other ones.

Also, I will reference the Stone Canyon matter 18 because it was the same -- it's the same applicant. 19 The initial hearing was at the same time. 20 The properties are right next to each other. There's 21 evidence that it's substantially the same, and at that 22 point, the representative for the applicant said, yeah, 23 they wanted a higher roof because -- you know, for 24 aesthetic reasons. That was -- and I would incorporate 25

95

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

BARKLEY

Court Reporter:

1	the testimony from that hearing as well.
2	The final thing that the second to the last
3	thing is that I would cite Orinda Association v. Board
4	of Supervisors, 1986, 182 Cal. App. 3rd, 1145, which
5	holds basically that attractiveness of design lacks
6	legal significance and is irrelevant in these kinds of
7	variance cases.
8	The last thing I would do, I would incorporate
9	the proposed findings by the appellant to the extent
10	that they are consistent with the findings that I've
11	set forth.
12	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Commissioner Foster.
13	Second.
14	RANDA HANNA: Commissioner Donovan?
15	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Aye.
16	RANDA HANNA: Commissioner Foster?
17	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Aye.
18	RANDA HANNA: Commissioner Halper?
19	COMMISSIONER HALPER: Aye.
20	RANDA HANNA: Commissioner Linnick?
21	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Aye.
22	RANDA HANNA: And the motion is carried.
23	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. Our next item is
24	public comment, and I haven't received any comment
25	cards.

96

1	RANDA HANNA: No comment cards.	
2	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: So the meeting has	
3	adjourned at 6:33.	
4	(End of proceedings at 6:33 p.m.)	
5	-000-	
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	97	
		. 1

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Court Reporters

1	COURT REPORTERS CERTIFICATE				
2					
3	STATE OF CALIFORNIA)				
4) ss. County of <u>Orange</u>)				
5					
6	I, Joanna B. Brown, hereby certify:				
7	I am a duly qualified Certified Shorthand				
8	Reporter, in the State of California, holder of				
9	Certificate Number CSR <u>8570</u> issued by the Court				
10	Reporters Board of California and which is in full force				
11	and effect.				
12	I am not financially interested in this action				
13	and am not a relative or employee of any attorney of the				
14	parties, or of any of the parties.				
15	I am the reporter that stenographically				
16	recorded the testimony in the foregoing				
17	proceeding and the foregoing transcript is a true				
18	record of the testimony given.				
19					
20	Dated: February 5, 2014				
21					
22	1- 0 0				
23	Janna B. Brown				
24					
25					
	98				

