Connect Create Collaborate

Andrew Choi <andrew.choi@lacity.org>

RULES Meeting 2-15-19

1 message

gregg Blunt <bluntgregg@gmail.com> Fri, Feb 15, 2019 at 8:42 AM
To: "andrew.choi@lacity.org" <andrew.choi@lacity.org>

Good afternoon the following comment should be made public on the city's website as a comment for the RULES, ELECTIONS, AND INTERGOVERNMENTAL RELATIONS COMMITTEE meeting scheduled for 2/15/19, file number 14-0366-S5

The following comment should also be read aloud as public comment on 2/15/19.

The DCR should reconsider the authority it proposes to give to management companies. According to LAMC SEC 104.21, there are limits on the number of businesses a management company can manage that are not Social Equity Tier 1/Tier 2. But there are no restrictions on the number of Social Equity Tier 1/Tier 2 businesses it can manage. Why is that?

Most management companies are structuring themselves much like a franchisor. Whereby if the operator does not agree to the terms of the management company, the operator may lose its ability to operate entirely. The owners of these management companies looking to make headway in the LA market are buying and have bought compliant property, with the intent to lease it back to new cannabis businesses. This shouldn't be allowed. If they own the property leased to social equity operators, and are also in a management contract with the SE operator, that is an inherent conflict of interest! Further, management contracts used with Social Equity applicants allow for these "managers" to hire and/or make the final decision to approve new hires. All this.. effectively creates an environment that the Social Equity operator has no control over. Management companies can also be bought and sold. That expose's the SE operator to never ending predatory and manipulative practices. We must remember that what is equally important to profit- is control! If the Social Equity operator has no control over how his own business is run, or if his business will have a location to run in, then are we empowering these new Social Equity owners or cleverly taking the power from them?

For the purposes of processing the first of phase 3 retail applications, a lottery is the only equitable process. ANY sort of merit-based system will always be subjective and biased. And its not enough to say consultants or third-party contractors will ensure it wont be. Its almost impossible to avoid bias when a merit-based system is used! Additionally waiting a *minimum* of nine months to apply for an annual license will leave vulnerable operators hanging in the balance for too long. Pertinent business decisions cannot be made without knowing one has the legal right to operate in the months to come.

There seems to be little to no regard given to the type-9 non-storefront SE applicant. DCR should process type-9 applications at the same rate as storefronts or at least provide a legitimate reason for not doing so. If time is the main constraint, then that restraint should affect both types equally. Intended type-9 operators have invested the same time awaiting licensure as other license types, and like other license types they too are responsible for holding compliant property. Therefore they should be granted the same condiderations.

To whom it may concern on the Rules Committee,

My name is Daniel Sosa. I was born, raised, and continue to live in Los Angeles. I love my City. I am a product of LAUSD schools K-12. I have fully owned and operated La Brea Collective since 2007, when I founded it. I have been selling cannabis my entire adult life. I have been arrested 6 times - all for cannabis - and have been detained by police many more times than that. I have been a fierce advocate for legalization my entire adult life. The one reason - above all other reasons - that legalization is so important is because of the unfairness of the criminal justice system, particularly arresting and incarcerating people for non-violent drug offenses, who are disproportionately young men of color. I am saying this to you because I not only understand - I have **lived** - through this system.

In spite of my multiple arrests, I do not qualify for social equity. But I know many people with cannabis arrests and convictions who do qualify. All of them either currently work at La Brea Collective, have in the past, or have done business with me through the store. For years, they have risked their freedom on a daily basis to be the foundation of the cannabis industry in Los Angeles.

Social equity is so important. Making an effort to create an opportunity to those who have been directly impacted by the War on Drugs is a very noble cause, and I am proud to be an advocate and a part of that program.

However, I do not understand why those who have not had an arrest or conviction are being considered for social equity on the same level as those who have.

To me, the mission of social equity in Los Angeles is to repair some of the harms caused by the War on Drugs. To me, that means giving those who have been **directly impacted** an opportunity to thrive in the same industry, which they were unjustly punished for participating in during prohibition.

Opening up social equity to those without cannabis arrests or convictions **undermines the terribly negative impact** that arrests and convictions have on the lives and the families of non-violent drug offenders.

Sincerely, Daniel Sosa

The Working Group

February 15, 2019

Honorable Members of the Rules, Elections, and Intergovernmental Relations Committee, City Clerk, Department of Cannabis Regulation, and Cannabis Regulation Commission

THE WORKING GROUP- RECOMMENDED REVIEW, AND REVIEWS FOR OF THE PROPOSED RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE CITY'S CANNABIS PROCEDURES PRESENTED BY DEPARTMENT OF CANNABIS REGULATION

THE WORKING GROUP REQUESTS THAT CITY COUNCIL SUSPEND PHASE 3 APPLICATIONS ACCEPTANCE, AND PROCESSING UNTIL THE SOCIAL EQUITY PROGRAM FOR THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES IS FULLY FUNDED, AND OPERATIONAL. FURTHERMORE, THE WORKING GROUP REQUEST THAT CITY COUNCIL, COMMISSION A NEW SOCIAL EQUITY ANALYSIS REPORT THAT WILL SUPPORT THE INCREASE OF QUALIFIED ZIP CODES AND SUBSEQUENTLY CREATING EQUITABLE OPPORTUNITIES FOR ANGELENOS WHO HAVE GREATLY SUFFERED THE HARMS OF THE DRUG WAR.

1. DCR Requests 45 days to report back to City Council with proposals for both
    - MERIT- BASED storefront retail licensing [DCR, Cannabis Commission, and Stakeholders]
    - **Merit based application poses more barriers when latinos are excluded from this conversations, thus far, DCR has solicited the involvement of groups with private interests. Merit-based will require focus groups from all 15 districts, and stakeholders from the impacted communities/ zip codes.**
    - And additional programming to further address disproportianate harms
    - **The original motion for a social equity program was done May 2017**
    - **This point addresses the need to expand Zip Codes and update the existing "Social Equity Analysis Report" Done in October 2017. We are almost 2 years into this program and still no adequate report has been presented, nor funding for this program. According to the ordinance this program was suppose to be fully funded before DCR began accepting PHASE 2 applications. Now, DCR is requesting to more time to create a proposal, and is requesting to move on with PHASE 3. We recommend that Phase 3 is Delayed until social equity program is fully functional, and all Phase 2 Social Equity Applicants have gone through the annual process.**

2.

A. Implement either the lottery or revised first come, first served storefront retail licensing method described in this report for Phase 3 storefront retail licensing.
    - **DCR is requesting that Council open applications in SPRING 2019 to identify 100 pre-qualified social equity applicants for store-front, and helping those pre-qualified applicants through the social equity program once established. This is to prioritize non-social equity applicants and special interest groups. DCR states, and continues to brush the social equity program under the rug." DCR has been working diligently over the last year to develop BLC programming, but as with any new and innovative program, development takes time." AND SO WHAT?!!! They are using this as an excuse to move forward with phase 3 without a social equity program. This was their excuse when Phase 2 was rolled out.**
    - **DCR is requesting that only ONE verification be given to each social equity applicant, therefore only allowing for ONE application, HOWEVER, in point "D" below are asking to allow Tier 1 / Tier 3 partnerships to hold up to 12 licenses. These restrictions will only apply to Tier 2 applicants which are LA residents!!!!**

B. Implement a Delivery Pilot Program as described in this report using either the first come, first served or lottery method for Tier 1 and Tier 2 applicants, and first come, first served for non-social equity applicants.
    - **This pilot program excludes Phase 2 applicants, who all are social equity applicants, and do not have a retail license, AGAIN another barrier of entry.**

C. Clarify that a person "holds" a retail storefront license when it has a 20% ownership or profit-sharing interest in the licensee, and allow a person to manage a retail storefront license within the limits specified in LAMC Sec. 104.21(g).
    - **They keep lowering the required equity for a social equity applicant, to increase market share, this is clearly lobbying from existing Pre-ICOS who want to own more stores.**

D. Allow a person to hold no more than a 49% ownership or profit-sharing interest in 12 Tier 1 storefront retail licenses.
    - **This is dangerous, TIER 1 is Low Income and has a California Cannabis Arrest or Conviction; meaning anyone from california can come in and own up to 12 dispensaries!!!! And they get priority over Tier 2: is Low Income and has resided in a Disproportionately Impacted Area for at least 5 years; or (iii) has resided in a Disproportionately Impacted Area for at least 10 years.**

E. Allow DCR to grant Temporary Approval to a Phase 3 storefront retail license applicant after DCR recommends that the Commission issue the applicant a license. THIS IS GREAT IF WE ARE INCLUDED

F. Create uniform qualification requirements, other than Equity Shares, for Tier 1 and Tier 2 applicants. **HELL NO!!! This does not "promote equitable ownership and employment opportunities in the cannabis industry in order to decrease disparities in life outcomes for marginalized communities and to address the disproportionate impacts of the war on drugs in those communities."**
    - **(i) is Low Income and has a California Cannabis Arrest or Conviction;**
    - **(ii) is Low Income and has resided in a Disproportionately Impacted Area for at least 5 years; or**
    - **(iii) has resided in a Disproportionately Impacted Area for at least 10 years**

Uniform qualifications creates barriers for the stakeholders in the marginalized communities of Los Angeles, by allowing entry points to non-Angelinos.

G. Remove paragraph ii of LAMC Sec. 104.20(e)(1) as a method of calculation for the Property Support Fee.
H. Remove "Program Site Specific Conditions" from the SEP.
I. Eliminate a Tier 2 applicant's obligation to provide business, licensing, and compliance support to a Tier 1 applicant. **I think this was a mistake from the beginning, so it is good that they are removing it, HOWEVER, DCR is requesting that less technical assistance be provided to a TIER 2, This is taking away resources from ANGELINOS, and the city is requiring that Tier 3's give this assistance to Tier 1's, when the CITY should be the one providing the assistance!!!**
J. Require Tier 3 applicants with Temporary Approval to enter into a Social Equity Agreement at the time of submitting an annual license application. **Tier 3 should have their Social equity agreements before they are granted temporary approval, I don't see why they would need temporary approval if they don't have anyone to incubate. ANOTHER LOOP HOLE!!!**
K. Allow DCR to issue non-storefront retail licenses in the manner provided in LAMC Sec. 104.06(b) and exempt non-storefront retail license applicants from the community meeting requirement in LAMC Sec. 104.04.
L. Clarify that DCR may require an applicant to submit additional information or documents after DCR deems an application complete as necessary to make a licensing decision. **This creates barriers for Social Equity Applicants, they keep asking for documentation at each step, making it more difficult to get through the process. DCR needs to provide a clear definition of "COMPLETED APPLICATION"**
M. Remove the requirement in Regulation No. 10 D. 4. that a retailer store all cannabis goods in a vault or safe during non-retail hours. **For safety reasons, I think it's best to keep everything in a safe at night.**

N. Revise Regulation No. 7 to provide that DCR shall process applications for licenses in a manner consistent with LAMC Section 104 and these Rules and Regulations.
O. Conform the City's delivery regulations concerning the maximum value of goods delivered and delivery request receipts with state regulations
P. Allow DCR to enter into Social Equity Agreements with a Tier 3 applicant without Commission approval. **I think the Commission needs to over see this process, obviously there are special interests at play here and DCR is not doing their due diligence in making sure these are adequate TIER 3. I don't believe DCR understands how a TIER 3 should operate and be held accountable.**
Q. Allow non-retail and non-storefront retail business premises to have exterior mounted devices like security bars.

Side notes: Most of this report discusses retail licenses during phase 3 and excludes to mention that other social equity applicants will be applying for non-storefront licenses: for example: cultivation, manufacturing, distribution, transportation.



JAZMIN AGUIAR
PRESIDENT & EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
THE WORKING GROUP

FROM THE DESK OF NICK PACHECO
nickpacheco@cannabudsman.com

February 15, 2019

Honorable Members of the Rules, Elections and Intergovernmental Relations Committee
City Clerk
City Hall Room 395

RE: Latino Undercount and the Social Equity Program

Honorable Members:

In April of 2016, NALEO released *"The Invisible Ones: How Latino Children Are Left Out of Our Nation's Census Count"* (https://www.childtrends.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/04/2016-16TheInvisibleOnesLatinoCensus.pdf) a report highlighting the undercount of Latinx children in the 2010 Census. On page 6 of the report it states,

> Additionally, though not shown in the table, the undercount in many states was also concentrated in the largest counties:
>
> - In California, more than three-quarters (79 percent) of the state's net undercount of young Hispanics was concentrated in eight of its largest counties; **42 percent was in Los Angeles County alone**.

Considering the City will be allocating licenses based on its population what measures will the City take to address this undercount of Latinx children and adults in the City which is within Los Angeles County??

For example, in Boyle Heights the City shows its population at 85,045 (Planning Department Community Plan) when the Pat Brown Institute in a 2013 study showed it at approximately 140,000. This discrepancy deprives this community of approximately 6 retail license storefronts that would dramatically change a family's future.

Attached is the 2014 Latino population counts from the Planning Department in each community plan area for your use in studying this issue.

Thank you in advance for your consideration of this issue.

Sincerely,
/s/
Nick Pacheco

P.S. I also attached the NALEO Report and Planning Department demographics for each community plan.

COMMUNITY PLAN & CANNABIS RETAIL LICENSE OVERVIEW

#	Community Plan	Pop.	Latinos	%
1	Arleta – Pacoima	101,979	90,576	89%
2	Bel Air - Beverly Crest	20,034	1,354	7%
3	Boyle Heights	85,047	79,503	93%
4	Brentwood - Pacific Palisades	57,278	3,265	6%
5	Canoga Park - Winnetka - Woodland Hills - West Hills	184,960	59,687	32%
6	Central City	34,721	8,263	24%
7	Central City North	21,519	5,540	26%
8	Chatsworth - Porter Ranch	99,412	29,572	30%
9	Encino – Tarzana	74,762	9,757	13%
10	Granada Hills – Knollwood	62,606	19,297	31%
11	Harbor Gateway	40,232	22,311	55%
12	Hollywood	202,357	64,315	32%
13	Mission Hills - Panorama City - North Hills	144,930	101,142	70%
14	Northeast Los Angeles	237,207	155,761	66%
15	North Hollywood - Valley Village	139,122	61,307	44%
16	Northridge	69,479	21,917	32%
17	Palms - Mar Vista - Del Rey	116,334	35,926	31%
18	Reseda - West Van Nuys	112,197	57,230	51%
19	San Pedro	78,276	36,383	46%
20	Sherman Oaks - Studio City - Toluca Lake	82,249	9,415	11%
21	Silver Lake - Echo Park	71,391	32,049	45%
22	South Los Angeles	271,040	164,092	61%
23	Southeast Los Angeles	279,802	222,370	79%
24	Sun Valley - La Tuna Canyon	86,906	58,309	67%
25	Sunland - Tujunga - Lakeview Terrace - Shadow Hills	62,367	21,800	35%
26	Sylmar	78,661	61,100	78%
27	Van Nuys - North Sherman Oaks	162,632	79,885	49%
28	Venice	36,041	5,623	16%
29	West Adams - Baldwin Hills – Leimert	174,413	78,202	45%
30	Westchester - Playa Del Rey	57,029	9,002	16%
31	Westlake	111,010	80,628	73%
32	West Los Angeles	78,874	11,382	14%
33	Westwood	54,213	4,651	9%
34	Wilmington - Harbor City	83,636	65,083	78%
35	Wilshire	286,733	109,303	38%

#	Community Plan	Pop.	Latinos	%
1	Boyle Heights	85,047	79,503	93%
2	Arleta – Pacoima	101,979	90,576	89%
3	Southeast Los Angeles	279,802	222,370	79%
4	Wilmington - Harbor City	83,636	65,083	78%
5	Sylmar	78,661	61,100	78%
6	Westlake	111,010	80,628	73%
7	Mission Hills - Panorama City - North Hills	144,930	101,142	70%
8	Sun Valley - La Tuna Canyon	86,906	58,309	67%
9	Northeast Los Angeles	237,207	155,761	66%
10	South Los Angeles	271,040	164,092	61%
11	Harbor Gateway	40,232	22,311	55%
12	Reseda - West Van Nuys	112,197	57,230	51%
13	Van Nuys - North Sherman Oaks	162,632	79,885	49%
14	San Pedro	78,276	36,383	46%
15	Silver Lake - Echo Park	71,391	32,049	45%
16	West Adams - Baldwin Hills – Leimert	174,413	78,202	45%
17	North Hollywood - Valley Village	139,122	61,307	44%
18	Wilshire	286,733	109,303	38%
19	Sunland - Tujunga - Lakeview Terrace - Shadow Hills	62,367	21,800	35%
20	Canoga Park - Winnetka - Woodland Hills - West Hills	184,960	59,687	32%
21	Hollywood	202,357	64,315	32%
22	Northridge	69,479	21,917	32%
23	Palms - Mar Vista - Del Rey	116,334	35,926	31%
24	Granada Hills – Knollwood	62,606	19,297	31%
25	Chatsworth - Porter Ranch	99,412	29,572	30%
26	Central City North	21,519	5,540	26%
27	Central City	34,721	8,263	24%
28	Westchester - Playa Del Rey	57,029	9,002	16%
29	Venice	36,041	5,623	16%
30	West Los Angeles	78,874	11,382	14%
31	Encino – Tarzana	74,762	9,757	13%
32	Sherman Oaks - Studio City - Toluca Lake	82,249	9,415	11%
33	Westwood	54,213	4,651	9%
34	Bel Air - Beverly Crest	20,034	1,354	7%
35	Brentwood - Pacific Palisades	57,278	3,265	6%

The Invisible Ones:

How Latino Children Are Left Out of Our Nation's Census Count

A Report from Child Trends Hispanic Institute and the National Association of Latino Elected and Appointed Officials (NALEO) Educational Fund

William P. O'Hare, Ph.D.
Yeris Mayol-Garcia, Ph.D. candidate
Elizabeth Wildsmith, Ph.D.
Alicia Torres, Ph.D.

April 2016

Embargoed – not for release or distribution until April 26, 2016 at 11:00 a.m. EST

Child Trends hispanic institute

NALEO Educational Fund

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to acknowledge the assistance of Deborah Griffin and Eric Jensen from the U.S. Census Bureau, as well as Greg Robinson (U.S. Census Bureau, retired) for their very helpful comments on an earlier version of this report. The authors are also grateful to Arturo Vargas, Executive Director of NALEO Educational Fund, for his guidance and feedback.

The authors would additionally like to thank the Heising-Simons Foundation and The Annie E. Casey Foundation for funding this report and its distribution.

Child Trends hispanic Institute

NALEO Educational Fund

HEISING-SIMONS FOUNDATION

THE ANNIE E. CASEY FOUNDATION

Overview

The decennial census, conducted every 10 years by the U.S. Census Bureau, collects critical information on the U.S. population. An accurate count of that population helps ensure fair political representation and the equitable distribution of public services. Census data are used to distribute more than $400 billion in federal government assistance each year,[1,2] including many programs which are critical to the healthy development of children. Four federal assistance programs—Head Start; the Special Supplemental Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC); the Child Care and Development Block Grant; and the Maternal and Child Health Services Block Grant—distribute $20 billion annually to states and localities based, at least in part, on census counts of the population under age 5.[3] Census counts also form the basis for numerous other calculations within the public and private sectors alike.

Despite the best efforts of the U.S. Census Bureau, there are always some people who are missed in every census count. This number is known as an "undercount."[4,5] Places that are undercounted in the census receive neither their fair share of political representation nor an appropriate proportion of available government resources.[6] This may compound existing disparities and compromise our country's commitment to justice, fairness, and equal opportunity.

Over the next few years, critical decisions will be made on how the 2020 U.S. Census will be conducted. This report will inform that process by revealing certain issues associated with the undercount of young Hispanic children, while providing recommendations to improve the accuracy of the count in the next census.

Over the next few years, critical decisions will be made on how the 2020 U.S. Census will be conducted.

Currently, more than 24 percent of U.S. children under age 5 are Hispanic, and this proportion is projected to grow to 32 percent by 2050.[7] Nearly two-thirds (62 percent) of Hispanic children live in low-income households—that is, with incomes below two times the federal poverty line.[8] As the country's fastest-growing sector of the child population,[9] Latino children's healthy development is critical to the future social and economic well-being of the country. A more accurate count would make the allocation of resources to these children and families more equitable and in line with their actual numbers.

Definition of Terms

For ease of interpretation, we refer to the data presented in this report as ***undercounts***, defined as the differences between census counts and population estimates. Technically, these differences include undercounts, overcounts, as well as errors in the estimates. See the "Methodology" section for more information on this point. The ***undercount rate*** is the undercount as a percent of the total population.

For ease of communication, in this report we sometimes use the term *missed* instead of *net undercount*, but technically the terms are different. The net undercount is a balance of those who are missed minus those who are double-counted or included in the census inappropriately (like foreign tourists). The number missed is almost always higher than the net undercount. This report does not include numbers of those who are missed, counted twice, or included erroneously, since there are no reliable published figures for young Latino children who fall in to those groups.

All of the data used here to calculate net undercount rates come from the U.S. Census Bureau, in the form of the 2010 Census and the Vintage 2010 Population Estimates. The population estimates were developed though the widely used cohort-component technique, which includes adding births, subtracting deaths, and including net migration. We simply subtracted the Census Bureau's population estimates from the census counts to derive net undercount estimates.

In this report, we use the terms *Hispanic* and *Latino* interchangeably.

