LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

221 North Figueroa Street, Suite 1200

Los Angeles, California 90012

Telephone: 213.250.1800

Fax: 213.250.7900

www.lewisbrisbois.com

BRANT H. DVEIRIN

DIRECT DIAL: 213.580.6317 Brant.Dveirin@lewisbrisbois.com February 24, 2014

File No. 32350.09

VIA HAND DELIVERY

Sharon Gin, Legislative Assistant Councilmember Jose Huizar, Chair Councilmember Gilbert A. Cedillo Councilmember Mitchell Englander Council of the City of Los Angeles Planning Land Use Management Committee 200 North Spring Street, Room 395 Los Angeles, CA 90012

Re:

<u>Transcript Submitted on Behalf of Applicant for 10550 West Bellagio Road</u>
<u>Case No. ZA 2012-1402(ZV)(ZAA)(ZAD)</u>, in <u>Support of City Council's Charter</u>
<u>Section 245 Motion</u>

Dear Ms. Gin and Councilmembers:

Enclosed are five (5) copies of the transcript of the hearing before the West Los Angeles Area Planning Commission, which was held on Wednesday January 15, 2014. The Applicant hereby submits the enclosed transcript in support of the approval of a variance from Los Angeles Municipal Code Section 12.21-C.10(d), to permit a building height of 50 feet in lieu of the 36-foot height limit for single family residences constructed in the RE20-1 Zone.

Should you have any questions or require additional information or materials, please do not hesitate to contact me directly at (213) 580-6317. Thank you.

Sincerely

Brant H. Dveirin of

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP

\BHD Encls.

	JULAR MEETING		*J	15, 2014
	Page 1			Page 3
1 2		1	Los Angeles, California; Wednesday, January 15, 2014	
1 2 3 4	WEST LOS ANGELES AREA PLANNING COMMISSION	2	4:44 p.m.	
5		3	•	
	REGULAR MEETING	4	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Good afternoon.	
6	HENRY MEDINA WEST L.A. PARKING ENFORCEMENT FACILITY	5	Welcome to the West Los Angeles Area Planning	
7	11214 W. EXPOSITION BOULEVARD, SECOND FLOOR,	6	Commission Meeting of Wednesday, January 15th.	
8	ROLL CALL ROOM	7	Housekeeping items, phones should be off or on vibrate.	
9	LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90064	8	If you are planning to speak this evening, please fill	
10		9	out a speaker card, and turn it in to staff. Parking	
11		10	seems to be okay. The lot wasn't too full. So I won't	
12		11	make any announcements about folks needing to move	
13	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS	12	their cars.	
14	-o0o-	13	Let the records reflect the Commissioners	
15		14	present today, Commissioner Halper,	
	Wednesday, January 15, 2014	15	Commissioner Donovan, Commissioner Linnick, and	
16	Commencing at 4:44 p.m.	16	Commissioner Foster. We are going to go in order of	
17		17	the items on the agenda, although I think I'm going to	
18		18	take four out of order because it's been continued. So	
19		19	we'll start off with the departmental report, if there	
20		20	is one, from the City Planning Department.	
21	Joanna B. Brown, CSR No. 8570, RPR, CRR, RMR	21	Hi, Mr. Tokunaga.	
22	369616	22	JIM TOKUNAGA: So I am going to be doing	
23		23	everything today, yes. Shana could not be here today.	
24		24	She had a conflicting meeting. So she asked that I	
25		25	just convey that to you, and there was nothing to	
	Page 2			Page 4
1	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:	1	report.	
2	FOR THE APPLICANT:	2	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay.	
3	LEWIS, BRISBOIS, BISGAARD & SMITH LLP BY: BRANT DVEIRIN, ESQ.	3	JIM TOKUNAGA: And so I'll leave it at that.	
4	221 South Figueroa Street Suite 1200	4	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. Thank you.	
5	Los Angeles, California 90012 (213) 580-6317	5	We have on the agenda, although this may not	
6	(213) 250-7900 Fax brant.dveirin@lewisbrisbois.com	6	be coming up tonight, but other items of interest. We	
7	FOR THE APPELLANT:	7	have the presentation on the Expo corridor.	
8		8	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: No. We are not goi	ng to
9	LAW OFFICES OF VICTOR I. MARMON BY: VICTOR I. MARMON, ESQ.	9	have that.	· ·
10	1875 Century Park East Suite 1600	10	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: We are not?	
11	Los Angeles, California 90067 (310) 551-8120	11	JIM TOKUNAGA: Yeah. So I got a call from	
12	(310) 551-8113 Fax vmarmon@earthlink.net	12	Patricia Diefenderfer just saying that even though it	
13	•	13	was on the agenda, the intent that they were not	
14		14	ready. So they could possibly come on the next agenda.	
15		15	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. Great. Tha	nk
16		16	you. No. 2 is "Commission Business." The advance	
		17	calendar, are there any changes to the advance	
17		1	calendar?	
17 18		18		
		18 19	RANDA HANNA: We are good.	
18 19			· ·	Are
18 19 20		19	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. Thank you	Are
18 19 20 21		19 20	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. Thank you there any Commission requests? No. We are just	Are
18 19 20 21 22		19 20 21	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. Thank you there any Commission requests? No. We are just rolling along. The third item on "Commission	Are
18 19 20 21 22 23		19 20 21 22	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. Thank you there any Commission requests? No. We are just rolling along. The third item on "Commission Business," approval of the minutes from our last	Are
18 19 20 21 22		19 20 21 22 23	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. Thank you there any Commission requests? No. We are just rolling along. The third item on "Commission	

	JULAK MEETING		
	Page 5		Page 7
1	would move we approve the minutes of December 4th.	1	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Are the parties here
2	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Commissioner Donovan.	2	(Simultaneously speaking.)
3	Second.	3	RANDA HANNA: Yes. It will be continued until
4	RANDA HANNA: Commissioner Foster?	4	February 28th. It has been
5	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Aye.	5	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: So we will make I
6	RANDA HANNA: Commissioner Donovan?	6	will make a motion
7	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Aye.	7	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Yeah.
8	RANDA HANNA: Commissioner	8	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: - that we continue
9	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Halper.	9	case, that 11966 [sic] West Montana Avenue, to
.0	RANDA HANNA: Halper?	10	February the 18th, is it?
.1	COMMISSIONER HALPER: Aye,	11	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: 19th? Oh.
.2	RANDA HANNA: Commissioner Linnick?	12	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: February
.3	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Aye.	13	RANDA HANNA: February 28th.
4	RANDA HANNA: And the item has been the	14	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: 28th. Okay.
5	motion is carried. Thank you.	15	Commissioner Foster.
6	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Thank you. Okay. And	16	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. We don't, oh
7	then our next item, I'm going to take Item No. 4 out of	17	Commissioner Linnick point-of-order information.
8	order. It's VTT-71898-CN-A1 and its related cases,	18	We don't have do we have a meeting on we have
9	DIR-2012-1112-DB, CEQA Environmental	19	February 5th and then February 19th.
0	2012-111-MND [sic], and the address is 11965 West	20	RANDA HANNA: February 19th. So it will be on
1	Montana Avenue. We understand that this matter has	21	February 19th.
2	been continued.	22	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: 19th.
3	JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes. Just so I set the record	23	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: 19th. Okay.
4	straight, that is another one of those instances where	24	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Okay. So I move I
5	there was a tract map appeal, and there was a companion	25	change my motion I modify my motion to
	Page 6		Page 8
1	density bonus case that's actually currently still in	1	February 19th.
2	the appeal period. So we don't want that a	2	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Commissioner Donovan.
3	situation which has happened, like, last time where we	3	Second.
4	had two things going on at different times. So we are	4	RANDA HANNA: Okay. Commissioner Foster?
5	waiting for the appeal period on the density bonus to	5	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Aye.
6	finish so that if that's appealed, that it gets all	6	RANDA HANNA: Commissioner Donovan?
7	bundled as one package.	7	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Aye.
8	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Good.	ء ا	•
	COMMISSIONER DIMNICK: COOK.		
	IIM TOKUNACA, Okay? So that's a way noticed	8	RANDA HANNA: Commissioner Halper?
9 n	JIM TOKUNAGA: Okay? So that's we noticed	9	COMMISSIONER HALPER: Aye.
0	that on the agenda last week, and I immediately even	9 10	COMMISSIONER HALPER: Aye. RANDA HANNA: Commissioner Linnick?
.0	that on the agenda last week, and I immediately — even though it's not my case, I immediately let the staff	9 10 11	COMMISSIONER HALPER: Aye. RANDA HANNA: Commissioner Linnick? COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Aye.
.0	that on the agenda last week, and I immediately — even though it's not my case, I immediately let the staff people know that this Commission would not accept it	9 10 11 12	COMMISSIONER HALPER: Aye. RANDA HANNA: Commissioner Linnick? COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Aye. RANDA HANNA: And the motion is carried.
.0	that on the agenda last week, and I immediately — even though it's not my case, I immediately let the staff people know that this Commission would not accept it that way.	9 10 11 12 13	COMMISSIONER HALPER: Aye. RANDA HANNA: Commissioner Linnick? COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Aye. RANDA HANNA: And the motion is carried. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. Great. So
.0 .1 .2 .3	that on the agenda last week, and I immediately — even though it's not my case, I immediately let the staff people know that this Commission would not accept it that way. COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Thank you.	9 10 11 12 13 14	COMMISSIONER HALPER: Aye. RANDA HANNA: Commissioner Linnick? COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Aye. RANDA HANNA: And the motion is carried. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. Great. So now we'll go back to Item No. 3,
.0 .1 .2 .3 .4	that on the agenda last week, and I immediately — even though it's not my case, I immediately let the staff people know that this Commission would not accept it that way. COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Thank you. JIM TOKUNAGA: Okay. Thank you.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	COMMISSIONER HALPER: Aye. RANDA HANNA: Commissioner Linnick? COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Aye. RANDA HANNA: And the motion is carried. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. Great. So now we'll go back to Item No. 3, ZA-2012-1402-ZV-ZAA-ZAD-1A, CEQA Environmental
.0 .1 .2 .3 .4	that on the agenda last week, and I immediately — even though it's not my case, I immediately let the staff people know that this Commission would not accept it that way. COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Thank you. JIM TOKUNAGA: Okay. Thank you. COMMISSIONER FOSTER: You are listening.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	COMMISSIONER HALPER: Aye. RANDA HANNA: Commissioner Linnick? COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Aye. RANDA HANNA: And the motion is carried. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. Great. So now we'll go back to Item No. 3, ZA-2012-1402-ZV-ZAA-ZAD-1A, CEQA Environmental 2005-8611-MND-REC2, and the address is 10550 West
.0 .1 .2 .3 .4 .5	that on the agenda last week, and I immediately — even though it's not my case, I immediately let the staff people know that this Commission would not accept it that way. COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Thank you. JIM TOKUNAGA: Okay. Thank you. COMMISSIONER FOSTER: You are listening. JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	COMMISSIONER HALPER: Aye. RANDA HANNA: Commissioner Linnick? COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Aye. RANDA HANNA: And the motion is carried. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. Great. So now we'll go back to Item No. 3, ZA-2012-1402-ZV-ZAA-ZAD-1A, CEQA Environmental 2005-8611-MND-REC2, and the address is 10550 West Bellagio Road. If staff can address that for us.
.0 .1 .2 .3 .4 .5 .6	that on the agenda last week, and I immediately — even though it's not my case, I immediately let the staff people know that this Commission would not accept it that way. COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Thank you. JIM TOKUNAGA: Okay. Thank you. COMMISSIONER FOSTER: You are listening. JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: So do we need to — do	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	COMMISSIONER HALPER: Aye. RANDA HANNA: Commissioner Linnick? COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Aye. RANDA HANNA: And the motion is carried. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. Great. So now we'll go back to Item No. 3, ZA-2012-1402-ZV-ZAA-ZAD-1A, CEQA Environmental 2005-8611-MND-REC2, and the address is 10550 West Bellagio Road. If staff can address that for us. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Excuse me,
.0 .1 .2 .3 .4 .5 .6 .7 .8	that on the agenda last week, and I immediately — even though it's not my case, I immediately let the staff people know that this Commission would not accept it that way. COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Thank you. JIM TOKUNAGA: Okay. Thank you. COMMISSIONER FOSTER: You are listening. JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: So do we need to — do we need to do anything or — it happened, I know — I	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	COMMISSIONER HALPER: Aye. RANDA HANNA: Commissioner Linnick? COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Aye. RANDA HANNA: And the motion is carried. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. Great. So now we'll go back to Item No. 3, ZA-2012-1402-ZV-ZAA-ZAD-1A, CEQA Environmental 2005-8611-MND-REC2, and the address is 10550 West Bellagio Road. If staff can address that for us. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Excuse me, Madam President. I just have one quick a couple
.0 .1 .2 .3 .4 .5 .6 .7 .8	that on the agenda last week, and I immediately — even though it's not my case, I immediately let the staff people know that this Commission would not accept it that way. COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Thank you. JIM TOKUNAGA: Okay. Thank you. COMMISSIONER FOSTER: You are listening. JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: So do we need to — do we need to do anything or — it happened, I know — I got a call. It happened from —	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	COMMISSIONER HALPER: Aye. RANDA HANNA: Commissioner Linnick? COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Aye. RANDA HANNA: And the motion is carried. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. Great. So now we'll go back to Item No. 3, ZA-2012-1402-ZV-ZAA-ZAD-1A, CEQA Environmental 2005-8611-MND-REC2, and the address is 10550 West Bellagio Road. If staff can address that for us. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Excuse me, Madam President. I just have one quick a couple quick disclosures. I have viewed the property site,
.0 .1 .2 .3 .4 .5 .6 .7 .8 .9 .9	that on the agenda last week, and I immediately — even though it's not my case, I immediately let the staff people know that this Commission would not accept it that way. COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Thank you. JIM TOKUNAGA: Okay. Thank you. COMMISSIONER FOSTER: You are listening. JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: So do we need to — do we need to do anything or — it happened, I know — I got a call. It happened from — JIM TOKUNAGA: Oh.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COMMISSIONER HALPER: Aye. RANDA HANNA: Commissioner Linnick? COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Aye. RANDA HANNA: And the motion is carried. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. Great. So now we'll go back to Item No. 3, ZA-2012-1402-ZV-ZAA-ZAD-1A, CEQA Environmental 2005-8611-MND-REC2, and the address is 10550 West Bellagio Road. If staff can address that for us. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Excuse me, Madam President. I just have one quick a couple quick disclosures. I have viewed the property site, and also I received a telephone call from a
.0 .1 .2 .3 .4 .5 .6 .7 .8 .9 .9 .9 .9	that on the agenda last week, and I immediately — even though it's not my case, I immediately let the staff people know that this Commission would not accept it that way. COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Thank you. JIM TOKUNAGA: Okay. Thank you. COMMISSIONER FOSTER: You are listening. JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: So do we need to — do we need to do anything or — it happened, I know — I got a call. It happened from — JIM TOKUNAGA: Oh. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: — your department, but	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COMMISSIONER HALPER: Aye. RANDA HANNA: Commissioner Linnick? COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Aye. RANDA HANNA: And the motion is carried. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. Great. So now we'll go back to Item No. 3, ZA-2012-1402-ZV-ZAA-ZAD-1A, CEQA Environmental 2005-8611-MND-REC2, and the address is 10550 West Bellagio Road. If staff can address that for us. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Excuse me, Madam President. I just have one quick a couple quick disclosures. I have viewed the property site, and also I received a telephone call from a Steve Twining, asking me if I was going to attend
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 21 22 23	that on the agenda last week, and I immediately — even though it's not my case, I immediately let the staff people know that this Commission would not accept it that way. COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Thank you. JIM TOKUNAGA: Okay. Thank you. COMMISSIONER FOSTER: You are listening. JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: So do we need to — do we need to do anything or — it happened, I know — I got a call. It happened from — JIM TOKUNAGA: Oh.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COMMISSIONER HALPER: Aye. RANDA HANNA: Commissioner Linnick? COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Aye. RANDA HANNA: And the motion is carried. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. Great. So now we'll go back to Item No. 3, ZA-2012-1402-ZV-ZAA-ZAD-1A, CEQA Environmental 2005-8611-MND-REC2, and the address is 10550 West Bellagio Road. If staff can address that for us. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Excuse me, Madam President. I just have one quick a couple quick disclosures. I have viewed the property site, and also I received a telephone call from a

KEC	SULAR MEETING		january .	13, 4VI
	Page 9			Page 11
1	whatsoever regarding the merits of this case.	1	themselves described it. It's sort of a bowl shape,	
2	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Thank you.	2	and by that, I mean, if you from the street, it sort	
3	Mr. Tokunaga	3	of slopes down a little. And because of the	
4	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Commissioner Foster. I	4	landscaping and the creek and the way it's set back	
5	have the same disclosure. I went and I saw the	5	from the street, I although the height, you know, is	
6	property. I viewed it. I did get a call from	6	50 feet, I didn't believe that it would be that	
7	Mr. Twining, but we had no discussion about the case at	7	visible. And only a portion of that, the building	
8	all. It was just whether I was going to be here	8	itself, the home itself, is actually above at the	
9	tonight. I said, yes, I was.	9	50 feet, the portion that's measured nearest to the	
10	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Commissioner Linnick.	10	creek. And so in order	
11	I'm feeling very alone in that I did not get a call	11	And I understand that the building can the	
12	from this said Mr. Twining, whoever he is, but I also	12	home can be designed to, sort of, terrace along the	
13	have seen the property. Okay. Staff.	13	topography, but in doing so, it may cut into the	
14	JIM TOKUNAGA: Okay. So	14	hillside. There is once you, sort of, leave the	
15	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Thank you.	15	level marginally level area, it sort of slopes up,	
16	JIM TOKUNAGA: this item is an appeal of my	16	not that they would build up there, but that is another	
17	approval of a height variance. Actually, it's a	17	way to construct on the site.	
18	partial appeal. The appeal itself is on the variance	18	And so, because of the slope, the creek going	
19	that was granted for an over-in-height home, a	19	through there, the setbacks that are required, I felt	
20	single-family home of 50 feet in lieu of the 36 feet	20	that the site has some constraints on it that perhaps	
21	allowed. The site itself, I felt	21	allowed for the variance to be granted.	
22	First of all, I think the site might be	22	And then the appeal was filed by a neighboring	
23	familiar to you because, about a year ago, there was an	23	property owner, who believes that, you know, first, a	
24	adjacent site that also was under the same request for	24	variance should not be granted because there's no	
25	a variance for height, and in that case, I denied the	25	hardship, there's no special circumstance, and that,	
	Page 10			Page 12
1	appeal I mean, denied the request. So, in this	1	you know, perhaps that the building itself would be	
2	particular case, I've approved it. Okay. And	2	obstruct views, or it would be sort of obstruct	
3	There are a lot of things that have happened	3	views along the road itself, which is what I'm reading	
4	in that one year that we've held the original hearing,	4	now.	
5	which was in January, approximately one year ago from	5	So the neighborhood itself, this is like, I	
6	today. We held another hearing back in September, and	6	want to say, the last remaining or one of the last two	
7	a lot of new information was given to me. And I felt	7	remaining parcels along this street. The homes vary.	
8	that, on this particular site, there are some	8	Some are set back a lot, quite a bit. Others are	
9	circumstances on the site that perhaps should allow for	9	don't have much of a setback. I don't some I	
10	a variance. There is a creek, that you are all aware	10	don't remember seeing the creek anywhere else. It	
11	of, that is required to be maintained. There is a	11	could be behind walls or fences so I can't see it, but	
12	15-foot easement for the creek itself and then 10-foot	12	in this particular case, yeah, the creek is pretty	
13	landscape buffer on each side. And that is part of a	13	prominent. So that in itself I felt was a special	
14	parcel map approval that was approved by this	14	circumstance.	
15	Commission, I want to say, five years ago or so.	15	With that being said, the variance was	
16	And although the original applicant	16	granted, and here we are today. The neighbors have	
17	application was to remove that condition, they've kept	17	appealed.	
18	that condition. So, now, they have to comply with it.	18	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Commissioner Linn	nick.
19	In doing so, I felt that it did cut into the property,	19	COMMISSIONER HALPER: Commissioner Halp	er. A
20	at least portions of the property. The site itself has	20	question	
21	what I believe is a very long frontage along the	21	JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes.	
22	street, and you have to maintain setbacks along that	22	COMMISSIONER HALPER: Mr. Tokunaga. T	his
23	street frontage.	23	is, like, almost deja vu. The Stone Canyon case, which	
24	And if you look at the site, too, it's	24	the Commission heard, is very parallel to this	
25	described and this is the way the applicants	25	particular case. What would succinctly, what would	
l	* **		•	

Page 13 e the differences? Because the Commission did not pprove the case or did not approve the request of the eveloper. What do you see as the specifics that would make this different than for approval? JIM TOKUNAGA: Well, for myself, the original asse, the one adjoining this site I believe that was are 360 Stone Canyon and in that particular case, he whole argument from the very beginning, at least and they changed representatives, but the applicant's riginal representative was saying that it the ardship was that they had pulled the building permits, and it was under construction, and therefore, it was a	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	far as measuring and the way the setbacks are, the open space, the hillside, the topography, all that stuff was sort of being pushed onto the Commission perhaps during the appeal for the 360 Stone Canyon, but all that was information that the Zoning Administrator originally never really was presented. So we that's why we held the other hearing. And we held another hearing for this case specifically in September of last year.
pprove the case or did not approve the request of the eveloper. What do you see as the specifics that would nake this different than for approval? JIM TOKUNAGA: Well, for myself, the original ase, the one adjoining this site I believe that was no 360 Stone Canyon and in that particular case, no whole argument from the very beginning, at least and they changed representatives, but the applicant's riginal representative was saying that it the ardship was that they had pulled the building permits,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	space, the hillside, the topography, all that stuff was sort of being pushed onto the Commission perhaps during the appeal for the 360 Stone Canyon, but all that was information that the Zoning Administrator originally never really was presented. So we that's why we held the other hearing. And we held another hearing for this case specifically in September of last year.
pprove the case or did not approve the request of the eveloper. What do you see as the specifics that would nake this different than for approval? JIM TOKUNAGA: Well, for myself, the original ase, the one adjoining this site I believe that was no 360 Stone Canyon and in that particular case, no whole argument from the very beginning, at least and they changed representatives, but the applicant's riginal representative was saying that it the ardship was that they had pulled the building permits,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	space, the hillside, the topography, all that stuff was sort of being pushed onto the Commission perhaps during the appeal for the 360 Stone Canyon, but all that was information that the Zoning Administrator originally never really was presented. So we that's why we held the other hearing. And we held another hearing for this case specifically in September of last year.
what do you see as the specifics that would make this different than for approval? JIM TOKUNAGA: Well, for myself, the original ase, the one adjoining this site I believe that was as 360 Stone Canyon — and in that particular case, are whole argument from the very beginning, at least and they changed representatives, but the applicant's riginal representative was saying that it the ardship was that they had pulled the building permits,	4 5 6 7 8 9	sort of being pushed onto the Commission perhaps during the appeal for the 360 Stone Canyon, but all that was information that the Zoning Administrator originally never really was presented. So we that's why we held the other hearing. And we held another hearing for this case specifically in September of last year.
make this different than for approval? JIM TOKUNAGA: Well, for myself, the original ase, the one adjoining this site I believe that was as 360 Stone Canyon — and in that particular case, are whole argument from the very beginning, at least and they changed representatives, but the applicant's riginal representative was saying that it the ardship was that they had pulled the building permits,	5 6 7 8 9	the appeal for the 360 Stone Canyon, but all that was information that the Zoning Administrator originally never really was presented. So we that's why we held the other hearing. And we held another hearing for this case specifically in September of last year.
make this different than for approval? JIM TOKUNAGA: Well, for myself, the original ase, the one adjoining this site I believe that was as 360 Stone Canyon — and in that particular case, are whole argument from the very beginning, at least and they changed representatives, but the applicant's riginal representative was saying that it the ardship was that they had pulled the building permits,	6 7 8 9	was information that the Zoning Administrator originally never really was presented. So we that's why we held the other hearing. And we held another hearing for this case specifically in September of last year.
JIM TOKUNAGA: Well, for myself, the original ase, the one adjoining this site I believe that was as 360 Stone Canyon — and in that particular case, are whole argument from the very beginning, at least and they changed representatives, but the applicant's riginal representative was saying that it the ardship was that they had pulled the building permits,	7 8 9 10	originally never really was presented. So we that's why we held the other hearing. And we held another hearing for this case specifically in September of last year.
ase, the one adjoining this site I believe that was the 360 Stone Canyon — and in that particular case, the whole argument from the very beginning, at least the changed representatives, but the applicant's triginal representative was saying that it the the ardship was that they had pulled the building permits,	9 10	why we held the other hearing. And we held another hearing for this case specifically in September of last year.
ne 360 Stone Canyon — and in that particular case, ne whole argument from the very beginning, at least nd they changed representatives, but the applicant's riginal representative was saying that it the ardship was that they had pulled the building permits,	9 10	hearing for this case specifically in September of last year.
ne whole argument from the very beginning, at least nd they changed representatives, but the applicant's riginal representative was saying that it the ardship was that they had pulled the building permits,	9 10	year.
nd they changed representatives, but the applicant's riginal representative was saying that it the ardship was that they had pulled the building permits,	10	
riginal representative was saying that it the ardship was that they had pulled the building permits,		COMMISSIONER FOSTER: I have my question is
ardship was that they had pulled the building permits,		the parcel map was approved
	12	JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes.
	13	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: with the conditions,
ardship, you know, that	14	the setback, and everything from the creek. Was the
But if that was their rationale for granting a	15	current owner was the current owner the same owner
ariance, I felt that that was not appropriate. And	16	then? Did he own the property then?
nen so they changed the representatives, and we	17	JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes, I believe it was Mr
	1	(Simultaneous speaking.)
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Okay. So he's before
	1	he started any construction, he was aware of all of the
	1	conditions that were put on the property; is that
	1	correct?
		JIM TOKUNAGA: I would imagine he was.
		COMMISSIONER FOSTER; Okay.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		JIM TOKUNAGA: I can't speak for him, but I
to sites are configuration. Bo, you know, they are the		una routonata rount spout for min, out
Page 14		Page 1
ame.	1	would imagine he was, yes.
COMMISSIONER HALPER: Thank you.	2	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Well, I would think
JIM TOKUNAGA: Yeah.	3	SO
COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Commissioner Linnick,	4	JIM TOKUNAGA: Yeah. Yes.
o is that the new information that you are referring	5	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: since he owned the
	6	property then. You are saying he did own the property.
	7	JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes,
•	8	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: And I remember very well
	1	when we had a lot of testimony about that property from
	1	various environmental groups and from the Council
	1	office at the time because there was a great concern
		over the creek. And it, the creek, runs all the way
	1	down Stone Canyon.
	1	JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes.
-	1	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: So it does.
		JIM TOKUNAGA: Okay.
		COMMISSIONER FOSTER: So it does. Okay.
-	1	Thank you. So he was the owner.
•	1	JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes, he was.
-		COMMISSIONER FOSTER: So he had that all of
		that information before he drew plans and before he
Subsequent to that, they dropped the parcel	22	started building?
	23	JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes.
pap modification request. So the original parcel map	23	MINI PRINCIPALITAL TES.
nat was approved by the West L.A. Area Planning	24	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Okay. Thank you.
	eld the hearing. I felt that, at the second hearing, he special circumstances were more geared towards the ctual physical site and not so much, you know, well, he height is measured differently now than when we riginally pulled the permit, and, you know, so, herefore, we have a hardship. But, you know, in fairness to the question, he sites are contiguous. So, you know, they are the Page 14 TOKUNAGA: Yeah. COMMISSIONER HALPER: Thank you. JIM TOKUNAGA: Yeah. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Commissioner Linnick. To is that the new information that you are referring by When you started off your presentation, you said nat, you know, we had heard this before but that based in the new information given to you, and then you lated the slope and the creek and the setbacks. JIM TOKUNAGA: Well, yes. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: You now are JIM TOKUNAGA: The original hearing, which was, you know was a joint hearing and with the advisory Agency, there were other this case, along with two other cases, we were hearing all three begether, and there seemed to be all over the place. To wasn't specific to one or the other. So it was hard of discern what the requests were, but the hardship in not particular case was in the 360 Stone Canyon was not it was already under construction, and they neasured the height different.	eld the hearing. I felt that, at the second hearing, he special circumstances were more geared towards the ctual physical site and not so much, you know, well, he height is measured differently now than when we riginally pulled the permit, and, you know, so, herefore, we have a hardship. But, you know, in fairness to the question, he sites are contiguous. So, you know, they are the Page 14 Thank you. JIM TOKUNAGA: Yeah. COMMISSIONER HALPER: Thank you. JIM TOKUNAGA: Yeah. O is that the new information that you are referring of the new information that you are referring on the new information given to you, and then you tated the slope and the creek and the setbacks. JIM TOKUNAGA: Well, yes. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: You now are — JIM TOKUNAGA: The original hearing, which heaving and with the advisory Agency, there were other — this case, along with two other cases, we were hearing all three pogether, and there seemed to be all over the place. To wasn't specific to one or the other. So it was hard of discern what the requests were, but the hardship in hat particular case was — in the 360 Stone Canyon was not it was already under construction, and they heasured the height different.

RE(GULAR MEETING		January 15, 2014
	Page 17		Page 19
1	A couple quick ones, although I probably have some more	1	filed a 245 motion to remove the matter to the
2	later. So the information we received from the	2	City Council.
3	architect, I think was in the letter from the	3	JIM TOKUNAGA: Uh-huh, yes.
4	architect, of the appellant talked about the lack of a	4	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: And then the
5	slope analysis or a plot plan. Are those things that	5	City Council essentially vetoed our determination and
6	you have or that you've seen?	6	remanded it back to this APC.
7	JIM TOKUNAGA: I do not have them. No, I do	7	JIM TOKUNAGA: That's correct.
8	not have them.	8	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. So, then, on
9	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Is that something that	9	August 7, we had another hearing on this Stone Canyon
10	you usually would have in a case like this? And was	10	property.
11	that at all an issue for you?	11	JIM TOKUNAGA: Appeal, yes.
12	JIM TOKUNAGA: We had some slope analysis	12	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: And at that time, you
13	maps, but it wasn't specifically geared towards the	13	did not change your initial denial determination to
14	request. It was just sort of like a map that had the	14	deny the variance.
15	topo lines on it, and I we did have that map, but it	15	JIM TOKUNAGA: No.
16	wasn't an analysis of how the project height was	16	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. And, then,
17	measured. So, you know, that's all I can say. I do	17	there's another 245 motion. And then, on September 11,
18	have that, but it's not a specific analysis.	18	the Council reversed the decisions and granted the
19	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay.	19	variance to Stone Canyon.
20	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Do you do you not	20	JIM TOKUNAGA: That's correct.
21	have a plot plan still?	21	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. And, then, after
22	JIM TOKUNAGA: I do have a - I do have a plot	22	that, on September 25th, you hold another hearing on
23	plan that sort of defines the outline of the building,	23	the Bellagio property.
24	yes. This is the one that we approved.	24	JIM TOKUNAGA: That's correct.
25	COMMISSIONER FOSTER. Okay. Thank you.	25	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. And then, on
	Page 18		Page 20
1	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Go ahead.	1	November 1st, you grant the variance on pretty much the
2	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Commissioner Donovan.	2	same facts as presented on the Stone Canyon property.
3	Just so that I understand everything here, there's no	3	JIM TOKUNAGA: You mean as far as what
4	appeal of the adjustment allowing the overheight fence;	4	happened at Council or
5	correct?	5	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Weil, I guess, when
6	JIM TOKUNAGA: No, I did not see that.	6	Commissioner Halper was asking you for the difference,
7	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: So that's not before	7	what seemed to come out for me is that the facts were
8	us. Okay. And, now, we have these two companion	8	the same, but the reasoning behind the applicant's
9	cases. They are property right next to each other,	9	request for a variance had changed slightly.
10	Stone Canyon and Bellagio. And the applications for	10	JIM TOKUNAGA: Slightly, yes.
11	variances was filed both filed on the same day,	11	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: But the facts are the
12	September 21, 2012, and they both requested the same	12	same.
13	height variance; correct?	13	JIM TOKUNAGA: The facts are the same.
14	JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes, it sounds familiar. Yes.	14	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. And I guess the
15	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: And they both had the	15	tough question I have to ask, did the decision by the
16	same public hearing on January 9, 2013?	16	City Council on Stone Canyon have any effect whatsoever
17	JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes.	17	on your determination to grant the variance on
18	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. Then, looking	18	Bellagio?
19	through the timeline here, you denied the variance for	19	JIM TOKUNAGA: No, it did not.
20	Stone Canyon, and then that was appealed to this APC,	20	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Did the when the
21	and we denied that was we heard it on	21	City Council made overturned both of our rulings,
22	June 5th, 2013. We denied the appeal, and we upheld	22	did they find make different findings of facts?
23	your denial; right?	23	Were different facts presented?
24	JIM TOKUNAGA: That's correct.	24	JIM TOKUNAGA: They would have had to well,
25	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay, And then CD5	25	in order to grant the variances, they would have had to
		1	

REC	GULAR MEETING		January 15, 2014
	Page 21		Page 23
1	make those findings.	1	variance is not going to prevent the applicant from
2	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: They'd have to make	2	building a house on his property.
3	findings, but did they did they were different	3	JIM TOKUNAGA: No, it would not.
4	facts provided to them?	4	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: So I'm trying I'm
5	JIM TOKUNAGA: I have I do not know. Okay.	5	having difficulty finding the unnecessary hardship or
6	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. And so did you,	6	the practical difficulties if the applicant the
7	in any way, decide that the Stone Canyon case created	7	house isn't started to be built. They could just
8	precedent for the Bellagio variance?	8	design a house that's within the height limits. It can
9	JIM TOKUNAGA: Did the Stone no, no,	9	be just as big as it was going to be big.
10	because I well, my initial decision wasn't a denial.	10	What are the unnecessary hardships or
11	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Yeah. I only ask that	11	practical difficulties?
12	because	12	JIM TOKUNAGA: Well, when I'm this is
13	JIM TOKUNAGA: Yeah,	13	Jim Tokunaga. When I'm reviewing a case, I'm looking
14	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: in your report, you	14	at the case as far as what they are proposing to build,
15	said you the adjacent property is currently being	15	and I felt that with you know, I guess I can what
16	developed with a similar height variance granted by the	16	you are saying is I could say, "Well, no. You can
17	City Council, and I was wondering about the	17	design it in a different way. So I'm going to deny the
18	significance	18	variance."
19	JIM TOKUNAGA: Oh, yeah. I just put that in	19	But what I'm looking at is, based on the
20	there as background information. Yeah.	20	proposal of the project, for what they want to do, do I
21	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. When we go	21	find that there are, you know, special circumstances or
22	through the five findings that you have to make for a	22	any reasons why the hardships on the site would prevent
23	variance and the first one is that the strict	23	them from developing the home the way they want? And
24	application of the zoning ordinance would result in	24	that's, you know that was my reasoning for the
25	practical difficulties or unnecessary hardships	25	variance.
		1	
	Page 22		Page 24
1	Page 22 inconsistent with the general purposes and intent of	1	Page 24 COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: That gets to the crux
1 2		1 2	-
1	inconsistent with the general purposes and intent of	1	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: That gets to the crux
2	inconsistent with the general purposes and intent of the zoning regulations we asked the same question	2	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: That gets to the crux of the matter because I remember, in the Stone Canyon
2	inconsistent with the general purposes and intent of the zoning regulations — we asked the same question with Stone Canyon.	2	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: That gets to the crux of the matter because I remember, in the Stone Canyon case, the applicant's attorney said, "We just want this for aesthetic reasons." And I noticed in this case that the reason for the variance is so that the
2 3 4	inconsistent with the general purposes and intent of the zoning regulations — we asked the same question with Stone Canyon. Can a house of approximately the same footage	2 3 4	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: That gets to the crux of the matter because I remember, in the Stone Canyon case, the applicant's attorney said, "We just want this for aesthetic reasons." And I noticed in this case that the reason for the variance is so that the proposed residence can have a consistent roof line for
2 3 4 5 6 7	inconsistent with the general purposes and intent of the zoning regulations we asked the same question with Stone Canyon. Can a house of approximately the same footage presently be built on the Bellagio property without a variance? JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes, it could.	2 3 4 5	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: That gets to the crux of the matter because I remember, in the Stone Canyon case, the applicant's attorney said, "We just want this for aesthetic reasons." And I noticed in this case that the reason for the variance is so that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	inconsistent with the general purposes and intent of the zoning regulations — we asked the same question with Stone Canyon. Can a house of approximately the same footage presently be built on the Bellagio property without a variance? JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes, it could. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. And I went	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: That gets to the crux of the matter because I remember, in the Stone Canyon case, the applicant's attorney said, "We just want this for aesthetic reasons." And I noticed in this case that the reason for the variance is so that the proposed residence can have a consistent roof line for the entire home. So they basically want this variance for subjective, aesthetic reasons.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	inconsistent with the general purposes and intent of the zoning regulations we asked the same question with Stone Canyon. Can a house of approximately the same footage presently be built on the Bellagio property without a variance? JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes, it could. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. And I went through the transcript of the of your hearing there,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: That gets to the crux of the matter because I remember, in the Stone Canyon case, the applicant's attorney said, "We just want this for aesthetic reasons." And I noticed in this case that the reason for the variance is so that the proposed residence can have a consistent roof line for the entire home. So they basically want this variance for subjective, aesthetic reasons. JIM TOKUNAGA: You know, yeah, I imagine. You
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	inconsistent with the general purposes and intent of the zoning regulations we asked the same question with Stone Canyon. Can a house of approximately the same footage presently be built on the Bellagio property without a variance? JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes, it could. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. And I went through the transcript of the of your hearing there, and nobody from applicant represented to you that "If	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: That gets to the crux of the matter because I remember, in the Stone Canyon case, the applicant's attorney said, "We just want this for aesthetic reasons." And I noticed in this case that the reason for the variance is so that the proposed residence can have a consistent roof line for the entire home. So they basically want this variance for subjective, aesthetic reasons. JIM TOKUNAGA: You know, yeah, I imagine. You will have to ask the applicants, but I would imagine
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	inconsistent with the general purposes and intent of the zoning regulations we asked the same question with Stone Canyon. Can a house of approximately the same footage presently be built on the Bellagio property without a variance? JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes, it could. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. And I went through the transcript of the of your hearing there, and nobody from applicant represented to you that "If we don't get this variance, we can't build a house	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: That gets to the crux of the matter because I remember, in the Stone Canyon case, the applicant's attorney said, "We just want this for aesthetic reasons." And I noticed in this case that the reason for the variance is so that the proposed residence can have a consistent roof line for the entire home. So they basically want this variance for subjective, aesthetic reasons. JIM TOKUNAGA: You know, yeah, I imagine. You will have to ask the applicants, but I would imagine that's probably it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	inconsistent with the general purposes and intent of the zoning regulations we asked the same question with Stone Canyon. Can a house of approximately the same footage presently be built on the Bellagio property without a variance? JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes, it could. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. And I went through the transcript of the of your hearing there, and nobody from applicant represented to you that "If we don't get this variance, we can't build a house that's of the same square footage." Nobody said that;	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: That gets to the crux of the matter because I remember, in the Stone Canyon case, the applicant's attorney said, "We just want this for aesthetic reasons." And I noticed in this case that the reason for the variance is so that the proposed residence can have a consistent roof line for the entire home. So they basically want this variance for subjective, aesthetic reasons. JIM TOKUNAGA: You know, yeah, I imagine. You will have to ask the applicants, but I would imagine that's probably it. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Now, you had — one of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	inconsistent with the general purposes and intent of the zoning regulations we asked the same question with Stone Canyon. Can a house of approximately the same footage presently be built on the Bellagio property without a variance? JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes, it could. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. And I went through the transcript of the of your hearing there, and nobody from applicant represented to you that "If we don't get this variance, we can't build a house that's of the same square footage." Nobody said that; correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: That gets to the crux of the matter because I remember, in the Stone Canyon case, the applicant's attorney said, "We just want this for aesthetic reasons." And I noticed in this case that the reason for the variance is so that the proposed residence can have a consistent roof line for the entire home. So they basically want this variance for subjective, aesthetic reasons. JIM TOKUNAGA: You know, yeah, I imagine. You will have to ask the applicants, but I would imagine that's probably it. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Now, you had — one of the things you had to find is that the proposed height
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	inconsistent with the general purposes and intent of the zoning regulations we asked the same question with Stone Canyon. Can a house of approximately the same footage presently be built on the Bellagio property without a variance? JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes, it could. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. And I went through the transcript of the of your hearing there, and nobody from applicant represented to you that "If we don't get this variance, we can't build a house that's of the same square footage." Nobody said that; correct? JIM TOKUNAGA: No, I don't believe they did.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: That gets to the crux of the matter because I remember, in the Stone Canyon case, the applicant's attorney said, "We just want this for aesthetic reasons." And I noticed in this case that the reason for the variance is so that the proposed residence can have a consistent roof line for the entire home. So they basically want this variance for subjective, aesthetic reasons. JIM TOKUNAGA: You know, yeah, I imagine. You will have to ask the applicants, but I would imagine that's probably it. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Now, you had — one of the things you had to find is that the proposed height variance is going to be consistent with all of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	inconsistent with the general purposes and intent of the zoning regulations we asked the same question with Stone Canyon. Can a house of approximately the same footage presently be built on the Bellagio property without a variance? JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes, it could. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. And I went through the transcript of the of your hearing there, and nobody from applicant represented to you that "If we don't get this variance, we can't build a house that's of the same square footage." Nobody said that; correct? JIM TOKUNAGA: No, I don't believe they did. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. And you did	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: That gets to the crux of the matter because I remember, in the Stone Canyon case, the applicant's attorney said, "We just want this for aesthetic reasons." And I noticed in this case that the reason for the variance is so that the proposed residence can have a consistent roof line for the entire home. So they basically want this variance for subjective, aesthetic reasons. JIM TOKUNAGA: You know, yeah, I imagine. You will have to ask the applicants, but I would imagine that's probably it. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Now, you had — one of the things you had to find is that the proposed height variance is going to be consistent with all of the goals of the Baseline Hillside Ordinance, the BHO, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	inconsistent with the general purposes and intent of the zoning regulations we asked the same question with Stone Canyon. Can a house of approximately the same footage presently be built on the Bellagio property without a variance? JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes, it could. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. And I went through the transcript of the of your heaving there, and nobody from applicant represented to you that "If we don't get this variance, we can't build a house that's of the same square footage." Nobody said that; correct? JIM TOKUNAGA: No, I don't believe they did. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. And you did receive a report, as a matter of fact, from the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: That gets to the crux of the matter because I remember, in the Stone Canyon case, the applicant's attorney said, "We just want this for aesthetic reasons." And I noticed in this case that the reason for the variance is so that the proposed residence can have a consistent roof line for the entire home. So they basically want this variance for subjective, aesthetic reasons. JIM TOKUNAGA: You know, yeah, I imagine. You will have to ask the applicants, but I would imagine that's probably it. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Now, you had — one of the things you had to find is that the proposed height variance is going to be consistent with all of the goals of the Baseline Hillside Ordinance, the BHO, and I looked at that. And isn't one of the BH goals to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	inconsistent with the general purposes and intent of the zoning regulations we asked the same question with Stone Canyon. Can a house of approximately the same footage presently be built on the Bellagio property without a variance? JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes, it could. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. And I went through the transcript of the of your hearing there, and nobody from applicant represented to you that "If we don't get this variance, we can't build a house that's of the same square footage." Nobody said that; correct? JIM TOKUNAGA: No, I don't believe they did. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. And you did receive a report, as a matter of fact, from the appellant, David Applebaum, saying that they can	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: That gets to the crux of the matter because I remember, in the Stone Canyon case, the applicant's attorney said, "We just want this for aesthetic reasons." And I noticed in this case that the reason for the variance is so that the proposed residence can have a consistent roof line for the entire home. So they basically want this variance for subjective, aesthetic reasons. JIM TOKUNAGA: You know, yeah, I imagine. You will have to ask the applicants, but I would imagine that's probably it. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Now, you had — one of the things you had to find is that the proposed height variance is going to be consistent with all of the goals of the Baseline Hillside Ordinance, the BHO, and I looked at that. And isn't one of the BH goals to encourage terrace structures that break up a boxy
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	inconsistent with the general purposes and intent of the zoning regulations we asked the same question with Stone Canyon. Can a house of approximately the same footage presently be built on the Bellagio property without a variance? JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes, it could. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. And I went through the transcript of the of your hearing there, and nobody from applicant represented to you that "If we don't get this variance, we can't build a house that's of the same square footage." Nobody said that; correct? JIM TOKUNAGA: No, I don't believe they did. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. And you did receive a report, as a matter of fact, from the appellant, David Applebaum, saying that they can build they can redesign the house and basically	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: That gets to the crux of the matter because I remember, in the Stone Canyon case, the applicant's attorney said, "We just want this for aesthetic reasons." And I noticed in this case that the reason for the variance is so that the proposed residence can have a consistent roof line for the entire home. So they basically want this variance for subjective, aesthetic reasons. JIM TOKUNAGA: You know, yeah, I imagine. You will have to ask the applicants, but I would imagine that's probably it. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Now, you had — one of the things you had to find is that the proposed height variance is going to be consistent with all of the goals of the Baseline Hillside Ordinance, the BHO, and I looked at that. And isn't one of the BH goals to encourage terrace structures that break up a boxy building?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	inconsistent with the general purposes and intent of the zoning regulations we asked the same question with Stone Canyon. Can a house of approximately the same footage presently be built on the Bellagio property without a variance? JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes, it could. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. And I went through the transcript of the of your hearing there, and nobody from applicant represented to you that "If we don't get this variance, we can't build a house that's of the same square footage." Nobody said that; correct? JIM TOKUNAGA: No, I don't believe they did. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. And you did receive a report, as a matter of fact, from the appellant, David Applebaum, saying that they can build they can redesign the house and basically build something about the same size without needing a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: That gets to the crux of the matter because I remember, in the Stone Canyon case, the applicant's attorney said, "We just want this for aesthetic reasons." And I noticed in this case that the reason for the variance is so that the proposed residence can have a consistent roof line for the entire home. So they basically want this variance for subjective, aesthetic reasons. JIM TOKUNAGA: You know, yeah, I imagine. You will have to ask the applicants, but I would imagine that's probably it. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Now, you had — one of the things you had to find is that the proposed height variance is going to be consistent with all of the goals of the Baseline Hillside Ordinance, the BHO, and I looked at that. And isn't one of the BH goals to encourage terrace structures that break up a boxy building? JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes, it is.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	inconsistent with the general purposes and intent of the zoning regulations we asked the same question with Stone Canyon. Can a house of approximately the same footage presently be built on the Bellagio property without a variance? JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes, it could. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. And I went through the transcript of the of your hearing there, and nobody from applicant represented to you that "If we don't get this variance, we can't build a house that's of the same square footage." Nobody said that; correct? JIM TOKUNAGA: No, I don't believe they did. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. And you did receive a report, as a matter of fact, from the appellant, David Applebaum, saying that they can build they can redesign the house and basically build something about the same size without needing a variance. You did.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: That gets to the crux of the matter because I remember, in the Stone Canyon case, the applicant's attorney said, "We just want this for aesthetic reasons." And I noticed in this case that the reason for the variance is so that the proposed residence can have a consistent roof line for the entire home. So they basically want this variance for subjective, aesthetic reasons. JIM TOKUNAGA: You know, yeah, I imagine. You will have to ask the applicants, but I would imagine that's probably it. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Now, you had — one of the things you had to find is that the proposed height variance is going to be consistent with all of the goals of the Baseline Hillside Ordinance, the BHO, and I looked at that. And isn't one of the BH goals to encourage terrace structures that break up a boxy building? JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes, it is. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: And the other thing,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	inconsistent with the general purposes and intent of the zoning regulations we asked the same question with Stone Canyon. Can a house of approximately the same footage presently be built on the Bellagio property without a variance? JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes, it could. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. And I went through the transcript of the of your hearing there, and nobody from applicant represented to you that "If we don't get this variance, we can't build a house that's of the same square footage." Nobody said that; correct? JIM TOKUNAGA: No, I don't believe they did. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. And you did receive a report, as a matter of fact, from the appellant, David Applebaum, saying that they can build they can redesign the house and basically build something about the same size without needing a variance. You did. JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes, uh-huh.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: That gets to the crux of the matter because I remember, in the Stone Canyon case, the applicant's attorney said, "We just want this for aesthetic reasons." And I noticed in this case that the reason for the variance is so that the proposed residence can have a consistent roof line for the entire home. So they basically want this variance for subjective, aesthetic reasons. JIM TOKUNAGA: You know, yeah, I imagine. You will have to ask the applicants, but I would imagine that's probably it. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Now, you had — one of the things you had to find is that the proposed height variance is going to be consistent with all of the goals of the Baseline Hillside Ordinance, the BHO, and I looked at that. And isn't one of the BH goals to encourage terrace structures that break up a boxy building? JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes, it is. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: And the other thing, you know, I saw a lot of things in the hearing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	inconsistent with the general purposes and intent of the zoning regulations we asked the same question with Stone Canyon. Can a house of approximately the same footage presently be built on the Bellagio property without a variance? JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes, it could. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. And I went through the transcript of the of your hearing there, and nobody from applicant represented to you that "If we don't get this variance, we can't build a house that's of the same square footage." Nobody said that; correct? JIM TOKUNAGA: No, I don't believe they did. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. And you did receive a report, as a matter of fact, from the appellant, David Applebaum, saying that they can build they can redesign the house and basically build something about the same size without needing a variance. You did. JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes, uh-huh. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: And you didn't receive	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: That gets to the crux of the matter because I remember, in the Stone Canyon case, the applicant's attorney said, "We just want this for aesthetic reasons." And I noticed in this case that the reason for the variance is so that the proposed residence can have a consistent roof line for the entire home. So they basically want this variance for subjective, aesthetic reasons. JIM TOKUNAGA: You know, yeah, I imagine. You will have to ask the applicants, but I would imagine that's probably it. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Now, you had one of the things you had to find is that the proposed height variance is going to be consistent with all of the goals of the Baseline Hillside Ordinance, the BHO, and I looked at that. And isn't one of the BH goals to encourage terrace structures that break up a boxy building? JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes, it is. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: And the other thing, you know, I saw a lot of things in the hearing transcript and about that this height is not going
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	inconsistent with the general purposes and intent of the zoning regulations we asked the same question with Stone Canyon. Can a house of approximately the same footage presently be built on the Bellagio property without a variance? JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes, it could. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. And I went through the transcript of the of your hearing there, and nobody from applicant represented to you that "If we don't get this variance, we can't build a house that's of the same square footage." Nobody said that; correct? JIM TOKUNAGA: No, I don't believe they did. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. And you did receive a report, as a matter of fact, from the appellant, David Applebaum, saying that they can build they can redesign the house and basically build something about the same size without needing a variance. You did. JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes, uh-huh. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: And you didn't receive any evidence that contradicted Mr. Applebaum.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: That gets to the crux of the matter because I remember, in the Stone Canyon case, the applicant's attorney said, "We just want this for aesthetic reasons." And I noticed in this case that the reason for the variance is so that the proposed residence can have a consistent roof line for the entire home. So they basically want this variance for subjective, aesthetic reasons. JIM TOKUNAGA: You know, yeah, I imagine. You will have to ask the applicants, but I would imagine that's probably it. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Now, you had — one of the things you had to find is that the proposed height variance is going to be consistent with all of the goals of the Baseline Hillside Ordinance, the BHO, and I looked at that. And isn't one of the BH goals to encourage terrace structures that break up a boxy building? JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes, it is. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: And the other thing, you know, I saw a lot of things in the hearing transcript and — about that this height is not going to block a view, which, I guess, is the subject of a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	inconsistent with the general purposes and intent of the zoning regulations we asked the same question with Stone Canyon. Can a house of approximately the same footage presently be built on the Bellagio property without a variance? JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes, it could. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. And I went through the transcript of the of your hearing there, and nobody from applicant represented to you that "If we don't get this variance, we can't build a house that's of the same square footage." Nobody said that; correct? JIM TOKUNAGA: No, I don't believe they did. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. And you did receive a report, as a matter of fact, from the appellant, David Applebaum, saying that they can build they can redesign the house and basically build something about the same size without needing a variance. You did. JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes, uh-huh. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: And you didn't receive	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: That gets to the crux of the matter because I remember, in the Stone Canyon case, the applicant's attorney said, "We just want this for aesthetic reasons." And I noticed in this case that the reason for the variance is so that the proposed residence can have a consistent roof line for the entire home. So they basically want this variance for subjective, aesthetic reasons. JIM TOKUNAGA: You know, yeah, I imagine. You will have to ask the applicants, but I would imagine that's probably it. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Now, you had one of the things you had to find is that the proposed height variance is going to be consistent with all of the goals of the Baseline Hillside Ordinance, the BHO, and I looked at that. And isn't one of the BH goals to encourage terrace structures that break up a boxy building? JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes, it is. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: And the other thing, you know, I saw a lot of things in the hearing transcript and about that this height is not going