BARKLEY

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS January 15, 2014

$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	nuary 15, 2014
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	it's (8)
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	20:8;24:3;
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	43:4;80:18;
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	
$\begin{array}{c cccc} 10: 10: 36: 17; 60: 16; 7: 36: 16; 76: 16; 79: 7: 84: 2: 88: 23; 84: 3: 67: 2; 69: 11; 71: 16; 4; 4; 4: 17: 5; 12, 16; 18; 10; applied 4: 16; 10; 32: 8; 19: 59: 29; 11; 73: 9; 42: 2; 36: 11; 36: 10; 37: 22; 31: 25; 55: 15; 76: 11; 53: 14; age 6: 10; 37: 22; 31: 15; 53: 11; 31: 1; 32: 24; 34: 13; 36: 10: 10: 19: 24: 24; 11: 52: 21; 54: 25; 56: 24; 76: 20; 31: 10; 31: 10; 34: 27; 32: 32: 32: 18: 20; 19: 6; 31: 10; 34: 27; 32: 32: 32: 18: 20; 19: 6; 31: 10; 33: 22; adjourned (1); 39: 16; 84: 15; 39: 10, 11; 96: 4; 39: 10; 33: 22; adjourned (1); 39: 16; 84: 15; 39: 10, 11; 96: 4; 39: 10; 33: 12; 33: 18: 32: 19; 33: 16; 33: 12; 33: 18: 13: 19; 32: 20; 154: 16: 33: 17; 33: 18: 42: 14: 42: 27; 53: 22; 76: 3; 31: 18: 42: 14: 42: 27; 53: 32: 18: 42: 11: 42: 24; 32: 30: 19; 30: 19; 31: 16: 35: 17; 33: 18: 42: 14: 42: 17; 30: 19; 30: 19; 31: 16: 35: 17; 33: 18: 42: 14: 42: 17; 30: 19; 30: 19; 31: 16: 35: 13: 13; 39: 13; 34: 14: 14: 19; 20: 154: 14: 32: 12: 13: 38: 8: 32: 12: 13: 38: 8: 32: 17; 32: 39: 19; 31: 16: 31: 39: 23: 36: 22: 27: 63; 31: 18: 42: 14: 42: 19; 11; 31: 15; 39: 24: 46: 23; 41: 10; 25: 15: 39: 24: 46: 23; 41: 10; 11: 29; 30: 10; 30: 10; 30: 10; 31: $	21:24;37:6;
34:3 $672:69:11;71:16;$ $75:21;80:20;83:11$ $312;45;13,14;$ $analysis (13)$ $14:14$ $17:5,12,16,13;$ $12:8,19;59:23;60:7,$ $32:2;8;19;59:23;60:7,$ $32:2;53:15;76:11$ $33:22;53:15;76:11$ $33:22;53:15;76:11$ $33:22;53:15;76:11$ $33:22;53:15;76:11$ $33:22;53:15;76:11$ $33:22;53:15;76:11$ $31:64:152$ $4dds (1)$ $4dds (1)$ $9:24;21:15;25:21;54:2567:22;24;68:2;adjoining (1)39:16;84:15absolutely (1)69:18;78:4;94:1230:10*12413:741:63:51:17abuse (2)31:16;35:17abuse (1)31:16;35:17abuse (1)31:16;35:17abuse (1)31:16;35:17abuse (1)15:5;39:24;46:23;adjoining (1)39:16;84:15abuse (1)31:16;35:17abuse (1)31:16;35:17abuse (1)31:16;35:17abuse (1)31:16;35:17abuse (1)31:16;35:17abuse (1)31:16;35:17adjustment (1)4dministrator (13)11:5;39:24;46:23;30:19Administrator (13)11:5;39:24;46:23;31:16;35:17adjustment (1)39:16;84:1530:23;62:22;76:3, 35:18;42:1;45:8; 10:2,1;32:23;62:22;76:3, 35:18;42:1;45:8; 10:2,1;32:23;62:22;76:3, 35:18;42:1;45:8; 10:2,1;32:23;62:22;76:3, 35:18;42:1;45:8; 10:2,1;32:23;62:22;76:3, 35:18;42:1;45:8; 10:2,1;32:23;62:22;76:3, 35:18;42:1;45:8; 10:2,1;32:23;62:22;76:3, 35:18;42:1;45:8; 10:2,1;32:23;62:22;76:3, 35:18;42:1;45:8; 10:2,1;31:1532:23;62:22;76:3, 35:18;42:1;45:8; 10:2,1;32:23;62:22;76:3, 35:18;42:1;45:8; 10:2,1;32:23;62:22;76:3, 35:18;42:1;45:8; 10:2,1;32:23;62:22;76:3, 35:18;42:1;45:8; 10:2,1;32:23;62:22;76:3, 35:18;42:1;45:8; 10:2,1;32:23;62:22;76:3, 35:18;42:1;45:8; 10:2,1;32:23;62:22;76:3, 35:18;42:1;45:8; 10:2,1;32:23;62:22;76:3, 35:18;42:1;45:8; 10:2,1;32:23;62:22;76:3, 35:18;42:1;45:8; 10:2,1;$	90:11;95:10
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	
sole (3) 33:22:53:15;76:11 above (4)57:18 adresses (1) 53:14 adds (1) $3:17,29:211,73:9;agendize (1)ar,15:22:211,73:9;agendize (1)ar,15:22:211,73:9;agendize (1)ar,15:22:211,73:9;agendize (1)ar,11:25:212;adjacent (9)astolute (1)3:1,12,21:3,12,21:1,21:3,12,21:3,12,21:1,21:3,12,21:3,12,21:1,21:3,12,21:1,21:3,12,21:1,21:3,12,21:1,21:3,12,21:1,21:3,12,21:1,21:3,12:3,12$	
able (3) $33:22;33:15;76:11$ addresses (1) $53:14$ adds (1) $6:10$ Angeles (5) $31:52:22;32:15:76:11$ applit (7) $31:52:22:13:73:9;$ $31:20:11:52:52:12;$ $54:25:56:24;76:20$ $54:25:62:4;76:20$ $67:22;24:68:2;$ $agree (6)Angeles (5)31:1appresize31:184:119:24;21:15;25:21;54:25:56:24;76:2067:22;24:68:2;adjoining (1)85:10;87:8:49:41237:22;53:2;85:25;37:22;53:2;85:25;agree (6)31:16;35:17abuse (2)31:16;35:17abuse (1)31:16;35:17adjustment (1)abuse (2)30:19Administrator (13)18:4abusive (1)57:14,17;67:6,8,21;32:23;62:22;76:3,31:16;38:17administrator's (3)94:10according (1)adopted (1)31:1531:1532:13,1531:16;2532:13,1531:16;25,117;22;32:23;62:22;76:3,31:16;38:139:2015:4;18:4;21;45:8;10:1;12:2;31:2031:16;38:139:2015:4;18:4;21;45:8;10:2,17;18:2031:16;38:139:2011:11;22;31:5;14;4;31:25;22,27:6;3,368:8;8;52:17;52:12;31:5;14;4;31:2631:16;14;17:27;20;20;79:17;66:24;73:11;79:7;15:11;32:23;62:22;76:3,30:16;48:152;147:25;32:23;62:22;76:3,30:16;48:152;147:25;32:23;62:22;76:3,30:16;48:152;147:25;32:23;62:22;76:3,30:16;48:153;16:49:17;70;78:21;32:20;14:20;17;70;78:21;32:20;21;15:20;17;720;20;79:17;66:24;73:11;79:7;10:16;22:10;21;7;78:2039:21;61:1931:24according (1)32:41;48:42;145:8;197:10;31:2432:41;48:42;161;7;32:41;48:42;161;7;31:2431:2431:2431:2431:2431:2431:2431:2431:2431:2431:2431:2431:2031:2431:2431:2431:2431:24$	(I)
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	`
above (4) adds (1) 71:2 89:9 49:9,1 11:8;32:24;34:13; 87:12 ago (6) announcements (1) apprecia absolute (1) 9:24;21:15;25:21; 54:25;56:24;76:20 APC (3) appropr 54:25 69:18;78:49:41:2 37:22;53:2;85:25; apologize (1) appropr sbsolute (1) 69:18;78:49:41:2 37:22;53:2;85:25; apologize (1) approva 33:10 adjourned (1) 39:16;84:15 apologize (1) approva 31:16;35:17 adjourned (1) 39:16;84:15 89:10,11:96:4 92:16 abuse (2) 97:3 agreement (1) appeal (24) approva 30:19 Administrator (13) 84:17 52:5(5:2,5;9:16, 50:23 30:19 18:4 16:5;39:24;46:23; Air (10) 25:12;3:16;14; approva 30:19 68:8;69:1;70:6;78:2; 92:13,15 35:18;42;145:8; 10:2;1 35:18;42;145:8; 10:2;1 64:22 42:2;70:5;83:4 94:2 6:6;12:17;18:20 approvi accordance (1) adopted (1) allow (5) appearances (2) 17:3;4 <t< td=""><td></td></t<>	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	9:21;42:21;
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	0;82:18;90:18
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	
allowind (1)adjoining (1) $86:10;87:8,14$ $88:5$ $4:23:9$ $abose (1)$ $13:7$ $agreed (2)$ $App (3)$ $13:5:22$ $33:22$ $adjourned (1)$ $ggreed (2)$ $app (3)$ $13:5:22$ $abuse (2)$ $gjourned (1)$ $ggreement (1)$ $appeal (24)$ $approva$ $abuse (1)$ $18:4$ $ahead (2)$ $18,18;10:1;11:22;$ $approva$ $abuse (1)$ $15:4;39:24;46:23;$ $Air (10)$ $25:12;31:6,14;$ $approva$ $30:19$ $Administrator (13)$ $18:1;59:20$ $15:4;18:4,22;19:11;$ $5:1;13$ $abusive (1)$ $15:5;39:24;46:23;$ $Air (10)$ $25:12;31:6,14;$ $approva$ $71:9$ $57:14,17;67;6,8,21;$ $32:23;62:22;76:3,$ $35:18;42:1;45:8;$ $10:2,1;$ $accest (1)$ $68:8;69:1;7:0;67:82;$ $42:2;70:20;79:17;$ $66:24;73:11;79:7;$ $15:11;$ $access (1)$ $administrator's (3)$ $Air-Beverly (1)$ $appear(1)$ $approvi$ $64:22$ $42:2;70:5;83:4$ $94:2$ $6:6;12:17;18:20$ $approvi$ $according (1)$ $adopted (1)$ $allow (5)$ $appearance (1)$ $10:5;2$ $according (1)$ $adopted (1)$ $87:3;89:4$ $appearance (2)$ $17:3;4$ $according (1)$ $adopted (2)$ $9:21;11:21;62:1;$ $appearance (2)$ $17:3;4$ $according (1)$ $adopted (3)$ $ggreenee (3)$ $allowing (1)$ $appearance (1)$ $10:5;2$ $according (1)$ $advance (2)$ $9:21;11:21;62:1;$ $76:6$ $63:8,8$ $according (1)$ </td <td></td>	
68:7adjoining (1) $86:10,87:8,14$ $88:5$ $4:23:9$ absorb (1)13:7agreed (2)App (3)13:52 $33:22$ adjourned (1) $39:16,84:15$ $89:10,11;96:4$ $92:16$ abuse (2) $97:3$ agreement (1) $appeal (24)$ $approva$ $31:16;35:17$ adjustment (1) $84:17$ $5:25,6:2,59:16$, $50:23$ $abuse (1)$ $15:5;39:24;46:23;$ $ahead (2)$ $18,18;10:1;11:22;$ $approva$ $30:19$ Administrator (13) $15:5;39:24;46:23;$ $Air (10)$ $25:12;31:6,14;$ $approve$ $accept (1)$ $68:8;69:1;70:6;78:2;$ $42:2;70:2,0;79:17;$ $66:24;73:11;79:7;$ $15:1;13$ $access (1)$ $administrator's (3)$ $42:2;70:2,0;79:17;$ $66:24;73:11;79:7;$ $15:1;13$ $accordance (1)$ $4doted (1)$ $air-conditioning (1)$ $appearled (3)$ $approvin$ $according (1)$ $adopted (1)$ $81:35$ $appearance (1)$ $31:8$ $94:10$ $adopted (1)$ $81:36:42:1;47:25;$ $appearance (1)$ $10:5;2$ $according (1)$ $adopted (1)$ $81:36:42:1;7:25;$ $appearance (1)$ $10:5;2$ $87:1$ $adoptee (3)$ $allowed (4)$ $appearance (2)$ $17:3;4$ $54:10$ $advance (2)$ $9:21;1:1:21:62:1;$ $appearled (1)$ $25:60;$ $65:4;71:25$ $advance (3)$ $allowing (1)$ $appearled (1)$ $25:66;$ $65:4;71:25$ $advance (3)$ $allowing (1)$ $appearled (1)$ $25:40;$ $7:20$ $94:1;1,520$ $39:21;61$	l (7)
absorb (1)13:7 adjourned (1)agreed (2) $33:22$ App (3) $39:16;84:15$ $appeal (24)$ $appeal (24)$ $apperoveapproveapproveapprove11:6;35:17abuse (1)13:5:2adjustment (1)84:17at adjustment (1)84:1784:1784:1784:1715:45;65:25;62:2;59:16,15:45;18:42:2;19:11;15:45;18:42:2;19:11;5:113approve15:5;39:24:46:23;15:45;39:24:46:23;15:45;39:24:46:23;15:45;39:24:46:23;15:45;39:24:46:23;15:45;39:24:46:23;15:45;39:24:46:23;15:45;39:24:46:23;15:45;39:24:46:23;15:45;39:24:46:23;15:45;39:24:46:23;15:42;31:6,14;approve32:13;1582:12;83:3;88:882:1182:12;83:3;88:882:1182:12,83:3;88:882:12;11:12;16;21;31:15adoption (1)87:3;89:4allowed (4)94:294:8,1794:8,1794:8,1794:8,1794:8,1794:8,1794:8,1794:8,1794:8,1794:8,1794:8,1794:8,1794:8,1794:8,1794:8,1794:1194:294:1115:4;14;14;14;12;12:1;12;16;21;39:3;94:13,1818:417:4;22:17;29:4;17:4;22:17;29:4;17:4;22:17;29:4;17:4;22:17;29:4;13:20Appendiat (7)13:20Appendiat (3)13:1513:14,16;54:23;Appendiat (3)13:14,16;54:23;13:1513:12414:1412:23;57:1113:24,14;15;20$:17;10:14;
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	8:22;58:5;
abuse (2) $31:16;35:17$ 97:3 adjustment (1)apreement (1) $84:17$ appeal (24) $84:17$ appeal (24) $84:17$ appeal (24) $84:17$ appeal (24) $5:25;6:2;59:16,$ $50:23$ $50:23$ approve $50:23$ abused (1) $30:19$ Administrator (13) $15:5;39:24:46:23;$ $84:17$ $15:5;39:24:46:23;$ $84:17$ $31:15;39:20$ $15:4;18:4,22;19:11;$ $5:4;18:4,22;19:11;$ $5:4;18:4,22;19:11;$ $5:1;1332:23;62:22;76:3,35:18;42:1;45:8;10:2,1;32:3;88:810:2,1;32:23;62:22;76:3,35:18;42:1;45:8;10:2,1;35:18;42:1;45:8;10:2,1;10:2,1;35:18;42:1;45:8;10:2,1;10:2,1;35:18;42:1;45:8;10:2,1;10:2,1;35:18;42:1;45:8;10:2,1;10:2,1;35:18;42:1;45:8;10:2,1;7;18:2010:2,1;7;18:2011:2;13:3;88:810:2,1;11:15;13;18;2010:2,1;11:15;23;23;18:14;14;14;14;14;14;14;14;14;14;14;14;14;1$	
ali:16;35:17 adjustment (1) 84:17 5:25;6:2,5;9:16, 50:23 abused (1) 18:4 ahead (2) 18,18;10:1;11:22; approve 30:19 15:5;39:24;46:23; 18:159:20 15:4;18:4,22;19:11; 5:1:13 abusei (1) 15:5;39:24;46:23; Air (10) 25:12;31:6,14; approve accept (1) 68:8;69:1;70:6;78:2; 32:23;62:22;76:3, 35:18;42:1;45:8; 10:2;17; 6:12 89:3 92:13,15 82:12;83:3;88:8 52:17; accordance (1) administrator's (3) Air-Beverly (1) appealed (3) 92:14 94:10 adoption (1) atr-conditioning (1) appearance (1) 10:5;2 87:1 adoption (1) 87:3;89:4 appearance (1) 10:5;2 94:10 adoption (1) 87:3;89:4 appearance (1) 10:5;2 87:1 adoption (1) 87:3;89:4 appearance (1) 10:5;2 94:10 advance (2) 9:21;11:21;62:1; appearance (2) 17:3;4 94:10 adoption (1) 87:3;89:4 appearance (1) 10:5;2 87:1 adverse (3) allowi	ls (1)
abused (1) 30:1918:4ahead (2) $18,18;10:1;11:22;$ 15:4;18:4,22;19:11;approve 51:13abusei (1) 71:915:5;39:24;46:23; 57:14,17;67:6,8,21; 68:8;69:17:0:6;78:2; 61:2Air (10) 25:12;31:6,14; 32:23;62:22;76:3, 35:18;42:1;45:8, 10:2,125:12;31:6,14; 3pprove 25:12;31:6,14; 35:18;42:1;45:8, 10:2,1accept (1) 6:1268:8;69:1;70:6;78:2; 48:9:3 $42:2;77:20,20;79:17;92:13,1566:24;73:11;79:7;82:12;83:3;88:842:2;70:5;83:431:15appeared (3)92:146;6;12:17;18:20appear(1)accordance (1)94:1046:646:678:15allow (5)appear(1)31:831:15allow (4)according (1)87:134:2031:1510:9;45:1;47:25;76:2476:2476:4appearance (1)10:5;276:410:9;45:1;47:25;76:411:1210:5;25:333ppearance (2)31:15accorate (2)65:4;71:25atcross (1)27:20advance (2)9:3:3:94:13,1814:1414:1412:23;77:139:21;61:1939:3:94:13,1818:4appellant (7)39:3:94:13,1818:4actor (1)77:177:177:1229:4;62:8,927:19;22:17,23;89:2013acted (1)31:2445:6,8,10;66:7,8,10,45:64;10;46:7,8,10,13:20applicant (37)37:14,16;54:23;actual (1)13:2045:6,49:51:1,42:12;10:21,22;37:14,16;54:23;10:16;22:10;23:1,45:11,42:12;23,7;14:14;37:14,16;54:23;$	
abilitiesAdministrator (13) $18:1;59:20$ $15:4;18:4,22;19:11;$ $5:1;13$ abusive (1) $15:5;39:24;46:23;$ Air (10) $25:12;31:6,14;$ approve $71:9$ $57:14,17;67:6,8,21;$ $32:23;62:22;76:3,$ $35:18;42:1;45:8;$ $10:2,1;$ accept (1) $68:8;69:1;70:6;78:2;$ $4,21;77:20,20;79:17;$ $66:24;73:11;79:7;$ $15:11;$ $6:12$ $89:3$ $92:13,15$ $82:12;83:3;88:8$ $52:17;$ access (1)administrator's (3)Air-Beverly (1)appcaled (3) $92:14$ $64:22$ $42:2;70:5;83:4$ $94:2$ $6:6;12:17;18:20$ approvinaccording (1)adopted (1)allow (5)appearance (1) $31:8$ $9:11$ $34:20$ $10:9;45:1;47:25;$ $76:24$ architectaccontis (1)adoption (1) $87:3;89:4$ appearances (2) $17:3,4$ $54:11$ $31:15$ allowed (4) $94:8,17$ $49:6;5$ accrast (2) $advance (2)$ $9:21;11:21;62:1;$ $appeared (1)$ $25:60:$ $65:4;71:25$ $4:16,17$ $73:8$ $76:6$ $63:8,8$ across (1) $adverse (3)$ $allowing (1)$ $appellant (7)$ $87:9,1$ $77:20$ $94:1,15,20$ $39:21;61:19$ $96:9$ $Area (10)$ $77:1,18$ $14:14$ $12:23;57:1$ $29:4;62:8,9$ $27:19;$ $across (1)$ $adverse(16)$ $allow (2)$ $36:14;81:1;87:10;$ $77:1$ $77:20$ $42:4;8;77:16;17;$ $9:11$ $22:17,23;89:20$ $13:58:17;$ $acrost (1)$ $advers$	(4)
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $:2,2;41:2
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	
$\begin{array}{c cccc} accept (1) & 68:8;69:1;70:6;78:2; \\ 6:12 & 89:3 & 92:13,15 & 82:12;83:3;88:8 & 52:17; \\ access (1) & administrator's (3) & Air-Beverly (1) & appealed (3) & 92:14 \\ 64:22 & 42:2;70:5;83:4 & 94:2 & 6:6;12:17;18:20 & approvin \\ accordance (1) & 46:6 & 78:15 & 52:3 & approvin \\ according (1) & adopted (1) & allow (5) & appearance (1) & 10:5;2 \\ according (1) & adoption (1) & 87:3;89:4 & appearances (2) & 17:3,4 \\ 54:11 & 31:15 & allowed (4) & 94:8,17 & 49:6;5 \\ accurate (2) & advance (2) & 9:21;11:21;62:1; & appeared (1) & 25;60: \\ 65:4;71:25 & 4:16,17 & 73:8 & 76:6 & 63:8,8 \\ acres (1) & adversely (3) & allowing (1) & appeared (1) & 25;60: \\ 65:4;71:25 & 4:16,17 & 73:8 & 76:6 & 63:8,8 \\ acres (1) & adversely (3) & allowing (1) & appeared (1) & 25;60: \\ 77:1 & 34:20 & 39:21;61:19 & 96:9 & Area (10 \\ Act (2) & Advisory (1) & almost (2) & 39:21;61:19 & 96:9 & Area (10 \\ Act (2) & Advisory (1) & almost (2) & appellant's (3) & 3:5;11 \\ 71:1,8 & 14:14 & 12:23;57:1 & 29:4;62:8,9 & 27:19; \\ action (1) & 45:68,10;46:7,8,10, \\ 31:24 & 12;63:5;66:4;95:11, & 4:21;10:21;22; & 26:3;90:16;93:23 & 25:2; \\ actual (1) & 14:25 & 11:12;12:3,7;14:14; \\ applicant (37) & arguing \\ 13:20 & aesthetically (3) & 37:14,16;54:23; & 10:16;22:10;23:1, & 49:11; \\ \end{array}$	
accept (1)89:392:13,1582:12,83:3,88:852:17;access (1)administrator's (3)Air-Beverly (1)appealed (3)92:14accordance (1)admitted (1)air-conditioning (1)appear (1)31:894:1046:678:15appear (1)31:8according (1)adopted (1)allow (5)appearance (1)10:5;287:134:2010:9;45:1;47:25;76:24architeciaccounts (1)adoption (1)87:3;89:4appearances (2)17:3,454:1131:15allowed (4)94:8,1749:6;5accurate (2)advance (2)9:21;11:21;62:1;appeared (1)25;60:65:4;71:254:16,1773:876:663:8,8across (1)93:3;94:13,18allowing (1)appellant (7)87:9,161:159:3;94:13,1818:417:4;22:17;29:4;architectacross (1)94:1,15,2039:21;61:1996:9Area (10Act (2)Advisory (1)almost (2)appellant's (3)3:5;1171:1,814:1412:23;57:129:4;62:8,927:19;acted (1)22:4;63:5;66:4;95:11,9:1122:17,23;89:2013action (1)45:6,8,10;46:7,8,10,along (14)applicant (37)areas (2)31:2412;63:5;66:4;95:11,41:12;12:3,7;14:14;applicant (37)areas (2)31:20aesthetically (3)37:14,16;54:23;10:16;22:10;23:1,49:11;	17:24;33:8;
0.12 access (1) $64:22$ administrator's (3) $42:2;70:5;83:4$ admitted (1) $46:6$ Air-Beverly (1) $94:2$ appealed (3) $66;12:17;18:20$ appear (1) $92:14$ appear (1) $94:10$ admitted (1) $46:6$ air-conditioning (1) $78:15$ appear (1) $52:3$ appear (1) $31:8$ appear (1) $94:10$ adopted (1) $46:6$ allow (5) $87:15$ appear (1) $52:3$ $31:8$ appear (1) $according (1)$ $87:1$ adopted (1) $31:15$ allow (5) $87:3;89:4$ appearance (1) $94:8,17$ $10:5;2$ architect appeared (1) $25:40$ $54:11$ $31:15$ $31:15$ allowed (4) $9:21;11:21;62:1;$ $73:8$ $appeared (1)$ $94:8,17$ $25;60$ $25;60$ $accers (1)$ $65:4;71:25$ $adverse (3)$ $41:6,17$ $93:3;94:13,18$ $allowing (1)$ $18:4$ $appellant (7)$ $17:4;22:17;29:4;36:14;81:1;87:10;77:1across (1)27:2094:1,15,2094:1,15,2039:21;61:1939:21;61:1996:996:9Act (2)Act (2)Advisory (1)44:48;27:16,17;9:11appellant's (3)22:17,23;89:201335:1122:17,23;89:2013action (1)31:2424:4,8;27:16,17;12:63:5,66:4;95:11,42:4,8;27:16,17;9:11appicalet (3)22:17,23;89:2013action (1)31:2412;63:5,66:4;95:11,12:63:5,66:4;95:11,4:22;10:21,22;20:3;90:16;93:2325:2,9appicant (37)22:17,23;81,49:11;action (1)31:20aesthetically (3)37:14,16;54:23;37:14,16;54:23;appicant (37)61:22;84:2;$	61:22;84:2;
accord 64:22 $42:2;70:5;83:4$ $94:2$ $6:6;12:17;18:20$ appear according (1)admitted (1) $46:6$ $air-conditioning (1)78:15appear (1)52:331:8appearance (1)94:10adopted (1)46:6allow (5)10:9;45:1;47:25;appearance (1)78:1510:5;23ppearance (1)10:5;2architeceaccording (1)87:1adoption (1)31:15allow (5)11:5appearance (2)9:21;11:21;62:1;76:24appearances (2)17:3,449:6;5accurate (2)65:4;71:25advance (2)4:16,179:21;11:21;62:1;73:8appearance (1)9:21;11:21;62:1;25;60:76:6across (1)27:20adverse (3)94:1,15,20allowing (1)39:3;94:13,1818:417:4;22:17;29:4;39:21;61:19architece36:14;81:1;87:10;96:9Act (2)71:1,8Advisory (1)14:14almost (2)29:4;62:8,93:5;1129:4;62:8,93:5;1122:17,23;89:20actood (1)31:2424:4,8;27:16,17;12;63:5;66:4;95:11,14:25along (14)11:12;12:3,7;14:14;11:12;12:3,7;14:14;applicaht (3)areas (2)26:3;90:16;93:2325:2,9actual (1)13:20aesthetically (3)37:14,16;54:23;10:16;22:10;23:1,49:11;$	01,22,07.2,
accordance (1) 94:10admitted (1) 46:6air-conditioning (1) 78:15appear (1) 52:3 $31:8$ 3pproxin appearance (1)according (1) 87:1adopted (1) 34:20allow (5) 10:9;45:1;47:25;appearance (1) 76:24 $10:5;2$ architect architect appearances (2) $10:5;2$ architect architect appearances (2) $10:5;2$ architect appearances (2) $10:5;2$ architect appearances (2) $10:5;2$ architect appearances (2) $10:5;2$ architect appearances (2) $10:5;2$ architect appearances (2) $10:5;2$ architect appearances (2) $17:3,4$ 49:6;5accounts (1) 65:4;71:25advance (2) 4:16,17 $9:21;11:21;62:1;$ 73:8 $appearances (2)$ $9:21;11:21;62:1;appeared (1)25;60:63:8,8acres (1)adverse (3)93:3;94:13,18allowing (1)18:4appellant (7)9:12;22:17;29:4;39:21;61:19architect36:14;81:1;87:10;77:127:2094:1,15,2094:1,15,2039:21;61:1939:21;61:1996:996:9Area (10)29:4;62:8,9Act (2)71:1,8action (1)31:2424:4,8;27:16,17;12;63:5;66:4;95:11,14:25along (14)4:22;10:21,22;26:3;90:16;93:2337:14,16;54:23;applicant (37)arguingarguing13:20aesthetically (3)37:14,16;54:23;37:14,16;54:23;10:16;22:10;23:1,49:11;$	ng (1)
according (1) 94:1046:678:1552:3approxim appearance (1)according (1) 87:1adopted (1) 34:20allow (5) 10:9;45:1;47:25;appearance (1) 76:2410:5;2 architect architect appearances (2)10:5;2 architect architect appearances (2)architect 17:3,4secounts (1) 54:11adoption (1) 31:1587:3;89:4 allowed (4)appearances (2) 94:8,1717:3,4 49:6;5accurate (2) 65:4;71:25advance (2) 4:16,179:21;11:21;62:1; 73:8appeared (1) 76:625;60: 63:8,8acres (1) 61:15adverse (3) adverse (3)allowing (1) 18:4appellant (7) 39:21;61:1987:9,1 96:9across (1) 27:2094:1,15,20 94:1,15,20allows (2) 39:21;61:1936:14;81:1;87:10; 96:977:1 Area (10) Act (2)Act (2) 85:16Advisory (1) 14:14almost (2) alone (1) 9:11appellant's (3) 22:17,23;89:203:5;11 areas (2)action (1) 31:2412;63:5;66:4;95:11, 14;25along (14) 4:22;10:21,22; 26:3;90:16;93:23 25:2;9areas (2) 25:2;9actual (1) 13:20aesthetically (3)37:14,16;54:23; 37:14,16;54:23;applicant (37) arguing arguing	1g (1)
Act (0) according (1) $87:1$ adopted (1) $34:20$ allow (5) $10:9;45:1;47:25;$ appearance (1) $76:24$ $10:5;2$ architect appearances (2)accounts (1) $54:11$ adoption (1) $31:15$ $87:3;89:4$ allowed (4)appearances (2) $94:8,17$ $17:3,4$ $49:6;5$ accurate (2) $65:4;71:25$ advance (2) $4:16,17$ $9:21;11:21;62:1;$ $73:8$ appeared (1) $25;60:$ $25;60:$ $65:4;71:25$ acres (1) $61:15$ adverse (3) $93:3;94:13,18$ allowing (1) $18:4$ appellant (7) $17:4;22:17;29:4;$ $39:21;61:19$ architect $36:14;81:1;87:10;$ $77:1$ across (1) $27:20$ $94:1,15,20$ $94:1,15,20$ $39:21;61:19$ $39:21;61:19$ $96:9$ Area (10) $4pellant's (3)$ $3:5;1171:1,8acted (1)85:1624:4,8;27:16,17;24:4,8;27:16,17;9:1122:17,23;89:201331:2412;63:5;66:4;95:11,4:22;10:21,22;11:12;12:3,7;14:14;12:23;57:1applicable (3)areas (2)31:2412;63:5;66:4;95:11,14:254:22;10:21,22;26:3;90:16;93:2325:2;931:2412;63:5;66:4;95:11,14:2537:14,16;54:23;10:16;22:10;23:1,49:11;$	matche (7)
accounts (1) $87:1$ $34:20$ $10:9;45:1;47:25;$ $87:3;89:4$ $76:24$ architectaccounts (1) $54:11$ $31:15$ $atcurate (2)$ $adoption (1)$ $31:15$ $87:3;89:4$ $allowed (4)$ $3pearances (2)$ $94:8,17$ $17:3,4$ $49:6;53$ accurate (2) $65:4;71:25$ $advance (2)$ $4:16,17$ $9:21;11:21;62:1;$ $73:8$ $appeared (1)$ $76:6$ $25;60:$ $63:8,8$ $appeared (1)$ across (1) $61:15$ $adverse (3)$ $93:3;94:13,18$ $allowing (1)$ $18:4$ $appellant (7)$ $39:21;61:19$ $87:9,1$ $36:14;81:1;87:10;$ $96:9$ Act (2) $71:1,8$ $Advisory (1)$ $aesthetic (16)$ $31:24$ $allowith (1)$ $45:6,8,10;46:7,8,10,12;63:5;66:4;95:11,14:25along (14)4:22;10:21,22;11:12;12:3,7;14:14;applicant (37)architectarceas (2)actual (1)13:20aesthetically (3)37:14,16;54:23;10:16;22:10;23:1,49:11;$	
accounts (1) $54:11$ $adoption (1)$ $31:15$ $87:3;89:4$ $allowed (4)$ $9:21;11:21;62:1;$ $73:8$ $appearances (2)$ $9:4:8,17$ $appeared (1)$ $76:6$ $17:3,4$ $49:6;5$ $appeared (1)$ $76:6$ $accurate (2)$ $65:4;71:25$ $advance (2)$ $4:16,17$ $9:21;11:21;62:1;$ $73:8$ $appearances (2)$ $76:6$ $17:3,4$ $49:6;5$ $across (1)$ $27:20$ $adverse (3)$ $94:1,15,20$ $allowing (1)$ $18:4$ $appellant (7)$ $39:21;61:19$ $87:9,1$ $39:21;61:19$ $Act (2)$ $71:1,8$ $acted (1)$ $85:16$ $Advisory (1)$ $45:6,8,10;46:7,8,10,$ $31:24$ $aesthetic (16)$ $45:6,8,10;46:7,8,10,$ $14:25$ $along (14)$ $4:22;10:21,22;$ $11:12;12:3,7;14:14;$ $37:14,16;54:23;$ $appelant (3)$ $26:3;90:16;93:23$ $25:2;9$ $areas (2)$ $25:2;0;23:1,$ $actual (1)$ $13:20$ $aesthetically (3)$ $37:14,16;54:23;$ $10:16;22:10;23:1,$ $49:11;$	
action (1) $3\bar{1}:15$ allowed (4) $94:8,17$ $49:6;5$ accurate (2) $3\bar{1}:15$ advance (2) $9:21;11:21;62:1;$ $appeared (1)$ $25;60:$ $65:4;71:25$ $4:16,17$ $73:8$ $76:6$ $63:8,8$ acres (1) $93:3;94:13,18$ $allowing (1)$ $appellant (7)$ $87:9,11$ $61:15$ $93:3;94:13,18$ $allows (2)$ $36:14;81:1;87:10;$ $77:1$ $across (1)$ $94:1,15,20$ $39:21;61:19$ $36:14;81:1;87:10;$ $77:1$ $27:20$ $Advisory (1)$ $almost (2)$ $36:14;81:1;87:10;$ $77:1$ $Act (2)$ $Advisory (1)$ $almost (2)$ $appellant's (3)$ $3:5;11$ $71:1,8$ $14:14$ $12:23;57:1$ $29:4;62:8,9$ $27:19;$ $acted (1)$ $aesthetic (16)$ $alone (1)$ $Applebaum (3)$ $58:17;$ $85:16$ $24:4,8;27:16,17;$ $9:11$ $22:17,23;89:20$ 13 $action (1)$ $12;63:5;66:4;95:11,$ $4:22;10:21,22;$ $26:3;90:16;93:23$ $25:2;9$ $actual (1)$ $14,25$ $11:12;12:3,7;14:14;$ $applicant (37)$ $areas (2)$ $13:20$ $aesthetically (3)$ $37:14,16;54:23;$ $10:16;22:10;23:1,$ $49:11;$	
accurate (2) $65:4;71:25$ advance (2) $4:16,17$ $9:21;11:21;62:1;$ $73:8$ appeared (1) $76:6$ $25;60;$ $63:8,8$ acres (1) $61:15$ adverse (3) $93:3;94:13,18$ allowing (1) $18:4$ appellant (7) $17:4;22:17;29:4;$ $36:14;81:1;87:10;$ $77:1$ $77:1$ across (1) $27:20$ $94:1,15,20$ $39:21;61:19$ $94:1,15,20$ $39:21;61:19$ $39:21;61:19$ $96:9$ Area (10 $36:14;81:1;87:10;$ Act (2) $71:1,8$ Advisory (1) $14:14$ $12:23;57:1$ $29:4;62:8,9$ $27:19;$ $29:4;62:8,9$ $3:5;11$ $29:4;62:8,9$ acted (1) $85:16$ aesthetic (16) $24:4,8;27:16,17;$ $31:24$ $along (14)$ $12;63:5;66:4;95:11,$ $14:25$ $along (14)$ $4:22;10:21,22;26:3;90:16;93:2337:14,16;54:23;applicant (37)arguing10:16;22:10;23:1,$;36:23;46:1;
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	9:5,10,15,24,
acres (1) 61:15adverse (3) 93:3;94:13,18allowing (1) 18:4appellant (7) 17:4;22:17;29:4; 36:14;81:1;87:10; 96:9 $87:9,14$ architect 77:127:2094:1,15,20 $39:21;61:19$ $36:14;81:1;87:10;$ 96:9 $77:1$ Area (10 appellant's (3)Act (2)Advisory (1) 14:14 $allows (2)$ $14:1439:21;61:1936:14;81:1;87:10;96:977:1Area (10appellant's (3)71:1,8acted (1)85:16aesthetic (16)24:4,8;27:16,17;31:24aesthetic (16)12;63:5;66:4;95:11,14;25along (14)4:22;10:21,22;11:12;12:3,7;14:14;37:14,16;54:23;appellant (37)arguing10:16;22:10;23:1,$	11;62:9,14,15;
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $;64:25;68:20;
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ture (1)
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
Act (2) $71:1,8$ Advisory (1) $14:14$ almost (2) $12:23;57:1$ appellant's (3) $29:4;62:8,9$ $3:5;11$ $29:4;62:8,9$ acted (1) $85:16$ aesthetic (16) $24:4,8;27:16,17;$ $31:24$ alone (1) $12;63:5;66:4;95:11,$ $14:25$ along (14) $4:22;10:21,22;$ $11:12;12:3,7;14:14;$ $37:14,16;54:23;$ appellant's (3) $29:4;62:8,9$ $3:5;11$ $29:4;62:8,9$ action (1) $31:24$ $24:4,8;27:16,17;$ $45:6,8,10;46:7,8,10,$ $12;63:5;66:4;95:11,$ $14:25$ along (14) $4:22;10:21,22;$ $11:12;12:3,7;14:14;$ $10:16;22:10;23:1,$ areas (2) $25:2,9$	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$:15;14:24;
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	28:10,21;
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	62:22;92:12,
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$\begin{array}{c} 12;63:5;66:4;95:11, \\ 14;22;10:21;22; \\ 14;25 \\ 13:20 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 12;63:5;66:4;95:11, \\ 14;25 \\ aesthetically (3) \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 4:22;10:21;22; \\ 11:12;12:3,7;14:14; \\ 37:14,16;54:23; \\ 10:16;22:10;23:1, \\ 10:16;22:10;23:1, \\ 49:11; \\$)
actual (1) $14,25$ $11:12;12:3,7;14:14;$ applicant (37)arguing $13:20$ aesthetically (3) $37:14,16;54:23;$ $10:16;22:10;23:1,$ $49:11;$	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	
actually (15) 35:9;51:7;95:16 55:16;72:24;83:21; 6;26:23;33:2,3; argumer	
	(5)
	58:15,15,
	44
add (1) affirm (1) although (6) 87:9;88:17;89:4,16; 38:17 217:45:10:16: 00.0.0.01:7:02:10: 00:00	1.71
87:23 $83:4$ $3:17;4:5;10:16;$ $90:9,9;91:7;92:18;$ artificial $115:17:1:60:16$ $95:7:14:10:22$	(1)
addition (1) affirmed (1) 11:5;17:1;69:16 95:7,14,19,23 81:14	
53:22 42:2 always (4) applicants (3) A-shape	d (1)
additional (5) afternoon (1) 37:1;40:15;66:9; 10:25;24:10;68:9 45:13	