Key Findings

- **Young children have a higher net census undercount rate than any other age group**, as we know from earlier research. Latino children account for a disproportionate share (more than 36 percent) of the total net undercount for all children under age 5.
- **There was a net undercount of nearly 400,000 young Latino children ages 0 to 4 in the 2010 Census.**
- **Much of this undercount is concentrated in a few states, and within those states, particular counties.** Five states—California, Texas, Florida, Arizona, and New York—account for almost three-quarters (72 percent) of the net undercount. Almost one-third of the estimated total nationwide net undercount of young Latino children is accounted for by California alone. Within California, almost three-quarters of the undercounted young Latino children live in the five most populous counties: Los Angeles, San Diego, Orange, Riverside, and San Bernardino.
- **While we lack definitive knowledge of the specific factors contributing to the high net undercount among young Latino children, existing research suggest some potential contributing factors.** Exploring these and other possible explanations for the undercount should be a high priority for researchers in the near term:
    - Latinos are more likely than non-Latinos to live in hard-to-count places: for example, areas with multi-unit buildings and a high proportion of renters.
    - Latinos are more likely than non-Latinos to live in hard-to-count families and households, such as multigenerational and highly mobile families, and households with complex relationships.
    - Additionally, there is some evidence that Latino adults are more likely than non-Latino adults to believe that young children do not need to be reported on the census form.

Key Recommendations

Below are the key recommendations for addressing the issue of the undercount of young Latino children. These are more fully explored later in this report.

Immediately develop and pursue, with appropriate funding, the key research questions necessary to inform an action plan for reducing the undercount of young Latino children. Because many final decisions for the 2020 Census need to be made by 2018, research needs to get underway immediately to clarify reasons for the undercount, and identify how and where to target resources effectively and efficiently.

Fortunately, these research efforts can leverage and supplement current work already underway at the Census Bureau.[10] Critical research questions, for example, may include the following:

- **Who are the undercounted young Latino children, and where do they live?** The Census Bureau is already looking into ways to address this question. This report helps identify where much of the undercount of young Latino children occurs. However, we need to better understand the characteristics of these children in order to effectively focus outreach efforts.
- **Can instructions be added to the census questionnaire that will facilitate a better count of young Latino children?** When additional instructions were added to the 2010 Census questionnaire, it appears they decreased the undercount of very young children. We encourage the U.S. Census Bureau to consider a new educational component in the 2020 Census outreach campaign aimed at ensuring that adults in Latino households include young children in their responses to the census questionnaire.

Develop and execute an action plan. Action steps needed to reduce the undercount may include the following:

- **Alert stakeholders to the potential implications of a high undercount among young Latino children.** All relevant stakeholders—Census Bureau staff, their contractors and partners, Latino families and communities, and policymakers—should understand the negative consequences associated with the undercounting of young Hispanic children.
- **Engage in highly targeted outreach.** Efforts to reduce the undercount—many of which are in development at the Census Bureau—should be focused in those areas with the largest number of undercounted children. Programs that serve children ages 0 to 4 could be used to reach parents of young Latino children. As an example, the Bureau's successful outreach program, Census in Schools, could be expanded to preschools.
- **Develop and leverage strategic partnerships.** This should include targeted efforts to develop relationships with vested stakeholders, such as civic organizations and early childhood education organizations, that will be able to reach households with young children. This work needs to start soon.

The Undercount of Young Latino Children in the 2010 Census

For the total population count, the 2010 Census was very accurate; however, this masks important differences by age. For example, children were undercounted and adults were overcounted. The net undercount for *all* young children under age 5 was about 5 percent.[11,12] This translates to roughly one million young children missed in the census. As we show below, young Latino children have a much higher net undercount rate than young non-Latino children and the undercount of young Latinos is highly concentrated in states and counties with large Latino populations. The undercount estimates for young Latino children for individual states and counties should be used cautiously, but collectively these data provide a good first approximation of the distribution of the estimated 400,000 young Latino children who were not accounted for in the 2010 census.

In 2010, the net undercount rate for young Hispanics was 7.1 percent, compared to 4.3 percent for non-Hispanics. The net undercount among young Hispanics accounted for 37 percent of the total net undercount among all children ages 0 through 4, even though Hispanic children make up only 26 percent of this age group.[13]

Figure 1.

Net Undercount of Young Latino Children by State



Source: U.S. Census Bureau Vintage 2010 Population Estimates

The number of young Hispanic children undercounted was higher in some states than in others. Five states—California, Texas, Florida, Arizona, and New York—accounted for 72 percent of the national net undercount of young Hispanics. The 10 states with the highest net undercount totals, detailed in Table 1, accounted for 88 percent of the entire net undercount of young Latino children. Figure 1, the map above, shows the relative over- or undercount for all 50 states. A full list of all states, with net undercount totals for each, can be found in Appendix A.

The net undercount of young Hispanic children varied across states, ranging from a net undercount of about 113,000 in California, to a net overcount of about 4,000 children in Wisconsin. In 2010, almost 30 percent of this net undercount of young Hispanic children was in California, and about 20 percent was in Texas (see Table 1). It is not surprising to see that these states account for a large share of the net undercount of young Hispanic children, because a large share of young Hispanic children live in these states. Nonetheless, these figures tell us where to focus our efforts to reduce the high net undercount of young Hispanics.

Table 1. Nearly 90 percent of the net undercount of young Latino children occurs in 10 states

	State	Numeric Net Undercount (rounded to nearest 1000)	Percent of Total Nationwide Net Undercount of Young Latino Children
1	California	-113,000	29
2	Texas	-75,000	19
3	Florida	-44,000	11
4	Arizona	-32,000	8
5	New York	-21,000	5
6	Georgia	-21,000	5
7	Illinois	-12,000	3
8	North Carolina	-9,000	2
9	New Jersey	-9,000	2
10	Colorado	-8,000	2
Total for Ten States		-344,000	88
United States		**-391,000**	

Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, Vintage 2010 County Population Estimates (CC-EST2010-ALLDATA.csv)

U.S. Census Bureau, Table P12 (Total Population) Sex by Age, 2010 Census Summary File 1 (DEC_10_SF1_P12_with_ann.csv).

U.S. Census Bureau, Table P12H (Hispanic or Latino) Sex by Age, 2010 Census Summary File 1 (DEC_10_SF1_P12H_with_ann.csv).

The undercount of young Hispanic children was heavily concentrated in large counties. Analyses find that 92 percent of the nationwide net undercount of Hispanics ages 0 to 4 was accounted for by those living in counties of 100,000 or more residents (see Figure 2). This is partly because Latinos, ase seen in the Vintage 2010 County Population Estimates, disproportionately live in populous counties. But the undercount rate is also slightly higher in these counties overall. Though not shown in Figure 2, the net undercount rate for young Hispanics in counties of 100,000 or more people is 7.3 percent.

Figure 2.



Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Vintage 2010 County Population Estimates; U.S. Census Bureau, Table P12 (Total Population) Sex by Age, 2010 Census, Summary File 1; .S. Census Bureau, Table P12H (Hispanic or Latino) Sex by Age, 2010 Census, Summary File 1.

Table 2 shows that 43 percent of the net undercount of young Hispanics occurred in the 10 counties in the country with the largest populations, and about 55 percent was accounted for by the 25 most-populous counties.

Additionally, though not shown in the table, the undercount in many states was also concentrated in the largest counties:

- In California, more than three-quarters (79 percent) of the state's net undercount of young Hispanics was concentrated in eight of its largest counties; 42 percent was in Los Angeles County alone.
- In New York State, 93 percent of the net undercount of young Hispanic children was accounted for by four large counties.
- In Arizona, 85 percent of the statewide net undercount of young Hispanic children was accounted for by Maricopa County (Phoenix area).

On the other hand, the net undercount of young Hispanic children was more widely distributed in some states. In Texas, for example, only 41 percent of the statewide net undercount was accounted for by its largest counties. This may reflect, in part, the very high net undercount in the border counties.

Table 2: Net and percent undercounts in the Top 10 and Top 25 most populous U.S. counties				
Rank (by county population size)	**State**	**County**	**Numeric Undercount**	**Percent of Total Undercount**
1	California	Los Angeles	-47,000	12
2	Illinois	Cook	-11,000	3
3	Texas	Harris	-9,000	2
4	Arizona	Maricopa	-27,000	7
5	California	San Diego	-12,000	3
6	California	Orange	-15,000	4
7	New York	Kings	-6,000	2
8	Florida	Miami-Dade	-18,000	5
9	Texas	Dallas	-17,000	4
10	New York	Queens	-5,000	1
Top 10 Counties			**-167,000**	**43**
11	California	Riverside	-6,000	2
12	California	San Bernardino	-3,000	1
13	Nevada	Clark	-6,000	1
14	Washington	King	-1,000	0
15	Michigan	Wayne	0	0
16	Texas	Tarrant	-4,000	1
17	California	Santa Clara	-3,000	1
18	Florida	Broward	-6,000	2
19	Texas	Bexar	-1,000	0
20	New York	New York	-6,000	2
21	Pennsylvania	Philadelphia	-3,000	1
22	California	Alameda	-3,000	1
23	Massachusetts	Middlesex	0	0
24	New York	Suffolk	-3,000	1
25	California	Sacramento	-1,000	0
Top 25 Counties			**-214,000**	**55**
Total	**United States**		**-391,000**	**100**

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Vintage 2010 County Population Estimates
U.S. Census Bureau, Table P12 (Total Population) Sex by Age, 2010 Census Summary File 1
U.S. Census Bureau, Table P12H (Hispanic or Latino) Sex by Age, 2010 Census Summary File 1

Drivers of the Undercount of Young Latino Children in the 2010 Census

In the 2010 census, young children had a higher net undercount rate than other age groups, and young Latino children had an even higher net undercount than non-Latino young children. But it is not entirely clear why young children in general, and young Latino children in particular, have such high net undercounts in the Census. Possible explanations must address not only why young Latino children were missed, but why they were missed at a much higher rate than other age groups, including older Latino children.

Numerous reports have addressed the census undercount.[14,15] However, only a few have focused explicitly on the undercount among young children,[16,17] and, to date, none have focused on explanations for the high net undercount of young Latino children. It is not clear, for example, how many young Latino children are missed because the housing unit where they live was not included in the Census Bureau address file, and how many were missed because they were left off a census questionnaire that their household returned. A better understanding of the reasons for the undercount will help guide efforts to reduce the high net undercount of young Latino children. We offer several hypotheses in order to stimulate discussion on strategies to improve the accuracy of the count of young Latino children.

In the 2010 census, young children had a higher net undercount rate than other age groups, and young Latino children had an even higher net undercount than non-Latino young children.

Young Latino children are concentrated in neighborhoods where it is difficult to count people.

Young Hispanic children (ages 0 through 4) make up 1.6 percent of the total U.S. population, but 3.2 percent of the national population in hard-to-count areas.[18] *Hard-to-count areas* are those with unusual and/or complex housing, with many multi-unit buildings and a high proportion of renters, among other characteristics. These kinds of housing units are more likely to be missed by the Census Bureau.[19]

Young Hispanics are also overrepresented in high-poverty neighborhoods (those in which over 40 percent of households are poor), whose residents are particularly difficult to enumerate accurately.[20]

Young Latinos disproportionately live in households with characteristics that make them difficult to count. For example**,** young Hispanics are more likely than the general population age 0 to 4 to live in complex households—that is, with three or more generations, with one or more subfamilies, or with more than one family.[21] Additionally, these households are more likely to be poor, to rent, to include adults who may have difficulty with the English language, and to have moved in the past year.[22] In some cases, people living in these circumstances are not clearly attached to a specific household, and therefore may be left off returned census questionnaires.[23] Sometimes whole households are also missed.

Some Hispanic respondents may not realize that children are meant to be included in the census. Research conducted by the Census Bureau shows that a portion of the population thinks the Bureau wants information on adults, but not children.[24] Hispanics were slightly more likely than others to hold this belief.

Some Hispanic respondents may be reluctant to respond to the census. There may be reluctance to interact with or share information with the government because one or more members of a household are undocumented, but there are currently no good data on this issue.[25] In addition, some recent immigrants may not be accustomed to census-taking in the United States.

Strategies to Reduce the Undercount of Young Hispanic Children in 2020

The next steps are to develop and execute a multi-pronged initiative to reduce the undercount of young Hispanic children, and ensure that stakeholders have the needed resources to implement an action plan.

The Census Bureau is already conducting several research projects related to the undercount of young children in the decennial census.[26] While not specifically focused on young Latinos, the results will likely shed light on the undercount of this group, and help guide efforts to reduce the undercount in 2020. Here we offer recommendations for some additional steps that might help us achieve a more complete count in the 2020 census. Some of these recommendations capitalize on the Bureau's existing efforts. Additionally, some, if not all, can be targeted to the states and counties which had the highest undercounts of young Latino children in 2010.

Identify and pursue, with appropriate funding, the research questions that will improve our understanding of why Latino children are undercounted and how to reduce the undercount. Essential to efforts to reduce the high undercount among young Latino children is a better understanding of what drives it. Critical questions to answer include:

- **Who are the young Latino children not counted in the census, and where do they live?** This report, in documenting the high net undercount among young Latino children, helps identify where much of the net undercount of young Latino children occurs. We also need to more fully understand the demographic and economic characteristics of these children, to effectively identify and focus outreach efforts. Administrative records are one source that can be used to provide information about young Latino children who are most likely to be missed in 2020. For example, Internal Revenue Service data could be matched to the 2010 census records to determine the income levels of children not reported in the 2010 Census. Other administration data from welfare programs could be matched to the 2010 Census to get an idea of how economically vulnerable children compare to others in terms of being counted in the census.

- **Do Latino adults think the Census Bureau does not want young children included in the census?** The Census Bureau's planning efforts for 2020 include public polling, and the questions could be designed to elicit data on the public's perception about who they think is supposed to be included in the census.[27] We recommend that a question be added to assess whether the perception that young children are not to be included in the census is more widespread among Hispanics.

- **Could the American Community Survey be used to identify effective messaging to make sure young children are included in the census?** There is some evidence that this approach has been effective in the past. For example, reporting on very young children in the census went up after additional clarifying language about including newborns was added to the 2010 Census questionnaire.[28]

Essential to efforts to reduce the high undercount among young Latino children is a better understanding of what drives it.

Begin outreach early. Time is limited. Many of the final decisions for the 2020 Census will need to be made by 2018.[29] The work outlined above needs to begin immediately, particularly efforts to develop networks that will reach households with young children in 2020.

Ensure stakeholders are aware of the high undercount among young Hispanic children. It is important that all relevant stakeholders—Census Bureau staff and partners, Latino families and communities, and policymakers at all levels of government—understand the magnitude of the undercount among young Hispanic children. Those responsible for guiding communications and partnership at the Census Bureau will be central to this effort:

- The Bureau's Integrated Communications Plan[30] should include a section that specifically addresses the undercount of young Latino children and outlines the structural efforts that will be implemented to correct it.

- In 2016, the Bureau will award its contract for the communications and partnership component of the 2020 Census.[31] This provides an opportunity to ensure that the awardee is prepared and well-suited to prioritize this topic.
- In addition, the Census Bureau maintains a regular blog, which could be used to highlight the high net undercount of young Hispanic children to stakeholders.

Use highly targeted outreach. Since the undercount of young Latino children was concentrated in large counties, efforts could be focused on these areas. The Census Bureau should use the ongoing American Community Survey to update the locations of concentrations of Latino families.

Leverage existing partnerships and invest in new partnerships. Effective partnerships will be critical to the success of outreach efforts. Some possibilities include the following:

- Leverage government programs that already serve children—e.g., Supplemental Nutritional Assistance Program, Temporary Assistance to Needy Families, Women Infant and Children Nutrition program, and Medicaid—to help facilitate communication on the importance of including young children in the census.
- Similarly, the Census Bureau can leverage existing partnerships with local organizations. In the 2010 Census, there were more than 250,000 partnership organizations that worked with the Census Bureau to promote the census. Many other national Latino organizations were helpful in the 2010 Census, including the National Association of Latino Elected and Appointed Officials (NALEO) Educational Fund and the Leadership Conference on Civil and Human Rights, which has long taken a serious interest in the census and currently has a task force devoted to this topic. These groups have access and credibility within Latino communities, and the Census Bureau can rely on them to carry the message about the importance of the census.

Since the undercount of young Latino children was concentrated in large counties, efforts could be focused on these areas.

- There are many other possible partnerships to explore. For example, the Bureau could undertake an aggressive outreach effort to health providers in order to reach the many children and families that now have insurance coverage under the Affordable Care Act and state exchanges. The American Hospital Association could give out information about the importance of the census to every woman who has a birth in the year before the census. The American Academy of Pediatrics and the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists could ask their members to put Census Bureau promotional information in their offices. The American Association of Social Workers could also remind their members to talk to clients about the importance of the census.
- Expand the Census Bureau's "Statistics in Schools" (formerly known as Census in Schools) program to include preschools, especially in areas with large numbers of young Hispanic children. In the 2000 and 2010 Censuses, the Bureau conducted a Census in Schools project that was widely viewed as successful; however, it did not reach out to families of preschoolers.

These are just a few possibilities intended to stimulate public discourse about the undercount of young Latino children, and the formation of concrete steps to help reduce it.

Summary and Implications

Hispanics are the fastest-growing ethnic group among U.S. children. Ensuring that Latino children have access to the public programs and resources—and thus the same opportunities to flourish and become productive members of society—is critical to the success of our country as a whole. The allocation of many of these resources relies on data from the census. There was a higher net undercount of young Latino children in 2010 (7.1 percent) than among young children in general.

There is no clear consensus on the reasons for the high undercount of young Hispanic children, but we have identified several potential causes that we hope will spur more discussion on this topic and help us devise ways to remedy the problem.

The window of opportunity to identify why young Hispanic children are missed and implement ways to reduce future undercount is closing quickly. While 2020 may seem distant, critical decisions will be made in the next two years.

Appendix: Methodology

For this report, we calculated the net undercounts of young Hispanic children by comparing the U.S. Census Bureau's Vintage 2010 Population Estimates for the population aged 0 to 4 to the 2010 U.S. Decennial Census Counts for this age group. The decennial census is based on counts of people at their usual place of residence for the April 1, 2010 date. The Vintage 2010 Population Estimates provide annual estimates between July 1, 2000 and July 1, 2010, "based on the updated Census 2000 data and the components of change (births, deaths, international migration, and domestic migration) occurring each year." In order to compare estimates to the census counts, an additional set of estimates was developed for the April 1, 2010 Census date, which we use for this report. To estimate the April 1, 2010 population, the demographers at the Census Bureau began with the July 1, 2009 population estimates and "estimated the components of change that occurred in the intervening nine months." For the population under age 10, the Vintage 2010 Population Estimates are based only on births, deaths, and net migration between 2000 and 2010, without reliance on Census 2000 information. The difference in methodologies provides the opportunity to assess the decennial census results. This evaluation method is well-established and has been used by several analysts in the past, including demographers at the Census Bureau.[32,33,34,35,36,37]

While the estimates shown here are not without limitations, they are the best available for assessing undercounts by Hispanic origin, age, and geographic-level details.

There are potential limitations in the methodology used here. Readers should also be aware that the Vintage 2010 Population Estimates used provisional data for the births and deaths in 2009 and the projected births and deaths in first quarter of 2010 rather than the actual counts of births and deaths, which were not available at the time the 2010 Estimates were made. While this is a limitation, it is unlikely to have a major impact on the data shown here. When projected births and deaths were replaced with actual births and deaths for the Demographic Analysis estimates released in May 2012, the overall net undercount of young children changed from 4.7 percent to 4.6 percent.

Conceptually, the definition of Hispanic is the same in the census and the population estimates, but the identification of Hispanics is slightly different in the data from birth certificates than in the identification used in the 2010 Census.[38] This point is unlikely to introduce serious errors into the calculation of the net undercount of young Hispanic children. Moreover, it is not clear whether the potential limitations identified above would result in a higher or lower net undercount for young Hispanic children.

We used county-level census counts and population estimates. County statistics are aggregated to determine the state data, which are then aggregated to determine the national data. This approach ensures that national, state, and county data are internally consistent within this report. Reliance on these county-level population estimates results in national figures that are slightly different than the results of the Census Bureau's Demographic Analysis.

For ease of interpretation, we refer to the data presented in this report as undercounts, but they are really differences (subtraction) between census counts and population estimates. Technically, those differences include undercounts and overcounts, as well as errors in the estimates. It is difficult to know exactly how much each contributes to the overall difference. However, prior research gives us some confidence that the bulk of the differences between population estimates and census counts in larger areas are due to net undercounts.[39] Nonetheless, these figures should be used cautiously. To avoid giving a sense of false precision, undercount rates at the state and county level are rounded to the nearest whole percent.

The table below shows net numeric undercounts and net undercount rates of young Hispanic children for every state in 2010. While the figures below are the best available, they should be used cautiously. For some states, the undercount rate is based on relatively small numbers, which can be misleading.