KE	JULIAN MEETING		January 15, 2014
	Page 25		Page 27
1	It says the policy at 1-3.3 is to "preserve existing	1	tied.
2	views in hillside areas."	2	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: So wouldn't that
3	So even if it's not going to block the view, a	3	special circumstance be self-imposed?
4	height variance on here is not it won't have the	4	JIM TOKUNAGA: Well, they tied it. So it's
5	same view it would have had if it was within the height	5	you know, it's their decision.
6	limit; correct?	6	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. And, then, I
7	JIM TOKUNAGA: "View" meaning from the	7	think we've covered the No. 3, which is necessary is
8	neighbor or	8	the variance necessary for the preservation and
9	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN; Yes.	9	enjoyment of a substantial property right or use
10	JIM TOKUNAGA: Well	10	generally possessed by other property but because of
11	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: That would be the only	11	the special circumstances and practical difficulties or
12	view that would be subject to the appeal, the neighbors	12	unnecessary hardship is denied. But we already know
13	having their views changed, if not blocked.	13	that this property can be built on. A large house can
14	JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes. Well, yeah. It's a	14	be built on.
15	vacant site. So anything that you put on the site, you	15	And are there any other properties that
16	know, is going to be visible regardless, I think,	16	received a height variance for aesthetic reasons?
17	whether it's 50 feet or 36 feet.	17	JIM TOKUNAGA: Well, for aesthetic reasons, I
18	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: And there was evidence,	18	can't say for sure. There are other variances in the
19	at least from some of the neighbors, that they felt	19	area, but I couldn't answer that. There is a house
20	that it was going to block their views.	20	across the street.
21	JIM TOKUNAGA: The adjacent property owner, at	21	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: And let's see. Now,
22	least their representative, did indicate that they felt	22	No. 4, which is another finding that you have to make
23	that there might be some obstruction of views.	23	for granting a variance, whether it's going to and
24	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Now, the second part of	24	you have to find that the variance will not be
25	the variance findings that have to be made are the	25	materially detrimental to the public welfare. But the
23	the variance minings that have to be made are the	25	materiary detrinional to the public worlder. But the
	Page 26		Page 28
1	special circumstances. And, again, we went through	1	only finding I saw that you had there on page 16 was
2	this on Stone Canyon, but the second one there is that	2	that it's not going to block any views, and the height
3	there have to be special circumstances applicable to	3	won't be noticeable. But that brings us back to
4	the property such as size, shape, topography, location,	4	whether the BHO says "preserve existing views," not
5	or surroundings that do not generally - apply	5	necessarily "block," but isn't
6	generally to the other property in the vicinity. And	6	One thing that struck me on this was that you
7	the special circumstances that I heard you cite in your	7	stated at page 17 that "The proposed height is not
8	report and also today are the creek, the topographical	8	consistent with the plan's intent to require compliance
9	changes, and the long frontage on the street.	9	with regulations pertaining to development in the
10	Now, this is not the only property in the	10	hillside area." And I saw that, and it jumped out at
11	vicinity that has a stream running through it.	11	me. Isn't compliance with regulations important to the
12	JIM TOKUNAGA: That's correct.	12	public welfare?
13	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: And this is not the	13	JIM TOKUNAGA: Okay. So what I'm saying here
14	only property in the vicinity that had varying	14	is that the height that they are asking for is, of
15	elevations.	15	course, not permitted by the zone, and the only way we
16	JIM TOKUNAGA: That would be correct.	16	can grant that additional height is through a variance
17	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: I mean, all of the	17	process subject to these findings, and I guess what all
18	properties on the hillsides have varying elevations;	18	I'm saying is that I've made those findings.
19	right?	19	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Can the granting of a
20	JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes.	20	variance on this property have any precedential effect
21	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN; Okay. And the reason	21	on future land use in the area?
22		22	JIM TOKUNAGA: I think any kind of approval
23	why there's such a long frontage in this particular		
	case is the applicant voluntarily fied two properties	23	would, yes.
24	together to build the project; right?	24	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: I think we've covered
25	JIM TOKUNAGA: Yes, the tied parcels are	25	the fifth one about — all of the things that go with
1		1	

	ULAR MEETING			7 15, 2 01
	Page 29			Page 31
1	No. 4 also are included in No. 5. Thank you. I have	1	She just can't couldn't make it. So she's really	
	no more questions.	2	quite saddened that she can't be here.	
3	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: All right. Let's start	3	I know that you ail do your homework. You	
	with the appellant. Can I have the appellant's	4	really read through what people provide to you. So I'm	
	representative, Mr. Marmon. If you can, state your	5	not going to repeat what I've said in my letter to you	
	name and address for the record, please, and you have	6	or in the appeal. I just want to point out a few	
	five minutes.	7	things.	
8	MR. MARMON: Thank you. Members of the	8	While the ZA said that approving cases will	
	Commission, Mr. Tokunaga, guests, public speakers, my	9	have a precedential effect, I want to make it very	
	name is Victor Marmon. My office address is	10	clear that the 360 case is not final. We have filed a	
	1875 Century Park East, Suite 1600, Los Angeles,	11	petition for writ of mandate against the City. It will	
	California 90067.	12	be heard, so that that matter is open. There is no	
3	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Do you have a cell phone	13	final decision there. And we will pursue that to the	
	on?	14	Court of Appeal or the Supreme Court if necessary	
5	MR. MARMON: No.	15	because that adoption of the zone variance by the	
6	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: It might be causing	16	City Council was in error and a massive abuse of	
7	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Our last meeting, the	17	discretion. In fact, it was just a political hack job,	
	same thing happened.	18	but we'll leave that for another time.	
.9	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: We had a problem with	19	Mr. Tokunaga indicated that there were	
	that. Okay.	20	different facts presented in the 360 case, perhaps more	
1	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: And I don't know we	21	effectively in the 10550 case, about grade differences	
2	don't know what it was. So we'll	22	and elevations and things like that. I want to point	
3	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: give you an extra	23	out that when Councilmember Koretz first 245'ed to	
	minute there.	24	this your initial action, he cited the sloping	
.5	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: We'll bear with it.	25	property from the northwest to northeast to the	
	Page 30			Page 3
1	MR. MARMON: Hopefully I've moved it	1	southwest. He cited the grade difference between the	
	further	2	westerly portion and the easterly portion. He cited	
3	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay.	3	the creek. These are not new facts. These are facts	
4	MR. MARMON: further back. Wait. I have	4		
	it with me. That's the problem.			
6			that are the same for this property and the other	
	_	5	property, and you should treat both properties the	
	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: There you go. Maybe	5 6	property, and you should treat both properties the same.	
7	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: There you go. Maybe that makes a difference. Give him an extra	5 6 7	property, and you should treat both properties the same. Mr. Tokunaga was not provided with a slope	
7 8	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: There you go. Maybe that makes a difference. Give him an extra COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Yeah. We're	5 6 7 8	property, and you should treat both properties the same. Mr. Tokunaga was not provided with a slope analysis map. That is a very particular document that	
7 8 9	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: There you go. Maybe that makes a difference. Give him an extra COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Yeah. We're COMMISSIONER FOSTER: We'll give him an extra	5 6 7 8 9	property, and you should treat both properties the same. Mr. Tokunaga was not provided with a slope analysis map. That is a very particular document that the Planning Department requires in order to determine	
7 8 9 0	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: There you go. Maybe that makes a difference. Give him an extra COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Yeah. We're COMMISSIONER FOSTER: We'll give him an extra minute.	5 6 7 8 9	property, and you should treat both properties the same. Mr. Tokunaga was not provided with a slope analysis map. That is a very particular document that the Planning Department requires in order to determine how much square footage can be built on a particular	
7 8 9 .0	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: There you go. Maybe that makes a difference. Give him an extra — COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Yeah. We're — COMMISSIONER FOSTER: We'll give him an extra minute. MR. MARMON: Sorry.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	property, and you should treat both properties the same. Mr. Tokunaga was not provided with a slope analysis map. That is a very particular document that the Planning Department requires in order to determine how much square footage can be built on a particular property.	
7 8 9 .0 .1	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: There you go. Maybe that makes a difference. Give him an extra COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Yeah. We're COMMISSIONER FOSTER: We'll give him an extra minute. MR. MARMON: Sorry. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: This won't count	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	property, and you should treat both properties the same. Mr. Tokunaga was not provided with a slope analysis map. That is a very particular document that the Planning Department requires in order to determine how much square footage can be built on a particular property. Now, Mr. Tokunaga told us at the hearing, at	
7 8 9 .0 .1 .2	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: There you go. Maybe that makes a difference. Give him an extra COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Yeah. We're COMMISSIONER FOSTER: We'll give him an extra minute. MR. MARMON: Sorry. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: This won't count against your time.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	property, and you should treat both properties the same. Mr. Tokunaga was not provided with a slope analysis map. That is a very particular document that the Planning Department requires in order to determine how much square footage can be built on a particular property. Now, Mr. Tokunaga told us at the hearing, at the public hearing, that we could not talk about the	
7 8 9 .0 .1 .2 .3	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: There you go. Maybe that makes a difference. Give him an extra COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Yeah. We're COMMISSIONER FOSTER: We'll give him an extra minute. MR. MARMON: Sorry. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: This won't count against your time. MR. MARMON: That's all right. I hope to not	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	property, and you should treat both properties the same. Mr. Tokunaga was not provided with a slope analysis map. That is a very particular document that the Planning Department requires in order to determine how much square footage can be built on a particular property. Now, Mr. Tokunaga told us at the hearing, at the public hearing, that we could not talk about the fact that this property will not comply with the	
7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: There you go. Maybe that makes a difference. Give him an extra COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Yeah. We're COMMISSIONER FOSTER: We'll give him an extra minute. MR. MARMON: Sorry. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: This won't count against your time. MR. MARMON: That's all right. I hope to not use the time.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	property, and you should treat both properties the same. Mr. Tokunaga was not provided with a slope analysis map. That is a very particular document that the Planning Department requires in order to determine how much square footage can be built on a particular property. Now, Mr. Tokunaga told us at the hearing, at the public hearing, that we could not talk about the fact that this property will not comply with the Baseline Hillside Ordinance for square-footage purposes	ı
7 8 9 0 1 2 .3 .4 .5 .6	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: There you go. Maybe that makes a difference. Give him an extra COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Yeah. We're COMMISSIONER FOSTER: We'll give him an extra minute. MR. MARMON: Sorry. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: This won't count against your time. MR. MARMON: That's all right. I hope to not use the time. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. Okay.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	property, and you should treat both properties the same. Mr. Tokunaga was not provided with a slope analysis map. That is a very particular document that the Planning Department requires in order to determine how much square footage can be built on a particular property. Now, Mr. Tokunaga told us at the hearing, at the public hearing, that we could not talk about the fact that this property will not comply with the Baseline Hillside Ordinance for square-footage purposes because that's just for the Planning Department or the	ı
7 8 9 .0 .1 .2 .3 .4 .5	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: There you go. Maybe that makes a difference. Give him an extra COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Yeah. We're COMMISSIONER FOSTER: We'll give him an extra minute. MR. MARMON: Sorry. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: This won't count against your time. MR. MARMON: That's all right. I hope to not use the time. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. Okay. MR. MARMON: First, I'd like to give to the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	property, and you should treat both properties the same. Mr. Tokunaga was not provided with a slope analysis map. That is a very particular document that the Planning Department requires in order to determine how much square footage can be built on a particular property. Now, Mr. Tokunaga told us at the hearing, at the public hearing, that we could not talk about the fact that this property will not comply with the Baseline Hillside Ordinance for square-footage purposes because that's just for the Planning Department or the Building Department to determine after the variance	
7 8 9 .0 .1 .2 .3 .4 .5 .6	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: There you go. Maybe that makes a difference. Give him an extra COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Yeah. We're COMMISSIONER FOSTER: We'll give him an extra minute. MR. MARMON: Sorry. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: This won't count against your time. MR. MARMON: That's all right. I hope to not use the time. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. Okay. MR. MARMON: First, I'd like to give to the Commission some proposed findings of fact that specify	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	property, and you should treat both properties the same. Mr. Tokunaga was not provided with a slope analysis map. That is a very particular document that the Planning Department requires in order to determine how much square footage can be built on a particular property. Now, Mr. Tokunaga told us at the hearing, at the public hearing, that we could not talk about the fact that this property will not comply with the Baseline Hillside Ordinance for square-footage purposes because that's just for the Planning Department or the Building Department to determine after the variance issues are determined, but the fact is he did not have	,
7 8 9 .0 .1 .2 .3 .4 .5 .6 .7	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: There you go. Maybe that makes a difference. Give him an extra COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Yeah. We're COMMISSIONER FOSTER: We'll give him an extra minute. MR. MARMON: Sorry. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: This won't count against your time. MR. MARMON: That's all right. I hope to not use the time. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. Okay. MR. MARMON: First, I'd like to give to the Commission some proposed findings of fact that specify how the ZA erred and abused his discretion in this	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	property, and you should treat both properties the same. Mr. Tokunaga was not provided with a slope analysis map. That is a very particular document that the Planning Department requires in order to determine how much square footage can be built on a particular property. Now, Mr. Tokunaga told us at the hearing, at the public hearing, that we could not talk about the fact that this property will not comply with the Baseline Hillside Ordinance for square-footage purposes because that's just for the Planning Department or the Building Department to determine after the variance issues are determined, but the fact is he did not have the slope analysis map.	
7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that makes a difference. Give him an extra COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Yeah. We're COMMISSIONER FOSTER: We'll give him an extra minute. MR. MARMON: Sorry. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: This won't count against your time. MR. MARMON: That's all right. I hope to not use the time. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. Okay. MR. MARMON: First, I'd like to give to the Commission some proposed findings of fact that specify how the ZA erred and abused his discretion in this in issuing the letter of decision. So if I may.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	property, and you should treat both properties the same. Mr. Tokunaga was not provided with a slope analysis map. That is a very particular document that the Planning Department requires in order to determine how much square footage can be built on a particular property. Now, Mr. Tokunaga told us at the hearing, at the public hearing, that we could not talk about the fact that this property will not comply with the Baseline Hillside Ordinance for square-footage purposes because that's just for the Planning Department or the Building Department to determine after the variance issues are determined, but the fact is he did not have the slope analysis map. Commissioner Donovan mentioned one of the	ı
7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that makes a difference. Give him an extra COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Yeah. We're COMMISSIONER FOSTER: We'll give him an extra minute. MR. MARMON: Sorry. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: This won't count against your time. MR. MARMON: That's all right. I hope to not use the time. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. Okay. MR. MARMON: First, I'd like to give to the Commission some proposed findings of fact that specify how the ZA erred and abused his discretion in this in issuing the letter of decision. So if I may. Second, I'd like to point out that my client	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	property, and you should treat both properties the same. Mr. Tokunaga was not provided with a slope analysis map. That is a very particular document that the Planning Department requires in order to determine how much square footage can be built on a particular property. Now, Mr. Tokunaga told us at the hearing, at the public hearing, that we could not talk about the fact that this property will not comply with the Baseline Hillside Ordinance for square-footage purposes because that's just for the Planning Department or the Building Department to determine after the variance issues are determined, but the fact is he did not have the slope analysis map. Commissioner Donovan mentioned one of the objectives of the plan is to preserve existing views.	
7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 8 9 9 9 9 1 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 2 1	that makes a difference. Give him an extra COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Yeah. We're COMMISSIONER FOSTER: We'll give him an extra minute. MR. MARMON: Sorry. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: This won't count against your time. MR. MARMON: That's all right. I hope to not use the time. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. Okay. MR. MARMON: First, I'd like to give to the Commission some proposed findings of fact that specify how the ZA erred and abused his discretion in this in issuing the letter of decision. So if I may. Second, I'd like to point out that my client is not here. She is extremely disappointed. She's	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	property, and you should treat both properties the same. Mr. Tokunaga was not provided with a slope analysis map. That is a very particular document that the Planning Department requires in order to determine how much square footage can be built on a particular property. Now, Mr. Tokunaga told us at the hearing, at the public hearing, that we could not talk about the fact that this property will not comply with the Baseline Hillside Ordinance for square-footage purposes because that's just for the Planning Department or the Building Department to determine after the variance issues are determined, but the fact is he did not have the slope analysis map. Commissioner Donovan mentioned one of the objectives of the plan is to preserve existing views. Well, one of the existing views is from Stone Canyon	ı
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that makes a difference. Give him an extra COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Yeah. We're COMMISSIONER FOSTER: We'll give him an extra minute. MR. MARMON: Sorry. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: This won't count against your time. MR. MARMON: That's all right. I hope to not use the time. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. Okay. MR. MARMON: First, I'd like to give to the Commission some proposed findings of fact that specify how the ZA erred and abused his discretion in this in issuing the letter of decision. So if I may. Second, I'd like to point out that my client	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	property, and you should treat both properties the same. Mr. Tokunaga was not provided with a slope analysis map. That is a very particular document that the Planning Department requires in order to determine how much square footage can be built on a particular property. Now, Mr. Tokunaga told us at the hearing, at the public hearing, that we could not talk about the fact that this property will not comply with the Baseline Hillside Ordinance for square-footage purposes because that's just for the Planning Department or the Building Department to determine after the variance issues are determined, but the fact is he did not have the slope analysis map. Commissioner Donovan mentioned one of the objectives of the plan is to preserve existing views.	

•			January 15, 201
	Page	33	Page 35
1	And I want to also point out that the	1	of structures up and down a slope. By contrast, the
2	applicant at the hearing before Mr. Tokunaga and I	2	proposed ordinance would encourage such terracing as a
3	expect the applicant to say it again today says that	3	design feature and would visually break up the massive
4	the property is in a bowl.	4	buildings. The proposed ordinance would also utilize a
5	First of all, this land was sort of foothill	5	method of calculating height which follows the slope of
6	land. It sloped upward gradually. You can see by	6	the lot referenced in the proposed ordinance as
7	well, you were many of you were on the same	7	envelope height and encourage buildings to step up and
8	Commission that approved the parcel map. What they	8	down a hillside and resulting in" "and results in a
9	did you I don't want to repeat what you already	9	more aesthetically pleasing development."
LQ	know, but I have to make it for the record. They	10	So I'd just like to conclude by saying that
11	installed a massive 1700 sorry I think around a	11	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay.
12	750-foot double retaining wall roughly 17 to 20 feet in	12	MR. MARMON: this property is not
L3	height. They've chopped off the back of the hill.	13	significantly different from the other properties, the
L4	They graded the property. They raised the grade of the	14	360. The applicant has not made provided evidence
15	property. And, now, we have essentially a flat pad	15	sufficient to make the findings. You'll see in the
16	that rises upward gradually. This is not in a bowl.	16	proposed findings that I provided that there are
17	And I'd like to provide the Commission with	17	numerous errors of fact and law as well as abuse of
18	the applicant's own retaining wall exhibit from the	18	discretion, and we request that you grant the appeal
19	January hearing in 2013. Just a moment.	19	and reverse the granting of the variance. Thank you.
20	COMMISSIONER HALPER: Excuse me. You know,	20	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Thank you. Any
21	it's very difficult for me and, I think, other members	21	questions?
22	of the Commission to be able to absorb documents in	22	MR. MARMON: I will provide a copy of the City
23	lieu of a	23	Attorney's Report.
24	MR. MARMON: I completely understand.	24	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Are there any questions
25	COMMISSIONER HALPER: Yeah.	25	for Mr. Marmon at this time? No? Okay.
	Page	34	Page 36
	_		
1	MR. MARMON: I'm sorry. I didn't mean to	1	Okay. The applicant has five minutes. I
2	interrupt you. No. I appreciate that. But the point	2	have I don't know if I'm going to pronounce this
3	that I will make arally to you. Stone Canyon Road, se		
	that I will make orally to you, Stone Canyon Road, as	3	correctly Dveirin, Mr. Brant Dveirin,
4	shown on this exhibit that I've provided to you and	4	MR. DVEIRIN: Yeah.
5	shown on this exhibit that I've provided to you and there's some blowups so that you can see it	4 5	MR. DVEIRIN: Yeah. MR. LO: If I may, I think I filled out the
5 6	shown on this exhibit that I've provided to you and there's some blowups so that you can see it Stone Canyon Road ranges from an elevation of 478 feet	4 5 6	MR. DVEIRIN: Yeah. MR. LO: If I may, I think I filled out the wrong side.
5	shown on this exhibit that I've provided to you and there's some blowups so that you can see it Stone Canyon Road ranges from an elevation of 478 feet at the southwest corner of the property to 490 feet at	4 5	MR. DVEIRIN: Yeah. MR. LO: If I may, I think I filled out the wrong side. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: You can talk to the
5 6 7 8	shown on this exhibit that I've provided to you and there's some blowups so that you can see it Stone Canyon Road ranges from an elevation of 478 feet at the southwest corner of the property to 490 feet at the corner of Stone Canyon and Bellagio. The finished	4 5 6 7 8	MR. DVEIRIN: Yeah. MR. LO: If I may, I think I filled out the wrong side. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: You can talk to the staff.
5 6 7 8 9	shown on this exhibit that I've provided to you and there's some blowups so that you can see it Stone Canyon Road ranges from an elevation of 478 feet at the southwest corner of the property to 490 feet at the corner of Stone Canyon and Bellagio. The finished floor of the house, where you will see the house from	4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. DVEIRIN: Yeah. MR. LO: If I may, I think I filled out the wrong side. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: You can talk to the staff. MR. MARMON: We do have other speakers. Is
5 6 7 8 9	shown on this exhibit that I've provided to you and there's some blowups so that you can see it Stone Canyon Road ranges from an elevation of 478 feet at the southwest corner of the property to 490 feet at the corner of Stone Canyon and Bellagio. The finished floor of the house, where you will see the house from for the most part except for the west side where you	4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. DVEIRIN: Yeah. MR. LO: If I may, I think I filled out the wrong side. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: You can talk to the staff. MR. MARMON: We do have other speakers. Is that permitted or not?
5 6 7 8 9	shown on this exhibit that I've provided to you and there's some blowups so that you can see it Stone Canyon Road ranges from an elevation of 478 feet at the southwest corner of the property to 490 feet at the corner of Stone Canyon and Bellagio. The finished floor of the house, where you will see the house from for the most part except for the west side where you will see the full height of the house because of the	4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. DVEIRIN: Yeah. MR. LO: If I may, I think I filled out the wrong side. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: You can talk to the staff. MR. MARMON: We do have other speakers. Is
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	shown on this exhibit that I've provided to you and there's some blowups so that you can see it Stone Canyon Road ranges from an elevation of 478 feet at the southwest corner of the property to 490 feet at the corner of Stone Canyon and Bellagio. The finished floor of the house, where you will see the house from for the most part except for the west side where you will see the full height of the house because of the basement being exposed, is at 494.30. So the house	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. DVEIRIN: Yeah. MR. LO: If I may, I think I filled out the wrong side. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: You can talk to the staff. MR. MARMON: We do have other speakers. Is that permitted or not? COMMISSIONER LINNICK: It happens — it happens after.
5 7 8 9 10	shown on this exhibit that I've provided to you and there's some blowups so that you can see it Stone Canyon Road ranges from an elevation of 478 feet at the southwest corner of the property to 490 feet at the corner of Stone Canyon and Bellagio. The finished floor of the house, where you will see the house from for the most part except for the west side where you will see the full height of the house because of the basement being exposed, is at 494.30. So the house itself is not in a bowl. The house is actually above	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. DVEIRIN: Yeah. MR. LO: If I may, I think I filled out the wrong side. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: You can talk to the staff. MR. MARMON: We do have other speakers. Is that permitted or not? COMMISSIONER LINNICK: It happens — it happens after. MR. MARMON: Sorry.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	shown on this exhibit that I've provided to you and there's some blowups so that you can see it Stone Canyon Road ranges from an elevation of 478 feet at the southwest corner of the property to 490 feet at the corner of Stone Canyon and Bellagio. The finished floor of the house, where you will see the house from for the most part except for the west side where you will see the full height of the house because of the basement being exposed, is at 494.30. So the house	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. DVEIRIN: Yeah. MR. LO: If I may, I think I filled out the wrong side. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: You can talk to the staff. MR. MARMON: We do have other speakers. Is that permitted or not? COMMISSIONER LINNICK: It happens — it happens after. MR. MARMON: Sorry.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	shown on this exhibit that I've provided to you and there's some blowups so that you can see it Stone Canyon Road ranges from an elevation of 478 feet at the southwest corner of the property to 490 feet at the corner of Stone Canyon and Bellagio. The finished floor of the house, where you will see the house from for the most part except for the west side where you will see the full height of the house because of the basement being exposed, is at 494.30. So the house itself is not in a bowl. The house is actually above Stone Canyon Road, which is the location that most people will see the house.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. LO: If I may, I think I filled out the wrong side. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: You can talk to the staff. MR. MARMON: We do have other speakers. Is that permitted or not? COMMISSIONER LINNICK: It happens — it happens after. MR. MARMON: Sorry. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: The appellant goes. The applicant goes, and then we have the speakers for
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	shown on this exhibit that I've provided to you and there's some blowups so that you can see it Stone Canyon Road ranges from an elevation of 478 feet at the southwest corner of the property to 490 feet at the corner of Stone Canyon and Bellagio. The finished floor of the house, where you will see the house from for the most part except for the west side where you will see the full height of the house because of the basement being exposed, is at 494.30. So the house itself is not in a bowl. The house is actually above Stone Canyon Road, which is the location that most people will see the house. And it's clear that the Commission understands	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. LO: If I may, I think I filled out the wrong side. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: You can talk to the staff. MR. MARMON: We do have other speakers. Is that permitted or not? COMMISSIONER LINNICK: It happens — it happens after. MR. MARMON: Sorry. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: The appellant goes. The applicant goes, and then we have the speakers for and against. So, if you can, state your name and
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	shown on this exhibit that I've provided to you and there's some blowups so that you can see it Stone Canyon Road ranges from an elevation of 478 feet at the southwest corner of the property to 490 feet at the corner of Stone Canyon and Bellagio. The finished floor of the house, where you will see the house from for the most part except for the west side where you will see the full height of the house because of the basement being exposed, is at 494.30. So the house itself is not in a bowl. The house is actually above Stone Canyon Road, which is the location that most people will see the house. And it's clear that the Commission understands the Baseline Hillside Ordinance. I'd just like to	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. DVEIRIN: Yeah. MR. LO: If I may, I think I filled out the wrong side. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: You can talk to the staff. MR. MARMON: We do have other speakers. Is that permitted or not? COMMISSIONER LINNICK: It happens — it happens after. MR. MARMON: Sorry. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: The appellant goes. The applicant goes, and then we have the speakers for and against. So, if you can, state your name and address for the record, please.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	shown on this exhibit that I've provided to you and there's some blowups so that you can see it Stone Canyon Road ranges from an elevation of 478 feet at the southwest corner of the property to 490 feet at the corner of Stone Canyon and Bellagio. The finished floor of the house, where you will see the house from for the most part except for the west side where you will see the full height of the house because of the basement being exposed, is at 494.30. So the house itself is not in a bowl. The house is actually above Stone Canyon Road, which is the location that most people will see the house. And it's clear that the Commission understands the Baseline Hillside Ordinance. I'd just like to provide an ex I'd just like to read very briefly an	44 55 66 77 88 99 100 111 122 133 144 155 166 177 18	MR. DVEIRIN: Yeah. MR. LO: If I may, I think I filled out the wrong side. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: You can talk to the staff. MR. MARMON: We do have other speakers. Is that permitted or not? COMMISSIONER LINNICK: It happens — it happens after. MR. MARMON: Sorry. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: The appellant goes. The applicant goes, and then we have the speakers for and against. So, if you can, state your name and address for the record, please. MR. DVEIRIN: Yes.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	shown on this exhibit that I've provided to you and there's some blowups so that you can see it Stone Canyon Road ranges from an elevation of 478 feet at the southwest corner of the property to 490 feet at the corner of Stone Canyon and Bellagio. The finished floor of the house, where you will see the house from for the most part except for the west side where you will see the full height of the house because of the basement being exposed, is at 494.30. So the house itself is not in a bowl. The house is actually above Stone Canyon Road, which is the location that most people will see the house. And it's clear that the Commission understands the Baseline Hillside Ordinance. I'd just like to provide an ex I'd just like to read very briefly an excerpt from the City Attorney's report to the Council	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. DVEIRIN: Yeah. MR. LO: If I may, I think I filled out the wrong side. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: You can talk to the staff. MR. MARMON: We do have other speakers. Is that permitted or not? COMMISSIONER LINNICK: It happens — it happens after. MR. MARMON: Sorry. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: The appellant goes. The applicant goes, and then we have the speakers for and against. So, if you can, state your name and address for the record, please. MR. DVEIRIN: Yes.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	shown on this exhibit that I've provided to you and there's some blowups so that you can see it Stone Canyon Road ranges from an elevation of 478 feet at the southwest corner of the property to 490 feet at the corner of Stone Canyon and Bellagio. The finished floor of the house, where you will see the house from for the most part except for the west side where you will see the full height of the house because of the basement being exposed, is at 494.30. So the house itself is not in a bowl. The house is actually above Stone Canyon Road, which is the location that most people will see the house. And it's clear that the Commission understands the Baseline Hillside Ordinance. I'd just like to provide an ex I'd just like to read very briefly an	44 55 66 77 88 99 100 111 122 133 144 155 166 177 18	MR. DVEIRIN: Yeah. MR. LO: If I may, I think I filled out the wrong side. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: You can talk to the staff. MR. MARMON: We do have other speakers. Is that permitted or not? COMMISSIONER LINNICK: It happens — it happens after. MR. MARMON: Sorry. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: The appellant goes. The applicant goes, and then we have the speakers for and against. So, if you can, state your name and address for the record, please. MR. DVEIRIN: Yes.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	shown on this exhibit that I've provided to you and there's some blowups so that you can see it Stone Canyon Road ranges from an elevation of 478 feet at the southwest corner of the property to 490 feet at the corner of Stone Canyon and Bellagio. The finished floor of the house, where you will see the house from for the most part except for the west side where you will see the full height of the house because of the basement being exposed, is at 494.30. So the house itself is not in a bowl. The house is actually above Stone Canyon Road, which is the location that most people will see the house. And it's clear that the Commission understands the Baseline Hillside Ordinance. I'd just like to provide an ex I'd just like to read very briefly an excerpt from the City Attorney's report to the Council	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. DVEIRIN: Yeah. MR. LO: If I may, I think I filled out the wrong side. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: You can talk to the staff. MR. MARMON: We do have other speakers. Is that permitted or not? COMMISSIONER LINNICK: It happens — it happens after. MR. MARMON: Sorry. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: The appellant goes. The applicant goes, and then we have the speakers for and against. So, if you can, state your name and address for the record, please. MR. DVEIRIN: Yes. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: You have five minutes.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	shown on this exhibit that I've provided to you and there's some blowups so that you can see it Stone Canyon Road ranges from an elevation of 478 feet at the southwest corner of the property to 490 feet at the corner of Stone Canyon and Bellagio. The finished floor of the house, where you will see the house from for the most part except for the west side where you will see the full height of the house because of the basement being exposed, is at 494.30. So the house itself is not in a bowl. The house is actually above Stone Canyon Road, which is the location that most people will see the house. And it's clear that the Commission understands the Baseline Hillside Ordinance. I'd just like to provide an ex I'd just like to read very briefly an excerpt from the City Attorney's report to the Council when the City Council adopted the Baseline Hillside	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. DVEIRIN: Yeah. MR. LO: If I may, I think I filled out the wrong side. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: You can talk to the staff. MR. MARMON: We do have other speakers. Is that permitted or not? COMMISSIONER LINNICK: It happens — it happens after. MR. MARMON: Sorry. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: The appellant goes. The applicant goes, and then we have the speakers for and against. So, if you can, state your name and address for the record, please. MR. DVEIRIN: Yes. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: You have five minutes. MR. DVEIRIN: I'm Brant Dveirin with the law
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	shown on this exhibit that I've provided to you and there's some blowups so that you can see it Stone Canyon Road ranges from an elevation of 478 feet at the southwest corner of the property to 490 feet at the corner of Stone Canyon and Bellagio. The finished floor of the house, where you will see the house from for the most part except for the west side where you will see the full height of the house because of the basement being exposed, is at 494.30. So the house itself is not in a bowl. The house is actually above Stone Canyon Road, which is the location that most people will see the house. And it's clear that the Commission understands the Baseline Hillside Ordinance. I'd just like to provide an ex I'd just like to read very briefly an excerpt from the City Attorney's report to the Council when the City Council adopted the Baseline Hillside Ordinance. It says, "The current method of calculating	44 55 66 77 88 9 100 111 122 133 144 155 166 177 188 199 200 21	MR. DVEIRIN: Yeah. MR. LO: If I may, I think I filled out the wrong side. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: You can talk to the staff. MR. MARMON: We do have other speakers. Is that permitted or not? COMMISSIONER LINNICK: It happens — it happens after. MR. MARMON: Sorry. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: The appellant goes. The applicant goes, and then we have the speakers for and against. So, if you can, state your name and address for the record, please. MR. DVEIRIN: Yes. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: You have five minutes. MR. DVEIRIN: I'm Brant Dveirin with the law firm of Lewis, Brisbois, Bisgaard & Smith. I'm the
5 6 7 8	shown on this exhibit that I've provided to you and there's some blowups so that you can see it Stone Canyon Road ranges from an elevation of 478 feet at the southwest corner of the property to 490 feet at the corner of Stone Canyon and Bellagio. The finished floor of the house, where you will see the house from for the most part except for the west side where you will see the full height of the house because of the basement being exposed, is at 494.30. So the house itself is not in a bowl. The house is actually above Stone Canyon Road, which is the location that most people will see the house. And it's clear that the Commission understands the Baseline Hillside Ordinance. I'd just like to provide an ex I'd just like to read very briefly an excerpt from the City Attorney's report to the Council when the City Council adopted the Baseline Hillside Ordinance. It says, "The current method of calculating height gives developers incentive to build large, tall,	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. LO: If I may, I think I filled out the wrong side. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: You can talk to the staff. MR. MARMON: We do have other speakers. Is that permitted or not? COMMISSIONER LINNICK: It happens — it happens after. MR. MARMON: Sorry. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: The appellant goes. The applicant goes, and then we have the speakers for and against. So, if you can, state your name and address for the record, please. MR. DVEIRIN: Yes. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: You have five minutes. MR. DVEIRIN: I'm Brant Dveirin with the law firm of Lewis, Brisbois, Bisgaard & Smith. I'm the representative for the applicant M & A Gabaee. I have

RE(GULAR MEETING		January	15, 201
	Page 37			Page 39
1	I always understood this to be about	1	can't see it. That's why you need to go out to the	
2	10550 Bellagio, not 360. I think that's what we should	2	property. That's why these pictures are important.	
3	be looking at. I do believe that it's pretty clear	3	Essentially, what you have out there is you	
4	that the properties are different. The one thing we	4	have a slope that goes like this. It slopes down to	
5	have to recognize with 360 is at the time when that	5	the stream. Then you have a flat roof. So the part to	
6	application was done, there was a huge issue regarding	6	my right is going to be a little bit higher than the	
7	the stream, that it was going to be covered, and that	7	part to my left. So it's only the part of the home	
8	characterized and invaded that whole process.	8	that's closest to the stream that's going to be	
9	That is no longer the case. We're preserving	9	50 feet. Eighty-two percent of this property is going	
10	the stream. That was asked for us to do. We're doing	10	to be at the 36 feet. Eighteen percent is going to be	
11	that, and because of that, this site requires us to be	11	at 50 feet, and it's only this one part.	
12	55 feet away from Stone Canyon.	12	When we get into the detail of this, we have a	
13	So I take issue with the fact that this idea	13	substantially difficult site to build on. Only	
14	that you are going to see this driving along	14	65 percent of that site can be used for building. The	
15	Stone Canyon — I was there the other day. The cars	15	rest of it has to be preserved because of the stream,	
	·	!	-	
16 17	zip along there. There's already a stone wall there.	16 17	which we agreed to do. Because of that imposition, we are entitled to seek a variance.	
	There's going to be some ironwork on top of that. So I just don't think that's correct.	18		
18	•	1	One of the most basic things under American	
19	I submitted some photos. Hopefully, everybody	19	law is a property owner to use his property to his	
20	got to see it. I understand that everybody at least	20	desire and maximum use under the law, and the law	
21	two people have said they've been to the site. They	21	allows him to apply for a variance. And if you meet	
22	say photos are worth a thousand words, and I agree with	22	the requirements for a variance, you are entitled to	
23	that. If you look at the photos, particularly	23	get it. And I believe, based on what the zoning	
24	Photos No. 1, 4, and 10, you can see in Photo No. 1,	24	administrator outlined in the in the determination,	
25	for example, just how far	25	that we've met the requirements for a variance.	
	Page 38			Page 40
1	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: What exhibit excuse	1	I don't think it's particularly helpful to say	
2	me. What exhibit is this?	2	that these properties this should be treated exactly	
3	MR. DVEIRIN: These are the photos I	3	the same way as 360 because because, at the time	
4	COMMISSIONER FOSTER; Oh, okay. You	4	that we did 360, we had a stream issue that we don't	
5	(Simultaneous speaking.)	5	have on Bellagio. We didn't have the we didn't have	
6	MR. DVEIRIN: The first photo shows you just	6	the same information regarding the site. This site	
7	how far this property is from the from the	7	is information is different.	
8	Stone Canyon, which is on the other side of that wall.	8	And I really believe that if we look at the	
9	None of that none of that property between the	9	particular opposition that we have in this case and	
10	bottom of this picture and the stone wall can be used.	10	that we had in Stone Canyon, you will see, in light of	
11	That has to be preserved at least 55 feet, in some	11	the two letters that I submitted today, one from the	
12	places more, further away from that wall.	12	homeowners association and one from another neighbor,	
13	If you look at the picture on page 4 the	13	is that we don't have opposition from the neighborhood.	
14	picture on page 4, at the top, there's a little	14	We have opposition essentially from one neighbor, mayb	ne.
15	building at the top. That's part of 33 [sic] Copa de	15	two neighbors. It's always the same neighbor,	
16	Oro Road, which is Mr. Marmon's client's property.	16	Ms. Lazarof Lazarof. That's her right. But as her	
17	That's not her house. That's some art studio.	17	attorney said, he's going to take the Stone Canyon case	
18	It's barely visible to this property with that	18	all the way to the Supreme Court. Good luck with that.	
18 19		19	-	
	vegetation. This property sits — I don't know if you	1	But the thing is, is that this is not about	
20	want to call it a bowl, but it has a huge wall behind	20	land use. It's personal, and it's typical. When	
21	it. It has it's below the grade of the street.	21	you're the last one to build in a lot that everybody is	
22	None of the properties that surround it on the east and	22	used to seeing empty for a substantial period of time,	
23	on the north can see virtually anything on this	23	certain people don't like it. I've seen it all over	
24	property except some of the roof, and it won't matter	24	the city.	
		1 ~ -	month at a figure way of the	
25	whether that roof is 40 feet, 39 feet, 60 feet. They	25	This dispute needs to stop. It needs to stop	

	GULAR MEETING		January 15, 201
	Page 41		Page 43
1	here. It needs to stop now, and it needs your help to	1	Is that correct?
2	approve this variance so we can finally put an end to	2	There were not just two. There were three
3	this and we can finish the job on Bellagio Road.	3	applications, one for a parcel map as well.
4	There was a comment made with respect to the	4	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: And the applicant's
5	variance that somehow this site, you could you could	5	prior representative at the last hearing at
6	do a home, I guess, that's terraced or that is a	6	Stone Canyon said that the grading on there actually
7	different height. And I suppose there's a lot of	7	lowered the level of the property somewhat.
8	things you can do on a particular site, but understand	8	MR. DVEIRIN: I read the transcript. I do
9	this and I think this goes for a lot of projects in	9	recall someone saying that. I don't believe it was
10	the city as a matter of right, when this thing	10	I don't believe that that was a significant change on
11	started, he had a parcel map, and he had four lots, and	11	the site, but, yes, there was a change in grading.
12	he could have built four houses on there to spec and	12	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: So, in other words, to
13	sold those lots. He's now building two larger homes on	13	some extent, if there is a bowl there, the applicant
14	two lots that he's going to live in, and I understand	14	did some of the creation of that?
15	one his brother is going to live in one of them.	15	MR. DVEIRIN: Yeah, but I look, I don't
16	This is a much less intensive use of this	16	I don't doubt that there was some grading there, and I
17	site. It's not for profit. It's for personal use.	17	don't doubt that some of that property may have been
18	This is the type of thing we should support, not	18	raised or lowered in order to create a pad, which is
19	oppose. This is what we want. We want people to	19	not unusual. But the idea that this is a bowl is a
20	maximally use a site, not to create waste, at the same	20	misnomer. You can call it a bowl. What it really
21	time to do something that's attractive and to make sure	21	is and if you go out there and it's in the photos
22	that you listen to the requirements of the City	22	that I submitted there is there are two retaining
23	regarding the stream, regarding the retaining walls,	23	walls and a large hill in the back, extremely dense
24	regarding the landscaping. We've done all of that.	24	vegetation north and east on the site, and there is a
25	We've met all of the requirements.	25	55-foot-imposed setback from the road on Stone Canyon
<u> </u>	Days 42	······	Dono 44
	Page 42		Page 44
1	So I would ask that the appeal be denied, that	1	and Bellagio that limits you to 65 percent use of the
2	the zoning administrator's determination be affirmed.	2	site. And because of that hill and because of the fact
3	And if you have any specific questions, I'm here to	3	that even with the minimal grading that occurred, that
4	answer them, and if I can't, I have several of my	4	the pads are below the street level, you can't see the
5	experts here. They can answer them as well.	5	home that well, from Stone Canyon, and you certainly
6	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Commissioner Donovan.	6	can't see it from the homes that are blocked by the
7	I have some questions. Now, it's my understanding I	7	vegetation. So there are no view impacts. That's
8	know you are saying that the Stone Canyon property is	8	what's important.
9	different from the Bellagio property, but it was my	9	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: So I'm clear on this,
10	understanding from the last - the Stone Canyon	10	you are saying this property is not in a bowl, or is it
11	hearings that this well, first, let me ask you this:	11	in a bowl?
12	The applicant has graded done preliminary grading on	12	MR. DVEIRIN: I'm saying it's below the street
13	both properties; correct?	13	level, and it's located
14	MR. DVEIRIN: I believe that the applicant has	14	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: But I'm using something
15	done preliminary grading on both properties. I've been	15	specific. Is it a bowl or not a bowl in a bowl?
16	out there. There are pads there, yes.	16	MR. DVEIRIN: As I define a bowl, it is it
17	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: And he did them at the	17	is it is backed up by a on a hill with
18	same time?	18	significant vegetation on the on the east side, and
19	MR. DVEIRIN: I don't know that.	19	it's below the street grade as it as it slopes
20	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. Well, didn't	20	towards the west. Whether that's a bowl in your view
	your client apply for the Bellagio variance at the same	21	and my view, I don't know. I'm saying that's what it
21			
21 22	time as the Stone Canyon variance?	22	is. It is below grade, and it's surrounded by a hill
i	time as the Stone Canyon variance? MR. DVEIRIN: I believe that's correct, I	22	is. It is below grade, and it's surrounded by a hill and dense vegetation. You can call that a bowl, I
22	-	ĺ	

REGULAR MEETING		January 15, 201
	Page 45	Page 4
1 that the height-variance request is to allow additional	1	Lestate-type home similar to our neighbors with the
2 height so the proposed residence can have a consistent	2	
3 roof line for the entire home.	3	
4 MR. DVEIRIN: Yes.	4	
5 COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: That's so it for		
6 aesthetic purposes?	le	
7 MR. DVEIRIN: I would say that it is all	-	
8 homes have to have aesthetic appeal for some reason or	8	
9 other. I get that. I don't know if it's solely for	S	
10 aesthetic purposes, but if your property slopes this	10	
11 way towards this way towards the stream and you	11	-
12 want and your roof your roof, whether if	12	
13 it's if it's an A-shaped roof, flat roof, whatever,	13	
14 is going to be flat like this, you are going to have it	14	
15 a little bit higher on this side, which is only	15	
16 18 percent of the home. Eighty-two percent of this is	16	
17 going to be at 36 feet.	17	
18 But, yes, if you have a flat if you have a	18	
19 consistent roof line and a and a and a slope this	19	
20 way, you are going to have a little bit of a of a	20	
21 of a higher property towards the towards the water	21	
22 channel than you are away from the water channel.	22	
23 COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: But you can buil		·
24 home on this property with a varied roof line; correct?	24	
25 MR. DVEIRIN: I don't know. I'm not a	25	
	Page 46	Page 4i
1 builder. I'm a lawyer. I have an architect here. You	1	L neighbors because of physical and other types of
2 can ask him,		
3 COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. Well, we	can get 3	discretionary determination, but a variance isn't
4 back to that, then. But you have any other it		
5 seems it seemed to me and I'll say, the prior	5	
6 representative of your client admitted that this was		
7 for aesthetic purposes, said it on the record, and so	-	
8 I'm asking you, is this for aesthetic purposes?	. 8	
9 MR. DVEIRIN: Not I don't believe anything	1	9 and my client's right, you're entitled to seek a
10 is solely done for aesthetic purposes because	10	
11 because a roof also has structural integrity uses and	11	•
things like that, but, yes, all homes have an aesthetic	12	
13 purpose, mine and yours.	13	
14 COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. And I not		
,	i i	
15 was no evidence presented to the ZA in the underlying	119	
	15	
16 hearings here to the effect that your client cannot	16	for roof line at 50 feet.
hearings here to the effect that your client cannot build a home on this property unless he gets the	16	roof line at 50 feet.So we're not impacting any of our neighbors.
hearings here to the effect that your client cannot build a home on this property unless he gets the variance. You didn't present any you haven't	16 17 18	7 roof line at 50 feet. 7 So we're not impacting any of our neighbors. 8 And because we have the severe restraints on the site,
hearings here to the effect that your client cannot build a home on this property unless he gets the variance. You didn't present any you haven't presented any evidence to the ZA or to us to the effect	16 13 18	7 roof line at 50 feet. 7 So we're not impacting any of our neighbors. 8 And because we have the severe restraints on the site, 9 it's within our right to seek a variance.
hearings here to the effect that your client cannot build a home on this property unless he gets the variance. You didn't present any you haven't presented any evidence to the ZA or to us to the effect that if you don't get this variance, you can't build a	16 17 18 19	roof line at 50 feet. So we're not impacting any of our neighbors. And because we have the severe restraints on the site, it's within our right to seek a variance. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Well, first of all,
hearings here to the effect that your client cannot build a home on this property unless he gets the variance. You didn't present any you haven't presented any evidence to the ZA or to us to the effect that if you don't get this variance, you can't build a home?	16 17 18 19 20 21	7 So we're not impacting any of our neighbors. 8 And because we have the severe restraints on the site, 10 it's within our right to seek a variance. 11 Counsel, there's no doubt that your client is entitled
hearings here to the effect that your client cannot build a home on this property unless he gets the variance. You didn't present any you haven't presented any evidence to the ZA or to us to the effect that if you don't get this variance, you can't build a home? MR, DVEIRIN: What we've explained to the	16 17 18 19 20 21	roof line at 50 feet. So we're not impacting any of our neighbors. And because we have the severe restraints on the site, it's within our right to seek a variance. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Well, first of all, Counsel, there's no doubt that your client is entitled to seek a variance. Whether the client gets a variance
hearings here to the effect that your client cannot build a home on this property unless he gets the variance. You didn't present any you haven't presented any evidence to the ZA or to us to the effect that if you don't get this variance, you can't build a home? MR. DVEIRIN: What we've explained to the zoning administrator and we've made clear in our	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	roof line at 50 feet. So we're not impacting any of our neighbors. And because we have the severe restraints on the site, it's within our right to seek a variance. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Well, first of all, Counsel, there's no doubt that your client is entitled to seek a variance. Whether the client gets a variance or not, nobody has impeded your client's right to seek
hearings here to the effect that your client cannot build a home on this property unless he gets the variance. You didn't present any you haven't presented any evidence to the ZA or to us to the effect that if you don't get this variance, you can't build a home? MR. DVEIRIN: What we've explained to the	16 17 18 19 20 21	roof line at 50 feet. So we're not impacting any of our neighbors. And because we have the severe restraints on the site, it's within our right to seek a variance. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Well, first of all, Counsel, there's no doubt that your client is entitled to seek a variance. Whether the client gets a variance or not, nobody has impeded your client's right to seek a variance thus far.