Min-U-Script®

Barkley Court Reporters

(1) [ph] - A-shaped

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS January 15, 2014

REGULAR MEETING	ř		1	January 15, 2014
aspersions (1)	bad (1)	63:15;88:11	34:23	burdened (1)
86:5	69:2	better (2)	boxy (1)	68:25
asserted (1)	band (3)	52:8;60:1	24:17	Business (2)
95:4	61:2,3,21	beyond (1)	Brant (2)	4:16,23
asserting (2)	barely (1)	49:7	36:3,20	
52:23;58:10	38:18	BH (1)	break (3)	С
asset (1)	based (4)	24:16	24:17;35:3;93:11	
86:19	14:7;23:19;39:23;	BHO (5)	Bridge (2)	Cal (3)
association (2)	57:13	24:15,24;28:4;	75:25;76:2	89:10,11;96:4
40:12;96:3	Baseline (9)	93:13;94:22	briefly (1)	calculating (2)
associations (4)	24:15;32:15;	biased (1)	34:18	34:21;35:5
8:24;51:22,23;	34:17,20;73:9;80:1;	69:16	bring (2)	calendar (2)
78:19	84:18,20;93:8	big (10)	59:5;72:17	4:17,18
attached (1)	basement (1)	23:9,9;53:21;	brings (1)	California (3)
89:20	34:12	54:21;61:24;75:12;	28:3	3:1;29:12;67:5
attend (1)	basic (1)	77:2;82:21;86:18;	Brisbois (1)	call (9)
8:22	39:18 bosicelly (4)	87:19	36:21	4:11;6:20;8:21;
attorney (6) 24:3;36:24;40:17;	basically (4) 22:18;24:7;75:1;	bigger (1) 50:19	brother (1) 41:15	9:6,11;38:20;43:20;
63:13;69:14;92:9	96:5	Bisgaard (1)	1	44:23;69:22
Attorney's (2)	BAYLISS (9)	36:21	brothers (1) 81:25	called (1) 76:1
34:19;35:23	78:7,12;79:8,10,	bit (4)	Brown (2)	70:1 calls (1)
attractive (2)	11,12,12;80:23;	12:8;39:6;45:15,	71:1,8	86:7
41:21;51:12	82:25	20	buffer (6)	came (2)
attractiveness (1)	bear (1)	blatant (2)	10:13:55:10;	70:25;82:2
96:5	29:25	78:1,1	79:21;84:23,24,25	can (66)
August (1)	beautiful (11)	block (5)	build (34)	8:17;11:11,12;
19:9	72:12,13,13,15,19;	24:23;25:3,20;	11:16;22:11,18,	17:17;22:4,17,18;
available (1)	73:2;74:11;76:22,	28:2,5	19;23:14;26:24;	23:8,15,16;24:6;
88:12	25,86:21,24	blocked (2)	34:22;39:13;40:21;	27:13,13;28:16,19;
Avenue (3)	beauty (1)	25:13;44:6	45:23;46:17,20;47:6,	29:4,5;32:10;33:6;
5:21;7:9;67:5	86:20	blocking (1)	11;48:4,6;49:2,3,4;	34:5;36:7,16;37:24;
avoid (1)	begin (1)	24:25	50:4,21,21;53:15,19;	38:10,23;39:14;41:2,
80:2	85:8	blowups (1)	68:21;70:14,16;	3,8;42:5;43:20;
aware (7)	beginning (2)	34:5	72:10,11;73:2;75:2;	44:23;45:2,23;46:2,
10:10;15:20;52:5;	13:9;55:9	board (2)	85:14;90:10;95:8	3;47:6,11;48:4,6,10,
53:13;60:5;61:24;	behalf (2)	82:15;96:3	buildable (1)	10;49:3;50:20;51:3,
82:6	69:16;77:23	bogus (2)	68:15	6;52:16;57:14;
away (7) 37:12;38:12;	behind (6)	70:10,13	builder (1)	64:25;65:3;68:6,11;
	12:11;20:8;38:20; 52:6;57:4;58:18	bono (1)	46:1 huilding (20)	70:14,15;75:3;
45:22;92:11,12,14, 16	Bel (11)	76:6	building (28)	79:10;80:15;83:22;
Aye (12)	32:23;62:22;76:3,	bonus (2) 6:1,5	11:7,11;12:1; 13:12;16:22;17:23;	87:20;88:11,12,17;
5:5,7,11,13;8:5,7,	4,21;77:20,20;79:17;	books (1)	23:2;24:18;32:17;	89:5;90:14;91:25; 95:15
9,11;96:15,17,19,21	92:13,15;94:2	75:4	38:15;39:14;41:13;	Canyon (53)
	belief (1)	both (12)	47:5;57:1;61:7,16;	12:23;13:8;14:19;
В	56:20	18:11,12,15;	62:19;63:10;64:13;	15:4;16:13;18:10,
	believes (1)	20:21;24:24;32:5;	68:18;75:14;82:1;	20;19:9,19;20:2,16;
back (20)	11:23	42:13,15;50:5,5;	83:20,21;89:14,17;	21:7;22:3;24:2;26:2;
8:14;10:6;11:4;	Bell (1)	80:25;87:9	91:7;93:10	32:22;34:3,6,8,14;
12:8;19:6;28:3;30:4;	76:5	bottom (1)	buildings (3)	37:12,15;38:8;40:10,
33:13;43:23;46:4;	Bellagio (17)	38:10	35:4,7;62:24	17;42:8,10,22;43:6,
52:3;57:9;61:14;	8:17;18:10;19:23;	bought (2)	built (14)	25;44:5;51:22;
62:6,7;72:9,17;74:3,	20:18;21:8;22:5;	55:1;57:8	22:5;23:7;27:13,	52:19;53:1,11;
4;80:4	34:8;37:2;40:5;41:3;	Boulevard (1)	14;32:10;41:12;	57:20;67:22;68:3;
backed (1)	42:9,21;44:1;53:1,3;	60:18	49:23;50:9;54:21;	71:19,20;72:24;75:6,
44:17	57:19;76:21	bowl (19)	74:7,7,7;87:2;92:1	10,15,24,24;76:21;
backfill (1)	below (6)	11:1;33:4,16;	bulk (1)	78:17;85:25;86:21,
54:20	38:21;44:4,12,19,	34:13;38:20;43:13,	94:7	23;91:5;95:18
background (1)	22;84:11	19,20;44:10,11,15,	bundled (1)	capable (1)
21:20	benefit (1)	15,15,16,20,23;72:4,	6:7	78:21
backyard (2) 62:20,21	61:11 best (2)	4,6 box-like (1)	burden (1) 74:15	card (1)
02.20,21	Deat (2)	DUA-IIAC (1)	/4.15	3:9
			······································	

hlin-U-Secipt®

Barkley Court Reporters

(2) aspersions - card

cards (3) 79:7;96:25;97:1 care (1) 55:24 carefully (1) 77:8 carried (3) 5:15;8:12;96:22 cars (2) 3:12;37:15 case (52) 6:1,11;7:9;9:1,7, 25;10:2;12:12,23,25; 13:2.7,8;14:14,19; 15:8;17:10;21:7; 23:13,14;24:3,4; 26:23;31:10,20,21; 37:9;40:9,17;52:19, 20,25;61:8;64:16; 68:7;69:22,25;70:1, 3.3:78:14:85:23.25; 86:8;88:1,3,15,17; 89:8;90:15,21;91:15 cases (6) 5:18;14:15;18:9; 31:8:67:18:96:7 cast (1) 86:4 causing (2) 29:16:48:13 CD5 (1) 18:25 cell (1) 29:13 Century (1) 29:11 **CEQA (2)** 5:19;8:15 certain (2) 40:23:57:2 certainly (3) 44:5;75:3;78:12 cetera (3) 72:10,10:83:12 chairman (1) 76:8 change (6) 7:25:19:13:43:10, 11:56:18:78:3 changed (4) 13:10,17:20:9; 25:13 changes (3) 4:17:26:9:86:11 channel (2) 45:22,22 character (2) 87:22:90:23 characteristics (4) 67:16;94:3,5,21 characterize (1) 55:22 characterized (2)

37:8;46:24 choice (2) 54:23;68:17 chopped (1) 33:13 chose (1) 68:19 circulation (1) 64:15 circumstance (4) 11:25;12:14;27:3; 63:25 circumstances (14) 10:9;13:19;23:21; 26:1,3,7;27:11; 90:15,16,21;91:6,19, 22;95:6 cite (3) 26:7;53:14;96:3 cited (6) 31:24:32:1.2:91:9; 92:19:95:17 citing (1) 67:18 City (26) 3:20;19:2,5;20:16, 21;21:17;31:11,16; 34:19,20;35:22; 40:24:41:10.22; 50:16.22:68:10.13: 69:3;70:8,25;76:9; 80:12:86:22:89:9.10 city-created (1) 68:11 clear (10) 31:10;34:16;37:3; 44:9;46:23;51:2; 56:25;58:8;80:10; 81:8 clearly (1) 78:17 client (10) 30:21;42:21;46:6, 16;47:11;48:21,22; 49:17;54:18;56:7 client's (5) 38:16:48:9.23: 53:25:54:2 close (1) 85:7 closest (1) 39:8 Code (2) 61:19:88:21 cognizance (1) 88:2 coming (2) 4:6;76:20 comment (7) 41:4;52:3;73:4; 77:13;96:24,24;97:1 comments (1) 76:15

commercial-like (1)	7,25,25;88:6,7;
76:23	96:12,12,14,15,16,
Commission (28)	17,18,19,20,21,23;
3:6;4:16,21,22;	97:2
6:12;10:15;12:24;	Commissioners (2)
13:1;14:25;15:3;	3:13;67:6
29:9;30:18;33:8,17,	Committee (2)
22;34:16;51:10;	89:8;90:20
52:1;54:25;56:3;	common (1)
68:1,12;69:13;76:7,	62:23
8;77:8;79:20,22	communities (1)
COMMISSIONER (310)	34:24
3:4,14,15,15,16;	community (7)
4:2,4,8,10,15,20,25,	68:5;76:15,22;
25;5:2,2,4,5,6,7,8,9,	77:1;94:2,6,9
11,12,13,16;6:8,14,	companion (4)
16,18,22,24;7:1,5,7,	5:25;18:8;69:22;
8,11,12,14,15,16,17,	88:3
22,23,24;8:2,2,4,5,6,	comparable (6)
7,8,9,10,11,13,18;	77:16;89:17;
9:2,4,4,10,10,15;	90:10,23;92:17;95:8
12:18,18,19,19,22;	compatibility (1)
14:2,4,4,11;15:10,	94:11
13,19,24;16:2,5,8,15,	compatible (1)
17,20,24,25,25;17:9,	94:17
19,20,25;18:1,2,2,7,	competent (1)
15,18,25;19:4,8,12,	90:8
16,21,25;20:5,6,11,	complaining (1)
14,20;21:2,6,11,14,	51:17
21;22:8,15,22,25;	complaints (1)
23:4;24:1,12,20;	51:20
25:9,11,18,24;26:13,	completely (5)
17,21;27:2,6,21;	33:24;70:4,20,24;
28:19,24;29:3,13,16, 17,19,21,23,25;30:3,	71:9
6,8,9,12,16;32:20;	compliance (2) 28:8,11
33:20,25;35:11,20,	compliment (2)
24;36:7,11,14,19;	71:22;72:1
38:1,4;42:6,6,17,20;	comply (3)
43:4,12;44:9,14,25;	10:18;32:14;71:1
45:5,23;46:3,14;	complying (1)
47:8,15,17,19,20,22;	75:3
48:20;49:1,22,22;	compromise (1)
50:1,8,11;51:5,14,	68:23
15,20;52:18,18,22;	concern (1)
53:2,6,10;54:1,1,17,	16:11
18;55:23;56:2,17;	concerned (2)
57:5,12;58:6,7,7,10,	52:2;76:20
22;59:2,4,4,7,9,10,	concerns (1)
12,13,16,20,22,24;	88:9
60:2,4,8,9,10,12,15,	concession (1)
20,20,24;61:9,10;	70:15
62:3,4,5,6,16;63:12,	concisely (1)
12,16,24;64:1,6,9,	76:17
17;65:2,8,11,14,19,	conclude (1)
25;66:3,6,9,12,15,19,	35:10
20,23;69:6,9;71:14;	condition (3)
73:14,17,17,21,25; 74:5,13,14,22;75:18,	10:17,18;68:1
21;77:11;79:1,3,4,	conditions (5) 15:13,21;55:8;
11;80:22,24;83:6,9,	84:14;86:12
13;85:4,9,9,22,22;	conflicting (1)
15,05,4,9,9,22,22;	2.04

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS January 15, 2014

> confused (1) 50:4 consideration (2) 55:18;73:3 considering (1) 77:8 consistent (9) 24:6,14;28:8;45:2, 19;52:6;65:22;89:6; 96:10 consistently (1) 70:22 constituents (1) 51:24 constitutes (1) 63:9 constrain (1) 83:2 constrained (2) 48:11:63:20 constraint (2) 81:15;82:7 constraints (3) 11:20;47:4;53:21 construct (1) 11:17 construction (3) 13:13;14:20;15:20 consultant (1) 36:24 contextually (2) 63:10:66:1 contiguous (1) 13:25 continue (2) 6:23:7:8 continued (3) 3:18;5:22;7:3 contradicted (1) 22:23 contrast (1) 35:1 convey (2) 3:25:75:1 Copa (3) 38:15;48:14;52:7 copy (1) 35:22 corner (2) 34:7.8 correctly (1) 36:3 corridor (1) 4:7 Council (16) 16:10;19:2,5,18; 20:4,16,21:21:17; 31:16;34:19,20; 55:25;70:8,25;71:7; 85:11 councilman (3) 76:9:78:5.10 councilman's (1)

Min-U-Seript®

Barkley Court Reporters

86:1,9,9,10,11:87:7,

3:24

REGULAR WEETING	r 1
85:11	Dai
Councilmember (6)	7
31:23;70:24;78:8; 79:13;80:7;86:2	2 Day
Counsel (3)	2
48:21;70:18;79:2	day
Counselor (1) 51:14	l day
count (1)	8
30:12	de
couple (5) 8:19;17:1;54:6;	3 dea
81:4;88:13	8
course (5)	dea
28:15;48:2,6; 58:19;66:12	5 deb
Court (9)	2
31:14,14;40:18;	Dec
62:20;64:11,12,19; 70:11;88:2	4 100
courts (2)	dec 2
47:3;70:21	dec
cover (1)	2
53:15 coverage (2)	3
61:18,20	dec
covered (3)	5
27:7;28:24;37:7 covering (1)	dec 1
56:15	ded
create (7)	8
41:20;43:18; 72:12,12,13,19;93:3	dee 5
created (2)	def
21:7;52:19	9
creation (1) 43:14	defi 4
creek (16)	def
10:10,12;11:4,10,	4
18;12:10,12;14:9; 15:14;16:12,12;	def 1
26:8;32:3;79:25;	defi
80:8;91:5	7
Crest (1) 94:2	deg
Crestline (1)	dej
92:11	1
criteria (1) 77:3	deli 8
crux (1)	den
24:1	9
cubic (2) 84:3,3	den 1
current (5)	2
15:15,15;34:21;	8 don
78:9,10 currently (2)	den 9
6:1;21:15	2
cut (3)	6 1
10:19;11:13;84:3	den
D	4
	den

n (7) 71:15;75:19,19,20, 23,23;77:13 vid (2) 22:17;89:20 y (3) 8:11;37:15;56:23 ys (1) 38:13 (3) 38:15;48:14;52:7 al (1) 33:1 alt (1) 57:17 bate (1) 24:24 cember (2) 4:24;5:1 ide (1) 21:7 cision (8) 20:15;21:10;27:5; 30:20;31:13;68:2; 70:8;71:3 cision-making (1) 52:2 cisions (1) 9:18 licated (1) 33:5 eper (1) 56:21 feat (3) 93:6,8,12 fine (1) 14:16 fined (1) 18:4 fines (1) .7:23 initely (1) 79:14 gree (1) 58:11 a (1) 2:23iberations (1) 35:8 nonstrated (2))1:23,25 11al (6) 8:23:19:13: 21:10:22:25:70:5; 39:15 nied (13) 9:25:10:1:18:19. 21,22;27:12;42:1; 57:25;70:4,6;71:5, 0;91:21 1se (3) 43:23;44:23;51:11 isity (2)

