LOS ANGELES POLICE COMMISSION

BOARD OF
POLICE COMMISSIONERS

STEVE SOBOROFF PRESIDENT

PAULA MADISON VICE PRESIDENT

SANDRA FIGUEROA-VILLA KATHLEEN C. KIM ROBERT M. SALTZMAN

MARIA SILVA COMMISSION EXECUTIVE ASSISTANT II

October 7, 2014

ERIC GARCETTI Mayor

RICHARD M. TEFANK EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

ALEXANDER A. BUSTAMANTE INSPECTOR GENERAL

EXECUTIVE OFFICE
POLICE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING
100 WEST FIRST STREET, SUITE 134
LOS ANGELES, CA 90012-4112

(213) 236-1400 PHONE (213) 236-1410 FAX (213) 236-1440 TDD

BPC #14-0365

The Honorable City Council City of Los Angeles c/o City Clerk's Office Los Angeles, CA 90012

Dear Honorable Members:

RE: REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF EXTENSION AND BUDGET MODIFICATION OF THE 2012 FORENSIC DNA BACKLOG REDUCTION PROGRAM

At the regular meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners held Tuesday, October 7, 2014, the Board APPROVED the Department's report relative to the above matter.

This matter is being forwarded to you for approval.

Respectfully,

BOARD OF POLICE COMMISSIONERS

Maria Selva

MARIA SILVA

Commission Executive Assistant II

Attachment

c: Chief of Police

SHIP OCH -1 LIN 1:14

INTRADEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

OCT 0 1 2014

Mam Aufarl, oliby

October 3, 2014 1.17

POLICE COMMISSION

TO:

The Honorable Board of Police Commissioners

FROM:

Chief of Police

SUBJECT:

REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF EXTENSION AND BUDGET

MODIFICATION OF THE 2012 FORENSIC DNA BACKLOG REDUCTION

PROGRAM

RECOMMENDED ACTIONS

1. That the Board of Police Commissioners (Board) REVIEW and APPROVE this report.

- 2. That the Board TRANSMIT the attached grant modification, pursuant to Administrative Code Section 14.6(a), to the Mayor, Office of the City Administrative Officer (CAO), Office of the Chief Legislative Analyst and to the City Clerk for Committee and City Council reference.
- 3. That the Board REQUEST the Mayor and City Council to:
 - A. AUTHORIZE the Chief of Police or designee to accept the no-cost time extension for the 2012 Forensic DNA Backlog Reduction Program from the United States Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, National Institute of Justice, extending the award period to March 31, 2015;
 - B. AUTHORIZE the Chief of Police or his designee to execute an amendment to Contract No. C-121595, between the City of Los Angeles and The Bode Technology Group, Inc., extending the payment term of the grant under the agreement to March 31, 2015, subject to City Attorney approval as to form and legality:
 - C. AUTHORIZE the Chief of Police or his designee to execute an amendment to Contract No. C-122276, between the City of Los Angeles and Cellmark Forensics, Inc., extending the payment term of the grant under the agreement to March 31, 2015, subject to City Attorney approval as to form and legality;

D. AUTHORIZE the Los Angeles Police Department (LAPD) to reprogram funds totaling \$343,397 within the 2012 Forensic DNA Backlog Reduction Program from the United States Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, National Institute of Justice, as follows:

Category	Current	Change	New
Personnel	\$513,990	-\$98,127	\$415,863
Fringe Benefits	\$0	\$0	\$0
Travel	\$32,734	-\$32,734	\$0
Equipment	\$64,000	-\$64,000	\$0
Supplies	\$0	\$0	\$0
Contractual	\$687,903	\$343,397	\$1,031,300
Other	\$148,536	-\$148,536	\$0
Indirect Costs	<u>\$0</u>	\$0	<u>\$0</u>
TOTAL	\$1,447,163	\$0	\$1,447,163