KEU	SULAK MEETING		January 15, 2014
	Page 49		Page 51
1	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. You now say you	1	they they don't like the particular project. And
2	cannot build an estate-like home without a variance.	2	one of the things that you need to make clear to the
3	What kinds of homes can you not build?	3	opposition at times is that what you can do as a matter
4	What can't you build here if you don't get	4	of right, you might like less. That's what I'm saying.
5	this variance?	5	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Sure.
6	MR. DVEIRIN: I would ask my architect to	6	MR. DVEIRIN: What we can do as a matter of
7	answer that question because that's that's beyond	7	right may not be as aesthetically and practical
8	my my pay grade, but but I I do think	8	practically pleasing, not only to us, but to our
9	that that that anytime that you apply for a	9	neighbors. And I don't want that that fact lost on
10	variance anytime you apply for a variance, it's a	10	this Commission because because what we're
11	discretionary determination. And what I'm arguing for	11	essentially doing is a less dense use and a more
12	is that we meet the requirements for you to exercise	12	attractive use of this site than four smaller homes,
13	your discretion in favor of granting the variance. And	13	and I think that's something we should promote.
14	we are asking you to do that, but it's not a it's	14	COMMISSIONER HALPER: Counselor,
15	not a mandatory determination. It's a discretionary	15	Commissioner Halper. You refer to the fact that there
16	determination.	16	was a single resident or neighbor who was the
17	And in order for my client to maximally	17	complaining source. I've got a number of the
18	maximize the use of his property as his right in order	18	letters
19	to have something similar to the estate-size homes that	19	MR. DVEIRIN: Yes.
20	surround him, he needs the variance, but he can't get	20	COMMISSIONER HALPER: that are complaints
21	it as a matter of right, which is why we're here.	21	from let me finish, please. I've got one here from
22	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Commissioner Linnick.	22	the Federation of Hillside and Canyon Associations,
23	But you were mentioning that he could have built four	23	which indicate that they represent 42 associations and
24	homes, and	24	200,000 constituents, and asking us to enforce the
25	MR. DVEIRIN: Yes.	25	hillside ordinance. So I would say we the
	Page 50		Page 52
1	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: they obviously would	1	Commission is very sensitive to what the neighbors are
2	have been smaller, and they wouldn't have been the sort	2	concerned with in our decision-making. It doesn't
3	of estate-like home that you are saying, you know, if	3	appear do you want to make a comment back?
4	they build the two. So I'm kind of confused. I mean,	4	MR. DVEIRIN: No, no. What I'm saying is that
5	you are saying both sort of saying both things.	5	I'm aware of some other opposition. Primarily, we have
6	So	6	one consistent opposition who is behind us on the hill
7	MR. DVEIRIN: They could have	7	at 333 Copa de Oro, which is Ms. Lazarof, who I
8	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: I'm saying, they	8	understand is ill today, and I hope she gets better.
			and or state of the country of the state of
9	could have just built the four homes, and	9	But that that that's what's driving this is that
10		9 10	
	could have just built the four homes, and		But that that that's what's driving this is that
10	could have just built the four homes, and MR. DVEIRIN: What I'm saying is	10	But that that that's what's driving this is that single opposition.
10 11	could have just built the four homes, and MR. DVEIRIN: What I'm saying is COMMISSIONER LINNICK: I've got this	10 11	But that that that's what's driving this is that single opposition. But there are some other people that have sent
10 11 12	could have just built the four homes, and MR. DVEIRIN: What I'm saying is COMMISSIONER LINNICK: I've got this variance to make this home that is, like, similar to	10 11 12	But that that that's what's driving this is that single opposition. But there are some other people that have sent in letters, but that's not who is at every hearing,
10 11 12 13	could have just built the four homes, and MR. DVEIRIN: What I'm saying is COMMISSIONER LINNICK: I've got this variance to make this home that is, like, similar to the others in the neighborhood, you are saying?	10 11 12 13	But that that that's what's driving this is that single opposition. But there are some other people that have sent in letters, but that's not who is at every hearing, opposed to everything that we've done on this property,
10 11 12 13 14	could have just built the four homes, and MR. DVEIRIN: What I'm saying is COMMISSIONER LINNICK: I've got this variance to make this home that is, like, similar to the others in the neighborhood, you are saying? MR. DVEIRIN: My understanding and someone	10 11 12 13 14	But that that that's what's driving this is that single opposition. But there are some other people that have sent in letters, but that's not who is at every hearing, opposed to everything that we've done on this property, and will be with us until this gets done. And I think
10 11 12 13 14 15	could have just built the four homes, and MR. DVEIRIN: What I'm saying is COMMISSIONER LINNICK: I've got this variance to make this home that is, like, similar to the others in the neighborhood, you are saying? MR. DVEIRIN: My understanding and someone on my side will correct me if I'm wrong is that the City Planning Department wanted something different than what he legally could do with the property; in	10 11 12 13 14 15	But that that that's what's driving this is that single opposition. But there are some other people that have sent in letters, but that's not who is at every hearing, opposed to everything that we've done on this property, and will be with us until this gets done. And I think it needs to stop, and I need your help to make it stop. And the only way we can get that to stop is to get this variance finally approved.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	could have just built the four homes, and MR. DVEIRIN: What I'm saying is COMMISSIONER LINNICK: — I've got this variance to make this home that is, like, similar to the others in the neighborhood, you are saying? MR. DVEIRIN: My understanding — and someone on my side will correct me if I'm wrong — is that the City Planning Department wanted something different	10 11 12 13 14 15 16	But that that that's what's driving this is that single opposition. But there are some other people that have sent in letters, but that's not who is at every hearing, opposed to everything that we've done on this property, and will be with us until this gets done. And I think it needs to stop, and I need your help to make it stop. And the only way we can get that to stop is to get this
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	could have just built the four homes, and MR. DVEIRIN: What I'm saying is COMMISSIONER LINNICK: I've got this variance to make this home that is, like, similar to the others in the neighborhood, you are saying? MR. DVEIRIN: My understanding and someone on my side will correct me if I'm wrong is that the City Planning Department wanted something different than what he legally could do with the property; in	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	But that that that's what's driving this is that single opposition. But there are some other people that have sent in letters, but that's not who is at every hearing, opposed to everything that we've done on this property, and will be with us until this gets done. And I think it needs to stop, and I need your help to make it stop. And the only way we can get that to stop is to get this variance finally approved.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	could have just built the four homes, and MR. DVEIRIN: What I'm saying is COMMISSIONER LINNICK: — I've got this variance to make this home that is, like, similar to the others in the neighborhood, you are saying? MR. DVEIRIN: My understanding — and someone on my side will correct me if I'm wrong — is that the City Planning Department wanted something different than what he legally could do with the property; in other words, to tie the lots together, to put some —	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	But that that that's what's driving this is that single opposition. But there are some other people that have sent in letters, but that's not who is at every hearing, opposed to everything that we've done on this property, and will be with us until this gets done. And I think it needs to stop, and I need your help to make it stop. And the only way we can get that to stop is to get this variance finally approved. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Commissioner Donovan.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	could have just built the four homes, and MR. DVEIRIN: What I'm saying is COMMISSIONER LINNICK: — I've got this variance to make this home that is, like, similar to the others in the neighborhood, you are saying? MR. DVEIRIN: My understanding and someone on my side will correct me if I'm wrong is that the City Planning Department wanted something different than what he legally could do with the property; in other words, to tie the lots together, to put some to put bigger homes on the property. There's a difference between what you can build as a matter of right and what is wise to build,	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	But that — that — that's what's driving this is that single opposition. But there are some other people that have sent in letters, but that's not who is at every hearing, opposed to everything that we've done on this property, and will be with us until this gets done. And I think it needs to stop, and I need your help to make it stop. And the only way we can get that to stop is to get this variance finally approved. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Commissioner Donovan. Do you believe that the Stone Canyon case created
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	could have just built the four homes, and MR. DVEIRIN: What I'm saying is COMMISSIONER LINNICK: — I've got this variance to make this home that is, like, similar to the others in the neighborhood, you are saying? MR. DVEIRIN: My understanding — and someone on my side will correct me if I'm wrong — is that the City Planning Department wanted something different than what he legally could do with the property; in other words, to tie the lots together, to put some — to put bigger homes on the property. There's a difference between what you can build as a matter of right and what is wise to build, and — and I'm saying is — is that, all over the city,	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	But that that that's what's driving this is that single opposition. But there are some other people that have sent in letters, but that's not who is at every hearing, opposed to everything that we've done on this property, and will be with us until this gets done. And I think it needs to stop, and I need your help to make it stop. And the only way we can get that to stop is to get this variance finally approved. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Commissioner Donovan. Do you believe that the Stone Canyon case created precedent for the variance in this case?
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	could have just built the four homes, and MR. DVEIRIN: What I'm saying is COMMISSIONER LINNICK: — I've got this variance to make this home that is, like, similar to the others in the neighborhood, you are saying? MR. DVEIRIN: My understanding — and someone on my side will correct me if I'm wrong — is that the City Planning Department wanted something different than what he legally could do with the property; in other words, to tie the lots together, to put some — to put bigger homes on the property. There's a difference between what you can build as a matter of right and what is wise to build, and — and I'm saying is — is that, all over the city, there are instances where people seek approvals —	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	But that that that's what's driving this is that single opposition. But there are some other people that have sent in letters, but that's not who is at every hearing, opposed to everything that we've done on this property, and will be with us until this gets done. And I think it needs to stop, and I need your help to make it stop. And the only way we can get that to stop is to get this variance finally approved. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Commissioner Donovan. Do you believe that the Stone Canyon case created precedent for the variance in this case? MR. DVEIRIN: No. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: So you are not asserting that?
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	could have just built the four homes, and MR. DVEIRIN: What I'm saying is COMMISSIONER LINNICK: — I've got this variance to make this home that is, like, similar to the others in the neighborhood, you are saying? MR. DVEIRIN: My understanding — and someone on my side will correct me if I'm wrong — is that the City Planning Department wanted something different than what he legally could do with the property; in other words, to tie the lots together, to put some — to put bigger homes on the property. There's a difference between what you can build as a matter of right and what is wise to build, and — and I'm saying is — is that, all over the city, there are instances where people seek approvals — I've — I've —as — I've done this before where —	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	But that that that's what's driving this is that single opposition. But there are some other people that have sent in letters, but that's not who is at every hearing, opposed to everything that we've done on this property, and will be with us until this gets done. And I think it needs to stop, and I need your help to make it stop. And the only way we can get that to stop is to get this variance finally approved. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Commissioner Donovan. Do you believe that the Stone Canyon case created precedent for the variance in this case? MR. DVEIRIN: No. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: So you are not asserting that? MR. DVEIRIN: No, no. No, not at all. I
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	could have just built the four homes, and MR. DVEIRIN: What I'm saying is COMMISSIONER LINNICK: — I've got this variance to make this home that is, like, similar to the others in the neighborhood, you are saying? MR. DVEIRIN: My understanding — and someone on my side will correct me if I'm wrong — is that the City Planning Department wanted something different than what he legally could do with the property; in other words, to tie the lots together, to put some — to put bigger homes on the property. There's a difference between what you can build as a matter of right and what is wise to build, and — and I'm saying is — is that, all over the city, there are instances where people seek approvals —	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	But that that that's what's driving this is that single opposition. But there are some other people that have sent in letters, but that's not who is at every hearing, opposed to everything that we've done on this property, and will be with us until this gets done. And I think it needs to stop, and I need your help to make it stop. And the only way we can get that to stop is to get this variance finally approved. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Commissioner Donovan. Do you believe that the Stone Canyon case created precedent for the variance in this case? MR. DVEIRIN: No. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: So you are not asserting that?

	ULAR MEETING		January 15, 20
	Page 53		Page 5
1	Bellagio. I'm not here on Stone Canyon.	1	when he bought this when he had the property, when
2	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: And you would agree	2	he went to design it, when he went to grade it, if he
3	that the Bellagio property isn't the only property in	3	knew about that, why didn't he do something at that
4	the vicinity that has a stream running through it?	4	time when he had all of the grading done?
5	MR. DVEIRIN: I don't know that for a fact.	5	I've been to the site several times. I
6	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: I do. I do.	6	remember the site when there was another home on it.
7	MR. DVEIRIN: I do know this, that that stream	7	It's it's hard for me to imagine that these aren't
8	is not just on that property. I don't know where else	8	self-imposed conditions that he's put that he's put
9	it runs. I do know this, is that	9	on himself. He knew right off from the beginning
10	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: It runs down	10	that the stream had a buffer zone, that he had to
11	Stone Canyon, doesn't it?	11	plant
12	MR. DVEIRIN: Yes. But I'm saying that there	12	I mean, all of those things have been known
13	are other properties that I am aware of and I can't	13	since before he designed the house. So it's difficult
14	cite their addresses that they have this stream, and	14	for me to understand how, now that he knows all of
	they've been able to cover it, build over it, do	15	that, he wants a variance, because he could have
	various things with it. We are actually preserving it,	16	designed the house to go along with what was the
17	and because of our preservation of this, we have	17	hillside ordinance and the stream preservation. All of
18	imposed on us a 50 at least a 55-foot setback from	18	those things could have been taken into consideration.
19	the property line in order to build on this site. That	19	I don't what I don't understand is why he didn't do
20	makes this site usable — only 65 percent of this site	20	that. Just, a variance seemed easier?
	is actually usable. That's one of the big constraints	21	MR. DVEIRIN: I don't I wouldn't
22	of the site in addition to the slope that makes our	22	characterize this as "easy." By the way
23	property not as usable as we would like and why we need	23	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Well, it was pretty easy
24	a variance to maximize the use of this property for my	24	getting the one on 360 because it just got taken care
25	client's purposes.	25	of in Council, you know.
	^ ^		•
•	Page 54		Page 5
1	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Commissioner Donovan	_	MR. DVEIRIN: No, no.
	•	1	Title D (Little 1 to, 110.
2	again. Your client's property isn't the only property	2	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: We've spent a lot of
2	again. Your client's property isn't the only property in the vicinity with varying elevations; correct?	1	
		2	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: We've spent a lot of
3	in the vicinity with varying elevations; correct? MR. DVEIRIN: I don't know of any other	2	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: We've spent a lot of time on this ourselves as a Commission. We've spent a lot of time looking, reading, and studying this. So
3 4	in the vicinity with varying elevations; correct? MR. DVEIRIN: I don't know of any other properties in that immediate vicinity that has a	2 3 4	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: We've spent a lot of time on this ourselves as a Commission. We've spent a lot of time looking, reading, and studying this. So it's not something that we take lightly either, you
3 4 5	in the vicinity with varying elevations; correct? MR. DVEIRIN: I don't know of any other	2 3 4 5	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: We've spent a lot of time on this ourselves as a Commission. We've spent a lot of time looking, reading, and studying this. So it's not something that we take lightly either, you know. And it's not easy for you, I'm sure, and it's
3 4 5 6	in the vicinity with varying elevations; correct? MR. DVEIRIN: I don't know of any other properties in that immediate vicinity that has a 16-foot difference in elevation within a mere couple of feet of property. Remember that — that this property	2 3 4 5 6	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: We've spent a lot of time on this ourselves as a Commission. We've spent a lot of time looking, reading, and studying this. So it's not something that we take lightly either, you know. And it's not easy for you, I'm sure, and it's not easy for your client. But, on the other hand, it
3 4 5 6 7	in the vicinity with varying elevations; correct? MR. DVEIRIN: I don't know of any other properties in that immediate vicinity that has a 16-foot difference in elevation within a mere couple of feet of property. Remember that — that this property slopes down towards the stream at a fairly — a fairly	2 3 4 5 6 7	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: We've spent a lot of time on this ourselves as a Commission. We've spent a lot of time looking, reading, and studying this. So it's not something that we take lightly either, you know. And it's not easy for you, I'm sure, and it's not easy for your client. But, on the other hand, it hasn't been easy for us either because we've spent a
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	in the vicinity with varying elevations; correct? MR. DVEIRIN: I don't know of any other properties in that immediate vicinity that has a 16-foot difference in elevation within a mere couple of feet of property. Remember that — that this property	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: We've spent a lot of time on this ourselves as a Commission. We've spent a lot of time looking, reading, and studying this. So it's not something that we take lightly either, you know. And it's not easy for you, I'm sure, and it's not easy for your client. But, on the other hand, it hasn't been easy for us either because we've spent a really lot of time reading through all of this
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	in the vicinity with varying elevations; correct? MR. DVEIRIN: I don't know of any other properties in that immediate vicinity that has a 16-foot difference in elevation within a mere couple of feet of property. Remember that — that this property slopes down towards the stream at a fairly — a fairly steep slope. There is a 16-foot difference between the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: We've spent a lot of time on this ourselves as a Commission. We've spent a lot of time looking, reading, and studying this. So it's not something that we take lightly either, you know. And it's not easy for you, I'm sure, and it's not easy for your client. But, on the other hand, it hasn't been easy for us either because we've spent a
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	in the vicinity with varying elevations; correct? MR. DVEIRIN: I don't know of any other properties in that immediate vicinity that has a 16-foot difference in elevation within a mere couple of feet of property. Remember that — that this property slopes down towards the stream at a fairly — a fairly steep slope. There is a 16-foot difference between the west and the east. That 16-foot differential is what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: We've spent a lot of time on this ourselves as a Commission. We've spent a lot of time looking, reading, and studying this. So it's not something that we take lightly either, you know. And it's not easy for you, I'm sure, and it's not easy for your client. But, on the other hand, it hasn't been easy for us either because we've spent a really lot of time reading through all of this material, and so, you know, we are trying to do the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 LO L1 L2	in the vicinity with varying elevations; correct? MR. DVEIRIN: I don't know of any other properties in that immediate vicinity that has a 16-foot difference in elevation within a mere couple of feet of property. Remember that — that this property slopes down towards the stream at a fairly — a fairly steep slope. There is a 16-foot difference between the west and the east. That 16-foot differential is what accounts for it being 50 feet here and then the rest of the property, the other 82 percent, just being the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: We've spent a lot of time on this ourselves as a Commission. We've spent a lot of time looking, reading, and studying this. So it's not something that we take lightly either, you know. And it's not easy for you, I'm sure, and it's not easy for your client. But, on the other hand, it hasn't been easy for us either because we've spent a really lot of time reading through all of this material, and so, you know, we are trying to do the right thing for everybody. So that's
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	in the vicinity with varying elevations; correct? MR. DVEIRIN: I don't know of any other properties in that immediate vicinity that has a 16-foot difference in elevation within a mere couple of feet of property. Remember that — that this property slopes down towards the stream at a fairly — a fairly steep slope. There is a 16-foot difference between the west and the east. That 16-foot differential is what accounts for it being 50 feet here and then the rest of the property, the other 82 percent, just being the 36 feet. So that's a very steep differential.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: We've spent a lot of time on this ourselves as a Commission. We've spent a lot of time looking, reading, and studying this. So it's not something that we take lightly either, you know. And it's not easy for you, I'm sure, and it's not easy for your client. But, on the other hand, it hasn't been easy for us either because we've spent a really lot of time reading through all of this material, and so, you know, we are trying to do the right thing for everybody. So that's MR. DVEIRIN: I don't know my understanding from looking at the documents is that, when this
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	in the vicinity with varying elevations; correct? MR. DVEIRIN: I don't know of any other properties in that immediate vicinity that has a 16-foot difference in elevation within a mere couple of feet of property. Remember that — that this property slopes down towards the stream at a fairly — a fairly steep slope. There is a 16-foot difference between the west and the east. That 16-foot differential is what accounts for it being 50 feet here and then the rest of the property, the other 82 percent, just being the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: We've spent a lot of time on this ourselves as a Commission. We've spent a lot of time looking, reading, and studying this. So it's not something that we take lightly either, you know. And it's not easy for you, I'm sure, and it's not easy for your client. But, on the other hand, it hasn't been easy for us either because we've spent a really lot of time reading through all of this material, and so, you know, we are trying to do the right thing for everybody. So that's MR. DVEIRIN: I don't know my understanding
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	in the vicinity with varying elevations; correct? MR. DVEIRIN: I don't know of any other properties in that immediate vicinity that has a 16-foot difference in elevation within a mere couple of feet of property. Remember that — that this property slopes down towards the stream at a fairly — a fairly steep slope. There is a 16-foot difference between the west and the east. That 16-foot differential is what accounts for it being 50 feet here and then the rest of the property, the other 82 percent, just being the 36 feet. So that's a very steep differential. I'm unaware, as I sit here today, of any other	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: We've spent a lot of time on this ourselves as a Commission. We've spent a lot of time looking, reading, and studying this. So it's not something that we take lightly either, you know. And it's not easy for you, I'm sure, and it's not easy for your client. But, on the other hand, it hasn't been easy for us either because we've spent a really lot of time reading through all of this material, and so, you know, we are trying to do the right thing for everybody. So that's MR. DVEIRIN: I don't know my understanding from looking at the documents is that, when this originally got started, there was a lot of time and
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	in the vicinity with varying elevations; correct? MR. DVEIRIN: I don't know of any other properties in that immediate vicinity that has a 16-foot difference in elevation within a mere couple of feet of property. Remember that — that this property slopes down towards the stream at a fairly — a fairly steep slope. There is a 16-foot difference between the west and the east. That 16-foot differential is what accounts for it being 50 feet here and then the rest of the property, the other 82 percent, just being the 36 feet. So that's a very steep differential. I'm unaware, as I sit here today, of any other properties in that immediate vicinity that has a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: We've spent a lot of time on this ourselves as a Commission. We've spent a lot of time looking, reading, and studying this. So it's not something that we take lightly either, you know. And it's not easy for you, I'm sure, and it's not easy for your client. But, on the other hand, it hasn't been easy for us either because we've spent a really lot of time reading through all of this material, and so, you know, we are trying to do the right thing for everybody. So that's MR. DVEIRIN: I don't know my understanding from looking at the documents is that, when this originally got started, there was a lot of time and effort put into covering the stream okay? not preserving the stream.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	in the vicinity with varying elevations; correct? MR. DVEIRIN: I don't know of any other properties in that immediate vicinity that has a 16-foot difference in elevation within a mere couple of feet of property. Remember that — that this property slopes down towards the stream at a fairly — a fairly steep slope. There is a 16-foot difference between the west and the east. That 16-foot differential is what accounts for it being 50 feet here and then the rest of the property, the other 82 percent, just being the 36 feet. So that's a very steep differential. I'm unaware, as I sit here today, of any other properties in that immediate vicinity that has a 16-foot differential in a matter of a few feet. COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Is it not true —	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: We've spent a lot of time on this ourselves as a Commission. We've spent a lot of time looking, reading, and studying this. So it's not something that we take lightly either, you know. And it's not easy for you, I'm sure, and it's not easy for your client. But, on the other hand, it hasn't been easy for us either because we've spent a really lot of time reading through all of this material, and so, you know, we are trying to do the right thing for everybody. So that's MR. DVEIRIN: I don't know my understanding from looking at the documents is that, when this originally got started, there was a lot of time and effort put into covering the stream okay? not preserving the stream. COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Right.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	in the vicinity with varying elevations; correct? MR. DVEIRIN: I don't know of any other properties in that immediate vicinity that has a 16-foot difference in elevation within a mere couple of feet of property. Remember that — that this property slopes down towards the stream at a fairly — a fairly steep slope. There is a 16-foot difference between the west and the east. That 16-foot differential is what accounts for it being 50 feet here and then the rest of the property, the other 82 percent, just being the 36 feet. So that's a very steep differential. I'm unaware, as I sit here today, of any other properties in that immediate vicinity that has a 16-foot differential in a matter of a few feet.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: We've spent a lot of time on this ourselves as a Commission. We've spent a lot of time looking, reading, and studying this. So it's not something that we take lightly either, you know. And it's not easy for you, I'm sure, and it's not easy for your client. But, on the other hand, it hasn't been easy for us either because we've spent a really lot of time reading through all of this material, and so, you know, we are trying to do the right thing for everybody. So that's MR. DVEIRIN: I don't know my understanding from looking at the documents is that, when this originally got started, there was a lot of time and effort put into covering the stream okay? not preserving the stream. COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Right. MR. DVEIRIN: Then there was a change to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	in the vicinity with varying elevations; correct? MR. DVEIRIN: I don't know of any other properties in that immediate vicinity that has a 16-foot difference in elevation within a mere couple of feet of property. Remember that — that this property slopes down towards the stream at a fairly — a fairly steep slope. There is a 16-foot difference between the west and the east. That 16-foot differential is what accounts for it being 50 feet here and then the rest of the property, the other 82 percent, just being the 36 feet. So that's a very steep differential. I'm unaware, as I sit here today, of any other properties in that immediate vicinity that has a 16-foot differential in a matter of a few feet. COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Is it not true — Commissioner Foster — that your client did the grading on that property?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: We've spent a lot of time on this ourselves as a Commission. We've spent a lot of time looking, reading, and studying this. So it's not something that we take lightly either, you know. And it's not easy for you, I'm sure, and it's not easy for your client. But, on the other hand, it hasn't been easy for us either because we've spent a really lot of time reading through all of this material, and so, you know, we are trying to do the right thing for everybody. So that's MR. DVEIRIN: I don't know my understanding from looking at the documents is that, when this originally got started, there was a lot of time and effort put into covering the stream okay? not preserving the stream. COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Right. MR. DVEIRIN: Then there was a change to preserving the stream. That's what I gathered from the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	in the vicinity with varying elevations; correct? MR. DVEIRIN: I don't know of any other properties in that immediate vicinity that has a 16-foot difference in elevation within a mere couple of feet of property. Remember that — that this property slopes down towards the stream at a fairly — a fairly steep slope. There is a 16-foot difference between the west and the east. That 16-foot differential is what accounts for it being 50 feet here and then the rest of the property, the other 82 percent, just being the 36 feet. So that's a very steep differential. I'm unaware, as I sit here today, of any other properties in that immediate vicinity that has a 16-foot differential in a matter of a few feet. COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Is it not true — Commissioner Foster — that your client did the grading on that property? He did all of the grading and the backfill and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: We've spent a lot of time on this ourselves as a Commission. We've spent a lot of time looking, reading, and studying this. So it's not something that we take lightly either, you know. And it's not easy for you, I'm sure, and it's not easy for your client. But, on the other hand, it hasn't been easy for us either because we've spent a really lot of time reading through all of this material, and so, you know, we are trying to do the right thing for everybody. So that's MR. DVEIRIN: I don't know my understanding from looking at the documents is that, when this originally got started, there was a lot of time and effort put into covering the stream okay? not preserving the stream. COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Right. MR. DVEIRIN: Then there was a change to preserving the stream. That's what I gathered from the documents, that that, originally, there was a belief
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	in the vicinity with varying elevations; correct? MR. DVEIRIN: I don't know of any other properties in that immediate vicinity that has a 16-foot difference in elevation within a mere couple of feet of property. Remember that — that this property slopes down towards the stream at a fairly — a fairly steep slope. There is a 16-foot difference between the west and the east. That 16-foot differential is what accounts for it being 50 feet here and then the rest of the property, the other 82 percent, just being the 36 feet. So that's a very steep differential. I'm unaware, as I sit here today, of any other properties in that immediate vicinity that has a 16-foot differential in a matter of a few feet. COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Is it not true — Commissioner Foster — that your client did the grading on that property? He did all of the grading and the backfill and built the big retaining walls. He's had that property	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: We've spent a lot of time on this ourselves as a Commission. We've spent a lot of time looking, reading, and studying this. So it's not something that we take lightly either, you know. And it's not easy for you, I'm sure, and it's not easy for your client. But, on the other hand, it hasn't been easy for us either because we've spent a really lot of time reading through all of this material, and so, you know, we are trying to do the right thing for everybody. So that's MR. DVEIRIN: I don't know my understanding from looking at the documents is that, when this originally got started, there was a lot of time and effort put into covering the stream okay? not preserving the stream. COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Right. MR. DVEIRIN: Then there was a change to preserving the stream. That's what I gathered from the documents, that that, originally, there was a belief that you could have a much deeper, longer pad than what
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	in the vicinity with varying elevations; correct? MR. DVEIRIN: I don't know of any other properties in that immediate vicinity that has a 16-foot difference in elevation within a mere couple of feet of property. Remember that — that this property slopes down towards the stream at a fairly — a fairly steep slope. There is a 16-foot difference between the west and the east. That 16-foot differential is what accounts for it being 50 feet here and then the rest of the property, the other 82 percent, just being the 36 feet. So that's a very steep differential. I'm unaware, as I sit here today, of any other properties in that immediate vicinity that has a 16-foot differential in a matter of a few feet. COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Is it not true — Commissioner Foster — that your client did the grading on that property? He did all of the grading and the backfill and built the big retaining walls. He's had that property for many years. Did he not know what the slope was?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: We've spent a lot of time on this ourselves as a Commission. We've spent a lot of time looking, reading, and studying this. So it's not something that we take lightly either, you know. And it's not easy for you, I'm sure, and it's not easy for your client. But, on the other hand, it hasn't been easy for us either because we've spent a really lot of time reading through all of this material, and so, you know, we are trying to do the right thing for everybody. So that's MR. DVEIRIN: I don't know my understanding from looking at the documents is that, when this originally got started, there was a lot of time and effort put into covering the stream okay? not preserving the stream. COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Right. MR. DVEIRIN: Then there was a change to preserving the stream. That's what I gathered from the documents, that that, originally, there was a belief that you could have a much deeper, longer pad than what you have out there now.
3 4 5 6 7 8	in the vicinity with varying elevations; correct? MR. DVEIRIN: I don't know of any other properties in that immediate vicinity that has a 16-foot difference in elevation within a mere couple of feet of property. Remember that — that this property slopes down towards the stream at a fairly — a fairly steep slope. There is a 16-foot difference between the west and the east. That 16-foot differential is what accounts for it being 50 feet here and then the rest of the property, the other 82 percent, just being the 36 feet. So that's a very steep differential. I'm unaware, as I sit here today, of any other properties in that immediate vicinity that has a 16-foot differential in a matter of a few feet. COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Is it not true — Commissioner Foster — that your client did the grading on that property? He did all of the grading and the backfill and built the big retaining walls. He's had that property	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: We've spent a lot of time on this ourselves as a Commission. We've spent a lot of time looking, reading, and studying this. So it's not something that we take lightly either, you know. And it's not easy for you, I'm sure, and it's not easy for your client. But, on the other hand, it hasn't been easy for us either because we've spent a really lot of time reading through all of this material, and so, you know, we are trying to do the right thing for everybody. So that's MR. DVEIRIN: I don't know my understanding from looking at the documents is that, when this originally got started, there was a lot of time and effort put into covering the stream okay? not preserving the stream. COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Right. MR. DVEIRIN: Then there was a change to preserving the stream. That's what I gathered from the documents, that that, originally, there was a belief that you could have a much deeper, longer pad than what

REC	GULAR MEETING		January 15, 2014
	Page 57		Page 59
1	severely restricted building pad. Almost 50 percent of	1	any evidence other than what I saw.
2	your lot is not usable, and that requires a certain	2	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. Thank you.
3	type of design if you are going to have homes like what	3	MR. DVEIRIN: Thank you.
4	surround you and are behind you.	4	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Commissioner Linnick.
5	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Okay. I think we	5	If you could bring up your architect, that would be
6	understand that. Yeah. Okay.	6	great. I don't know if some of the questions
7	MR. DVEIRIN: So, yes, I think it's he	7	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Why don't we wait and
8	graded yes, he bought the property, but I think	8	hear some more and then
9	what I keep getting back to and I think is important is	9	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Do you want to
10	that the most fundamental of American rights is to use	10	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: ask the architect
11	your property to its maximum use within the law	11	some questions
12	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Right.	12	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay.
13	MR. DVEIRIN: and that, based on a very	13	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: after we hear some
14	detailed job done by the zoning administrator, we can	14	testimony just unless you have something immediate
15	meet the requirements of the variance.	15	you want to ask the architect.
16	I think that the detail with which the zoning	16	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Well, I wanted to ask
17	administrator dealt with this is in response to the	17	about the same question I asked of Mr. Tokunaga
18	detail with which we addressed it, which is not the	18	about the plot plan and the you know, whether or
19	same as what we did on Bellagio I mean, on	19	not
20	Stone Canyon. And I don't think they are exactly the	20	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Oh. Go ahead. I'm
21	same, and I don't think we should let one invade the	21	sorry.
22	other. And I'm not arguing that 360 has precedential	22	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: those things were
23	value of any kind.	23	provided, the slope analysis.
24	What I'm saying is that this is exactly the	24	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: The architect?
25	type of situation that someone would want a variance on	25	MR. DVEIRIN: Yeah, the architect would be
	Page 58		Page 60
1	and should get a variance. So I'm asking for your help	1	better to answer that
2	for him because he in order to make this work and to	2	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay.
3	have something similar to the neighbors, he needs the	3	MR. DVEIRIN: than me.
4	variance, and he can't get it other than through your	4	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Yeah. Just be quick.
5	discretionary approval.	5	MR. DVEIRIN: I know we are all aware of the
6	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Okay. Thank you.	6	slope. I'm not familiar with the specific slope
7	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Commissioner Donovan.	7	analysis.
8	Just to be clear on this	8	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. Okay.
9	MR. DVEIRIN: Yes, sir.	9	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Sorry. I just
10	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: you are asserting	10	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: No. That's okay.
11	that this property has the greatest degree in varying	11	MR. DVEIRIN: Do you want the architect?
12	elevations of any other properties in the vicinity?	12	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: That would be great.
13	MR. DVEIRIN: I don't know that for a fact.	13	Thank you.
14	I'm saying is is that when I was out there and when	14	MR. DVEIRIN: Yeah. He's here.
15	I looked around and drove around, I'm unaware of any	15	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Sure,
16	properties that have a 16-foot differential	16	State your name and address for the record,
17	personally unaware, in that immediate area, including	17	please.
18	around the hills and behind him and on the other side	18	MR. LO: Roland Lo, 9034 Sunset Boulevard in
19	of the golf course, that that have a 16-foot	19	West Hollywood.
20	differential in such a short pad. That's what I'm	20	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Commissioner Linnick.
21	saying, and that's part of the difficulty of this site.	21	I just wanted to ask you the same question I had asked
22	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: All right. So that's	22	of staff
23	your personal impression. You don't have any evidence	23	MR. LO: Sure.
24	to that effect?	24	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: about whether or not
25	MR. DVEIRIN: No, I don't have I don't have	25	there was a slope analysis presented to the planning

REC	GULAR MEETING		January 15, 2014
	Page 61		Page 63
1	staff and whether there was a plot plan.	1	functional thing, and, also, it's a good way of
2	MR. LO: I have no knowledge of the slope band	2	locating mechanical equipment and exhaust equipment
3	analysis, but, generally, the slope band analysis is	3	that's up there.
4	required, you know, during the plan-check process.	4	So, you know, in terms of it being solely on
5	That's an item that is technically reviewed by the	5	aesthetics, I don't believe this is solely aesthetic
6	Planning Department for the appropriate size of the	6	reasons why that you you know, that that
7	building, FAR. I don't know if that's that was	7	this this variance is granted. So what it is, it is
8	requested by the ZA on this particular case.	8	an opinion from architect to architect that you
9	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: But	9	know, what constitutes an estate, but I do believe that
10	Commissioner Linnick. So, when you were designing, you	10	this building does this house does contextually
11	didn't have the benefit of a	11	is very responsive to the surrounding neighborhoods.
12	MR. LO: We have a preliminary slope analysis	12	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Commissioner Donovan.
13	saying that you this this is a maximum because	13	There are some questions that your attorney said you
14	the site let's come back to it. The site is two	14	had to answer. So I'm going to ask them.
15	lots. It's about roughly 2.1 acres. So that's 80,000	15	MR. LO: I'm going to try my best.
16	square feet. The footprint of the building is really	16	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. Are you are
17	about 12,000 square feet. That's about 15 percent lot	17	you saying that you could not design a home on this
18	coverage. Fifteen percent, that's I believe the	18	property without the need of a variance?
19	Code allows you for 30 percent or 35 percent lot	19	MR. LO: Without the need of a variance? The
20	coverage. I'm not I've got to verify that for sure.	20	property is constrained, you know, by the way it's
21	But the slope band analysis is it will be	21	being sited. I have got to actually you have to go
22	an item that will be technically approved by the	22	to the site and actually take a look at it. That's a
23	Planning Department during the plan-check process. So	23	yes-or-no question. Am I correct?
24	I am aware of a big number, a number for a maximum	24	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: (Inaudible response.)
25	square footage, but I believe what we have designed is	25	MR. LO: This circumstance is no.
	Page 62		Page 64
1	within the maximum allowed square footage for this	1	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: You cannot design a
2	particular site.	2	home on this property without getting a height
3	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Do you have a question?	3	variance?
4	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: I have a question.	4	MR. LO: In this particular the way it's
5	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Oh. Well, I was just	5	sited, no.
6	going to so back to Commissioner Linnick. So	6	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: And tell me why you
7	back to the question of, you know, were there other	7	can't design a home
8	designs, you know, we heard from appellant's we had	8	MR. LO: Because the
9	testimony from appellant's architect about, you know,	9	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: that's going to be
10	another design that could give you, you know, a	10	within the height limit.
11	wonderfully a wonderful estate-like, you know, home	11	MR. LO: Because the motor court is you
12	that would be similar to those in the neighborhood	12	know, the motor court is facing the street, and the way
13	without doing without asking for the variance,	13	the site is being situated, the building has to situate
14	MR. LO: That is an aesthetics from architect	14	a particular location for, you know for the
15	to architect.	15	circulation to actually function. So, in this
16	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Sure.	16	particular case, in this particular design, no.
17	MR. LO: So it's a very subjective issue.	17	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: So the only reason you
18	But, for a fact, an estate my understanding of an	18	can't do it is because of the location of the motor
19	estate site, a building, is that there are pitched	19	court?
20	roofs, you know, a great motor court, backyard, a	20	MR. LO: No. Various reasons of siting the
21	great, large backyard. The pitched roof is actually a	21	site. There's, you know you've got you've got
22	functional and around the Bel Air area, the pitched	22	sun. You've got wind. You've got solar access.
23	roof is very common for these French-chateau type of	23	You've got all of these other reasons. So, in this
24	buildings. So it's a functional thing where, you know,	24	particular location
25	you quickly shed water. I mean, it's really a	25	Any architect can tell you, you know, that
		1	I and the second se

	GULAR MEETING			15, 201
	Page 65			Page 67
1	there is an alternative design to it, you know.	1	Tania Hackbarth Hackbarth,	
2	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. That's what I'm	2	Name and address for the record. You have two	
3	speaking to. I mean, let's see if I can be more	3	minutes.	
4	accurate I mean, specific.	4	JON PERICA: Jon Perica, 10338 Etiwanda	
5	Are you saying it's impossible to design a	5	Avenue, Northridge, California 91326. I'm a retired	
6	home	6	zoning administrator. Commissioners know that all five	
7	MR. LO: Oh, no.	7	variance findings have to be made. The zoning	
8	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: on this property?	8	administrator made none of the required variance	
9	MR. LO: No. No, I'm not saying that	9	findings. I'm going to pick on two of those,	
10	whatsoever.	10	No. 3, evidence of a right to a 50-foot-built	
11	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: So you could design a	11	house generally possessed by other property owners in	
12	home with a varied roof level; correct?	12	the same zone. The applicant lists no other examples	
13	MR. LO: Varied roof level, yes.	13	of houses that have the same zone, the same vicinity,	
14	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. And you could	14	the same measuring distance, and the same type of use	
15	design a home on this property that would not need a	15	to justify a previous precedent.	
16	height variance; correct? It's possible; correct?	16	The ZA's findings relate to characteristics of	
17	MR. LO: It's possible if it is you are	17	the lot and topography, not any other precedential	
18	talking about a hypothetical scenario. Yes.	18	cases. By not citing another precedent of a previous	
19	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: And the varied roof	19	grant similar to what's being asked for, this finding	
20	line, you said the reason why that won't	20	cannot be made. The inability of the zoning	
21	First of all, one of the reasons you want a	21	administrator to provide a precedent finding also	
22	consistent roof line is aesthetics. That's one reason;	22	occurred at the adjacent property at 360 Stone Canyon	
23	correct?	23	Road, owned by the same family partnership. This	
24	MR. LO: Aesthetics and -	24	adjacent property has very similar topography and	
25	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Yeah, how it looks.	25	features, and that was denied twice by your	
	Page 66			Page 68
1	MR. LO: contextually responsive to the	1	condition Commission last year.	
2	surrounding neighbors. Yes.	-	<u>-</u>	
3	sarround nerbusors, 100,	2	in that previous decision on the adjacent	
	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Yes, It's a	2	In that previous decision on the adjacent Stone Canyon variance request, the ZA found that the	
_	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Yes. It's a subjective aesthetic viewnoint; correct?	3	Stone Canyon variance request, the ZA found that the	
4	subjective, aesthetic viewpoint; correct?	3	Stone Canyon variance request, the ZA found that the precedential that no precedential height grant	
4 5	subjective, aesthetic viewpoint; correct? MR. LO: Yes.	3 4 5	Stone Canyon variance request, the ZA found that the precedential that no precedential height grant exists in the record previously in the community that	
4 5 6	subjective, aesthetic viewpoint; correct? MR. LO: Yes. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. And	3 4 5 6	Stone Canyon variance request, the ZA found that the precedential that no precedential height grant exists in the record previously in the community that can be used as an example to justify granting this	
4 5 6 7	subjective, aesthetic viewpoint; correct? MR. LO: Yes. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. And MR. LO: And we can't discuss aesthetics.	3 4 5 6 7	Stone Canyon variance request, the ZA found that the precedential that no precedential height grant exists in the record previously in the community that can be used as an example to justify granting this particular case. There is absolutely no justification	
4 5 6	subjective, aesthetic viewpoint; correct? MR. LO: Yes. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. And	3 4 5 6	Stone Canyon variance request, the ZA found that the precedential that no precedential height grant exists in the record previously in the community that can be used as an example to justify granting this particular case. There is absolutely no justification for this finding submitted by the zoning administrator	
4 5 6 7 8 9	subjective, aesthetic viewpoint; correct? MR. LO: Yes. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. And MR. LO: And we can't discuss aesthetics. It's really subjective in reality, you know.	3 4 5 6 7 8	Stone Canyon variance request, the ZA found that the precedential that no precedential height grant exists in the record previously in the community that can be used as an example to justify granting this particular case. There is absolutely no justification	
4 5 6 7 8 9	subjective, aesthetic viewpoint; correct? MR. LO: Yes. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. And MR. LO: And we can't discuss aesthetics. It's really subjective in reality, you know. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Aesthetics are always	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Stone Canyon variance request, the ZA found that the precedential that no precedential height grant exists in the record previously in the community that can be used as an example to justify granting this particular case. There is absolutely no justification for this finding submitted by the zoning administrator or the applicants.	
4 5 7 8 9 10	subjective, aesthetic viewpoint; correct? MR. LO: Yes. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. And MR. LO: And we can't discuss aesthetics. It's really subjective in reality, you know. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Aesthetics are always subjective. MR. LO: Yes.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Stone Canyon variance request, the ZA found that the precedential that no precedential height grant exists in the record previously in the community that can be used as an example to justify granting this particular case. There is absolutely no justification for this finding submitted by the zoning administrator or the applicants. Finding No. 1, identifying a city hard a	
4 5 7 8 9 10	subjective, aesthetic viewpoint; correct? MR. LO: Yes. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. And MR. LO: And we can't discuss aesthetics. It's really subjective in reality, you know. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Aesthetics are always subjective.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Stone Canyon variance request, the ZA found that the precedential that no precedential height grant exists in the record previously in the community that can be used as an example to justify granting this particular case. There is absolutely no justification for this finding submitted by the zoning administrator or the applicants. Finding No. 1, identifying a city hard a city-created hardship that can only be overcome by a	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	subjective, aesthetic viewpoint; correct? MR. LO: Yes. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. And MR. LO: And we can't discuss aesthetics. It's really subjective in reality, you know. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Aesthetics are always subjective. MR. LO: Yes. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Yes, of course. All	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Stone Canyon variance request, the ZA found that the precedential that no precedential height grant exists in the record previously in the community that can be used as an example to justify granting this particular case. There is absolutely no justification for this finding submitted by the zoning administrator or the applicants. Finding No. 1, identifying a city hard a city-created hardship that can only be overcome by a variance. As your Commission has already noted, the	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	subjective, aesthetic viewpoint; correct? MR. LO: Yes. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. And MR. LO: And we can't discuss aesthetics. It's really subjective in reality, you know. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Aesthetics are always subjective. MR. LO: Yes. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Yes, of course. All right. So – all right. I guess I have no further	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Stone Canyon variance request, the ZA found that the precedential that no precedential height grant exists in the record previously in the community that can be used as an example to justify granting this particular case. There is absolutely no justification for this finding submitted by the zoning administrator or the applicants. Finding No. 1, identifying a city hard a city-created hardship that can only be overcome by a variance. As your Commission has already noted, the applicant was not forced by the City to purchase this	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	subjective, aesthetic viewpoint; correct? MR. LO: Yes. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. And MR. LO: And we can't discuss aesthetics. It's really subjective in reality, you know. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Aesthetics are always subjective. MR. LO: Yes. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Yes, of course. All right. So all right. I guess I have no further questions. Thank you.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Stone Canyon variance request, the ZA found that the precedential — that no precedential height grant exists in the record previously in the community that can be used as an example to justify granting this particular case. There is absolutely no justification for this finding submitted by the zoning administrator or the applicants. Finding No. 1, identifying a city hard— a city-created hardship that can only be overcome by a variance. As your Commission has already noted, the applicant was not forced by the City to purchase this property. Due diligence would have indicated what the	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	subjective, aesthetic viewpoint; correct? MR. LO: Yes. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. And MR. LO: And we can't discuss aesthetics. It's really subjective in reality, you know. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Aesthetics are always subjective. MR. LO: Yes. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Yes, of course. All right. So – all right. I guess I have no further questions. Thank you. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. Thank you. So	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Stone Canyon variance request, the ZA found that the precedential that no precedential height grant exists in the record previously in the community that can be used as an example to justify granting this particular case. There is absolutely no justification for this finding submitted by the zoning administrator or the applicants. Finding No. 1, identifying a city hard a city-created hardship that can only be overcome by a variance. As your Commission has already noted, the applicant was not forced by the City to purchase this property. Due diligence would have indicated what the exact limitations on the property that was buildable	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	subjective, aesthetic viewpoint; correct? MR. LO: Yes, COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. And MR. LO: And we can't discuss aesthetics. It's really subjective in reality, you know. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Aesthetics are always subjective. MR. LO: Yes. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Yes, of course. All right. So all right. I guess I have no further questions. Thank you. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. Thank you. So now we have	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Stone Canyon variance request, the ZA found that the precedential — that no precedential height grant exists in the record previously in the community that can be used as an example to justify granting this particular case. There is absolutely no justification for this finding submitted by the zoning administrator or the applicants. Finding No. 1, identifying a city hard— a city-created hardship that can only be overcome by a variance. As your Commission has already noted, the applicant was not forced by the City to purchase this property. Due diligence would have indicated what the exact limitations on the property that was buildable could have been for that.	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	subjective, aesthetic viewpoint; correct? MR. LO: Yes. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. And MR. LO: And we can't discuss aesthetics. It's really subjective in reality, you know. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Aesthetics are always subjective. MR. LO: Yes. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Yes, of course. All right. So all right. I guess I have no further questions. Thank you. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. Thank you. So now we have MR. DVEIRIN: Do you have any questions for	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Stone Canyon variance request, the ZA found that the precedential that no precedential height grant exists in the record previously in the community that can be used as an example to justify granting this particular case. There is absolutely no justification for this finding submitted by the zoning administrator or the applicants. Finding No. 1, identifying a city hard a city-created hardship that can only be overcome by a variance. As your Commission has already noted, the applicant was not forced by the City to purchase this property. Due diligence would have indicated what the exact limitations on the property that was buildable could have been for that. The applicant had a choice of what the	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	subjective, aesthetic viewpoint; correct? MR. LO: Yes. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. And MR. LO: And we can't discuss aesthetics. It's really subjective in reality, you know. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Aesthetics are always subjective. MR. LO: Yes. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Yes, of course. All right. So all right. I guess I have no further questions. Thank you. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. Thank you. So now we have MR. DVEIRIN: Do you have any questions for me, or do you want to hear from the other speakers?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Stone Canyon variance request, the ZA found that the precedential that no precedential height grant exists in the record previously in the community that can be used as an example to justify granting this particular case. There is absolutely no justification for this finding submitted by the zoning administrator or the applicants. Finding No. 1, identifying a city hard a city-created hardship that can only be overcome by a variance. As your Commission has already noted, the applicant was not forced by the City to purchase this property. Due diligence would have indicated what the exact limitations on the property that was buildable could have been for that. The applicant had a choice of what the building footprint was, where to locate it, and he	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	subjective, aesthetic viewpoint; correct? MR. LO: Yes. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. And MR. LO: And we can't discuss aesthetics. It's really subjective in reality, you know. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Aesthetics are always subjective. MR. LO: Yes. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Yes, of course. All right. So – all right. I guess I have no further questions. Thank you. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. Thank you. So now we have MR. DVEIRIN: Do you have any questions for me, or do you want to hear from the other speakers? COMMISSIONER FOSTER: We are ready.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Stone Canyon variance request, the ZA found that the precedential that no precedential height grant exists in the record previously in the community that can be used as an example to justify granting this particular case. There is absolutely no justification for this finding submitted by the zoning administrator or the applicants. Finding No. 1, identifying a city hard a city-created hardship that can only be overcome by a variance. As your Commission has already noted, the applicant was not forced by the City to purchase this property. Due diligence would have indicated what the exact limitations on the property that was buildable could have been for that. The applicant had a choice of what the building footprint was, where to locate it, and he chose to make this particular location. At this point,	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	subjective, aesthetic viewpoint; correct? MR. LO: Yes. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. And MR. LO: And we can't discuss aesthetics. It's really subjective in reality, you know. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Aesthetics are always subjective. MR. LO: Yes. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Yes, of course. All right. So all right. I guess I have no further questions. Thank you. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. Thank you. So now we have MR. DVEIRIN: Do you have any questions for me, or do you want to hear from the other speakers? COMMISSIONER FOSTER: We are ready. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: No. I think we are	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Stone Canyon variance request, the ZA found that the precedential that no precedential height grant exists in the record previously in the community that can be used as an example to justify granting this particular case. There is absolutely no justification for this finding submitted by the zoning administrator or the applicants. Finding No. 1, identifying a city hard a city-created hardship that can only be overcome by a variance. As your Commission has already noted, the applicant was not forced by the City to purchase this property. Due diligence would have indicated what the exact limitations on the property that was buildable could have been for that. The applicant had a choice of what the building footprint was, where to locate it, and he chose to make this particular location. At this point, a noted architect has submitted a letter to you that	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	subjective, aesthetic viewpoint; correct? MR. LO: Yes. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. And MR. LO: And we can't discuss aesthetics. It's really subjective in reality, you know. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Aesthetics are always subjective. MR. LO: Yes. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Yes, of course. All right. So all right. I guess I have no further questions. Thank you. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. Thank you. So now we have MR. DVEIRIN: Do you have any questions for me, or do you want to hear from the other speakers? COMMISSIONER FOSTER: We are ready. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: No. I think we are good.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Stone Canyon variance request, the ZA found that the precedential — that no precedential height grant exists in the record previously in the community that can be used as an example to justify granting this particular case. There is absolutely no justification for this finding submitted by the zoning administrator or the applicants. Finding No. 1, identifying a city hard— a city-created hardship that can only be overcome by a variance. As your Commission has already noted, the applicant was not forced by the City to purchase this property. Due diligence would have indicated what the exact limitations on the property that was buildable could have been for that. The applicant had a choice of what the building footprint was, where to locate it, and he chose to make this particular location. At this point, a noted architect has submitted a letter to you that there are at least four other alternatives to build the	
4 5 6 7 8	subjective, aesthetic viewpoint; correct? MR. LO: Yes. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. And MR. LO: And we can't discuss aesthetics. It's really subjective in reality, you know. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Aesthetics are always subjective. MR. LO: Yes. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Yes, of course. All right. So all right. I guess I have no further questions. Thank you. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. Thank you. So now we have MR. DVEIRIN: Do you have any questions for me, or do you want to hear from the other speakers? COMMISSIONER FOSTER: We are ready. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: No. I think we are good. MR. DVEIRIN: Okay. Thank you.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Stone Canyon variance request, the ZA found that the precedential that no precedential height grant exists in the record previously in the community that can be used as an example to justify granting this particular case. There is absolutely no justification for this finding submitted by the zoning administrator or the applicants. Finding No. 1, identifying a city hard a city-created hardship that can only be overcome by a variance. As your Commission has already noted, the applicant was not forced by the City to purchase this property. Due diligence would have indicated what the exact limitations on the property that was buildable could have been for that. The applicant had a choice of what the building footprint was, where to locate it, and he chose to make this particular location. At this point, a noted architect has submitted a letter to you that there are at least four other alternatives to build the same size house on the property. I think that's a	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	subjective, aesthetic viewpoint; correct? MR. LO: Yes. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Okay. And MR. LO: And we can't discuss aesthetics. It's really subjective in reality, you know. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Aesthetics are always subjective. MR. LO: Yes. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Yes, of course. All right. So all right. I guess I have no further questions. Thank you. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. Thank you. So now we have MR. DVEIRIN: Do you have any questions for me, or do you want to hear from the other speakers? COMMISSIONER FOSTER: We are ready. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: No. I think we are good. MR. DVEIRIN: Okay. Thank you. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Thank you. So let's	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Stone Canyon variance request, the ZA found that the precedential that no precedential height grant exists in the record previously in the community that can be used as an example to justify granting this particular case. There is absolutely no justification for this finding submitted by the zoning administrator or the applicants. Finding No. 1, identifying a city hard a city-created hardship that can only be overcome by a variance. As your Commission has already noted, the applicant was not forced by the City to purchase this property. Due diligence would have indicated what the exact limitations on the property that was buildable could have been for that. The applicant had a choice of what the building footprint was, where to locate it, and he chose to make this particular location. At this point, a noted architect has submitted a letter to you that there are at least four other alternatives to build the same size house on the property. I think that's a valid compromise. The applicant gets a house similar	