6:1,5 deny (6) 19:14;23:17; 71:11;73:11;82:12; 83:3 **Department (9)** 3:20;6:22;32:9,16, 17;50:16;61:6,23; 86:3 departmental (1) 3:19 deputy (2) 71:4,4 described (3) 10:25;11:1;72:3 deserves (1) 81:11 design (18) 23:8,17;35:3;55:2; 57:3;62:10;63:17; 64:1,7,16:65:1,5,11, 15;90:10;94:12; 95:8;96:5 designed (7) 11:12;55:13,16; 61:25;72:19;86:16; 95:15 designing (4) 61:10;89:14,16; 91:7 designs (1) 62:8 desire (1) 39:20 detail (3) 39:12:57:16.18 detailed (3) 57:14:83:4:88:4 determination (10) 19:5,13;20:17; 39:24;42:2;48:3; 49:11,15,16;81:18 determine (2) 32:9,17 determined (1) 32:18 detriment (1) 72:17detrimental (4) 27:25;92:21,22,23 develop (1) 76:11 developed (2) 21:16:76:5 developer (1) 13:3 developers (2) 34:22;69:2 developing (2) 23:23;81:22 development (4) 28:9:35:9:94:11. 12

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS January 15, 2014

Diefenderfer (1) 4:12difference (8) 20:6;30:7;32:1; 50:20;54:6,9;70:1,2 differences (2) 13:1;31:21 different (18) 6:4;13:5;14:21; 20:22,23;21:3; 23:17;31:20;35:13; 37:4;40:7;41:7;42:9, 24;50:16;81:24; 92:11,12 differential (8) 54:10,13,16;58:16, 20;72:22,23;82:9 differentials (2) 72:25:73:2 differentiate (1) 85:24 differently (2) 13:21;86:8 difficult (6) 33:21;39:13; 55:13;81:16;82:11; 87:6 difficulties (8) 21:25;23:6,11; 27:11;88:24;89:13; 91:20,24 difficulty (2) 23:5;58:21 diligence (1) 68:14 DIR-2012-1112-DB (1) 5:19 directly (1) 71:20 disagree (1) 86:2 disappointed (1) 30:22 discern (1) 14:18disclosure (1) 9:5 disclosures (1) 8:20 discourage (1) 34:25 discretion (4) 30:19:31:17: 35:18:49:13 discretionary (6) 48:3:49:11.15; 58:5;70:18,20 discuss (1) 66:7 discussed (1) 79:18 discussion (4) 8:25:9:7:83:24:

87:17 dispute (1) 40:25 distance (1) 67:14 distinct (1) 90:23 distinguished (2) 85:17;86:2 distinguishes (1) 70:3 distress (1) 48:13 district (1) 85:11 document (2) 32:8;77:24 documents (3) 33:22;56:13,20 Doheny (1) 76:4 done (13) 37:6;41:24;42:12, 15;46:10;50:24; 52:13,14;55:4; 57:14;83:25;87:13; 92:13 Donovan (109) 3:15;5:2,2,6,7;8:2, 2,6,7,18;18:2,2,7,15, 18,25;19:4,8,12,16, 21,25;20:5,11,14,20; 21:2.6.11.14.21; 22:8,15,22,25;23:4; 24:1,12,20;25:9,11, 18,24;26:13,17,21; 27:2,6,21;28:19,24; 32:20;42:6,6,17,20; 43:4,12;44:9,14,25; 45:5,23;46:3,14; 47:8,20,22;48:20; 49:1;52:18,18,22; 53:2,10;54:1,1;58:7, 7,10,22;59:2;62:4; 63:12,12,16,24;64:1, 6,9,17;65:2,8,11,14, 19,25;66:3,6,9,12; 85:22,22;86:11; 87:25,25;88:7;96:14, 15 door (2) 71:20:72:23 double (1) 33:12 doubt (3) 43:16,17;48:21 down (12) 11:3;16:13;35:1,8; 39:4;53:10;54:8; 75:11,15;79:18,22; 81:6 drew (1)

16:21

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS January 15, 2014

REGULAR MEETING	,		····	January 15, 2014
drive (1)	elected (1)	74:17;87:17	expect (1)	feeling (1)
75:15	85:12	estate-size (1)	33:3	9:11
drives (1)	elements (1)	49:19	experts (1)	feels (1)
75:11	94:1	estate-type (2)	42:5	80:15
driveway (1)	elevation (3)	46:25;47:1	explained (1)	feet (45)
72:12	34:6;54:6;81:7	et (3)	46:22	9:20,20;11:6,9;
driving (2)	elevations (7)	72:10,10;83:12	Expo (1)	25:17,17;33:12;34:6,
37:14;52:9	26:15,18;31:22;	Etiwanda (1)	4:7	7;37:12;38:11,25,25,
dropped (1)	54:3;58:12;89:7;	67:4	export (1)	25;39:9,10,11;45:17;
14:22	91:3	even (10)	84:4	48:15,16;54:7,11,13,
drove (1)	else (5)	4:12;6:10;25:3;	exposed (1)	16;56:25;61:16,17;
58:15	12:10;53:8;84:12;	44:3;72:6,22;74:24,	34:12	72:8,9;74:3;75:7,10,
Due (2)	87:13,19	25;78:3;88:18	extent (2)	17;81:9,13,14,15;
68:14;89:6	empathy (1) 76:10	evening (5)	43:13;96:9	83:14;84:4,7,7;87:1,
during (3) 15:3;61:4,23	1	3:8;69:12;71:18; 72:2;79:14	extra (3) 29:23;30:7,9	1;92:14,16
Dveirin (62)	empty (1) 40:22	everybody (6)	extremely (3)	felt (11) 9:21;10:7,19;
36:3,3,4,18,20,20;	encourage (3)	37:19,20;40:21;	30:22;43:23;69:21	11:19;12:13;13:16,
38:3,6;42:14,19,23;	24:17;35:2,7	56:11;72:7;73:10	50.66,75.25,07.21	18;23:15;25:19,22;
43:8,15;44:12,16;	encouraging (1)	everyone (1)	F	80:16
45:4,7,25;46:9,22;	93:10	87:19	.	fence (1)
47:13,16,18,21,23;	end (3)	evidence (22)	facing (1)	18:4
48:25;49:6,25;50:7,	41:2;76:14;97:4	22:23;25:18;	64:12	fences (1)
10,14;51:6,19;52:4,	enforce (1)	35:14;46:15,19;	fact (16)	12:11
21,24;53:5,7,12;	51:24	58:23;59:1;67:10;	22:16;30:18;	Ferris (1)
54:4;55:21;56:1,12,	enhancing (2)	89:18;90:2,6,8,25;	31:17;32:14,18;	77:14
18;57:7,13;58:9,13,	94:3,5	91:8,9;92:18;93:2,	35:17;37:13;44:2;	few (3)
25;59:3,25;60:3,5,	enjoyment (3)	22;95:3,7,11,22	51:9,15;53:5;58:13;	31:6;54:16;76:19
11,14;66:17,22;81:2,	27:9;91:13,16	ex-(1)	62:18;78:23;87:13,	Fifteen (1)
3;84:5,10,12	entire (5)	34:18	15	61:18
F	24:7;45:3;79:22;	exact (2)	factors (1)	fifth (3)
E	82:9;89:6	68:15;74:9	47:10	28:25;88:19;93:24
accompant(1)	entitled (5) 39:17,22;48:9,21;	exactly (4) 40:2;57:20,24;	facts (13)	filed (6)
easement (1) 10:12	69:4	74:8	20:2,7,11,13,22, 23;21:4;31:20;32:3,	11:22;18:11,11; 19:1;31:10;69:19
easier (1)	entrance (2)	example (2)	3;85:23;95:6,17	fill (2)
55:20	32:23;76:22	37:25;68:6	fairly (3)	3:8;84:4
East (5)	envelope (3)	examples (2)	54:8,8;85:19	filled (1)
29:11;38:22;	35:7;83:20,21	67:12;69:2	fairness (1)	36:5
43:24;44:18;54:10	Environmental (3)	except (3)	13:24	final (3)
easterly (1)	5:19;8:15;16:10	34:10;38:24;92:8	familiar (3)	31:10,13;96:2
32:2	equipment (2)	excerpt (1)	9:23;18:14;60:6	finally (6)
easy (6)	63:2,2	34:19	family (2)	41:2;52:17;70:15;
55:22,23;56:6,7,8;	equivalent (1)	excessive (1)	67:23;76:5	84:22;93:17;94:24
70:23	75:13	94:16	fan (1)	find (6)
Edgar (1)	erred (1)	Excuse (4)	80:5	20:22;23:21;
89:25	30:19	8:18;33:20;38:1;	fancy (1)	24:13;27:24;47:10;
effect (10)	error (1) 31:16	71:7	82:1	77:15
20:16;28:20;31:9; 46:16,19;58:24;	errors (1)	exercise (1) 49:12	far (7) 15:1;20:3;23:14;	finding (16)
90:9;93:4,19;94:18	35:17	exhaust (1)	37:25;38:7;48:24;	23:5;27:22;28:1; 47:10;67:19,21;68:8,
effectively (1)	especially (1)	63:2	61:7	10;88:22;90:13;
31:21	77:25	exhibit (5)	favor (2)	91:9,12;92:20,21;
effort (1)	essentially (9)	33:18;34:4;38:1,2;	49:13;79:7	93:25;95:12
56:15	19:5;33:15;39:3;	89:20	feature (1)	findings (32)
eight (1)	40:14;51:11;90:12;	existing (12)	35:3	20:22;21:1,3,22;
92:12	91:4;93:19;95:10	25:1;28:4;32:21,	features (1)	25:25;28:17,18;
Eighteen (1)	estate (9)	22;34:25;93:14,16;	67:25	30:18;35:15,16;67:7,
39:10	47:11;62:18,19;	94:4,5,11,15,17	February (9)	9,16;70:3,9,10,13,17,
Eighty-two (2)	63:9;76:3,4;87:19;	exists (1)	7:4,10,12,13,19,	21;80:15;85:18;
39:9;45:16	91:7;95:8	68:5	19,20,21;8:1	86:6;87:4,5,5;88:5,9,
either (3)	estate-like (5)	exit (1)	Federation (3)	16,18;91:24;96:9,10
56:5,8;87:4	49:2;50:3;62:11;	32:23	51:22;77:24;78:18	fine (1)

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS January 15, 2014

REGULAR MEETING	J			January 15, 2014
92.13	20.12 16 10 22.20.6	26.5 6.27.10.61.2.		27 12 (2 11 21 7
83:13	29:13,16,19,23;30:6,	26:5,6;27:10;61:3;	greatly (1)	27:12;68:11;81:7;
finish (3)	9;38:1,4;47:15,17,	67:11;90:18;91:14,	85:13	83:16
6:6;41:3;51:21	19;53:6;54:17,18;	17	Groman (1)	hardships (8)
finished (1)	55:23;56:2,17;57:5,	gentleman (1)	81:25	21:25;23:10,22;
34:8	12;58:6;59:7,10,13,	82:15	group (1)	88:25;89:12,14;
firm (2)	20,24;60:9;66:19;	gets (7)	82:13	91:20,25
36:21,24	79:3;83:9;86:9,9;	6:6;24:1;46:17;	groups (1)	hear (6)
First (17)	96:12,12,16,17	48:22;52:8,14;68:23	16:10	59:8,13;66:18,24;
9:22;11:23;21:23;			1	
	found (4)	given (2)	guarantee (2)	74:11,18
30:17;31:23;33:5;	68:3;71:2;73:1;	10:7;14:8	70:10;71:11	heard (9)
38:6;42:11;48:15,	94:22	gives (1)	guess (8)	12:24;14:7;18:21;
20;56:24;65:21;	foundation (1)	34:22	20:5,14;23:15;	26:7;31:12;42:24;
71:22;77:22;81:5;	94:6	glad (1)	24:23;28:17;41:6;	62:8;85:23;91:10
83:14;88:22	four (10)	74:18	44:24;66:13	hearing (28)
Fisk (8)	3:18;41:11,12;	goals (3)	guests (1)	10:4,6;13:18,18;
69:10;71:15;	49:23;50:9;51:12;	24:15,16;93:8	29:9	14:12,13,15;15:7,8;
75:19,19,20,23,23;	68:21;71:22;76:24;	goes (9)		
			guidelines (1)	18:16;19:9,22;22:9;
77:13	88:19	36:14,15;39:4;	73:10	24:21;30:23;32:12,
five (12)	four- (1)	41:9;47:9;72:4;	guy (1)	13;33:2,19;43:5;
10:15;21:22;29:7;	75:13	73:23;81:6;83:21	79:13	52:12;71:5;85:8;
36:1,19;67:6;70:17;	four-lot (1)	golf (1)	h	89:3,24;90:6;95:20;
77:3;81:9,15;83:14;	84:14	58:19	H	96:1
88:15	fourth (1)	Good (10)		hearings (2)
five-story (1)	92:20	3:4;4:19;6:8;	hack (1)	42:11;46:16
75:13	frankly (1)	40:18;63:1;66:21;	31:17	heart (1)
flat (11)	86:3	69:12;71:18;77:4;	1	47:10
33:15;39:5;45:13,	-	80:11	Hackbarth (13)	
	French-chateau (1)		67:1,1;69:10;	height (39)
14,18;72:6;77:22;	62:23	grade (10)	71:14,18,19;73:16,	9:17,25;11:5;
84:6,6;86:25,25	Friday (1)	31:21;32:1;33:14;	19,23,74:2,6,21,24	13:21;14:21;17:16;
floor (3)	71.3	38:21;44:19,22;	half (1)	18:13;21:16;23:8;
34:9;48:15;78:14	front (1)	49:8;55:2;83:21,23	75:16	24:13,22;25:4,5;
flu (1)	75:15	graded (3)	Halper (22)	27:16;28:2,7,14,16;
30:25	frontage (5)	33:14;42:12;57:8	3:14;5:9,10,11;	33:13;34:11,22;35:5,
folks (1)	10:21,23;26:9,22;	gradient (1)	8:8,9;12:19,19,22;	7;41:7;45:2;64:2,10;
3:11	72:19	48:11	14:2;20:6;33:20,25;	65:16;68:4;81:9;
follow (2)	full (3)	grading (11)		
			51:14,15,20;85:9,9;	83:22;89:5;92:4,15;
76:14;86:17	3:10;34:11;89:8	42:12,15;43:6,11,	86:1,10;96:18,19	93:19;94:7,16;95:4,
followed (1)	fully (3)	16;44:3;54:18,20;	hand (3)	13
87:3	82:16;90:3,6	55:4;80:2;83:25	56:7;78:15,16	height-variance (1)
follows (2)	function (1)	gradually (2)	HANNA (21)	45:1
35:5;88:10	64:15	33:6,16	4:19;5:4,6,8,10,12,	held (5)
foot (1)	functional (3)	grant (11)	14;7:3,13,20;8:4,6,8,	10:4,6;13:18;15:7,
72:16	62:22,24;63:1	20:1,17,25;28:16;	10,12;96:14,16,18,	7
footage (5)	fundamental (1)	35:18;67:19;68:4;	20,22;97:1	help (4)
22:4,12;32:10;	57:10	88:8,16;90:14;94:25	happened (8)	41:1;52:15;58:1;
61:25;62:1	further (6)	granted (9)		
foothill (1)	30:2,4;38:12;		6:3,19,20;10:3;	70:19
()		9:19;11:21,24;	20:4;29:18;69:21;	helpful (1)
33:5	66:13;77:13;80:4	12:16;19:18;21:16;	70:25	40:1
footprint (2)	future (1)	63:7;69:3;81:20	happens (2)	Hi (1)
61:16;68:18	28:21	granting (16)	36:11,12	3:21
forced (1)		13:15;27:23;	happy (2)	higher (5)
68:13	G	28:19;35:19;49:13;	80:20;83:1	39:6;45:15,21;
forth (4)		68:6;70:7;84:1;	hard (3)	93:21;95:24
72:2;90:4,7;96:11	Gabaee (1)	92:22;93:2,7,12,17,	14:17;55:7;70:22	highlighted (1)
forward (2)	36:22	24,25;94:24	hard- (1)	92:7
73:13;81:2	gathered (1)	grants (1)	68:10	^{92.7} hill (6)
		Siamo (1)		
		60.2	handly (1)	1 77.17.47.77.44.7
Foster (69)	56:19	69:2	hardly (1)	33:13;43:23;44:2,
Foster (69) 3:16;4:8,25,25;	56:19 geared (2)	Great (8)	82:4	17,22;52:6
Foster (69) 3:16;4:8,25,25; 5:4,5,9;6:14,16,24;	56:19 geared (2) 13:19;17:13	Great (8) 4:15;8:13;16:11;	82:4 hardscape (1)	17,22;52:6 hills (1)
Foster (69) 3:16;4:8,25,25; 5:4,5,9;6:14,16,24; 7:5,8,12,14,15,22,24;	56:19 geared (2) 13:19;17:13 general (6)	Great (8) 4:15;8:13;16:11; 59:6;60:12;62:20,	82:4 hardscape (1) 72:13	17,22;52:6 hills (1) 58:18
Foster (69) 3:16;4:8,25,25; 5:4,5,9;6:14,16,24; 7:5,8,12,14,15,22,24; 8:4,5;9:4,4;15:10,13,	56:19 geared (2) 13:19;17:13 general (6) 22:1;77:23;88:25;	Great (8) 4:15;8:13;16:11; 59:6;60:12;62:20, 21;86:1	82:4 hardscape (1)	17,22;52:6 hills (1)
Foster (69) 3:16;4:8,25,25; 5:4,5,9;6:14,16,24; 7:5,8,12,14,15,22,24;	56:19 geared (2) 13:19;17:13 general (6)	Great (8) 4:15;8:13;16:11; 59:6;60:12;62:20,	82:4 hardscape (1) 72:13	17,22;52:6 hills (1) 58:18 hillside (23)
Foster (69) 3:16;4:8,25,25; 5:4,5,9;6:14,16,24; 7:5,8,12,14,15,22,24; 8:4,5;9:4,4;15:10,13,	56:19 geared (2) 13:19;17:13 general (6) 22:1;77:23;88:25;	Great (8) 4:15;8:13;16:11; 59:6;60:12;62:20, 21;86:1	82:4 hardscape (1) 72:13 hardship (10)	17,22;52:6 hills (1) 58:18