Appendix Table 1. State Differences Between State Differences Between Census Counts and Vintage 2010 Estimates for 0 to 4-year-olds Hispanics

			Undercount (Difference between Census and Estimate)	
State	2010 Census Count of Hispanics Age 0 to 4	April 1 Vintage 2010 Population Estimates of Hispanics Age 0 to 4	Number	Percent
Alabama	25,085	27,581	-2,496	-9
Alaska	4,686	5,833	-1,147	-20
Arizona	204,765	236,583	-31,818	-13
Arkansas	24,708	26,187	-1,479	-6
California	1,349,906	1,463,116	-113,210	-8
Colorado	112,711	120,910	-8,199	-7
Connecticut	46,887	45,762	1,125	2
Delaware	9,032	9,396	-364	-4
Florida	312,283	356,582	-44,299	-12
Georgia	106,411	127,073	-20,662	-16
Hawaii	14,814	19,087	-4,273	-22
Idaho	22,905	22,861	44	0
Illinois	213,367	225,068	-11,701	-5
Indiana	50,545	48,428	2,117	4
Iowa	20,742	19,702	1,040	5
Kansas	39,250	36,388	2,862	8
Kentucky	18,540	18,308	232	1
Louisiana	19,464	19,115	349	2
Maine	2,002	1,986	16	1

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Vintage 2010 County Population Estimates (CCEST2010-ALLDATA.csv).
U.S. Census Bureau, Table P12 (Total Population) Sex by Age, 2010 Census Summary File 1 (DEC_10_SF1_P12_with_ann.csv).
U.S. Census Bureau, Table P12H (Hispanic or Latino) Sex by Age, 2010 Census Summary File 1 (DEC_10_SF1_P12H_with_ann.csv).
Note: Washington DC is not included here because it differs from states in terms of demographics and governance

Appendix Table 1 Cont. State Differences Between Census Counts and Vintage 2010 Estimates for 0 to 4-year-olds Hispanics				
			Undercount (Difference between Census and Estimate)	
State	2010 Census Count of Hispanics Age 0 to 4	April 1 Vintage 2010 Population Estimates of Hispanics Age 0 to 4	Number	Percent
Maryland	50,417	55,558	-5,141	-9
Massachusetts	63,050	61,266	1,784	3
Michigan	52,606	49,209	3,397	7
Minnesota	33,692	35,384	-1,692	-5
Mississippi	9,850	10,231	-381	-4
Missouri	26,805	27,930	-1,125	-4
Montana	3,428	3,524	-96	-3
Nebraska	22,728	23,616	-888	-4
Nevada	77,789	84,314	-6,525	-8
New Hampshire	4,214	3,947	267	7
New Jersey	138,591	147,111	-8,520	-6
New Mexico	86,344	88,293	-1,949	-2
New York	284,211	305,646	-21,435	-7
North Carolina	106,949	116,383	-9,434	-8
North Dakota	1,985	2,409	-424	-18
Ohio	44,416	43,751	665	2
Oklahoma	44,310	44,949	-639	-1
Oregon	55,374	57,392	-2,018	-4
Pennsylvania	79,857	83,881	-4,024	-5
Rhode Island	13,355	14,114	-759	-5
South Carolina	29,895	34,786	-4,891	-14
South Dakota	3,232	3,929	-697	-18
Tennessee	39,347	46,191	-6,844	-15
Texas	976,671	1,051,456	-74,785	-7
Utah	45,500	49,416	-3,916	-8
Vermont	799	809	-10	-1
Virginia	68,436	76,160	-7,724	-10
Washington	95,403	99,285	-3,882	-4
West Virginia	2,505	2,350	155	7
Wisconsin	44,211	40,025	4,186	10
Wyoming	5,930	6,030	-100	-2

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Vintage 2010 County Population Estimates (CCEST2010-ALLDATA.csv).
U.S. Census Bureau, Table P12 (Total Population) Sex by Age, 2010 Census Summary File 1 (DEC_10_SF1_P12_with_ann.csv).
U.S. Census Bureau, Table P12H (Hispanic or Latino) Sex by Age, 2010 Census Summary File 1 (DEC_10_SF1_P12H_with_ann.csv).
Note: Washington DC is not included here because it differs from states in terms of demographics and governance

References

[1] Blumerman, L. M., & Vidal, P. M. (2009). Uses of population and income statistics in federal funds distribution–with a focus on census bureau data. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Census Bureau.

[2] Reamer, A. D. (2010). Surveying for Dollars: The role of the American Community Survey in the geographic distribution of federal funds. Washington, D.C.: Brookings Institution.

[3] O'Hare, W. P. (2015). The undercount of young children in the US Decennial Census. Springer International Publishing. New York, NY.

[4] Darga, K. (1999). *Sampling and the census: A case against the proposed adjustments for undercount*. Washington, D.C.: American Enterprise Institute.

[5] U.S. Census Bureau. (2004). *Chapter 7: Dual system estimation*. Accuracy and coverage evaluation of census 2000: Design and methodology. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Census Bureau.

[6] Anderson, M. & Fienberg, S.E. (2001). *Who counts? The politics of census taking in contemporary America*, New York: Russell Sage Foundation.

[7] Federal Interagency Forum on Child and Family Statistics. (2015). *America's children: key national indicators of well-being: 2015*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office.

[8] O'Hare, W.P. (2014). State-level 2010 census coverage rates for young children. *Population Research and Policy Review, 33*(6), 797-816.

[9] Federal Interagency Forum on Child and Family Statistics. (2015). *America's children: key national indicators of well-being: 2015*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office.

[10] U.S. Census Bureau. (2015). *2020 census operational plan*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Census Bureau.

[11] Griffin, D. H. (2014). *The final task force report: Task force on the undercount of young children, memorandum for Frank A. Vitrano*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Census Bureau.

[12] O'Hare, W. P. (2015). *The undercount of young children in the US Decennial Census.* Springer International Publishing. New York, NY.

[13] O'Hare 2015

[14] Anderson & Fienberg 2001

[15] West, K., & Fein, D.J. (1990). U.S. decennial census undercount: An historical and contemporary sociological issues, *Sociological Inquiry, 60*(2), 127-141.

[16] Griffin 2014

[17] O'Hare 2015

[18] O'Hare, W.P. (2016). Who lives in hard-to-count neighborhoods? *International Journal of Social Science Studies*, *4*(4). pp 43-55

[19] Bruce, A., Robinson. J.G., & Sanders, M.V. (2001). *Hard-to-count scores and broad demographic groups associated with patterns of response rates in Census 2000.* Proceedings of the Social Statistics Section: American Statistical Association.

[20] Bruner, C. (2016). *When place matters most: Young children and their future*. Ames, IA: Child and Family Policy Center.

[21] O'Hare 2015

[22] O'Hare 2015

[23] Martin, E. (2007). Strength of attachment: Survey coverage of people with tenuous ties to residences. *Demography, 44*(2),437-440.

[24] Nichols, E., & Katz, J. (2016). *What respondents think the census collects: Do we collect names of children?* U.S. Census Bureau internal presentation. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Census Bureau.

[25] West, K. & Robinson, J.G. (1999). *What do we know about the undercount or children?* Population Division Working Paper. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Census Bureau.

[26] Konicki, S. (2015). *The undercount of young children in the 2010 census.* Paper presented at the U.S. Census Bureau National Advisory Committee Meetings, Washington, D.C.

[27] U.S. Census Bureau. (2015). *2020 census operational plan*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Census Bureau.

[28] O'Hare 2015

[29] U.S. Census Bureau 2015

[30] U.S. Census Bureau 2015

[31] U.S. Census Bureau 2015

[32] Adlakha, A. L., Robinson, J. G., West, K. K., & Bruce, A. (2003). *Assessment of consistency of census data with demographic benchmarks at the subnational level.* Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Population Association of America, Minneapolis, MN.

[33] Cohn, D. (2011). *How good is the 2010 census count? An update*. Washington, D.C.: Pew Research Center.

[34] Mayol-Garcia, Y., & Robinson, J. G. (2011). *Census 2010 counts compared to the 2010 population estimates by demographic characteristics.* Paper presented at the Southern Demographic Association Conference, Tallahassee, FL.

[35] Robinson, J. G., Ahmed, B., Gupta, P. D., & Woodrow, K. A. (1993). Estimation of population coverage in the 1990 United States Census based on demographic analysis. *Journal of the American Statistical Association, 88*(423), 1061-1071.

[36] Siegel, J. S., Passel, J. S., Rives, J., N., & Robinson, J. G. (1977). *Developmental estimates of the coverage of the population of states in the 1970 census: Demographic analysis*. Current Population Reports. Series special studies, *23*(65).

[37] O'Hare, W.P. (2014). State-level 2010 census coverage rates for young children. *Population Research and Policy Review, 33*(6), 797-816.

[38] O'Hare 2015

[39] Yowell, T., & Devine, J. (2013). *Evaluating current and alternative methods to produce 2010 country population estimates*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Census Bureau.

2014 CITY OF LOS ANGELES - DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING

Arleta - Pacoima

COMMUNITY PLAN AREA - DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE

(SOURCE: American Community Survey (ACS) 2010-2014) 10.3 square mile study area (approximate)**

POPULATION		percent
Total person	**101,979**	
persons in households	100,904	99%
persons in group quarters	394	0%
Persons per square mile	**9,860**	
Growth in Population		Percent
April 1st, 2010	103,252	Change
population growth	-1,273	**-1.2%**

RACE/ETHNICITY		percent
Race		
White	64,386	63.1%
Black or African American	3,638	3.6%
American Indian and Alaska Native	916	0.9%
Asian	3,808	3.7%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	83	0.1%
Some Other Race	26,925	26.4%
Two or More Races:	2,222	2.2%
Hispanic or Latino Origin by Race		
Not Hispanic or Latino:	**11,403**	**11%**
White	3,730	32.7%
Black or African American	3,443	30.2%
American Indian and Alaska Native	111	1.0%
Asian	3,707	32.5%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	24	0.2%
Some Other Race	70	0.6%
Two or More Races:	318	2.8%
Hispanic or Latino:	**90,576**	**89%**
White	60,656	67.0%
Black or African American	195	0.2%
American Indian and Alaska Native	805	0.9%
Asian	101	0.1%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	59	0.1%
Some Other Race	26,855	29.6%
Two or More Races:	1,904	2.1%

GENDER AND AGE		percent
Total Males	**50,921**	**50%**
Under 5 years old	4,116	8%
5 to 9 years old	4,151	8%
10 to 17 years old	5,986	12%
18 to 21 years old	3,562	7%
22 to 34 years old	11,083	22%
35 to 59 years old	16,404	32%
60 to 64 years old	1,813	4%
65 to 74 years old	2,167	4%
75 or older	1,638	3%
Total Females	**51,057**	**50%**
Under 5 years old	4,529	9%
5 to 9 years old	3,905	8%
10 to 17 years old	6,322	12%
18 to 21 years old	3,447	7%
22 to 34 years old	10,657	21%
35 to 59 years old	15,603	31%
60 to 64 years old	1,813	4%
65 to 74 years old	2,561	5%
75 or older	2,220	4%

2014 POPULATION PYRAMID



CHANGE (2010* TO 2014)

GENDER	number	percent
Total males	-1,235	-2.4%
Total females	-38	-0.1%
AGE		
Under 5 years old	-140	-1.6%
5 to 9 years old	-381	-4.5%
10 to 17 years old	-2,057	-14.3%
School age (5 to 17 years old)	**-2,438**	**-10.7%**
18 to 21 years old	-584	-7.7%
22 to 34 years old	147	0.7%
35 to 59 years old	671	2.1%
60 to 64 years old	256	7.6%
65 to 74 years old	340	7.8%
75 or older	475	14.0%
HOUSING		
Total units	-620	-2.7%
Occupied units	-369	-1.7%
Owner occupied	-425	-3.3%
Renter occupied	55	0.6%

HISPANIC OR LATINO and mixed race categories.
RACE-For the first time, since the year 2000 Census of Population and Housing permitted persons to check more than one category to define their race. The selection of categories and the option to choose more than one is strictly a matter of personal choice and personal preference. The choices are: White, Black or African American, American Indian and Alaska Native, Asian, Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander, Some Other Race, and Two or More Races.

ETHNICITY- As in the two previous censuses, persons are also further self defined as being HISPANIC OR LATINO or NOT HISPANIC OR LATINO. It is important to note that this is a separate ETHNIC grouping as opposed to the RACIAL categories listed above.

*Represents 2010 Decennial Census. ** Calculated from Bureau of Census TIGER file.

2014 CITY OF LOS ANGELES - DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING

Bel Air - Beverly Crest

COMMUNITY PLAN AREA - DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE

(SOURCE: American Community Survey (ACS) 2010-2014) 15.1 square mile study area (approximate)**

POPULATION		percent
Total person	**20,034**	
persons in households	19,810	99%
persons in group quarters	132	1%
Persons per square mile	**1,324**	
Growth in Population		Percent
April 1st, 2010	20,934	Change
population growth	-900	**-4.3%**

RACE/ETHNICITY		percent
Race		
White	17,095	85.3%
Black or African American	334	1.7%
American Indian and Alaska Native	0	0.0%
Asian	1,527	7.6%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	0	0.0%
Some Other Race	262	1.3%
Two or More Races:	815	4.1%
Hispanic or Latino Origin by Race		
Not Hispanic or Latino:	**18,680**	**93%**
White	16,164	86.5%
Black or African American	291	1.6%
American Indian and Alaska Native	0	0.0%
Asian	1,527	8.2%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	0	0.0%
Some Other Race	40	0.2%
Two or More Races:	658	3.5%
Hispanic or Latino:	**1,354**	**7%**
White	931	68.8%
Black or African American	43	3.2%
American Indian and Alaska Native	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	0	0.0%
Some Other Race	222	16.4%
Two or More Races:	157	11.6%

GENDER AND AGE		percent
Total Males	**9,814**	**49%**
Under 5 years old	593	6%
5 to 9 years old	489	5%
10 to 17 years old	657	7%
18 to 21 years old	328	3%
22 to 34 years old	1,020	10%
35 to 59 years old	3,784	39%
60 to 64 years old	526	5%
65 to 74 years old	1,344	14%
75 or older	1,070	11%
Total Females	**10,220**	**51%**
Under 5 years old	518	5%
5 to 9 years old	686	7%
10 to 17 years old	787	8%
18 to 21 years old	326	3%
22 to 34 years old	1,081	11%
35 to 59 years old	3,763	37%
60 to 64 years old	892	9%
65 to 74 years old	1,189	12%
75 or older	976	10%

2014 POPULATION PYRAMID



CHANGE (2010* TO 2014)		
GENDER	**number**	**percent**
Total males	-524	-5.1%
Total females	-376	-3.6%
AGE		
Under 5 years old	-2	-0.2%
5 to 9 years old	-62	-5.0%
10 to 17 years old	-428	-22.8%
School age (5 to 17 years old)	**-489**	**-15.7%**
18 to 21 years old	79	13.8%
22 to 34 years old	71	3.5%
35 to 59 years old	-441	-5.5%
60 to 64 years old	-331	-18.9%
65 to 74 years old	230	10.0%
75 or older	-18	-0.9%
HOUSING		
Total units	6	0.1%
Occupied units	-395	-4.7%
Owner occupied	-430	-5.9%
Renter occupied	35	3.1%

HISPANIC OR LATINO and mixed race categories.
RACE-For the first time, since the year 2000 Census of Population and Housing permitted persons to check more than one category to define their race. The selection of categories and the option to choose more than one is strictly a matter of personal choice and personal preference. The choices are: White, Black or African American, American Indian and Alaska Native, Asian, Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander, Some Other Race, and Two or More Races.

ETHNICITY- As in the two previous censuses, persons are also further self defined as being HISPANIC OR LATINO or NOT HISPANIC OR LATINO. It is important to note that this is a separate ETHNIC grouping as opposed to the RACIAL categories listed above.

*Represents 2010 Decennial Census. ** Calculated from Bureau of Census TIGER file.

2014 CITY OF LOS ANGELES - DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING

Boyle Heights

COMMUNITY PLAN AREA - DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE

(SOURCE: American Community Survey (ACS) 2010-2014) 6.0 square mile study area (approximate)**

POPULATION		percent
Total person	**85,047**	
persons in households	84,404	99%
persons in group quarters	1,009	1%
Persons per square mile	**14,223**	

Growth in Population		Percent
April 1st, 2010	84,619	Change
population growth	428	**0.5%**

RACE/ETHNICITY		percent
Race		
White	46,650	54.9%
Black or African American	1,112	1.3%
American Indian and Alaska Native	553	0.7%
Asian	2,708	3.2%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	140	0.2%
Some Other Race	32,323	38.0%
Two or More Races:	1,561	1.8%
Hispanic or Latino Origin by Race		
Not Hispanic or Latino:	**5,544**	**7%**
White	1,964	35.4%
Black or African American	741	13.4%
American Indian and Alaska Native	61	1.1%
Asian	2,597	46.8%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	101	1.8%
Some Other Race	23	0.4%
Two or More Races:	57	1.0%
Hispanic or Latino:	**79,503**	**93%**
White	44,686	56.2%
Black or African American	370	0.5%
American Indian and Alaska Native	492	0.6%
Asian	111	0.1%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	39	0.0%
Some Other Race	32,300	40.6%
Two or More Races:	1,504	1.9%

CHANGE (2010* TO 2014)

GENDER	number	percent
Total males	447	1.1%
Total females	-19	0.0%
AGE		
Under 5 years old	-607	-8.3%
5 to 9 years old	-173	-2.5%
10 to 17 years old	-790	-6.6%
School age (5 to 17 years old)	**-964**	**-5.1%**
18 to 21 years old	847	14.0%
22 to 34 years old	-792	-4.6%
35 to 59 years old	1,026	4.1%
60 to 64 years old	683	24.6%
65 to 74 years old	2	0.0%
75 or older	234	6.1%
HOUSING		
Total units	235	1.0%
Occupied units	-14	-0.1%
Owner occupied	-384	-7.0%
Renter occupied	370	2.3%

GENDER AND AGE		percent
Total Males	**42,735**	**50%**
Under 5 years old	3,458	8%
5 to 9 years old	3,394	8%
10 to 17 years old	5,678	13%
18 to 21 years old	3,561	8%
22 to 34 years old	8,575	20%
35 to 59 years old	13,162	31%
60 to 64 years old	1,504	4%
65 to 74 years old	1,720	4%
75 or older	1,684	4%
Total Females	**42,312**	**50%**
Under 5 years old	3,280	8%
5 to 9 years old	3,280	8%
10 to 17 years old	5,494	13%
18 to 21 years old	3,338	8%
22 to 34 years old	7,744	18%
35 to 59 years old	12,712	30%
60 to 64 years old	1,951	5%
65 to 74 years old	2,124	5%
75 or older	2,388	6%

2014 POPULATION PYRAMID



HISPANIC OR LATINO and mixed race categories.
RACE-For the first time, since the year 2000 Census of Population and Housing permitted persons to check more than one category to define their race. The selection of categories and the option to choose more than one is strictly a matter of personal choice and personal preference. The choices are: White, Black or African American, American Indian and Alaska Native, Asian, Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander, Some Other Race, and Two or More Races.

ETHNICITY- As in the two previous censuses, persons are also further self defined as being HISPANIC OR LATINO or NOT HISPANIC OR LATINO. It is important to note that this is a separate ETHNIC grouping as opposed to the RACIAL categories listed above.

*Represents 2010 Decennial Census. ** Calculated from Bureau of Census TIGER file.

2014 CITY OF LOS ANGELES - DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING

Brentwood - Pacific Palisades

COMMUNITY PLAN AREA - DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE

(SOURCE: American Community Survey (ACS) 2010-2014) 38.1 square mile study area (approximate)**

POPULATION		percent
Total person	**57,278**	
persons in households	56,542	99%
persons in group quarters	736	1%
Persons per square mile	**1,505**	
Growth in Population		Percent
April 1st, 2010	57,060	Change
population growth	218	**0.4%**

RACE/ETHNICITY		percent
Race		
White	50,008	87.3%
Black or African American	576	1.0%
American Indian and Alaska Native	96	0.2%
Asian	4,045	7.1%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	33	0.1%
Some Other Race	381	0.7%
Two or More Races:	2,140	3.7%
Hispanic or Latino Origin by Race		
Not Hispanic or Latino:	**54,014**	**94%**
White	47,350	87.7%
Black or African American	576	1.1%
American Indian and Alaska Native	95	0.2%
Asian	4,024	7.4%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	33	0.1%
Some Other Race	35	0.1%
Two or More Races:	1,900	3.5%
Hispanic or Latino:	**3,265**	**6%**
White	2,658	81.4%
Black or African American	0	0.0%
American Indian and Alaska Native	1	0.0%
Asian	21	0.6%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	0	0.0%
Some Other Race	346	10.6%
Two or More Races:	239	7.3%

GENDER AND AGE		percent
Total Males	**26,566**	**46%**
Under 5 years old	1,335	5%
5 to 9 years old	1,668	6%
10 to 17 years old	2,870	11%
18 to 21 years old	531	2%
22 to 34 years old	3,687	14%
35 to 59 years old	8,926	34%
60 to 64 years old	2,023	8%
65 to 74 years old	2,961	11%
75 or older	2,567	10%
Total Females	**30,712**	**54%**
Under 5 years old	1,504	5%
5 to 9 years old	1,614	5%
10 to 17 years old	2,345	8%
18 to 21 years old	1,088	4%
22 to 34 years old	4,988	16%
35 to 59 years old	10,710	35%
60 to 64 years old	2,211	7%
65 to 74 years old	3,373	11%
75 or older	2,877	9%

2014 POPULATION PYRAMID



CHANGE (2010* TO 2014)

GENDER	number	percent
Total males	-91	-0.3%
Total females	309	1.0%
AGE		
Under 5 years old	58	2.1%
5 to 9 years old	112	3.5%
10 to 17 years old	174	3.5%
School age (5 to 17 years old)	**286**	**3.5%**
18 to 21 years old	-85	-5.0%
22 to 34 years old	-288	-3.2%
35 to 59 years old	-892	-4.3%
60 to 64 years old	279	7.1%
65 to 74 years old	720	12.8%
75 or older	140	2.6%
HOUSING		
Total units	-320	-1.2%
Occupied units	-472	-1.9%
Owner occupied	-453	-2.8%
Renter occupied	-19	-0.2%

HISPANIC OR LATINO and mixed race categories.
RACE-For the first time, since the year 2000 Census of Population and Housing permitted persons to check more than one category to define their race. The selection of categories and the option to choose more than one is strictly a matter of personal choice and personal preference. The choices are: White, Black or African American, American Indian and Alaska Native, Asian, Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander, Some Other Race, and Two or More Races.

ETHNICITY- As in the two previous censuses, persons are also further self defined as being HISPANIC OR LATINO or NOT HISPANIC OR LATINO. It is important to note that this is a separate ETHNIC grouping as opposed to the RACIAL categories listed above.

*Represents 2010 Decennial Census. ** Calculated from Bureau of Census TIGER file.