KE	SULAK MEETING		January 15, 2014
	Page 69		Page 71
1	As a zoning administrator, I've seen too many	1	last minute. He didn't comply with the Brown Act. He
2	examples of bad grants that other developers use to	2	didn't agendize his request. He said, "Oh, I found out
3	say, "Well, the City granted that this time. I'm	3	too late, just Friday, about this decision."
4	entitled to the same thing." So I have that historical	4	His deputy, the same deputy, was at your
5	long view for that.	5	hearing. He knew that you had denied the variance.
6	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. Your time has	6	Your letter went to his office. So how could he come
7	run.	7	to the Council and excuse his violation of the
8	JON PERICA: Thank you so much.	8	Brown Act by saying he didn't know about it?
9	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. Thank you.	9	That's completely abusive in my view, and that
10	John Murdock, then Tania Hackbarth, and then Mr. Fisk.	10	led to the remand. You again denied it, and then he
11	Name and address for the record.	11	took it up again. I guarantee you are going to deny
12	JOHN MURDOCK: Good evening, Madam President,	12	this, and he's going to take it up, and we'll be right
13	members of the Commission. My name is John Murdock. I	13	there. Thank you,
14	am an attorney, 1209 Pine Street, Santa Monica. I am	14	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Ms. Hackbarth and then
15	not being paid to be here. I'm actually speaking on my	15	Dan Fisk and then Steve Twining or Twining.
16	own behalf, although I must say I'm biased because I do	16	Name and address for the record. You have two
17	represent this property owner in the litigation that's	17	minutes.
18	pending on the adjacent property. Mr. Marmon and I	18	TANIA HACKBARTH: Good evening.
19	have filed a petition for a writ of mandate.	19	Tania Hackbarth, 300 Stone Canyon Road. I am the
20	And I am here to say, as a member of the	20	property owner directly next door to 360 Stone Canyon.
21	public, I am extremely outraged at what happened in	21	I submitted a letter, which you all have and you've all
22	this companion case with the use and, I would call it,	22	read. First off, I'd like to compliment all four of
23	the misuse of Section 245.	23	you. I've been listening very intently to your very,
24	I've been through the whole history of that	24	in my opinion, correct questioning and your very
25	case, and I've been through all of the paper in this	25	accurate overview to look at this the way you have
	Page 70		Page 72
1	case. There really isn't a difference. There's no	1	looked at it, and I want to compliment you on your very
2	topographical difference. There's nothing that	2	wise questions that you've put forth this evening.
3	distinguishes this case from that case. Your findings	3	I've listened to this site being described as
4	were completely correct when you denied the variance or	4	a bowl, and in my mind, a bowl goes like this. Just a
5	upheld the zoning administrator's denial of a variance.	5	womanly observation, to me, this is more like a saucer.
6	The same zoning administrator denied the	6	It's not even a bowl. It's more flat than what the
7	variance, and why is he granting the variance here?	7	what the applicant wants everybody to believe.
8	Let's be real. The City Council reversed his decision	8	The 55 feet that he's talking about, that the
9	and said, "Here are the findings we want for this	9	structure has to be pushed back 55 feet because of the
10	property." Those findings are bogus. I guarantee you	10	stream, et cetera, et cetera, to build the type of home
11	they will be overturned in court.	11	that he wants to build, one would normally do that
12	Now, the applicant is here to ask you to do	12	anyway to create a beautiful driveway, to create
13	the same thing, a set of bogus findings. You already	13	beautiful landscaping, to create beautiful hardscape so
14	have pinpointed the main issue. Can you build a house?	14	that you have a presence going up to a seemingly
15	Well, finally, you got a concession. "Yes, we can	15	beautiful house.
16	build a house." That's it. It's all over. They must	16	So I don't see that this 55 foot that he has
17	make every one of the five findings.	17	to bring this property back as being a detriment but
18	When counsel says, "This is discretionary, and	18	more being something that would logically need to be
19	please help us maximize the use of this property,"	19	designed anyway to create a beautiful frontage.
20	that's completely wrong. It's not discretionary. It's	20	He also talked about how there's no other
21	mandatory that the findings be made. And the courts	21	properties in the neighborhood that have a significant
22	have consistently said, "It should be hard to get a	22	differential, and my property has an even stronger
23	variance. It's not easy to get a variance." And	23	differential, and I'm right next door. Plus, I've
24	Councilmember Koretz was completely out of line because	24	observed other homes along Stone Canyon Road that have
24	. ,		

25 how that happened, he came to the City Council at the

25 differentials. So I would like to point out that it's

ı	Page 73		Page 7
1	my observation that I have found many properties that	1	what I basically just want to convey to you is that the
2	have differentials that have managed to build beautiful	2	parameters with which he has to build with, you know,
3	homes and taking the stream into consideration,	3	he can certainly do it with complying with the
4	My only my only other comment and I	4	ordinance, I believe, that is on the books, and I just
5	truly believe this - is that the reason that we are	5	believe that he's asking for a special privilege. And,
6	all here today is that this applicant is once again	6	also, Stone Canyon Road and he's saying, well, only
7	trying to obtain a special privilege that no other	7	18 percent of it is going to be 55 feet, and the
8	homeowner is allowed to have. You we have in	8	other
9	Los Angeles a Baseline Mansionization Ordinance.	9	The problem is that the part that's going to
10	Everybody has to work within those guidelines. And I	10	be 55 feet is on Stone Canyon Road. So as the
11	want to plead to you one more time to deny his appeal	11	neighborhood drives through, up and down, they are
12	and to uphold the Mansionization Ordinance, which you	12	going to see this big towering structure, which is
13	have put forward. Some other thoughts	13	probably equivalent to a four- or five-story office
14	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. Well, your time	14	building, and that's you know, that's the reality.
15	rau.	15	As you drive down Stone Canyon Road, it's the front
16	TANIA HACKBARTH: Okay.	16	half of it that he's saying is the 18 percent that
17	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Commissioner Linnick.	17	needs to be 50-plus feet.
18	I have a question. Does the stream does it	18	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. Okay. Thank
19	TANIA HACKBARTH: go right through my	19	you. Dan Fisk, H. Dan Fisk and then Mr. Twining,
20	property too?	20	H. DAN FISK: Yes.
21	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Does your on your	21	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Name and address for
22	property?	22	the record. You have two minutes.
23	TANIA HACKBARTH: It goes right through my	23	H. DAN FISK: My name is Dan Fisk. I live at
24	property.	24	1527 Stone Canyon Road, just off of Stone Canyon Road.
25	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: And how does your	25	My mailbox is there. Our home is on Tanner Bridge
<u> </u>			
	Page 74		Page 7
1	property treat the stream?	1	Road. We have our property is called
2	TANIA HACKBARTH: Well, our structures are	2	"Stone Bridge." It's the principal part of the
3	pushed back. Our structures and my home is 36 feet,		
		3	original estate that started Bel Air, the
4	And our structures are pushed back.	3	original estate that started Bel Air, the Doheny Estate. Later, Bel Air, as you know, was
4 5	-	Ι.	•
	And our structures are pushed back.	4	Doheny Estate. Later, Bel Air, as you know, was
5	And our structures are pushed back. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. And I have a	4 5	Doheny Estate. Later, Bel Air, as you know, was developed by the Bell family.
5 6	And our structures are pushed back. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. And I have a TANIA HACKBARTH: And my home is a was	4 5 6	Doheny Estate. Later, Bel Air, as you know, was developed by the Bell family. I'm here pro bono. The last time I appeared
5 6 7	And our structures are pushed back. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. And I have a TANIA HACKBARTH: And my home is a was built newer built, 2000 it was built in	4 5 6 7	Doheny Estate. Later, Bel Air, as you know, was developed by the Bell family. I'm here pro bono. The last time I appeared before a in a commission setting, I was on your side
5 6 7 8	And our structures are pushed back. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. And I have a TANIA HACKBARTH: And my home is a was built newer built, 2000 it was built in the 2000 you know, I don't exactly remember the	4 5 6 7 8	Doheny Estate. Later, Bel Air, as you know, was developed by the Bell family. I'm here pro bono. The last time I appeared before a in a commission setting, I was on your side of the podium as chairman of a planning commission and
5 6 7 8 9	And our structures are pushed back. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. And I have a TANIA HACKBARTH: And my home is a was built newer built, 2000 it was built in the 2000 you know, I don't exactly remember the exact year, but it was between 2000 and 2005. So it's	4 5 6 7 8 9	Doheny Estate. Later, Bel Air, as you know, was developed by the Bell family. I'm here pro bono. The last time I appeared before a in a commission setting, I was on your side of the podium as chairman of a planning commission and city councilman.
5 6 7 8 9	And our structures are pushed back. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. And I have a TANIA HACKBARTH: And my home is a was built newer built, 2000 it was built in the 2000 you know, I don't exactly remember the exact year, but it was between 2000 and 2005. So it's a newer-built home. But the stream runs straight	4 5 6 7 8 9	Doheny Estate. Later, Bel Air, as you know, was developed by the Beil family. I'm here pro bono. The last time I appeared before a in a commission setting, I was on your side of the podium as chairman of a planning commission and city councilman. I have some empathy for the property owners.
5 6 7 8 9 10	And our structures are pushed back. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. And I have a TANIA HACKBARTH: And my home is a was built newer built, 2000 it was built in the 2000 you know, I don't exactly remember the exact year, but it was between 2000 and 2005. So it's a newer-built home. But the stream runs straight through, and we hear that beautiful water going	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Doheny Estate. Later, Bel Air, as you know, was developed by the Bell family. I'm here pro bono. The last time I appeared before a in a commission setting, I was on your side of the podium as chairman of a planning commission and city councilman. I have some empathy for the property owners. Philosophically, it's nice to be able to develop your
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	And our structures are pushed back. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. And I have a TANIA HACKBARTH: And my home is a was built newer built, 2000 it was built in the 2000 you know, I don't exactly remember the exact year, but it was between 2000 and 2005. So it's a newer-built home. But the stream runs straight through, and we hear that beautiful water going straight through.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Doheny Estate. Later, Bel Air, as you know, was developed by the Bell family. I'm here pro bono. The last time I appeared before a in a commission setting, I was on your side of the podium as chairman of a planning commission and city councilman. I have some empathy for the property owners. Philosophically, it's nice to be able to develop your own property the way you want to, but those of us who
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	And our structures are pushed back. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. And I have a TANIA HACKBARTH: And my home is a was built newer built, 2000 it was built in the 2000 you know, I don't exactly remember the exact year, but it was between 2000 and 2005. So it's a newer-built home. But the stream runs straight through, and we hear that beautiful water going straight through. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Well, I was	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Doheny Estate. Later, Bel Air, as you know, was developed by the Bell family. I'm here pro bono. The last time I appeared before a in a commission setting, I was on your side of the podium as chairman of a planning commission and city councilman. I have some empathy for the property owners. Philosophically, it's nice to be able to develop your own property the way you want to, but those of us who have been involved in land use planning know that if
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	And our structures are pushed back. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. And I have a TANIA HACKBARTH: And my home is a was built newer built, 2000 it was built in the 2000 you know, I don't exactly remember the exact year, but it was between 2000 and 2005. So it's a newer-built home. But the stream runs straight through, and we hear that beautiful water going straight through. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Well, I was Commissioner Linnick. That was a question that I had	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Doheny Estate. Later, Bel Air, as you know, was developed by the Bell family. I'm here pro bono. The last time I appeared before a — in a commission setting, I was on your side of the podium as chairman of a planning commission and city councilman. I have some empathy for the property owners. Philosophically, it's nice to be able to develop your own property the way you want to, but those of us who have been involved in land use planning know that if you don't have rules to follow, you end up with a
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	And our structures are pushed back. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. And I have a TANIA HACKBARTH: And my home is a was built newer built, 2000 it was built in the 2000 you know, I don't exactly remember the exact year, but it was between 2000 and 2005. So it's a newer-built home. But the stream runs straight through, and we hear that beautiful water going straight through. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Well, I was Commissioner Linnick. That was a question that I had because the stream sounds like it's this huge burden,	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Doheny Estate. Later, Bel Air, as you know, was developed by the Bell family. I'm here pro bono. The last time I appeared before a — in a commission setting, I was on your side of the podium as chairman of a planning commission and city councilman. I have some empathy for the property owners. Philosophically, it's nice to be able to develop your own property the way you want to, but those of us who have been involved in land use planning know that if you don't have rules to follow, you end up with a hodgepodge community. And I share the comments that
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	And our structures are pushed back. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. And I have a TANIA HACKBARTH: And my home is a was built newer built, 2000 it was built in the 2000 you know, I don't exactly remember the exact year, but it was between 2000 and 2005. So it's a newer-built home. But the stream runs straight through, and we hear that beautiful water going straight through. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Well, I was Commissioner Linnick. That was a question that I had because the stream sounds like it's this huge burden, but I would it would be lovely to have a stream on	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Doheny Estate. Later, Bel Air, as you know, was developed by the Bell family. I'm here pro bono. The last time I appeared before a in a commission setting, I was on your side of the podium as chairman of a planning commission and city councilman. I have some empathy for the property owners. Philosophically, it's nice to be able to develop your own property the way you want to, but those of us who have been involved in land use planning know that if you don't have rules to follow, you end up with a hodgepodge community. And I share the comments that have been made against the variance before me. I have
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	And our structures are pushed back. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. And I have a TANIA HACKBARTH: And my home is a was built newer built, 2000 it was built in the 2000 you know, I don't exactly remember the exact year, but it was between 2000 and 2005. So it's a newer-built home. But the stream runs straight through, and we hear that beautiful water going straight through. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Well, I was Commissioner Linnick. That was a question that I had because the stream sounds like it's this huge burden, but I would it would be lovely to have a stream on my property. It would seem very estate-like, I would	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Doheny Estate. Later, Bel Air, as you know, was developed by the Bell family. I'm here pro bono. The last time I appeared before a in a commission setting, I was on your side of the podium as chairman of a planning commission and city councilman. I have some empathy for the property owners. Philosophically, it's nice to be able to develop your own property the way you want to, but those of us who have been involved in land use planning know that if you don't have rules to follow, you end up with a hodgepodge community. And I share the comments that have been made against the variance before me. I have provided you with a letter that concisely states my
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	And our structures are pushed back. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. And I have a TANIA HACKBARTH: And my home is a was built newer built, 2000 it was built in the 2000 you know, I don't exactly remember the exact year, but it was between 2000 and 2005. So it's a newer-built home. But the stream runs straight through, and we hear that beautiful water going straight through. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Well, I was Commissioner Linnick. That was a question that I had because the stream sounds like it's this huge burden, but I would it would be lovely to have a stream on my property. It would seem very estate-like, I would think. So I'm glad to hear that, you know, you are	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Doheny Estate. Later, Bel Air, as you know, was developed by the Bell family. I'm here pro bono. The last time I appeared before a in a commission setting, I was on your side of the podium as chairman of a planning commission and city councilman. I have some empathy for the property owners. Philosophically, it's nice to be able to develop your own property the way you want to, but those of us who have been involved in land use planning know that if you don't have rules to follow, you end up with a hodgepodge community. And I share the comments that have been made against the variance before me. I have provided you with a letter that concisely states my point of view on this.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	And our structures are pushed back. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. And I have a TANIA HACKBARTH: And my home is a was built newer built, 2000 it was built in the 2000 you know, I don't exactly remember the exact year, but it was between 2000 and 2005. So it's a newer-built home. But the stream runs straight through, and we hear that beautiful water going straight through. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Well, I was Commissioner Linnick. That was a question that I had because the stream sounds like it's this huge burden, but I would it would be lovely to have a stream on my property. It would seem very estate-like, I would think. So I'm glad to hear that, you know, you are okay with the stream is okay. It's not like a	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Doheny Estate. Later, Bel Air, as you know, was developed by the Bell family. I'm here pro bono. The last time I appeared before a in a commission setting, I was on your side of the podium as chairman of a planning commission and city councilman. I have some empathy for the property owners. Philosophically, it's nice to be able to develop your own property the way you want to, but those of us who have been involved in land use planning know that if you don't have rules to follow, you end up with a hodgepodge community. And I share the comments that have been made against the variance before me. I have provided you with a letter that concisely states my point of view on this. Picking up off of what Tania said a few
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	And our structures are pushed back. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. And I have a TANIA HACKBARTH: And my home is a was built newer built, 2000 it was built in the 2000 you know, I don't exactly remember the exact year, but it was between 2000 and 2005. So it's a newer-built home. But the stream runs straight through, and we hear that beautiful water going straight through. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Well, I was Commissioner Linnick. That was a question that I had because the stream sounds like it's this huge burden, but I would it would be lovely to have a stream on my property. It would seem very estate-like, I would think. So I'm glad to hear that, you know, you are okay with the stream is okay. It's not like a huge you like your stream.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Doheny Estate. Later, Bel Air, as you know, was developed by the Bell family. I'm here pro bono. The last time I appeared before a in a commission setting, I was on your side of the podium as chairman of a planning commission and city councilman. I have some empathy for the property owners. Philosophically, it's nice to be able to develop your own property the way you want to, but those of us who have been involved in land use planning know that if you don't have rules to follow, you end up with a hodgepodge community. And I share the comments that have been made against the variance before me. I have provided you with a letter that concisely states my point of view on this. Picking up off of what Tania said a few minutes ago, I'm quite concerned that coming into
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	And our structures are pushed back. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. And I have a TANIA HACKBARTH: And my home is a was built newer built, 2000 it was built in the 2000 you know, I don't exactly remember the exact year, but it was between 2000 and 2005. So it's a newer-built home. But the stream runs straight through, and we hear that beautiful water going straight through. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Well, I was Commissioner Linnick. That was a question that I had because the stream sounds like it's this huge burden, but I would it would be lovely to have a stream on my property. It would seem very estate-like, I would think. So I'm glad to hear that, you know, you are okay with the stream is okay. It's not like a huge you like your stream. TANIA HACKBARTH: Welf, that's	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Doheny Estate. Later, Bel Air, as you know, was developed by the Bell family. I'm here pro bono. The last time I appeared before a — in a commission setting, I was on your side of the podium as chairman of a planning commission and city councilman. I have some empathy for the property owners. Philosophically, it's nice to be able to develop your own property the way you want to, but those of us who have been involved in land use planning know that if you don't have rules to follow, you end up with a hodgepodge community. And I share the comments that have been made against the variance before me. I have provided you with a letter that concisely states my point of view on this. Picking up off of what Tania said a few minutes ago, I'm quite concerned that coming into Bel Air on Stone Canyon Road or on Bellagio, which is
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	And our structures are pushed back. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. And I have a TANIA HACKBARTH: And my home is a was built newer built, 2000 it was built in the 2000 you know, I don't exactly remember the exact year, but it was between 2000 and 2005. So it's a newer-built home. But the stream runs straight through, and we hear that beautiful water going straight through. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Well, I was Commissioner Linnick. That was a question that I had because the stream sounds like it's this huge burden, but I would it would be lovely to have a stream on my property. It would seem very estate-like, I would think. So I'm glad to hear that, you know, you are okay with the stream is okay. It's not like a huge you like your stream. TANIA HACKBARTH: Welf, that's COMMISSIONER LINNICK: She's, like, "No, I	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Doheny Estate. Later, Bel Air, as you know, was developed by the Bell family. I'm here pro bono. The last time I appeared before a in a commission setting, I was on your side of the podium as chairman of a planning commission and city councilman. I have some empathy for the property owners. Philosophically, it's nice to be able to develop your own property the way you want to, but those of us who have been involved in land use planning know that if you don't have rules to follow, you end up with a hodgepodge community. And I share the comments that have been made against the variance before me. I have provided you with a letter that concisely states my point of view on this. Picking up off of what Tania said a few minutes ago, I'm quite concerned that coming into Bel Air on Stone Canyon Road or on Bellagio, which is the marquee entrance into this beautiful community, I

CL C	JULAR MEETING			January 15, 20
		Page 77		Page
1	architecture that we have in the community. I think		1	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Thank you, Okay, We
2	that would be a big mistake. And I have not seen any		2	have rebuttal time unless counsel
3	indication that they've met the five criteria that		3	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: There's nobody opposing?
4	should be met in good land use planning to permit such		4	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: No. There's that's
5	a variance.		5	all that I have.
6	And so it is with that that I respectfully		6	Was there anyone I don't have any speaker
7	oppose the issuance of the variance, and I would		7	cards in favor of the or against the appeal. Okay.
8	appreciate the Commission carefully considering the		8	I don't know if Mr. Bayliss would you like to go
9	points made in the letter that I have submitted. Thank		9	before or after rebuttal?
LO	you very much.		10	SHAWN BAYLISS: I can go now.
.1	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Thank you.		11	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. Mr. Bayliss.
2	Steve Twining,		12	SHAWN BAYLISS: Shawn Bayliss, planning and
.3	H. DAN FISK: There is one further comment I		13	land use guy for Councilmember Paul Koretz' office.
4	might make. I think, if you talk to Ms. Ferris		14	There's definitely been a lot said here this evening.
5	Tania, you'd find that the slope of her property is		15	The main takeaway points that we look at "we" being
6	very comparable to what the applicant has represented		16	in our office this is an irregular-shaped lot. A
7	their property has for their variance.		17	lot of them are in Bel Air. It has a stream that runs
.8	STEVE TWINING: Yes. My name is		18	down it that, as it has been discussed, some properties
.9	Steve Twining. I live at 1535 Roscomare Road in		19	have. That stream is actually mandated to maintain by
0	Bel Air. I am a Bel Air resident and have been for		20	order of this Commission. On top of that, it also has
1	over 40 years.		21	an additional 15-foot buffer on top of it as mandated
2	First of all, I'll say that a flat roof is		22	by this Commission. It runs down the entire length of
3	ugly in general. I'm speaking on behalf of the		23	this property.
4	Hillside Federation. You got the document. I won't		24	Over 30 percent of the property is unusable
:5	have to read, but I especially refer you to page 2.		25	because of the river, the creek, the setbacks, the
		Page 78		Page
1	This instance is a blatant political — there's blatant		1	hillside. One part of the Baseline Hillside
2	political influence on the zoning administrator to		2	Ordinance something that we try to avoid is grading
3	change his mind from 360 to this property even though		3	into the hillsides. If you were to push this home
4	they are adjacent, and I have I believe, if I'm not		4	back, you further tear into the hillside. I don't
5	incorrect, it was a councilman who wanted to preserve		5	think Mrs. Lazarof would be a fan of that, nor would
6	the stream. Is that is that true?		6	anybody.
7	SHAWN BAYLISS: He's supportive of it. The		7	Mr. Twining is correct. The Councilmember is
8	previous councilmember was in office when we got that.		8	a staunch supporter of the preservation of that creek,
9	STEVE TWINING: But what is a current the		9	does not want it touched, and let the applicant know
.0	current councilman is in support of the stream.		10	loud and clear that the request for it to be moved from
.1	Is that correct?		11	the tract map was not a good idea.
.2	SHAWN BAYLISS: He certainly is.		12	Knowing the limitations that the City has
3	STEVE TWINING: Thank you.		i	placed on this project and that a small portion,
			1.3	parameter and the parameter and the parameter processing
			13	roughly 18 to 20 percent of it, reaches that
4	In the prior case, the top floor was on one		14	roughly 18 to 20 percent of it, reaches that 36 percent, our office feels that those findings can be
. 4 .5	In the prior case, the top floor was on one hand, it was for utilities, air-conditioning and so on.		14 15	36 percent, our office feels that those findings can be
.5 .6	In the prior case, the top floor was on one hand, it was for utilities, air-conditioning and so on. On the other hand, it was for aesthetics. This		14 15 16	36 percent, our office feels that those findings can be made. We felt that the situation was actually similar
.4 .5 .6	In the prior case, the top floor was on one hand, it was for utilities, air-conditioning and so on. On the other hand, it was for aesthetics. This property will clearly be visible from Stone Canyon, and		14 15 16 17	36 percent, our office feels that those findings can be made. We felt that the situation was actually similar to the other one, which is why we took the route that
.4 .5 .6 .7	In the prior case, the top floor was on one hand, it was for utilities, air-conditioning and so on. On the other hand, it was for aesthetics. This property will clearly be visible from Stone Canyon, and I also want to say that the Hillside Federation		14 15 16 17 18	36 percent, our office feels that those findings can be made. We felt that the situation was actually similar to the other one, which is why we took the route that we did, and we support the applicant's request here as
.4 .5 .6 .7 .8	In the prior case, the top floor was on one hand, it was for utilities, air-conditioning and so on. On the other hand, it was for aesthetics. This property will clearly be visible from Stone Canyon, and I also want to say that the Hillside Federation represents 42 homeowner associations. They are listed		14 15 16 17 18 19	36 percent, our office feels that those findings can be made. We felt that the situation was actually similar to the other one, which is why we took the route that we did, and we support the applicant's request here as well.
14 15 16 17 18	In the prior case, the top floor was on one hand, it was for utilities, air-conditioning and so on. On the other hand, it was for aesthetics. This property will clearly be visible from Stone Canyon, and I also want to say that the Hillside Federation represents 42 homeowner associations. They are listed on the left side. If I had more time, I would read		14 15 16 17 18 19 20	36 percent, our office feels that those findings can be made. We felt that the situation was actually similar to the other one, which is why we took the route that we did, and we support the applicant's request here as well. I'm more than happy to take questions, address
L4 L5 L6 L7 L8 L9	In the prior case, the top floor was on one hand, it was for utilities, air-conditioning and so on. On the other hand, it was for aesthetics. This property will clearly be visible from Stone Canyon, and I also want to say that the Hillside Federation represents 42 homeowner associations. They are listed on the left side. If I had more time, I would read them to you, but you don't need you are capable of		14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	36 percent, our office feels that those findings can be made. We felt that the situation was actually similar to the other one, which is why we took the route that we did, and we support the applicant's request here as well. I'm more than happy to take questions, address anything you want me to talk about.
.4 .5 .6 .7 .8 .9	In the prior case, the top floor was on one hand, it was for utilities, air-conditioning and so on. On the other hand, it was for aesthetics. This property will clearly be visible from Stone Canyon, and I also want to say that the Hillside Federation represents 42 homeowner associations. They are listed on the left side. If I had more time, I would read them to you, but you don't need you are capable of seeing those.		14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	36 percent, our office feels that those findings can be made. We felt that the situation was actually similar to the other one, which is why we took the route that we did, and we support the applicant's request here as well. I'm more than happy to take questions, address anything you want me to talk about. COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. Thank you.
L4 L5 L6 L7 L8	In the prior case, the top floor was on one hand, it was for utilities, air-conditioning and so on. On the other hand, it was for aesthetics. This property will clearly be visible from Stone Canyon, and I also want to say that the Hillside Federation represents 42 homeowner associations. They are listed on the left side. If I had more time, I would read them to you, but you don't need you are capable of		14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	36 percent, our office feels that those findings can be made. We felt that the situation was actually similar to the other one, which is why we took the route that we did, and we support the applicant's request here as well. I'm more than happy to take questions, address anything you want me to talk about.

	ULARMENTING				13, 401
		Page 81			Page 83
1	appellant, two minutes. Does the applicant want to		1	imposed on us. We are happy to deal with it, but it	
2	come forward and have two minutes, Mr. Dveirin?		2	does constrain this property. And with that, I'd ask	
3	MR. DVEIRIN: Thank you, Ms. Linnick. A		3	that you support the variance, deny the appeal, and	
4	couple quick points.		4	affirm the zoning administrator's very detailed and	
5	First of all, the way this thing, as I pointed		5	dedicated work. Thank you.	
6	out, goes down to the stream and the roof is the	1	6	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Thank you. Any	
7	same it's the same elevation. The hardship and I		7	questions? No. Okay. Mr. Marmon, two minutes. Name	
8	don't know if I made this clear is that the		8	for the record, please.	
9	requirement is that you measure the height five feet		9	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Don't touch it.	
10	from the lowest point. It's because of that		10	MR. MARMON: My name is Victor Marmon.	
11	requirement that it's he deserves a variance		11	Do I have to give my office address,	
12	because, when you have to use that for the measuring		12	et cetera?	
13	point, it only makes that one portion 50 feet. The		13	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: No. That's fine.	
14	house isn't all 50 feet, but it's the artificial		14	MR. MARMON: Okay. First, measuring five feet	
15	constraint of the measurement five feet from the lowest		15	out from the perimeter of the property is not a	
16	point that makes this difficult. We shouldn't lose		16	hardship. It's the law. It's been the law since 1993	
17	sight of that.		17	with the original hillside ordinance. It is the law	
18	There are in the ZA's determination, there		18	today.	
19	are a list of properties that have similar variances		19	Second, measuring from that point is not	
20	that have been granted to numerous properties that		20	the the way the building envelope works. The	
21	surround us. We are not asking for anything that other		21	building envelope goes up the grade along with the	
22	people don't normally get when they are developing on		22	property. You can keep your 36-foot height if you move	
23	this type of property. As I said to you when I was up		23	up the grade and terrace your house.	
24	here, the problem is this is no different than when		24	There was some discussion about how much	
25	I represented the Groman [ph] brothers, who were		25	grading was done at the property. I have a letter from	
		Page 82			Page 8
1	building on the last lot at some fancy tract up on		1	Mr. Tokunaga, granting a waiver of a tract map, where	
2	Mulholland. And all of the neighbors came out because		2	he states that the previous approved amounts were	
3	they wanted to look over their lot. When you're last,		3	17,430 cubic yards of cut, 494,000 [sic] cubic yards of	
4	you hardly ever get the same modifications and		4	fill, and 2,936 feet of export. The	
5	variances that your neighbors have.		5	Mr. Dveirin talked about the house having a	
6	There are no other properties that I'm aware		6	flat roof. Think about it. A flat roof, the limit is	
7	of that are under this type of constraint that have to		7	30 feet, not 36 feet. You are talking about a 20-foot	
8	measure under this ordinance, with this sort of 16-foot		8	variance, a 50-foot variance instead of a 30-foot	
9	differential, and then pay the price for the entire		9	house.	
10	house. That's what makes this stand out. That's why		10	Again, Mr. Dveirin talked about the pad being	
11	it's difficult. That's why you should support the	11	11	below. The pad is above street level. He talked so	
				*	
12	variance and deny the anneal	1	12	I'm not gure it it was Mr. Dveirin or someone eise	
	variance and deny the appeal. My understanding about the hillside group		12 13	I'm not sure if it was Mr. Dveirin or someone else	
13	My understanding about the hillside group,		13	talked about preservation was imposed on us. It was	
13 14	My understanding about the hillside group, they have no jurisdiction here. I don't believe the		13 14	talked about preservation was imposed on us. It was part of the conditions of a four-lot subdivision. It	
13 14 15	My understanding about the hillside group, they have no jurisdiction here. I don't believe the gentleman who spoke to you is actually on that board.		13 14 15	talked about preservation was imposed on us. It was part of the conditions of a four-lot subdivision. It was agreed to voluntarily when the map was recorded.	
13 14 15 16	My understanding about the hillside group, they have no jurisdiction here. I don't believe the gentleman who spoke to you is actually on that board. I don't fully understand why they're here. The		13 14 15 16	talked about preservation was imposed on us. It was part of the conditions of a four-lot subdivision. It was agreed to voluntarily when the map was recorded. This is not an imposition. It was a voluntary	
13 14 15 16	My understanding about the hillside group, they have no jurisdiction here. I don't believe the gentleman who spoke to you is actually on that board. I don't fully understand why they're here. The Mansionization Ordinance, my understanding, doesn't	·	13 14 15 16 17	talked about preservation was imposed on us. It was part of the conditions of a four-lot subdivision. It was agreed to voluntarily when the map was recorded. This is not an imposition. It was a voluntary agreement.	
13 14 15 16 17	My understanding about the hillside group, they have no jurisdiction here. I don't believe the gentleman who spoke to you is actually on that board. I don't fully understand why they're here. The Mansionization Ordinance, my understanding, doesn't apply here.		13 14 15 16 17	talked about preservation was imposed on us. It was part of the conditions of a four-lot subdivision. It was agreed to voluntarily when the map was recorded. This is not an imposition. It was a voluntary agreement. And, then, there was reference to the Baseline	
13 14 15 16 17 18	My understanding about the hillside group, they have no jurisdiction here. I don't believe the gentleman who spoke to you is actually on that board. I don't fully understand why they're here. The Mansionization Ordinance, my understanding, doesn't apply here. If you want to talk mansionization, let's go		13 14 15 16 17 18	talked about preservation was imposed on us. It was part of the conditions of a four-lot subdivision. It was agreed to voluntarily when the map was recorded. This is not an imposition. It was a voluntary agreement. And, then, there was reference to the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance. I think that was a mistaken	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	My understanding about the hillside group, they have no jurisdiction here. I don't believe the gentleman who spoke to you is actually on that board. I don't fully understand why they're here. The Mansionization Ordinance, my understanding, doesn't apply here. If you want to talk mansionization, let's go to my neighborhood just south of the Mormon temple.		13 14 15 16 17 18 19	talked about preservation was imposed on us. It was part of the conditions of a four-lot subdivision. It was agreed to voluntarily when the map was recorded. This is not an imposition. It was a voluntary agreement. And, then, there was reference to the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance. I think that was a mistaken reference. We all know it was the Baseline Hillside	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	My understanding about the hillside group, they have no jurisdiction here. I don't believe the gentleman who spoke to you is actually on that board. I don't fully understand why they're here. The Mansionization Ordinance, my understanding, doesn't apply here. If you want to talk mansionization, let's go to my neighborhood just south of the Mormon temple. I've got a mansionization problem. I've got big houses		13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	talked about preservation was imposed on us. It was part of the conditions of a four-lot subdivision. It was agreed to voluntarily when the map was recorded. This is not an imposition. It was a voluntary agreement. And, then, there was reference to the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance. I think that was a mistaken reference. We all know it was the Baseline Hillside Ordinance.	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	My understanding about the hillside group, they have no jurisdiction here. I don't believe the gentleman who spoke to you is actually on that board. I don't fully understand why they're here. The Mansionization Ordinance, my understanding, doesn't apply here. If you want to talk mansionization, let's go to my neighborhood just south of the Mormon temple. I've got a mansionization problem. I've got big houses next to my little house on Holmby. That's not what		13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	talked about preservation was imposed on us. It was part of the conditions of a four-lot subdivision. It was agreed to voluntarily when the map was recorded. This is not an imposition. It was a voluntary agreement. And, then, there was reference to the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance. I think that was a mistaken reference. We all know it was the Baseline Hillside Ordinance. And, finally, there is no additional 15-foot	
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	My understanding about the hillside group, they have no jurisdiction here. I don't believe the gentleman who spoke to you is actually on that board. I don't fully understand why they're here. The Mansionization Ordinance, my understanding, doesn't apply here. If you want to talk mansionization, let's go to my neighborhood just south of the Mormon temple. I've got a mansionization problem. I've got big houses		13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	talked about preservation was imposed on us. It was part of the conditions of a four-lot subdivision. It was agreed to voluntarily when the map was recorded. This is not an imposition. It was a voluntary agreement. And, then, there was reference to the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance. I think that was a mistaken reference. We all know it was the Baseline Hillside Ordinance.	

	JULAN MEETING		
	Page 85		Page 87
1	Thank you very much. Thank you for your time	1	be 30 feet, not 36 feet according to the ordinance I
2	on this. I know you spent a lot of time on it.	2	just I can't see that it couldn't be built in a way
3	Thanks.	3	that would allow the ordinance to be followed. It
4	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Thank you.	4	doesn't I can't make the findings either. I looked
5	Okay. Does anyone have any questions for	5	at the findings, and I think the findings are very
6	anyone?	6	difficult for me to make to justify this.
7	Okay. We are going to close the public	7	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Commissioner Linnick.
8	hearing and begin deliberations.	8	I think I agree with everything that's been said, and I
9	COMMISSIONER HALPER: Commissioner Halper. If	9	think we've both the architect for the applicant and
10	I may start. I am always reluctant to oppose a	10	the architect for the appellant have said that there
11	councilman's presentation from a council district.	11	are other alternatives for this same property. So I
12	They are the elected official, and I respect them	12	think that also, sort of, adds to my thoughts about the
13	greatly. I also am would like to satisfy the needs	13	fact that, you know, something else could be done.
14	of a homeowner to build what he wants.	14	I agree about the stream. And then, also, you
15	And I do resent the implication that	15	know, talking about the fact that it would — that, you
16	Mr. Tokunaga is being acted on in a political manner	16	know, this is a very large property and something very
17	because I believe he's a distinguished professional.	17	estate-like I think the discussion from applicant's
18	However, I don't believe that the findings were made in	18	lawyer was that you know, it needs to be something
19	a manner which satisfy me. I think they were fairly	19	like everyone else has, a big estate, and it sounds
20	weak, and for that reason, I have reservations about	20	like you can still do that, and it's a large, wonderful
21	the project.	21	property. And I think it could be you know, it
22	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Commissioner Donovan.	22	could be with more in keeping with the character of
23	I haven't heard any facts in this case that	23	the neighborhood. So that's all I have to add, I
24	differentiate from our ruling in the Stone in the	24	think.
25	Stone Canyon case, and I agree with	25	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Commissioner Donovan.
2.5	Stone Canyon case, and ragice with	25	COMMISSIONER DONOVAIN, COMMISSIONE DONOVAII,
	Page 86		Page 88
i			raue oo
			_
1	Commissioner Halper. It is with great reluctance that	1	I'm prepared to make a motion to on this case with
1 2	I disagree with a distinguished councilmember and	1 2	I'm prepared to make a motion to on this case with the recognition and the cognizance that we have a court
i	I disagree with a distinguished councilmember and frankly with anybody from the Planning Department, and	1	I'm prepared to make a motion to on this case with the recognition and the cognizance that we have a court reporter here. We have another companion case in
2	I disagree with a distinguished councilmember and frankly with anybody from the Planning Department, and I do that with the utmost respect. And I cast no	2	I'm prepared to make a motion to on this case with the recognition and the cognizance that we have a court reporter here. We have another companion case in litigation right now, and so I have some detailed
2	I disagree with a distinguished councilmember and frankly with anybody from the Planning Department, and I do that with the utmost respect. And I cast no aspersions whatsoever on the planning staff or	2	I'm prepared to make a motion to on this case with the recognition and the cognizance that we have a court reporter here. We have another companion case in litigation right now, and so I have some detailed findings to make, and I apologize for
2 3 4	I disagree with a distinguished councilmember and frankly with anybody from the Planning Department, and I do that with the utmost respect. And I cast no aspersions whatsoever on the planning staff or Mr. Tokunaga at all, whatsoever, for his findings. He	2 3 4	I'm prepared to make a motion to on this case with the recognition and the cognizance that we have a court reporter here. We have another companion case in litigation right now, and so I have some detailed
2 3 4 5	I disagree with a distinguished councilmember and frankly with anybody from the Planning Department, and I do that with the utmost respect. And I cast no aspersions whatsoever on the planning staff or Mr. Tokunaga at all, whatsoever, for his findings. He calls them as he sees them. That's the way I see it,	2 3 4 5	I'm prepared to make a motion to on this case with the recognition and the cognizance that we have a court reporter here. We have another companion case in litigation right now, and so I have some detailed findings to make, and I apologize for COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: the length of it.
2 3 4 5 6	I disagree with a distinguished councilmember and frankly with anybody from the Planning Department, and I do that with the utmost respect. And I cast no aspersions whatsoever on the planning staff or Mr. Tokunaga at all, whatsoever, for his findings. He	2 3 4 5 6	I'm prepared to make a motion to on this case with the recognition and the cognizance that we have a court reporter here. We have another companion case in litigation right now, and so I have some detailed findings to make, and I apologize for COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7	I disagree with a distinguished councilmember and frankly with anybody from the Planning Department, and I do that with the utmost respect. And I cast no aspersions whatsoever on the planning staff or Mr. Tokunaga at all, whatsoever, for his findings. He calls them as he sees them. That's the way I see it,	2 3 4 5 6	I'm prepared to make a motion to on this case with the recognition and the cognizance that we have a court reporter here. We have another companion case in litigation right now, and so I have some detailed findings to make, and I apologize for COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: the length of it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I disagree with a distinguished councilmember and frankly with anybody from the Planning Department, and I do that with the utmost respect. And I cast no aspersions whatsoever on the planning staff or Mr. Tokunaga at all, whatsoever, for his findings. He calls them as he sees them. That's the way I see it, but I just see them differently in this case. COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Commissioner Foster. I agree with what's been said by Commissioner Halper and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I'm prepared to make a motion to on this case with the recognition and the cognizance that we have a court reporter here. We have another companion case in litigation right now, and so I have some detailed findings to make, and I apologize for COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: the length of it. But I would my motion would be to grant the appeal, and the findings that I would make, this, as concerns the variance, would be as follows. And I will do the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I disagree with a distinguished councilmember and frankly with anybody from the Planning Department, and I do that with the utmost respect. And I cast no aspersions whatsoever on the planning staff or Mr. Tokunaga at all, whatsoever, for his findings. He calls them as he sees them. That's the way I see it, but I just see them differently in this case. COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Commissioner Foster. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I'm prepared to make a motion to on this case with the recognition and the cognizance that we have a court reporter here. We have another companion case in litigation right now, and so I have some detailed findings to make, and I apologize for COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: the length of it. But I would my motion would be to grant the appeal, and the findings that I would make, this, as concerns
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I disagree with a distinguished councilmember and frankly with anybody from the Planning Department, and I do that with the utmost respect. And I cast no aspersions whatsoever on the planning staff or Mr. Tokunaga at all, whatsoever, for his findings. He calls them as he sees them. That's the way I see it, but I just see them differently in this case. COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Commissioner Foster. I agree with what's been said by Commissioner Halper and Commissioner Donovan. I have seen nothing that changes the conditions. I see no reason why this house	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I'm prepared to make a motion to on this case with the recognition and the cognizance that we have a court reporter here. We have another companion case in litigation right now, and so I have some detailed findings to make, and I apologize for COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: the length of it. But I would my motion would be to grant the appeal, and the findings that I would make, this, as concerns the variance, would be as follows. And I will do the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	I disagree with a distinguished councilmember and frankly with anybody from the Planning Department, and I do that with the utmost respect. And I cast no aspersions whatsoever on the planning staff or Mr. Tokunaga at all, whatsoever, for his findings. He calls them as he sees them. That's the way I see it, but I just see them differently in this case. COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Commissioner Foster. I agree with what's been said by Commissioner Halper and Commissioner Donovan. I have seen nothing that changes the conditions. I see no reason why this house couldn't have been knowing the owner had the home	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	I'm prepared to make a motion to on this case with the recognition and the cognizance that we have a court reporter here. We have another companion case in litigation right now, and so I have some detailed findings to make, and I apologize for COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: the length of it. But I would my motion would be to grant the appeal, and the findings that I would make, this, as concerns the variance, would be as follows. And I will do the best I can and get it all together along with my notes,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	I disagree with a distinguished councilmember and frankly with anybody from the Planning Department, and I do that with the utmost respect. And I cast no aspersions whatsoever on the planning staff or Mr. Tokunaga at all, whatsoever, for his findings. He calls them as he sees them. That's the way I see it, but I just see them differently in this case. COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Commissioner Foster. I agree with what's been said by Commissioner Halper and Commissioner Donovan. I have seen nothing that changes the conditions. I see no reason why this house couldn't have been knowing the owner had the home since we made the ruling I think it was 2006	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	I'm prepared to make a motion to on this case with the recognition and the cognizance that we have a court reporter here. We have another companion case in litigation right now, and so I have some detailed findings to make, and I apologize for COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: the length of it. But I would my motion would be to grant the appeal, and the findings that I would make, this, as concerns the variance, would be as follows. And I will do the best I can and get it all together along with my notes, and I can make this available to staff in the next couple of days so that you will have something to look at, but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	I disagree with a distinguished councilmember and frankly with anybody from the Planning Department, and I do that with the utmost respect. And I cast no aspersions whatsoever on the planning staff or Mr. Tokunaga at all, whatsoever, for his findings. He calls them as he sees them. That's the way I see it, but I just see them differently in this case. COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Commissioner Foster. I agree with what's been said by Commissioner Halper and Commissioner Donovan. I have seen nothing that changes the conditions. I see no reason why this house couldn't have been knowing the owner had the home since we made the ruling I think it was 2006 there's, to me, no real reason that this home couldn't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I'm prepared to make a motion to on this case with the recognition and the cognizance that we have a court reporter here. We have another companion case in litigation right now, and so I have some detailed findings to make, and I apologize for COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: the length of it. But I would my motion would be to grant the appeal, and the findings that I would make, this, as concerns the variance, would be as follows. And I will do the best I can and get it all together along with my notes, and I can make this available to staff in the next couple of days so that you will have something to look
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I disagree with a distinguished councilmember and frankly with anybody from the Planning Department, and I do that with the utmost respect. And I cast no aspersions whatsoever on the planning staff or Mr. Tokunaga at all, whatsoever, for his findings. He calls them as he sees them. That's the way I see it, but I just see them differently in this case. COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Commissioner Foster. I agree with what's been said by Commissioner Halper and Commissioner Donovan. I have seen nothing that changes the conditions. I see no reason why this house couldn't have been knowing the owner had the home since we made the ruling I think it was 2006	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I'm prepared to make a motion to on this case with the recognition and the cognizance that we have a court reporter here. We have another companion case in litigation right now, and so I have some detailed findings to make, and I apologize for COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: the length of it. But I would my motion would be to grant the appeal, and the findings that I would make, this, as concerns the variance, would be as follows. And I will do the best I can and get it all together along with my notes, and I can make this available to staff in the next couple of days so that you will have something to look at, but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I disagree with a distinguished councilmember and frankly with anybody from the Planning Department, and I do that with the utmost respect. And I cast no aspersions whatsoever on the planning staff or Mr. Tokunaga at all, whatsoever, for his findings. He calls them as he sees them. That's the way I see it, but I just see them differently in this case. COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Commissioner Foster. I agree with what's been said by Commissioner Halper and Commissioner Donovan. I have seen nothing that changes the conditions. I see no reason why this house couldn't have been knowing the owner had the home since we made the ruling I think it was 2006 there's, to me, no real reason that this home couldn't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I'm prepared to make a motion to on this case with the recognition and the cognizance that we have a court reporter here. We have another companion case in litigation right now, and so I have some detailed findings to make, and I apologize for COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: the length of it. But I would my motion would be to grant the appeal, and the findings that I would make, this, as concerns the variance, would be as follows. And I will do the best I can and get it all together along with my notes, and I can make this available to staff in the next couple of days so that you will have something to look at, but In this particular case, you have to make five
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I disagree with a distinguished councilmember and frankly with anybody from the Planning Department, and I do that with the utmost respect. And I cast no aspersions whatsoever on the planning staff or Mr. Tokunaga at all, whatsoever, for his findings. He calls them as he sees them. That's the way I see it, but I just see them differently in this case. COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Commissioner Foster. I agree with what's been said by Commissioner Halper and Commissioner Donovan. I have seen nothing that changes the conditions. I see no reason why this house couldn't have been knowing the owner had the home since we made the ruling I think it was 2006 there's, to me, no real reason that this home couldn't have been designed with the ordinance in mind and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I'm prepared to make a motion to on this case with the recognition and the cognizance that we have a court reporter here. We have another companion case in litigation right now, and so I have some detailed findings to make, and I apologize for COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: the length of it. But I would my motion would be to grant the appeal, and the findings that I would make, this, as concerns the variance, would be as follows. And I will do the best I can and get it all together along with my notes, and I can make this available to staff in the next couple of days so that you will have something to look at, but In this particular case, you have to make five findings in order to grant a variance, and in this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I disagree with a distinguished councilmember and frankly with anybody from the Planning Department, and I do that with the utmost respect. And I cast no aspersions whatsoever on the planning staff or Mr. Tokunaga at all, whatsoever, for his findings. He calls them as he sees them. That's the way I see it, but I just see them differently in this case. COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Commissioner Foster. I agree with what's been said by Commissioner Halper and Commissioner Donovan. I have seen nothing that changes the conditions. I see no reason why this house couldn't have been knowing the owner had the home since we made the ruling I think it was 2006 there's, to me, no real reason that this home couldn't have been designed with the ordinance in mind and follow the rules.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I'm prepared to make a motion to on this case with the recognition and the cognizance that we have a court reporter here. We have another companion case in litigation right now, and so I have some detailed findings to make, and I apologize for COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: the length of it. But I would my motion would be to grant the appeal, and the findings that I would make, this, as concerns the variance, would be as follows. And I will do the best I can and get it all together along with my notes, and I can make this available to staff in the next couple of days so that you will have something to look at, but In this particular case, you have to make five findings in order to grant a variance, and in this particular case, I don't think the applicant can make a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I disagree with a distinguished councilmember and frankly with anybody from the Planning Department, and I do that with the utmost respect. And I cast no aspersions whatsoever on the planning staff or Mr. Tokunaga at all, whatsoever, for his findings. He calls them as he sees them. That's the way I see it, but I just see them differently in this case. COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Commissioner Foster. I agree with what's been said by Commissioner Halper and Commissioner Donovan. I have seen nothing that changes the conditions. I see no reason why this house couldn't have been knowing the owner had the home since we made the ruling I think it was 2006 there's, to me, no real reason that this home couldn't have been designed with the ordinance in mind and follow the rules. It's still a very big piece of property, and I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I'm prepared to make a motion to on this case with the recognition and the cognizance that we have a court reporter here. We have another companion case in litigation right now, and so I have some detailed findings to make, and I apologize for COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: the length of it. But I would my motion would be to grant the appeal, and the findings that I would make, this, as concerns the variance, would be as follows. And I will do the best I can and get it all together along with my notes, and I can make this available to staff in the next couple of days so that you will have something to look at, but In this particular case, you have to make five findings in order to grant a variance, and in this particular case, I don't think the applicant can make a single one of these findings as he but even if you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I disagree with a distinguished councilmember and frankly with anybody from the Planning Department, and I do that with the utmost respect. And I cast no aspersions whatsoever on the planning staff or Mr. Tokunaga at all, whatsoever, for his findings. He calls them as he sees them. That's the way I see it, but I just see them differently in this case. COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Commissioner Foster. I agree with what's been said by Commissioner Halper and Commissioner Donovan. I have seen nothing that changes the conditions. I see no reason why this house couldn't have been knowing the owner had the home since we made the ruling I think it was 2006 there's, to me, no real reason that this home couldn't have been designed with the ordinance in mind and follow the rules. It's still a very big piece of property, and I think the stream is an asset to the property, not a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I'm prepared to make a motion to on this case with the recognition and the cognizance that we have a court reporter here. We have another companion case in litigation right now, and so I have some detailed findings to make, and I apologize for COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: the length of it. But I would my motion would be to grant the appeal, and the findings that I would make, this, as concerns the variance, would be as follows. And I will do the best I can and get it all together along with my notes, and I can make this available to staff in the next couple of days so that you will have something to look at, but In this particular case, you have to make five findings in order to grant a variance, and in this particular case, I don't think the applicant can make a single one of these findings as he but even if you made four of them and you couldn't make the fifth one,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I disagree with a distinguished councilmember and frankly with anybody from the Planning Department, and I do that with the utmost respect. And I cast no aspersions whatsoever on the planning staff or Mr. Tokunaga at all, whatsoever, for his findings. He calls them as he sees them. That's the way I see it, but I just see them differently in this case. COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Commissioner Foster. I agree with what's been said by Commissioner Halper and Commissioner Donovan. I have seen nothing that changes the conditions. I see no reason why this house couldn't have been knowing the owner had the home since we made the ruling I think it was 2006 there's, to me, no real reason that this home couldn't have been designed with the ordinance in mind and follow the rules. It's still a very big piece of property, and I think the stream is an asset to the property, not a liability. The stream makes for a natural beauty, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I'm prepared to make a motion to on this case with the recognition and the cognizance that we have a court reporter here. We have another companion case in litigation right now, and so I have some detailed findings to make, and I apologize for COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: the length of it. But I would my motion would be to grant the appeal, and the findings that I would make, this, as concerns the variance, would be as follows. And I will do the best I can and get it all together along with my notes, and I can make this available to staff in the next couple of days so that you will have something to look at, but In this particular case, you have to make five findings in order to grant a variance, and in this particular case, I don't think the applicant can make a single one of these findings as he but even if you made four of them and you couldn't make the fifth one, you couldn't get a variance. So and this will be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I disagree with a distinguished councilmember and frankly with anybody from the Planning Department, and I do that with the utmost respect. And I cast no aspersions whatsoever on the planning staff or Mr. Tokunaga at all, whatsoever, for his findings. He calls them as he sees them. That's the way I see it, but I just see them differently in this case. COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Commissioner Foster. I agree with what's been said by Commissioner Halper and Commissioner Donovan. I have seen nothing that changes the conditions. I see no reason why this house couldn't have been knowing the owner had the home since we made the ruling I think it was 2006 there's, to me, no real reason that this home couldn't have been designed with the ordinance in mind and follow the rules. It's still a very big piece of property, and I think the stream is an asset to the property, not a liability. The stream makes for a natural beauty, and I think Stone Canyon is one of the most beautiful	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I'm prepared to make a motion to on this case with the recognition and the cognizance that we have a court reporter here. We have another companion case in litigation right now, and so I have some detailed findings to make, and I apologize for COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: the length of it. But I would my motion would be to grant the appeal, and the findings that I would make, this, as concerns the variance, would be as follows. And I will do the best I can and get it all together along with my notes, and I can make this available to staff in the next couple of days so that you will have something to look at, but In this particular case, you have to make five findings in order to grant a variance, and in this particular case, I don't think the applicant can make a single one of these findings as he but even if you made four of them and you couldn't make the fifth one, you couldn't get a variance. So and this will be pursuant to L.A. Municipal Code Section 12.27-D. The
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I disagree with a distinguished councilmember and frankly with anybody from the Planning Department, and I do that with the utmost respect. And I cast no aspersions whatsoever on the planning staff or Mr. Tokunaga at all, whatsoever, for his findings. He calls them as he sees them. That's the way I see it, but I just see them differently in this case. COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Commissioner Foster. I agree with what's been said by Commissioner Halper and Commissioner Donovan. I have seen nothing that changes the conditions. I see no reason why this house couldn't have been knowing the owner had the home since we made the ruling I think it was 2006 there's, to me, no real reason that this home couldn't have been designed with the ordinance in mind and follow the rules. It's still a very big piece of property, and I think the stream is an asset to the property, not a liability. The stream makes for a natural beauty, and I think Stone Canyon is one of the most beautiful streets in our city. I've spent all my life living in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I'm prepared to make a motion to on this case with the recognition and the cognizance that we have a court reporter here. We have another companion case in litigation right now, and so I have some detailed findings to make, and I apologize for COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: the length of it. But I would my motion would be to grant the appeal, and the findings that I would make, this, as concerns the variance, would be as follows. And I will do the best I can and get it all together along with my notes, and I can make this available to staff in the next couple of days so that you will have something to look at, but In this particular case, you have to make five findings in order to grant a variance, and in this particular case, I don't think the applicant can make a single one of these findings as he but even if you made four of them and you couldn't make the fifth one, you couldn't get a variance. So and this will be pursuant to L.A. Municipal Code Section 12.27-D. The first finding you have to make is that it would make a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I disagree with a distinguished councilmember and frankly with anybody from the Planning Department, and I do that with the utmost respect. And I cast no aspersions whatsoever on the planning staff or Mr. Tokunaga at all, whatsoever, for his findings. He calls them as he sees them. That's the way I see it, but I just see them differently in this case. COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Commissioner Foster. I agree with what's been said by Commissioner Halper and Commissioner Donovan. I have seen nothing that changes the conditions. I see no reason why this house couldn't have been knowing the owner had the home since we made the ruling I think it was 2006 there's, to me, no real reason that this home couldn't have been designed with the ordinance in mind and follow the rules. It's still a very big piece of property, and I think the stream is an asset to the property, not a liability. The stream makes for a natural beauty, and I think Stone Canyon is one of the most beautiful streets in our city. I've spent all my life living in Westwood, and I used to jog up Stone Canyon. I know it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I'm prepared to make a motion to on this case with the recognition and the cognizance that we have a court reporter here. We have another companion case in litigation right now, and so I have some detailed findings to make, and I apologize for COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: the length of it. But I would my motion would be to grant the appeal, and the findings that I would make, this, as concerns the variance, would be as follows. And I will do the best I can and get it all together along with my notes, and I can make this available to staff in the next couple of days so that you will have something to look at, but In this particular case, you have to make five findings in order to grant a variance, and in this particular case, I don't think the applicant can make a single one of these findings as he but even if you made four of them and you couldn't make the fifth one, you couldn't get a variance. So and this will be pursuant to L.A. Municipal Code Section 12.27-D. The first finding you have to make is that it would make a strict application of the provisions of the zoning