Min-U-Script®

Barkley Court Reporters

34:17,20;35:8;51:22, 25:55:17:77:24: 78;18,24;80:1,1,4; 82:13;83:17;84:20; 93:9.14 hillsides (3) 26:18:34:23:80:3 himself (1) 55:9 historical (1) 69:4 history (1) 69:24 hodgepodge (1) 76:15 hold (1) 19:22 holds (1) 96:5 Hollywood (1) 60:19 Hollywoodland (2) 89:9;90:20 Holmby (1) 82:22 home (40) 9:19,20;11:8,12; 23:23;24:7;39:7; 41:6;44:5;45:3,16, 24;46:17,21;47:1,6, 11;49:2;50:3,12; 55:6;62:11;63:17; 64:2,7;65:6,12,15; 72:10;74:3,6,10; 75:25;80:3;86:13, 15;89:6;91:8;95:8, 15 homeowner (3) 73:8;78:19;85:14 homeowners (2) 8:24;40:12 homes (17) 12:7;41:13;44:6; 45:8;46:12,25;49:3, 19,24;50:9,19;51:12; 57:3;72:24;73:3; 90:11;95:9 homework (1) 31:3 hope (2) 30:14:52:8 Hopefully (2) 30:1:37:19 house (38) 22:4,11,18;23:2,7, 8;27:13,19;32:24,24; 34:9,9,11,12,13,15; 38:17:55:13,16; 63:10;67:11;68:22, 23;70:14,16;72:15; 81:14;82:10,22; 83:23;84:5,9;86:12, 24;89:14,17;90:10;

95:15 Housekeeping (1) 3:7 houses (3) 41:12;67:13;82:21 huge (4) 37:6;38:20;74:15, 20hypothetical (1) 65:18 I idea (4) 37:13:43:19:48:7: 80:11 identified (1) 34:24 identifying (1) 68:10 identity (2) 94:7,7 ill (1) 52:8 imagine (5) 15:23;16:1;24:9, 10;55:7 immediate (4) 54:5,15;58:17; 59:14 immediately (2) 6:10,11 impact (2) 48:12;94:13 impacting (1) 48:17 impacts (1) 44:7 impeded (1) 48:23 implication (1) 85:15 important (4) 28:11;39:2;44:8; 57:9 importantly (1) 94:19 imposed (3) 53:18:83:1:84:13 imposition (2) 39:16;84:16 impossible (1) 65:5 impression (1) 58:23 improvements (1) 92:25 inability (1) 67:20 Inaudible (1) 63:24 incentive (1) 34:22

include (1) 94:2 included (1) 29:1includes (2) 91:10:93:9 including (2) 58:17:93:9 incompatible (1) 76:25 inconsistent (3) 22:1;88:25;95:1 incorporate (4) 90:2,5;95:25;96:8 incorrect (1) 78:5 indicate (2) 25:22;51:23 indicated (2) 31:19;68:14 indication (1) 77:3 influence (1) 78:2 information (11) 7:17;10:7;14:5,8, 25;15:5;16:21;17:2; 21:20;40:6,7 initial (5) 19:13;21:10; 31:24;42:25;95:20 injurious (1) 92:24 installed (1) 33:11 instance (1) 78:1 instances (2) 5:24;50:23 instead (1) 84:8 integrity (1) 46:11 intensity (1) 94:9 intensive (1) 41:16 intent (4) 4:13;22:1;28:8; 89:1 intently (1) 71:23 interest (1) 4:6interrupt (1) 34:2 into (10) 10:19;11:13; 39:12;55:18;56:15; 73:3;76:20,22;80:3,4 invade (1) 57:21 invaded (1)

37:8 involved (2) 30:24;76:13 ironwork (1) 37:17 irregular-shaped (1) 79:16 irrelevant (1) 96:6 issuance (1) 77:7 issue (6) 17:11:37:6.13: 40:4;62:17;70:14 issues (2) 30:24:32:18 issuing (1) 30:20 item (9) 4:22:5:14.17.17: 8:14;9:16;61:5,22; 96:23 items (3) 3:7.17:4:6 J Janice (1) 90:1 January (8) 3:1,6;10:5;18:16; 33:19;89:21,21;90:3 JIM (69) 3:22;4:3,11;5:23; 6:9,15,17,21,25; 9:14,16;12:21;13:6; 14:3,10,12;15;12,17, 23,25;16:4,7,14,16, 19,23;17:7,12,22; 18:6,14,17,24;19:3, 7,11,15,20,24;20:3, 10,13,19,24;21:5,9, 13,19;22:7,14,21,24; 23:3,12,13;24:9,19; 25:7,10,14,21;26:12, 16,20,25;27:4,17; 28:13,22 job (3) 31:17;41:3;57:14 jog (1) 86:23 John (4) 66:25;69:10,12,13 joint (1) 14:13 Jon (6) 66:24;67:4,4;69:8; 89:22;90:1 jumped (1) 28:10 June (1) 18:22 jurisdiction (1)

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS January 15, 2014

82:14 justification (1) 68:7 justify (4) 67:15:68:6:87:6: 92:6 Κ keep (2) 57:9:83:22 keeping (1) 87:22 kept (1) 10:17Khalatian (1) 89:25 kind (3) 28:22:50:4:57:23 kinds (2) 49:3:96:6 knew (3) 55:3,9;71:5 Knowing (2) 80:12:86:13 knowledge (1) 61:2 known (1) 55:12 knows (1) 55:14 Koretz (2) 31:23:70:24 Koretz' (1) 79:13 L LA (2) 14:24:88:21 lack (1) 17:4 lacks (1) 96:5 land (10) 28:21;33:5,6; 36:24;40:20;76:13; 77:4;79:13;94:8,10 landscape (1) 10:13 landscaping (3) 11:4;41:24;72:13 large (8) 27:13;34:22; 43:23;46:25,25; 62:21;87:16,20 larger (1) 41:13 last (19) 4:23,24;6:3,10; 12:6,6;15:8;29:17; 40:21;42:10;43:5; 68:1;71:1;76:6;82:1,

Min-U-Seriot®

Barkley Court Reporters

(7) hillsides - last

£

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS January 15, 2014

REGULAR MEETING	r	· · · · · ·	I	January 15, 2014
3;93:24;96:2,8	limitations (3)	locate (1)	8:19;69:12	matter (16)
late (1)	68:15;80:12;95:1	68:18	mailbox (1)	5:21;6:23;19:1;
71:3	limited (2)	located (2)	75:25	22:16;24:2;30:23;
later (2)	47:4:94:10	44:13;93:1	main (2)	31:12;38:24;41:10;
17:2;76:4	limits (2)	locating (1)	70:14;79:15	49:21;50:21;51:3,6;
law (12)	23:8;44:1	63:2	maintain (2)	54:16;78:23;95:18
35:17;36:20;	line (10)	location (7)	10:22;79:19	maximally (2)
39:19,20,20;47:24;	24:6;45:3,19,24;	26:4;34:14;64:14,	maintained (1)	41:20;49:17
57:11;83:16,16,17;	48:16;53:19;65:20,	18,24;68:19;90:17	10:11	maximize (4)
89:21;90:3	22;70:24;89:6	logically (1)	major (1)	48:7;49:18;53:24;
lawyer (2)	lines (1)	72:18	32:23	70:19
46:1;87:18	17:15	long (4)	majority (1)	maximum (5)
Lazarof (5)	LINNICK (112)	10:21;26:9,22;	78:24	39:20;57:11;
40:16,16;52:7;	3:4,15;4:2,4,10,15,	69:5	makes (7)	61:13,24;62:1
80:5;90:1	20;5:12,13,16;6:8,	longer (2)	30:7;53:20,22;	may (8)
least (8)	18,22;7:1,7,11,16,17,	37:9;56:21	81:13,16;82:10;	4:5;8:23;11:13;
10:20;13:9;25:19,	23;8:10,11,13;9:2,	look (11)	86:20	30:20;36:5;43:17;
22;37:20;38:11;	10,10,15;12:18,18;	10:24;37:23;	managed (1)	51:7;85:10
53:18;68:21	14:4,4,11;16:25,25;	38:13;40:8;43:15;	73:2	Maybe (2)
leave (3)	17:9,19;18:1;29:3,	47:24;63:22;71:25;	manager (1)	30:6;40:14
4:3;11:14;31:18	17,21,25;30:3,8,12,	79:15;82:3;88:13	36:23	mean (11)
led (1) 71:10	16;35:11,20,24;36:7,	looked (5)	mandate (3)	10:1;11:2;20:3;
	11,14,19;49:22,22;	24:16,24;58:15;	31:11;69:19;89:11	26:17;34:1;50:4;
left (2) 39:7;78:20	50:1,8,11;51:5;59:4, 4,9,12,16,22;60:2,4,	72:1;87:4 looking (8)	mandated (2) 79:19.21	55:12;57:19;62:25;
legal (1)	8,10,12,15,20,20,24;	18:18;23:13,19;	1	65:3,4
96:6	61:9,10;62:3,5,6,16;	37:3;48:15;56:4,13,	mandatory (2) 49:15;70:21	meaning (1) 25:7
legally (1)	66:15,20,23;69:6,9;	24	manner (2)	measure (2)
50:17	71:14;73:14,17,17,	looks (1)	85:16,19	81:9;82:8
length (2)	21,25;74:5,13,14,22;	65:25	Mansionization (6)	measured (4)
79:22;88:7	75:18,21;77:11;79:1,	Los (5)	73:9,12;82:17,19,	11:9;13:21;14:21;
less (3)	4,11;80:22,24;81:3;	3:1,5;29:11;73:9;	21;84:19	17:17
41:16;51:4,11	83:6,13;85:4;87:7,7;	89:9	many (6)	measurement (1)
letter (11)	88:6;96:20,21,23;	lose (1)	33:7;34:23;54:22,	81:15
6:25;17:3;30:20;	97:2	81:16	25;69:1;73:1	measuring (5)
31:5;68:20;71:6,21;	list (1)	lost (1)	map (15)	15:1;67:14;81:12;
76:17;77:9;83:25;	81:19	51:9	5:25;10:14;14:23,	83:14,19
89:21	listed (1)	lot (24)	23;15:11;17:14,15;	mechanical (1)
letters (6)	78:19	3:10;10:3,7;12:8;	32:8,19,33:8;41:11;	63:2
40:11;51:18;	listen (1)	16:9;24:21;35:6;	43:3;80:11;84:1,15	meet (3)
52:12;89:24;90:3;	41:22	40:21;41:7,9;56:2,4,	maps (1)	39:21;49:12;57:15
93:5	listened (1)	9,14;57:2;61:17,19;	17:13	Meeting (7)
level (8)	72:3	67:17;79:14,16,17;	marginally (1)	3:6,24;4:24;7:18;
11:15,15;43:7;	listening (2)	82:1,3;85:2	11:15	8:23;29:17;97:2
44:4,13;65:12,13;	6:16;71:23	lots (5)	Marmon (24)	member (1)
84:11	lists (1)	41:11,13,14;	29:5,8,10,15;30:1,	69:20
Lewis (1) 36:21	67:12 litigation (2)	50:18;61:15	4,11,14,17;33:24;	Members (3)
liability (1)	69:17;88:4	loud (1) 80:10	34:1;35:12,22,25; 36:9,13;69:18;83:7,	29:8;33:21;69:13
86:20	little (6)	lovely (1)	10,10,14;89:21,25;	mentioned (1) 32:20
lieu (2)	11:3;38:14;39:6;	74:16	90:2	mentioning (1)
9:20;33:23	45:15,20;82:22	lowered (2)	Marmon's (1)	49:23
life (1)	live (4)	43:7,18	38:16	mere (1)
86:22	41:14,15;75:23;	lowest (2)	marquee (1)	54:6
light (1)	77:19	81:10,15	76:22	merits (1)
40:10	living (1)	luck (1)	mass (1)	9:1
lightly (1)	86:22	40:18	93:11	met (4)
56:5	LO (24)		massive (3)	39:25;41:25;77:3,
likely (2)	36:5;60:18,18,23;	М	31:16;33:11;35:3	4
93:18;94:19	61:2,12;62:14,17;		material (2)	method (2)
limit (4)	63:15,19,25;64:4,8,	Mabery (1)	56:10;92:20	34:21;35:5
25:6;64:10;84:6;	11,20;65:7,9,13,17,	92:12	materially (3)	Michael (1)
93:20	24;66:1,5,7,11	Madam (2)	27:25;92:21,23	90:1
	1			•

Min-U-Scriptk

Barkley Court Reporters

REGULAR MEETING	(r
might (5)	30:1;80:
9:22;25:23;29:16;	Mrs (1)
51:4;77:14	80:5
mile (2)	much (10)
92:14,16	12:9;13:
miles (2)	32:10;41
92:11,12	69:8;77:
mind (3) 72:4;78:3;86:16 mine (1) 46:13	85:1 Mulhollan 82:2
minimal (1) 44:3 minimize (2) 94:12,18	Municipal 88:21 Murdock 66:25;69 must (4)
minute (3)	69:16;70
29:24;30:10;71:1	89:12;90
minutes (12)	myself (1)
4:23;5:1;29:7;	13:6
36:1,19;67:3;71:17; 75:22;76:20;81:1,2; 83:7 misnomer (1)	name (13)
43:20	29:6,10;
mistake (1)	60:16;67
77:2	13;71:16
mistaken (1)	77:18;83
84:19	narrow (1)
misuse (1)	47:9
69:23	natural (1)
modification (1)	86:20
14:23	nearby (3)
modifications (1)	90:24;92
82:4	nearest (1)
modify (1)	11:9
7:25	necessarily
moment (1)	28:5
33:19	necessary
Monica (1)	27:7,8;3
69:14	91:16
Montana (2)	need (15)
5:21;7:9	6:18,19,
more (16)	47:5;51:
13:19;17:1;29:2;	53:23;63
31:20;35:9;38:12;	65:15;72
51:11;59:8;65:3;	95:13,14
72:5,6,18;73:11;	needing (2
78:20;80:20;87:22 Mormon (1) 82:20 most (6) 24 10 14 20 10	3:11;22: needs (10) 40:25,25 49:20;52
34:10,14;39:18; 57:10;86:21;94:19 motion (10) 5:15;7:6,25,25; 8:12;19:1,17;88:1,8; 96:22	75:17;85 neighbor (25:8;40: 51:16 neighborh
96:22	8:25;12:
motor (4)	46:24;50
62:20;64:11,12,18	72:21;75
move (4)	87:23;89
3:11;5:1;7:24;	20;94:16
83:22	neighborh
moved (2)	63:11;94

30:1;80:10	neighboring (5)
rs (1)	11:22;93:4;94:13,
30:5	18;95:9
ch (10)	neighbors (16)
2:9;13:20;20:1;	12:16;25:12,19;
32:10;41:16;56:21;	40:15;47:1,2;48:1,
59:8;77:10;83:24;	
35:1	12,17;51:9;52:1;
uholland (1)	58:3;66:2;68:24;
	82:2,5
32:2	neighbors' (2)
nicipal (1)	90:11;95:9
38:21 Indeals (4)	new (5)
irdock (4)	10:7;14:5,8,25;
56:25;69:10,12,13	32:3
st (4)	newer (1)
59:16;70:16;	74:7
39:12;90:22	newer-built (1)
self (1)	74:10
.3:6	next (9)
N T	4:14;5:17;18:9;
Ν	71:20;72:23;82:22;
	88:12;95:21;96:23
ne (13)	nice (1)
29:6,10;36:16;	76:11
60:16;67:2;69:11,	nobody (4)
.3;71:16;75:21,23;	22:10,12;48:23;
7:18;83:7,10	79:3
row (1)	none (4)
7:9	38:9,9,22;67:8
ural (1)	nor (2)
36:20	80:5;95:3
urby (3)	normally (2)
0:24;92:3;95:5	72:11;81:22
rest (1)	north (2)
.1:9	38:23;43:24
essarily (1)	northeast (1)
28:5	31:25
essary (4)	Northridge (1)
7:7,8;31:14;	67:5
01:16	northwest (1)
ed (15)	31:25
5:18,19,23;39:1;	note (1)
7:5;51:2;52:15;	46:14
3:23;63:18,19;	noted (2)
5:15;72:18;78:21;	68:12,20
5:13,14	notes (1)
eding (2)	88:11
5:11;22:19	noticeable (1)
eds (10)	28:3
0:25,25;41:1,1;	noticed (3)
9:20;52:15;58:3;	6:9;24:4;44:25
5:17;85:13;87:18	November (1)
ghbor (5)	20:1
25:8;40:12,14,15;	number (3)
51:16	51:17;61:24,24
ghborhood (15)	numerous (2)
:25;12:5;40:13;	35:17;81:20
6:24;50:13;62:12;	
2:21;75:11;82:20;	0
37:23;89:18;93:4,	
20;94:16,21	000- (1)
ghborhoods (2)	97:5
3:11;94:4	objectives (1)
	-~;

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS January 15, 2014

32:21

observation (2)

72:5;73:1

observed (1)

72:24

obstruct (2)

obstructing (1)

obstruction (1)

12:2,2

93:15

25:23

obtain (1)

obviously (1)

occurred (2)

44:3:67:22

75:24:76:19

3:7.19:14:6:33:13;

55:9;56:25;71:22;

16:11:29:10:71:6:

75:13;78:8;79:13,

16:80:15:83:11

73:7

50:1

off (9)

offer (1)

92:17

office (9)

offices (2)

official (1)

85:12

once (2)

one (49)

89:22:90:3

11:14;73:6

3:20;5:24;6:7;

13:7;14:17;17:24;

22;37:4;39:11,18;

40:11,12,14,21;

21;52:6;53:21;

22;70:17;72:11;

80:1,17;81:13;

86:21;88:18,19;

17:1;93:22;95:17

11:7;21:11;25:11;

26:10,14;28:1,15;

39:7,11,13;45:15;

54:2;64:17;68:11;

73:4,4;75:6;81:13;

89:4;90:25;91:2;

92:14,16;95:15

93:24

ones (3)

only (28)

onto (1)

15:3

open (2)

55:24:57:21:65:21,

73:11;77:13;78:14;

21:23;24:12,16;

15:1;31:12 operate (1) 94:25 opinion (2) 63:8;71:24 oppose (3) 41:19:77:7:85:10 opposed (2) 52:13;78:25 opposing (1) 79:3 opposition (7) 40:9,13,14;51:3; 52:5,6,10 orally (1) 34:3 order (16) 3:16,18;5:18; 11:10;20:25;32:9; 43:18;46:25;47:3; 48:7:49:17.18; 53:19;58:2;79:20; 88:16 ordinance (24) 21:24;24:15; 32:15;34:17,21;35:2, 4,6;51:25;55:17; 73:9,12;75:4;80:2; 82:8,17;83:17;84:19, 21;86:16;87:1,3; 88:24;93:9 original (8) 10:4,16;13:6,11; 14:12,23;76:3;83:17 originally (4) 8:19,24;10:4,5;12:6; 13:22;15:6;56:14, 20 Orinda (1) 26:2;28:6,25;32:20, 96:3 Oro (3) 38:16:48:14:52:7 41:15,15;43:3;51:2, Others (3) 12:8;50:13;89:18 ourselves (1) 56:3 out (26) 3:9,18;5:17;20:7; 28:10;30:21;31:6, 23;33:1;36:5;39:1,3; 42:16;43:21;48:14; 50:25;56:22,23; 58:14;70:24;71:2; 72:25;81:6;82:2,10; 83:15 outline (1) 51:8;52:16;53:3,20; 17:23 outlined (1) 39:24 outraged (1) 69:21 over (10) 14:16;16:12; 40:23;48:15;50:22;