2014 CITY OF LOS ANGELES - DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING

Canoga Park - Winnetka - Woodland Hills - West Hills

COMMUNITY PLAN AREA - DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE

(SOURCE: American Community Survey (ACS) 2010-2014) 28.0 square mile study area (approximate)**

POPULATION		percent
Total person	**184,960**	
persons in households	183,226	99%
persons in group quarters	1,606	1%
Persons per square mile	**6,610**	
Growth in Population		Percent
April 1st, 2010	175,476	Change
population growth	9,484	**5.4%**

RACE/ETHNICITY		percent
Race		
White	114,697	62.0%
Black or African American	8,680	4.7%
American Indian and Alaska Native	1,061	0.6%
Asian	24,746	13.4%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	146	0.1%
Some Other Race	26,268	14.2%
Two or More Races:	9,362	5.1%
Hispanic or Latino Origin by Race		
Not Hispanic or Latino:	**125,273**	**68%**
White	85,543	68.3%
Black or African American	8,454	6.7%
American Indian and Alaska Native	692	0.6%
Asian	24,342	19.4%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	83	0.1%
Some Other Race	194	0.2%
Two or More Races:	5,970	4.8%
Hispanic or Latino:	**59,687**	**32%**
White	29,155	48.8%
Black or African American	226	0.4%
American Indian and Alaska Native	368	0.6%
Asian	404	0.7%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	63	0.1%
Some Other Race	26,074	43.7%
Two or More Races:	3,396	5.7%

GENDER AND AGE		percent
Total Males	**92,946**	**50%**
Under 5 years old	5,986	6%
5 to 9 years old	6,268	7%
10 to 17 years old	9,433	10%
18 to 21 years old	4,899	5%
22 to 34 years old	18,393	20%
35 to 59 years old	32,669	35%
60 to 64 years old	4,544	5%
65 to 74 years old	6,062	7%
75 or older	4,692	5%
Total Females	**92,014**	**50%**
Under 5 years old	5,286	6%
5 to 9 years old	5,665	6%
10 to 17 years old	8,580	9%
18 to 21 years old	3,971	4%
22 to 34 years old	16,369	18%
35 to 59 years old	33,058	36%
60 to 64 years old	5,305	6%
65 to 74 years old	6,746	7%
75 or older	7,036	8%

2014 POPULATION PYRAMID



CHANGE (2010* TO 2014)		
GENDER	**number**	**percent**
Total males	5,669	6.5%
Total females	3,815	4.3%
AGE		
Under 5 years old	531	4.9%
5 to 9 years old	1,196	11.1%
10 to 17 years old	-32	-0.2%
School age (5 to 17 years old)	**1,164**	**4.0%**
18 to 21 years old	277	3.2%
22 to 34 years old	2,680	8.4%
35 to 59 years old	2,227	3.5%
60 to 64 years old	843	9.4%
65 to 74 years old	1,502	13.3%
75 or older	261	2.3%
HOUSING		
Total units	1,241	1.9%
Occupied units	1,486	2.4%
Owner occupied	-741	-2.0%
Renter occupied	2,227	8.7%

HISPANIC OR LATINO and mixed race categories.
RACE-For the first time, since the year 2000 Census of Population and Housing permitted persons to check more than one category to define their race. The selection of categories and the option to choose more than one is strictly a matter of personal choice and personal preference. The choices are: White, Black or African American, American Indian and Alaska Native, Asian, Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander, Some Other Race, and Two or More Races.

ETHNICITY- As in the two previous censuses, persons are also further self defined as being HISPANIC OR LATINO or NOT HISPANIC OR LATINO. It is important to note that this is a separate ETHNIC grouping as opposed to the RACIAL categories listed above.

*Represents 2010 Decennial Census. ** Calculated from Bureau of Census TIGER file.

2014 CITY OF LOS ANGELES - DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING

Central City

COMMUNITY PLAN AREA - DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE

(SOURCE: American Community Survey (ACS) 2010-2014) 3.5 square mile study area (approximate)**

POPULATION		percent
Total person	**34,721**	
persons in households	29,648	85%
persons in group quarters	5,209	18%
Persons per square mile	**9,943**	
Growth in Population		Percent
April 1st, 2010	37,675	Change
population growth	-2,954	**-7.8%**

RACE/ETHNICITY		percent
Race		
White	13,110	37.8%
Black or African American	6,519	18.8%
American Indian and Alaska Native	232	0.7%
Asian	8,556	24.6%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	127	0.4%
Some Other Race	4,699	13.5%
Two or More Races:	1,479	4.3%
Hispanic or Latino Origin by Race		
Not Hispanic or Latino:	**26,458**	**76%**
White	10,110	38.2%
Black or African American	6,488	24.5%
American Indian and Alaska Native	114	0.4%
Asian	8,484	32.1%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	127	0.5%
Some Other Race	211	0.8%
Two or More Races:	925	3.5%
Hispanic or Latino:	**8,263**	**24%**
White	3,000	36.3%
Black or African American	31	0.4%
American Indian and Alaska Native	118	1.4%
Asian	72	0.9%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	0	0.0%
Some Other Race	4,488	54.3%
Two or More Races:	554	6.7%

GENDER AND AGE		percent
Total Males	**20,146**	**58%**
Under 5 years old	539	3%
5 to 9 years old	187	1%
10 to 17 years old	411	2%
18 to 21 years old	435	2%
22 to 34 years old	6,172	31%
35 to 59 years old	8,769	44%
60 to 64 years old	1,201	6%
65 to 74 years old	1,225	6%
75 or older	1,207	6%
Total Females	**14,576**	**42%**
Under 5 years old	455	3%
5 to 9 years old	288	2%
10 to 17 years old	392	3%
18 to 21 years old	624	4%
22 to 34 years old	4,675	32%
35 to 59 years old	4,538	31%
60 to 64 years old	807	6%
65 to 74 years old	889	6%
75 or older	1,907	13%

2014 POPULATION PYRAMID



CHANGE (2010* TO 2014)

GENDER	number	percent
Total males	-2,721	-11.9%
Total females	-233	-1.6%
AGE		
Under 5 years old	217	28.0%
5 to 9 years old	-106	-18.3%
10 to 17 years old	-182	-18.5%
School age (5 to 17 years old)	**-289**	**-18.4%**
18 to 21 years old	-610	-36.5%
22 to 34 years old	-223	-2.0%
35 to 59 years old	-1,854	-12.2%
60 to 64 years old	48	2.4%
65 to 74 years old	-392	-15.6%
75 or older	149	5.0%
HOUSING		
Total units	-145	-0.6%
Occupied units	37	0.2%
Owner occupied	308	15.4%
Renter occupied	-272	-1.5%

HISPANIC OR LATINO and mixed race categories.
RACE-For the first time, since the year 2000 Census of Population and Housing permitted persons to check more than one category to define their race. The selection of categories and the option to choose more than one is strictly a matter of personal choice and personal preference. The choices are: White, Black or African American, American Indian and Alaska Native, Asian, Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander, Some Other Race, and Two or More Races.

ETHNICITY- As in the two previous censuses, persons are also further self defined as being HISPANIC OR LATINO or NOT HISPANIC OR LATINO. It is important to note that this is a separate ETHNIC grouping as opposed to the RACIAL categories listed above.

*Represents 2010 Decennial Census. ** Calculated from Bureau of Census TIGER file.

2014 CITY OF LOS ANGELES - DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING

Central City North

COMMUNITY PLAN AREA - DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE

(SOURCE: American Community Survey (ACS) 2010-2014) 3.2 square mile study area (approximate)**

POPULATION		percent
Total person	**21,519**	
persons in households	14,148	66%
persons in group quarters	7,166	51%
Persons per square mile	**6,810**	
Growth in Population		Percent
April 1st, 2010	22,135	Change
population growth	-616	**-2.8%**

RACE/ETHNICITY		percent
Race		
White	6,402	29.8%
Black or African American	3,177	14.8%
American Indian and Alaska Native	128	0.6%
Asian	8,579	39.9%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	100	0.5%
Some Other Race	2,595	12.1%
Two or More Races:	538	2.5%
Hispanic or Latino Origin by Race		
Not Hispanic or Latino:	**15,979**	**74%**
White	3,721	23.3%
Black or African American	3,155	19.7%
American Indian and Alaska Native	58	0.4%
Asian	8,532	53.4%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	100	0.6%
Some Other Race	50	0.3%
Two or More Races:	364	2.3%
Hispanic or Latino:	**5,540**	**26%**
White	2,682	48.4%
Black or African American	22	0.4%
American Indian and Alaska Native	70	1.3%
Asian	47	0.9%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	0	0.0%
Some Other Race	2,545	45.9%
Two or More Races:	174	3.1%

CHANGE (2010* TO 2014)

GENDER	number	percent
Total males	-1,014	-6.8%
Total females	398	5.4%
AGE		
Under 5 years old	105	17.3%
5 to 9 years old	30	5.0%
10 to 17 years old	-238	-22.5%
School age (5 to 17 years old)	**-209**	**-12.7%**
18 to 21 years old	-209	-12.9%
22 to 34 years old	-238	-3.4%
35 to 59 years old	-460	-5.6%
60 to 64 years old	328	38.2%
65 to 74 years old	106	9.8%
75 or older	-40	-3.5%
HOUSING		
Total units	-36	-0.5%
Occupied units	-154	-2.6%
Owner occupied	8	0.8%
Renter occupied	-163	-3.2%

GENDER AND AGE		percent
Total Males	**13,793**	**64%**
Under 5 years old	290	2%
5 to 9 years old	294	2%
10 to 17 years old	425	3%
18 to 21 years old	1,125	8%
22 to 34 years old	4,482	32%
35 to 59 years old	5,357	39%
60 to 64 years old	677	5%
65 to 74 years old	654	5%
75 or older	488	4%
Total Females	**7,726**	**36%**
Under 5 years old	419	5%
5 to 9 years old	324	4%
10 to 17 years old	396	5%
18 to 21 years old	287	4%
22 to 34 years old	2,279	30%
35 to 59 years old	2,385	31%
60 to 64 years old	509	7%
65 to 74 years old	535	7%
75 or older	590	8%

2014 POPULATION PYRAMID



HISPANIC OR LATINO and mixed race categories.
RACE-For the first time, since the year 2000 Census of Population and Housing permitted persons to check more than one category to define their race. The selection of categories and the option to choose more than one is strictly a matter of personal choice and personal preference. The choices are: White, Black or African American, American Indian and Alaska Native, Asian, Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander, Some Other Race, and Two or More Races.

ETHNICITY- As in the two previous censuses, persons are also further self defined as being HISPANIC OR LATINO or NOT HISPANIC OR LATINO. It is important to note that this is a separate ETHNIC grouping as opposed to the RACIAL categories listed above.

*Represents 2010 Decennial Census. ** Calculated from Bureau of Census TIGER file.

2014 CITY OF LOS ANGELES - DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING

Chatsworth - Porter Ranch

COMMUNITY PLAN AREA - DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE

(SOURCE: American Community Survey (ACS) 2010-2014) 24.3 square mile study area (approximate)**

POPULATION		percent
Total person	**99,412**	
persons in households	98,058	99%
persons in group quarters	1,339	1%
Persons per square mile	**4,094**	
Growth in Population		Percent
April 1st, 2010	93,251	Change
population growth	6,161	**6.6%**

RACE/ETHNICITY		percent
Race		
White	55,359	55.7%
Black or African American	3,676	3.7%
American Indian and Alaska Native	469	0.5%
Asian	20,851	21.0%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	207	0.2%
Some Other Race	14,373	14.5%
Two or More Races:	4,478	4.5%
Hispanic or Latino Origin by Race		
Not Hispanic or Latino:	**69,840**	**70%**
White	42,180	60.4%
Black or African American	3,581	5.1%
American Indian and Alaska Native	280	0.4%
Asian	20,680	29.6%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	207	0.3%
Some Other Race	161	0.2%
Two or More Races:	2,750	3.9%
Hispanic or Latino:	**29,572**	**30%**
White	13,179	44.6%
Black or African American	95	0.3%
American Indian and Alaska Native	189	0.6%
Asian	171	0.6%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	0	0.0%
Some Other Race	14,212	48.1%
Two or More Races:	1,727	5.8%

CHANGE (2010* TO 2014)

GENDER	number	percent
Total males	2,503	5.5%
Total females	3,658	7.7%
AGE		
Under 5 years old	685	13.6%
5 to 9 years old	748	13.8%
10 to 17 years old	3	0.0%
School age (5 to 17 years old)	**751**	**4.9%**
18 to 21 years old	-305	-6.5%
22 to 34 years old	994	6.7%
35 to 59 years old	1,861	5.4%
60 to 64 years old	345	5.9%
65 to 74 years old	1,142	15.4%
75 or older	688	11.7%
HOUSING		
Total units	734	2.2%
Occupied units	950	2.9%
Owner occupied	83	0.4%
Renter occupied	867	8.1%

GENDER AND AGE		percent
Total Males	**47,993**	**48%**
Under 5 years old	3,124	7%
5 to 9 years old	2,773	6%
10 to 17 years old	5,064	11%
18 to 21 years old	2,218	5%
22 to 34 years old	7,876	16%
35 to 59 years old	17,361	36%
60 to 64 years old	2,941	6%
65 to 74 years old	3,753	8%
75 or older	2,882	6%
Total Females	**51,419**	**52%**
Under 5 years old	2,584	5%
5 to 9 years old	3,384	7%
10 to 17 years old	4,868	9%
18 to 21 years old	2,156	4%
22 to 34 years old	8,057	16%
35 to 59 years old	18,651	36%
60 to 64 years old	3,214	6%
65 to 74 years old	4,805	9%
75 or older	3,700	7%



HISPANIC OR LATINO and mixed race categories.
RACE-For the first time, since the year 2000 Census of Population and Housing permitted persons to check more than one category to define their race. The selection of categories and the option to choose more than one is strictly a matter of personal choice and personal preference. The choices are: White, Black or African American, American Indian and Alaska Native, Asian, Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander, Some Other Race, and Two or More Races.

ETHNICITY- As in the two previous censuses, persons are also further self defined as being HISPANIC OR LATINO or NOT HISPANIC OR LATINO. It is important to note that this is a separate ETHNIC grouping as opposed to the RACIAL categories listed above.

*Represents 2010 Decennial Census. ** Calculated from Bureau of Census TIGER file.

2014 CITY OF LOS ANGELES - DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING

Encino - Tarzana

COMMUNITY PLAN AREA - DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE

(SOURCE: American Community Survey (ACS) 2010-2014) 20.3 square mile study area (approximate)**

POPULATION		percent
Total person	**74,762**	
persons in households	74,368	99%
persons in group quarters	482	1%
Persons per square mile	**3,684**	
Growth in Population		Percent
April 1st, 2010	72,018	Change
population growth	2,744	**3.8%**

RACE/ETHNICITY		percent
Race		
White	59,787	80.0%
Black or African American	2,589	3.5%
American Indian and Alaska Native	114	0.2%
Asian	5,318	7.1%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	16	0.0%
Some Other Race	3,675	4.9%
Two or More Races:	3,264	4.4%
Hispanic or Latino Origin by Race		
Not Hispanic or Latino:	**65,006**	**87%**
White	54,206	83.4%
Black or African American	2,508	3.9%
American Indian and Alaska Native	91	0.1%
Asian	5,198	8.0%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	16	0.0%
Some Other Race	170	0.3%
Two or More Races:	2,817	4.3%
Hispanic or Latino:	**9,757**	**13%**
White	5,581	57.2%
Black or African American	81	0.8%
American Indian and Alaska Native	23	0.2%
Asian	120	1.2%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	0	0.0%
Some Other Race	3,505	35.9%
Two or More Races:	447	4.6%

CHANGE (2010* TO 2014)

GENDER	number	percent
Total males	1,175	3.4%
Total females	1,569	4.2%
AGE		
Under 5 years old	201	5.1%
5 to 9 years old	-37	-1.0%
10 to 17 years old	575	9.2%
School age (5 to 17 years old)	**538**	**5.3%**
18 to 21 years old	71	2.9%
22 to 34 years old	1,396	12.4%
35 to 59 years old	-258	-1.0%
60 to 64 years old	611	12.8%
65 to 74 years old	781	12.0%
75 or older	-595	-8.5%
HOUSING		
Total units	290	0.9%
Occupied units	197	0.7%
Owner occupied	-626	-3.4%
Renter occupied	823	7.1%

GENDER AND AGE		percent
Total Males	**35,634**	**48%**
Under 5 years old	2,014	6%
5 to 9 years old	1,998	6%
10 to 17 years old	3,373	9%
18 to 21 years old	1,157	3%
22 to 34 years old	6,415	18%
35 to 59 years old	12,003	34%
60 to 64 years old	2,480	7%
65 to 74 years old	3,277	9%
75 or older	2,916	8%
Total Females	**39,128**	**52%**
Under 5 years old	2,151	5%
5 to 9 years old	1,792	5%
10 to 17 years old	3,481	9%
18 to 21 years old	1,337	3%
22 to 34 years old	6,244	16%
35 to 59 years old	13,743	35%
60 to 64 years old	2,886	7%
65 to 74 years old	4,033	10%
75 or older	3,462	9%



HISPANIC OR LATINO and mixed race categories.
RACE-For the first time, since the year 2000 Census of Population and Housing permitted persons to check more than one category to define their race. The selection of categories and the option to choose more than one is strictly a matter of personal choice and personal preference. The choices are: White, Black or African American, American Indian and Alaska Native, Asian, Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander, Some Other Race, and Two or More Races.

ETHNICITY- As in the two previous censuses, persons are also further self defined as being HISPANIC OR LATINO or NOT HISPANIC OR LATINO. It is important to note that this is a separate ETHNIC grouping as opposed to the RACIAL categories listed above.

*Represents 2010 Decennial Census. ** Calculated from Bureau of Census TIGER file.

2014 CITY OF LOS ANGELES - DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING

Granada Hills - Knollwood

COMMUNITY PLAN AREA - DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE

(SOURCE: American Community Survey (ACS) 2010-2014) 16.2 square mile study area (approximate)**

POPULATION		percent
Total person	**62,606**	
persons in households	61,852	99%
persons in group quarters	772	1%
Persons per square mile	**3,862**	
Growth in Population		Percent
April 1st, 2010	60,690	Change
population growth	1,916	**3.2%**

RACE/ETHNICITY		percent
Race		
White	39,122	62.5%
Black or African American	2,825	4.5%
American Indian and Alaska Native	132	0.2%
Asian	10,061	16.1%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	208	0.3%
Some Other Race	7,023	11.2%
Two or More Races:	3,235	5.2%
Hispanic or Latino Origin by Race		
Not Hispanic or Latino:	**43,310**	**69%**
White	27,916	64.5%
Black or African American	2,739	6.3%
American Indian and Alaska Native	86	0.2%
Asian	9,930	22.9%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	194	0.4%
Some Other Race	136	0.3%
Two or More Races:	2,309	5.3%
Hispanic or Latino:	**19,297**	**31%**
White	11,207	58.1%
Black or African American	86	0.4%
American Indian and Alaska Native	46	0.2%
Asian	131	0.7%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	14	0.1%
Some Other Race	6,887	35.7%
Two or More Races:	926	4.8%

GENDER AND AGE		percent
Total Males	**30,717**	**49%**
Under 5 years old	1,519	5%
5 to 9 years old	1,676	5%
10 to 17 years old	3,768	12%
18 to 21 years old	1,720	6%
22 to 34 years old	4,623	15%
35 to 59 years old	11,465	37%
60 to 64 years old	1,811	6%
65 to 74 years old	2,484	8%
75 or older	1,651	5%
Total Females	**31,890**	**51%**
Under 5 years old	1,568	5%
5 to 9 years old	1,678	5%
10 to 17 years old	3,438	11%
18 to 21 years old	1,420	4%
22 to 34 years old	5,005	16%
35 to 59 years old	11,592	36%
60 to 64 years old	1,917	6%
65 to 74 years old	2,732	9%
75 or older	2,540	8%

2014 POPULATION PYRAMID



CHANGE (2010* TO 2014)		
GENDER	**number**	**percent**
Total males	1,133	3.8%
Total females	783	2.5%
AGE		
Under 5 years old	131	4.4%
5 to 9 years old	-10	-0.3%
10 to 17 years old	420	6.2%
School age (5 to 17 years old)	**410**	**4.0%**
18 to 21 years old	-359	-10.3%
22 to 34 years old	103	1.1%
35 to 59 years old	591	2.6%
60 to 64 years old	324	9.5%
65 to 74 years old	890	20.6%
75 or older	-173	-4.0%
HOUSING		
Total units	187	0.9%
Occupied units	132	0.7%
Owner occupied	-260	-1.8%
Renter occupied	392	6.7%

HISPANIC OR LATINO and mixed race categories.
RACE-For the first time, since the year 2000 Census of Population and Housing permitted persons to check more than one category to define their race. The selection of categories and the option to choose more than one is strictly a matter of personal choice and personal preference. The choices are: White, Black or African American, American Indian and Alaska Native, Asian, Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander, Some Other Race, and Two or More Races.

ETHNICITY- As in the two previous censuses, persons are also further self defined as being HISPANIC OR LATINO or NOT HISPANIC OR LATINO. It is important to note that this is a separate ETHNIC grouping as opposed to the RACIAL categories listed above.

*Represents 2010 Decennial Census. ** Calculated from Bureau of Census TIGER file.