purposes and intent of the zoning regulations. We saw from the report and from the transcript of the hearing before the zoning administrator that the applicant said the variance request is only to allow additional height so the proposed residence can have a consistent roof line for the entire home. Due to the	Page 9
We saw from the report and from the transcript of the hearing before the zoning administrator that the applicant said the variance request is only to allow additional height so the proposed residence can have a 2 not the only property in the vicinity with varying elevations. The general topography of this propert essentially the same as the surrounding property, v 5 Stone Canyon Creek also runs through.	is
of the hearing before the zoning administrator that the applicant said the variance request is only to allow additional height so the proposed residence can have a securially the same as the surrounding property, we additional height so the proposed residence can have a securially the same as the surrounding property, we additional height so the proposed residence can have a securially the same as the surrounding property, we additional height so the proposed residence can have a securially the same as the surrounding property, we additional height so the proposed residence can have a securially the same as the surrounding property.	
of the hearing before the zoning administrator that the applicant said the variance request is only to allow additional height so the proposed residence can have a securially the same as the surrounding property, we additional height so the proposed residence can have a securially the same as the surrounding property, we additional height so the proposed residence can have a securially the same as the surrounding property, we additional height so the proposed residence can have a securially the same as the surrounding property, we additional height so the proposed residence can have a securially the same as the surrounding property.	
applicant said the variance request is only to allow additional height so the proposed residence can have a 4 essentially the same as the surrounding property, we additional height so the proposed residence can have a 5 Stone Canyon Creek also runs through.	y is
additional height so the proposed residence can have a 5 Stone Canyon Creek also runs through.	
varying elevations at the site that's on page 13, 7 prevent applicant from designing and building an experimental property of the property	estate
the second full paragraph — the case is Committee To 8 home without a variance. The same evidence to su	
Save Hollywoodland v. City of Los Angeles. It is 2008, 9 this is the same evidence I just cited for Finding	P Port
61 Cal. App. 4th, 1168, and Zakessian v. City 10 No. 1, and it also includes the testimony we heard	
of Sausalito, 1972, 28 Cal. App. 3rd, 794 mandate	
that hardships must be substantial. 12 The third finding that you have to make is	
There are no practical difficulties or 13 that regarding the preservation and enjoyment of a	
unnecessary hardships in designing and building a house 14 substantial property right or use generally possesses	
with a variance on this property, and the denial of a 15 by other property. In this case, the variance is not	
variance will not prevent the applicant from designing 16 necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of a	ad
and building such a house that would be comparable to 17 substantial property right or use generally possesses 28 by other in the paid-backback. The avidence to graphet.	
others in the neighborhood. The evidence to support 18 by other property in the same zone and vicinity but this would be the September 24th 112 around a few thin would be the September 24th 112 around a few thin would be the September 24th 112 around a few thin would be the September 24th 112 around a few thin would be the September 24th 112 around a few thin would be the September 24th 112 around a few thin would be the September 24th 112 around a few thin would be the September 24th 112 around a few thin would be the September 24th 112 around a few thin would be the September 24th 112 around a few thin would be the September 24th 112 around a few thin would be the September 24th 112 around a few thin would be the september 24th 112 a	Ł
this would be the September 24th, '13 report of 19 which, because of the special circumstances and	
David Applebaum, which is attached as Exhibit C to the 20 practical difficulties or unnecessary hardships, is	
January 6 January 2014 letter from the Marmon law 21 denied to the property in question.	
offices and testimony of Jon Perica as stated on 22 No special circumstances have been	
page 53 of the transcript of the September 25, 2013, 23 demonstrated for the same reasons in the other	
hearing. There's also testimony and letters submitted 24 findings. No practical difficulties or unnecessary	
to the ZA by Edgar Khalatian, Victor Marmon, 25 hardships have been demonstrated. The property of	an be
Page 90	Page
Michael Piszker, Jon Perica and Janice Lazarof. So I 1 built upon and used similarly as other properties in	1
would incorporate the evidence referenced in the Marmon 2 the vicinity.	
law offices January 6th of 2014 letters as though fully 3 There are no nearby properties in the vicinity	/
set forth. 4 with the same zoning that have received a height	
I would also incorporate the testimony 5 variance for the same or similar reasons that are us	sed
evidence submitted at this hearing as though fully set 6 to justify the present request, and we touched on the	
forth. 7 in the – that was not really highlighted in the	
Additionally, there was no competent evidence 8 testimony except for on the rebuttal by the applica	nt's
submitted by applicant to the effect that applicant 9 attorney.	
could not build and design a house comparable to his 10 I will say some of the properties	
neighbors' homes without a variance. This application 11 540 Crestline is three miles away in a different zon	ned
for a variance is essentially for subjective reasons.	
The second finding that you have to make 13 zoned area. 480 Bel Air, which was done in 1995,	
before you can grant a variance is that has to do 14 quarter of a mile away and approved for only 45 fe	
with special circumstances, and in this case, there are 14 quarter of a fine away and approved for only 45 fe 15 height. 457 Bel Air was in 2003, and it's a quarter	
on special circumstances applicable to the subject in a mile away as well, an approval for only 55 feet.	
property such as size, shape, topography, location, or 17 those comparable properties offer no support to the	
property in the same zone and vicinity. 19 I've cited to No. 1. The fourth finding has to do with material.	
Committee To Save Hollywoodland required 20 The fourth finding has to do with material	_
that case requires that special circumstances 21 detrimental finding the variance to be materially	
pertaining to the property must be such that the 22 detrimental to the public welfare, and the granting	
meanaghr sa diatanat in abanactan tirang campanahla 199 thia yanianga yaarild ha matarially datrimantal to th	æ
property is distinct in character from comparable 23 this variance would be materially detrimental to the	
nearby properties. We have received substantial 24 public welfare, or injurious to the property or evidence that this is not the only property in the 25 improvements in the same zone or vicinity in which	1.45

	ULAR MEETING		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	15, 20.
	Page 93			Page 9
1	property is located.	1	substantially inconsistent with the limitations upon	
2	We have evidence before us that the granting	2	other properties in the same zone and vicinity.	
3	of a variance on this property will create an adverse	3	We have no evidence, nor has any been	
4	visual effect as respects neighborhood neighboring	4	asserted, that another property has received a height	
	properties. We've seen that in letters, and it's the	5	variance in the nearby vicinity under the same set of	
	testimony that we received today. It will defeat	6	circumstances and facts for the same reason, and	
7	Granting a variance on this property will	7	there's no evidence submitted by the applicant that he	
8	defeat the purpose of the goals of the Baseline	8	could not design and build an estate home comparable to	
	Hillside Ordinance, including which includes the	9	his neighboring neighbors' homes without a variance.	
	encouraging of building terraced structures. They	10	This application for a variance is essentially for	
	break up the mass of the structures.	11	aesthetic reasons, also for the same evidence.	
2	The granting of a variance will defeat the	12	The other finding that I would additionally	
	purpose of the BHO also in that, under Policy 1-3.3,	13	make, the need for a height variance is self-imposed by	
	it's to preserve existing views in hillside areas.	14	the applicant. This need is for aesthetic purposes	
	There's nothing in there about obstructing. It's	15	only. A house a home can be designed that is	
	supposed to be preserve existing views.	16	aesthetically pleasing without a variance and for the	
7	Finally, the granting of a variance on this	17	same facts that I cited in the other ones.	
	property will and is likely to have a precedential	18	Also, I will reference the Stone Canyon matter	
	effect. It would essentially raise the general height	19	because it was the same it's the same applicant.	
	limit on the neighborhood because anybody could come in	20	The initial hearing was at the same time. The	
	and say, "I want a higher structure now." The same	21	properties are right next to each other. There's	
	evidence that I used before, on the other ones, would	22	evidence that it's substantially the same, and at that	
	be applicable here.	23	point, the representative for the applicant said, yeah,	
	The fifth and last one is the granting	24	they wanted a higher roof because you know, for	
4 -	finding would be the granting of the variance will	25		
5	mining would be the granting of the variance will	25	aesthetic reasons. That was and I would incorporate	
	Page 94			Page 9
1	adversely affect elements of the General Plan. The	1	the testimony from that hearing as well.	
	Bel Air-Beverly Crest Community Plan purposes include	2	The final thing that - the second to the last	
	preserving and enhancing the positive characteristics	3	thing is that I would cite Orinda Association v. Board	
	of existing residential neighborhoods; preserving and	4	of Supervisors, 1986, 182 Cal. App. 3rd, 1145, which	
	enhancing the positive characteristics of existing	5	holds basically that attractiveness of design lacks	
	uses, which provide the foundation for community	6	legal significance and is irrelevant in these kinds of	
	identity, identity such as scale, height, bulk,	7	variance cases.	
	setbacks, and appearances; and the land use policies in	8	The last thing I would do, I would incorporate	
	the Community Plan there speak to the intensity, that	9	the proposed findings by the appellant to the extent	
	the land use should be limited in accordance; the	10	that they are consistent with the findings that I've	
	compatibility of the proposed development with existing	11	set forth.	
	adjacent development; and the design should minimize	12	COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Commissioner Fost	ler
	adverse visual impact on neighboring single-family	13	Second.	
	uses. The proposed	14	RANDA HANNA: Commissioner Donovan?	
± 5	It will adversely affect the existing	15	COMMISSIONER DONOVAN: Aye.	
	neighborhood. The proposed height is excessive and not	16	RANDA HANNA: Commissioner Foster?	
	compatible with existing uses and appearances. It does	17		
			COMMISSIONER FOSTER: Aye.	
	not minimize the adverse visual effect on neighboring	18	RANDA HANNA: Commissioner Halper?	
	uses, and most importantly of all, it's likely to set a	19	COMMISSIONER HALPER: Aye.	
0	precedent that will adversely affect the positive	20	RANDA HANNA: Commissioner Linnick?	
	characteristics of the neighborhood, and for all of the	21	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Aye.	
		22	RANDA HANNA: And the motion is carried.	
2	other reasons that I have found, it applied to the BHO	1		
2 3	as stated in No. 4.	23	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. Our next it	em is
2 3 4	·	1	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: Okay. Our next it public comment, and I haven't received any comment cards.	em is

	Page 97	
1	RANDA HANNA: No comment cards.	
2		
3	COMMISSIONER LINNICK: So the meeting has	
4	adjourned at 6:33.	
}	(End of proceedings at 6:33 p.m.)	
5	-000-	
6		
7		
8		
9		·
10		
11		
12		•
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		·
18		
19	•	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	Page 98	
1	COURT REPORTERS CERTIFICATE	
2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA)	
3		
1	STATE OF CALIFORNIA) ss.	
3	STATE OF CALIFORNIA) ss.	
3 4	STATE OF CALIFORNIA) ss.	
3 4 5	STATE OF CALIFORNIA) ss. COUNTY OF ORANGE)	
3 4 5 6 7	STATE OF CALIFORNIA) ss. COUNTY OF ORANGE) ss. I, Joanna B. Brown, hereby certify: I am a duly qualified Certified Shorthand	
3 4 5 6 7 8	STATE OF CALIFORNIA ss. COUNTY OF ORANGE ss. I, Joanna B. Brown, hereby certify: I am a duly qualified Certified Shorthand Reporter, in the State of California, holder of	
3 4 5 6 7 8	STATE OF CALIFORNIA) ss. COUNTY OF ORANGE) ss. I, Joanna B. Brown, hereby certify: I am a duly qualified Certified Shorthand Reporter, in the State of California, holder of Certificate Number CSR 8570 issued by the Court	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA ss. COUNTY OF ORANGE ss. I, Joanna B. Brown, hereby certify: I am a duly qualified Certified Shorthand Reporter, in the State of California, holder of	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	COUNTY OF ORANGE I, Joanna B. Brown, hereby certify: I am a duly qualified Certified Shorthand Reporter, in the State of California, holder of Certificate Number CSR 8570 issued by the Court Reporters Board of California and which is in full force and effect.	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	COUNTY OF CRANGE I, Joanna B. Brown, hereby certify: I am a duly qualified Certified Shorthand Reporter, in the State of California, holder of Certificate Number CSR 8570 issued by the Court Reporters Board of California and which is in full force and effect. I am not financially interested in this	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	COUNTY OF ORANGE I, Joanna B. Brown, hereby certify: I am a duly qualified Certified Shorthand Reporter, in the State of California, holder of Certificate Number CSR 8570 issued by the Court Reporters Board of California and which is in full force and effect. I am not financially interested in this action and am not a relative or employee of any	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	COUNTY OF CALIFORNIA I, Joanna B. Brown, hereby certify: I am a duly qualified Certified Shorthand Reporter, in the State of California, holder of Certificate Number CSR 8570 issued by the Court Reporters Board of California and which is in full force and effect. I am not financially interested in this action and am not a relative or employee of any attorney of the parties, or of any of the parties.	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	COUNTY OF ORANGE I, Joanna B. Brown, hereby certify: I am a duly qualified Certified Shorthand Reporter, in the State of California, holder of Certificate Number CSR 8570 issued by the Court Reporters Board of California and which is in full force and effect. I am not financially interested in this action and am not a relative or employee of any	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	COUNTY OF CRANGE I, Joanna B. Brown, hereby certify: I am a duly qualified Certified Shorthand Reporter, in the State of California, holder of Certificate Number CSR 8570 issued by the Court Reporters Board of California and which is in full force and effect. I am not financially interested in this action and am not a relative or employee of any attorney of the parties, or of any of the parties. I am the reporter that stenographically recorded the testimony in the foregoing	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	COUNTY OF CRANGE I, Joanna B. Brown, hereby certify: I am a duly qualified Certified Shorthand Reporter, in the State of California, holder of Certificate Number CSR 8570 issued by the Court Reporters Board of California and which is in full force and effect. I am not financially interested in this action and am not a relative or employee of any attorney of the parties, or of any of the parties. I am the reporter that stenographically recorded the testimony in the foregoing proceeding and the foregoing transcript is a true	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	COUNTY OF CRANGE I, Joanna B. Brown, hereby certify: I am a duly qualified Certified Shorthand Reporter, in the State of California, holder of Certificate Number CSR 8570 issued by the Court Reporters Board of California and which is in full force and effect. I am not financially interested in this action and am not a relative or employee of any attorney of the parties, or of any of the parties. I am the reporter that stenographically recorded the testimony in the foregoing	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	COUNTY OF CRANGE I, Joanna B. Brown, hereby certify: I am a duly qualified Certified Shorthand Reporter, in the State of California, holder of Certificate Number CSR 8570 issued by the Court Reporters Board of California and which is in full force and effect. I am not financially interested in this action and am not a relative or employee of any attorney of the parties, or of any of the parties. I am the reporter that stenographically recorded the testimony in the foregoing proceeding and the foregoing transcript is a true record of the testimony given.	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	COUNTY OF CRANGE I, Joanna B. Brown, hereby certify: I am a duly qualified Certified Shorthand Reporter, in the State of California, holder of Certificate Number CSR 8570 issued by the Court Reporters Board of California and which is in full force and effect. I am not financially interested in this action and am not a relative or employee of any attorney of the parties, or of any of the parties. I am the reporter that stenographically recorded the testimony in the foregoing proceeding and the foregoing transcript is a true	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COUNTY OF CRANGE I, Joanna B. Brown, hereby certify: I am a duly qualified Certified Shorthand Reporter, in the State of California, holder of Certificate Number CSR 8570 issued by the Court Reporters Board of California and which is in full force and effect. I am not financially interested in this action and am not a relative or employee of any attorney of the parties, or of any of the parties. I am the reporter that stenographically recorded the testimony in the foregoing proceeding and the foregoing transcript is a true record of the testimony given.	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COUNTY OF CRANGE I, Joanna B. Brown, hereby certify: I am a duly qualified Certified Shorthand Reporter, in the State of California, holder of Certificate Number CSR 8570 issued by the Court Reporters Board of California and which is in full force and effect. I am not financially interested in this action and am not a relative or employee of any attorney of the parties, or of any of the parties. I am the reporter that stenographically recorded the testimony in the foregoing proceeding and the foregoing transcript is a true record of the testimony given.	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COUNTY OF CRANGE I, Joanna B. Brown, hereby certify: I am a duly qualified Certified Shorthand Reporter, in the State of California, holder of Certificate Number CSR 8570 issued by the Court Reporters Board of California and which is in full force and effect. I am not financially interested in this action and am not a relative or employee of any attorney of the parties, or of any of the parties. I am the reporter that stenographically recorded the testimony in the foregoing proceeding and the foregoing transcript is a true record of the testimony given.	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COUNTY OF CRANGE I, Joanna B. Brown, hereby certify: I am a duly qualified Certified Shorthand Reporter, in the State of California, holder of Certificate Number CSR 8570 issued by the Court Reporters Board of California and which is in full force and effect. I am not financially interested in this action and am not a relative or employee of any attorney of the parties, or of any of the parties. I am the reporter that stenographically recorded the testimony in the foregoing proceeding and the foregoing transcript is a true record of the testimony given.	

	Additionally (2)	71:10,11;73:6;84:10	amounts (1)	18:10;43:3
r	90:8;95:12	against (5)	84:2	applied (1)
L	address (13)	30:13;31:11;36:16;	analysis (13)	94:22
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	5:20;8:16,17;29:6,	76:16;79:7	17:5,12,16,18;32:8,	apply (7)
[ph] (1)				
81:25	10;36:17;60:16;67:2;	Agency (1)	19;59:23;60:7,25;61:3,	26:5;39:21;42:21;
[sic] (4)	69:11;71:16;75:21;	14:14	3,12,21	49:9,10;82:18;90:18
5:20;7:9;38:15;84:3	80:20;83:11	agenda (5)	Angeles (5)	appreciate (2)
	addressed (1)	3:17;4:5,13,14;6:10	3:1,5;29:11;73:9;	34:2;77:8
A	57:18	agendize (1)	89:9	appropriate (2)
**	addresses (1)	71:2	announcements (1)	13:16;61:6
-1.1 - (2)	53:14	ago (6)	3:11	approval (7)
able (3)	adds (1)	9:23;10:5,15;54:25;	APC (3)	4:23;9:17;10:14;
33:22;53:15;76:11				
above (4)	87:12	56:24;76:20	8:23;18:20;19:6	13:5;28:22;58:5;92:16
11:8;32:24;34:13;	adjacent (9)	agree (6)	apologize (1)	approvals (1)
84:11	9:24;21:15;25:21;	37:22;53:2;85:25;	88:5	50:23
absolute (1)	67:22,24;68:2;69:18;	86:10;87:8,14	App (3)	approve (4)
54:25	78:4;94:12	agreed (2)	89:10,11;96:4	^5:1;13:2,2;41:2
absolutely (1)	adjoining (1)	39:16;84:15	appeal (24)	approved (10)
	13:7	agreement (1)	5:25;6:2,5;9:16,18,	10:2,14;14:24;15:11;
68:7	adjourned (1)	84:17	18;10:1;11:22;15:4;	17:24;33:8;52:17;
absorb (1)				
33:22	97:3	ahead (2)	18:4,22;19:11;25:12;	61:22;84:2;92:14
abuse (2)	adjustment (1)	18:1;59:20	31:6,14;35:18;42:1;	approving (1)
31:16;35:17	18:4	Air (10)	45:8;66:24;73:11;79:7;	31:8
abused (1)	Administrator (13)	32:23;62:22;76:3,4,	82:12;83:3;88:8	approximately (2)
30:19	15:5;39:24;46:23;	21;77:20,20;79:17;	appealed (3)	10:5;22:4
	57:14,17;67:6,8,21;	92:13,15	6:6;12:17;18:20	architect (20)
abusive (1)	68:8;69:1;70:6;78:2;	Air-Beverly (1)	appear (1)	17:3,4;36:23;46:1;
71:9	89:3	94:2	52:3	
accept (1)			1	49:6;59:5,10,15,24,25;
6:12	administrator's (3)	air-conditioning (1)	appearance (1)	60:11;62:9,14,15;63:8,
access (1)	42:2;70:5;83:4	78:15	76:24	8;64:25;68:20;87:9,10
64;22	admitted (1)	allow (5)	appearances (2)	architecture (1)
accordance (1)	46:6	10:9;45:1;47:25;	94:8,17	77:1
94:10	adopted (1)	87:3;89:4	appeared (1)	Area (10)
	34:20	allowed (4)	76:6	3:5;11:15;14:24;
according (1)	adoption (1)	9:21;11:21;62:1;	appellant (7)	27:19;28:10,21;58:17;
87:1	31:15	73:8	17:4;22:17;29:4;	62:22;92:12,13
accounts (1)				
54:11	advance (2)	allowing (1)	36:14;81:1;87:10;96:9	areas (2)
accurate (2)	4:16,17	18:4	appellant's (3)	25:2;93:14
65:4;71:25	adverse (3)	allows (2)	29:4;62:8,9	arguing (2)
acres (1)	93:3;94:13,18	39:21;61:19	Applebaum (3)	49:11;57:22
61:15	adversely (3)	almost (2)	22:17,23;89:20	argument (1)
	94:1,15,20	12:23;57:1	applicable (3)	13:9
across (1)	Advisory (1)	alone (1)	26:3;90:16;93:23	around (5)
27:20	14:14	9:11		
Act (2)			applicant (37)	33:11;58:15,15,18;
71:1,8	aesthetic (16)	along (14)	10:16;22:10;23:1,6;	62:22
acted (1)	24:4,8;27:16,17;	4:22;10:21,22;11:12;	26:23;33:2,3;35:14;	art (1)
85:16	45:6,8,10;46:7,8,10,12;	12:3,7;14:14;37:14,16;	36:1,15,22;42:12,14;	38:17
action (1)	63:5;66:4;95:11,14,25	54:23;55:16;72:24;	43:13;67:12;68:13,17,	artificial (1)
31:24	aesthetically (3)	83:21;88:11	23;70:12;72:7;73:6;	81:14
	35:9;51:7;95:16	alternative (1)	77:16;80:9,25;81:1;	A-shaped (1)
actual (1)				
actual (1)		l 65·1	X7·9·XX·17·XV·4 16·	45.13
13:20	aesthetics (7)	65:1	87:9;88:17;89:4,16;	45:13
13:20 actually (15)	aesthetics (7) 62:14;63:5;65:22,24;	alternatives (2)	90:9,9;91:7;92:18;	aspersions (1)
13:20	aesthetics (7) 62:14;63:5;65:22,24; 66:7,9;78:16	alternatives (2) 68:21;87:11	90:9,9;91:7;92:18; 95:7,14,19,23	aspersions (1) 86:5
13:20 actually (15)	aesthetics (7) 62:14;63:5;65:22,24; 66:7,9;78:16 affect (4)	alternatives (2) 68:21;87:11 although (6)	90:9,9;91:7;92:18; 95:7,14,19,23 applicants (3)	aspersions (1) 86:5 asserted (1)
13:20 actually (15) 6:1;9:17;11:8;34:13; 43:6;53:16,21;62:21;	aesthetics (7) 62:14;63;5;65:22,24; 66:7,9;78:16 affect (4) 84:24;94:1,15,20	alternatives (2) 68:21;87:11 although (6) 3:17;4:5;10:16;11:5;	90:9,9;91:7;92:18; 95:7,14,19,23 applicants (3) 10:25;24:10;68:9	aspersions (1) 86:5 asserted (1) 95:4
13:20 actually (15) 6:1;9:17;11:8;34:13; 43:6;53:16,21,62:21; 63:21,22;64:15;69:15;	aesthetics (7) 62:14;63:5;65:22,24; 66:7,9;78:16 affect (4)	alternatives (2) 68:21;87:11 although (6)	90:9,9;91:7;92:18; 95:7,14,19,23 applicants (3) 10:25;24:10;68:9 applicant's (8)	aspersions (1) 86:5 asserted (1)
13:20 actually (15) 6:1;9:17;11:8;34:13; 43:6;53:16,21,62:21; 63:21,22;64:15;69:15; 79:19;80:16;82:15	aesthetics (7) 62:14;63;5;65:22,24; 66:7,9;78:16 affect (4) 84:24;94:1,15,20	alternatives (2) 68:21;87:11 although (6) 3:17;4:5;10:16;11:5; 17:1;69:16	90:9,9;91:7;92:18; 95:7,14,19,23 applicants (3) 10:25;24:10;68:9 applicant's (8)	aspersions (1) 86:5 asserted (1) 95:4 asserting (2)
13:20 actually (15) 6:1;9:17;11:8;34:13; 43:6;53:16,21;62:21; 63:21,22;64:15;69:15; 79:19;80:16;82:15 add (1)	aesthetics (7) 62:14;63:5;65:22,24; 66:7,9;78:16 affect (4) 84:24;94:1,15,20 affirm (1) 83:4	alternatives (2) 68:21;87:11 although (6) 3:17;4:5;10:16;11:5; 17:1;69:16 always (4)	90:9,9:7;92:18; 95:7,14,19,23 applicants (3) 10:25;24:10;68:9 applicant's (8) 13:10;20:8;24:3;	aspersions (1) 86:5 asserted (1) 95:4 asserting (2) 52:23;58:10
13:20 actually (15) 6:1;9:17;11:8;34:13; 43:6;53:16,21,62:21; 63:21,22;64:15;69:15; 79:19;80:16;82:15 add (1) 87:23	aesthetics (7) 62:14;63:5;65:22,24; 66:7,9;78:16 affect (4) 84:24;94:1,15,20 affirm (1) 83:4 affirmed (1)	alternatives (2) 68:21;87:11 although (6) 3:17;4:5;10:16;11:5; 17:1;69:16 always (4) 37:1;40:15;66:9;	90:9,9:91:7;92:18; 95:7,14,19,23 applicants (3) 10:25;24:10;68:9 applicant's (8) 13:10;20:8;24:3; 33:18;43:4;80:18;	aspersions (1) 86:5 asserted (1) 95:4 asserting (2) 52;23;58:10 asset (1)
13:20 actually (15) 6:1;9:17;11:8;34:13; 43:6;53:16,21;62:21; 63:21,22;64:15;69:15; 79:19;80:16;82:15 add (1) 87:23 addition (1)	aesthetics (7) 62:14;63:5;65:22,24; 66:7,9;78:16 affect (4) 84:24;94:1,15,20 affirm (1) 83:4 affirmed (1) 42:2	alternatives (2) 68:21;87:11 although (6) 3:17;4:5;10:16;11:5; 17:1;69:16 always (4) 37:1;40:15;66:9; 85:10	90:9,9:91:7;92:18; 95:7,14,19,23 applicants (3) 10:25;24:10;68:9 applicant's (8) 13:10;20:8;24:3; 33:18;43:4;80:18; 87:17;92:8	aspersions (1) 86:5 asserted (1) 95:4 asserting (2) 52:23;58:10 asset (1) 86:19
13:20 actually (15) 6:1;9:17;11:8;34:13; 43:6;53:16,21,62:21; 63:21,22;64:15;69:15; 79:19;80:16;82:15 add (1) 87:23 addition (1) 53:22	aesthetics (7) 62:14;63:5;65:22,24; 66:7,9;78:16 affect (4) 84:24;94:1,15,20 affirm (1) 83:4 affirmed (1) 42:2 afternoon (1)	alternatives (2) 68:21;87:11 although (6) 3:17;4:5;10:16;11:5; 17:1;69:16 always (4) 37:1;40:15;66:9; 85:10 amenities (2)	90:9,9;91:7;92:18; 95:7,14,19,23 applicants (3) 10:25;24:10;68:9 applicant's (8) 13:10;20:8;24:3; 33:18;43:4;80:18; 87:17;92:8 application (6)	aspersions (1) 86:5 asserted (1) 95:4 asserting (2) 52:23;58:10 asset (1) 86:19 association (2)
13:20 actually (15) 6:1;9:17;11:8;34:13; 43:6;53:16,21;62:21; 63:21,22;64:15;69:15; 79:19;80:16;82:15 add (1) 87:23 addition (1)	aesthetics (7) 62:14;63:5;65:22,24; 66:7,9;78:16 affect (4) 84:24;94:1,15,20 affirm (1) 83:4 affirmed (1) 42:2 afternoon (1) 3:4	alternatives (2) 68:21;87:11 although (6) 3:17;4:5;10:16;11:5; 17:1;69:16 always (4) 37:1;40:15;66:9; 85:10 amenities (2) 47:2,7	90:9,9;91:7;92:18; 95:7,14,19,23 applicants (3) 10:25;24:10;68:9 applicant's (8) 13:10;20:8;24:3; 33:18;43:4;80:18; 87:17;92:8 application (6) 10:17;21:24;37:6;	aspersions (1) 86:5 asserted (1) 95:4 asserting (2) 52:23;58:10 asset (1) 86:19 association (2) 40:12;96:3
13:20 actually (15) 6:1;9:17;11:8;34:13; 43:6;53:16,21;62:21; 63:21,22;64:15;69:15; 79:19;80:16;82:15 add (1) 87:23 addition (1) 53:22	aesthetics (7) 62:14;63:5;65:22,24; 66:7,9;78:16 affect (4) 84:24;94:1,15,20 affirm (1) 83:4 affirmed (1) 42:2 afternoon (1)	alternatives (2) 68:21;87:11 although (6) 3:17;4:5;10:16;11:5; 17:1;69:16 always (4) 37:1;40:15;66:9; 85:10 amenities (2)	90:9,9;91:7;92:18; 95:7,14,19,23 applicants (3) 10:25;24:10;68:9 applicant's (8) 13:10;20:8;24:3; 33:18;43:4;80:18; 87:17;92:8 application (6)	aspersions (1) 86:5 asserted (1) 95:4 asserting (2) 52:23;58:10 asset (1) 86:19 association (2)