Min-U-Script®

Barkley Court Reporters

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS January 15, 2014

REGULAR MEETING	J	1	
53:15;70:16;77:21;	partial (1)	2.7	
	9:18	3:7 Photo (2)	
79:24;82:3		Photo (2)	po
overcome (1)	particular (23)	37:24;38:6	
68:11	10:2,8;12:12,25;	photos (6)	po
overheight (1)	13:8;14:19;26:22;	37:19,22,23,24;	
18:4	32:8,10;40:9;41:8;	38:3;43:21	
over-in-height (1)	51:1;61:8;62:2;64:4,	physical (2)	
9:19	14,16,16,24;68:7,19;	13:20;48:1	
overturned (2)	88:15,17	pick (1)	
20:21;70:11	particularly (2)	67:9	pe
overview (1)	37:23;40:1	Picking (1)	
71:25	parties (1)	76:19	p
own (6)	7:1	picture (3)	_
15:16;16:6;33:18;	partnership (1)	38:10,13,14	pe
52:25;69:16;76:12	67:23	pictures (1)	-
owned (2)	Patricia (1)	39:2	р
16:5;67:23	4:12	piece (1)	1
owner (10)	Paul (1)	86:18	ре
11:23;15:15,15,	79:13	Pine (1)	1
15;16:18;25:21;	pay (2)	69:14	р
39:19;69:17;71:20;	49:8:82:9	pinpointed (1)	1
86:13	pending (1)	70:14	
owners (2)	69:18	Piszker (1)	po
67:11;76:10	people (10)	90:1	P
	6:12;31:4;34:15;	pitched (3)	p
Р	37:21;40:23;41:19;	62:19,21,22	r
	50:23,25;52:11;	place (1)	
package (1)	81:22	14:16	po
6:7	percent (19)	placed (1)	I.
pad (7)	39:9,10,14;44:1;	80:13	po
33:15;43:18;	45:16,16;47:5;	places (1)	P
56:21;57:1;58:20;	53:20;54:12;57:1;	38:12	po
84:10,11	61:17,18,19,19;75:7,	plan (9)	P
pads (2)	16;79:24;80:14,15	17:5,21,23;32:21;	
42:16;44:4	perhaps (5)	59:18;61:1;94:1,2,9	po
page (7)	10:9;11:20;12:1;	plan-check (2)	h
28:1,7;38:13,14;	15:3;31:20	61:4,23	n
77:25;89:7,23	Perica (7)	Planning (16)	po
paid (1)	66:24,25;67:4,4;	3:5,8,20;14:24;	n
69:15	69:8;89:22;90:1	32:9,16;50:16;	bi
paper (1)	perimeter (1)	60:25;61:6,23;76:8,	
69:25	83:15	13;77:4;79:12;86:3,	
	period (3)	5	m
paragraph (1) 89:8	6:2,5;40:22	plans (1)	pı
parallel (1)	permit (3)	16:21	
12:24	13:22;77:4;94;25	plan's (1)	pı
	permits (1)	28:8	
parameters (1) 75:2	13:12	plant (1)	
parcel (7)	permitted (2)	55:11	pı
10:14;14:22,23;	28:15;36:10	plead (1)	
15:11;33:8;41:11;	personal (3)	73:11	
43:3	40:20;41:17;58:23	please (7)	рі
	personally (1)		
parcels (2)	58:17	3:8;29:6;36:17;	pı
12:7;26:25		51:21;60:17;70:19;	
Park (1) 29:11	pertaining (2)	83:8	pı
	28:9;90:22	pleasing (3)	
Parking (1)	petition (2)	35:9;51:8;95:16	pı
3:9	31:11;69:19	plot (5)	
part (13)	Philosophically (1)	17:5,21,22;59:18;	pr
10:13;25:24;	76:11	61:1 Blue (1)	
34:10;38:15;39:5,7,	phone (1)	Plus (1)	р
7,11;58:21;75:9;	29:13	72:23	
76:2;80:1;84:14	phones (1)	pm (2)	
		L	1

3:2;97:4 odium (1) 76:8 oint (15) 30:21;31:6,22; 33:1;34:2;68:19; 72:25;74:24,25; 76:18;81:10,13,16; 83:19:95:23 ointed (2) 48:14:81:5 oint-of-order (1) 7:17 oints (3) 77:9;79:15;81:4 olicies (1) 94:8 olicy (2) 25:1:93:13 olitical (4) 31:17;78:1,2; 85:16 ools (1) 47:3 ortion (6) 11:7,9;32:2,2; 80:13:81:13 ortions (1) 10:20 ositive (3) 94:3,5.20 ossessed (4) 27:10;67:11; 91:14,17 ossible (2) 65:16,17 ossibly (1) 4:14 ractical (9) 21:25;23:6,11; 27:11;51:7;88:24; 89:13;91:20,24 ractically (1) 51:8 recedent (7) 21:8;52:20;67:15, 18,21;68:25;94:20 recedential (7) 28:20;31:9;57:22; 67:17;68:4,4;93:18 reliminary (3) 42:12,15;61:12 repared (1) 88:1 resence (1) 72:14 resent (3) 3:14;46:18;92:6 resentation (3) 4:7;14:6;85:11 resented (7) 15:6;20:2,23; 31:20;46:15,19;

60:25presently (1) 22:5 preservation (7) 27:8;53:17;55:17; 80:8;84:13;91:13,16 preserve (6) 25:1;28:4;32:21; 78:5;93:14,16 preserved (2) 38:11:39:15 preserving (7) 37:9;53:16;54:24; 56:16,19;94:3,4 President (2) 8:19:69:12 pretty (5) 12:12;20:1;37:3; 55:23;56:25 prevent (4) 23:1,22;89:16; 91:7 previous (5) 67:15,18;68:2; 78:8;84:2 previously (1) 68:5 price (1) 82:9 Primarily (1) 52:5 principal (1) 76:2 prior (3) 43:5;46:5;78:14 privilege (3) 73:7;75:5;94:25 pro (1) 76:6 probably (3) 17:1;24:11;75:13 problem (6) 29:19;30:5;34:24; 75:9;81:24;82:21 proceedings (1) 97:4 process (4) 28:17:37:8:61:4, 23 professional (1) 85:17 profit (1) 41:17 project (7) 17:16;23:20; 26:24;36:23;51:1; 80:13;85:21 projects (1) 41:9 prominent (1) 12:13 promote (1)

Mm-U-Scripf®

Barkley Court Reporters

(10) overcome - promote

51:13

REGULAR MEETING	, ,
pronounce (1)	88
36:2	publi
properties (29)	18
26:18,23;27:15;	28:
32:5;35:13;37:4;	32:
38:22;40:2;42:13,	92:
15;53:13;54:5,15;	pulle
58:12,16;72:21;	13
73:1;79:18;81:19,	purc
20;82:6;90:24;92:1,	68
3,10,17;93:5;95:2,21	purp
property (143)	46
8:20;9:6,13;10:19,	purp
20;11:23;15:16,21;	22;
16:6,6,9;18:9;19:10,	10
23;20:2;21:15;22:5;	53:
23:2;25:21;26:4,6,	95:
10,14;27:9,10,13;	purs
28:20;31:25;32:4,5, 11,14;33:4,14,15;	88: purs
34:7;35:12;38:7,9,	31
16,18,19,24;39:2,9,	push
19,19;42:8,9;43:7,	903 80
17;44:10;45:10,21,	push
24;46:17;47:12;	15
48:2,8;49:18;50:17,	put (
19;52:13;53:3,3,8,	15
19,23,24;54:2,2,7,7,	25
12,19,21;55:1;57:8,	19
11;58:11;63:18,20;	56
64:2;65:8,15;67:11,	
22,24;68:14,15,22;	
69:17,18;70:10,19;	· · ·
71:20;72:17,22;	quar
73:20,22,24;74:1,17;	92
76:1,10,12;77:15,17;	quiel
78:3,17;79:23,24;	8:1
81:23;83:2,15,22,25;	81
86:18,19;87:11,16,	quicl
21;89:15;90:17,19,	62
22,23,25;91:2,3,4,14, 15,17,18,21,25;	quite
92:24;93:1,3,7,18;	12
95:4	
proposal (1)	
23:20	raise
proposed (14)	93
24:6,13;28:7;	raise
30:18;35:2,4,6,16;	33
45:2;89:5;94:11,14,	ran (
16;96:9	73
proposing (1)	RAN
23:14	4:1 14:
protection (1) 30:24	14
provide (6)	20
31:4;33:17;34:18;	rang
35:22;67:21;94:6	34
provided (7)	ratio
21:4;32:7;34:4;	13
35:14,16;59:23;	reacl
76:17	80 80
provisions (1)	read

88:23	31:4;34:18;43:8;
ublic (11)	71:22;77:25;78:20
18:16;27:25;	reading (3)
28:12;29:9;30:23;	12:3;56:4,9
32:13;69:21;85:7;	ready (2)
92:22,24;96:24	4:14;66:19
ulled (2)	real (2)
13:12,22	70:8;86:15
urchase (1)	reality (2)
68:13	66:8;75:14
urpose (3)	really (12)
46:13;93:8,13	15:6;31:1,4;40:8;
urposes (12)	43:20;56:9;61:16;
22:1;32:15;45:6,	62:25;66:8;70:1;
10;46:7,8,10;47:5;	74:23;92:7
53:25;89:1;94:2;	reapplication (1)
95:14	42:25
ursuant (1)	reason (11)
88:21	24:5;26:21;45:8;
ursue (1)	64:17;65:20,22;
31:13	73:5;85:20;86:12,
ush (1)	15;95:6
80:3	reasoning (2)
ushed (4)	20:8;23:24
15:3;72:9;74:3,4	reasons (15)
ut (12)	23:22;24:4,8;
15:21;21:19;	27:16,17;63:6;64:20,
25:15;41:2;50:18,	23;65:21;90:12;
19;54:25;55:8,8; 56:15;72:2;73:13	91:23;92:5;94:22; 95:11,25
50.15,72.2,75.15	rebuttal (4)
Q	79:2,9;80:25;92:8
<u> </u>	recall (1)
uarter (2)	43:9
92:14,15	receive (2)
uick (5)	22:16,22
8:19,20;17:1;60:4;	received (8)
81:4	8:21;17:2;27:16;
uickly (1)	90:24;92:4;93:6;
62:25	95:4;96:24
uite (3)	recognition (1)
12:8;31:2;76:20	88:2 recognize (1)
R	recognize (1) 37:5
	0/10
**	record (12)
	record (12) 5:23:29:6:33:10:
aise (1)	5:23;29:6;33:10;
aise (1) 93:19	5:23;29:6;33:10; 36:17;46:7;60:16;
aise (1)	5:23;29:6;33:10;
aise (1) 93:19 aised (2)	5:23;29:6;33:10; 36:17;46:7;60:16; 67:2;68:5;69:11;
aise (1) 93:19 aised (2) 33:14;43:18	5:23;29:6;33:10; 36:17;46:7;60:16; 67:2;68:5;69:11; 71:16;75:22;83:8
aise (1) 93:19 aised (2) 33:14;43:18 an (1) 73:15 RANDA (21)	5:23;29:6;33:10; 36:17;46:7;60:16; 67:2;68:5;69:11; 71:16;75:22;83:8 recorded (1) 84:15 records (1)
aise (1) 93:19 aised (2) 33:14;43:18 an (1) 73:15 tANDA (21) 4:19;5:4,6,8,10,12,	5:23;29:6;33:10; 36:17;46:7;60:16; 67:2;68:5;69:11; 71:16;75:22;83:8 recorded (1) 84:15 records (1) 3:13
aise (1) 93:19 aised (2) 33:14;43:18 an (1) 73:15 (ANDA (21) 4:19;5:4,6,8,10,12, 14;7:3,13,20;8:4,6,8,	5:23;29:6;33:10; 36:17;46:7;60:16; 67:2;68:5;69:11; 71:16;75:22;83:8 recorded (1) 84:15 records (1) 3:13 redesign (1)
aise (1) 93:19 aised (2) 33:14;43:18 an (1) 73:15 (ANDA (21) 4:19;5:4,6,8,10,12, 14;7:3,13,20;8:4,6,8, 10,12;96:14,16,18,	5:23;29:6;33:10; 36:17;46:7;60:16; 67:2;68:5;69:11; 71:16;75:22;83:8 recorded (1) 84:15 records (1) 3:13 redesign (1) 22:18
aise (1) 93:19 aised (2) 33:14;43:18 an (1) 73:15 (ANDA (21) 4:19;5:4,6,8,10,12, 14;7:3,13,20;8:4,6,8, 10,12;96:14,16,18, 20,22;97:1	5:23;29:6;33:10; 36:17;46:7;60:16; 67:2;68:5;69:11; 71:16;75:22;83:8 recorded (1) 84:15 records (1) 3:13 redesign (1) 22:18 refer (2)
aise (1) 93:19 aised (2) 33:14;43:18 an (1) 73:15 (ANDA (21) 4:19;5:4,6,8,10,12, 14;7:3,13,20;8:4,6,8, 10,12;96:14,16,18, 20,22;97:1 anges (1)	5:23;29:6;33:10; 36:17;46:7;60:16; 67:2;68:5;69:11; 71:16;75:22;83:8 recorded (1) 84:15 records (1) 3:13 redesign (1) 22:18 refer (2) 51:15;77:25
aise (1) 93:19 aised (2) 33:14;43:18 an (1) 73:15 (ANDA (21) 4:19;5:4,6,8,10,12, 14;7:3,13,20;8:4,6,8, 10,12;96:14,16,18, 20,22;97:1 anges (1) 34:6	5:23;29:6;33:10; 36:17;46:7;60:16; 67:2;68:5;69:11; 71:16;75:22;83:8 recorded (1) 84:15 records (1) 3:13 redesign (1) 22:18 refer (2) 51:15;77:25 reference (3)
aise (1) 93:19 aised (2) 33:14;43:18 an (1) 73:15 (ANDA (21) 4:19;5:4,6,8,10,12, 14;7:3,13,20;8:4,6,8, 10,12;96:14,16,18, 20,22;97:1 anges (1) 34:6 ationale (1)	5:23;29:6;33:10; 36:17;46:7;60:16; 67:2;68:5;69:11; 71:16;75:22;83:8 recorded (1) 84:15 records (1) 3:13 redesign (1) 22:18 refer (2) 51:15;77:25 reference (3) 84:18,20;95:18
aise (1) 93:19 aised (2) 33:14;43:18 an (1) 73:15 LANDA (21) 4:19;5:4,6,8,10,12, 14;7:3,13,20;8:4,6,8, 10,12;96:14,16,18, 20,22;97:1 anges (1) 34:6 ationale (1) 13:15	5:23;29:6;33:10; 36:17;46:7;60:16; 67:2;68:5;69:11; 71:16;75:22;83:8 recorded (1) 84:15 records (1) 3:13 redesign (1) 22:18 refer (2) 51:15;77:25 reference (3) 84:18,20;95:18 referenced (2)
aise (1) 93:19 aised (2) 33:14;43:18 an (1) 73:15 LANDA (21) 4:19;5:4,6,8,10,12, 14;7:3,13,20;8:4,6,8, 10,12;96:14,16,18, 20,22;97:1 anges (1) 34:6 ationale (1) 13:15 eaches (1)	5:23;29:6;33:10; 36:17;46:7;60:16; 67:2;68:5;69:11; 71:16;75:22;83:8 recorded (1) 84:15 records (1) 3:13 redesign (1) 22:18 refer (2) 51:15;77:25 reference (3) 84:18,20;95:18 referenced (2) 35:6;90:2
aise (1) 93:19 aised (2) 33:14;43:18 an (1) 73:15 LANDA (21) 4:19;5:4,6,8,10,12, 14;7:3,13,20;8:4,6,8, 10,12;96:14,16,18, 20,22;97:1 anges (1) 34:6 ationale (1) 13:15	5:23;29:6;33:10; 36:17;46:7;60:16; 67:2;68:5;69:11; 71:16;75:22;83:8 recorded (1) 84:15 records (1) 3:13 redesign (1) 22:18 refer (2) 51:15;77:25 reference (3) 84:18,20;95:18 referenced (2)

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS January 15, 2014

reflect (1) 3:13 regarding (7) 9:1:37:6:40:6; 41:23,23,24;91:13 regardless (1) 25:16regulations (5) 22:2:28:9.11: 34:25:89:1 relate (1) 67:16 related (1) 5:18 relating (1) 30:24 reluctance (1) 86:1 reluctant (1) 85:10 remaining (2) 12:6.7 remand (1) 71:10remanded (1) 19:6 remember (6) 12:10:16:8:24:2: 54:7:55:6:74:8 remove (2) 10:17;19:1 repeat (2) 31:5;33:9 report (9) 3:19;4:1;21:14; 22:16;26:8;34:19; 35:23;89:2.19 reporter (1) 88:3 represent (3) 8:24;51:23;69:17 representative (7) 13:11:25:22:29:5: 36:22;43:5;46:6; 95:23 representatives (2) 13:10,17 represented (3) 22:10;77:16;81:25 represents (1) 78:19 request (14) 9:24;10:1;13:2; 14:23;17:14;20:9; 35:18;45:1;68:3; 71:2;80:10,18;89:4; 92:6 requested (2) 18:12:61:8 requests (2) 4:21:14:18 require (1) 28:8

required (5) 10:11:11:19:61:4: 67:8:90:20 requirement (2) 81:9.11 requirements (6) 39:22,25;41:22, 25:49:12:57:15 requires (4) 32:9:37:11:57:2: 90:21 resent (1) 85:15 reservations (1) 85:20 residence (3) 24:6:45:2:89:5 resident (2) 51:16;77:20 residential (1) 94:4 residents (1) 78:24 respect (3) 41:4:85:12:86:4 respectfully (1) 77:6 respects (1) 93:4 response (2) 57:17;63:24 responsive (2) 63:11:66:1 rest(2) 39:15;54:11 restraints (2) 48:2,18 restricted (1) 57:1 result (2) 21:24;88:24 resulting (1) 35:8 results (1) 35:8 retaining (5) 33:12,18;41:23; 43:22;54;21 retired (1) 67:5 reverse (1) 35:19 reversed (2) 19:18;70:8 reviewed (1) 61:5 reviewing (1) 23:13Right (37) 6:24:18:9.23: 26:19,24;27:9;29:3; 30:14;39:6;40:16; 41:10;48:8,8,9,19,