2014 CITY OF LOS ANGELES - DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING

Harbor Gateway

COMMUNITY PLAN AREA - DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE

(SOURCE: American Community Survey (ACS) 2010-2014) 5.1 square mile study area (approximate)**

POPULATION		percent
Total person	**40,232**	
persons in households	40,066	100%
persons in group quarters	166	0%
Persons per square mile	**7,943**	
Growth in Population		Percent
April 1st, 2010	40,136	Change
population growth	96	**0.2%**

RACE/ETHNICITY		percent
Race		
White	11,401	28.3%
Black or African American	7,330	18.2%
American Indian and Alaska Native	185	0.5%
Asian	7,078	17.6%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	103	0.3%
Some Other Race	12,177	30.3%
Two or More Races:	1,959	4.9%
Hispanic or Latino Origin by Race		
Not Hispanic or Latino:	**17,921**	**45%**
White	2,628	14.7%
Black or African American	7,147	39.9%
American Indian and Alaska Native	44	0.2%
Asian	6,942	38.7%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	103	0.6%
Some Other Race	44	0.2%
Two or More Races:	1,013	5.7%
Hispanic or Latino:	**22,311**	**55%**
White	8,773	39.3%
Black or African American	183	0.8%
American Indian and Alaska Native	141	0.6%
Asian	136	0.6%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	0	0.0%
Some Other Race	12,133	54.4%
Two or More Races:	946	4.2%

CHANGE (2010* TO 2014)

GENDER	number	percent
Total males	-1,196	-5.9%
Total females	1,292	6.5%
AGE		
Under 5 years old	-205	-6.5%
5 to 9 years old	-204	-6.7%
10 to 17 years old	134	2.6%
School age (5 to 17 years old)	**-70**	**-0.9%**
18 to 21 years old	45	1.8%
22 to 34 years old	-199	-2.4%
35 to 59 years old	102	0.8%
60 to 64 years old	194	13.4%
65 to 74 years old	304	15.6%
75 or older	-74	-4.5%
HOUSING		
Total units	242	2.0%
Occupied units	145	1.2%
Owner occupied	33	0.7%
Renter occupied	112	1.6%

GENDER AND AGE		percent
Total Males	**19,014**	**47%**
Under 5 years old	1,457	8%
5 to 9 years old	1,236	7%
10 to 17 years old	2,357	12%
18 to 21 years old	1,231	6%
22 to 34 years old	4,073	21%
35 to 59 years old	6,216	33%
60 to 64 years old	840	4%
65 to 74 years old	980	5%
75 or older	624	3%
Total Females	**21,218**	**53%**
Under 5 years old	1,498	7%
5 to 9 years old	1,613	8%
10 to 17 years old	2,840	13%
18 to 21 years old	1,364	6%
22 to 34 years old	4,066	19%
35 to 59 years old	6,794	32%
60 to 64 years old	803	4%
65 to 74 years old	1,276	6%
75 or older	965	5%



HISPANIC OR LATINO and mixed race categories.
RACE-For the first time, since the year 2000 Census of Population and Housing permitted persons to check more than one category to define their race. The selection of categories and the option to choose more than one is strictly a matter of personal choice and personal preference. The choices are: White, Black or African American, American Indian and Alaska Native, Asian, Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander, Some Other Race, and Two or More Races.

ETHNICITY- As in the two previous censuses, persons are also further self defined as being HISPANIC OR LATINO or NOT HISPANIC OR LATINO. It is important to note that this is a separate ETHNIC grouping as opposed to the RACIAL categories listed above.

*Represents 2010 Decennial Census. ** Calculated from Bureau of Census TIGER file.

2014 CITY OF LOS ANGELES - DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING

Hollywood

COMMUNITY PLAN AREA - DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE

(SOURCE: American Community Survey (ACS) 2010-2014) 25.1 square mile study area (approximate)**

POPULATION		percent
Total person	**202,357**	
persons in households	197,063	97%
persons in group quarters	5,508	3%
Persons per square mile	**8,063**	
Growth in Population		Percent
April 1st, 2010	198,228	Change
population growth	4,129	**2.1%**

RACE/ETHNICITY		percent
Race		
White	123,445	61.0%
Black or African American	8,451	4.2%
American Indian and Alaska Native	915	0.5%
Asian	20,559	10.2%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	218	0.1%
Some Other Race	39,522	19.5%
Two or More Races:	9,246	4.6%
Hispanic or Latino Origin by Race		
Not Hispanic or Latino:	**138,041**	**68%**
White	102,389	74.2%
Black or African American	8,114	5.9%
American Indian and Alaska Native	374	0.3%
Asian	20,272	14.7%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	125	0.1%
Some Other Race	829	0.6%
Two or More Races:	5,938	4.3%
Hispanic or Latino:	**64,315**	**32%**
White	21,056	32.7%
Black or African American	337	0.5%
American Indian and Alaska Native	541	0.8%
Asian	288	0.4%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	93	0.1%
Some Other Race	38,693	60.2%
Two or More Races:	3,308	5.1%

CHANGE (2010* TO 2014)

GENDER	number	percent
Total males	1,866	1.8%
Total females	2,263	2.4%
AGE		
Under 5 years old	822	9.9%
5 to 9 years old	144	2.1%
10 to 17 years old	-1,001	-8.5%
School age (5 to 17 years old)	**-857**	**-4.6%**
18 to 21 years old	192	2.1%
22 to 34 years old	2,186	3.8%
35 to 59 years old	107	0.1%
60 to 64 years old	882	9.8%
65 to 74 years old	974	8.5%
75 or older	-179	-1.6%
HOUSING		
Total units	1,218	1.2%
Occupied units	257	0.3%
Owner occupied	-870	-4.5%
Renter occupied	1,127	1.5%

GENDER AND AGE		percent
Total Males	**105,578**	**52%**
Under 5 years old	4,629	4%
5 to 9 years old	3,755	4%
10 to 17 years old	5,608	5%
18 to 21 years old	4,653	4%
22 to 34 years old	31,902	30%
35 to 59 years old	40,264	38%
60 to 64 years old	4,976	5%
65 to 74 years old	5,624	5%
75 or older	4,168	4%
Total Females	**96,778**	**48%**
Under 5 years old	4,514	5%
5 to 9 years old	3,354	3%
10 to 17 years old	5,201	5%
18 to 21 years old	4,629	5%
22 to 34 years old	27,766	29%
35 to 59 years old	33,028	34%
60 to 64 years old	4,882	5%
65 to 74 years old	6,781	7%
75 or older	6,622	7%

2014 POPULATION PYRAMID



HISPANIC OR LATINO and mixed race categories.
RACE-For the first time, since the year 2000 Census of Population and Housing permitted persons to check more than one category to define their race. The selection of categories and the option to choose more than one is strictly a matter of personal choice and personal preference. The choices are: White, Black or African American, American Indian and Alaska Native, Asian, Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander, Some Other Race, and Two or More Races.

ETHNICITY- As in the two previous censuses, persons are also further self defined as being HISPANIC OR LATINO or NOT HISPANIC OR LATINO. It is important to note that this is a separate ETHNIC grouping as opposed to the RACIAL categories listed above.

*Represents 2010 Decennial Census. ** Calculated from Bureau of Census TIGER file.

2014 CITY OF LOS ANGELES - DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING

Mission Hills - Panorama City - North Hills

COMMUNITY PLAN AREA - DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE

(SOURCE: American Community Survey (ACS) 2010-2014) 11.8 square mile study area (approximate)**

POPULATION		percent
Total person	**144,930**	
persons in households	143,946	99%
persons in group quarters	1,107	1%
Persons per square mile	**12,263**	
Growth in Population		Percent
April 1st, 2010	142,510	Change
population growth	2,420	**1.7%**

RACE/ETHNICITY		percent
Race		
White	74,107	51.1%
Black or African American	4,051	2.8%
American Indian and Alaska Native	469	0.3%
Asian	19,842	13.7%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	134	0.1%
Some Other Race	43,109	29.7%
Two or More Races:	3,219	2.2%
Hispanic or Latino Origin by Race		
Not Hispanic or Latino:	**43,788**	**30%**
White	18,629	42.5%
Black or African American	3,854	8.8%
American Indian and Alaska Native	106	0.2%
Asian	19,519	44.6%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	67	0.2%
Some Other Race	85	0.2%
Two or More Races:	1,528	3.5%
Hispanic or Latino:	**101,142**	**70%**
White	55,478	54.9%
Black or African American	197	0.2%
American Indian and Alaska Native	363	0.4%
Asian	323	0.3%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	67	0.1%
Some Other Race	43,024	42.5%
Two or More Races:	1,691	1.7%

CHANGE (2010* TO 2014)

GENDER	number	percent
Total males	882	1.2%
Total females	1,538	2.2%
AGE		
Under 5 years old	-111	-1.0%
5 to 9 years old	65	0.6%
10 to 17 years old	-665	-3.6%
School age (5 to 17 years old)	**-600**	**-2.0%**
18 to 21 years old	-150	-1.6%
22 to 34 years old	498	1.7%
35 to 59 years old	1,221	2.7%
60 to 64 years old	981	18.8%
65 to 74 years old	732	11.6%
75 or older	-152	-2.7%
HOUSING		
Total units	425	1.1%
Occupied units	448	1.2%
Owner occupied	58	0.3%
Renter occupied	390	1.9%

GENDER AND AGE		percent
Total Males	**72,285**	**50%**
Under 5 years old	6,007	8%
5 to 9 years old	5,554	8%
10 to 17 years old	9,282	13%
18 to 21 years old	4,941	7%
22 to 34 years old	15,427	21%
35 to 59 years old	23,138	32%
60 to 64 years old	2,796	4%
65 to 74 years old	3,155	4%
75 or older	1,985	3%
Total Females	**72,645**	**50%**
Under 5 years old	5,316	7%
5 to 9 years old	5,343	7%
10 to 17 years old	8,491	12%
18 to 21 years old	4,529	6%
22 to 34 years old	14,409	20%
35 to 59 years old	23,816	33%
60 to 64 years old	3,414	5%
65 to 74 years old	3,872	5%
75 or older	3,456	5%



HISPANIC OR LATINO and mixed race categories.
RACE-For the first time, since the year 2000 Census of Population and Housing permitted persons to check more than one category to define their race. The selection of categories and the option to choose more than one is strictly a matter of personal choice and personal preference. The choices are: White, Black or African American, American Indian and Alaska Native, Asian, Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander, Some Other Race, and Two or More Races.

ETHNICITY- As in the two previous censuses, persons are also further self defined as being HISPANIC OR LATINO or NOT HISPANIC OR LATINO. It is important to note that this is a separate ETHNIC grouping as opposed to the RACIAL categories listed above.

*Represents 2010 Decennial Census. ** Calculated from Bureau of Census TIGER file.

2014 CITY OF LOS ANGELES - DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING

Northeast Los Angeles

COMMUNITY PLAN AREA - DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE

(SOURCE: American Community Survey (ACS) 2010-2014) 24.5 square mile study area (approximate)**

POPULATION		percent
Total person	**237,207**	
persons in households	231,595	98%
persons in group quarters	5,249	2%
Persons per square mile	**9,683**	
Growth in Population		Percent
April 1st, 2010	237,256	Change
population growth	-49	**0.0%**

RACE/ETHNICITY		percent
Race		
White	117,214	49.4%
Black or African American	4,651	2.0%
American Indian and Alaska Native	1,361	0.6%
Asian	38,599	16.3%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	365	0.2%
Some Other Race	67,506	28.5%
Two or More Races:	7,511	3.2%
Hispanic or Latino Origin by Race		
Not Hispanic or Latino:	**81,446**	**34%**
White	35,249	43.3%
Black or African American	4,002	4.9%
American Indian and Alaska Native	336	0.4%
Asian	37,883	46.5%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	273	0.3%
Some Other Race	346	0.4%
Two or More Races:	3,356	4.1%
Hispanic or Latino:	**155,761**	**66%**
White	81,964	52.6%
Black or African American	649	0.4%
American Indian and Alaska Native	1,025	0.7%
Asian	716	0.5%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	92	0.1%
Some Other Race	67,160	43.1%
Two or More Races:	4,156	2.7%

GENDER AND AGE		percent
Total Males	**118,442**	**50%**
Under 5 years old	7,991	7%
5 to 9 years old	7,975	7%
10 to 17 years old	12,406	10%
18 to 21 years old	7,670	6%
22 to 34 years old	24,893	21%
35 to 59 years old	40,038	34%
60 to 64 years old	5,376	5%
65 to 74 years old	7,024	6%
75 or older	5,069	4%
Total Females	**118,765**	**50%**
Under 5 years old	7,080	6%
5 to 9 years old	6,304	5%
10 to 17 years old	10,871	9%
18 to 21 years old	7,875	7%
22 to 34 years old	22,618	19%
35 to 59 years old	41,139	35%
60 to 64 years old	6,404	5%
65 to 74 years old	8,743	7%
75 or older	7,731	7%

2014 POPULATION PYRAMID



CHANGE (2010* TO 2014)

GENDER	number	percent
Total males	1,025	0.9%
Total females	-1,074	-0.9%
AGE		
Under 5 years old	-897	-5.6%
5 to 9 years old	-808	-5.4%
10 to 17 years old	-3,711	-13.8%
School age (5 to 17 years old)	**-4,519**	**-10.7%**
18 to 21 years old	325	2.1%
22 to 34 years old	305	0.6%
35 to 59 years old	1,121	1.4%
60 to 64 years old	675	6.1%
65 to 74 years old	1,875	13.5%
75 or older	1,065	9.1%
HOUSING		
Total units	1,034	1.3%
Occupied units	398	0.5%
Owner occupied	-250	-0.8%
Renter occupied	648	1.6%

HISPANIC OR LATINO and mixed race categories.
RACE-For the first time, since the year 2000 Census of Population and Housing permitted persons to check more than one category to define their race. The selection of categories and the option to choose more than one is strictly a matter of personal choice and personal preference. The choices are: White, Black or African American, American Indian and Alaska Native, Asian, Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander, Some Other Race, and Two or More Races.

ETHNICITY- As in the two previous censuses, persons are also further self defined as being HISPANIC OR LATINO or NOT HISPANIC OR LATINO. It is important to note that this is a separate ETHNIC grouping as opposed to the RACIAL categories listed above.

*Represents 2010 Decennial Census. ** Calculated from Bureau of Census TIGER file.

2014 CITY OF LOS ANGELES - DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING

North Hollywood - Valley Village

COMMUNITY PLAN AREA - DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE

(SOURCE: American Community Survey (ACS) 2010-2014) 10.6 square mile study area (approximate)**

POPULATION		percent
Total person	**139,122**	
persons in households	138,230	99%
persons in group quarters	985	1%
Persons per square mile	**13,112**	

Growth in Population		Percent
April 1st, 2010	136,616	Change
population growth	2,506	**1.8%**

RACE/ETHNICITY		percent
Race		
White	88,623	63.7%
Black or African American	9,568	6.9%
American Indian and Alaska Native	676	0.5%
Asian	9,750	7.0%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	281	0.2%
Some Other Race	24,634	17.7%
Two or More Races:	5,591	4.0%
Hispanic or Latino Origin by Race		
Not Hispanic or Latino:	**77,815**	**56%**
White	55,796	71.7%
Black or African American	8,419	10.8%
American Indian and Alaska Native	132	0.2%
Asian	9,658	12.4%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	226	0.3%
Some Other Race	469	0.6%
Two or More Races:	3,115	4.0%
Hispanic or Latino:	**61,307**	**44%**
White	32,827	53.5%
Black or African American	1,149	1.9%
American Indian and Alaska Native	544	0.9%
Asian	92	0.1%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	55	0.1%
Some Other Race	24,165	39.4%
Two or More Races:	2,476	4.0%

GENDER AND AGE		percent
Total Males	**69,759**	**50%**
Under 5 years old	4,418	6%
5 to 9 years old	3,863	6%
10 to 17 years old	6,108	9%
18 to 21 years old	3,570	5%
22 to 34 years old	18,658	27%
35 to 59 years old	24,382	35%
60 to 64 years old	3,226	5%
65 to 74 years old	3,388	5%
75 or older	2,147	3%
Total Females	**69,363**	**50%**
Under 5 years old	4,154	6%
5 to 9 years old	3,561	5%
10 to 17 years old	5,486	8%
18 to 21 years old	3,719	5%
22 to 34 years old	17,376	25%
35 to 59 years old	24,396	35%
60 to 64 years old	3,246	5%
65 to 74 years old	4,047	6%
75 or older	3,378	5%

2014 POPULATION PYRAMID



CHANGE (2010* TO 2014)		
GENDER	**number**	**percent**
Total males	1,562	2.3%
Total females	944	1.4%
AGE		
Under 5 years old	116	1.4%
5 to 9 years old	-141	-1.9%
10 to 17 years old	-1,186	-9.3%
School age (5 to 17 years old)	**-1,327**	**-6.5%**
18 to 21 years old	-161	-2.2%
22 to 34 years old	2,319	6.9%
35 to 59 years old	585	1.2%
60 to 64 years old	515	8.7%
65 to 74 years old	667	9.8%
75 or older	-208	-3.6%
HOUSING		
Total units	527	0.9%
Occupied units	804	1.5%
Owner occupied	-303	-2.0%
Renter occupied	1,107	2.9%

HISPANIC OR LATINO and mixed race categories.
RACE-For the first time, since the year 2000 Census of Population and Housing permitted persons to check more than one category to define their race. The selection of categories and the option to choose more than one is strictly a matter of personal choice and personal preference. The choices are: White, Black or African American, American Indian and Alaska Native, Asian, Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander, Some Other Race, and Two or More Races.

ETHNICITY- As in the two previous censuses, persons are also further self defined as being HISPANIC OR LATINO or NOT HISPANIC OR LATINO. It is important to note that this is a separate ETHNIC grouping as opposed to the RACIAL categories listed above.

*Represents 2010 Decennial Census. ** Calculated from Bureau of Census TIGER file.

2014 CITY OF LOS ANGELES - DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING

Northridge

COMMUNITY PLAN AREA - DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE

(SOURCE: American Community Survey (ACS) 2010-2014) 9.9 square mile study area (approximate)**

POPULATION		percent
Total person	**69,479**	
persons in households	66,117	95%
persons in group quarters	3,360	5%
Persons per square mile	**7,018**	
Growth in Population		Percent
April 1st, 2010	66,906	Change
population growth	2,573	**3.8%**

RACE/ETHNICITY		percent
Race		
White	38,598	55.6%
Black or African American	4,870	7.0%
American Indian and Alaska Native	167	0.2%
Asian	12,278	17.7%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	160	0.2%
Some Other Race	10,599	15.3%
Two or More Races:	2,808	4.0%
Hispanic or Latino Origin by Race		
Not Hispanic or Latino:	**47,562**	**68%**
White	28,345	59.6%
Black or African American	4,683	9.8%
American Indian and Alaska Native	106	0.2%
Asian	12,154	25.6%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	148	0.3%
Some Other Race	178	0.4%
Two or More Races:	1,948	4.1%
Hispanic or Latino:	**21,917**	**32%**
White	10,253	46.8%
Black or African American	187	0.9%
American Indian and Alaska Native	61	0.3%
Asian	124	0.6%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	12	0.1%
Some Other Race	10,421	47.5%
Two or More Races:	860	3.9%

CHANGE (2010* TO 2014)

GENDER	number	percent
Total males	2,647	8.2%
Total females	-74	-0.2%
AGE		
Under 5 years old	441	13.5%
5 to 9 years old	223	6.7%
10 to 17 years old	-429	-6.4%
School age (5 to 17 years old)	**-207**	**-2.1%**
18 to 21 years old	670	9.4%
22 to 34 years old	1,123	8.8%
35 to 59 years old	-561	-2.6%
60 to 64 years old	623	18.5%
65 to 74 years old	168	3.8%
75 or older	315	7.0%
HOUSING		
Total units	39	0.2%
Occupied units	-11	-0.1%
Owner occupied	-610	-4.9%
Renter occupied	599	5.8%

GENDER AND AGE		percent
Total Males	**35,111**	**51%**
Under 5 years old	2,111	6%
5 to 9 years old	1,733	5%
10 to 17 years old	3,301	9%
18 to 21 years old	3,887	11%
22 to 34 years old	7,555	22%
35 to 59 years old	10,287	29%
60 to 64 years old	1,805	5%
65 to 74 years old	2,203	6%
75 or older	2,230	6%
Total Females	**34,368**	**49%**
Under 5 years old	1,599	5%
5 to 9 years old	1,816	5%
10 to 17 years old	2,986	9%
18 to 21 years old	3,908	11%
22 to 34 years old	6,377	19%
35 to 59 years old	10,498	31%
60 to 64 years old	2,182	6%
65 to 74 years old	2,392	7%
75 or older	2,610	8%



HISPANIC OR LATINO and mixed race categories.
RACE-For the first time, since the year 2000 Census of Population and Housing permitted persons to check more than one category to define their race. The selection of categories and the option to choose more than one is strictly a matter of personal choice and personal preference. The choices are: White, Black or African American, American Indian and Alaska Native, Asian, Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander, Some Other Race, and Two or More Races.

ETHNICITY- As in the two previous censuses, persons are also further self defined as being HISPANIC OR LATINO or NOT HISPANIC OR LATINO. It is important to note that this is a separate ETHNIC grouping as opposed to the RACIAL categories listed above.

*Represents 2010 Decennial Census. ** Calculated from Bureau of Census TIGER file.

2014 CITY OF LOS ANGELES - DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING

Palms - Mar Vista - Del Rey

COMMUNITY PLAN AREA - DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE

(SOURCE: American Community Survey (ACS) 2010-2014) 8.2 square mile study area (approximate)**

POPULATION		percent
Total person	**116,334**	
persons in households	115,442	99%
persons in group quarters	787	1%
Persons per square mile	**14,150**	
Growth in Population		Percent
April 1st, 2010	110,715	Change
population growth	5,619	**5.1%**

RACE/ETHNICITY		percent
Race		
White	71,714	61.6%
Black or African American	6,421	5.5%
American Indian and Alaska Native	1,683	1.4%
Asian	20,300	17.5%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	270	0.2%
Some Other Race	10,030	8.6%
Two or More Races:	5,916	5.1%
Hispanic or Latino Origin by Race		
Not Hispanic or Latino:	**80,408**	**69%**
White	49,034	61.0%
Black or African American	6,114	7.6%
American Indian and Alaska Native	231	0.3%
Asian	20,144	25.1%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	242	0.3%
Some Other Race	380	0.5%
Two or More Races:	4,264	5.3%
Hispanic or Latino:	**35,926**	**31%**
White	22,680	63.1%
Black or African American	307	0.9%
American Indian and Alaska Native	1,453	4.0%
Asian	156	0.4%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	28	0.1%
Some Other Race	9,650	26.9%
Two or More Races:	1,652	4.6%

CHANGE (2010* TO 2014)

GENDER	number	percent
Total males	3,374	6.1%
Total females	2,245	4.0%
AGE		
Under 5 years old	1,385	22.6%
5 to 9 years old	-48	-1.0%
10 to 17 years old	-11	-0.2%
School age (5 to 17 years old)	**-59**	**-0.5%**
18 to 21 years old	-589	-12.3%
22 to 34 years old	2,843	8.9%
35 to 59 years old	1,065	2.8%
60 to 64 years old	186	3.6%
65 to 74 years old	1,305	20.5%
75 or older	-516	-9.1%
HOUSING		
Total units	16	0.0%
Occupied units	195	0.4%
Owner occupied	-535	-3.5%
Renter occupied	730	2.1%

GENDER AND AGE		percent
Total Males	**58,376**	**50%**
Under 5 years old	4,031	7%
5 to 9 years old	2,767	5%
10 to 17 years old	3,624	6%
18 to 21 years old	2,274	4%
22 to 34 years old	17,302	30%
35 to 59 years old	20,458	35%
60 to 64 years old	2,406	4%
65 to 74 years old	3,416	6%
75 or older	2,099	4%
Total Females	**57,958**	**50%**
Under 5 years old	3,488	6%
5 to 9 years old	1,914	3%
10 to 17 years old	3,749	6%
18 to 21 years old	1,923	3%
22 to 34 years old	17,366	30%
35 to 59 years old	19,231	33%
60 to 64 years old	2,954	5%
65 to 74 years old	4,258	7%
75 or older	3,075	5%

2014 POPULATION PYRAMID



HISPANIC OR LATINO and mixed race categories.
RACE-For the first time, since the year 2000 Census of Population and Housing permitted persons to check more than one category to define their race. The selection of categories and the option to choose more than one is strictly a matter of personal choice and personal preference. The choices are: White, Black or African American, American Indian and Alaska Native, Asian, Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander, Some Other Race, and Two or More Races.