				,
attached (1)	39:18	50:19	71:1,8	70:25;82:2
89:20	basically (4)	Bisgaard (1)	buffer (6)	can (66)
attend (1)	22:18;24:7;75:1;	36:21	10:13;55:10;79:21;	8:17;11:11,12;17:17;
8:22	96:5	bit (4)	84:23,24,25	22:4,17,18;23:8,15,16;
attorney (6)	BAYLISS (9)	12:8;39:6;45:15,20	build (34)	24:6;27:13,13;28:16,
24:3;36:24;40:17;	78:7,12;79:8,10,11,	blatant (2)	11:16;22:11,18,19;	19;29:4,5;32:10;33:6;
63:13;69:14;92:9	12,12;80:23;82:25	78:1,1	23:14;26:24;34:22;	34:5;36:7,16;37:24;
Attorney's (2)	bear (1)	block (5)	39:13;40:21;45:23;	38:10,23;39:14;41:2,3,
34:19;35:23	29:25	24:23;25:3,20;28:2,5	46:17,20;47:6,11;48:4,	8;42:5;43:20;44:23;
attractive (2)	beautiful (11)	blocked (2)	6;49:2,3,4;50:4,21,21;	45:2,23;46:2,3;47:6,
41:21;51:12	72:12,13,13,15,19;	25:13;44:6	53:15,19;68:21;70:14,	11;48:4,6,10,10;49:3;
attractiveness (1)	73:2;74:11;76:22,25;	blocking (1)	16;72:10,11;73:2;75:2;	50:20;51:3,6;52:16;
96:5	86:21,24	24:25	85:14;90:10;95:8	57:14;64:25;65:3;68:6,
August (1)	beauty (1)	blowups (1)	buildable (1)	11;70:14,15;75:3;
19:9	86:20	34:5	68:15	79:10;80:15;83:22;
available (1)	begin (1)	board (2)	builder (1)	87:20;88:11,12,17;
88:12	85:8	82:15;96:3	46:1	89:5;90:14;91:25;
Avenue (3)	beginning (2)	bogus (2)	building (28)	95:15
5:21;7:9;67:5	13:9;55:9	70:10,13	11:7,11;12:1;13:12;	Canyon (53)
avoid (1)	behalf (2)	bono (1)	16:22;17:23;23:2;	12:23;13:8;14:19;
80:2	69:16;77:23	76:6	24:18;32:17;38:15;	15:4;16:13;18:10,20;
aware (7)	behind (6)	bonus (2)	39:14;41:13;47:5;57:1;	19:9,19;20:2,16;21:7;
10:10;15:20;52:5;	12:11;20:8;38:20;	6:1,5	61:7,16;62:19;63:10;	22:3;24:2;26:2;32:22;
53:13;60:5;61:24;82:6	52:6;57:4;58:18	books (1)	64:13;68:18;75:14;	34:3,6,8,14;37:12,15;
away (7)	Bel (11)	75:4	82:1;83:20,21;89:14,	38:8;40:10,17;42:8,10,
37:12;38:12;45:22;	32:23;62:22;76:3,4,	both (12)	17;91:7;93:10	22;43:6,25;44:5;51:22;
92:11,12,14,16	21;77:20,20;79:17;	18:11,12,15;20:21;	buildings (3)	52:19;53:1,11;57:20;
Aye (12)	92:13,15;94:2	24:24;32:5;42:13,15;	35:4,7;62:24	67:22;68:3;71:19,20;
5:5,7,11,13;8:5,7,9,	belief (1)	50:5,5;80:25;87:9	built (14)	72:24;75:6,10,15,24,
11;96:15,17,19,21	56:20	bottom (1)	22:5;23:7;27:13,14;	24;76:21;78:17;85:25;
	believes (1)	38:10	20.10.41.12.40.22.	06.01.00.01.6.06.10
	neneves (x)	36:10	32:10;41:12;49:23;	86:21,23;91:5;95:18
В	11:23	bought (2)	50:9;54:21;74:7,7,7;	80:21,23;91:5;95:18 capable (1)
THE COLUMN THE STREET STREET	11:23 Bell (1)	bought (2) 55:1;57:8	50:9;54:21;74:7,7,7; 87:2;92:1	capable (1) 78:21
back (20)	11:23 Bell (1) 76:5	bought (2) 55:1;57:8 Boulevard (1)	50:9;54:21;74:7,7,7; 87:2;92:1 bulk (1)	capable (1) 78:21 card (1)
back (20) 8:14;10:6;11:4;12:8;	11:23 Bell (1) 76:5 Bellagio (17)	bought (2) 55:1;57:8 Boulevard (1) 60:18	50:9;54:21;74:7,7,7; 87:2;92:1 buik (1) 94:7	capable (1) 78:21 card (1) 3:9
back (20) 8:14;10:6;11:4;12:8; 19:6;28:3;30:4;33:13;	11:23 Bell (1) 76:5 Bellagio (17) 8:17;18:10;19:23;	bought (2) 55:1;57:8 Boulevard (1) 60:18 bowl (19)	50:9;54:21;74:7,7,7; 87:2;92:1 bułk (1) 94:7 bundled (1)	capable (1) 78:21 card (1) 3:9 cards (3)
back (20) 8:14;10:6;11:4;12:8; 19:6;28:3;30:4;33:13; 43:23;46:4;52:3;57:9;	11:23 Bell (1) 76:5 Bellagio (17) 8:17;18:10;19:23; 20:18;21:8;22:5;34:8;	bought (2) 55:1;57:8 Boulevard (1) 60:18 bowl (19) 11:1;33:4,16;34:13;	50:9;54:21;74:7,7,7; 87:2;92:1 bułk (1) 94:7 bundled (1) 6:7	capable (1) 78:21 card (1) 3:9 cards (3) 79:7;96:25;97:1
back (20) 8:14;10:6;11:4;12:8; 19:6;28:3;30:4;33:13; 43:23;46:4;52:3;57:9; 61:14;62:6,7;72:9,17;	11:23 Bell (1) 76:5 Bellagio (17) 8:17;18:10;19:23; 20:18;21:8;22:5;34:8; 37:2;40:5;41:3;42:9,	bought (2) 55:1;57:8 Boulevard (1) 60:18 bowl (19) 11:1;33:4,16;34:13; 38:20;43:13,19,20;	50:9;54:21;74:7,7,7; 87:2;92:1 bułk (1) 94:7 bundled (1) 6:7 burden (1)	capable (1) 78:21 card (1) 3:9 cards (3) 79:7;96:25;97:1 care (1)
back (20) 8:14;10:6;11:4;12:8; 19:6;28:3;30:4;33:13; 43:23;46:4;52:3;57:9; 61:14;62:6,7;72:9,17; 74:3,4;80:4	11:23 Bell (1) 76:5 Bellagio (17) 8:17;18:10;19:23; 20:18;21:8;22:5;34:8; 37:2;40:5;41:3;42:9, 21;44:1;53:1,3;57:19;	bought (2) 55:1;57:8 Boulevard (1) 60:18 bowl (19) 11:1;33:4,16;34:13; 38:20;43:13,19,20; 44:10,11,15,15,15,16,	50:9;54:21;74:7,7,7; 87:2;92:1 buik (1) 94:7 bundled (1) 6:7 burden (1) 74:15	capable (1) 78:21 card (1) 3:9 cards (3) 79:7;96:25;97:1 care (1) 55:24
back (20) 8:14;10:6;11:4;12:8; 19:6;28:3;30:4;33:13; 43:23;46:4;52:3;57:9; 61:14;62:6,7;72:9,17; 74:3,4;80:4 backed (1)	11:23 Bell (1) 76:5 Bellagio (17) 8:17;18:10;19:23; 20:18;21:8;22:5;34:8; 37:2;40:5;41:3;42:9, 21;44:1;53:1,3;57:19; 76:21	bought (2) 55:1;57:8 Boulevard (1) 60:18 bowl (19) 11:1;33:4,16;34:13; 38:20;43:13,19,20; 44:10,11,15,15,15,16, 20,23;72:4,4,6	50:9;54:21;74:7,7,7; 87:2;92:1 buik (1) 94:7 bundled (1) 6:7 burden (1) 74:15 burdened (1)	capable (1) 78:21 card (1) 3:9 cards (3) 79:7;96:25;97:1 care (1) 55:24 carefully (1)
back (20) 8:14;10:6;11:4;12:8; 19:6;28:3;30:4;33:13; 43:23;46:4;52:3;57:9; 61:14;62:6,7;72:9,17; 74:3,4;80:4 backed (1) 44:17	11:23 Bell (1) 76:5 Bellagio (17) 8:17;18:10;19:23; 20:18;21:8;22:5;34:8; 37:2;40:5;41:3;42:9, 21;44:1;53:1,3;57:19; 76:21 below (6)	bought (2) 55:1;57:8 Boulevard (1) 60:18 bowl (19) 11:1;33:4,16;34:13; 38:20;43:13,19,20; 44:10,11,15,15,15,16, 20,23;72:4,4,6 box-like (1)	50:9;54:21;74:7,7,7; 87:2;92:1 buik (1) 94:7 bundled (1) 6:7 burden (1) 74:15 burdened (1) 68:25	capable (1) 78:21 card (1) 3:9 cards (3) 79:7;96:25;97:1 care (1) 55:24 carefully (1) 77:8
back (20) 8:14;10:6;11:4;12:8; 19:6;28:3;30:4;33:13; 43:23;46:4;52:3;57:9; 61:14;62:6,7;72:9,17; 74:3,4;80:4 backed (1) 44:17 backfill (1)	11:23 Bell (1) 76:5 Bellagio (17) 8:17;18:10;19:23; 20:18;21:8;22:5;34:8; 37:2;40:5;41:3;42:9, 21;44:1;53:1,3;57:19; 76:21 below (6) 38:21;44:4,12,19,22;	bought (2) 55:1;57:8 Boulevard (1) 60:18 bowl (19) 11:1;33:4,16;34:13; 38:20;43:13,19,20; 44:10,11,15,15,15,16, 20,23;72:4,4,6 box-like (1) 34:23	50:9;54:21;74:7,7,7; 87:2;92:1 buik (1) 94:7 bundled (1) 6:7 burden (1) 74:15 burdened (1) 68:25 Business (2)	capable (1) 78:21 card (1) 3:9 cards (3) 79:7;96:25;97:1 care (1) 55:24 carefully (1) 77:8 carried (3)
back (20) 8:14;10:6;11:4;12:8; 19:6;28:3;30:4;33:13; 43:23;46:4;52:3;57:9; 61:14;62:6,7;72:9,17; 74:3,4;80:4 backed (1) 44:17 backfill (1) 54:20	11:23 Bell (1) 76:5 Bellagio (17) 8:17;18:10;19:23; 20:18;21:8;22:5;34:8; 37:2;40:5;41:3;42:9, 21;44:1;53:1,3;57:19; 76:21 below (6) 38:21;44:4,12,19,22; 84:11	bought (2) 55:1;57:8 Boulevard (1) 60:18 bowl (19) 11:1;33:4,16;34:13; 38:20;43:13,19,20; 44:10,11,15,15,15,16, 20,23;72:4,4,6 box-like (1) 34:23 boxy (1)	50:9;54:21;74:7,7,7; 87:2;92:1 buik (1) 94:7 bundled (1) 6:7 burden (1) 74:15 burdened (1) 68:25	capable (1) 78:21 card (1) 3:9 cards (3) 79:7;96:25;97:1 care (1) 55:24 carefully (1) 77:8 carried (3) 5:15;8:12;96:22
back (20) 8:14;10:6;11:4;12:8; 19:6;28:3;30:4;33:13; 43:23;46:4;52:3;57:9; 61:14;62:6,7;72:9,17; 74:3,4;80:4 backed (1) 44:17 backfill (1) 54:20 background (1)	11:23 Bell (1) 76:5 Bellagio (17) 8:17;18:10;19:23; 20:18;21:8;22:5;34:8; 37:2;40:5;41:3;42:9, 21;44:1;53:1,3;57:19; 76:21 below (6) 38:21;44:4,12,19,22; 84:11 benefit (1)	bought (2) 55:1;57:8 Boulevard (1) 60:18 bowl (19) 11:1;33:4,16;34:13; 38:20;43:13,19,20; 44:10,11,15,15,15,16, 20,23;72:4,4,6 box-like (1) 34:23 boxy (1) 24:17	50:9;54:21;74:7,7,7; 87:2;92:1 buik (1) 94:7 bundled (1) 6:7 burden (1) 74:15 burdened (1) 68:25 Business (2) 4:16,23	capable (1) 78:21 card (1) 3:9 cards (3) 79:7;96:25;97:1 care (1) 55:24 carefully (1) 77:8 carried (3) 5:15;8:12;96:22 cars (2)
back (20) 8:14;10:6;11:4;12:8; 19:6;28:3;30:4;33:13; 43:23;46:4;52:3;57:9; 61:14;62:6,7;72:9,17; 74:3,4;80:4 backed (1) 44:17 backfill (1) 54:20 background (1) 21:20	11:23 Bell (1) 76:5 Bellagio (17) 8:17;18:10;19:23; 20:18;21:8;22:5;34:8; 37:2;40:5;41:3;42:9, 21;44:1;53:1,3;57:19; 76:21 below (6) 38:21;44:4,12,19,22; 84:11 benefit (1) 61:11	bought (2) 55:1;57:8 Boulevard (1) 60:18 bowl (19) 11:1;33:4,16;34:13; 38:20;43:13,19,20; 44:10,11,15,15,15,16, 20,23;72:4,4,6 box-like (1) 34:23 boxy (1) 24:17 Brant (2)	50:9;54:21;74:7,7,7; 87:2;92:1 buik (1) 94:7 bundled (1) 6:7 burden (1) 74:15 burdened (1) 68:25 Business (2)	capable (1) 78:21 card (1) 3:9 cards (3) 79:7;96:25;97:1 care (1) 55:24 carefully (1) 77:8 carried (3) 5:15;8:12;96:22 cars (2) 3:12;37:15
back (20) 8:14;10:6;11:4;12:8; 19:6;28:3;30:4;33:13; 43:23;46:4;52:3;57:9; 61:14;62:6,7;72:9,17; 74:3,4;80:4 backed (1) 44:17 backfill (1) 54:20 background (1) 21:20 backyard (2)	11:23 Bell (1) 76:5 Bellagio (17) 8:17;18:10;19:23; 20:18;21:8;22:5;34:8; 37:2;40:5;41:3;42:9, 21;44:1;53:1,3;57:19; 76:21 below (6) 38:21;44:4,12,19,22; 84:11 benefit (1) 61:11 best (2)	bought (2) 55:1;57:8 Boulevard (1) 60:18 bowl (19) 11:1;33:4,16;34:13; 38:20;43:13,19,20; 44:10,11,15,15,15,16, 20,23;72:4,4,6 box-like (1) 34:23 boxy (1) 24:17 Brant (2) 36:3,20	50:9;54:21;74:7,7,7; 87:2;92:1 buik (1) 94:7 bundled (1) 6:7 burden (1) 74:15 burdened (1) 68:25 Business (2) 4:16,23	capable (1) 78:21 card (1) 3:9 cards (3) 79:7;96:25;97:1 care (1) 55:24 carefully (1) 77:8 carried (3) 5:15;8:12;96:22 cars (2) 3:12;37:15 case (52)
back (20) 8:14;10:6;11:4;12:8; 19:6;28:3;30:4;33:13; 43:23;46:4;52:3;57:9; 61:14;62:6,7;72:9,17; 74:3,4;80:4 backed (1) 44:17 backfill (1) 54:20 background (1) 21:20 backyard (2) 62:20,21	11:23 Bell (1) 76:5 Bellagio (17) 8:17;18:10;19:23; 20:18;21:8;22:5;34:8; 37:2;40:5;41:3;42:9, 21;44:1;53:1,3;57:19; 76:21 below (6) 38:21;44:4,12,19,22; 84:11 benefit (1) 61:11 best (2) 63:15;88:11	bought (2) 55:1;57:8 Boulevard (1) 60:18 bowl (19) 11:1;33:4,16;34:13; 38:20;43:13,19,20; 44:10,11,15,15,15,16, 20,23;72:4,4,6 box-like (1) 34:23 boxy (1) 24:17 Brant (2) 36:3,20 break (3)	50:9;54:21;74:7,7,7; 87:2;92:1 buik (1) 94:7 bundled (1) 6:7 burden (1) 74:15 burdened (1) 68:25 Business (2) 4:16,23	capable (1) 78:21 card (1) 3:9 cards (3) 79:7;96:25;97:1 care (1) 55:24 carefully (1) 77:8 carried (3) 5:15;8:12;96:22 cars (2) 3:12;37:15 case (52) 6:1,11;7:9;9:1,7,25;
back (20) 8:14;10:6;11:4;12:8; 19:6;28:3;30:4;33:13; 43:23;46:4;52:3;57:9; 61:14;62:6,7;72:9,17; 74:3,4;80:4 backed (1) 44:17 backfill (1) 54:20 background (1) 21:20 backyard (2) 62:20,21 bad (1)	11:23 Bell (1) 76:5 Bellagio (17) 8:17;18:10;19:23; 20:18;21:8;22:5;34:8; 37:2;40:5;41:3;42:9, 21;44:1;53:1,3;57:19; 76:21 below (6) 38:21;44:4,12,19,22; 84:11 benefit (1) 61:11 best (2) 63:15;88:11 better (2)	bought (2) 55:1;57:8 Boulevard (1) 60:18 bowl (19) 11:1;33:4,16;34:13; 38:20;43:13,19,20; 44:10,11,15,15,15,16, 20,23;72:4,4,6 box-like (1) 34:23 boxy (1) 24:17 Brant (2) 36:3,20 break (3) 24:17;35:3;93:11	50:9;54:21;74:7,7,7; 87:2;92:1 buik (1) 94:7 bundled (1) 6:7 burden (1) 74:15 burdened (1) 68:25 Business (2) 4:16,23 C Cal (3) 89:10,11;96:4	capable (1) 78:21 card (1) 3:9 cards (3) 79:7;96:25;97:1 care (1) 55:24 carefully (1) 77:8 carried (3) 5:15;8:12;96:22 cars (2) 3:12;37:15 case (52) 6:1,11;7:9;9:1,7,25; 10:2;12:12,23,25;13:2,
back (20) 8:14;10:6;11:4;12:8; 19:6;28:3;30:4;33:13; 43:23;46:4;52:3;57:9; 61:14;62:6,7;72:9,17; 74:3,4;80:4 backed (1) 44:17 backfill (1) 54:20 background (1) 21:20 backyard (2) 62:20,21 bad (1) 69:2	11:23 Bell (1) 76:5 Bellagio (17) 8:17;18:10;19:23; 20:18;21:8;22:5;34:8; 37:2;40:5;41:3;42:9, 21;44:1;53:1,3;57:19; 76:21 below (6) 38:21;44:4,12,19,22; 84:11 benefit (1) 61:11 best (2) 63:15;88:11 better (2) 52:8;60:1	bought (2) 55:1;57:8 Boulevard (1) 60:18 bowl (19) 11:1;33:4,16;34:13; 38:20;43:13,19,20; 44:10,11,15,15,15,16, 20,23;72:4,4,6 box-like (1) 34:23 boxy (1) 24:17 Brant (2) 36:3,20 break (3) 24:17;35:3;93:11 Bridge (2)	50:9;54:21;74:7,7,7; 87:2;92:1 buik (1) 94:7 bundled (1) 6:7 burden (1) 74:15 burdened (1) 68:25 Business (2) 4:16,23 C Cal (3) 89:10,11;96:4 calculating (2)	capable (1) 78:21 card (1) 3:9 cards (3) 79:7;96:25;97:1 care (1) 55:24 carefully (1) 77:8 carried (3) 5:15;8:12;96:22 cars (2) 3:12;37:15 case (52) 6:1,11;7:9;9:1,7,25; 10:2;12:12,23,25;13:2, 7,8;14:14,19;15:8;
back (20) 8:14;10:6;11:4;12:8; 19:6;28:3;30:4;33:13; 43:23;46:4;52:3;57:9; 61:14;62:6,7;72:9,17; 74:3,4;80:4 backed (1) 44:17 backfill (1) 54:20 background (1) 21:20 backyard (2) 62:20,21 bad (1) 69:2 band (3)	11:23 Bell (1) 76:5 Bellagio (17) 8:17;18:10;19:23; 20:18;21:8;22:5;34:8; 37:2;40:5;41:3;42:9, 21;44:1;53:1,3;57:19; 76:21 below (6) 38:21;44:4,12,19,22; 84:11 benefit (1) 61:11 best (2) 63:15;88:11 better (2) 52:8;60:1 beyond (1)	bought (2) 55:1;57:8 Boulevard (1) 60:18 bowl (19) 11:1;33:4,16;34:13; 38:20;43:13,19,20; 44:10,11,15,15,15,16, 20,23;72:4,4,6 box-like (1) 34:23 boxy (1) 24:17 Brant (2) 36:3,20 break (3) 24:17;35:3;93:11 Bridge (2) 75:25;76:2	50:9;54:21;74:7,7,7; 87:2;92:1 buik (1) 94:7 bundled (1) 6:7 burden (1) 74:15 burdened (1) 68:25 Business (2) 4:16,23 C Cal (3) 89:10,11;96:4 calculating (2) 34:21;35:5	capable (1) 78:21 card (1) 3:9 cards (3) 79:7;96:25;97:1 care (1) 55:24 carefully (1) 77:8 carried (3) 5:15;8:12;96:22 cars (2) 3:12;37:15 case (52) 6:1,11;7:9;9:1,7,25; 10:2;12:12,23,25;13:2, 7,8;14:14,19;15:8; 17:10;21:7;23:13,14;
back (20) 8:14;10:6;11:4;12:8; 19:6;28:3;30:4;33:13; 43:23;46:4;52:3;57:9; 61:14;62:6,7;72:9,17; 74:3,4;80:4 backed (1) 44:17 backfill (1) 54:20 background (1) 21:20 backyard (2) 62:20,21 bad (1) 69:2 band (3) 61:2,3,21	11:23 Bell (1) 76:5 Bellagio (17) 8:17;18:10;19:23; 20:18;21:8;22:5;34:8; 37:2;40:5;41:3;42:9, 21;44:1;53:1,3;57:19; 76:21 below (6) 38:21;44:4,12,19,22; 84:11 benefit (1) 61:11 best (2) 63:15;88:11 better (2) 52:8;60:1 beyond (1) 49:7	bought (2) 55:1;57:8 Boulevard (1) 60:18 bowl (19) 11:1;33:4,16;34:13; 38:20;43:13,19;20; 44:10,11,15,15,15,16, 20,23;72:4,4,6 box-like (1) 34:23 boxy (1) 24:17 Brant (2) 36:3,20 break (3) 24:17;35:3;93:11 Bridge (2) 75:25;76:2 briefly (1)	50:9;54:21;74:7,7,7; 87:2;92:1 buik (1) 94:7 bundled (1) 6:7 burden (1) 74:15 burdened (1) 68:25 Business (2) 4:16,23 C Cal (3) 89:10,11;96:4 calculating (2) 34:21;35:5 calendar (2)	capable (1) 78:21 card (1) 3:9 cards (3) 79:7;96:25;97:1 care (1) 55:24 carefully (1) 77:8 carried (3) 5:15;8:12;96:22 cars (2) 3:12;37:15 case (52) 6:1,11;7:9;9:1,7,25; 10:2;12:12,23,25;13:2, 7,8;14:14,19;15:8; 17:10;21:7;23:13,14; 24:3,4;26:23;31:10,20,
back (20) 8:14;10:6;11:4;12:8; 19:6;28:3;30:4;33:13; 43:23;46:4;52:3;57:9; 61:14;62:6,7;72:9,17; 74:3,4;80:4 backed (1) 44:17 backfill (1) 54:20 background (1) 21:20 backyard (2) 62:20,21 bad (1) 69:2 band (3) 61:2,3,21 barely (1)	11:23 Bell (1) 76:5 Bellagio (17) 8:17;18:10;19:23; 20:18;21:8;22:5;34:8; 37:2;40:5;41:3;42:9, 21;44:1;53:1,3;57:19; 76:21 below (6) 38:21;44:4,12,19,22; 84:11 benefit (1) 61:11 best (2) 63:15;88:11 better (2) 52:8;60:1 beyond (1) 49:7 BH (1)	bought (2) 55:1;57:8 Boulevard (1) 60:18 bowl (19) 11:1;33:4,16;34:13; 38:20;43:13,19,20; 44:10,11,15,15,15,16, 20,23;72:4,4,6 box-like (1) 34:23 boxy (1) 24:17 Brant (2) 36:3,20 break (3) 24:17;35:3;93:11 Bridge (2) 75:25;76:2 briefly (1) 34:18	50:9;54:21;74:7,7; 87:2;92:1 buik (1) 94:7 bundled (1) 6:7 burden (1) 74:15 burdened (1) 68:25 Business (2) 4:16,23 C Cal (3) 89:10,11;96:4 calculating (2) 34:21;35:5 calendar (2) 4:17,18	capable (1) 78:21 card (1) 3:9 cards (3) 79:7;96:25;97:1 care (1) 55:24 carefully (1) 77:8 carried (3) 5:15;8:12;96:22 cars (2) 3:12;37:15 case (52) 6:1,11;7:9;9:1,7,25; 10:2;12:12,23,25;13:2, 7,8;14:14,19;15:8; 17:10;21:7;23:13,14; 24:3,4;26:23;31:10,20, 21;37:9;40:9,17;52:19,
back (20) 8:14;10:6;11:4;12:8; 19:6;28:3;30:4;33:13; 43:23;46:4;52:3;57:9; 61:14;62:6,7;72:9,17; 74:3,4;80:4 backed (1) 44:17 backfill (1) 54:20 background (1) 21:20 backyard (2) 62:20,21 bad (1) 69:2 band (3) 61:2,3,21 barely (1) 38:18	11:23 Bell (1) 76:5 Bellagio (17) 8:17;18:10;19:23; 20:18;21:8;22:5;34:8; 37:2;40:5;41:3;42:9, 21;44:1;53:1,3;57:19; 76:21 below (6) 38:21;44:4,12,19,22; 84:11 benefit (1) 61:11 best (2) 63:15;88:11 better (2) 52:8;60:1 beyond (1) 49:7 BH (1) 24:16	bought (2) 55:1;57:8 Boulevard (1) 60:18 bowl (19) 11:1;33:4,16;34:13; 38:20;43:13,19,20; 44:10,11,15,15,15,16, 20,23;72:4,4,6 box-like (1) 34:23 boxy (1) 24:17 Brant (2) 36:3,20 break (3) 24:17;35:3;93:11 Bridge (2) 75:25;76:2 briefly (1) 34:18 bring (2)	50:9;54:21;74:7,7; 87:2;92:1 buik (1) 94:7 bundled (1) 6:7 burden (1) 74:15 burdened (1) 68:25 Business (2) 4:16,23 C Cal (3) 89:10,11;96:4 calculating (2) 34:21;35:5 calendar (2) 4:17,18 California (3)	capable (1) 78:21 card (1) 3:9 cards (3) 79:7;96:25;97:1 care (1) 55:24 carefully (1) 77:8 carried (3) 5:15;8:12;96:22 cars (2) 3:12;37:15 case (52) 6:1,11;7:9;9:1,7,25; 10:2;12:12,23,25;13:2, 7,8;14:14,19;15:8; 17:10;21:7;23:13,14; 24:3,4;26:23;31:10,20, 21;37:9;40:9,17;52:19, 20,25;61:8;64:16;68:7;
back (20) 8:14;10:6;11:4;12:8; 19:6;28:3;30:4;33:13; 43:23;46:4;52:3;57:9; 61:14;62:6,7;72:9,17; 74:3,4;80:4 backed (1) 44:17 backfill (1) 54:20 background (1) 21:20 backyard (2) 62:20,21 bad (1) 69:2 band (3) 61:2,3,21 barely (1) 38:18 based (4)	11:23 Bell (1) 76:5 Bellagio (17) 8:17;18:10;19:23; 20:18;21:8;22:5;34:8; 37:2;40:5;41:3;42:9, 21;44:1;53:1,3;57:19; 76:21 below (6) 38:21;44:4,12,19,22; 84:11 benefit (1) 61:11 best (2) 63:15;88:11 better (2) 52:8;60:1 beyond (1) 49:7 BH (1) 24:16 BHO (5)	bought (2) 55:1;57:8 Boulevard (1) 60:18 bowl (19) 11:1;33:4,16;34:13; 38:20;43:13,19,20; 44:10,11,15,15,15,16, 20,23;72:4,4,6 box-like (1) 34:23 boxy (1) 24:17 Brant (2) 36:3,20 break (3) 24:17;35:3;93:11 Bridge (2) 75:25;76:2 briefly (1) 34:18 bring (2) 59:5;72:17	50:9;54:21;74:7,7; 87:2;92:1 buik (1) 94:7 bundled (1) 6:7 burden (1) 74:15 burdened (1) 68:25 Business (2) 4:16,23 C Cal (3) 89:10,11;96:4 calculating (2) 34:21;35:5 calendar (2) 4:17,18 California (3) 3:1;29:12,67:5	capable (1) 78:21 card (1) 3:9 cards (3) 79:7;96:25;97:1 care (1) 55:24 carefully (1) 77:8 carried (3) 5:15;8:12;96:22 cars (2) 3:12;37:15 case (52) 6:1,11;7:9;9:1,7,25; 10:2;12:12,23,25;13:2, 7,8;14:14,19;15:8; 17:10;21:7;23:13,14; 24:3,4;26:23;31:10,20, 21;37:9;40:9,17;52:19, 20,25;61:8;64:16;68:7; 69:22,25;70:1,3,3;
back (20) 8:14;10:6;11:4;12:8; 19:6;28:3;30:4;33:13; 43:23;46:4;52:3;57:9; 61:14;62:6,7;72:9,17; 74:3,4;80:4 backed (1) 44:17 backfill (1) 54:20 background (1) 21:20 backyard (2) 62:20,21 bad (1) 69:2 band (3) 61:2,3,21 barely (1) 38:18 based (4) 14:7;23:19;39:23;	11:23 Bell (1) 76:5 Bellagio (17) 8:17;18:10;19:23; 20:18;21:8;22:5;34:8; 37:2;40:5;41:3;42:9, 21;44:1;53:1,3;57:19; 76:21 below (6) 38:21;44:4,12,19,22; 84:11 benefit (1) 61:11 best (2) 63:15;88:11 better (2) 52:8;60:1 beyond (1) 49:7 BH (1) 24:16 BHO (5) 24:15,24;28:4;93:13;	bought (2) 55:1;57:8 Boulevard (1) 60:18 bowl (19) 11:1;33:4,16;34:13; 38:20;43:13,19,20; 44:10,11,15,15,15,16, 20,23;72:4,4,6 box-like (1) 34:23 boxy (1) 24:17 Brant (2) 36:3,20 break (3) 24:17;35:3;93:11 Bridge (2) 75:25;76:2 briefly (1) 34:18 bring (2) 59:5;72:17 brings (1)	50:9;54:21;74:7,7; 87:2;92:1 buik (1) 94:7 bundled (1) 6:7 burden (1) 74:15 burdened (1) 68:25 Business (2) 4:16,23 C Cal (3) 89:10,11;96:4 calculating (2) 34:21;35:5 calendar (2) 4:17,18 California (3) 3:1;29:12;67:5 call (9)	capable (1) 78:21 card (1) 3:9 cards (3) 79:7;96:25;97:1 care (1) 55:24 carefully (1) 77:8 carried (3) 5:15;8:12;96:22 cars (2) 3:12;37:15 case (52) 6:1,11;7:9;9:1,7,25; 10:2;12:12,23,25;13:2, 7,8;14:14,19;15:8; 17:10;21:7;23:13,14; 24:3,4;26:23;31:10,20, 21;37:9;40:9,17;52:19, 20,25;61:8;64:16;68:7; 69:22,25;70:1,3,3; 78:14;85:23,25;86:8;
back (20) 8:14;10:6;11:4;12:8; 19:6;28:3;30:4;33:13; 43:23;46:4;52:3;57:9; 61:14;62:6,7;72:9,17; 74:3,4;80:4 backed (1) 44:17 backfill (1) 54:20 background (1) 21:20 backyard (2) 62:20,21 bad (1) 69:2 band (3) 61:2,3,21 barely (1) 38:18 based (4) 14:7;23:19;39:23; 57:13	11:23 Bell (1) 76:5 Bellagio (17) 8:17;18:10;19:23; 20:18;21:8;22:5;34:8; 37:2;40:5;41:3;42:9, 21;44:1;53:1,3;57:19; 76:21 below (6) 38:21;44:4,12,19,22; 84:11 benefit (1) 61:11 best (2) 63:15;88:11 better (2) 52:8;60:1 beyond (1) 49:7 BH (1) 24:16 BHO (5) 24:15,24;28:4;93:13; 94:22	bought (2) 55:1;57:8 Boulevard (1) 60:18 bowl (19) 11:1;33:4,16;34:13; 38:20;43:13,19,20; 44:10,11,15,15,15,16, 20,23;72:4,4,6 box-like (1) 34:23 boxy (1) 24:17 Brant (2) 36:3,20 break (3) 24:17;35:3;93:11 Bridge (2) 75:25;76:2 briefly (1) 34:18 bring (2) 59:5;72:17 brings (1) 28:3	50:9;54:21;74:7,7; 87:2;92:1 buik (1) 94:7 bundled (1) 6:7 burden (1) 74:15 burdened (1) 68:25 Business (2) 4:16,23 C Cal (3) 89:10,11;96:4 calculating (2) 34:21;35:5 calendar (2) 4:17,18 California (3) 3:1;29:12;67:5 call (9) 4:11;6:20;8:21;9:6,	capable (1) 78:21 card (1) 3:9 cards (3) 79:7;96:25;97:1 care (1) 55:24 carefully (1) 77:8 carried (3) 5:15;8:12;96:22 cars (2) 3:12;37:15 case (52) 6:1,11;7:9;9:1,7,25; 10:2;12:12,23,25;13:2, 7,8;14:14,19;15:8; 17:10;21:7;23:13,14; 24:3,4;26:23;31:10,20, 21;37:9;40:9,17;52:19, 20,25;61:8;64:16;68:7; 69:22,25;70:1,3,3; 78:14;85:23,25;86:8; 88:1,3,15,17;89:8;
back (20) 8:14;10:6;11:4;12:8; 19:6;28:3;30:4;33:13; 43:23;46:4;52:3;57:9; 61:14;62:6,7;72:9,17; 74:3,4;80:4 backed (1) 44:17 backfill (1) 54:20 background (1) 21:20 backyard (2) 62:20,21 bad (1) 69:2 band (3) 61:2,3,21 barely (1) 38:18 based (4) 14:7;23:19;39:23; 57:13 Baseline (9)	11:23 Bell (1) 76:5 Bellagio (17) 8:17;18:10;19:23; 20:18;21:8;22:5;34:8; 37:2;40:5;41:3;42:9, 21;44:1;53:1,3;57:19; 76:21 below (6) 38:21;44:4,12,19,22; 84:11 benefit (1) 61:11 best (2) 63:15;88:11 better (2) 52:8;60:1 beyond (1) 49:7 BH (1) 24:16 BHO (5) 24:15,24;28:4;93:13; 94:22 biased (1)	bought (2) 55:1;57:8 Boulevard (1) 60:18 bowl (19) 11:1;33:4,16;34:13; 38:20;43:13,19,20; 44:10,11,15,15,15,16, 20,23;72:4,4,6 box-like (1) 34:23 boxy (1) 24:17 Brant (2) 36:3,20 break (3) 24:17;35:3;93:11 Bridge (2) 75:25;76:2 briefly (1) 34:18 bring (2) 59:5;72:17 brings (1) 28:3 Brisbois (1)	50:9;54:21;74:7,7; 87:2;92:1 buik (1) 94:7 bundled (1) 6:7 burden (1) 74:15 burdened (1) 68:25 Business (2) 4:16,23 C Cal (3) 89:10,11;96:4 calculating (2) 34:21;35:5 calendar (2) 4:17,18 California (3) 3:1;29:12;67:5 call (9) 4:11;6:20;8:21;9:6, 11;38:20;43:20;44:23;	capable (1) 78:21 card (1) 3:9 cards (3) 79:7;96:25;97:1 care (1) 55:24 carefully (1) 77:8 carried (3) 5:15;8:12;96:22 cars (2) 3:12;37:15 case (52) 6:1,11;7:9;9:1,7,25; 10:2;12:12,23,25;13:2, 7,8;14:14,19;15:8; 17:10;21:7;23:13,14; 24:3,4;26:23;31:10,20, 21;37:9;40:9,17;52:19, 20,25;61:8;64:16;68:7; 69:22,25;70:1,3,3; 78:14;85:23,25;86:8; 88:1,3,15,17;89:8; 90:15,21;91:15
back (20) 8:14;10:6;11:4;12:8; 19:6;28:3;30:4;33:13; 43:23;46:4;52:3;57:9; 61:14;62:6,7;72:9,17; 74:3,4;80:4 backed (1) 44:17 backfill (1) 54:20 background (1) 21:20 backyard (2) 62:20,21 bad (1) 69:2 band (3) 61:2,3,21 barely (1) 38:18 based (4) 14:7;23:19;39:23; 57:13 Baseline (9) 24:15;32:15;34:17,	11:23 Bell (1) 76:5 Bellagio (17) 8:17;18:10;19:23; 20:18;21:8;22:5;34:8; 37:2;40:5;41:3;42:9, 21;44:1;53:1,3;57:19; 76:21 below (6) 38:21;44:4,12,19,22; 84:11 benefit (1) 61:11 best (2) 63:15;88:11 better (2) 52:8;60:1 beyond (1) 49:7 BH (1) 24:16 BHO (5) 24:15,24;28:4;93:13; 94:22 biased (1) 69:16	bought (2) 55:1;57:8 Boulevard (1) 60:18 bowl (19) 11:1;33:4,16;34:13; 38:20;43:13,19,20; 44:10,11,15,15,15,16, 20,23;72:4,4,6 box-like (1) 34:23 boxy (1) 24:17 Brant (2) 36:3,20 break (3) 24:17;35:3;93:11 Bridge (2) 75:25;76:2 briefly (1) 34:18 bring (2) 59:5;72:17 brings (1) 28:3 Brisbois (1) 36:21	50:9;54:21;74:7,7; 87:2;92:1 buik (1) 94:7 bundled (1) 6:7 burden (1) 74:15 burdened (1) 68:25 Business (2) 4:16,23 C Cal (3) 89:10,11;96:4 calculating (2) 34:21;35:5 calendar (2) 4:17,18 California (3) 3:1;29:12;67:5 call (9) 4:11;6:20;8:21;9:6, 11;38:20;43:20;44:23; 69:22	capable (1) 78:21 card (1) 3:9 cards (3) 79:7;96:25;97:1 care (1) 55:24 carefully (1) 77:8 carried (3) 5:15;8:12;96:22 cars (2) 3:12;37:15 case (52) 6:1,11;7:9;9:1,7,25; 10:2;12:12,23,25;13:2, 7,8;14:14,19;15:8; 17:10;21:7;23:13,14; 24:3,4;26:23;31:10,20, 21;37:9;40:9,17;52:19, 20,25;61:8;64:16;68:7; 69:22,25;70:1,3,3; 78:14;85:23,25;86:8; 88:1,3,15,17;89:8; 90:15,21;91:15 cases (6)
back (20) 8:14;10:6;11:4;12:8; 19:6;28:3;30:4;33:13; 43:23;46:4;52:3;57:9; 61:14;62:6,7;72:9,17; 74:3,4;80:4 backed (1) 44:17 backfill (1) 54:20 background (1) 21:20 background (2) 62:20,21 bad (1) 69:2 band (3) 61:2,3,21 barely (1) 38:18 based (4) 14:7;23:19;39:23; 57:13 Baseline (9) 24:15;32:15;34:17, 20;73:9;80:1;84:18,20;	11:23 Bell (1) 76:5 Bellagio (17) 8:17;18:10;19:23; 20:18;21:8;22:5;34:8; 37:2;40:5;41:3;42:9, 21;44:1;53:1,3;57:19; 76:21 below (6) 38:21;44:4,12,19,22; 84:11 benefit (1) 61:11 best (2) 63:15;88:11 better (2) 52:8;60:1 beyond (1) 49:7 BH (1) 24:16 BHO (5) 24:15,24;28:4;93:13; 94:22 biased (1) 69:16 big (10)	bought (2) 55:1;57:8 Boulevard (1) 60:18 bowl (19) 11:1;33:4,16;34:13; 38:20;43:13,19,20; 44:10,11,15,15,15,16, 20,23;72:4,4,6 box-like (1) 34:23 boxy (1) 24:17 Brant (2) 36:3,20 break (3) 24:17;35:3;93:11 Bridge (2) 75:25;76:2 briefly (1) 34:18 bring (2) 59:5;72:17 brings (1) 28:3 Brisbois (1) 36:21 brother (1)	50:9;54:21;74:7,7; 87:2;92:1 buik (1) 94:7 bundled (1) 6:7 burden (1) 74:15 burdened (1) 68:25 Business (2) 4:16,23 C Cal (3) 89:10,11;96:4 calculating (2) 34:21;35:5 calendar (2) 4:17,18 California (3) 3:1;29:12;67:5 call (9) 4:11;6:20;8:21;9:6, 11;38:20;43:20;44:23; 69:22 called (1)	capable (1) 78:21 card (1) 3:9 cards (3) 79:7;96:25;97:1 care (1) 55:24 carefully (1) 77:8 carried (3) 5:15;8:12;96:22 cars (2) 3:12;37:15 case (52) 6:1,11;7:9;9:1,7,25; 10:2;12:12,23,25;13:2, 7,8;14:14,19;15:8; 17:10;21:7;23:13,14; 24:3,4;26:23;31:10,20, 21;37:9;40:9,17;52:19, 20,25;61:8;64:16;68:7; 69:22,25;70:1,3,3; 78:14;85:23,25;86:8; 88:1,3,15,17;89:8; 90:15,21;91:15 cases (6) 5:18;14:15;18:9;
back (20) 8:14;10:6;11:4;12:8; 19:6;28:3;30:4;33:13; 43:23;46:4;52:3;57:9; 61:14;62:6,7;72:9,17; 74:3,4;80:4 backed (1) 44:17 backfill (1) 54:20 background (1) 21:20 background (2) 62:20,21 bad (1) 69:2 band (3) 61:2,3,21 barely (1) 38:18 based (4) 14:7;23:19;39:23; 57:13 Baseline (9) 24:15;32:15;34:17, 20;73:9;80:1;84:18,20; 93:8	11:23 Bell (1) 76:5 Bellagio (17) 8:17;18:10;19:23; 20:18;21:8;22:5;34:8; 37:2;40:5;41:3;42:9, 21;44:1;53:1,3;57:19; 76:21 below (6) 38:21;44:4,12,19,22; 84:11 benefit (1) 61:11 best (2) 63:15;88:11 better (2) 52:8;60:1 beyond (1) 49:7 BH (1) 24:16 BHO (5) 24:15,24;28:4;93:13; 94:22 biased (1) 69:16 big (10) 23:9,9;53:21;54:21;	bought (2) 55:1;57:8 Boulevard (1) 60:18 bowl (19) 11:1;33:4,16;34:13; 38:20;43:13,19,20; 44:10,11,15,15,15,16, 20,23;72:4,4,6 box-like (1) 34:23 boxy (1) 24:17 Brant (2) 36:3,20 break (3) 24:17;35:3;93:11 Bridge (2) 75:25;76:2 briefly (1) 34:18 bring (2) 59:5;72:17 brings (1) 28:3 Brisbois (1) 36:21 brother (1) 41:15	50:9;54:21;74:7,7; 87:2;92:1 buik (1) 94:7 bundled (1) 6:7 burden (1) 74:15 burdened (1) 68:25 Business (2) 4:16,23 C Cal (3) 89:10,11;96:4 calculating (2) 34:21;35:5 calendar (2) 4:17,18 California (3) 3:1;29:12;67:5 call (9) 4:11;6:20;8:21;9:6, 11;38:20;43:20;44:23; 69:22 called (1) 76:1	capable (1) 78:21 card (1) 3:9 cards (3) 79:7;96:25;97:1 care (1) 55:24 carefully (1) 77:8 carried (3) 5:15;8:12;96:22 cars (2) 3:12;37:15 case (52) 6:1,11;7:9;9:1,7,25; 10:2;12:12,23,25;13:2, 7,8;14:14,19;15:8; 17:10;21:7;23:13,14; 24:3,4;26:23;31:10,20, 21;37:9;40:9,17;52:19, 20,25;61:8;64:16;68:7; 69:22,25;70:1,3,3; 78:14;85:23,25;86:8; 88:1,3,15,17;89:8; 90:15,21;91:15 cases (6) 5:18;14:15;18:9; 31:8;67:18;96:7
back (20) 8:14;10:6;11:4;12:8; 19:6;28:3;30:4;33:13; 43:23;46:4;52:3;57:9; 61:14;62:6,7;72:9,17; 74:3,4;80:4 backed (1) 44:17 backfill (1) 54:20 background (1) 21:20 background (2) 62:20,21 bad (1) 69:2 band (3) 61:2,3,21 barely (1) 38:18 based (4) 14:7;23:19;39:23; 57:13 Baseline (9) 24:15;32:15;34:17, 20;73:9;80:1;84:18,20;	11:23 Bell (1) 76:5 Bellagio (17) 8:17;18:10;19:23; 20:18;21:8;22:5;34:8; 37:2;40:5;41:3;42:9, 21;44:1;53:1,3;57:19; 76:21 below (6) 38:21;44:4,12,19,22; 84:11 benefit (1) 61:11 best (2) 63:15;88:11 better (2) 52:8;60:1 beyond (1) 49:7 BH (1) 24:16 BHO (5) 24:15,24;28:4;93:13; 94:22 biased (1) 69:16 big (10)	bought (2) 55:1;57:8 Boulevard (1) 60:18 bowl (19) 11:1;33:4,16;34:13; 38:20;43:13,19,20; 44:10,11,15,15,15,16, 20,23;72:4,4,6 box-like (1) 34:23 boxy (1) 24:17 Brant (2) 36:3,20 break (3) 24:17;35:3;93:11 Bridge (2) 75:25;76:2 briefly (1) 34:18 bring (2) 59:5;72:17 brings (1) 28:3 Brisbois (1) 36:21 brother (1)	50:9;54:21;74:7,7; 87:2;92:1 buik (1) 94:7 bundled (1) 6:7 burden (1) 74:15 burdened (1) 68:25 Business (2) 4:16,23 C Cal (3) 89:10,11;96:4 calculating (2) 34:21;35:5 calendar (2) 4:17,18 California (3) 3:1;29:12;67:5 call (9) 4:11;6:20;8:21;9:6, 11;38:20;43:20;44:23; 69:22 called (1)	capable (1) 78:21 card (1) 3:9 cards (3) 79:7;96:25;97:1 care (1) 55:24 carefully (1) 77:8 carried (3) 5:15;8:12;96:22 cars (2) 3:12;37:15 case (52) 6:1,11;7:9;9:1,7,25; 10:2;12:12,23,25;13:2, 7,8;14:14,19;15:8; 17:10;21:7;23:13,14; 24:3,4;26:23;31:10,20, 21;37:9;40:9,17;52:19, 20,25;61:8;64:16;68:7; 69:22,25;70:1,3,3; 78:14;85:23,25;86:8; 88:1,3,15,17;89:8; 90:15,21;91:15 cases (6) 5:18;14:15;18:9;

bigger (1)

basic (1)

came (2)

Brown (2)

causing (2)

REGULAR MEETING
29:16;48:13
CD5 (1)
18:25
cell (1)
29:13
Century (1)
29:11
CEQA (2)
5:19;8:15
certain (2)
40:23;57:2
certainly (3)
44:5;75:3;78:12
cetera (3)
72:10,10;83:12
chairman (1)
76:8
change (6)
7:25;19:13;43:10,11;
56:18;78:3
changed (4)
13:10,17;20:9;25:13
changes (3)
4:17;26:9;86:11
channel (2)
45:22,22
character (2)
87:22;90:23
characteristics (4)
67:16;94:3,5,21
characterize (1)
55;22
characterized (2)
37:8;46:24
choice (2)
54:23;68:17
chopped (1)
33:13
chose (1)
68:19
circulation (1)
64:15
circumstance (4)
11:25;12:14;27:3;
63:25
circumstances (14)
10:9;13:19;23:21;
26:1,3,7;27:11;90:15,
16,21;91:6,19,22;95:6
cite (3)
26:7;53:14;96:3
cited (6)
31:24;32:1,2;91:9;
92:19;95:17
citing (1)
67:18
City (26)
3:20;19:2,5;20:16,
21;21:17;31:11,16;
34:19,20;35:22;40:24; 41:10,22;50:16,22;
41:10,22;50:16,22;
68:10,13;69:3;70:8,25;
76:9;80:12;86:22;89:9,

10	16;32:20;
city-created (1)	35:11,20,
68:11	14,19;38:
clear (10)	20;43:4,1:
31:10;34:16;37:3;	45:5,23;4
44:9;46:23;51:2;56:25;	15,17,19,
58:8;80:10;81:8	49:1,22,2
clearly (1)	51:5,14,1
78:17	18,22;53:
client (10)	17,18;55:
30:21;42:21;46:6,16;	57:5,12;5
47:11;48:21,22;49:17;	59:2,4,4,7
54:18;56:7	16,20,22,2
client's (5)	10,12,15,
38:16;48:9,23;53:25;	61:9,10;6
54:2	63:12,12,
close (1)	9,17;65:2
85:7	25;66:3,6
closest (1)	20,23;69:
39;8	73:14,17,
Code (2)	74:5,13,1
61:19;88:21	21;77:11;
cognizance (1) 88:2	80:22,24;
coming (2)	85:4,9,9,2
4:6;76:20	9,10,11;8 88:6,7;96
comment (7)	16,17,18,
41:4;52:3;73:4;	97:2
77:13;96:24,24;97:1	Commission
comments (1)	3:13;67:6
76:15	Committee
commercial-like (1)	89:8;90:2
76:23	common (1)
Commission (28)	62:23
3:6;4:16,21,22;6:12;	communitie
10:15;12:24;13:1;	34:24
14:25;15:3;29:9;30:18;	
	community
33:8,17,22;34:16;	68:5;76:1
33:8,17,22;34:16; 51:10;52:1;54:25;56:3;	68:5;76:1 94:2,6,9
33:8,17,22;34:16; 51:10;52:1;54:25;56:3; 68:1,12;69:13;76:7,8;	68:5;76:1 94:2,6,9 companion
33:8,17,22;34:16; 51:10;52:1;54:25;56:3; 68:1,12;69:13;76:7,8; 77:8;79:20,22	68:5;76:1 94:2,6,9 companion 5:25;18:8
33:8,17,22;34:16; 51:10;52:1;54:25;56:3; 68:1,12;69:13;76:7,8; 77:8;79:20,22 COMMISSIONER (310)	68:5;76:1 94:2,6,9 companion 5:25;18:8 88:3
33:8,17,22;34:16; 51:10;52:1;54:25;56:3; 68:1,12;69:13;76:7,8; 77:8;79:20,22 COMMISSIONER (310) 3:4,14,15,15,16;4:2,	68:5;76:1 94:2,6,9 companion 5:25;18:8 88:3 comparable
33:8,17,22;34:16; 51:10;52:1;54:25;56:3; 68:1,12;69:13;76:7,8; 77:8;79:20,22 COMMISSIONER (310) 3:4,14,15,15,16;4:2, 4,8,10,15,20,25,25;5:2,	68:5;76:1 94:2,6,9 companion 5:25;18:8 88:3 comparable 77:16;89:
33:8,17,22;34:16; 51:10;52:1;54:25;56:3; 68:1,12;69:13;76:7,8; 77:8;79:20,22 COMMISSIONER (310) 3:4,14,15,15,16;4:2,	68:5;76:1 94:2,6,9 companion 5:25;18:8 88:3 comparable
33:8,17,22;34:16; 51:10;52:1;54:25;56:3; 68:1,12;69:13;76:7,8; 77:8;79:20,22 COMMISSIONER (310) 3:4,14,15,15,16;4:2, 4,8,10,15,20,25,25;5:2, 2,4,5,6,7,8,9,11,12,13, 16;6:8,14,16,18,22,24; 7:1,5,7,8,11,12,14,15,	68:5;76:1 94:2,6,9 companion 5:25;18:8 88:3 comparable 77:16;89: 23;92:17;
33:8,17,22;34:16; 51:10;52:1;54:25;56:3; 68:1,12;69:13;76:7,8; 77:8;79:20,22 COMMISSIONER (310) 3:4,14,15,15,16;4:2, 4,8,10,15,20,25,25;5:2, 2,4,5,6,7,8,9,11,12,13, 16;6:8,14,16,18,22,24; 7:1,5,7,8,11,12,14,15, 16,17,22,23,24;8:2,2,4,	68:5;76:1 94:2,6,9 companion 5:25;18:8 88:3 comparable 77:16;89: 23;92:17; compatibili 94:11 compatible
33:8,17,22;34:16; 51:10;52:1;54:25;56:3; 68:1,12;69:13;76:7,8; 77:8;79:20,22 COMMISSIONER (310) 3:4,14,15,15,16;4:2, 4,8,10,15,20,25,25;5:2, 2,4,5,6,7,8,9,11,12,13, 16;6:8,14,16,18,22,24; 7:1,5,7,8,11,12,14,15, 16,17,22,23,24;8:2,2,4, 5,6,7,8,9,10,11,13,18;	68:5;76:1 94:2,6,9 companion 5:25;18:8 88:3 comparable 77:16;89: 23;92:17; compatibili 94:11 compatible 94:17
33:8,17,22;34:16; 51:10;52:1;54:25;56:3; 68:1,12;69:13;76:7,8; 77:8;79:20,22 COMMISSIONER (310) 3:4,14,15,15,16;4:2, 4,8,10,15,20,25,25;5:2, 2,4,5,6,7,8,9,11,12,13, 16;6:8,14,16,18,22,24; 7:1,5,7,8,11,12,14,15, 16,17,22,23,24;8:2,2,4, 5,6,7,8,9,10,11,13,18; 9:2,4,4,10,10,15;12:18,	68:5;76:1 94:2,6,9 companion 5:25;18:8 88:3 comparable 77:16;89: 23;92:17; compatibili 94:11 compatible 94:17 competent
33:8,17,22;34:16; 51:10;52:1;54:25;56:3; 68:1,12;69:13;76:7,8; 77:8;79:20,22 COMMISSIONER (310) 3:4,14,15,15,16;4:2, 4,8,10,15,20,25,25;5:2, 2,4,5,6,7,8,9,11,12,13, 16;6:8,14,16,18,22,24; 7:1,5,7,8,11,12,14,15, 16,17,22,23,24;8:2,2,4, 5,6,7,8,9,10,11,13,18; 9:2,4,4,10,10,15;12:18, 18,19,19,22;14:2,4,4,	68:5;76:1 94:2,6,9 companion 5:25;18:8 88:3 comparable 77:16;89: 23;92:17; compatibili 94:11 compatible 94:17 competent 90:8
33:8,17,22;34:16; 51:10;52:1;54:25;56:3; 68:1,12;69:13;76:7,8; 77:8;79:20,22 COMMISSIONER (310) 3:4,14,15,15,16;4:2, 4,8,10,15,20,25,25;5:2, 2,4,5,6,7,8,9,11,12,13, 16;6:8,14,16,18,22,24; 7:1,5,7,8,11,12,14,15, 16,17,22,23,24;8:2,2,4, 5,6,7,8,9,10,11,13,18; 9:2,4,4,10,10,15;12:18, 18,19,19,22;14:2,4,4, 11;15:10,13,19,24;	68:5;76:1 94:2,6,9 companion 5:25;18:8 88:3 comparable 77:16;89: 23;92:17; compatibili 94:11 compatible 94:17 competent 90:8 complainin
33:8,17,22;34:16; 51:10;52:1;54:25;56:3; 68:1,12;69:13;76:7,8; 77:8;79:20,22 COMMISSIONER (310) 3:4,14,15,15,16;4:2, 4,8,10,15,20,25,25;5:2, 2,4,5,6,7,8,9,11,12,13, 16;6:8,14,16,18,22,24; 7:1,5,7,8,11,12,14,15, 16,17,22,23,24;8:2,2,4, 5,6,7,8,9,10,11,13,18; 9:2,4,4,10,10,15;12:18, 18,19,19,22;14:2,4,4, 11;15:10,13,19,24; 16:2,5,8,15,17,20,24,	68:5;76:1 94:2,6,9 companion 5:25;18:8 88:3 comparable 77:16;89: 23;92:17; compatibili 94:11 compatible 94:17 competent 90:8 complainin 51:17
33:8,17,22;34:16; 51:10;52:1;54:25;56:3; 68:1,12;69:13;76:7,8; 77:8;79:20,22 COMMISSIONER (310) 3:4,14,15,15,16;4:2, 4,8,10,15,20,25,25;5:2, 2,4,5,6,7,8,9,11,12,13, 16;6:8,14,16,18,22,24; 7:1,5,7,8,11,12,14,15, 16,17,22,23,24;8:2,2,4, 5,6,7,8,9,10,11,13,18; 9:2,4,4,10,10,15;12:18, 18,19,19,22;14:2,4,4, 11;15:10,13,19,24; 16:2,5,8,15,17,20,24, 25,25;17:9,19,20,25;	68:5;76:1 94:2,6,9 companion 5:25;18:8 88:3 comparable 77:16;89: 23;92:17; compatibili 94:11 compatible 94:17 competent 90:8 complainin 51:17 complaints
33:8,17,22;34:16; 51:10;52:1;54:25;56:3; 68:1,12;69:13;76:7,8; 77:8;79:20,22 COMMISSIONER (310) 3:4,14,15,15,16;4:2, 4,8,10,15,20,25,25;5:2, 2,4,5,6,7,8,9,11,12,13, 16;6:8,14,16,18,22,24; 7:1,5,7,8,11,12,14,15, 16,17,22,23,24;8:2,2,4, 5,6,7,8,9,10,11,13,18; 9:2,4,4,10,10,15;12:18, 18,19,19,22;14:2,44, 11;15:10,13,19,24; 16:2,5,8,15,17,20,24, 25,25;17:9,19,20,25; 18:1,2,2,7,15,18,25;	68:5;76:1 94:2,6,9 companion 5:25;18:8 88:3 comparable 77:16;89: 23;92:17; compatibili 94:11 compatible 94:17 competent 90:8 complainin 51:17 complaints 51:20
33:8,17,22;34:16; 51:10;52:1;54:25;56:3; 68:1,12;69:13;76:7,8; 77:8;79:20,22 COMMISSIONER (310) 3:4,14,15,15,16;4:2, 4,8,10,15,20,25,25;5:2, 2,4,5,6,7,8,9,11,12,13, 16;6:8,14,16,18,22,24; 7:1,5,7,8,11,12,14,15, 16,17,22,23,24;8:2,2,4, 5,6,7,8,9,10,11,13,18; 9:2,4,4,10,10,15;12:18, 18,19,19,22;14:2,44, 11;15:10,13,19,24; 16:2,5,8,15,17,20,24, 25,25;17:9,19,20,25; 18:1,2,2,7,15,18,25; 19:4,8,12,16,21,25;	68:5;76:1 94:2,6,9 companion 5:25;18:8 88:3 comparable 77:16;89: 23;92:17; compatibili 94:11 compatible 94:17 competent 90:8 complainin 51:17 complaints 51:20 completely
33:8,17,22;34:16; 51:10;52:1;54:25;56:3; 68:1,12;69:13;76:7,8; 77:8;79:20,22 COMMISSIONER (310) 3:4,14,15,15,16;4:2, 4,8,10,15,20,25,25;5:2, 2,4,5,6,7,8,9,11,12,13, 16;6:8,14,16,18,22,24; 7:1,5,7,8,11,12,14,15, 16,17,22,23,24;8:2,2,4, 5,6,7,8,9,10,11,13,18; 9:2,4,4,10,10,15;12:18, 18,19,19,22;14:2,44, 11;15:10,13,19,24; 16:2,5,8,15,17,20,24, 25,25;17:9,19,20,25; 18:1,2,2,7,15,18,25; 19:4,8,12,16,21,25; 20:5,6,11,14,20;21:2,6,	68:5;76:1 94:2,6,9 companion 5:25;18:8 88:3 comparable 77:16;89: 23;92:17; compatibili 94:11 compatible 94:17 competent 90:8 complainin 51:17 complaints 51:20 completely 33:24;70:
33:8,17,22;34:16; 51:10;52:1;54:25;56:3; 68:1,12;69:13;76:7,8; 77:8;79:20,22 COMMISSIONER (310) 3:4,14,15,15,16;4:2, 4,8,10,15,20,25,25;5:2, 2,4,5,6,7,8,9,11,12,13, 16;6:8,14,16,18,22,24; 7:1,5,7,8,11,12,14,15, 16,17,22,23,24;8:2,2,4, 5,6,7,8,9,10,11,13,18; 9:2,4,4,10,10,15;12:18, 18,19,19,22;14:2,44, 11;15:10,13,19,24; 16:2,5,8,15,17,20,24, 25,25;17:9,19,20,25; 18:1,2,2,7,15,18,25; 19:4,8,12,16,21,25; 20:5,6,11,14,20;21:2,6, 11,14,21;22:8,15,22,	68:5;76:1 94:2,6,9 companion 5:25;18:8 88:3 comparable 77:16;89: 23;92:17; compatibili 94:11 compatible 94:17 competent 90:8 complainin 51:17 complaints 51:20 completely 33:24;70: 71:9
33:8,17,22;34:16; 51:10;52:1;54:25;56:3; 68:1,12;69:13;76:7,8; 77:8;79:20,22 COMMISSIONER (310) 3:4,14,15,15,16;4:2, 4,8,10,15,20,25,25;5:2, 2,4,5,6,7,8,9,11,12,13, 16;6:8,14,16,18,22,24; 7:1,5,7,8,11,12,14,15, 16,17,22,23,24;8:2,2,4, 5,6,7,8,9,10,11,13,18; 9:2,4,4,10,10,15;12:18, 18,19,19,22;14:2,44, 11;15:10,13,19,24; 16:2,5,8,15,17,20,24, 25,25;17:9,19,20,25; 18:1,2,2,7,15,18,25; 19:4,8,12,16,21,25; 20:5,6,11,14,20;21:2,6, 11,14,21;22:8,15,22, 25;23:4;24:1,12,20;	68:5;76:1 94:2,6,9 companion 5:25;18:8 88:3 comparable 77:16;89: 23;92:17; compatibili 94:11 compatible 94:17 competent 90:8 complainin 51:17 complaints 51:20 completely 33:24;70: 71:9 compliance
33:8,17,22;34:16; 51:10;52:1;54:25;56:3; 68:1,12;69:13;76:7,8; 77:8;79:20,22 COMMISSIONER (310) 3:4,14,15,15,16;4:2, 4,8,10,15,20,25,25;5:2, 2,4,5,6,7,8,9,11,12,13, 16;6:8,14,16,18,22,24; 7:1,5,7,8,11,12,14,15, 16,17,22,23,24;8:2,2,4, 5,6,7,8,9,10,11,13,18; 9:2,4,4,10,10,15;12:18, 18,19,19,22;14:2,4,4, 11;15:10,13,19,24; 16:2,5,8,15,17,20,24, 25,25;17:9,19,20,25; 18:1,2,2,7,15,18,25; 19:4,8,12,16,21,25; 20:5,6,11,14,20;21:2,6, 11,14,21;22:8,15,22, 25;23:4;24:1,12,20; 25:9,11,18,24;26:13,	68:5;76:1 94:2,6,9 companion 5:25;18:8 88:3 comparable 77:16;89: 23;92:17; compatibili 94:11 compatible 94:17 competent 90:8 complainin 51:17 complaints 51:20 completely 33:24;70: 71:9 compliance 28:8,11
33:8,17,22;34:16; 51:10;52:1;54:25;56:3; 68:1,12;69:13;76:7,8; 77:8;79:20,22 COMMISSIONER (310) 3:4,14,15,15,16;4:2, 4,8,10,15,20,25,25;5:2, 2,4,5,6,7,8,9,11,12,13, 16;6:8,14,16,18,22,24; 7:1,5,7,8,11,12,14,15, 16,17,22,23,24;8:2,2,4, 5,6,7,8,9,10,11,13,18; 9:2,4,4,10,10,15;12:18, 18,19,19,22;14:2,44, 11;15:10,13,19,24; 16:2,5,8,15,17,20,24, 25,25;17:9,19,20,25; 18:1,2,2,7,15,18,25; 19:4,8,12,16,21,25; 20:5,6,11,14,20;21:2,6, 11,14,21;22:8,15,22, 25;23:4;24:1,12,20;	68:5;76:1 94:2,6,9 companion 5:25;18:8 88:3 comparable 77:16;89: 23;92:17; compatibili 94:11 compatible 94:17 competent 90:8 complainin 51:17 complaints 51:20 completely 33:24;70: 71:9 compliance 28:8,11 compliment
33:8,17,22;34:16; 51:10;52:1;54:25;56:3; 68:1,12;69:13;76:7,8; 77:8;79:20,22 COMMISSIONER (310) 3:4,14,15,15,16;4:2, 4,8,10,15,20,25,25;5:2, 2,4,5,6,7,8,9,11,12,13, 16;6:8,14,16,18,22,24; 7:1,5,7,8,11,12,14,15, 16,17,22,23,24;8:2,2,4, 5,6,7,8,9,10,11,13,18; 9:2,4,4,10,10,15;12:18, 18,19,19,22;14:2,4,4, 11;15:10,13,19,24; 16:2,5,8,15,17,20,24, 25,25;17:9,19,20,25; 18:1,2,2,7,15,18,25; 19:4,8,12,16,21,25; 20:5,6,11,14,20;21:2,6, 11,14,21;22:8,15,22, 25;23:4;24:1,12,20; 25:9,11,18,24;26:13, 17,21;27:2,6,21;28:19,	68:5;76:1 94:2,6,9 companion 5:25;18:8 88:3 comparable 77:16;89: 23;92:17; compatibili 94:11 compatible 94:17 competent 90:8 complainin 51:17 complaints 51:20 completely 33:24;70: 71:9 compliance 28:8,11

IISSION	
16;32:20;33:20,25; 35:11,20,24;36:7,11, 14,19;38:1,4;42:6,6,17, 20;43:4,12;44:9,14,25; 45:5,23;46:3,14;47:8, 15,17,19,20,22;48:20; 49:1,22,22;50:1,8,11; 51:5,14,15,20;52:18, 18,22;53:2,6,10;54:1,1, 17,18;55:23;56:2,17; 57:5,12;58:6,7,7,10,22; 59:2,4,4,7,9,10,12,13, 16,20,22,24;60:2,4,8,9, 10,12,15,20,20,24; 61:9,10;62:3,4,5,6,16; 63:12,12,16,24;64:1,6, 9,17;65:2,8,11,14,19, 25;66:3,6,9,12,15,19, 20,23;69:6,9;71:14; 73:14,17,17,21,25; 74:5,13,14,22;75:18, 21;77:11;79:1,3,4,11; 80:22,24;83:6,9,13; 85:4,9,9,22,22;86:1,9, 9,10,11;87:7,7,25,25; 88:6,7;96:12,12,14,15, 16,17,18,19,20,21,23; 97:2	
Commissioners (2) 3:13;67:6	١
Committee (2)	•
89:8;90:20 common (1)	
62:23 communities (1)	•
34:24 community (7)	
68:5;76:15,22;77:1; 94:2,6,9	١,
companion (4) 5:25;18:8;69:22; 88:3	,
comparable (6)	١,
77:16;89:17;90:10, 23;92:17;95:8	١,
compatibility (1) 94:11	١.
compatible (1) 94:17	١.
competent (1)	'
90:8 complaining (1)	
51:17 complaints (1)	ľ
51:20 completely (5)	•
33:24;70;4,20,24; 71:9	
compliance (2) 28:8,11	١,
compliment (2)	١

```
10:18;32:14;71:1
complying (1)
  75:3
compromise (1)
  68:23
concern (1)
  16:11
concerned (2)
  52:2;76:20
concerns (1)
  88:9
concession (1)
  70:15
concisely (1)
  76:17
conclude (1)
  35:10
condition (3)
  10:17,18;68:1
conditions (5)
  15:13,21;55:8;84:14;
  86:12
conflicting (1)
  3:24
confused (1)
  50:4
consideration (2)
  55:18;73:3
considering (1)
  77:8
consistent (9)
  24:6,14;28:8;45:2,
  19;52:6;65:22;89:6;
  96:10
consistently (1)
  70:22
constituents (1)
  51:24
constitutes (1)
  63:9
constrain (1)
  83:2
constrained (2)
  48:11;63:20
constraint (2)
  81:15;82:7
constraints (3)
  11:20;47:4;53:21
construct (1)
  11:17
construction (3)
  13:13;14:20;15:20
consultant (1)
  36:24
contextually (2)
  63:10;66:1
contiguous (1)
  13:25
continue (2)
  6:23;7:8
continued (3)
  3:18;5:22;7:3
contradicted (1)
```

January 15, 2014
22:23
contrast (1)
35:1
convey (2)
3:25;75:1
Copa (3)
38:15;48:14;52:7
copy (1)
35:22
corner (2)
34:7,8
correctly (1)
36:3
corridor (1)
4:7
Council (16)
16:10;19:2,5,18;
20:4,16,21;21:17;
31:16;34:19,20;55:25;
70:8,25;71:7;85:11
councilman (3)
76:9;78:5,10
councilman's (1)
85:11
Councilmember (6)
31:23;70:24;78:8;
79:13;80:7;86:2
Counsel (3)
48:21;70:18;79:2
Counselor (1)
51:14
count (1)
30:12
couple (5)
8:19;17:1;54:6;81:4;
88:13
course (5) 28:15;48:2,6;58:19;
26.13,46.2,0,36.19, 66:12
Court (9)
31:14,14;40:18;
62:20;64:11,12,19;
70:11;88:2
courts (2)
47:3; 7 0:21
cover (1)
53:15
coverage (2)
61:18,20
covered (3)
27:7;28:24;37:7
covering (1)
56:15
create (7)
41:20;43:18;72:12,
12,13,19;93:3
created (2)
21:7;52:19
creation (1) 43:14
creek (16)
10:10,12;11:4,10,18;
12:10,12;14:9;15:14;
.~,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,