Min-U-Script8

Barkley Court Reporters

REGULAR MEETING	
23;49:18,21;50:21;	12,13;22:2,4,1
51:4,7;55:9;56:11,	25:5;29:18;32
17;57:12;58:22;	33:7;40:3,6,15
66:13,13;67:10;	41:20;42:18,21
71:12;72:23;73:19,	25;57:19,21;5
23;88:4;91:14,17;	60:21;67:12,1
95:21	14,14,23;68:22
rights (1)	70:6,13;71:4;8
57:10	82:4;87:11;90
rises (1)	91:4,8,9,18,23
33:16	5,18,25;93:21
river (1)	5,6,11,17,19,1
79:25	22
Road (21)	Santa (1)
8:17;12:3;32:23;	69:14
34:3,6,14;38:16;	satisfy (2)
41:3;43:25;48:14;	85:13,19
67:23;71:19;72:24;	saucer (1)
75:6,10,15,24,24;	72:5
76:1,21;77:19	Sausalito (1)
roadway (1)	89:11
32:25	Save (2)
Roland (1)	89:9;90:20
60:18	saw (6)
rolling (1)	9:5;24:21;28:1
4:22	59:1;89:2
reof (27)	saying (34)
24:6;38:24,25;	4:12;13:11;16
39:5;45:3,12,12,13,	22:17;23:16;2
13,19,24;46:11;	18;35:10;42:8
48:16;62:21,23;	44:10,12,21;4
65:12,13,19,22;	50:3,5,5,8,10,
77:22;81:6;84:6,6;	51:4;52:4;53:1
86:25,25;89:6;95:24	57:24;58:14,2
roofs (1)	61:13;63:17;6
62:20	71:8;75:6,16
Roscomare (1)	scale (1)
77:19	94:7
roughly (3)	scenario (1)
33:12;61:15;80:14	65:18
route (1)	Second (11)
80:17	5:3;8:3;13:18;
rules (2)	25:24;26:2;30
76:14;86:17	83:19;89:8;90
ruling (2)	96:2,13
85:24;86:14	Section (2)
rulings (1)	69:23;88:21
20:21	seeing (3)
run (1)	12:10;40:22;7
69:7	seek (6)
running (3)	39:17;48:9,19
26:11;53:4;91:1	23;50:23
runs (7)	seem (1)
16:12;53:9,10;	74:17
74:10;79:17,22;91:5	seemed (4)
~	14:16;20:7;46
S	55:20
	seemingly (1)
saddened (1)	72:14
31:2	seems (2)
same (67)	3:10;46:5
9:5,24;14:1;15:15;	sees (1)
18:11,12,16;20:2,8,	86:7

2,13;22:2,4,12,19;	solf imposed (3)
5:5;29:18;32:4,6;	self-imposed (3) 27:3;55:8;95:13
3:7;40:3,6,15;	sensitive (1)
1:20;42:18,21;47:7,	52:1
5;57:19,21;59:17;	sent (1)
0:21;67:12,13,13,	52:11
4,14,23;68:22;69:4;	September (7)
0:6,13;71:4;81:7,7;	10:6;15:8;18:12;
2:4;87:11;90:19;	19:17,22;89:19,23
1:4,8,9,18,23;92:4,	set (9)
,18,25;93:21;95:2,	5:23;11:4;12:8;
,6,11,17,19,19,20,	70:13;90:4,6;94:19;
2	95:5;96:11
ta (1)	setback (4)
9:14	12:9;15:14;43:25;
sfy (2)	53:18
5:13,19	setbacks (6)
cer (1)	10:22;11:19;14:9;
2:5 salito (1)	15:1;79:25;94:8
9:11	setting (1) 76:7
e (2)	several (2)
9:9;90:20	42:4;55:5
(6)	severe (1)
:5;24:21;28:1,10;	48:18
9:1;89:2	severely (2)
ing (34)	48:11;57:1
:12;13:11;16:6;	Shana (1)
2:17;23:16;28:13,	3:23
8;35:10;42:8;43:9;	shape (3)
4:10,12,21;47:23;	11:1;26:4;90:17
0:3,5,5,8,10,13,22;	share (1)
1:4;52:4;53:12;	76:15
7:24;58:14,21;	SHAWN (6)
1:13;63:17;65:5,9;	78:7,12;79:10,12,
1:8;75:6,16	12;80:23
e (1) 4:7	shed (1) 62:25
4.7 nario (1)	short (1)
5:18	58:20
ond (11)	show (1)
:3;8:3;13:18;	48:10
5:24;26:2;30:21;	shown (1)
3:19;89:8;90:13;	34:4
6:2,13	shows (1)
tion (2)	38:6
9:23;88:21	side (10)
ng (3)	10:13;34:10;36:6;
2:10;40:22;78:22	38:8;44:18;45:15;
x (6)	50:15;58:18;76:7;
9:17;48:9,19,22,	78:20
3;50:23	sides (1)
n (1) 4.17	24:24
4:17	sight (1)
ned (4)	81:17
4:16;20:7;46:5; 5:20	significance (2) 21:18;96:6
ningly (1)	significant (3)
2:14	43:10;44:18;72:21
ns (2)	significantly (1)
:10;46:5	35:13
(1)	similar (12)
6:7	21:16;47:1;49:19;

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS January 15, 2014

50:12:58:3:62:12:

67:19,24:68:23;

similarly (1)

Simultaneous (2)

Simultaneously (1)

15:18;38:5

30:23;51:16;

52:10;88:18

single-family (2)

8:20;9:21,22,24;

10:8,9,20,24;11:17,

20;13:7,20;23:22;

39:13,14;40:6,6;

24;44:2;47:4,5;

48:11,18;51:12;

25:15,15;37:11,21;

41:5,8,17,20;43:11,

53:19,20,20,22;55:5.

6;58:21;61:14,14;

21;72:3;89:7

63:21;64:5

sited (2)

sites (1)

13:25

64:20

38:19

situate (1)

64:13

64:13

size (8)

situated (1)

situation (3)

6:3;57:25;80:16

22:19:26:4:48:12:

61:6;68:22,24;

11:18;14:9;17:5,

12;32:7,19;35:1,5;

39:4;45:19;53:22;

54:9.22:59:23:60:6.

6,25;61:2,3,12,21;

86:24:90:17

slightly (2)

slope (22)

77:15

sloped (1)

33:6

slopes (6)

sloping (1)

31:24

11:3,15;39;4;

44:19;45:10;54:8

20:9,10

siting (1)

sits (1)

9:20:94:13

92:1

7:2

sit (1)

54:14

site (49)

single (4)

80:16:81:19:92:5

small (1) 80:13 smaller (4) 48:5,6;50:2;51:12 Smith (1) 36:21 solar (1) 64:22 sold (1) 41:13 solely (4) 45:9;46:10;63:4,5 somehow (1) 41:5 someone (4) 43:9;50:14;57:25; 84:12 somewhat (1) 43:7 Sorry (6) 30:11;33:11;34:1; 36:13;59:21;60:9 sort (14) 11:1.2.12.14.15: 12:2;15:3;17:14,23; 33:5;50:2,5;82:8; 87:12 62:2,19;63:22;64:13, sounds (3) 18:14;74:15;87:19 source (1) 51:17 south (1) 82:20 southwest (2) 32:1:34:7 space (1) 15:2 speak (3) 3:8;15:25;94:9 speaker (2) 3:9:79:6 speakers (5) 29:9:36:9.15; 66:18,24 speaking (6) 7:2;15:18;38:5; 65:3;69:15;77:23 spec (1) 41:12 special (18) 11:25;12:13; 13:19;23:21;26:1,3, 7;27:3,11;73:7;75:5; 90:15,16,21;91:6,19, 22;94:25 specific (6) 14:17;17:18;42:3; 44:15;60:6;65:4 specifically (3) 15:8:17:13:34:24 specifics (1) 13:4 specify (1)

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS January 15, 2014

Tokunaga (81)

REGULAR MEETING	f F
30:18	76:25
	straight (3)
spent (5)	
56:2,3,8;85:2;	5:24;74:10,12
86:22	stream (40)
spoke (1)	26:11;30:25;37
82:15	10;39:5,8,15;4
square (6)	41:23;45:11;53
22:12;32:10;	14;54:8,24;55:
61:16,17,25;62:1	56:15,16,19;72 73:3,18;74:1,1
square-footage (1) 32:15	16,19,20,23;78
staff (9)	79:17,19;81:6;
3:9;6:11;8:17;	84:23;86:19,20
9:13;36:8;60:22;	87:14;91:1
61:1;86:5;88:12	street (14)
stand (3)	10:22,23;11:2,
48:13,14;82:10	12:7;26:9;27:2
stands (2)	38:21;44:4,12,
14:25;52:25	64:12;69:14;84
start (3)	streets (1)
3:19;29:3;85:10	86:22
started (7)	strict (2)
14:6;15:20;16:22;	21:23;88:23
23:7;41:11;56:14;	stronger (1)
76:3	72:22
state (3)	struck (1)
29:5;36:16;60:16	28:6
stated (4)	structural (1)
14:9;28:7;89:22;	46:11
94:23	structure (4)
states (2)	72:9;75:12;76:
76:17;84:2	93:21
staunch (1)	structures (8)
80:8	24:17;34:23;35
steep (2)	74:2,3,4;93:10
54:9,13	studio (1)
step(1)	38:17
35:7 Store (7)	studying (1) 56:4
Steve (7) 8:22;71:15;77:12,	stuff (1)
18,19;78:9,13	15:2
still (4)	subdivision (1)
6:1;17:21;86:18;	84:14
87:20	subject (4)
Stone (57)	24:23;25:12;
12:23;13:8;14:19;	28:17;90:16
15:4;16:13;18:10,	subjective (6)
20;19:9,19;20:2,16;	24:8;62:17;66:
21:7,9;22:3;24:2;	10;90:12
26:2;32:22;34:3,6,8,	submittals (1)
14;37:12,15,16;38:8,	46:24
10;40:10,17;42:8,10,	submitted (11)
22;43:6,25;44:5;	37:19;40:11;
52:19;53:1,11;	43:22;68:8,20;
57:20;67:22;68:3;	71:21;77:9;89:
71:19,20;72:24;75:6,	90:6,9;95:7
10,15,24,24;76:2,21;	Subsequent (1)
78:17;85:24,25;	14:22
86:21,23;91:5;95:18	substantial (6)
stop (6)	27:9;40:22;89:
40:25,25;41:1; 52:15 15 16	90:24;91:14,17
52:15,15,16 stories (1)	substantially (3) 39:13;95:1,22
	57.15,75.1,44

:25	succinct
ght (3)	12:25
24;74:10,12	sufficien
em (40)	35:15
:11;30:25;37:7,	Suite (1)
;39:5,8,15;40:4;	29:11
:23;45:11;53:4,7,	sun (1)
;54:8,24;55:10,17;	64:22
:15,16,19;72:10; :3,18;74:1,10,15,	Sunset (1 60:18
,19,20,23;78:6,10;	Supervis
:17,19;81:6;	96:4
:23;86:19,20;	support
:14;91:1	41:18;
et (14)	80:18;
:22,23;11:2,5;	89:18;
:7;26:9;27:20;	supporte
:21;44:4,12,19;	80:8
:12;69:14;84:11	supporti
ts (1)	78:7
:22	suppose
t (2) :23;88:23	41:7
.25,00.25 iger (1)	supposed 86:25;
:22	Supreme
	31:14;
:6	sure (9)
ctural (1)	27:18;
:11	56:6;6
cture (4)	61:20;
:9;75:12;76:23;	surround
:21	38:22;
ctures (8)	81:21
:17;34:23;35:1;	surround
:2,3,4;93:10,11	44:22
io (1) :17	surround 63:11;
ying (1)	05:11, surround
:4	26:5;9
··· (1)	swimmir
:2	47:3
ivision (1)	
:14	
ect (4)	
:23;25:12;	tables (1
:17;90:16	36:23
ective (6)	takeawa
:8;62:17;66:4,8,	79:15
;90:12 nittals (1)	talk (5)
:24	32:13; 80:21;
nitted (11)	talked (6
:19;40:11;	17:4;7
:22;68:8,20;	10,11,
:21;77:9;89:24;	talking (
:6,9;95:7	65:18;
equent (1)	84:7;8
:22	tall (1)
tantial (6)	34:22
:9;40:22;89:12; :24;91:14,17	Tania (1)
:24;91:14,17	67:1;6
tantially (3)	19;73:

recinctly (1)	Tanner (1)
12:25	75:25
1 fficient (1) 35:15	tear (1) 80:4
uite (1)	technically (2)
29:11	61:5,22
ın (1)	telephone (1)
64:22	8:21
unset (1)	temple (1)
60:18	82:20
upervisors (1) 96:4	tennis (1) 47:2
ıpport (8)	terms (1)
41:18;78:10;	63:4
80:18;82:11;83:3;	terrace (3)
89:18;91:8;92:17	11:12;24:17;83:23
1pporter (1) 80:8	terraced (2) 41:6;93:10
upportive (1)	terracing (2)
78:7	34:25;35:2
uppose (1)	testimony (10)
41:7	16:9;59:14;62:9;
upposed (2)	89:22,24;90:5;
86:25;93:16	91:10;92:8;93:6;
upreme (2) 31:14;40:18	96:1 Thenks (1)
ure (9)	Thanks (1) 85:3
27:18;41:21;51:5;	therefore (2)
56:6;60:15,23;	13:13,23
61:20;62:16;84:12	third (2)
urround (4)	4:22;91:12
38:22;49:20;57:4;	though (5)
81:21 irrounded (1)	4:12;6:11;78:3; 90:3,6
44:22	thoughts (2)
rrounding (3)	73:13;87:12
63:11;66:2;91:4	thousand (1)
irroundings (2)	37:22
26:5;90:18	three (4)
vimming (1) 47:3	14:15;43:2;76:24; 92:11
	Thus (2)
Т	34:25;48:24
	tie (1)
ibles (1)	50:18
36:23 Ikeaway (1)	tied (4) 26:23,25;27:1,4
79:15	timeline (1)
ılk (5)	18:19
32:13;36:7;77:14;	times (4)
80:21;82:19	6:4;42:24;51:3;
17.4.70.00.84.5	55:5 to dom (14)
17:4;72:20;84:5, 10,11,13	today (14) 3:14,23,23;10:6;
lking (5)	12:16;26:8;33:3;
65:18;72:8;82:23;	40:11;52:8;54:14;
84:7;87:15	73:6;83:18;91:11;
ll (1)	93:6
34:22	today's (1)
ania (13) 67:1;69:10;71:18,	8:23 together (4)
19;73:16,19,23;74:2,	14:16;26:24;
6,21,24;76:19;77:15	50:18;88:11

3:21,22;4:3,11; 5:23;6:9,15,17,21, 25;9:3,14,16;12:21, 22;13:6;14:3,10,12; 15:12,17,23,25;16:4, 7,14,16,19,23;17:7, 12,22;18:6,14,17,24; 19:3,7,11,15,20,24; 20:3,10,13,19,24; 21:5,9,13,19;22:7, 14,21,24;23:3,12,13; 24:9,19;25:7,10,14, 21;26:12,16,20,25; 27:4,17;28:13,22; 29:9;31:19;32:7,12; 33:2;59:17;84:1; 85:16;86:6 told (2) 8:25;32;12 tonight (2) 4:6:9:9 took (2) 71:11;80:17 top (6) 37:17;38:14,15; 78:14;79:20,21 topo (1) 17:15 topographical (2) 26:8;70:2 topography (7) 11:13;15:2;26:4; 67:17,24;90:17;91:3 touch (1) 83:9 touched (2) 80:9:92:6 tough (1) 20:15 towards (8) 13:19;17:13; 44:20;45:11,11,21, 21;54:8 tower (1) 32:24 towering (1) 75:12 tract (4) 5:25;80:11;82:1; 84:1 transcript (5) 22:9:24:22:43:8; 89:2,23 treat (2) 32:5:74:1 treated (1) 40:2 true (2) 54:17;78:6 truly (1) 73:5 try (2)