ETHNICITY- As in the two previous censuses, persons are also further self defined as being HISPANIC OR LATINO or NOT HISPANIC OR LATINO. It is important to note that this is a separate ETHNIC grouping as opposed to the RACIAL categories listed above.

*Represents 2010 Decennial Census. ** Calculated from Bureau of Census TIGER file.

2014 CITY OF LOS ANGELES - DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING

Reseda - West Van Nuys

COMMUNITY PLAN AREA - DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE

(SOURCE: American Community Survey (ACS) 2010-2014) 12.2 square mile study area (approximate)**

POPULATION		percent
Total person	**112,197**	
persons in households	111,118	99%
persons in group quarters	1,156	1%
Persons per square mile	**9,232**	
Growth in Population		Percent
April 1st, 2010	107,754	Change
population growth	4,443	**4.1%**

RACE/ETHNICITY		percent
Race		
White	74,262	66.2%
Black or African American	3,673	3.3%
American Indian and Alaska Native	493	0.4%
Asian	12,842	11.4%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	163	0.1%
Some Other Race	16,965	15.1%
Two or More Races:	3,799	3.4%
Hispanic or Latino Origin by Race		
Not Hispanic or Latino:	**54,967**	**49%**
White	35,932	65.4%
Black or African American	3,529	6.4%
American Indian and Alaska Native	103	0.2%
Asian	12,697	23.1%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	163	0.3%
Some Other Race	289	0.5%
Two or More Races:	2,254	4.1%
Hispanic or Latino:	**57,230**	**51%**
White	38,330	67.0%
Black or African American	144	0.3%
American Indian and Alaska Native	390	0.7%
Asian	145	0.3%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	0	0.0%
Some Other Race	16,676	29.1%
Two or More Races:	1,545	2.7%

CHANGE (2010* TO 2014)		
GENDER	**number**	**percent**
Total males	2,113	4.0%
Total females	2,331	4.3%
AGE		
Under 5 years old	825	11.5%
5 to 9 years old	318	4.7%
10 to 17 years old	-308	-2.6%
School age (5 to 17 years old)	**10**	**0.1%**
18 to 21 years old	166	2.7%
22 to 34 years old	903	4.3%
35 to 59 years old	906	2.4%
60 to 64 years old	758	15.2%
65 to 74 years old	591	10.5%
75 or older	286	4.8%
HOUSING		
Total units	404	1.1%
Occupied units	450	1.3%
Owner occupied	-288	-1.6%
Renter occupied	738	4.7%

GENDER AND AGE		percent
Total Males	**55,310**	**49%**
Under 5 years old	3,951	7%
5 to 9 years old	3,843	7%
10 to 17 years old	5,739	10%
18 to 21 years old	3,402	6%
22 to 34 years old	11,426	21%
35 to 59 years old	19,299	35%
60 to 64 years old	2,529	5%
65 to 74 years old	2,894	5%
75 or older	2,226	4%
Total Females	**56,888**	**51%**
Under 5 years old	4,015	7%
5 to 9 years old	3,260	6%
10 to 17 years old	5,648	10%
18 to 21 years old	2,986	5%
22 to 34 years old	10,376	18%
35 to 59 years old	20,116	35%
60 to 64 years old	3,209	6%
65 to 74 years old	3,304	6%
75 or older	3,973	7%



HISPANIC OR LATINO and mixed race categories.
RACE-For the first time, since the year 2000 Census of Population and Housing permitted persons to check more than one category to define their race. The selection of categories and the option to choose more than one is strictly a matter of personal choice and personal preference. The choices are: White, Black or African American, American Indian and Alaska Native, Asian, Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander, Some Other Race, and Two or More Races.

ETHNICITY- As in the two previous censuses, persons are also further self defined as being HISPANIC OR LATINO or NOT HISPANIC OR LATINO. It is important to note that this is a separate ETHNIC grouping as opposed to the RACIAL categories listed above.

*Represents 2010 Decennial Census. ** Calculated from Bureau of Census TIGER file.

2014 CITY OF LOS ANGELES - DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING

San Pedro

COMMUNITY PLAN AREA - DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE

(SOURCE: American Community Survey (ACS) 2010-2014) 7.5 square mile study area (approximate)**

POPULATION		percent
Total person	**78,276**	
persons in households	77,237	99%
persons in group quarters	901	1%
Persons per square mile	**10,494**	
Growth in Population		Percent
April 1st, 2010	76,651	Change
population growth	1,625	**2.1%**

RACE/ETHNICITY		percent
Race		
White	54,499	69.6%
Black or African American	4,639	5.9%
American Indian and Alaska Native	559	0.7%
Asian	4,767	6.1%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	350	0.4%
Some Other Race	7,365	9.4%
Two or More Races:	6,097	7.8%
Hispanic or Latino Origin by Race		
Not Hispanic or Latino:	**41,894**	**54%**
White	29,484	70.4%
Black or African American	4,182	10.0%
American Indian and Alaska Native	198	0.5%
Asian	4,367	10.4%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	339	0.8%
Some Other Race	132	0.3%
Two or More Races:	3,191	7.6%
Hispanic or Latino:	**36,383**	**46%**
White	25,015	68.8%
Black or African American	457	1.3%
American Indian and Alaska Native	361	1.0%
Asian	400	1.1%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	11	0.0%
Some Other Race	7,233	19.9%
Two or More Races:	2,906	8.0%

CHANGE (2010* TO 2014)

GENDER	number	percent
Total males	813	2.2%
Total females	812	2.1%
AGE		
Under 5 years old	-20	-0.4%
5 to 9 years old	60	1.2%
10 to 17 years old	185	2.3%
School age (5 to 17 years old)	**245**	**1.9%**
18 to 21 years old	-54	-1.3%
22 to 34 years old	401	3.0%
35 to 59 years old	618	2.3%
60 to 64 years old	373	9.0%
65 to 74 years old	242	4.7%
75 or older	-181	-3.8%
HOUSING		
Total units	361	1.1%
Occupied units	-166	-0.6%
Owner occupied	-686	-5.4%
Renter occupied	520	3.1%

GENDER AND AGE		percent
Total Males	**38,140**	**49%**
Under 5 years old	2,743	7%
5 to 9 years old	2,467	6%
10 to 17 years old	4,264	11%
18 to 21 years old	1,631	4%
22 to 34 years old	7,127	19%
35 to 59 years old	13,363	35%
60 to 64 years old	2,249	6%
65 to 74 years old	2,396	6%
75 or older	1,899	5%
Total Females	**40,137**	**51%**
Under 5 years old	2,441	6%
5 to 9 years old	2,587	6%
10 to 17 years old	3,958	10%
18 to 21 years old	2,343	6%
22 to 34 years old	6,473	16%
35 to 59 years old	14,411	36%
60 to 64 years old	2,264	6%
65 to 74 years old	3,037	8%
75 or older	2,624	7%



HISPANIC OR LATINO and mixed race categories.
RACE-For the first time, since the year 2000 Census of Population and Housing permitted persons to check more than one category to define their race. The selection of categories and the option to choose more than one is strictly a matter of personal choice and personal preference. The choices are: White, Black or African American, American Indian and Alaska Native, Asian, Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander, Some Other Race, and Two or More Races.

ETHNICITY- As in the two previous censuses, persons are also further self defined as being HISPANIC OR LATINO or NOT HISPANIC OR LATINO. It is important to note that this is a separate ETHNIC grouping as opposed to the RACIAL categories listed above.

*Represents 2010 Decennial Census. ** Calculated from Bureau of Census TIGER file.

2014 CITY OF LOS ANGELES - DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING

Southeast Los Angeles

COMMUNITY PLAN AREA - DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE

(SOURCE: American Community Survey (ACS) 2010-2014) 15.4 square mile study area (approximate)**

POPULATION		percent
Total person	**279,802**	
persons in households	277,070	99%
persons in group quarters	2,720	1%
Persons per square mile	**18,115**	
Growth in Population		Percent
April 1st, 2010	278,337	Change
population growth	1,465	**0.5%**

RACE/ETHNICITY		percent
Race		
White	87,941	31.4%
Black or African American	52,283	18.7%
American Indian and Alaska Native	1,466	0.5%
Asian	1,763	0.6%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	250	0.1%
Some Other Race	131,991	47.2%
Two or More Races:	4,109	1.5%
Hispanic or Latino Origin by Race		
Not Hispanic or Latino:	**57,432**	**21%**
White	2,506	4.4%
Black or African American	51,166	89.1%
American Indian and Alaska Native	369	0.6%
Asian	1,699	3.0%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	212	0.4%
Some Other Race	503	0.9%
Two or More Races:	977	1.7%
Hispanic or Latino:	**222,370**	**79%**
White	85,435	38.4%
Black or African American	1,117	0.5%
American Indian and Alaska Native	1,096	0.5%
Asian	64	0.0%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	38	0.0%
Some Other Race	131,488	59.1%
Two or More Races:	3,133	1.4%

GENDER AND AGE		percent
Total Males	**137,228**	**49%**
Under 5 years old	13,754	10%
5 to 9 years old	13,535	10%
10 to 17 years old	20,783	15%
18 to 21 years old	10,528	8%
22 to 34 years old	27,364	20%
35 to 59 years old	41,303	30%
60 to 64 years old	3,682	3%
65 to 74 years old	3,947	3%
75 or older	2,332	2%
Total Females	**142,574**	**51%**
Under 5 years old	13,421	9%
5 to 9 years old	13,015	9%
10 to 17 years old	20,960	15%
18 to 21 years old	11,469	8%
22 to 34 years old	29,004	20%
35 to 59 years old	41,614	29%
60 to 64 years old	4,230	3%
65 to 74 years old	4,756	3%
75 or older	4,104	3%

2014 POPULATION PYRAMID



CHANGE (2010* TO 2014)		
GENDER	**number**	**percent**
Total males	-1,087	-0.8%
Total females	2,552	1.8%
AGE		
Under 5 years old	-1,414	-4.9%
5 to 9 years old	504	1.9%
10 to 17 years old	-1,324	-3.1%
School age (5 to 17 years old)	**-820**	**-1.2%**
18 to 21 years old	546	2.5%
22 to 34 years old	-2,598	-4.4%
35 to 59 years old	3,907	4.9%
60 to 64 years old	787	11.0%
65 to 74 years old	419	5.1%
75 or older	638	11.0%
HOUSING		
Total units	1,277	1.9%
Occupied units	770	1.2%
Owner occupied	-563	-2.9%
Renter occupied	1,332	3.0%

HISPANIC OR LATINO and mixed race categories.
RACE-For the first time, since the year 2000 Census of Population and Housing permitted persons to check more than one category to define their race. The selection of categories and the option to choose more than one is strictly a matter of personal choice and personal preference. The choices are: White, Black or African American, American Indian and Alaska Native, Asian, Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander, Some Other Race, and Two or More Races.

ETHNICITY- As in the two previous censuses, persons are also further self defined as being HISPANIC OR LATINO or NOT HISPANIC OR LATINO. It is important to note that this is a separate ETHNIC grouping as opposed to the RACIAL categories listed above.

*Represents 2010 Decennial Census. ** Calculated from Bureau of Census TIGER file.

2014 CITY OF LOS ANGELES - DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING

Sherman Oaks - Studio City - Toluca Lake - Cahuenga Pass
COMMUNITY PLAN AREA - DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE

(SOURCE: American Community Survey (ACS) 2010-2014) 13.7 square mile study area (approximate)**

POPULATION		percent
Total person	**82,249**	
persons in households	81,652	99%
persons in group quarters	388	0%
Persons per square mile	**5,993**	
Growth in Population		Percent
April 1st, 2010	78,803	Change
population growth	3,446	**4.4%**

RACE/ETHNICITY		percent
Race		
White	66,563	80.9%
Black or African American	4,466	5.4%
American Indian and Alaska Native	196	0.2%
Asian	5,703	6.9%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	187	0.2%
Some Other Race	1,457	1.8%
Two or More Races:	3,677	4.5%
Hispanic or Latino Origin by Race		
Not Hispanic or Latino:	**72,834**	**89%**
White	59,355	81.5%
Black or African American	4,169	5.7%
American Indian and Alaska Native	141	0.2%
Asian	5,627	7.7%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	187	0.3%
Some Other Race	151	0.2%
Two or More Races:	3,203	4.4%
Hispanic or Latino:	**9,415**	**11%**
White	7,207	76.6%
Black or African American	297	3.2%
American Indian and Alaska Native	55	0.6%
Asian	76	0.8%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	0	0.0%
Some Other Race	1,306	13.9%
Two or More Races:	474	5.0%

GENDER AND AGE		percent
Total Males	**40,248**	**49%**
Under 5 years old	2,497	6%
5 to 9 years old	1,841	5%
10 to 17 years old	2,513	6%
18 to 21 years old	943	2%
22 to 34 years old	9,189	23%
35 to 59 years old	16,201	40%
60 to 64 years old	2,391	6%
65 to 74 years old	2,778	7%
75 or older	1,896	5%
Total Females	**42,001**	**51%**
Under 5 years old	2,247	5%
5 to 9 years old	1,998	5%
10 to 17 years old	2,412	6%
18 to 21 years old	1,269	3%
22 to 34 years old	9,799	23%
35 to 59 years old	16,214	39%
60 to 64 years old	2,198	5%
65 to 74 years old	2,956	7%
75 or older	2,909	7%

2014 POPULATION PYRAMID



CHANGE (2010* TO 2014)		
GENDER	**number**	**percent**
Total males	1,558	4.0%
Total females	1,888	4.7%
AGE		
Under 5 years old	656	16.0%
5 to 9 years old	445	13.1%
10 to 17 years old	245	5.2%
School age (5 to 17 years old)	**690**	**8.5%**
18 to 21 years old	285	14.8%
22 to 34 years old	418	2.3%
35 to 59 years old	642	2.0%
60 to 64 years old	154	3.5%
65 to 74 years old	592	11.5%
75 or older	9	0.2%
HOUSING		
Total units	306	0.7%
Occupied units	-98	-0.2%
Owner occupied	-200	-1.2%
Renter occupied	102	0.5%

HISPANIC OR LATINO and mixed race categories.
RACE-For the first time, since the year 2000 Census of Population and Housing permitted persons to check more than one category to define their race. The selection of categories and the option to choose more than one is strictly a matter of personal choice and personal preference. The choices are: White, Black or African American, American Indian and Alaska Native, Asian, Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander, Some Other Race, and Two or More Races.

ETHNICITY- As in the two previous censuses, persons are also further self defined as being HISPANIC OR LATINO or NOT HISPANIC OR LATINO. It is important to note that this is a separate ETHNIC grouping as opposed to the RACIAL categories listed above.

*Represents 2010 Decennial Census. ** Calculated from Bureau of Census TIGER file.

2014 CITY OF LOS ANGELES - DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING

Silver Lake - Echo Park - Elysian Valley

COMMUNITY PLAN AREA - DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE

(SOURCE: American Community Survey (ACS) 2010-2014) 7.1 square mile study area (approximate)**

POPULATION		percent
Total person	**71,391**	
persons in households	70,406	99%
persons in group quarters	1,069	2%
Persons per square mile	**10,037**	
Growth in Population		Percent
April 1st, 2010	70,088	Change
population growth	1,303	**1.9%**

RACE/ETHNICITY		percent
Race		
White	44,616	62.5%
Black or African American	2,190	3.1%
American Indian and Alaska Native	332	0.5%
Asian	12,423	17.4%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	111	0.2%
Some Other Race	9,472	13.3%
Two or More Races:	2,247	3.1%
Hispanic or Latino Origin by Race		
Not Hispanic or Latino:	**39,342**	**55%**
White	23,195	59.0%
Black or African American	1,945	4.9%
American Indian and Alaska Native	186	0.5%
Asian	12,284	31.2%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	111	0.3%
Some Other Race	230	0.6%
Two or More Races:	1,391	3.5%
Hispanic or Latino:	**32,049**	**45%**
White	21,421	66.8%
Black or African American	245	0.8%
American Indian and Alaska Native	146	0.5%
Asian	139	0.4%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	0	0.0%
Some Other Race	9,242	28.8%
Two or More Races:	856	2.7%

GENDER AND AGE		percent
Total Males	**36,731**	**51%**
Under 5 years old	1,779	5%
5 to 9 years old	1,706	5%
10 to 17 years old	2,503	7%
18 to 21 years old	1,438	4%
22 to 34 years old	9,522	26%
35 to 59 years old	14,398	39%
60 to 64 years old	1,545	4%
65 to 74 years old	2,236	6%
75 or older	1,602	4%
Total Females	**34,661**	**49%**
Under 5 years old	1,549	4%
5 to 9 years old	1,802	5%
10 to 17 years old	2,461	7%
18 to 21 years old	1,185	3%
22 to 34 years old	9,640	28%
35 to 59 years old	11,742	34%
60 to 64 years old	1,872	5%
65 to 74 years old	2,252	6%
75 or older	2,158	6%

2014 POPULATION PYRAMID



CHANGE (2010* TO 2014)

GENDER	number	percent
Total males	879	2.5%
Total females	425	1.2%
AGE		
Under 5 years old	-288	-8.0%
5 to 9 years old	310	9.7%
10 to 17 years old	-703	-12.4%
School age (5 to 17 years old)	**-393**	**-4.4%**
18 to 21 years old	-390	-12.9%
22 to 34 years old	1,249	7.0%
35 to 59 years old	-7	0.0%
60 to 64 years old	309	9.9%
65 to 74 years old	443	11.0%
75 or older	380	11.2%
HOUSING		
Total units	448	1.5%
Occupied units	401	1.4%
Owner occupied	-447	-5.0%
Renter occupied	847	4.5%

HISPANIC OR LATINO and mixed race categories.
RACE-For the first time, since the year 2000 Census of Population and Housing permitted persons to check more than one category to define their race. The selection of categories and the option to choose more than one is strictly a matter of personal choice and personal preference. The choices are: White, Black or African American, American Indian and Alaska Native, Asian, Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander, Some Other Race, and Two or More Races.

ETHNICITY- As in the two previous censuses, persons are also further self defined as being HISPANIC OR LATINO or NOT HISPANIC OR LATINO. It is important to note that this is a separate ETHNIC grouping as opposed to the RACIAL categories listed above.

*Represents 2010 Decennial Census. ** Calculated from Bureau of Census TIGER file.

2014 CITY OF LOS ANGELES - DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING

South Los Angeles

COMMUNITY PLAN AREA - DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE

(SOURCE: American Community Survey (ACS) 2010-2014) 15.5 square mile study area (approximate)**

POPULATION		percent
Total person	**271,040**	
persons in households	260,381	96%
persons in group quarters	10,672	4%
Persons per square mile	**17,538**	
Growth in Population		Percent
April 1st, 2010	270,354	Change
population growth	686	**0.3%**

RACE/ETHNICITY		percent
Race		
White	65,317	24.1%
Black or African American	77,666	28.7%
American Indian and Alaska Native	1,455	0.5%
Asian	13,242	4.9%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	349	0.1%
Some Other Race	106,713	39.4%
Two or More Races:	6,297	2.3%
Hispanic or Latino Origin by Race		
Not Hispanic or Latino:	**106,948**	**39%**
White	12,567	11.8%
Black or African American	76,230	71.3%
American Indian and Alaska Native	92	0.1%
Asian	13,129	12.3%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	348	0.3%
Some Other Race	1,517	1.4%
Two or More Races:	3,065	2.9%
Hispanic or Latino:	**164,092**	**61%**
White	52,750	32.1%
Black or African American	1,436	0.9%
American Indian and Alaska Native	1,364	0.8%
Asian	113	0.1%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	1	0.0%
Some Other Race	105,196	64.1%
Two or More Races:	3,231	2.0%

CHANGE (2010* TO 2014)

GENDER	number	percent
Total males	-2,195	-1.7%
Total females	2,881	2.1%
AGE		
Under 5 years old	-1,820	-8.9%
5 to 9 years old	616	3.2%
10 to 17 years old	-2,804	-8.3%
School age (5 to 17 years old)	**-2,188**	**-4.1%**
18 to 21 years old	-433	-1.6%
22 to 34 years old	1,187	2.1%
35 to 59 years old	1,150	1.4%
60 to 64 years old	1,163	12.3%
65 to 74 years old	180	1.4%
75 or older	1,447	14.3%
HOUSING		
Total units	1,967	2.4%
Occupied units	961	1.3%
Owner occupied	-613	-2.5%
Renter occupied	1,575	3.0%

GENDER AND AGE		percent
Total Males	**129,430**	**48%**
Under 5 years old	9,306	7%
5 to 9 years old	9,797	8%
10 to 17 years old	15,208	12%
18 to 21 years old	13,340	10%
22 to 34 years old	29,636	23%
35 to 59 years old	37,926	29%
60 to 64 years old	4,864	4%
65 to 74 years old	5,411	4%
75 or older	3,941	3%
Total Females	**141,610**	**52%**
Under 5 years old	9,415	7%
5 to 9 years old	9,972	7%
10 to 17 years old	15,721	11%
18 to 21 years old	13,465	10%
22 to 34 years old	28,565	20%
35 to 59 years old	43,862	31%
60 to 64 years old	5,743	4%
65 to 74 years old	7,261	5%
75 or older	7,607	5%



HISPANIC OR LATINO and mixed race categories.
RACE-For the first time, since the year 2000 Census of Population and Housing permitted persons to check more than one category to define their race. The selection of categories and the option to choose more than one is strictly a matter of personal choice and personal preference. The choices are: White, Black or African American, American Indian and Alaska Native, Asian, Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander, Some Other Race, and Two or More Races.