71:22;72:1

		1		
16:12,12;26:8;32:3;	definitely (1)	72:17	discretion (4)	doubt (3)
79:25;80:8;91:5	79:14	detrimental (4)	30:19;31:17;35:18;	43:16,17;48:21
Crest (1)	degree (1)	27:25;92:21,22,23	49:13	down (12)
94:2	58:11	develop (1)	discretionary (6)	11:3;16:13;35:1,8;
Crestline (1)	deja (1)	76:11	48:3;49:11,15;58:5;	39:4;53:10;54:8;75:11,
92:11	12:23	developed (2)	70:18,20	
criteria (1)	deliberations (1)	21:16;76:5		15;79:18,22;81:6
77:3	85:8	1	discuss (1)	drew (1)
		developer (1)	66:7	16:21
erux (1)	demonstrated (2)	13:3	discussed (1)	drive (1)
24:1	91:23,25	developers (2)	79:18	75:15
cubic (2)	denial (6)	34:22;69:2	discussion (4)	drives (1)
84:3,3	18:23;19:13;21:10;	developing (2)	8:25;9:7;83:24;	75:11
current (5)	22:25;70:5;89:15	23:23;81:22	87:17	driveway (1)
15:15,15;34:21;78:9,	denied (13)	development (4)	dispute (1)	72:12
10	9:25;10:1;18:19,21,	28:9;35:9;94:11,12	40:25	driving (2)
currently (2)	22;27:12;42:1;67:25;	Diefenderfer (1)	distance (1)	37:14;52:9
6:1;21:15	70:4,6;71:5,10;91:21	4:12	67:14	dropped (1)
cut (3)	dense (3)	difference (8)	distinct (1)	14:22
10:19;11:13;84:3	43:23;44:23;51:11	20:6;30:7;32:1;	90:23	drove (1)
	density (2)	50:20;54:6,9;70:1,2	distinguished (2)	58:15
D	6:1,5	differences (2)	85:17;86:2	Due (2)
	deny (6)	13:1;31:21	distinguishes (1)	68:14;89:6
Dan (7)	19:14;23:17;71:11;	different (18)	70:3	during (3)
71:15;75:19,19,20,	73:11;82:12;83:3	6:4;13:5;14:21;	distress (1)	15:3;61:4,23
23,23;77:13	Department (9)	20:22,23;21:3;23:17;	48:13	Dveirin (62)
David (2)	3:20;6:22;32;9,16,			
		31:20;35:13;37:4;40:7;	district (1)	36:3,3,4,18,20,20;
22:17;89:20	17;50:16;61:6,23;86:3	41:7;42:9,24;50:16;	85:11	38:3,6;42:14,19,23;
day (3)	departmental (1)	81:24;92:11,12	document (2)	43:8,15;44:12,16;45:4,
18:11;37:15;56:23	3:19	differential (8)	32:8;77:24	7,25;46:9,22;47:13,16,
days (1)	deputy (2)	54:10,13,16;58:16,	documents (3)	18,21,23;48:25;49:6,
88:13	71:4,4	20;72:22,23;82:9	33:22;56:13,20	25;50:7,10,14;51:6,19;
de (3)	described (3)	differentials (2)	Doheny (1)	52:4,21,24;53:5,7,12;
38:15;48:14;52:7	10:25;11:1;72:3	72:25;73:2	76:4	54:4;55:21;56:1,12,18;
deal (1)	deserves (1)	differentiate (1)	done (13)	57:7,13;58:9,13,25;
83:1	81:11	85:24	37:6;41:24;42:12,15;	59:3,25;60:3,5,11,14;
dealt (1)	design (18)	differently (2)	46:10;50:24;52:13,14;	66:17,22;81:2,3;84:5,
57:17	23:8,17;35:3;55:2;	13:21;86:8	55:4;57:14;83:25;	10,12
debate (1)	57:3;62:10;63:17;64:1,	difficult (6)	87:13;92:13	
24:24	7,16;65:1,5,11,15;	33:21;39:13;55:13;	Donovan (109)	${f E}$
December (2)	90:10;94:12;95:8;96:5	81:16;82:11;87:6	3:15;5:2,2,6,7;8:2,2,	
4:24;5:1	designed (7)	difficulties (8)	6,7,18;18:2,2,7,15,18,	easement (1)
decide (1)	11:12;55:13,16;	21:25;23:6,11;27:11;	25;19:4,8,12,16,21,25;	10:12
21:7	61:25;72:19;86:16;	88:24;89:13;91:20,24	20:5,11,14,20;21:2,6,	easier (1)
decision (8)	95:15	difficulty (2)	11,14,21;22:8,15,22,	55:20
20:15;21:10;27:5;	designing (4)	23:5;58:21	25;23;4;24;1,12,20;	
30:20;31:13;68:2;70:8;	61:10;89:14,16;91:7			East (5)
		diligence (1)	25:9,11,18,24;26:13,	29:11;38:22;43:24;
71:3	designs (1)	68:14	17,21;27:2,6,21;28:19,	44:18;54:10
decision-making (1)	62;8	DIR-2012-1112-DB (1)	24;32:20;42:6,6,17,20;	easterly (1)
52:2	desire (1)	5:19	43:4,12;44:9,14,25;	32:2
decisions (1)	39:20	directly (1)	45:5,23;46:3,14;47:8,	easy (6)
19:18	detail (3)	71:20	20,22;48:20;49:1;	55:22,23;56:6,7,8;
dedicated (1)	39:12;57:16,18	disagree (1)	52:18,18,22;53:2,10;	70:23
83:5	detailed (3)	86:2	54:1,1;58:7,7,10,22;	Edgar (1)
deeper (1)	57:14;83:4;88:4	disappointed (1)	59:2;62:4;63:12,12,16,	89:25
56:21	determination (10)	30:22	24;64:1,6,9,17;65:2,8,	effect (10)
defeat (3)	19:5,13;20:17;39:24;	discern (1)	11,14,19,25;66:3,6,9,	20:16;28:20;31:9;
93:6,8,12	42:2;48:3;49:11,15,16;	14:18	12;85:22,22;86:11;	46:16,19;58:24;90:9;
define (1)	81:18	disclosure (1)	87:25,25;88:7;96:14,	93:4,19;94:18
44:16	determine (2)	9:5	15	effectively (1)
defined (1)	32:9,17	disclosures (1)	door (2)	31:21
48:4	determined (1)	8:20	71:20;72:23	effort (1)
defines (1)	32:18	discourage (1)	double (1)	56:15
17;23	detriment (1)	34;25	33:12	eight (1)
4 1 , 4 - 4	Lacarinon (1)	37,23	JJ.12	Cigni (1)
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1	-	

92:12	estate (9)	68:5	51:22;77:24;78:18	finish (3)
	47:11;62:18,19;63:9;			
Eighteen (1)		exit (1)	feeling (1)	6:6;41:3;51:21
39:10	76:3,4;87:19;91:7;95:8	32:23	9:11	finished (1)
Eighty-two (2)	estate-like (5)	expect (1)	feels (1)	34:8
39:9;45:16	49:2;50:3;62:11;	33:3	80:15	firm (2)
either (3)	74:17;87:17	experts (1)	feet (45)	36:21,24
56:5,8;87:4	estate-size (1)	42:5	9:20,20;11:6,9;	First (17)
elected (1)	49:19	explained (1)	25:17,17;33:12;34:6,7;	9:22;11:23;21:23;
85:12	estate-type (2)	46:22	37:12;38:11,25,25,25;	30:17;31:23;33:5;38:6;
elements (1)	46:25;47:1	Expo (1)	39:9,10,11;45:17;	42:11;48:15,20;56:24;
94:1	et (3)	4:7	48:15,16;54:7,11,13,	65:21;71:22;77:22;
elevation (3)	72:10,10;83:12	export (1)	16;56:25;61:16,17;	81:5;83:14;88:22
34:6;54:6;81:7	Etiwanda (1)	84:4	72:8,9;74:3;75:7,10,	Fisk (8)
elevations (7)	67:4	exposed (1)	17;81:9,13,14,15;	69:10;71:15;75:19,
26:15,18;31:22;54:3;	even (10)	34:12	83:14;84:4,7,7;87:1,1;	19,20,23,23,77:13
58:12;89:7;91:3	4:12;6:10;25:3;44:3;	extent (2)	92:14,16	five (12)
else (5)	72:6,22;74:24,25;78:3;	43:13;96:9	felt (11)	10:15;21:22;29:7;
12:10;53:8;84:12;	88:18	extra (3)	9:21;10:7,19;11:19;	36:1,19;67:6;70:17;
87:13,19	evening (5)	29:23;30:7,9	12:13;13:16,18;23:15;	77:3;81:9,15;83:14;
empathy (1)	3:8;69:12;71:18;	extremely (3)	25:19,22;80:16	88:15
76:10	72:2;79:14	30:22;43:23;69:21	fence (1)	five-story (1)
empty (1)	everybody (6)	50.22, 15.25,07.21	18:4	75:13
		T :1		
40:22	37:19,20;40:21;	F	fences (1)	flat (11)
encourage (3)	56:11;72:7;73:10		12:11	33:15;39:5;45:13,14,
24:17;35:2,7	everyone (1)	facing (1)	Ferris (1)	18;72:6;77:22;84:6,6;
encouraging (1)	87:19	64:12	77:14	86:25,25
93:10	evidence (22)	fact (16)	few (3)	
				floor (3)
end (3)	22:23;25:18;35:14;	22:16;30:18;31:17;	31:6;54:16;76:19	34:9;48:15;78:14
41:2;76:14;97:4	46:15,19;58:23;59:1;	32:14,18;35:17;37:13;	Fifteen (1)	flu (1)
enforce (1)	67:10;89:18;90:2,6,8,	44:2;51:9,15;53:5;	61:18	30:25
51:24	25;91;8,9;92:18;93:2,	58:13;62:18;78:23;	fifth (3)	folks (1)
enhancing (2)	22;95:3,7,11,22	87:13,15	28:25;88:19;93:24	3:11
94:3,5	ex- (1)	factors (1)	filed (6)	follow (2)
enjoyment (3)	34:18	47:10	11:22;18:11,11;19:1;	76:14;86:17
27:9;91:13,16	exact (2)	facts (13)	31:10;69:19	followed (1)
entire (5)	68:15;74:9	20:2,7,11,13,22,23;	fill (2)	87:3
24:7;45:3;79:22;	exactly (4)	21:4;31:20;32:3,3;	3:8;84:4	follows (2)
82:9;89:6	40:2;57:20,24;74:8	85:23;95:6,17	filled (1)	35:5;88:10
entitled (5)	example (2)	fairly (3)	36:5	foot (1)
39:17,22;48:9,21;	37:25;68:6	54:8,8;85:19	final (3)	72:16
69:4	examples (2)	fairness (1)	31:10,13;96:2	footage (5)
entrance (2)	67:12;69:2	13:24	finally (6)	22:4,12;32:10;61:25;
32:23;76:22	except (3)	familiar (3)	41:2;52:17;70:15;	62:1
envelope (3)	34:10;38:24;92:8	9:23;18:14;60:6	84:22;93:17;94:24	foothill (1)
35:7;83:20,21	excerpt (1)	family (2)	find (6)	33:5
Environmental (3)	34:19	67:23;76:5	20:22;23:21;24:13;	footprint (2)
5:19;8:15;16:10	excessive (1)	fan (1)	27:24;47:10;77:15	61:16;68:18
equipment (2)	94:16	80:5	finding (16)	forced (1)
		•		
63:2,2	Excuse (4)	fancy (1)	23:5;27:22;28:1;	68:13
equivalent (1)	8:18;33:20;38:1;	82:1	47:10;67:19,21;68:8,	forth (4)
75:13	71:7	far (7)	10;88:22;90:13;91:9,	72:2;90:4,7;96:11
erred (1)	exercise (1)	15:1;20:3;23:14;	12;92;20,21;93;25;	forward (2)
30:19	49:12	37:25;38:7;48:24;61:7	95:12	73:13;81:2
•				
error (1)	exhaust (1)	favor (2)	findings (32)	Foster (69)
31:16	63:2	49:13;79:7	20:22;21:1,3,22;	3:16;4:8,25,25;5:4,5,
errors (1)	exhibit (5)	feature (1)	25:25;28:17,18;30:18;	9;6:14,16,24;7:5,8,12,
35:17	33:18;34:4;38:1,2;	35:3	35:15,16;67:7,9,16;	14,15,22,24;8:4,5;9:4,
especially (1)	89:20	features (1)	70;3,9,10,13,17,21;	4;15:10,13,19,24;16:2,
77:25	existing (12)	67:25	80:15;85:18;86:6;87:4,	5,8,15,17,20,24;17:20,
essentially (9)	25:1;28:4;32:21,22;	February (9)	5,5;88:5,9,16,18;91:24;	25;29:13,16,19,23;
19:5;33:15;39:3;	34:25;93:14,16;94:4,5,	7:4,10,12,13,19,19,	96:9,10	30:6,9;38:1,4;47:15,17,
40:14;51:11;90:12;	11,15,17	20,21;8:1	fine (1)	19;53:6;54:17,18;
91:4;93:19;95:10	exists (1)	Federation (3)	83:13	55:23;56:2,17;57:5,12;
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Carrie (1)	A CHICK GLIGHT (3)	0	00.20,00.2,11,01.0,12,
		•	-	

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
58:6;59:7,10,13,20,24;	48:22;52:8,14;68:23	70:10;71:11	26:7;31:12;42:24;62:8;	19:22
60:9;66:19;79:3;83:9;	given (2)	guess (8)	85:23;91:10	holds (1)
86:9,9;96:12,12,16,17	10:7;14:8	20:5,14;23:15;24:23;	hearing (28)	96:5
found (4)	gives (1)	28:17;41:6;44:24;	10:4,6;13:18,18;	Hollywood (1)
68:3;71:2;73:1;	34:22	66:13	14:12,13,15;15:7,8;	60:19
94:22	glad (1)	guests (1)	18:16;19:9,22;22:9;	Hollywoodland (2)
foundation (1)	74:18	29:9	24:21;30:23;32:12,13;	89:9;90:20
94:6	goals (3)	guidelines (1)	33:2,19;43:5;52:12;	Holmby (1)
four (10)	24:15,16;93:8	73:10	71:5;85:8;89:3,24;	82:22
3:18;41:11,12;49:23;	goes (9)	guy (1)	90:6;95:20;96:1	home (40)
50:9;51:12;68:21;	36:14,15;39:4;41:9;	79:13	hearings (2)	9:19,20;11:8,12;
71:22;76:24;88:19	47:9;72:4;73:23;81:6;		42:11;46:16	23:23;24:7;39:7;41:6;
four- (1)	83:21	н .	heart (1)	44:5;45:3,16,24;46:17,
75:13	golf (1)		47:10	21;47:1,6,11;49:2;
four-lot (1)	58:19	hack (1)	height (39)	50:3,12;55:6;62:11;
84:14	Good (10)	31:17	9:17,25;11:5;13:21;	63:17,64:2,7;65:6,12,
fourth (1)	3:4;4:19;6:8;40:18;	Hackbarth (13)	14:21;17:16;18:13;	15;72:10;74:3,6,10;
92:20 frankly (1)	63:1;66:21;69:12;	67:1,1;69:10;71:14,	21:16;23:8;24:13,22;	75:25;80:3;86:13,15;
86:3	71:18;77:4;80:11	18,19;73:16,19,23;	25;4,5;27:16;28:2,7,14,	89:6;91:8;95;8,15
	grade (10)	74:2,6,21,24	16;33:13;34:11,22;	homeowner (3)
French-chateau (1) 62:23	31:21;32:1;33:14; 38:21;44:19,22;49:8;	half (1)	35:5,7;41:7;45:2;64:2,	73:8;78:19;85:14
62,23 Friday (1)	55:2;83:21,23	75:16 Halper (22)	10;65:16;68:4;81:9; 83:22;89:5;92:4,15;	homeowners (2) 8:24;40:12
71:3	graded (3)	3:14;5:9,10,11;8:8,9;		
front (1)	33:14;42:12;57:8	12:19,19,22;14:2;20:6;	93:19;94:7,16;95:4,13 height-variance (1)	homes (17) 12:7;41:13;44:6;
75:15	gradient (1)	33:20,25;51:14,15,20;	45:1	45:8;46:12,25;49:3,19,
frontage (5)	48:11	85:9,9;86:1,10;96:18,	held (5)	24;50:9,19;51:12;57:3;
10:21,23;26:9,22;	grading (11)	19	10:4,6;13:18;15:7,7	72:24;73:3;90:11;95:9
72:19	42:12,15;43:6,11,16;	hand (3)	help (4)	homework (1)
full (3)	44:3;54:18,20;55:4;	56:7;78:15,16	41:1;52:15;58:1;	31:3
3:10;34:11;89:8	80:2;83:25	HANNA (21)	70:19	hope (2)
fully (3)	gradually (2)	4:19;5:4,6,8,10,12,	helpful (1)	30:14;52:8
82:16;90:3,6	33:6,16	14;7:3,13,20;8:4,6,8,	40:1	Hopefully (2)
function (1)	grant (11)	10,12;96:14,16,18,20,	Hi (1)	30:1;37:19
64:15	20:1,17,25;28:16;	22;97:1	3:21	house (38)
functional (3)	35:18;67:19;68:4;88:8,	happened (8)	higher (5)	22:4,11,18;23:2,7,8;
62:22,24;63:1	16;90:14;94:25	6:3,19,20;10:3;20:4;	39:6;45:15,21;93:21;	27:13,19;32:24,24;
fundamental (1)	granted (9)	29:18;69:21;70:25	95:24	34:9,9,11,12,13,15;
57:10	9:19;11:21,24;12:16;	happens (2)	highlighted (1)	38:17;55:13,16;63:10;
further (6)	19:18;21:16;63:7;69:3;	36:11,12	92:7	67:11;68:22,23;70:14,
30:2,4;38:12;66:13;	81;20	hарру (2)	hill (6)	16;72:15;81:14;82:10,
77:13;80:4	granting (16)	80:20;83:1	33:13;43:23;44:2,17,	22;83:23;84:5,9;86:12,
future (1)	13:15;27:23;28:19;	hard (3)	22;52:6	24;89:14,17;90:10;
28:21	35:19;49:13;68:6;70:7;	14:17;55:7;70:22	hills (1)	95:15
	84:1;92:22;93:2,7,12,	hard- (1)	58:18	Housekeeping (1)
${f G}$	17,24,25;94:24	68:10	hillside (23)	3:7
	grants (1)	hardly (1)	11:14;15:2;24:15;	houses (3)
Gabaee (1)	69:2	82:4	25:2;28:10;32:15;	41:12;67:13;82:21
36:22	Great (8)	hardscape (1)	34:17,20;35:8;51:22,	huge (4)
gathered (1)	4:15;8:13;16:11;	72:13	25;55:17;77:24;78:18,	37:6;38:20;74:15,20
56:19	59:6;60:12;62:20,21;	hardship (10)	24;80;1,1,4;82;13;	hypothetical (1)
geared (2)	86:1	11:25;13:12,14,23;	83:17;84:20;93:9,14	65:18
13:19;17:13	greatest (1)	14:18;23:5;27:12;	hillsides (3)	r
general (6)	58:11	68:11;81:7;83:16	26:18;34:23;80:3	I
22:1;77:23;88:25;	greatly (1)	hardships (8)	himself (1)	Han (4)
91:3;93:19;94:1	85:13	21:25;23:10,22;	55:9	idea (4)
generally (8)	Groman (1)	88:25;89:12,14;91:20,	historical (1)	37:13;43:19;48:7;
26:5,6;27:10;61:3;	81:25	25	69:4	80:11
67:11;90:18;91:14,17	group (1) 82:13	hear (6)	history (1)	identified (1)
	L AZH3	59:8,13;66:18,24;	69:24	34:24
gentleman (1)	1		hodgenedes (1)	3d and (C.S /4)
82:15	groups (1)	74:11,18	hodgepodge (1)	identifying (1)
	1		hodgepodge (1) 76:15 hold (1)	identifying (1) 68:10 identity (2)

REGULAR MEETING			T	January 15, 2014
94:7,7	influence (1)	3:7,17;4:6	knowledge (1)	legal (1)
ill (1)	78:2		61:2	96:6
52:8	information (11)	J	known (1)	legally (1)
imagine (5)	7:17;10:7;14:5,8,25;		55:12	50:17
15:23;16:1;24:9,10;	15:5;16:21;17:2;21:20;	Janice (1)	knows (1)	length (2)
55:7	40:6,7	90:1	55:14	79:22;88:7
immediate (4)	initial (5)	January (8)	Koretz (2)	less (3)
54:5,15;58:17;59:14	19:13;21:10;31:24;	3:1,6;10:5;18:16;	31:23,70:24	41:16;51:4,11
immediately (2)	42:25;95:20	33:19;89:21,21;90:3	Koretz' (1)	letter (11)
6:10,11	injurious (1)	JIM (69)	79:13	6:25;17:3;30:20;
impact (2)	92:24	3:22;4:3,11;5:23;6:9,		31:5,68:20,71:6,21;
48:12;94:13	installed (1)	15,17,21,25;9:14,16;	l L	76:17;77:9;83:25;
impacting (1)	33:11	12:21,13:6,14:3,10,12;		89:21
48:17	instance (1)	15:12,17,23,25;16:4,7,	LA (2)	letters (6)
impacts (1)	78:1	14,16,19,23;17:7,12,	14:24;88:21	40:11;51:18;52:12;
44:7	instances (2)	22;18:6,14,17,24;19:3,	lack (1)	89:24;90:3;93:5
impeded (1)	5:24;50:23	7,11,15,20,24;20:3,10,	17:4	level (8)
48:23	instead (1)	13,19,24;21:5,9,13,19;	lacks (1)	11:15,15;43:7;44:4,
implication (1)	84:8	22:7,14,21,24;23:3,12,	96:5	13;65:12,13;84:11
85:15	integrity (1)	13;24:9,19;25:7,10,14,	land (10)	Lewis (1)
important (4)	46:11	21;26:12,16,20,25;	28:21;33:5,6;36:24;	36:21
28:11;39:2;44:8;	intensity (1)	27:4,17;28:13,22	40:20;76:13;77:4;	liability (1)
57:9	94:9	job (3)	79:13:94:8,10	86:20
importantly (1)	intensive (1)	31:17;41:3;57:14	landscape (1)	lieu (2)
94:19	41:16	jog (1)	10:13	9:20;33:23
imposed (3)	intent (4)	86:23	landscaping (3)	life (1)
53:18;83:1;84:13	4:13;22:1;28:8;89:1	John (4)	11:4;41:24;72:13	86:22
imposition (2)	intently (1)	66:25;69:10,12,13	large (8)	light (1)
39:16;84:16	71:23	joint (1)	27:13;34:22;43:23;	40:10
impossible (1)	interest (1)	14:13	46:25,25;62:21;87:16,	lightly (1)
65:5	4:6	Jon (6)	20	56:5
impression (1)	interrupt (1)	66:24;67:4,4;69:8;	larger (1)	likely (2)
58:23	34:2	89:22;90:1	41:13	93:18;94:19
improvements (1)	into (10)	jumped (1)	last (19)	limit (4)
92:25	10:19;11:13;39:12;	28:10	4:23,24;6:3,10;12:6,	25:6;64:10;84:6;
inability (1)	55:18;56:15;73:3;	June (1)	6;15:8;29:17;40:21;	93:20
67:20	76:20,22;80:3,4	18:22	42:10;43:5;68:1;71:1;	limitations (3)
Inaudible (1)	invade (1)	jurisdiction (1)	76:6;82:1,3;93:24;	68:15;80:12;95:1
63:24	57:21	82:14	96:2,8	limited (2)
incentive (1)	invaded (1)	justification (1)	late (1)	47:4;94:10
34:22	37:8	68:7	71:3	limits (2)
include (1)	involved (2)	justify (4)	later (2)	23:8;44:1
94:2	30:24;76:13	67:15;68:6;87:6;	17:2;76:4	line (10)
included (1)	ironwork (1)	92:6	law (12)	24:6;45:3,19,24;
29:1	37:17	72.0	35:17;36:20;39:19,	48:16;53:19;65:20,22;
includes (2)	irregular-shaped (1)	K	20,20;47:24;57:11;	70:24;89:6
91:10;93:9	79:16	1	83:16,16,17;89:21;	lines (1)
including (2)	irrelevant (1)	keep (2)	90:3	17:15
58:17;93:9	96:6	57:9;83:22	lawyer (2)	LINNICK (112)
incompatible (1)	issuance (1)	keeping (1)	46:1;87:18	3:4,15;4:2,4,10,15,
76:25	77:7	87:22	Lazarof (5)	20;5:12,13,16;6:8,18,
inconsistent (3)	issue (6)	kept (1)	40:16,16;52:7;80:5;	22;7:1,7,11,16,17,23;
22:1;88:25;95:1	17:11;37:6,13;40:4;	10:17	90:1	8:10,11,13;9:2,10,10,
incorporate (4)	62:17:70:14	Khalatian (1)	least (8)	15;12:18,18;14:4,4,11;
90:2,5;95:25;96:8	issues (2)	89:25	10:20;13:9;25:19,22;	16:25,25;17:9,19;18:1;
incorrect (1)	30:24;32:18	kind (3)	37:20;38:11;53:18;	29:3,17,21,25;30:3,8,
78:5	issuing (1)	28:22;50:4;57:23	68:21	12,16;35:11,20,24;
indicate (2)	30:20	kinds (2)	leave (3)	36:7,11,14,19;49:22,
25:22;51:23	item (9)	49:3;96:6	4:3;11:14;31:18	22;50:1,8,11;51:5;
indicated (2)	4:22;5:14,17,17;	1	1	59:4,4,9,12,16,22;60:2,
31:19;68:14	8:14;9:16;61:5,22;	knew (3)	led (1) 71:10	4,8,10,12,15,20,20,24;
indication (1)	96:23	55;3,9;71:5	left (2)	61:9,10;62:3,5,6,16;
77:3	items (3)	Knowing (2)	39:7;78:20	66:15,20,23;69:6,9;
11.3	nems (3)	80:12;86:13	37.7,70.20	00.13,20,23,09:0,9;
			1	

	T	-	
3:1,5;29:11;73:9;	many (6)	measuring (5)	moment (1)
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			33:19
			Monica (1)
	map (15)		69:14
			Montana (2)
			5:21;7:9
			more (16)
			13:19;17:1;29:2;
			31:20;35:9;38:12;
	!		51:11;59:8;65:3;72:5
			6,18;73:11;78:20;
	1		80:20;87:22
			Mormon (1)
			82:20
			most (6)
			34:10,14;39:18;
			57:10;86:21;94:19
		49:23	motion (10)
		mere (1)	5:15;7:6,25,25;8:12;
			19:1,17;88:1,8;96:22
			motor (4)
			62:20;64:11,12,18
	mass (1)		move (4)
81:10,15	93:11	39:25;41:25;77:3,4	3:11;5:1;7:24;83:22
luck (1)	massive (3)	method (2)	moved (2)
40:18	31:16;33:11;35:3	34:21;35:5	30:1;80:10
	material (2)	Michael (1)	Mrs (1)
M	56:10;92:20	90:1	80:5
	materially (3)	might (5)	much (10)
Mabery (1)	27:25;92:21,23	9:22;25:23;29:16;	12:9;13:20;20:1;
92:12	matter (16)	51:4;77:14	32:10;41:16;56:21;
Madam (2)	5:21;6:23;19:1;	mile (2)	69:8;77:10;83:24;85:
8:19;69:12	22:16;24:2;30:23;	92:14,16	Mulholland (1)
mailbox (1)	31:12;38:24;41:10;	miles (2)	82:2
75:25	49:21;50:21;51:3,6;	92:11,12	Municipal (1)
main (2)	54:16;78:23;95:18	mind (3)	88:21
70:14;79:15	maximally (2)	72:4;78:3;86:16	Murdock (4)
maintain (2)	41:20;49:17	mine (1)	66:25;69:10,12,13
10:22;79:19	maximize (4)	46:13	must (4)
maintained (1)	48:7;49:18;53:24;	minimal (1)	69:16;70:16;89:12;
10:11	70:19	44:3	90:22
major (1)	maximum (5)	minimize (2)	myself (1)
32:23	39:20;57:11;61:13,	94:12,18	13:6
majority (1)	24;62:1	minute (3)	
78:24	1 -		N
makes (7)			
30:7;53:20,22;81:13,			name (13)
16;82:10;86:20	85:10		29:6,10;36:16;60:16:
managed (1)	Maybe (2)		67:2;69:11,13;71:16
73:2			75:21,23;77:18;83:7
manager (1)		43:20	10
36:23		mistake (1)	narrow (1)
mandate (3)		77:2	47:9
	57:19;62:25;65:3,4	1	natural (1)
	meaning (1)	84:19	86:20
	25:7	I .	nearby (3)
	i e	69:23	90:24;92:3;95:5
			nearest (1)
manner (2)	measured (4)	14:23	11:9
85:16,19	11:9;13:21;14:21;	modifications (1)	necessarily (1)
	17:17	82:4	1 28:5
Mansionization (6) 73:9,12;82:17,19,21;	17:17 measurement (1)	82:4 modify (1)	28:5 necessary (4)
	89:9 lose (1) 81:16 lost (1) 51:9 lot (24) 3:10;10:3,7;12:8; 16:9;24:21;35:6;40:21; 41:7,9;56:2,4,9,14; 57:2;61:17,19;67:17; 79:14,16,17;82:1,3; 85:2 lots (5) 41:11,13,14;50:18; 61:15 loud (1) 80:10 lovely (1) 74:16 lowered (2) 43:7,18 lowest (2) 81:10,15 luck (1) 40:18 M Mabery (1) 92:12 Madam (2) 8:19;69:12 mailbox (1) 75:25 main (2) 70:14;79:15 maintain (2) 10:22;79:19 maintained (1) 10:11 major (1) 32:23 majority (1) 78:24 makes (7) 30:7;53:20,22;81:13, 16;82:10;86:20 managed (1) 73:2 manager (1) 36:23 mandate (2) 79:19,21 mandatory (2) 49:15;70:21	89:9 lose (1) 81:16 lost (1) 51:9 lot (24) 3:10;10:3,7;12:8; 16:9;24:21;35:6;40:21; 41:7,9;56:2,4,9,14; 57:2;61:17,19;67:17; 79:14,16,17;82:1,3; 85:2 lots (5) 41:11,13,14;50:18; 61:15 loud (1) 80:10 80:10 89:21,22;5;36:9,13; 69:18;83:7,10,10,14; 89:21,25;90:2 Marmon's (1) 74:16 lowered (2) 43:7,18 lowest (2) 81:10,15 luck (1) 40:18 Mabery (1) 92:12 Mailbox (1) 40:18 Mabery (1) 92:12 mailbox (1) 75:25 main (2) 70:14;79:15 main (2) 70:14;15:14;15:3;23;8 19;33:8;41:11;43:3; 80:11;43:1,5 maps (1) 11:15 Marmon's (1) 38:16 marquee (1) 76:22 mass (1) 38:16 marginally (1) 11:15 Marmon's (1) 38:16 marginally (1) 11:15 Marmon (24) 29:5,8,10,15;30:1,4 11:15 Marmon's (1) 38:16 marquee (1) 76:22 mass (1) 31:16;33:11;35:3 material (2) 56:10;92:20 materially (3) 27:25;92:21;23 materially (3) 27:25;92:21;23 materially (3) 27:25;92:21;23 materially (3) 27:25;92:21;33 31:12;38:24;41:10; 49:21;50:21;51:3,6; 54:16;78:23;11:13; 30:20;36:5;43:17;51:7; 70:19 maximum (5) 39:20;57:11;61:13, 30:20;36:5;43:17;51:7; 76:22 57:19;62:25;65:3,4 meaning (1) 25:7 measure (2) 81:982:8	Section Sect

REGULAR MEETING				January 13, 2014
need (15)	68:12,20	78:14;80:1,17;81:13;	70:24;71:2;72:25;81:6;	29:11
6:18,19,23;39:1;	notes (1)	86:21;88:18,19;93:24	82:2,10;83:15	Parking (1)
47:5;51:2;52:15;53:23;	88:11	ones (3)	outline (1)	3:9
63:18,19;65:15;72:18;	noticeable (1)	17:1;93:22;95:17	17:23	
	28:3			part (13)
78:21;95:13,14		only (28)	outlined (1)	10:13;25:24;34:10;
needing (2)	noticed (3)	11:7;21:11;25:11;	39:24	38:15;39:5,7,7,11;
3:11;22:19	6:9;24:4;44:25	26:10,14;28:1,15;39:7,	outraged (1)	58:21;75:9;76:2;80:1;
needs (10)	November (1)	11,13;45:15;51:8;	69:21	84:14
40:25,25;41:1,1;	20:1	52:16;53:3,20;54:2;	over (10)	partial (1)
49:20;52:15;58:3;	number (3)	64:17;68:11;73:4,4;	14:16;16:12;40:23;	9:18
75:17;85:13;87:18	51:17;61;24,24	75:6;81:13;89:4;90:25;	48:15;50:22;53:15;	particular (23)
neighbor (5)	numerous (2)	91:2;92:14,16;95:15	70:16;77:21;79:24;	10:2,8;12:12,25;
25:8;40:12,14,15;	35:17;81:20	onto (1)	82:3	13:8;14:19;26:22;32:8,
51:16		15:3	overcome (1)	10;40:9;41:8;51:1;
neighborhood (15)	O	open (2)	68:11	61:8;62:2;64:4,14,16,
8:25;12:5;40:13;		15:1;31:12	overheight (1)	16,24;68:7,19;88:15,17
46:24;50:13;62;12;	000-(1)	operate (1)	18:4	particularly (2)
72:21;75:11;82:20;	97:5	94:25	over-in-height (1)	37:23;40:1
87:23;89:18;93:4,20;	objectives (1)	opinion (2)	9:19	parties (1)
94:16,21	32:21	63:8;71:24	overturned (2)	7:1
neighborhoods (2)				
	observation (2)	oppose (3)	20:21;70:11	partnership (1)
63:11;94:4	72:5;73:1	41:19;77:7;85:10	overview (1)	67:23
neighboring (5)	observed (1)	opposed (2)	71:25	Patricia (1)
11:22;93:4;94:13,18;	72:24	52:13;78:25	own (6)	4:12
95:9	obstruct (2)	opposing (1)	15:16;16:6;33:18;	Paul (1)
neighbors (16)	12:2,2	79:3	52:25;69:16;76:12	79:13
12:16;25:12,19;	obstructing (1)	opposition (7)	owned (2)	pay (2)
40:15;47:1,2;48:1,12,	93:15	40:9,13,14;51:3;	16:5;67:23	49:8;82:9
17;51:9;52:1;58:3;	obstruction (1)	52:5,6,10	owner (10)	pending (1)
66:2;68:24;82:2,5	25:23	orally (1)	11:23;15:15,15,15;	69:18
neighbors' (2)	obtain (1)	34:3	16:18;25:21;39:19;	people (10)
90:11;95:9	73:7	order (16)	69:17;71:20;86:13	6:12;31:4;34:15;
new (5)	obviously (1)	3:16,18;5:18;11:10;	owners (2)	37:21;40:23;41:19;
10:7;14:5,8,25;32:3	50:1	20:25;32:9;43:18;	67:11;76:10	50:23,25;52:11;81:22
newer (1)	occurred (2)	46:25;47:3;48:7;49:17,	07.11,70.10	
74:7			P	percent (19)
	44:3;67:22	18;53:19;58:2;79:20;	r	39:9,10,14;44:1;
newer-built (1)	off (9)	88:16		45:16,16;47:5;53:20;
74:10	3:7,19;14:6;33:13;	ordinance (24)	package (1)	54:12;57:1;61:17,18,
next (9)	55:9;56:25;71:22;	21:24;24:15;32:15;	6:7	19,19;75:7,16;79:24;
4:14;5:17;18:9;	75:24;76:19	34:17,21;35:2,4,6;	pad (7)	80:14,15
71:20;72:23;82:22;	offer (1)	51:25;55:17;73:9,12;	33:15;43:18;56:21;	perhaps (5)
88:12;95:21;96:23	92:17	75:4;80:2;82:8,17;	57:1;58:20;84:10,11	10:9;11:20;12:1;
nice (1)	office (9)	83:17;84:19,21;86:16;	pads (2)	15:3;31:20
76:11	16:11;29:10;71:6;	87:1,3;88:24;93:9	42:16;44:4	Perica (7)
nobody (4)	75:13;78:8;79:13,16;	original (8)	page (7)	66:24,25;67:4,4;
22:10,12;48:23;79:3	80:15;83:11	10:4,16;13:6,11;	28:1,7;38:13,14;	69:8;89:22;90:1
None (4)	offices (2)	14:12,23;76:3;83:17	77:25;89:7,23	perimeter (1)
38:9,9,22;67:8	89:22;90:3	originally (4)	paid (1)	83:15
nor (2)	official (1)	13:22;15:6;56:14,20	69:15	period (3)
80:5;95:3	85:12	Orinda (1)	paper (1)	6:2,5;40:22
normally (2)	once (2)	96:3	69:25	permit (3)
72:11;81:22	11:14;73:6	Oro (3)	paragraph (1)	13:22;77:4;94:25
north (2)	one (49)	38:16;48:14;52:7	89:8	permits (1)
38:23;43:24	3:20;5:24;6:7;8:19,		l .	1.
		Others (3)	parallel (1)	13:12
northeast (1)	24;10:4,5;12:6;13:7;	12:8;50:13;89:18	12:24	permitted (2)
31:25	14:17;17:24;21:23;	ourselves (1)	parameters (1)	28:15;36:10
Northridge (1)	24:12,16;26:2;28:6,25;	56:3	75:2	personal (3)
67:5	32:20,22;37:4;39:11,	out (26)	parcel (7)	40:20;41:17;58:23
northwest (1)	18;40:11,12,14,21;	3:9,18;5:17;20:7;	10:14;14:22,23;	personally (1)
31:25	41:15,15;43:3;51:2,21;	28:10;30:21;31:6,23;	15:11;33:8;41:11;43:3	58:17
note (1)	52:6;53:21;55:24;	33:1;36:5;39:1,3;	parcels (2)	pertaining (2)
46:14	57:21;65:21,22;70:17;	42:16;43:21;48:14;	12:7;26:25	28:9;90:22
noted (2)	72:11;73:11;77:13;	50:25;56:22,23;58:14;	Park (1)	petition (2)
		' ' '		<u> </u>

	7			
31:11;69:19	17:5,21,22;59:18;	presented (7)	pronounce (1)	pulled (2)
Philosophically (1)	61:1	15:6;20:2,23;31:20;	36:2	13:12,22
76:11	Plus (1)	46:15,19;60:25	properties (29)	purchase (1)
phone (1)	72:23	presently (1)	26:18,23;27:15;32:5;	68:13
29:13	pm (2)	22:5	35:13;37:4;38:22;40:2;	purpose (3)
ohones (1)	3:2;97:4	preservation (7)	42:13,15;53:13;54:5,	46:13;93:8,13
3:7	podium (1)	27:8;53:17;55:17;	15;58:12,16;72:21;	purposes (12)
Photo (2)	76:8			
		80:8;84:13;91:13,16	73:1;79:18;81:19,20;	22:1;32:15;45:6,10;
37:24;38:6	point (15)	preserve (6)	82:6;90:24;92:1,3,10,	46:7,8,10;47:5;53:25
photos (6)	30:21;31:6,22;33:1;	25:1;28:4;32:21;	17;93:5;95:2,21	89:1;94:2;95:14
37:19,22,23,24;38:3;	34:2;68:19;72:25;	78:5;93:14,16	property (143)	pursuant (1)
43:21	74:24,25;76:18;81:10,	preserved (2)	8:20;9:6,13;10:19,	88:21
hysical (2)	13,16;83:19;95:23	38:11:39:15	20;11:23;15:16,21;	pursue (1)
13:20;48:1	pointed (2)	preserving (7)	16:6,6,9;18:9;19:10,	31:13
ick (1)	48:14;81:5		23;20:2;21:15;22:5;	
		37:9;53:16;54:24;		push (1)
67:9	point-of-order (1)	56:16,19;94:3,4	23:2;25:21;26:4,6,10,	80:3
icking (1)	7:17	President (2)	14;27:9,10,13;28:20;	pushed (4)
76:19	points (3)	8:19;69:12	31:25;32:4,5,11,14;	15:3;72:9;74:3,4
icture (3)	77:9;79:15;81:4	pretty (5)	33:4,14,15;34:7;35:12;	put (12)
38:10.13.14	policies (1)	12:12;20:1;37:3;	38:7,9,16,18,19,24;	15:21;21:19;25:15;
ictures (1)	94:8	55:23;56:25	39:2,9,19,19;42:8,9;	41:2;50:18,19;54:25
39:2	1			
	policy (2)	prevent (4)	43:7,17;44:10;45:10,	55:8,8;56:15;72:2;
iece (1)	25:1;93:13	23:1,22;89:16;91:7	21,24;46:17;47:12;	73:13
86:18	political (4)	previous (5)	48:2,8;49:18;50:17,19;	
'ine (1)	31:17;78:1,2;85:16	67:15,18;68:2;78:8;	52:13;53:3,3,8,19,23,	Q
69:14	pools (1)	84:2	24;54:2,2,7,7,12,19,21;	
inpointed (1)	47:3	previously (1)	55:1;57:8,11;58:11;	quarter (2)
70:14	portion (6)	68:5		92:14,15
		1	63:18,20;64:2;65:8,15;	
Piszker (1)	11:7,9;32:2,2;80:13;	price (1)	67:11,22,24;68:14,15,	quick (5)
90:1	81:13	82:9	22;69:17,18;70:10,19;	8:19,20;17:1;60:4;
oitched (3)	portions (1)	Primarily (1)	71:20;72:17,22;73:20,	81:4
62:19,21,22	10:20	52:5	22,24;74:1,17;76:1,10,	quickly (1)
olace (1)	positive (3)	principal (1)	12;77:15,17;78:3,17;	62:25
14:16	94:3,5,20	76:2	79:23,24;81:23;83:2,	quite (3)
placed (1)	possessed (4)	prior (3)		
			15,22,25;86:18,19;	12:8;31:2;76:20
80:13	27:10;67:11;91:14,	43:5;46:5;78:14	87:11,16,21;89:15;	-
laces (1)	17	privilege (3)	90:17,19,22,23,25;	R
38:12	possible (2)	73:7;75:5;94:25	91:2,3,4,14,15,17,18,	
lan (9)	65:16,17	pro (1)	21,25;92:24;93:1,3,7,	raise (1)
17:5,21,23;32:21;	possibly (1)	76:6	18;95:4	93:19
59:18;61:1;94:1,2,9	4:14	probably (3)	proposal (1)	raised (2)
lan-check (2)	practical (9)	17:1;24:11;75:13	23:20	33:14;43:18
				1
61:4,23	21:25;23:6,11;27:11;	problem (6)	proposed (14)	ran (1)
lanning (16)	51:7;88:24;89:13;	29:19;30:5;34:24;	24:6,13;28:7;30:18;	73:15
3:5,8,20;14:24;32:9,	91:20,24	75:9;81:24;82:21	35:2,4,6,16;45:2;89:5;	RANDA (21)
16;50:16;60:25;61:6,	practically (1)	proceedings (1)	94:11,14,16;96:9	4:19;5:4,6,8,10,12,
23;76:8,13;77:4;79:12;	51:8	97:4	proposing (1)	14;7:3,13,20;8:4,6,8
86:3,5	precedent (7)	process (4)	23:14	10,12;96:14,16,18,2
lans (1)	21:8;52:20;67:15,18,	28:17;37:8;61:4,23	protection (1)	22;97:1
16:21			1-	1 -
	21;68:25;94:20	professional (1)	30:24	ranges (1)
lan's (1)	precedential (7)	85:17	provide (6)	34:6
28:8	28:20;31:9;57:22;	profit (1)	31:4;33:17;34:18;	rationale (1)
lant (1)	67:17;68:4,4;93:18	41:17	35:22;67:21;94:6	13:15
55:11	preliminary (3)	project (7)	provided (7)	reaches (1)
lead (1)	42:12,15;61:12	17:16;23:20;26:24;	21:4;32:7;34:4;	80:14
73:11	prepared (1)	36:23;51:1;80:13;	35:14,16;59:23;76:17	read (6)
	88:1			
lease (7)		85:21	provisions (1)	31:4;34:18;43:8;
3:8;29:6;36:17;	presence (1)	projects (1)	88:23	71:22;77:25;78:20
	72:14	41:9	public (11)	reading (3)
51:21;60:17;70:19;		prominent (1)	18:16;27;25;28:12;	12:3;56:4,9
51:21;60:17;70:19; 83:8	present (3)	իլ մասագալ (է)		
83:8				ready (2)
83:8 Deasing (3)	3:14;46:18;92:6	12:13	29:9;30:23;32:13;	ready (2)
				ready (2) 4:14;66:19 real (2)

REGULAR MEETING				January 13, 2014
70:8;86:15	89:1	resent (1)	Road (21)	85:13,19
	relate (1)	85:15	8:17;12:3;32:23;	
reality (2)				saucer (1)
66:8;75:14	67:16	reservations (1)	34:3,6,14;38:16;41:3;	72:5
really (12)	related (1)	85:20	43:25;48:14;67:23;	Sausalito (1)
15:6;31:1,4;40:8;	5:18	residence (3)	71:19;72:24;75:6,10,	89:11
43:20;56:9;61:16;	relating (1)	24:6;45:2;89:5	15,24,24;76:1,21;77:19	Save (2)
62:25;66:8;70:1;74:23;	30:24	resident (2)	roadway (1)	89:9;90:20
92:7	reluctance (1)	51:16;77:20	32:25	saw (6)
reapplication (1)	86:1	residential (1)	Roland (1)	9:5;24:21;28:1,10;
42:25	reluctant (1)	94:4	60:18	59:1;89:2
reason (11)	85:10	residents (1)	rolling (1)	saying (34)
24:5;26:21;45:8;	remaining (2)	78:24	4:22	4:12;13:11;16:6;
64:17;65:20,22;73:5;	12:6,7	respect (3)	roof (27)	22:17;23:16;28:13,18;
85:20;86:12,15;95:6	remand (1)	41:4;85:12;86:4	24:6;38:24,25;39:5;	35:10;42:8;43:9;44:10,
reasoning (2)	71:10	respectfully (1)	45:3,12,12,13,13,19,	12,21;47:23;50:3,5,5,8,
20:8;23:24	remanded (1)	77:6	24;46:11;48:16;62:21,	10,13,22;51:4;52:4;
reasons (15)	19:6	respects (1)	23;65:12,13,19,22;	53:12;57:24;58:14,21;
23:22;24:4,8;27:16,	remember (6)	93:4	77:22;81:6;84:6,6;	61:13;63:17;65:5,9;
17;63:6;64:20,23;	12:10;16:8;24:2;	response (2)	86:25,25;89:6;95:24	71:8;75:6,16
65:21;90:12;91:23;	54:7;55:6;74:8			, ,
		57:17;63:24	roofs (1)	scale (1)
92:5;94:22;95:11,25	remove (2)	responsive (2)	62:20	94:7
rebuttal (4)	10:17;19:1	63:11;66:1	Roscomare (1)	scenario (1)
79:2,9;80:25;92:8	repeat (2)	rest (2)	77:19	65:18
recall (1)	31:5;33:9	39:15;54:11	roughly (3)	Second (11)
43:9	report (9)	restraints (2)	33:12;61:15;80:14	5:3;8:3;13:18;25:24;
receive (2)	3:19;4:1;21:14;	48:2,18	route (1)	26:2;30:21;83:19;89:8;
22:16,22	22:16;26:8;34:19;	restricted (1)	80:17	90:13;96:2,13
received (8)	35:23;89:2,19	57:1	rules (2)	Section (2)
8:21;17:2;27:16;	reporter (1)	result (2)	76:14;86:17	69:23;88:21
90:24;92:4;93:6;95:4;	88:3	21:24;88:24	ruling (2)	seeing (3)
96:24	represent (3)	resulting (1)	85:24;86:14	12:10;40:22;78:22
recognition (1)	8:24;51:23;69:17	35:8	rulings (1)	seek (6)
88:2	representative (7)	results (1)	20:21	39:17;48:9,19,22,23;
recognize (1)	13:11;25:22;29:5;	35:8	run (1)	50:23
37:5	36:22;43:5;46:6;95:23	retaining (5)	69:7	seem (1)
record (12)	representatives (2)	33:12,18;41:23;	running (3)	74:17
5:23;29:6;33:10;	13:10,17	43:22;54:21	26:11;53:4;91:1	seemed (4)
36:17;46:7;60:16;67:2;	represented (3)	retired (1)	runs (7)	14:16;20:7;46:5;
68:5;69:11;71:16;	22:10;77:16;81:25	67:5	16:12;53:9,10;74:10;	55:20
75:22;83:8	represents (1)	reverse (1)	79:17,22;91:5	seemingly (1)
recorded (1)	78:19	35:19		72:14
84:15	request (14)	reversed (2)	S	seems (2)
records (1)	9:24;10:1;13:2;	19:18;70:8		3:10;46:5
3:13	14:23;17:14;20:9;	reviewed (1)	saddened (1)	sees (1)
redesign (1)	35:18;45:1;68:3;71:2;	61:5	31:2	86:7
22:18	80:10,18;89:4;92:6	reviewing (1)	same (67)	self-imposed (3)
refer (2)	requested (2)	23:13	9:5,24;14:1;15:15;	27:3;55:8;95:13
51:15;77:25	18:12;61:8	Right (37)	18:11,12,16;20:2,8,12,	sensitive (1)
reference (3)	requests (2)	6:24;18:9,23;26:19,	13;22:2,4,12,19;25:5;	52:1
84:18,20;95:18	4:21;14:18	24;27:9;29:3;30:14;	29:18;32:4,6;33:7;	sent (1)
referenced (2)	require (1)	39:6;40:16;41:10;48:8,	40:3,6,15;41:20;42:18,	52:11
35:6;90:2	28:8	8,9,19,23;49:18,21;	21;47:7,25;57:19,21;	September (7)
referring (1)	required (5)	50:21;51:4,7;55:9;	59:17;60:21;67:12,13,	10:6;15;8;18:12;
14:5	10:11;11:19;61:4;	56:11,17;57:12;58:22;	13,14,14,23;68:22;	19:17,22;89:19,23
reflect (1)	67:8;90:20	66:13,13;67:10;71:12;	69:4;70:6,13;71:4;	set (9)
3:13	requirement (2)	72:23;73:19,23;88:4;	81:7,7;82:4;87:11;	5:23;11:4;12:8;
regarding (7)	81:9,11	91:14,17;95:21	90:19;91:4,8,9,18,23;	70:13;90:4,6;94:19;
9:1;37:6;40:6;41:23,	requirements (6)	rights (1)	92:4,5,18,25;93:21;	95:5;96:11
23,24;91:13	39:22,25;41:22,25;	57:10	95:2,5,6,11,17,19,19,	setback (4)
regardless (1)	49:12;57:15	rises (1)	20,22	12:9;15:14;43:25;
25:16	requires (4)	33:16	Santa (1)	53:18
regulations (5)	32:9;37:11;57:2;	river (1)	69:14	setbacks (6)
22:2;28:9,11;34:25;	90:21	79:25	satisfy (2)	10:22;11:19;14:9;
			"`'	

41:18:78:10:80:18; 82:11;83:3;89:18;91:8;