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS January 15, 2014

REGULAR MEETING	J	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		January 15, 2014
63:15;80:2	18:22;70:5	58:1,4;62:13;63:7,	voluntarily (2)	what's (4)
trying (3)	uphold (1)	18,19;64:3;65:16;	26:23;84:15	44:8;52:9;67:19;
23:4;56:10;73:7	73:12	67:7,8;68:3,12;70:4,	voluntary (1)	86:10
turn (1)	upon (2)	5,7,7,23,23;71:5;	84:16	whatsoever (5)
3:9	92:1:95:1	76:16;77:5,7,17;	VTT-71898-CN-A1 (1)	9:1;20:16;65:10;
twice (1)	upward (2)	78:25;81:11;82:12;	5:18	86:5,6
67:25	33:6,16	83:3;84:8,8;88:10,	vu (1)	whole (3)
Twining (13)	usable (4)	16,20;89:4,15,16;	12:23	13:9;37:8;69:24
8:22,23;9:7,12;	53:20,21,23;57:2	90:11,12,14;91:8,15;	12.20	wind (1)
71:15,15;75:19;	use (33)	92:5,21,23;93:3,7,	W	64:22
77:12,18,19;78:9,13;	27:9;28:21;30:15;	12,17,25;94:24;95:5,		wise (2)
80:7	36:24;39:19,20;	9,10,13,16;96:7	Wait (2)	50:21;72:2
two (22)	40:20;41:16,17,20;	variances (5)	30:4;59:7	within (8)
6:4;12:6;14:15;	44:1;47:4,25;48:8;	18:11;20:25;	waiting (1)	23:8;25:5;48:19;
18:8;26:23;37:21;	49:18;51:11,12;	27:18;81:19;82:5	6:5	54:6;57:11;62:1;
40:11,15;41:13,14;	53:24;57:10,11;	varied (4)	waiver (1)	64:10;73:10
43:2,22;50:4;56:23;	67:14;69:2,22;	45:24;65:12,13,19	84:1	without (13)
61:14;67:2,9;71:16;	70:19;76:13;77:4;	various (3)	walking (1)	22:5,19;47:12;
75:22;81:1,2;83:7	79:13;81:12;91:14,	16:10;53:16;64:20	56:24	49:2;62:13,13;63:18,
type (8)	17,94:8,10,25	vary (1)	wall (7)	19;64:2;90:11;91:8;
41:18;57:3,25;	used (8)	12:7	33:12,18;37:16;	95:9,16
62:23;67:14;72:10;	38:10;39:14;	varying (6)	38:8,10,12,20	womanly (1)
81:23;82:7	40:22;68:6;86:23;	26:14,18;54:3;	walls (4)	72:5
types (1)	92:1,5;93:22	58:11;89:7;91:2	12:11;41:23;	wonderful (2)
48:1	uses (5)	vegetation (6)	43:23;54:21	62:11;87:20
typical (1)	46:11;94:6,14,17,	38:19;43:24;44:7,	wants (5)	wonderfully (1)
40:20	19	18,23;84:24	55:15;68:24;72:7,	62:11
ET	using (1)	verify (1)	11;85:14	wondering (1)
U	44:14	61:20	waste (1)	21:17
	usually (1)	vetoed (1)	41:20	words (3)
ugly (1)	17:10	19:5	water (4)	37:22;43:12;50:18
77:23	utilities (1) 78:15	vibrate (1) 3:7	45:21,22;62:25;	work (3)
unaware (3) 54:14;58:15,17	utilize (1)	vicinity (18)	74:11	58:2;73:10;83:5
under (9)	35:4	26:6,11,14;53:4;	way (28) 6:13;10:25;11:4,	works (1) 83:20
9:24;13:13;14:20;	utmost (1)	54:3,5,15;58:12;	17;15:1;16:12;21:7;	worth (1)
39:18,20;82:7,8;	86:4	67:13;90:19;91:1,2,	23:17,23;28:15;40:3,	37:22
93:13;95:5		18;92:2,3,25;95:2,5	18;45:11,11,20;47:6;	writ (2)
underlying (1)	l V	Victor (3)	52:16;55:22;63:1,	31:11;69:19
46:15	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	29:10;83:10;89:25	20;64:4,12;71:25;	wrong (3)
understands (1)	vacant (1)	view (12)	76:12;81:5;83:20;	36:6;50:15;70:20
34:16	25:15	24:23,25;25:3,5,7,	86:7;87:2	
understood (1)	valid (1)	12;44:7,20,21;69:5;	weak (1)	Y
37:1	68:23	71:9;76:18	85:20	
unless (3)	value (1)	viewed (2)	Wednesday (2)	yards (2)
46:17;59:14;79:2	57:23	8:20;9:6	3:1,6	84:3,3
unnecessary (8)	variance (127)	viewpoint (1)	week (1)	year (7)
21:25;23:5,10;	9:17,18,25;10:10;	66:4	6:10	4:24;9:23;10:4,5;
27:12;88:25;89:14;	11:21,24;12:15;	views (12)	weeks (1)	15:9;68:1;74:9
91:20,24	13:16;18:13,19;	12:2,3;25:2,13,20,	56:23	years (4)
unusable (1) 79:24	19:14,19;20:1,9,17;	23;28:2,4;32:21,22;	Welcome (1)	10:15;54:22,25;
79:24 unusual (1)	21:8,16,23;22:6,11, 20;23:1,18,25;24:5,	93:14,16 violation (1)	3:5	77:21
43:19	7,14;25:4,25;27:8,	71:7	welfare (4)	yes-or-no (1)
T /. I /			27:25;28:12;	63:23
		virtually (1)	1 U7-77-74	
up (22)	16,23,24;28:16,20;	virtually (1) 38:23	92:22,24 West (9)	7
up (22) 4:6;11:15,16;	16,23,24;28:16,20; 31:15;32:17;35:19;	38:23	West (9)	Z
up (22) 4:6;11:15,16; 24:17;35:1,3,7;	16,23,24;28:16,20; 31:15;32:17;35:19; 39:17,21,22,25;41:2,	38:23 visible (4)	West (9) 3:5;5:20;7:9;8:16;	
up (22) 4:6;11:15,16; 24:17;35:1,3,7; 44:17;59:5;63:3;	16,23,24;28:16,20; 31:15;32:17;35:19; 39:17,21,22,25;41:2, 5;42:21,22;46:18,20;	38:23 visible (4) 11:7;25:16;38:18;	West (9) 3:5;5:20;7:9;8:16; 14:24;34:10;44:20;	ZA (7)
up (22) 4:6;11:15,16; 24:17;35:1,3,7;	16,23,24;28:16,20; 31:15;32:17;35:19; 39:17,21,22,25;41:2, 5;42:21,22;46:18,20; 47:10,12,24,25;48:3,	38:23 visible (4) 11:7;25:16;38:18; 78:17	West (9) 3:5;5:20;7:9;8:16; 14:24;34:10;44:20; 54:10;60:19	ZA (7) 30:19;31:8;46:15,
up (22) 4:6;11:15,16; 24:17;35:1,3,7; 44:17;59:5;63:3; 71:11,12;72:14;	16,23,24;28:16,20; 31:15;32:17;35:19; 39:17,21,22,25;41:2, 5;42:21,22;46:18,20;	38:23 visible (4) 11:7;25:16;38:18;	West (9) 3:5;5:20;7:9;8:16; 14:24;34:10;44:20;	ZA (7) 30:19;31:8;46:15, 19;61:8;68:3;89:25
up (22) 4:6;11:15,16; 24:17;35:1,3,7; 44:17;59:5;63:3; 71:11,12;72:14; 75:11;76:14,19;	16,23,24,28:16,20; 31:15;32:17;35:19; 39:17,21,22,25;41:2, 5;42:21,22;46:18,20; 47:10,12,24,25;48:3, 10,19,22,22,24;49:2,	38:23 visible (4) 11:7;25:16;38:18; 78:17 visual (3)	West (9) 3:5;5:20;7:9;8:16; 14:24;34:10;44:20; 54:10;60:19 westerly (1)	ZA (7) 30:19;31:8;46:15,
up (22) 4:6;11:15,16; 24:17;35:1,3,7; 44:17;59:5;63:3; 71:11,12;72:14; 75:11;76:14,19; 81:23;82:1;83:21,	16,23,24,28:16,20; 31:15;32:17;35:19; 39:17,21,22,25;41:2, 5;42:21,22;46:18,20; 47:10,12,24,25;48:3, 10,19,22,22,24;49:2, 5,10,10,13,20;50:12;	38:23 visible (4) 11:7;25:16;38:18; 78:17 visual (3) 93:4;94:13,18	West (9) 3:5;5:20;7:9;8:16; 14:24;34:10;44:20; 54:10;60:19 westerly (1) 32:2	ZA (7) 30:19;31:8;46:15, 19;61:8;68:3;89:25 za-2012-1402-zV-zaa-zad-1a (1)

Nin-C-Script®

Barkley Court Reporters

(14) trying - Zakessian

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS January 15, 2014

89:10				
	3:6	2012-111-MND (1)		6 (1)
ZA's (2)	16 (1)	5:20	4	89:21
67:16;81:18	28:1	2013 (4)	4	6:33 (2)
zip (1)	1600 (1)	18:16,22;33:19;	4 (7)	97:3,4
37:16	29:11	89:23	4 (7)	60 (1)
zone (9)	16-foot (7)	2014 (3)	5:17;27:22;29:1;	38:25
28:15;31:15;	54:6,9,10,16;	3:1;89:21;90:3	37:24;38:13,14;	1
			94:23	61 (1)
55:10;67:12,13;	58:16,19;82:8	20-foot (1)	4:44 (1)	89:10
90:19;91:18;92:25;	17 (2)	84:7	3:2	65 (3)
95:2	28:7;33:12	21 (1)	40 (2)	39:14;44:1;53:20
zoned (2)	17,430 (1)	18:12	38:25;77:21	6th (1)
92:11,13	84:3	245 (3)	42 (2)	90:3
Zoning (21)	1700 (1)	19:1,17;69:23	51:23;78:19	
15:5;21:24;22:2;	33:11	245'ed (1)	45 (1)	7
39:23;42:2;46:23;	18 (4)	31:23	92:14	
57:14,16;67:6,7,20;	45:16;75:7,16;	24th (1)	457 (1)	7 (1)
68:8;69:1;70:5,6;	80:14	89:19	92:15	19:9
78:2;83:4;88:23;	182 (1)	25 (1)	478 (1)	750-foot (1)
89:1,3;92:4	96:4	89:23		33:12
	1875 (1)	255 (1)	34:6	794 (1)
1	29:11	92:12	480 (1)	89:11
L	18th (1)		92:13	07,11
1 (2)		25th (1)	490 (1)	0
1 (5)	7:10	19:22	34:7	8
37:24,24;68:10;	1972 (1)	28 (1)	494,000 (1)	
91:10;92:19	89:11	89:11	84:3	80,000 (1)
10 (1)	1986 (1)	28th (3)	494.30 (1)	61:15
37:24	96:4	7:4,13,14	34:12	82 (1)
10338 (1)	1993 (1)		4th (3)	54:12
67:4	83:16	3	4:24;5:1;89:10	
10550 (3)	1995 (1)			9
8:16;31:21;37:2	92:13	3 (3)	5	
10-foot (2)	19th (7)	8:14;27:7;67:10		9 (1)
10:12;84:24	7:11,19,20,21,22,	30 (4)	5 (1)	18:16
11 (1)	23;8:1	61:19;79:24;84:7;	5 (1)	90067 (1)
19:17	1st (1)	87:1	29:1	29:12
1145 (1)	20:1	300 (1)	50 (11)	
96:4	20.1	71:19	9:20;11:6,9;25:17;	9034 (1)
	2		39:9,11;48:16;	60:18
1168 (1)	£	30-foot (1)	54:11;57:1;81:13,14	91326 (1)
89:10	a (a)	84:8	50-(1)	67:5
11965 (1)	2 (2)	33 (1)	53:18	
5:20	4:16;77:25	. 38:15	50-foot (1)	
11966 (1)	2,936 (1)	333 (2)	84:8	
7:9	84:4	48:14;52:7	50-foot-built (1)	
12,000 (1)	2.1 (1)	35 (2)	67:10	
61:17	61:15	47:5;61:19	50-plus (1)	
12.27-D (1)	20 (2)	36 (9)		
			1 75:17	
88:21	33:12:80:14		75:17	
	33:12;80:14 200,000 (1)	9:20;25:17;39:10;	53 (1)	
1209 (1)	200,000 (1)	9:20;25:17;39:10; 45:17;54:13;74:3;	53 (1) 89:23	
1209 (1) 69:14	200,000 (1) 51:24	9:20;25:17;39:10; 45:17;54:13;74:3; 80:15;84:7;87:1	53 (1) 89:23 540 (1)	
1209 (1) 69:14 13 (2)	200,000 (1) 51:24 2000 (3)	9:20;25:17;39:10; 45:17;54:13;74:3; 80:15;84:7;87:1 360 (16)	53 (1) 89:23 540 (1) 92:11	
1209 (1) 69:14 13 (2) 89:7,19	200,000 (1) 51:24 2000 (3) 74:7,8,9	9:20;25:17;39:10; 45:17;54:13;74:3; 80:15;84:7;87:1 360 (16) 13:8;14:19;15:4;	53 (1) 89:23 540 (1) 92:11 55 (9)	
1209 (1) 69:14 13 (2) 89:7,19 1-3.3 (2)	200,000 (1) 51:24 2000 (3) 74:7,8,9 2003 (1)	9:20;25:17;39:10; 45:17;54:13;74:3; 80:15;84:7;87:1 360 (16) 13:8;14:19;15:4; 31:10,20;32:24;	53 (1) 89:23 540 (1) 92:11 55 (9) 37:12;38:11;	
1209 (1) 69:14 13 (2) 89:7,19 1-3.3 (2) 25:1;93:13	200,000 (1) 51:24 2000 (3) 74:7,8,9 2003 (1) 92:15	9:20;25:17;39:10; 45:17;54:13;74:3; 80:15;84:7;87:1 360 (16) 13:8;14:19;15:4; 31:10,20;32:24; 35:14;37:2,5;40:3,4;	53 (1) 89:23 540 (1) 92:11 55 (9) 37:12;38:11; 56:25;72:8,9,16;	
1209 (1) 69:14 13 (2) 89:7,19 1-3.3 (2) 25:1;93:13 15 (3)	200,000 (1) 51:24 2000 (3) 74:7,8,9 2003 (1) 92:15 2005 (1)	9:20;25:17;39:10; 45:17;54:13;74:3; 80:15;84:7;87:1 360 (16) 13:8;14:19;15:4; 31:10,20;32:24; 35:14;37:2,5;40:3,4; 55:24;57:22;67:22;	53 (1) 89:23 540 (1) 92:11 55 (9) 37:12;38:11; 56:25;72:8,9,16; 75:7,10;92:16	
1209 (1) 69:14 13 (2) 89:7,19 1-3.3 (2) 25:1;93:13 15 (3) 3:1;48:15;61:17	200,000 (1) 51:24 2000 (3) 74:7,8,9 2003 (1) 92:15 2005 (1) 74:9	9:20;25:17;39:10; 45:17;54:13;74:3; 80:15;84:7;87:1 360 (16) 13:8;14:19;15:4; 31:10,20;32:24; 35:14;37:2,5;40:3,4; 55:24;57:22;67:22; 71:20;78:3	53 (1) 89:23 540 (1) 92:11 55 (9) 37:12;38:11; 56:25;72:8,9,16;	
1209 (1) 69:14 13 (2) 89:7,19 1-3.3 (2) 25:1;93:13 15 (3) 3:1;48:15;61:17 1527 (1)	200,000 (1) 51:24 2000 (3) 74:7,8,9 2003 (1) 92:15 2005 (1) 74:9 2005-8611-MND-REC2 (1)	9:20;25:17;39:10; 45:17;54:13;74:3; 80:15;84:7;87:1 360 (16) 13:8;14:19;15:4; 31:10,20;32:24; 35:14;37:2,5;40:3,4; 55:24;57:22;67:22; 71:20;78:3 36-foot (1)	53 (1) 89:23 540 (1) 92:11 55 (9) 37:12;38:11; 56:25;72:8,9,16; 75:7,10;92:16	
1209 (1) 69:14 13 (2) 89:7,19 1-3.3 (2) 25:1;93:13 15 (3) 3:1;48:15;61:17 1527 (1) 75:24	200,000 (1) 51:24 2000 (3) 74:7,8,9 2003 (1) 92:15 2005 (1) 74:9 2005-8611-MND-REC2 (1) 8:16	9:20;25:17;39:10; 45:17;54:13;74:3; 80:15;84:7;87:1 360 (16) 13:8;14:19;15:4; 31:10,20;32:24; 35:14;37:2,5;40:3,4; 55:24;57:22;67:22; 71:20;78:3 36-foot (1) 83:22	53 (1) 89:23 540 (1) 92:11 55 (9) 37:12;38:11; 56:25;72:8,9,16; 75:7,10;92:16 55-foot (1)	
1209 (1) 69:14 13 (2) 89:7,19 1-3.3 (2) 25:1;93:13 15 (3) 3:1;48:15;61:17 1527 (1) 75:24 1535 (1)	200,000 (1) 51:24 2000 (3) 74:7,8,9 2003 (1) 92:15 2005 (1) 74:9 2005-8611-MND-REC2 (1) 8:16 2006 (2)	9:20;25:17;39:10; 45:17;54:13;74:3; 80:15;84:7;87:1 360 (16) 13:8;14:19;15:4; 31:10,20;32:24; 35:14;37:2,5;40:3,4; 55:24;57:22;67:22; 71:20;78:3 36-foot (1) 83:22 39 (1)	53 (1) 89:23 540 (1) 92:11 55 (9) 37:12;38:11; 56:25;72:8,9,16; 75:7,10;92:16 55-foot (1) 53:18	
1209 (1) 69:14 13 (2) 89:7,19 1-3.3 (2) 25:1;93:13 15 (3) 3:1;48:15;61:17 1527 (1) 75:24 1535 (1) 77:19	200,000 (1) 51:24 2000 (3) 74:7,8,9 2003 (1) 92:15 2005 (1) 74:9 2005-8611-MND-REC2 (1) 8:16 2006 (2) 30:25;86:14	9:20;25:17;39:10; 45:17;54:13;74:3; 80:15;84:7;87:1 360 (16) 13:8;14:19;15:4; 31:10,20;32:24; 35:14;37:2,5;40:3,4; 55:24;57:22;67:22; 71:20;78:3 36-foot (1) 83:22 39 (1) 38:25	53 (1) 89:23 540 (1) 92:11 55 (9) 37:12;38:11; 56:25;72:8,9,16; 75:7,10;92:16 55-foot (1) 53:18 55-foot-imposed (1) 43:25	
1209 (1) 69:14 13 (2) 89:7,19 1-3.3 (2) 25:1;93:13 15 (3) 3:1;48:15;61:17 1527 (1) 75:24 1535 (1) 77:19 15-foot (4)	200,000 (1) 51:24 2000 (3) 74:7,8,9 2003 (1) 92:15 2005 (1) 74:9 2005-8611-MND-REC2 (1) 8:16 2006 (2) 30:25;86:14 2008 (1)	9:20;25:17;39:10; 45:17;54:13;74:3; 80:15;84:7;87:1 360 (16) 13:8;14:19;15:4; 31:10,20;32:24; 35:14;37:2,5;40:3,4; 55:24;57:22;67:22; 71:20;78:3 36-foot (1) 83:22 39 (1) 38:25 3rd (2)	53 (1) 89:23 540 (1) 92:11 55 (9) 37:12;38:11; 56:25;72:8,9,16; 75:7,10;92:16 55-foot (1) 53:18 55-foot-imposed (1) 43:25 5th (2)	
1209 (1) 69:14 13 (2) 89:7,19 1-3.3 (2) 25:1;93:13 15 (3) 3:1;48:15;61:17 1527 (1) 75:24 1535 (1) 77:19	200,000 (1) 51:24 2000 (3) 74:7,8,9 2003 (1) 92:15 2005 (1) 74:9 2005-8611-MND-REC2 (1) 8:16 2006 (2) 30:25;86:14 2008 (1) 89:9	9:20;25:17;39:10; 45:17;54:13;74:3; 80:15;84:7;87:1 360 (16) 13:8;14:19;15:4; 31:10,20;32:24; 35:14;37:2,5;40:3,4; 55:24;57:22;67:22; 71:20;78:3 36-foot (1) 83:22 39 (1) 38:25	53 (1) 89:23 540 (1) 92:11 55 (9) 37:12;38:11; 56:25;72:8,9,16; 75:7,10;92:16 55-foot (1) 53:18 55-foot-imposed (1) 43:25	
1209 (1) 69:14 13 (2) 89:7,19 1-3.3 (2) 25:1;93:13 15 (3) 3:1;48:15;61:17 1527 (1) 75:24 1535 (1) 77:19 15-foot (4)	200,000 (1) 51:24 2000 (3) 74:7,8,9 2003 (1) 92:15 2005 (1) 74:9 2005-8611-MND-REC2 (1) 8:16 2006 (2) 30:25;86:14 2008 (1)	9:20;25:17;39:10; 45:17;54:13;74:3; 80:15;84:7;87:1 360 (16) 13:8;14:19;15:4; 31:10,20;32:24; 35:14;37:2,5;40:3,4; 55:24;57:22;67:22; 71:20;78:3 36-foot (1) 83:22 39 (1) 38:25 3rd (2)	53 (1) 89:23 540 (1) 92:11 55 (9) 37:12;38:11; 56:25;72:8,9,16; 75:7,10;92:16 55-foot (1) 53:18 55-foot-imposed (1) 43:25 5th (2) 7:19;18:22	
1209 (1) 69:14 13 (2) 89:7,19 1-3.3 (2) 25:1;93:13 15 (3) 3:1;48:15;61:17 1527 (1) 75:24 1535 (1) 77:19 15-foot (4) 10:12;79:21;	200,000 (1) 51:24 2000 (3) 74:7,8,9 2003 (1) 92:15 2005 (1) 74:9 2005-8611-MND-REC2 (1) 8:16 2006 (2) 30:25;86:14 2008 (1) 89:9	9:20;25:17;39:10; 45:17;54:13;74:3; 80:15;84:7;87:1 360 (16) 13:8;14:19;15:4; 31:10,20;32:24; 35:14;37:2,5;40:3,4; 55:24;57:22;67:22; 71:20;78:3 36-foot (1) 83:22 39 (1) 38:25 3rd (2)	53 (1) 89:23 540 (1) 92:11 55 (9) 37:12;38:11; 56:25;72:8,9,16; 75:7,10;92:16 55-foot (1) 53:18 55-foot-imposed (1) 43:25 5th (2)	