ETHNICITY- As in the two previous censuses, persons are also further self defined as being HISPANIC OR LATINO or NOT HISPANIC OR LATINO. It is important to note that this is a separate ETHNIC grouping as opposed to the RACIAL categories listed above.

*Represents 2010 Decennial Census. ** Calculated from Bureau of Census TIGER file.

2014 CITY OF LOS ANGELES - DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING

Sun Valley - La Tuna Canyon

COMMUNITY PLAN AREA - DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE

(SOURCE: American Community Survey (ACS) 2010-2014) 16.4 square mile study area (approximate)**

POPULATION		percent
Total person	**86,906**	
persons in households	85,342	98%
persons in group quarters	1,462	2%
Persons per square mile	**5,293**	
Growth in Population		Percent
April 1st, 2010	88,556	Change
population growth	-1,650	**-1.9%**

RACE/ETHNICITY		percent
Race		
White	59,602	68.6%
Black or African American	2,129	2.4%
American Indian and Alaska Native	657	0.8%
Asian	7,367	8.5%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	25	0.0%
Some Other Race	15,223	17.5%
Two or More Races:	1,904	2.2%
Hispanic or Latino Origin by Race		
Not Hispanic or Latino:	**28,598**	**33%**
White	18,334	64.1%
Black or African American	2,002	7.0%
American Indian and Alaska Native	235	0.8%
Asian	7,225	25.3%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	25	0.1%
Some Other Race	154	0.5%
Two or More Races:	624	2.2%
Hispanic or Latino:	**58,309**	**67%**
White	41,268	70.8%
Black or African American	127	0.2%
American Indian and Alaska Native	422	0.7%
Asian	142	0.2%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	0	0.0%
Some Other Race	15,069	25.8%
Two or More Races:	1,281	2.2%

GENDER AND AGE		percent
Total Males	**42,809**	**49%**
Under 5 years old	2,663	6%
5 to 9 years old	2,693	6%
10 to 17 years old	4,903	11%
18 to 21 years old	2,973	7%
22 to 34 years old	9,544	22%
35 to 59 years old	14,286	33%
60 to 64 years old	1,954	5%
65 to 74 years old	2,246	5%
75 or older	1,547	4%
Total Females	**44,097**	**51%**
Under 5 years old	2,996	7%
5 to 9 years old	2,482	6%
10 to 17 years old	4,819	11%
18 to 21 years old	2,832	6%
22 to 34 years old	9,149	21%
35 to 59 years old	14,467	33%
60 to 64 years old	2,130	5%
65 to 74 years old	2,888	7%
75 or older	2,335	5%

2014 POPULATION PYRAMID



CHANGE (2010* TO 2014)		
GENDER	**number**	**percent**
Total males	-1,807	-4.0%
Total females	157	0.4%
AGE		
Under 5 years old	-748	-11.7%
5 to 9 years old	-757	-12.8%
10 to 17 years old	-1,041	-9.7%
School age (5 to 17 years old)	**-1,798**	**-10.8%**
18 to 21 years old	-372	-6.0%
22 to 34 years old	731	4.1%
35 to 59 years old	-521	-1.8%
60 to 64 years old	236	6.1%
65 to 74 years old	558	12.2%
75 or older	265	7.3%
HOUSING		
Total units	332	1.4%
Occupied units	349	1.5%
Owner occupied	-413	-3.2%
Renter occupied	762	7.6%

HISPANIC OR LATINO and mixed race categories.
RACE-For the first time, since the year 2000 Census of Population and Housing permitted persons to check more than one category to define their race. The selection of categories and the option to choose more than one is strictly a matter of personal choice and personal preference. The choices are: White, Black or African American, American Indian and Alaska Native, Asian, Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander, Some Other Race, and Two or More Races.

ETHNICITY- As in the two previous censuses, persons are also further self defined as being HISPANIC OR LATINO or NOT HISPANIC OR LATINO. It is important to note that this is a separate ETHNIC grouping as opposed to the RACIAL categories listed above.

*Represents 2010 Decennial Census. ** Calculated from Bureau of Census TIGER file.

2014 CITY OF LOS ANGELES - DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING

Sunland - Tujunga - Lake View Terrace - Shadow Hills - East La Tuna Canyon COMMUNITY PLAN AREA - DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE

(SOURCE: American Community Survey (ACS) 2010-2014) 25.6 square mile study area (approximate)**

POPULATION		percent
Total person	**62,367**	
persons in households	61,800	99%
persons in group quarters	1,223	2%
Persons per square mile	**2,435**	
Growth in Population		Percent
April 1st, 2010	61,763	Change
population growth	604	**1.0%**

RACE/ETHNICITY		percent
Race		
White	45,728	73.3%
Black or African American	2,533	4.1%
American Indian and Alaska Native	257	0.4%
Asian	4,932	7.9%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	166	0.3%
Some Other Race	6,528	10.5%
Two or More Races:	2,223	3.6%
Hispanic or Latino Origin by Race		
Not Hispanic or Latino:	**40,567**	**65%**
White	31,354	77.3%
Black or African American	2,495	6.1%
American Indian and Alaska Native	115	0.3%
Asian	4,882	12.0%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	152	0.4%
Some Other Race	164	0.4%
Two or More Races:	1,404	3.5%
Hispanic or Latino:	**21,800**	**35%**
White	14,373	65.9%
Black or African American	38	0.2%
American Indian and Alaska Native	142	0.7%
Asian	50	0.2%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	14	0.1%
Some Other Race	6,364	29.2%
Two or More Races:	818	3.8%

GENDER AND AGE		percent
Total Males	**31,254**	**50%**
Under 5 years old	1,716	5%
5 to 9 years old	1,660	5%
10 to 17 years old	3,041	10%
18 to 21 years old	1,663	5%
22 to 34 years old	5,816	19%
35 to 59 years old	11,566	37%
60 to 64 years old	2,093	7%
65 to 74 years old	2,122	7%
75 or older	1,579	5%
Total Females	**31,113**	**50%**
Under 5 years old	1,422	5%
5 to 9 years old	1,615	5%
10 to 17 years old	2,801	9%
18 to 21 years old	1,490	5%
22 to 34 years old	5,542	18%
35 to 59 years old	11,663	37%
60 to 64 years old	2,131	7%
65 to 74 years old	2,571	8%
75 or older	1,878	6%

2014 POPULATION PYRAMID



CHANGE (2010* TO 2014)		
GENDER	**number**	**percent**
Total males	493	1.6%
Total females	111	0.4%
AGE		
Under 5 years old	-290	-8.5%
5 to 9 years old	-54	-1.6%
10 to 17 years old	-452	-7.2%
School age (5 to 17 years old)	**-506**	**-5.3%**
18 to 21 years old	-154	-4.7%
22 to 34 years old	708	6.6%
35 to 59 years old	-284	-1.2%
60 to 64 years old	493	13.2%
65 to 74 years old	407	9.5%
75 or older	231	7.2%
HOUSING		
Total units	35	0.2%
Occupied units	-108	-0.5%
Owner occupied	-420	-3.1%
Renter occupied	312	4.2%

HISPANIC OR LATINO and mixed race categories.
RACE-For the first time, since the year 2000 Census of Population and Housing permitted persons to check more than one category to define their race. The selection of categories and the option to choose more than one is strictly a matter of personal choice and personal preference. The choices are: White, Black or African American, American Indian and Alaska Native, Asian, Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander, Some Other Race, and Two or More Races.

ETHNICITY- As in the two previous censuses, persons are also further self defined as being HISPANIC OR LATINO or NOT HISPANIC OR LATINO. It is important to note that this is a separate ETHNIC grouping as opposed to the RACIAL categories listed above.

*Represents 2010 Decennial Census. ** Calculated from Bureau of Census TIGER file.

2014 CITY OF LOS ANGELES - DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING

Sylmar

COMMUNITY PLAN AREA - DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE

(SOURCE: American Community Survey (ACS) 2010-2014) 12.3 square mile study area (approximate)**

POPULATION		percent
Total person	**78,661**	
persons in households	77,539	99%
persons in group quarters	1,125	1%
Persons per square mile	**6,383**	
Growth in Population		Percent
April 1st, 2010	78,862	Change
population growth	-201	**-0.3%**

RACE/ETHNICITY		percent
Race		
White	54,195	68.9%
Black or African American	2,324	3.0%
American Indian and Alaska Native	618	0.8%
Asian	4,474	5.7%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	54	0.1%
Some Other Race	14,471	18.4%
Two or More Races:	2,525	3.2%
Hispanic or Latino Origin by Race		
Not Hispanic or Latino:	**17,561**	**22%**
White	10,034	57.1%
Black or African American	1,937	11.0%
American Indian and Alaska Native	376	2.1%
Asian	4,333	24.7%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	54	0.3%
Some Other Race	82	0.5%
Two or More Races:	746	4.2%
Hispanic or Latino:	**61,100**	**78%**
White	44,161	72.3%
Black or African American	387	0.6%
American Indian and Alaska Native	242	0.4%
Asian	141	0.2%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	0	0.0%
Some Other Race	14,389	23.6%
Two or More Races:	1,779	2.9%

CHANGE (2010* TO 2014)

GENDER	number	percent
Total males	-666	-1.7%
Total females	465	1.2%
AGE		
Under 5 years old	-720	-11.9%
5 to 9 years old	-483	-8.1%
10 to 17 years old	103	1.0%
School age (5 to 17 years old)	**-380**	**-2.3%**
18 to 21 years old	-311	-6.0%
22 to 34 years old	-840	-5.4%
35 to 59 years old	655	2.5%
60 to 64 years old	383	12.6%
65 to 74 years old	718	18.9%
75 or older	294	10.5%
HOUSING		
Total units	135	0.6%
Occupied units	169	0.8%
Owner occupied	172	1.3%
Renter occupied	-3	0.0%

GENDER AND AGE		percent
Total Males	**38,688**	**49%**
Under 5 years old	2,843	7%
5 to 9 years old	2,393	6%
10 to 17 years old	5,873	15%
18 to 21 years old	2,199	6%
22 to 34 years old	7,165	19%
35 to 59 years old	13,084	34%
60 to 64 years old	1,759	5%
65 to 74 years old	2,055	5%
75 or older	1,317	3%
Total Females	**39,973**	**51%**
Under 5 years old	2,490	6%
5 to 9 years old	3,119	8%
10 to 17 years old	5,002	13%
18 to 21 years old	2,655	7%
22 to 34 years old	7,484	19%
35 to 59 years old	13,308	33%
60 to 64 years old	1,676	4%
65 to 74 years old	2,469	6%
75 or older	1,771	4%

2014 POPULATION PYRAMID



HISPANIC OR LATINO and mixed race categories.
RACE-For the first time, since the year 2000 Census of Population and Housing permitted persons to check more than one category to define their race. The selection of categories and the option to choose more than one is strictly a matter of personal choice and personal preference. The choices are: White, Black or African American, American Indian and Alaska Native, Asian, Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander, Some Other Race, and Two or More Races.

ETHNICITY- As in the two previous censuses, persons are also further self defined as being HISPANIC OR LATINO or NOT HISPANIC OR LATINO. It is important to note that this is a separate ETHNIC grouping as opposed to the RACIAL categories listed above.

*Represents 2010 Decennial Census. ** Calculated from Bureau of Census TIGER file.

2014 CITY OF LOS ANGELES - DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING

Van Nuys - North Sherman Oaks

COMMUNITY PLAN AREA - DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE

(SOURCE: American Community Survey (ACS) 2010-2014) 12.9 square mile study area (approximate)**

POPULATION		percent
Total person	**162,632**	
persons in households	161,173	99%
persons in group quarters	1,421	1%
Persons per square mile	**12,645**	

Growth in Population		Percent
April 1st, 2010	159,035	Change
population growth	3,597	**2.3%**

RACE/ETHNICITY		percent
Race		
White	90,231	55.5%
Black or African American	8,620	5.3%
American Indian and Alaska Native	599	0.4%
Asian	11,871	7.3%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	48	0.0%
Some Other Race	46,354	28.5%
Two or More Races:	4,909	3.0%
Hispanic or Latino Origin by Race		
Not Hispanic or Latino:	**82,746**	**51%**
White	58,744	71.0%
Black or African American	8,023	9.7%
American Indian and Alaska Native	255	0.3%
Asian	11,671	14.1%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	48	0.1%
Some Other Race	789	1.0%
Two or More Races:	3,217	3.9%
Hispanic or Latino:	**79,885**	**49%**
White	31,487	39.4%
Black or African American	597	0.7%
American Indian and Alaska Native	344	0.4%
Asian	200	0.3%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	0	0.0%
Some Other Race	45,566	57.0%
Two or More Races:	1,692	2.1%

GENDER AND AGE		percent
Total Males	**81,189**	**50%**
Under 5 years old	5,789	7%
5 to 9 years old	5,512	7%
10 to 17 years old	8,242	10%
18 to 21 years old	4,331	5%
22 to 34 years old	18,414	23%
35 to 59 years old	29,204	36%
60 to 64 years old	3,447	4%
65 to 74 years old	3,710	5%
75 or older	2,539	3%
Total Females	**81,442**	**50%**
Under 5 years old	5,824	7%
5 to 9 years old	5,116	6%
10 to 17 years old	7,472	9%
18 to 21 years old	4,361	5%
22 to 34 years old	17,411	21%
35 to 59 years old	28,669	35%
60 to 64 years old	3,725	5%
65 to 74 years old	4,507	6%
75 or older	4,357	5%

2014 POPULATION PYRAMID



CHANGE (2010* TO 2014)

GENDER	number	percent
Total males	1,857	2.3%
Total females	1,740	2.2%
AGE		
Under 5 years old	321	2.8%
5 to 9 years old	829	8.5%
10 to 17 years old	-443	-2.7%
School age (5 to 17 years old)	**386**	**1.5%**
18 to 21 years old	175	2.1%
22 to 34 years old	331	0.9%
35 to 59 years old	1,762	3.1%
60 to 64 years old	523	7.9%
65 to 74 years old	602	7.9%
75 or older	-502	-6.8%
HOUSING		
Total units	501	0.8%
Occupied units	916	1.6%
Owner occupied	-483	-2.7%
Renter occupied	1,399	3.6%

HISPANIC OR LATINO and mixed race categories.
RACE-For the first time, since the year 2000 Census of Population and Housing permitted persons to check more than one category to define their race. The selection of categories and the option to choose more than one is strictly a matter of personal choice and personal preference. The choices are: White, Black or African American, American Indian and Alaska Native, Asian, Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander, Some Other Race, and Two or More Races.

ETHNICITY- As in the two previous censuses, persons are also further self defined as being HISPANIC OR LATINO or NOT HISPANIC OR LATINO. It is important to note that this is a separate ETHNIC grouping as opposed to the RACIAL categories listed above.

*Represents 2010 Decennial Census. ** Calculated from Bureau of Census TIGER file.

2014 CITY OF LOS ANGELES - DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING

Venice

COMMUNITY PLAN AREA - DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE

(SOURCE: American Community Survey (ACS) 2010-2014) 3.1 square mile study area (approximate)**

POPULATION		percent
Total person	**36,041**	
persons in households	35,792	99%
persons in group quarters	249	1%
Persons per square mile	**11,502**	
Growth in Population		Percent
April 1st, 2010	36,962	Change
population growth	-921	**-2.5%**

RACE/ETHNICITY		percent
Race		
White	29,138	80.8%
Black or African American	1,875	5.2%
American Indian and Alaska Native	132	0.4%
Asian	1,907	5.3%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	114	0.3%
Some Other Race	1,197	3.3%
Two or More Races:	1,678	4.7%
Hispanic or Latino Origin by Race		
Not Hispanic or Latino:	**30,418**	**84%**
White	25,055	82.4%
Black or African American	1,777	5.8%
American Indian and Alaska Native	25	0.1%
Asian	1,907	6.3%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	114	0.4%
Some Other Race	96	0.3%
Two or More Races:	1,444	4.7%
Hispanic or Latino:	**5,623**	**16%**
White	4,083	72.6%
Black or African American	98	1.7%
American Indian and Alaska Native	107	1.9%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	0	0.0%
Some Other Race	1,101	19.6%
Two or More Races:	234	4.2%

CHANGE (2010* TO 2014)		
GENDER	**number**	**percent**
Total males	-695	-3.6%
Total females	-226	-1.3%
AGE		
Under 5 years old	426	25.2%
5 to 9 years old	-80	-6.4%
10 to 17 years old	-232	-14.4%
School age (5 to 17 years old)	**-312**	**-10.9%**
18 to 21 years old	18	1.8%
22 to 34 years old	-67	-0.7%
35 to 59 years old	-1,192	-7.7%
60 to 64 years old	-130	-6.4%
65 to 74 years old	157	6.7%
75 or older	179	11.3%
HOUSING		
Total units	-509	-2.4%
Occupied units	-392	-2.1%
Owner occupied	70	1.1%
Renter occupied	-462	-3.7%

GENDER AND AGE		percent
Total Males	**18,411**	**51%**
Under 5 years old	928	5%
5 to 9 years old	716	4%
10 to 17 years old	592	3%
18 to 21 years old	520	3%
22 to 34 years old	4,822	26%
35 to 59 years old	7,941	43%
60 to 64 years old	856	5%
65 to 74 years old	1,285	7%
75 or older	751	4%
Total Females	**17,630**	**49%**
Under 5 years old	1,188	7%
5 to 9 years old	448	3%
10 to 17 years old	782	4%
18 to 21 years old	509	3%
22 to 34 years old	5,135	29%
35 to 59 years old	6,299	36%
60 to 64 years old	1,049	6%
65 to 74 years old	1,208	7%
75 or older	1,012	6%



HISPANIC OR LATINO and mixed race categories.
RACE-For the first time, since the year 2000 Census of Population and Housing permitted persons to check more than one category to define their race. The selection of categories and the option to choose more than one is strictly a matter of personal choice and personal preference. The choices are: White, Black or African American, American Indian and Alaska Native, Asian, Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander, Some Other Race, and Two or More Races.

ETHNICITY- As in the two previous censuses, persons are also further self defined as being HISPANIC OR LATINO or NOT HISPANIC OR LATINO. It is important to note that this is a separate ETHNIC grouping as opposed to the RACIAL categories listed above.

*Represents 2010 Decennial Census. ** Calculated from Bureau of Census TIGER file.

2014 CITY OF LOS ANGELES - DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING

West Adams - Baldwin Hills - Leimert

COMMUNITY PLAN AREA - DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE

(SOURCE: American Community Survey (ACS) 2010-2014) 12.8 square mile study area (approximate)**

POPULATION		percent
Total person	**174,413**	
persons in households	172,837	99%
persons in group quarters	1,579	1%
Persons per square mile	**13,593**	
Growth in Population		Percent
April 1st, 2010	175,057	Change
population growth	-644	**-0.4%**

RACE/ETHNICITY		percent
Race		
White	46,473	26.6%
Black or African American	75,274	43.2%
American Indian and Alaska Native	1,086	0.6%
Asian	6,834	3.9%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	191	0.1%
Some Other Race	38,397	22.0%
Two or More Races:	6,159	3.5%
Hispanic or Latino Origin by Race		
Not Hispanic or Latino:	**96,211**	**55%**
White	10,123	10.5%
Black or African American	73,919	76.8%
American Indian and Alaska Native	265	0.3%
Asian	6,789	7.1%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	174	0.2%
Some Other Race	1,189	1.2%
Two or More Races:	3,753	3.9%
Hispanic or Latino:	**78,202**	**45%**
White	36,350	46.5%
Black or African American	1,355	1.7%
American Indian and Alaska Native	821	1.0%
Asian	45	0.1%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	17	0.0%
Some Other Race	37,208	47.6%
Two or More Races:	2,407	3.1%

GENDER AND AGE		percent
Total Males	**81,358**	**47%**
Under 5 years old	5,665	7%
5 to 9 years old	4,930	6%
10 to 17 years old	9,479	12%
18 to 21 years old	5,145	6%
22 to 34 years old	16,893	21%
35 to 59 years old	27,634	34%
60 to 64 years old	3,806	5%
65 to 74 years old	4,646	6%
75 or older	3,162	4%
Total Females	**93,055**	**53%**
Under 5 years old	5,855	6%
5 to 9 years old	4,730	5%
10 to 17 years old	9,025	10%
18 to 21 years old	4,675	5%
22 to 34 years old	17,560	19%
35 to 59 years old	33,615	36%
60 to 64 years old	4,809	5%
65 to 74 years old	6,734	7%
75 or older	6,051	7%

2014 POPULATION PYRAMID



CHANGE (2010* TO 2014)

GENDER	number	percent
Total males	-1,452	-1.8%
Total females	808	0.9%
AGE		
Under 5 years old	-556	-4.6%
5 to 9 years old	-1,891	-16.4%
10 to 17 years old	-1,715	-8.5%
School age (5 to 17 years old)	**-3,606**	**-11.4%**
18 to 21 years old	-459	-4.5%
22 to 34 years old	482	1.4%
35 to 59 years old	1,583	2.7%
60 to 64 years old	501	6.2%
65 to 74 years old	795	7.5%
75 or older	616	7.2%
HOUSING		
Total units	2,315	3.5%
Occupied units	2,091	3.4%
Owner occupied	244	1.1%
Renter occupied	1,847	4.6%

HISPANIC OR LATINO and mixed race categories.
RACE-For the first time, since the year 2000 Census of Population and Housing permitted persons to check more than one category to define their race. The selection of categories and the option to choose more than one is strictly a matter of personal choice and personal preference. The choices are: White, Black or African American, American Indian and Alaska Native, Asian, Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander, Some Other Race, and Two or More Races.