REGULAR MEETING				January 15, 20
15:1;79:25;94:8 setting (1)	10:8,9,20,24;11:17,20; 13:7,20;23:22;25:15,	30:11;33:11;34:1; 36:13;59:21;60:9	23:7;41:11;56:14;76:3 state (3)	28:6 structural (1)
76:7	15;37:11,21;39:13,14;	sort (14)	29:5;36:16;60:16	46:11
several (2)	40:6,6;41:5,8,17,20;	11:1,2,12,14,15;	stated (4)	structure (4)
42:4;55:5	43:11,24;44:2;47:4,5;	12:2;15:3;17:14,23;	14:9;28:7;89:22;	72:9;75:12;76:23;
severe (1)	48:11,18;51:12;53:19,	33:5;50:2,5;82:8;87:12	94:23	93:21
48:18	20,20,22;55:5,6;58:21;	sounds (3)	states (2)	structures (8)
severely (2)	61:14,14;62:2,19;	18:14;74:15;87:19	76:17;84:2	24:17;34:23;35:1;
48:11;57:1	63:22;64:13,21;72:3;	source (1)	staunch (1)	74:2,3,4;93:10,11
Shana (1)	89:7	51:17	80:8	studio (1)
3:23	sited (2)	south (1)	steep (2)	38:17
shape (3)	63:21;64:5	82:20	54:9,13	studying (1)
11:1;26:4;90:17	sites (1)	southwest (2)	step (1)	56:4
share (1)	13:25	32:1;34:7	35:7	stuff (1)
76:15	siting (1)	space (1)	Steve (7)	15:2
SHAWN (6)	64:20	15:2	8:22;71:15;77:12,18,	subdivision (1)
78:7,12;79:10,12,12;	sits (1)	speak (3)	19;78:9,13	84:14
80:23	38:19	3:8;15:25;94:9	still (4)	subject (4)
shed (1)	situate (1)	speaker (2)	6:1;17:21;86:18;	24:23;25:12;28:17;
62;25	64:13	3:9;79:6	87:20	90:16
short (1) 58:20	situated (1) 64:13	speakers (5)	Stone (57)	subjective (6)
show (1)	situation (3)	29:9;36:9,15;66:18, 24	12:23;13:8;14:19;	24:8;62:17;66:4,8,
48:10	6:3;57:25;80:16	· ·	15:4;16:13;18:10,20;	10;90:12
shown (1)	size (8)	speaking (6) 7:2;15:18;38:5;65:3;	19:9,19;20:2,16;21:7,	submittals (1) 46:24
34:4	22:19;26:4;48:12;	69:15;77:23	9;22:3;24:2;26:2; 32:22;34:3,6,8,14;	submitted (11)
shows (1)	61:6;68:22,24;86:24;	spec (1)	37:12,15,16;38:8,10;	37:19;40:11;43:22;
38:6	90:17	41:12	40:10,17;42:8,10,22;	68:8,20;71:21;77:9;
side (10)	slightly (2)	special (18)	43:6,25;44:5;52:19;	89:24;90:6,9;95:7
10:13;34:10;36:6;	20:9,10	11:25;12:13;13:19;	53:1,11;57:20;67:22;	Subsequent (1)
38:8;44:18;45:15;	slope (22)	23:21;26:1,3,7;27:3,	68:3;71:19,20;72:24;	14:22
50:15;58:18;76:7;	11:18;14:9;17:5,12;	11;73:7;75:5;90:15,16,	75:6,10,15,24,24;76:2,	substantial (6)
78:20	32:7,19;35:1,5;39:4;	21;91:6,19,22;94:25	21;78:17;85:24,25;	27:9;40:22;89:12;
sides (1)	45:19;53:22;54:9,22;	specific (6)	86:21,23;91:5;95:18	90:24;91:14,17
24:24	59:23;60:6,6,25;61:2,3,	14:17;17:18;42:3;	stop (6)	substantially (3)
sight (1)	12,21;77:15	44:15;60:6;65:4	40:25,25;41:1;52:15,	39:13;95:1,22
81:17	sloped (1)	specifically (3)	15,16	succinctly (1)
significance (2)	33:6	15:8;17:13;34:24	stories (1)	12:25
21:18;96:6	slopes (6)	specifics (1)	76:25.	sufficienŧ (1)
significant (3)	11:3,15;39:4;44:19;	13:4	straight (3)	35:15
43:10;44:18;72:21	45:10;54:8	specify (1)	5:24;74:10,12	Suite (1)
significantly (1)	sloping (1)	30:18	stream (40)	29:11
35:13	31:24	spent (5)	26:11;30:25;37:7,10;	sun (1)
similar (12)	small (1)	56:2,3,8;85:2;86:22	39:5,8,15;40:4;41:23;	64:22
21:16;47:1;49:19;	80:13	spoke (1)	45:11;53:4,7,14;54:8,	Sunset (1)
50:12;58:3;62:12;	smaller (4)	82:15	24;55:10,17;56:15,16,	60:18
67:19,24;68:23;80:16;	48:5,6;50:2;51:12	square (6)	19;72:10;73:3,18;74:1,	Supervisors (1)
81:19;92:5	Smith (1)	22:12;32:10;61:16,	10,15,16,19,20,23;	96:4
similarly (1)	36:21	17,25;62:1	78:6,10;79:17,19;81:6;	support (8)
92:1	solar (1)	square-footage (1)	84:23;86:19,20;87:14;	41:18;78:10;80:18;
Simultaneous (2)	64:22	32:15	91:1	82:11;83:3;89:18;91:
15:18;38:5	sold (1)	staff (9)	street (14)	92:17
Simultaneously (1)	41:13	3:9;6:11;8:17;9:13;	10:22,23;11:2,5;	supporter (1)
7:2 single (4)	solely (4) 45:9;46:10;63:4,5	36:8;60:22;61:1;86:5;	12:7;26:9;27:20;38:21;	80:8
30:23;51:16;52:10;		88:12	44:4,12,19;64:12;	supportive (1)
30:23;51:16;52:10; 88:18	somehow (1) 41:5	stand (3)	69:14;84:11	78:7
single-family (2)		48:13,14;82:10	streets (1)	suppose (1)
9:20;94:13	someone (4) 43:9;50:14;57:25;	stands (2)	86:22	41:7
9.20,94.15 sit (1)	84:12	14:25;52:25	strict (2) 21:23;88:23	supposed (2) 86:25;93:16
54:14	somewhat (1)	start (3) 3:19;29:3;85:10	stronger (1)	Supreme (2)
site (49)	43:7	started (7)	72:22	31:14;40:18
8:20;9:21,22,24;	Sorry (6)	14:6;15:20;16:22;	struck (1)	sure (9)
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	2011, (0)	17.0,17.20,10.22,	on den (1)	Sui ( (3)

REGULIKIMEETING				9anuary 15, 2014
27:18;41:21;51:5;	13:13,23	11:13;15:2;26:4;	under (9)	
56:6;60:15,23;61:20;	third (2)	67:17,24;90:17;91:3	9:24;13:13;14:20;	$\mathbf{v}$
62:16;84:12	4:22;91:12	touch (1)	39:18,20;82:7,8;93:13;	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
surround (4)	though (5)	83:9	95:5	vacant (1)
38:22;49:20;57:4;	4:12;6:11;78:3;90:3,	touched (2)	underlying (1)	25:15
81:21	6	80:9;92:6	46:15	valid (1)
surrounded (1)	thoughts (2)	tough (1)	understands (1)	68:23
44:22	73:13;87:12 thousand (1)	20:15	34:16	value (1)
surrounding (3) 63:11;66:2;91:4	37:22	towards (8) 13:19;17:13;44:20;	understood (1) 37:1	57:23
surroundings (2)	three (4)	45:11,11,21,21;54:8	unless (3)	variance (127)
26:5;90:18	14:15;43:2;76:24;	tower (1)	46:17;59:14;79:2	9:17,18,25;10:10;
swimming (1)	92:11	32:24	unnecessary (8)	11:21,24;12:15;13:16;
47:3	Thus (2)	towering (1)	21:25;23:5,10;27:12;	18:13,19;19:14,19; 20:1,9,17;21:8,16,23;
	34:25;48:24	75:12	88:25;89:14;91:20,24	22:6,11,20;23:1,18,25;
T	tie (1)	tract (4)	unusable (1)	24:5,7,14;25:4,25;27:8,
	50:18	5:25;80:11;82:1;	79:24	16,23,24;28:16,20;
tables (1)	tied (4)	84:1	unusual (1)	31:15;32:17;35:19;
36:23	26:23,25;27:1,4	transcript (5)	43:19	39:17,21,22,25;41:2,5;
takeaway (1)	timeline (1)	22:9;24:22;43:8;	up (22)	42:21,22;46:18,20;
79:15	18:19	89:2,23	4:6;11:15,16;24:17;	47:10,12,24,25;48:3,
talk (5)	times (4)	treat (2)	35:1,3,7;44:17;59:5;	10,19,22,22,24;49:2,5,
32:13;36:7;77:14;	6:4;42:24;51:3;55:5	32:5;74:1	63:3;71:11,12;72:14;	10,10,13,20;50:12;
80:21;82:19 talked (6)	today (14) 3:14,23,23;10:6;	treated (1) 40:2	75:11;76:14,19;81:23;	52:17,20;53:24;55:15,
17:4;72:20;84:5,10,	12:16;26:8;33:3;40:11;	true (2)	82:1;83:21,23;86:23; 93:11	20;57:15,25;58:1,4;
11,13	52:8;54:14;73:6;83:18;	54:17;78:6	upheld (2)	62:13;63:7,18,19;64:3;
talking (5)	91:11:93:6	truly (1)	18:22;70:5	65:16;67:7,8;68:3,12;
65:18;72:8;82:23;	today's (1)	73:5	uphold (1)	70:4,5,7,7,23,23;71:5;
84:7;87:15	8:23	try (2)	73:12	76:16;77:5,7,17;78:25; 81:11;82:12;83:3;84:8,
tall (1)	together (4)	63:15;80:2	upon (2)	8;88:10,16,20;89:4,15,
34:22	14:16;26:24;50:18;	trying (3)	92:1;95:1	16;90:11,12,14;91:8,
Tania (13)	88:11	23:4;56:10;73:7	upward (2)	15;92:5,21,23;93:3,7,
67:1;69:10;71:18,19;	Tokunaga (81)	turn (1)	33:6,16	12,17,25;94:24;95:5,9,
73:16,19,23;74:2,6,21,	3:21,22;4:3,11;5:23;	3:9	usable (4)	10,13,16;96:7
24;76:19;77:15	6:9,15,17,21,25;9:3,14,	twice (1)	53:20,21,23;57:2	variances (5)
Tanner (1)	16;12:21,22;13:6;14:3,	67:25	use (33)	18:11;20:25;27:18;
75:25 tear (1)	10,12;15:12,17,23,25; 16:4,7,14,16,19,23;	Twining (13) 8:22,23;9:7,12;	27:9;28:21;30:15; 36:24;39:19,20;40:20;	81:19;82:5
80:4	17:7,12,22;18:6,14,17,	71:15,15;75:19;77:12,	41:16,17,20;44:1;47:4,	varied (4)
technically (2)	24;19:3,7,11,15,20,24;	18,19;78:9,13;80:7	25;48:8;49:18;51:11,	45:24;65:12,13,19
61:5,22	20:3,10,13,19,24;21:5,		12;53:24;57:10,11;	various (3)
telephone (1)	9,13,19;22:7,14,21,24;	6:4;12:6;14:15;18:8;	67:14;69:2,22;70:19;	16:10;53:16;64:20
8:21	23:3,12,13;24:9,19;	26:23;37:21;40:11,15;	76:13;77:4;79:13;	vary (1) 12:7
temple (1)	25:7,10,14,21;26:12,	41:13,14;43:2,22;50:4;	81:12;91:14,17;94:8,	varying (6)
82:20	16,20,25;27:4,17;	56:23;61:14;67:2,9;	10,25	26:14,18;54:3;58:11;
tennis (1)	28:13,22;29:9;31:19;	71:16;75:22;81:1,2;	used (8)	89:7;91:2
47:2	32:7,12;33:2;59:17;	83:7	38:10;39:14;40:22;	vegetation (6)
terms (1)	84:1;85:16;86:6	type (8)	68:6;86:23;92:1,5;	38:19;43:24;44:7,18,
63:4	told (2)	41:18;57:3,25;62:23;	93:22	23;84:24
terrace (3)	8:25;32:12	67:14;72:10;81:23;	uses (5)	verify (1)
11:12;24:17;83:23	tonight (2)	82:7	46:11;94:6,14,17,19	61:20
terraced (2)	4:6;9:9	types (1)	using (1)	vetoed (1)
41:6;93:10 terracing (2)	took (2) 71:11;80:17	48:1 typical (1)	44:14 usually (1)	19:5
34:25;35:2	top (6)	40:20	17:10	vibrate (1)
testimony (10)	37:17;38:14,15;		utilities (1)	3:7
16:9;59:14;62:9;	78:14;79:20,21	U	78:15	vicinity (18)
89:22,24;90:5;91:10;	topo (1)		utilize (1)	26:6,11,14;53:4; 54:3,5,15;58:12;67:13;
92;8;93;6;96:1	17:15	ugly (1)	35:4	90:19;91:1,2,18;92:2,3,
Thanks (1)	topographical (2)	77:23	utmost (1)	25;95:2,5
	-                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             -			
85:3 therefore (2)	26:8;70:2 topography (7)	unaware (3) 54:14;58:15,17	86:4	Victor (3)

view (12)	81:5;83:20;86:7;87:2		12,000 (1)	61:15
24:23,25;25:3,5,7,	weak (1)	$\mathbf{Y}$	61:17	20 (2)
12;44:7,20,21;69:5;	85:20		12.27-D (1)	33:12;80:14
71:9;76:18	Wednesday (2)	yards (2)	88:21	200,000 (1)
viewed (2)	3:1,6	84:3,3	1209 (1)	51:24
8:20;9:6	week (1)	1	69:14	2000 (3)
riewpoint (1)	6:10	year (7) 4:24;9:23;10:4,5;	13 (2)	74:7,8,9
66;4	weeks (1)		89:7,19	2003 (1)
iews (12)	56:23	15:9;68:1;74:9	1-3.3 (2)	92:15
12:2,3;25:2,13,20,	Welcome (1)	years (4)	25:1;93:13	2005 (1)
23;28:2,4;32:21,22;	3:5	10:15;54:22,25;	15 (3)	74:9
93:14,16	welfare (4)	77:21	3:1;48:15;61:17	2005-8611-MND-REC2
riolation (1)	27:25;28:12;92:22,	yes-or-no (1)	1527 (1)	8:16
71:7	24	63:23	75:24	2006 (2)
virtually (1)	West (9)	-		30:25;86:14
38:23	3:5;5:20;7:9;8:16;	$\mathbf{Z}$	1535 (1)	
isible (4)			77:19	2008 (1)
	14:24;34:10;44:20;	ZA (7)	15-foot (4)	89:9
11:7;25:16;38:18;	54:10;60:19	30:19;31:8;46:15,19;	10:12;79:21;84:22,	2012 (1)
78:17	westerly (1)	61:8;68:3;89:25	25	18:12
risual (3)	32:2	ZA-2012-1402-ZV-ZAA-ZAD-1A (1)	15th (1)	2012-111-MND (1)
93:4;94:13,18	Westwood (1)	8;15	3:6	5:20
isually (1)	86:23	Zakessian (1)	16 (1)	2013 (4)
35:3	what's (4)	89:10	28:1	18:16,22;33:19;
oluntarily (2)	44:8;52:9;67:19;	ZA's (2)	1600 (1)	89:23
26;23;84:15	86:10	67:16;81:18	29:11	2014 (3)
voluntary (1)	whatsoever (5)	zip (1)	16-foot (7)	3:1;89:21;90:3
84:16	9:1;20:16;65:10;	37:16	54:6,9,10,16;58:16,	20-foot (1)
VTT-71898-CN-A1 (1)	86:5,6	zone (9)	19;82:8	84:7
5:18	whole (3)	28:15;31:15;55:10;	17 (2)	21 (1)
/u (1)	13:9;37:8;69:24	67:12,13;90:19;91:18;	28:7;33:12	18:12
12:23	wind (1)	92:25;95:2	17,430 (1)	245 (3)
	64:22	zoned (2)	84:3	19:1,17;69:23
${f w}$	wise (2)	92:11,13	1700 (1)	245'ed (1)
	50:21;72:2	Zoning (21)	33:11	31:23
Wait (2)	within (8)	15:5;21:24;22:2;	18 (4)	24th (1)
30:4;59:7	23:8;25:5;48:19;		45:16;75:7,16;80:14	89:19
vaiting (1)	54:6;57:11;62:1;64:10;	39:23;42:2;46:23;	182 (1)	25 (1)
6:5	73:10	57:14,16;67:6,7,20;	96:4	89:23
waiver (1)	without (13)	68:8;69:1;70:5,6;78:2;	1875 (1)	255 (1)
84:1	22:5,19;47:12;49:2;	83:4;88:23;89:1,3;92:4	29:11	92:12
valking (1)	62:13,13;63:18,19;		18th (1)	25th (1)
56;24	64:2;90:11;91:8;95:9,	1	7:10	19:22
	16			
vall (7)	i e	1 (5)	1972 (1)	28 (1)
33:12,18;37:16;38:8,	womanly (1)	37:24,24;68:10;	89:11	89:11
10,12,20	72:5	91:10;92:19	1986 (1)	28th (3)
valls (4)	wonderful (2)	10 (1)	96:4	7:4,13,14
12:11;41:23;43:23;	62:11;87:20	37:24	1993 (1)	
54:21	wonderfully (1)	10338 (1)	83:16	3
vants (5)	62:11	67:4	1995 (1)	
55:15;68:24;72:7,11;	wondering (1)	10550 (3)	92:13	3 (3)
85:14	21:17	8:16;31:21;37:2	19th (7)	8:14;27:7;67:10
waste (1)	words (3)	10-foot (2)	7:11,19,20,21,22,23;	30 (4)
41:20	37:22;43:12;50:18	10:12;84:24	8:1	61:19;79:24;84:7;
vater (4)	work (3)	11 (1)	1st (1)	87:1
45:21,22;62:25;	58:2;73:10;83:5	19:17	20:1	300 (1)
74:11	works (1)	1145 (1)		71:19
vay (28)	83:20	96:4	2	30-foot (1)
6:13;10:25;11:4,17;	worth (1)			84:8
15:1;16:12;21:7;23:17,	37:22	1168 (1)	2 (2)	33 (1)
23;28:15;40:3,18;	writ (2)	89:10	4:16;77:25	38:15
45:11,11,20;47:6;	31:11;69:19	11965 (1)	2,936 (1)	333 (2)
52:16;55:22;63:1,20;	wrong (3)	5:20	84:4	48:14;52:7
U-1.10,U-10,U-1,U-1,1,UU,		11966 (1)		
64:4,12;71:25;76:12;	36:6;50:15;70:20	7:9	2.1 (1)	35 (2)

40	RESCUENCE THE STATE OF THE STAT			<del></del>	
\$\frac{50}{9} \ \ \frac{9}{20} \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	45 5 61 10	80.00			
9.20.2517;39:10, 45.17;34:13;43; 8015;847;87:1 360 (16) 138;14:19;154; 3722,5403,455.24; 3722,5403,455.24; 3722,5403,455.24; 3722,5403,455.24; 3722,5403,455.24; 3722,740; 83:22 39 (1) 38:25 36 (16) 4 (7) 38:25 37 (2) 89:11;96:4  4 (7) 38:25 3724,38:13,14,94:23 43-44 (1) 32 (1) 32 (1) 32 (1) 32 (1) 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 43-21 4					
45:17;4-13;74:3; 360 (16) 138;14:19;15:4; 31:10,20;32:24;35:14; 31:10,20;32:24;35:14; 31:10,20;32:24;35:14; 37:22,57:22;71:20; 38:32 30:10  4 (7) 51:727:22;29:1; 37:24;38:13,14;94:23 4:44(1) 3:2 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(1) 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(1) 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(1) 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(1) 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(1) 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(1) 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(1) 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(1) 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(1) 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(1) 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(1) 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(1) 40(3) 40(3) 40(4) 40(3) 40(4) 40(4) 40(4) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(					
45:17;4-13;74:3; 360 (16) 138;14:19;15:4; 31:10,20;32:24;35:14; 31:10,20;32:24;35:14; 31:10,20;32:24;35:14; 37:22,57:22;71:20; 38:32 30:10  4 (7) 51:727:22;29:1; 37:24;38:13,14;94:23 4:44(1) 3:2 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(1) 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(1) 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(1) 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(1) 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(1) 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(1) 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(1) 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(1) 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(1) 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(1) 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(1) 40(2) 38:25;77:21 40(1) 40(3) 40(3) 40(4) 40(3) 40(4) 40(4) 40(4) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(7) 40(	9:20;25:17;39:10;	92:11			
80:15;84:7;87:1 360 (16) 138;14:19;154; 131:10,203;224;3514; 37:2,5403,455;24; 57:22;6722,7120; 78:3 36-faot (1) 38:322 39 (1) 38:25 37-d (2) 89:11;96:4  4 (7) 38:123 4:44 (1) 38:123 4:44 (1) 38:123 37:24,38:13,14;94:23 43:40 4:44 (2) 31:13,272;22;91; 31:23,272;1 4:24 (2) 31:23,272;1 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (1) 45 (			}		
72:89.16757.10;					
138;14:19;15:4;   31:10;203:243:514;   55:100t   10   53:10;   203:24;   53:10;   203:24;   53:10;   203:24;   53:10;   203:25;   71:19;   71:19;   72:19;   72:19;   73:24;   89:10   65:10;   89:21   63:30;   60:10;   89:21   63:30;   60:10;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;   65:30;					
33110,203224(3514) 372,54(34,45524) 572,2267(22,71):20; 78.3 36-foct (1) 83:22 39 (1) 38:25 3rd (2) 4 (7) 5:17,2722,291; 5:17,2722,291; 372,4(381),14,94:23 434(41) 89:10 89:10 89:10 89:11,96:4  6 (1) 89:21 6 (1) 89:21 6 (1) 89:21 6 (1) 89:21 6 (1) 89:10 89:10 89:10 89:10 89:10 89:10 89:10 89:10 89:10 89:10 89:10 89:10 89:10 89:10 89:10 89:10 89:10 89:10 89:10 89:10 89:10 89:10 89:10 89:10 89:10 89:10 89:10 89:10 89:10 89:10 89:10 90:3 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11			1	J	J
33110,203224(3514) 372,54(34,45524) 572,2267(22,71):20; 78.3 36-foct (1) 83:22 39 (1) 38:25 3rd (2) 4 (7) 5:17,2722,291; 5:17,2722,291; 372,4(381),14,94:23 434(41) 89:10 89:10 89:10 89:11,96:4  6 (1) 89:21 6 (1) 89:21 6 (1) 89:21 6 (1) 89:21 6 (1) 89:10 89:10 89:10 89:10 89:10 89:10 89:10 89:10 89:10 89:10 89:10 89:10 89:10 89:10 89:10 89:10 89:10 89:10 89:10 89:10 89:10 89:10 89:10 89:10 89:10 89:10 89:10 89:10 89:10 89:10 89:10 90:3 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11 89:11	13:8:14:19:15:4:	92:16			
372,5403,45524, 5518 5722,6722,7120; 78.3 36-foot (1) 83:22 39 (1) 38:25 37d (2) 89:11)96.4  4 (7) 517,272,22291; 372,243813,14,94:23 4444 (1) 32 40 (2) 38:25,7721 42 (2) 5123,78:19 45 (1) 90:3 89:10 65 (3) 40 (2) 38:25,7721 42 (2) 5123,78:19 45 (1) 90:3 89:10 65 (3) 40 (4) 31:40 (3) 32:40 60 (1) 89:10 65 (3) 40 (2) 38:25,7721 42 (2) 5123,78:19 45 (1) 90:3 89:10 65 (3) 40 (4) 92:14 457 (1) 92:15 432.5  7 7 (1) 92:15 432.5  60 (1) 89:21 61 (1) 89:10 65 (3) 30:14,44:1;53:20 6th (1) 90:3 89:10 65 (3) 30:14,44:1;53:20 6th (1) 90:3 89:10 65 (3) 30:14,44:1;53:20 6th (1) 90:3 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 89:10 65 (3) 8					
57:22:67:22;71:20; 78:3 36-foot(1) 83:22 39 (1) 38:25 5rd (2) 89:11)64 4 6:33 (2) 97:3,4 66 (1) 89:127:22:29:1: 37:243:81:3,14;94:23 43:44 (1) 52:49 49 (2) 51:23:78:19 45f(1) 92:13 45f(1) 92:13 480 (1) 79:213 487:40 90:10 88:19 7 92:13 487:40 90:10 88:13 88:3 80-foot-inposed (1) 43:25 5th (2) 7:19;18:22  39 (1) 89:10 97:3,4 60 (1) 89:10 98:10 98:10 98:10 98:10 99:10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1					
78:3 36-foot (1) 83:22 39:(1) 38:25 37d (2) 89:11;96:4  4 (7) 5:17;27:22;29:1; 5:17;27:22;29:1; 31:25 38:25 37:24;38:13,14;94:23 444 (1) 32 40 (2) 40 (2) 41 (2) 42 (2) 51:23;78:19 45 (1) 92:14 457 (1) 92:14 457 (1) 92:15 19:9 478 (1) 34:6 34:6 33:12 480 (1) 92:15 480 (1) 92:15 480 (1) 92:13 88:11 490 (1) 34:7 88-43 494;30 (1) 34:7 494;000 (1) 88:10 92:14 55 (1) 92:15 5 (1) 29:1 55 (1) 29:1 56 (1) 18:16 90:7 18:16 90:7 18:16 90:7 18:16 90:7 18:16 90:7 18:16 90:7 18:16 90:7 18:16 90:7 18:16 90:7 18:16 90:7 90:7 18:16 90:7 18:16 90:7 18:16 90:7 18:16 90:7 18:16 90:7 18:16 90:7 18:16 90:7 18:16 90:7 18:16 90:7 18:16 90:7 18:16 90:7 18:16 90:7 19:19 90:7 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19:19 19					
36-foot (1) 83:22 39 (1) 38:25 3rd (2) 89:11;96:4  4  (61) 89:21 66  4  (7) 517;27:22;29:1; 37:24;38:13,14;94:23 40 (2) 38:25;77:21 40 (2) 38:25;77:21 40 (2) 5123;78:19 45 (1) 90:3 478 (1) 92:14 457 (1) 92:15 480 (1) 92:15 480 (1) 92:15 480 (1) 92:15 480 (1) 92:15 480 (1) 92:15 480 (1) 92:15 480 (1) 92:15 480 (1) 92:15 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5					
36-foot (1) 83:22 39 (1) 38:25 3rd (2) 89:11;96:4  4  (61) 89:21 66  4  (7) 517;27:22;29:1; 37:24;38:13,14;94:23 40 (2) 38:25;77:21 40 (2) 38:25;77:21 40 (2) 5123;78:19 45 (1) 90:3 478 (1) 92:14 457 (1) 92:15 480 (1) 92:15 480 (1) 92:15 480 (1) 92:15 480 (1) 92:15 480 (1) 92:15 480 (1) 92:15 480 (1) 92:15 480 (1) 92:15 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	78;3	43:25	1		
83:22 39:(1) 38:25 37:d(2) 89:11;96:4  4 (7) 5:17;27:22:29:1; 57:24;38:13,14;94:23 48-44 (1) 38:25,77:21 60 (1) 38:25,77:21 60 (1) 38:25,77:21 60 (1) 38:25,77:21 60 (1) 38:25,77:21 60 (1) 38:25,77:21 60 (1) 38:25,77:21 60 (1) 38:21 39:14;44:1;53:20 39:14;44:1;53:20 39:14;44:1;53:20 45:(1) 90:3  5:(1) 90:1 480 (1) 92:15 19:9 478 (1) 34:7 480 (1) 92:13 38:11 490 (1) 34:7 494,000 (1) 84:3 494,30 (1) 34:7 494,000 (1) 84:3 494,30 (1) 34:12 404 (3) 424;51;89:10  9  5  5(1) 9067 (1) 29:12 9067 (1) 29:12 9034 (1) 60:18 57:181:13,14 50-(1) 59:113 50-foot (1) 53:18 50-foot (1) 53:18 50-foot (1) 53:18 50-foot (1) 50-flus (1) 75:17					
39 (1) 38:25 3rd (2) 89:11;96:4  4  6 (1) 89:21  4  4 (7) 5:17;27:22;29:1; 37:24;38:13,14;94:23 44:44 (1) 32:2 65 (3) 38:25;77:21 42 (2) 51:23;78:19  45 (1) 92:15 478 (1) 77  480 (1) 34:6 33:12 490 (1) 34:6 494.30 (1) 34:12 490 (1) 34:12 490 (0) 34:12 490 (0) 34:12 494 (1) 55 (1) 29:1 55 (1) 29:1 56 (1) 90:3  8  8  80-foot-built (1) 67:10 50-plus (1) 75:17					
38:25 3rd (2) 89:11;96:4  4 (6:33 (2) 97:3,4 60:1) 5:17;27:22;29:1; 38:25 37:24;38:13,14;94:25 38:25 4344 (1) 39:2 40 (2) 39:2,77:21 42 (2) 51:23;78:19 457 (1) 92:15 478 (1) 7 7 719:9 478 (1) 92:15 489 (1) 92:13 490 (1) 34:7 490 (1) 34:7 494,300 (1) 34:12 41 (2) 55 (1) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 56 (11) 99:1 99:1 99:1 99:1 99:1 99:1 99:1 9		7:19;16:22			
3rd (2)			1		
3rd (2)	38:25	6			
4 (7) 4 (7) 5:17;27:22;29:1; 37:24;38:13,14;94:23 43:44 (1) 32:40 (2) 38:25;77:21 457 (1) 92:14 457 (1) 92:15 457 (1) 92:13 480 (1) 34:6 33:12 756-foot (1) 34:7 490 (1) 34:7 494,000 (1) 34:7 55 (1) 29:1 5 (1) 29:1 5 (1) 29:1 5 (1) 29:1 5 (1) 29:1 5 (1) 29:1 5 (1) 29:1 5 (1) 29:1 5 (1) 29:1 5 (1) 29:1 5 (1) 29:1 5 (1) 29:1 5 (1) 29:1 5 (1) 29:1 5 (1) 29:1 5 (1) 29:1 5 (1) 29:1 5 (1) 29:1 5 (1) 29:1 5 (1) 29:1 5 (1) 29:1 5 (1) 29:1 5 (1) 29:1 5 (1) 29:1 5 (1) 29:1 5 (1) 29:1 5 (1) 29:1 5 (1) 29:1 5 (1) 29:1 5 (1) 29:1 5 (1) 29:1 5 (1) 39:9:11;48:16;54:1; 57:1;81:13,14 58:18 58-foot (1) 38:25 67:5 67:5			4		
4 (7) 5.17;27:22;29:1; 37:24;38:13,14;94:23 44:44(1) 3:2 40(2) 38:25;77:21 45(1) 45(1) 92:14 457(1) 92:15 478(1) 34:16 480(1) 92:15 480(1) 92:13 480(1) 92:13 480(1) 34:7 4894,000(1) 34:7 4894,000(1) 34:12 441(3) 34:12 441(3) 4(24;5:1;89:10  5 (1) 50(11) 92:01 50(11) 92:01 50(11) 92:01 50(11) 92:01 50(11) 92:01 50(11) 92:01 50(11) 92:01 50(11) 92:01 50(11) 92:01 50(11) 92:01 50(11) 92:01 50(11) 92:01 50(11) 92:01 50(11) 92:01 50(11) 92:01 50(11) 92:01 50(11) 92:01 50(11) 92:01 50(11) 90(7(1) 91(1) 88 91326(1) 57:5	90.11.06.4	(4)			
4 (7) 5:17;27:22;29:1; 5:17;27:22;29:1; 5:17;27:22;29:1; 5:17;27:22;29:1; 5:17;27:22;29:1; 5:17;27:22;29:1; 5:17;27:22;29:1; 5:17;27:22;29:1; 5:17;27:22;29:1; 5:17;27:22;29:1; 5:10;37:24;38:13,14;94:23 33:2 5:30;33:25 6:30;33:25 6:30;33:25 6:30;33:20 38:25;57:21 60;10 38:25 6:30;33:20 39:10 38:25 6:30;33:20 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:10 39:	89:11;90:4	p (T)			
4 (7) 5:17;27:22;29:1; 37:24;38:13,14;94:23 43:44 (1) 3:2 40 (2) 38:25;77:21 42 (2) 5:123;78:19 457 (1) 92:14 457 (1) 92:15 478 (1) 34:6 92:15 480 (1) 92:15 480 (1) 92:13 480 (1) 92:13 480 (1) 92:13 490 (1) 34:7 494,000 (1) 38:13 494,000 (1) 38:12 494,000 (1) 38:12 494,000 (1) 38:12 494,000 (1) 38:12 495 (1) 92:13 5 (1) 92:11 5 (1) 29:1 5 (1) 29:1 5 (1) 29:1 5 (1) 18:16 90067 (1) 29:12 92:011:6,9,25:17; 92:011:6,9,25:17; 92:011:6,9,25:17; 92:011:6,9,25:17; 92:011:6,9,25:17; 95:011 50-plus (1) 75:17		89:21	]		
4 (7) 5:17;27:22;29:1; 37:24;38:13,14;94:23 43:44 (1) 3:2 40 (2) 38:25;77:21 42 (2) 5:123;78:19 457 (1) 92:14 457 (1) 92:15 478 (1) 34:6 92:15 480 (1) 92:15 480 (1) 92:13 480 (1) 92:13 480 (1) 92:13 490 (1) 34:7 494,000 (1) 38:13 494,000 (1) 38:12 494,000 (1) 38:12 494,000 (1) 38:12 494,000 (1) 38:12 495 (1) 92:13 5 (1) 92:11 5 (1) 29:1 5 (1) 29:1 5 (1) 29:1 5 (1) 18:16 90067 (1) 29:12 92:011:6,9,25:17; 92:011:6,9,25:17; 92:011:6,9,25:17; 92:011:6,9,25:17; 92:011:6,9,25:17; 95:011 50-plus (1) 75:17	4	6:33 (2)			·
4 (7) 5:17-27-22-29:1; 37-24-38:13,14-94:23 42-44 (1) 32. 40 (2) 38:25-77:21 42 (2) 51:23-78:19 45 (1) 90:3  51:23-78:19  45 (1) 92:15 199:15 199:13 490 (1) 34:6 33:12 494,000 (1) 84:3 494,300 (1) 84:3 494,300 (1) 84:3 494,300 (1) 84:3 494,300 (1) 84:3 494,24;5:1;89:10  5 (1) 99:1 50 (11) 59:11 59-11 59-11 59-11 59-11 59-11 59-11 59-11 59-11 59-11 59-11 59-11 59-11 59-11 59-11 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-10 59-1					
5:17;27:22;29:1;     38:25       37:24;38:13,14;94:23     61 (1)       4:44 (1)     89:10       32:2     65 (3)       40 (2)     39:14;44:1;53:20       38:25;77:21     6th (1)       42 (2)     90:3       51:23;78:19     7       45 (1)     70       92:15     19:9       478 (1)     750-fnot (1)       34:6     33:12       480 (1)     794 (1)       92:13     89:11       494.000 (1)     8       34:7     8       494.300 (1)     61:15       34:12     82 (1)       424;5:1;89:10     54:12       5     9       5     9       50:(11)     9067 (1)       9:20:11:6,925:17;     99:41(1)       50-(10)     9034 (1)       50-(11)     9034 (1)       50-(10)     60:18       50-foot (1)     84:3       50-foot built (1)     67:5       50-plus (1)     75:17	4 (7)		1		STATE OF THE PARTY
37:243:8:13,14;94:23 40 (2) 38:25;77:21 42 (2) 51:23;78:19 45 (1) 92:14 457 (1) 92:15 45 (1) 92:15 19:9 478 (1) 34:6 33:12 494,30 (1) 34:7 494,000 (1) 84:3 494,30 (1) 34:12 416 (3) 4:24;5:1;89:10  5 (1) 50 (1) 99:20:11:6,92:5:17;39:9;1148:16;54:1;57:1;18:1:13,14 57:10 50-foot (1) 33:18 50-foot (1) 34:8 50-foot (1) 35:18 50-foot (1) 35:18 50-foot (1) 35:17					
4:44 (1) 3.2 40 (2) 38.25;77:21 42 (2) 51:23;78:19 45 (1) 92:14 457 (1) 92:15 19:9 478 (1) 34:6 33:12 480 (1) 92:13 480 (1) 92:13 480 (1) 92:13 494.00 (1) 34:7 494.00 (1) 34:12 440 (3) 34:12 440 (3) 42-4;51;189:10  5 (1) 29:1 50 (11) 50:11 57:1;81:13,14 59-104 59-104 59-104 59-104 59-104 59-104 59-105 59-105 59-105 50-1005 59-108 50-1005 50-plus (1) 75:17					
4:44 (1) 3.2 40 (2) 38.25;77:21 42 (2) 51:23;78:19 45 (1) 92:14 457 (1) 92:15 19:9 478 (1) 34:6 33:12 480 (1) 92:13 480 (1) 92:13 480 (1) 92:13 494.00 (1) 34:7 494.00 (1) 34:12 440 (3) 34:12 440 (3) 42-4;51;189:10  5 (1) 29:1 50 (11) 50:11 57:1;81:13,14 59-104 59-104 59-104 59-104 59-104 59-104 59-105 59-105 59-105 50-1005 59-108 50-1005 50-plus (1) 75:17		61 (1)			
3.2 40 (2) 38.25;77:21 42 (2) 51:23;78:19 45 (1) 92:14 478 (1) 792:15 480 (1) 34:6 33:12 490 (1) 34:7 494,000 (1) 34:7 494,000 (1) 34:12 4th (3) 4:24;5:1;89:10  5  5 (1) 29:1 50 (1) 39:14;44:1;53:20 6th (1) 90:3  7  7  7  799 90:3  7  7  90:3  7  7  90:3  7  7  90:3  7  7  90:3  7  90:3  7  90:3  7  90:3  7  90:3  19:9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 11:5  8  8  8  8  9 9 9 9 18:16 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9		89-10			
40 (2) 38:25;77:21 42 (2) 51:23;78:19 45 (1) 92:14 457 (1) 92:15 19:9 478 (1) 34:6 33:12 480 (1) 794 (1) 34:7 494,000 (1) 34:7 494,000 (1) 34:12 4th (3) 4:24;5:1;89:10  5 (5) (11) 9:20;11:6,9,25:17; 39:9,11;48:16;54:11; 57:1;81:13,14 50-fot (1) 83:8 50-foot-built (1) 67:10 50-plus (1) 75:17					
38:25;77:21 42 (2) 51:23;78:19 45 (1) 92:14 457 (1) 7 (1) 92:15 19:9 478 (1) 750-foot (1) 34:6 33:12 480 (1) 92:13 490 (1) 34:7 494,000 (1) 34:12 494,30 (1) 34:12 494,30 (1) 34:12 414 (3) 41:24;5:1;89:10  5  5 (1) 29:1 50 (11) 99:20;11:6,9;25:17; 39:9,11;48:16;54:11; 57:1;81:13,14 50-foot (1) 84:8 50-foot-built (1) 67:10 50-plus (1) 75:17					
42 (2) 51:23;78:19 45 (1) 92:14 457 (1) 7 (1) 92:15 19:9 478 (1) 34:6 33:12 480 (1) 92:13 89:11 494,400 (1) 34:7 494,400 (1) 34:12 494,30 (1) 34:12 40:13 4:24;5:1;89:10  5  5 (1) 29:1 50 (11) 9:20;11:6,9;25:17; 39:9,11;48:16;54:11; 57:1;81:13,14 50-(1) 53:18 50-foot (1) 84:3 50-foot chuilt (1) 67:10 50-plus (1) 75:17				1	
42 (2) 51:23;78:19 45 (1) 92:14 457 (1) 7 (1) 92:15 19:9 478 (1) 34:6 33:12 480 (1) 92:13 89:11 494,400 (1) 34:7 494,400 (1) 34:12 494,30 (1) 34:12 40:13 4:24;5:1;89:10  5  5 (1) 29:1 50 (11) 9:20;11:6,9;25:17; 39:9,11;48:16;54:11; 57:1;81:13,14 50-(1) 53:18 50-foot (1) 84:3 50-foot chuilt (1) 67:10 50-plus (1) 75:17	38:25;77:21	6th (1)	1		
\$\frac{5}{1:23;78:19}\$  45 (t)  92:14  457 (t)  7 (t)  92:15  19:9  478 (t)  750-foot (t)  34:6  33:12  480 (t)  92:13  494,000 (t)  84:3  494,000 (t)  84:3  494,300 (t)  34:12  4th (3)  4:24;5:1;89:10  5  5 (1)  99 (t)  18:16  99 (t)  5 (t)  99 (t)  18:16  90067 (t)  29:12  90067 (t)  29:12  90041 (t)  50:18  50-foot-built (t)  67:10  50-plus (t)  75:17					
45 (1) 92:14 457 (1) 92:15 19:9 478 (1) 750-foot (1) 34:6 33:12 480 (1) 92:13 490 (1) 34:7 494,400 (1) 84:3 494,30 (1) 34:12 4th (3) 4:24;5:1;89:10  5 (1) 9:01 50 (1) 9:20;11:6,9,25:17; 39:9,11;48:16;54:11; 57:1;81:13,14 50-foot (1) 84:8 50-foot built (1) 67:10 50-plus (1) 75:17		70.5	_		
92:14 457 (1) 92:15 19:9 750-foot (1) 34:6 33:12 480 (1) 794 (1) 92:13 89:11  490 (1) 34:7 84:3 494.000 (1) 84:3 494.30 (1) 34:12 82 (1) 54:12  5  5 (1) 29:1 50 (11) 9:20;11:6,9;25:17; 39:9,11;48:16;54:11; 57:1;81:13,14 50-foot-built (1) 67:10 50-plus (1) 75:17		_			
457 (1) 92:15 478 (1) 750-foot (1) 34:6 480 (1) 92:13 490 (1) 34:7 494,000 (1) 84:3 494,30 (1) 61:15 34:12 4th (3) 4:24;5:1;89:10  5  5  5 (1) 29:1 50 (11) 9:20;11:6,9;25:17; 39:9,1;48:16;54:11; 57:1;81:13,14 50-foot (1) 84:8 50-foot (1) 84:8 50-foot (1) 84:8 50-foot (1) 67:10 50-plus (1) 75:17		7	l.	1	}
457 (1) 92:15 478 (1) 750-foot (1) 34:6 480 (1) 92:13 490 (1) 34:7 494,000 (1) 84:3 494,30 (1) 61:15 34:12 4th (3) 4:24;5:1;89:10  5  5  5 (1) 29:1 50 (11) 9:20;11:6,9;25:17; 39:9,1;48:16;54:11; 57:1;81:13,14 50-foot (1) 84:8 50-foot (1) 84:8 50-foot (1) 84:8 50-foot (1) 67:10 50-plus (1) 75:17	92:14		1		
92:15 478 (1) 34:6 34:6 33:12 480 (1) 92:13 490 (1) 34:7 494,000 (1) 84:3 494,30 (1) 34:12 481 (1) 424;5:1;89:10  5  5  5 (1) 29:1 50 (11) 9:20;11:6,9;25:17; 39:9,11;48:16;54:11; 57:1;81:13,14 50-foot (1) 84:8 50-foot-built (1) 67:10 50-plus (1) 75:17		7(1)			
478 (1) 34:6 33:12 480 (1) 92:13 490 (1) 34:7 89:11  494,000 (1) 84:3 494.30 (1) 34:12 82 (1) 54:12  4th (3) 4:24;5:1;89:10  5  5  5 (1) 29:1 90067 (1) 29:12 92:0;11:6,9,25:17; 39:9,11;48:16;54:11; 57:1;81:13,14 50-foot (1) 84:8 50-foot-built (1) 67:10 50-plus (1) 75:17	02.15		1		
34:6 480 (1) 92:13 490 (1) 34:7 494,000 (1) 84:3 494,30 (1) 34:12 82 (1) 4th (3) 4:24;5:1;89:10  5  5 (1) 29:1 50 (11) 9:20;11:6,9;25:17; 39:9,11;48:16;54:11; 57:1;81:13,14 50-foot-built (1) 67:10 50-plus (1) 75:17					
480 (1) 92:13 490 (1) 84:7  494,000 (1) 84:3 80,000 (1) 84:3  494,30 (1) 34:12 82 (1) 4th (3) 4:24;5:1;89:10  5  5  9  (1) 18:16 29:1 9:0067 (1) 9:20;11:6,9;25:17; 39:9,11;48:16;54:11; 57:1;81:13,14 50-foot (1) 84:8 50-foot-built (1) 67:10 50-plus (1) 75:17					
92:13 490 (1) 34:7  494,000 (1) 84:3  494.30 (1) 34:12 82 (1) 4th (3) 4:24;5:1;89:10  5  5  5 (1) 9 (1) 18:16 29:1 9:20;11:6,9;25:17; 39:9;11;48:16;54:11; 57:1;81:3,14 50-foot (1) 84:8 50-foot-built (1) 67:10 50-plus (1) 75:17	34:6	33:12			
92:13 490 (1) 34:7  494,000 (1) 84:3  494.30 (1) 34:12 82 (1) 4th (3) 4:24;5:1;89:10  5  5  5 (1) 9 (1) 18:16 29:1 9:20;11:6,9;25:17; 39:9;11;48:16;54:11; 57:1;81:3,14 50-foot (1) 84:8 50-foot-built (1) 67:10 50-plus (1) 75:17	480 (1)	794 (1)			
499 (1) 34:7  494,000 (1) 84:3 80,000 (1) 61:15 34:12 82 (1) 54:12  5 (1) 29:1 9 (1) 50 (11) 9:20;11:6,9;25:17; 39:9,11;48:16;54:11; 57:1;81:13,14 50-fot (1) 84:8 50-foot-built (1) 67:10 50-plus (1) 75:17			!		
34:7 494,000 (1) 84:3 494,30 (1) 34:12 4th (3) 4:24;5:1;89:10  5  5  5 (1) 29:1 90067 (1) 29:12 9:20;11:6,9;25:17; 39:9,11;48:16;54:11; 57:1;81:13,14 50-(1) 53:18 50-foot-built (1) 67:10 50-plus (1) 75:17		09.11			
494,000 (1) 84:3 494.30 (1) 34:12 82 (1) 54:12  5 (1) 29:1 9(0) 18:16 29:1 9:20;11:6,9;25:17; 39:9;11;48:16;54:11; 57:1;81:13,14 50- (1) 84:8 50-foot-built (1) 67:10 50-plus (1) 75:17					
84:3 494.30 (1) 34:12 82 (1) 4th (3) 4:24;5:1;89:10  5  5  9  5  9  18:16 29:1 9:20;11:6,9;25:17; 39:9,11;48:16;54:11; 57:1;81:13,14 50-foot (1) 84:8 50-foot-built (1) 67:10 50-plus (1) 75:17		8			
84:3 494.30 (1) 34:12 82 (1) 4th (3) 4:24;5:1;89:10  5  5  9  5  9  18:16 29:1 9:20;11:6,9;25:17; 39:9,11;48:16;54:11; 57:1;81:13,14 50-foot (1) 84:8 50-foot-built (1) 67:10 50-plus (1) 75:17	494,000 (1)		-		
494.30 (1) 34:12 4th (3) 4:24;5:1;89:10  5  5  9 (1) 29:1 9:20;11:6,9;25:17; 39:9;11;48:16;54:11; 57:1;81:13,14 50-foot (1) 84:8 50-foot-built (1) 67:10 55-plus (1) 75:17		80 000 (1)			
34:12 4th (3) 4:24;5:1;89:10  5  5  (1) 29:1 90067 (1) 29:12 9:20;11:6,9;25:17; 39:9,11;48:16;54:11; 57:1;81:13,14 50-foot (1) 84:8 50-foot-built (1) 67:10 50-plus (1) 75:17			1		
4th (3)     54:12       4:24;5:1;89:10     9       5     9(1)       18:16     90067 (1)       29:1     90067 (1)       29:12     9034 (1)       99:12     9034 (1)       39:9,11;48:16;54:11;     60:18       57:1;81:13,14     91326 (1)       50-foot (1)     67:5       84:8     50-foot-built (1)       67:10     67:10       50-plus (1)     75:17	0.1.10				1
4th (3)     54:12       4:24;5:1;89:10     9       5     9(1)       18:16     90067 (1)       29:1     90067 (1)       29:12     9034 (1)       99:12     9034 (1)       39:9,11;48:16;54:11;     60:18       57:1;81:13,14     91326 (1)       50-foot (1)     67:5       84:8     50-foot-built (1)       67:10     67:10       50-plus (1)     75:17		82 (1)			l ·
5       5       9 (1)       18:16       29:1     90067 (1)       50 (11)     29:12       9:20;11:6,9;25:17;     9034 (1)       39:9,11;48:16;54:11;     60:18       57:1;81:13,14     91326 (1)       50-foot (1)     67:5       84:8     50-foot-built (1)       67:10     67:10       50-plus (1)     75:17	4th (3)	54:12			
5 (1) 18:16 29:1 90067 (1) 29:12 90067 (1) 29:12 9034 (1) 60:18 50:14 50-(1) 53:18 50-foot-built (1) 67:10 50-plus (1) 75:17	4:24:5:1:89:10		1		
5 (1) 29:1 90067 (1) 29:20;11:6,9;25:17; 39:9,11;48:16;54:11; 50-(1) 50-(1) 50-foot (1) 84:8 50-foot-built (1) 67:10 50-plus (1) 75:17		- o			
5 (1)       18:16         29:1       90067 (1)         50 (11)       29:12         9:20;11:6,9;25:17;       9034 (1)         39:9,11;48:16;54:11;       60:18         57:1;81:13,14       91326 (1)         50-foot (1)       67:5         84:8       50-foot-built (1)         67:10       67:10         50-plus (1)       75:17	<b>£</b>	7			
5 (1) 29:1 50 (11) 9:20;11:6,9;25:17; 39:9,11;48:16;54:11; 57:1;81:13,14 50-(1) 53:18 50-foot (1) 84:8 50-foot-built (1) 67:10 50-plus (1) 75:17	3				
5 (1) 29:1 50 (11) 9:20;11:6,9;25:17; 39:9,11;48:16;54:11; 57:1;81:13,14 50-(1) 53:18 50-foot (1) 84:8 50-foot-built (1) 67:10 50-plus (1) 75:17		7 9 (1)			
29:1 50 (11) 9:20;11:6,9;25:17; 39:9,11;48:16;54:11; 57:1;81:13,14 50-(1) 53:18 50-foot (1) 84:8 50-foot-built (1) 67:10 50-plus (1) 75:17	5 (1)	18:16		İ	
50 (11) 9:20;11:6,9;25:17; 39:9,11;48:16;54:11; 57:1;81:13,14  50-(1) 53:18  50-foot (1) 84:8  50-foot-built (1) 67:10  50-plus (1) 75:17	29·1			ĺ	
9:20;11:6,9;25:17; 39:9,11;48:16;54:11; 57:1;81:13,14  50-(1) 53:18  50-foot (1) 84:8  50-foot-built (1) 67:10  50-plus (1) 75:17					
39:9,11;48:16;54:11; 57:1;81:13,14  50- (1) 53:18  50-foot (1) 84:8  50-foot-built (1) 67:10  50-plus (1) 75:17					İ
39:9,11;48:16;54:11; 57:1;81:13,14  50- (1) 53:18  50-foot (1) 84:8  50-foot-built (1) 67:10  50-plus (1) 75:17				!	
57:1;81:13,14 50- (1) 53:18 50-foot (1) 84:8 50-foot-built (1) 67:10 50-plus (1) 75:17					
50- (1) 67:5  53:18  50-foot (1) 84:8  50-foot-built (1) 67:10  50-plus (1) 75:17			1		J
53:18 50-foot (1) 84:8 50-foot-built (1) 67:10 50-plus (1) 75:17					
50-foot (1) 84:8 50-foot-built (1) 67:10 50-plus (1) 75:17		07:5			
84:8  50-foot-built (1) 67:10  50-plus (1) 75:17				1	
84:8  50-foot-built (1) 67:10  50-plus (1) 75:17	50-foot (1)		1		
50-foot-built (1) 67:10 50-plus (1) 75:17					
67:10 <b>50-plus (1)</b> 75:17					
<b>50-plus (1)</b> 75:17					
<b>50-plus (1)</b> 75:17	67:10				
75:17					
	75.17				
53 (L)					
	23 (T)		1		
			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>