ETHNICITY- As in the two previous censuses, persons are also further self defined as being HISPANIC OR LATINO or NOT HISPANIC OR LATINO. It is important to note that this is a separate ETHNIC grouping as opposed to the RACIAL categories listed above.

*Represents 2010 Decennial Census. ** Calculated from Bureau of Census TIGER file.

2014 CITY OF LOS ANGELES - DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING

West Los Angeles

COMMUNITY PLAN AREA - DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE

(SOURCE: American Community Survey (ACS) 2010-2014) 7.1 square mile study area (approximate)**

POPULATION		percent
Total person	**78,874**	
persons in households	78,576	100%
persons in group quarters	509	1%
Persons per square mile	**11,036**	
Growth in Population		Percent
April 1st, 2010	74,952	Change
population growth	3,922	**5.2%**

RACE/ETHNICITY		percent
Race		
White	53,920	68.4%
Black or African American	1,723	2.2%
American Indian and Alaska Native	694	0.9%
Asian	14,187	18.0%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	258	0.3%
Some Other Race	4,607	5.8%
Two or More Races:	3,485	4.4%
Hispanic or Latino Origin by Race		
Not Hispanic or Latino:	**67,492**	**86%**
White	48,086	71.2%
Black or African American	1,671	2.5%
American Indian and Alaska Native	2	0.0%
Asian	14,151	21.0%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	220	0.3%
Some Other Race	337	0.5%
Two or More Races:	3,025	4.5%
Hispanic or Latino:	**11,382**	**14%**
White	5,834	51.3%
Black or African American	52	0.5%
American Indian and Alaska Native	691	6.1%
Asian	37	0.3%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	38	0.3%
Some Other Race	4,270	37.5%
Two or More Races:	460	4.0%

GENDER AND AGE		percent
Total Males	**38,609**	**49%**
Under 5 years old	1,800	5%
5 to 9 years old	1,859	5%
10 to 17 years old	2,218	6%
18 to 21 years old	1,236	3%
22 to 34 years old	11,385	29%
35 to 59 years old	13,532	35%
60 to 64 years old	1,918	5%
65 to 74 years old	2,416	6%
75 or older	2,245	6%
Total Females	**40,265**	**51%**
Under 5 years old	2,587	6%
5 to 9 years old	2,037	5%
10 to 17 years old	1,963	5%
18 to 21 years old	1,000	2%
22 to 34 years old	11,045	27%
35 to 59 years old	13,159	33%
60 to 64 years old	2,210	5%
65 to 74 years old	3,159	8%
75 or older	3,105	8%

2014 POPULATION PYRAMID



CHANGE (2010* TO 2014)

GENDER	number	percent
Total males	2,010	5.5%
Total females	1,912	5.0%
AGE		
Under 5 years old	269	6.5%
5 to 9 years old	608	18.5%
10 to 17 years old	-289	-6.5%
School age (5 to 17 years old)	**319**	**4.1%**
18 to 21 years old	-227	-9.2%
22 to 34 years old	2,016	9.9%
35 to 59 years old	1,214	4.8%
60 to 64 years old	226	5.8%
65 to 74 years old	442	8.6%
75 or older	-336	-5.9%
HOUSING		
Total units	-80	-0.2%
Occupied units	276	0.8%
Owner occupied	-424	-3.0%
Renter occupied	700	3.2%

HISPANIC OR LATINO and mixed race categories.
RACE-For the first time, since the year 2000 Census of Population and Housing permitted persons to check more than one category to define their race. The selection of categories and the option to choose more than one is strictly a matter of personal choice and personal preference. The choices are: White, Black or African American, American Indian and Alaska Native, Asian, Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander, Some Other Race, and Two or More Races.

ETHNICITY- As in the two previous censuses, persons are also further self defined as being HISPANIC OR LATINO or NOT HISPANIC OR LATINO. It is important to note that this is a separate ETHNIC grouping as opposed to the RACIAL categories listed above.

*Represents 2010 Decennial Census. ** Calculated from Bureau of Census TIGER file.

2014 CITY OF LOS ANGELES - DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING

Westchester - Playa del Rey

COMMUNITY PLAN AREA - DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE

(SOURCE: American Community Survey (ACS) 2010-2014) 8.2 square mile study area (approximate)**

POPULATION		percent
Total person	**57,029**	
persons in households	53,342	94%
persons in group quarters	3,638	7%
Persons per square mile	**6,972**	
Growth in Population		Percent
April 1st, 2010	55,073	Change
population growth	1,956	**3.6%**

RACE/ETHNICITY		percent
Race		
White	35,997	63.1%
Black or African American	6,913	12.1%
American Indian and Alaska Native	208	0.4%
Asian	7,960	14.0%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	78	0.1%
Some Other Race	2,359	4.1%
Two or More Races:	3,514	6.2%
Hispanic or Latino Origin by Race		
Not Hispanic or Latino:	**48,027**	**84%**
White	30,517	63.5%
Black or African American	6,825	14.2%
American Indian and Alaska Native	136	0.3%
Asian	7,846	16.3%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	78	0.2%
Some Other Race	134	0.3%
Two or More Races:	2,491	5.2%
Hispanic or Latino:	**9,002**	**16%**
White	5,480	60.9%
Black or African American	88	1.0%
American Indian and Alaska Native	72	0.8%
Asian	114	1.3%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	0	0.0%
Some Other Race	2,225	24.7%
Two or More Races:	1,023	11.4%

CHANGE (2010* TO 2014)

GENDER	number	percent
Total males	1,470	5.6%
Total females	485	1.7%
AGE		
Under 5 years old	262	9.1%
5 to 9 years old	-58	-2.6%
10 to 17 years old	-181	-5.4%
School age (5 to 17 years old)	**-239**	**-4.3%**
18 to 21 years old	198	3.6%
22 to 34 years old	1,487	12.3%
35 to 59 years old	-665	-3.4%
60 to 64 years old	18	0.6%
65 to 74 years old	547	16.4%
75 or older	347	11.6%
HOUSING		
Total units	378	1.5%
Occupied units	442	1.8%
Owner occupied	288	2.3%
Renter occupied	154	1.3%

GENDER AND AGE		percent
Total Males	**27,906**	**49%**
Under 5 years old	1,856	7%
5 to 9 years old	1,091	4%
10 to 17 years old	1,627	6%
18 to 21 years old	2,381	9%
22 to 34 years old	6,820	24%
35 to 59 years old	9,632	35%
60 to 64 years old	1,155	4%
65 to 74 years old	1,905	7%
75 or older	1,439	5%
Total Females	**29,122**	**51%**
Under 5 years old	1,273	4%
5 to 9 years old	1,049	4%
10 to 17 years old	1,526	5%
18 to 21 years old	3,378	12%
22 to 34 years old	6,761	23%
35 to 59 years old	9,525	33%
60 to 64 years old	1,737	6%
65 to 74 years old	1,989	7%
75 or older	1,885	6%



HISPANIC OR LATINO and mixed race categories.
RACE-For the first time, since the year 2000 Census of Population and Housing permitted persons to check more than one category to define their race. The selection of categories and the option to choose more than one is strictly a matter of personal choice and personal preference. The choices are: White, Black or African American, American Indian and Alaska Native, Asian, Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander, Some Other Race, and Two or More Races.

ETHNICITY- As in the two previous censuses, persons are also further self defined as being HISPANIC OR LATINO or NOT HISPANIC OR LATINO. It is important to note that this is a separate ETHNIC grouping as opposed to the RACIAL categories listed above.

*Represents 2010 Decennial Census. ** Calculated from Bureau of Census TIGER file.

2014 CITY OF LOS ANGELES - DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING

Westlake

COMMUNITY PLAN AREA - DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE

(SOURCE: American Community Survey (ACS) 2010-2014) 3.0 square mile study area (approximate)**

POPULATION		percent
Total person	**111,010**	
persons in households	108,725	98%
persons in group quarters	2,273	2%
Persons per square mile	**36,563**	
Growth in Population		Percent
April 1st, 2010	110,781	Change
population growth	229	**0.2%**

RACE/ETHNICITY		percent
Race		
White	34,556	31.1%
Black or African American	4,938	4.4%
American Indian and Alaska Native	857	0.8%
Asian	18,859	17.0%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	230	0.2%
Some Other Race	49,554	44.6%
Two or More Races:	2,016	1.8%
Hispanic or Latino Origin by Race		
Not Hispanic or Latino:	**30,382**	**27%**
White	6,018	19.8%
Black or African American	4,547	15.0%
American Indian and Alaska Native	136	0.4%
Asian	18,659	61.4%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	201	0.7%
Some Other Race	126	0.4%
Two or More Races:	696	2.3%
Hispanic or Latino:	**80,628**	**73%**
White	28,539	35.4%
Black or African American	391	0.5%
American Indian and Alaska Native	721	0.9%
Asian	200	0.2%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	29	0.0%
Some Other Race	49,428	61.3%
Two or More Races:	1,320	1.6%

GENDER AND AGE		percent
Total Males	**57,892**	**52%**
Under 5 years old	3,665	6%
5 to 9 years old	3,648	6%
10 to 17 years old	6,445	11%
18 to 21 years old	3,586	6%
22 to 34 years old	15,459	27%
35 to 59 years old	19,205	33%
60 to 64 years old	1,910	3%
65 to 74 years old	2,377	4%
75 or older	1,597	3%
Total Females	**53,118**	**48%**
Under 5 years old	3,717	7%
5 to 9 years old	3,527	7%
10 to 17 years old	6,011	11%
18 to 21 years old	3,252	6%
22 to 34 years old	12,170	23%
35 to 59 years old	16,572	31%
60 to 64 years old	1,989	4%
65 to 74 years old	2,908	5%
75 or older	2,971	6%

2014 POPULATION PYRAMID



CHANGE (2010* TO 2014)		
GENDER	**number**	**percent**
Total males	-479	-0.8%
Total females	708	1.4%
AGE		
Under 5 years old	-1,067	-12.6%
5 to 9 years old	5	0.1%
10 to 17 years old	794	6.8%
School age (5 to 17 years old)	**799**	**4.2%**
18 to 21 years old	-1,058	-13.4%
22 to 34 years old	-1,613	-5.5%
35 to 59 years old	2,294	6.9%
60 to 64 years old	231	6.3%
65 to 74 years old	384	7.8%
75 or older	259	6.0%
HOUSING		
Total units	413	1.0%
Occupied units	347	0.9%
Owner occupied	-89	-4.4%
Renter occupied	436	1.2%

HISPANIC OR LATINO and mixed race categories.
RACE-For the first time, since the year 2000 Census of Population and Housing permitted persons to check more than one category to define their race. The selection of categories and the option to choose more than one is strictly a matter of personal choice and personal preference. The choices are: White, Black or African American, American Indian and Alaska Native, Asian, Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander, Some Other Race, and Two or More Races.

ETHNICITY- As in the two previous censuses, persons are also further self defined as being HISPANIC OR LATINO or NOT HISPANIC OR LATINO. It is important to note that this is a separate ETHNIC grouping as opposed to the RACIAL categories listed above.

*Represents 2010 Decennial Census. ** Calculated from Bureau of Census TIGER file.

2014 CITY OF LOS ANGELES - DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING

Westwood

COMMUNITY PLAN AREA - DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE

(SOURCE: American Community Survey (ACS) 2010-2014) 3.7 square mile study area (approximate)**

POPULATION		percent
Total person	**54,213**	
persons in households	40,758	75%
persons in group quarters	13,442	33%
Persons per square mile	**14,695**	
Growth in Population		Percent
April 1st, 2010	51,459	Change
population growth	2,754	**5.4%**

RACE/ETHNICITY		percent
Race		
White	33,299	61.4%
Black or African American	1,429	2.6%
American Indian and Alaska Native	109	0.2%
Asian	14,970	27.6%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	44	0.1%
Some Other Race	1,907	3.5%
Two or More Races:	2,455	4.5%
Hispanic or Latino Origin by Race		
Not Hispanic or Latino:	**49,562**	**91%**
White	30,915	62.4%
Black or African American	1,384	2.8%
American Indian and Alaska Native	79	0.2%
Asian	14,909	30.1%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	44	0.1%
Some Other Race	233	0.5%
Two or More Races:	1,997	4.0%
Hispanic or Latino:	**4,651**	**9%**
White	2,384	51.2%
Black or African American	45	1.0%
American Indian and Alaska Native	30	0.6%
Asian	61	1.3%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	0	0.0%
Some Other Race	1,674	36.0%
Two or More Races:	458	9.8%

CHANGE (2010* TO 2014)

GENDER	number	percent
Total males	1,824	7.6%
Total females	929	3.4%
AGE		
Under 5 years old	-308	-23.9%
5 to 9 years old	-52	-4.5%
10 to 17 years old	378	26.6%
School age (5 to 17 years old)	**326**	**12.7%**
18 to 21 years old	2,400	14.3%
22 to 34 years old	-250	-1.9%
35 to 59 years old	710	7.4%
60 to 64 years old	-69	-3.9%
65 to 74 years old	-24	-0.9%
75 or older	-32	-0.9%
HOUSING		
Total units	-461	-2.1%
Occupied units	-626	-3.2%
Owner occupied	-743	-10.7%
Renter occupied	118	0.9%

GENDER AND AGE		percent
Total Males	**25,963**	**48%**
Under 5 years old	571	2%
5 to 9 years old	524	2%
10 to 17 years old	842	3%
18 to 21 years old	8,315	32%
22 to 34 years old	7,043	27%
35 to 59 years old	5,304	20%
60 to 64 years old	616	2%
65 to 74 years old	1,250	5%
75 or older	1,496	6%
Total Females	**28,250**	**52%**
Under 5 years old	406	1%
5 to 9 years old	577	2%
10 to 17 years old	959	3%
18 to 21 years old	10,872	38%
22 to 34 years old	5,876	21%
35 to 59 years old	5,027	18%
60 to 64 years old	1,072	4%
65 to 74 years old	1,398	5%
75 or older	2,063	7%

2014 POPULATION PYRAMID



HISPANIC OR LATINO and mixed race categories.
RACE-For the first time, since the year 2000 Census of Population and Housing permitted persons to check more than one category to define their race. The selection of categories and the option to choose more than one is strictly a matter of personal choice and personal preference. The choices are: White, Black or African American, American Indian and Alaska Native, Asian, Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander, Some Other Race, and Two or More Races.

ETHNICITY- As in the two previous censuses, persons are also further self defined as being HISPANIC OR LATINO or NOT HISPANIC OR LATINO. It is important to note that this is a separate ETHNIC grouping as opposed to the RACIAL categories listed above.

*Represents 2010 Decennial Census. ** Calculated from Bureau of Census TIGER file.

2014 CITY OF LOS ANGELES - DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING

Wilmington - Harbor City

COMMUNITY PLAN AREA - DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE

(SOURCE: American Community Survey (ACS) 2010-2014) 10.2 square mile study area (approximate)**

POPULATION		percent
Total person	**83,636**	
persons in households	83,413	100%
persons in group quarters	359	0%
Persons per square mile	**8,209**	

Growth in Population		Percent
April 1st, 2010	77,237	Change
population growth	6,399	**8.3%**

RACE/ETHNICITY		percent
Race		
White	55,653	66.5%
Black or African American	3,528	4.2%
American Indian and Alaska Native	1,893	2.3%
Asian	5,498	6.6%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	853	1.0%
Some Other Race	12,037	14.4%
Two or More Races:	4,174	5.0%
Hispanic or Latino Origin by Race		
Not Hispanic or Latino:	**18,552**	**22%**
White	7,578	40.8%
Black or African American	3,247	17.5%
American Indian and Alaska Native	172	0.9%
Asian	5,258	28.3%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	760	4.1%
Some Other Race	13	0.1%
Two or More Races:	1,525	8.2%
Hispanic or Latino:	**65,083**	**78%**
White	48,075	73.9%
Black or African American	281	0.4%
American Indian and Alaska Native	1,721	2.6%
Asian	240	0.4%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	93	0.1%
Some Other Race	12,024	18.5%
Two or More Races:	2,649	4.1%

GENDER AND AGE		percent
Total Males	**41,290**	**49%**
Under 5 years old	3,642	9%
5 to 9 years old	3,661	9%
10 to 17 years old	5,324	13%
18 to 21 years old	2,912	7%
22 to 34 years old	8,849	21%
35 to 59 years old	12,515	30%
60 to 64 years old	1,508	4%
65 to 74 years old	1,764	4%
75 or older	1,114	3%
Total Females	**42,346**	**51%**
Under 5 years old	3,271	8%
5 to 9 years old	3,431	8%
10 to 17 years old	5,471	13%
18 to 21 years old	2,912	7%
22 to 34 years old	8,490	20%
35 to 59 years old	12,943	31%
60 to 64 years old	1,866	4%
65 to 74 years old	2,285	5%
75 or older	1,676	4%

2014 POPULATION PYRAMID



CHANGE (2010* TO 2014)

GENDER	number	percent
Total males	2,710	7.0%
Total females	3,689	9.5%
AGE		
Under 5 years old	374	5.7%
5 to 9 years old	723	11.3%
10 to 17 years old	376	3.6%
School age (5 to 17 years old)	**1,098**	**6.5%**
18 to 21 years old	486	9.1%
22 to 34 years old	2,145	14.1%
35 to 59 years old	1,222	5.0%
60 to 64 years old	447	15.3%
65 to 74 years old	531	15.1%
75 or older	96	3.6%
HOUSING		
Total units	106	0.5%
Occupied units	398	1.8%
Owner occupied	231	2.4%
Renter occupied	167	1.4%

HISPANIC OR LATINO and mixed race categories.
RACE-For the first time, since the year 2000 Census of Population and Housing permitted persons to check more than one category to define their race. The selection of categories and the option to choose more than one is strictly a matter of personal choice and personal preference. The choices are: White, Black or African American, American Indian and Alaska Native, Asian, Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander, Some Other Race, and Two or More Races.

ETHNICITY- As in the two previous censuses, persons are also further self defined as being HISPANIC OR LATINO or NOT HISPANIC OR LATINO. It is important to note that this is a separate ETHNIC grouping as opposed to the RACIAL categories listed above.

*Represents 2010 Decennial Census. ** Calculated from Bureau of Census TIGER file.

2014 CITY OF LOS ANGELES - DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING

Wilshire

COMMUNITY PLAN AREA - DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE

(SOURCE: American Community Survey (ACS) 2010-2014) 14.0 square mile study area (approximate)**

POPULATION		percent
Total person	**286,733**	
persons in households	283,911	99%
persons in group quarters	2,755	1%
Persons per square mile	**20,477**	
Growth in Population		Percent
April 1st, 2010	278,392	Change
population growth	8,341	**3.0%**

RACE/ETHNICITY		percent
Race		
White	108,467	37.8%
Black or African American	18,487	6.4%
American Indian and Alaska Native	1,368	0.5%
Asian	76,461	26.7%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	659	0.2%
Some Other Race	72,587	25.3%
Two or More Races:	8,703	3.0%
Hispanic or Latino Origin by Race		
Not Hispanic or Latino:	**177,430**	**62%**
White	75,130	42.3%
Black or African American	17,515	9.9%
American Indian and Alaska Native	502	0.3%
Asian	76,087	42.9%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	653	0.4%
Some Other Race	1,179	0.7%
Two or More Races:	6,362	3.6%
Hispanic or Latino:	**109,303**	**38%**
White	33,337	30.5%
Black or African American	972	0.9%
American Indian and Alaska Native	866	0.8%
Asian	374	0.3%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	6	0.0%
Some Other Race	71,408	65.3%
Two or More Races:	2,341	2.1%

CHANGE (2010* TO 2014)

GENDER	number	percent
Total males	4,463	3.2%
Total females	3,879	2.8%
AGE		
Under 5 years old	873	5.2%
5 to 9 years old	-407	-2.9%
10 to 17 years old	-258	-1.2%
School age (5 to 17 years old)	**-665**	**-1.8%**
18 to 21 years old	-36	-0.3%
22 to 34 years old	730	1.0%
35 to 59 years old	4,926	4.9%
60 to 64 years old	982	8.0%
65 to 74 years old	1,600	10.7%
75 or older	-68	-0.5%
HOUSING		
Total units	684	0.5%
Occupied units	1,636	1.4%
Owner occupied	-29	-0.1%
Renter occupied	1,665	1.7%

GENDER AND AGE		percent
Total Males	**142,027**	**50%**
Under 5 years old	9,203	6%
5 to 9 years old	6,695	5%
10 to 17 years old	11,299	8%
18 to 21 years old	6,405	5%
22 to 34 years old	37,472	26%
35 to 59 years old	52,817	37%
60 to 64 years old	6,004	4%
65 to 74 years old	7,185	5%
75 or older	4,947	3%
Total Females	**144,706**	**50%**
Under 5 years old	8,430	6%
5 to 9 years old	6,951	5%
10 to 17 years old	10,636	7%
18 to 21 years old	6,447	4%
22 to 34 years old	35,859	25%
35 to 59 years old	51,686	36%
60 to 64 years old	7,283	5%
65 to 74 years old	9,307	6%
75 or older	8,106	6%

2014 POPULATION PYRAMID



HISPANIC OR LATINO and mixed race categories.
RACE-For the first time, since the year 2000 Census of Population and Housing permitted persons to check more than one category to define their race. The selection of categories and the option to choose more than one is strictly a matter of personal choice and personal preference. The choices are: White, Black or African American, American Indian and Alaska Native, Asian, Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander, Some Other Race, and Two or More Races.

ETHNICITY- As in the two previous censuses, persons are also further self defined as being HISPANIC OR LATINO or NOT HISPANIC OR LATINO. It is important to note that this is a separate ETHNIC grouping as opposed to the RACIAL categories listed above.

*Represents 2010 Decennial Census. ** Calculated from Bureau of Census TIGER file.