E. AUTHORIZE the Controller to transfer appropriations from Fund 339, Department 70, Account No. 70J533, to the Department of General Services for 2012 Forensic DNA Backlog related expenditures as follows:

TRANSFER FROM:

Fund/Dept 339/70	<u>Acct.</u> 70J533	Title 2012 DNA Backlog Reduction Total	Amount \$295,055 \$295,055
TRANSFER TO	D:		
Fund/Dept	Acct.	<u>Title</u>	Amount
100/40	001101	Hiring Hall Construction	\$100,000
100/40	001121	Benefits Hiring Hall Construction	\$50,000
100/40	003180	Construction Materials	\$145,055
		Total	\$295,055

F. AUTHORIZE the LAPD to prepare Controller Instructions for any technical adjustments, subject to the approval of the CAO, and AUTHORIZE and INSTRUCT the Controller to implement the instructions.

DISCUSSION

The LAPD requests approval to reprogram funds totaling \$343,397. Funds would be transferred from the Personnel, Travel, Equipment, and Other categories to the Contractual category. The reprogramming of funds will allow the Department to complete the renovations of the DNA laboratory at the Piper Technical Center Facility (PTC).

Permission to increase the Contractual category by \$343,397 is requested for laboratory renovations. The renovations will allow the entire Serology/DNA Unit to be housed at the PTC and the Hertzberg-Davis Forensic Science Center. The renovations will improve productivity

and efficiency. The Department of General Services will perform renovation work such as adding walls, modifying restrooms, adding a ramp for compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, and air conditioning modifications necessary for DNA laboratory equipment.

To fund the renovations, LAPD requests permission to decrease the Personnel, Travel. Equipment, and Other categories by \$343,397. The LAPD is also seeking approval to accept a six-month no-cost extension. The extension will allow time for the LAPD to complete the laboratory renovations.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, please have a member of your staff contact Chief Information Officer Maggie Goodrich, Commanding Officer, Information Technology Bureau, at (213) 486-0370.

Respectfully,

Chief of Police

Attachments

BOARD OF POLICE COMMISSIONERS Approved October 7, 204/ Secretary Maria Lu



US DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE OFFICE OF JUSTICE PROGRAMS

GRANT ADJUSTMENT NOTICE

Grantee Informat	ior

Grantee Name:

City of Los Angeles

Project Period:

10/01/2012 -03/31/2015

GAN

Number:

005

Grantee Address: 200 N. SPRING ST SW MEZZANINE RM

M175 LOS ANGELES, 90012

Program Office: NIJ Date:

08/29/2014

Grantee DUNS

Grantee EIN:

Number:

03-784-8012

Grant Manager:

Alan Spanbauer

95-6000735

Application

2012-90021-CA-

Number(s):

DY

Vendor #:

956000735

Award Number:

2012-DN-BX-0039

Project Title:

FY2012 Forensic DNA Backlog

Reduction Program - Los Angeles Police

Award Amount: \$1,447,163.00

Department

		Chan	ge Project Period		
Current Grant Period:	Month: 23	Day: 29	New Grant Period:	Month: 29	Day: 30
Project Start Date:	10/01/2012		*New Project Start Date:	10/01/2012	
Project End Date:	09/30/2014		*New Project End Date:	03/31/2015	
*Required Justifica	ition for Ch	ange Projec	t Period:		
The LAPD requests			and the second s		

2012 Forensic DNA Backlog Reduction Grant from the current grant period end date of September 30, 2014 to March 31, 2015. LAPD has received the notification from NIJ that no further

Attachments:

None

Print

Audit Trail:

Description:	Role:	User:	Timestamp:
Approved-Final	OCFMD - Financial Analyst	SYSTEM_USER	08/29/2014 12:04 PM
Submitted	PO - Grant Manager	LAPDGRANTS	08/27/2014 2:47 PM

LOS ANGELES POLICE DEPARTMENT

2012-DN-BX-K0039 Change Project Period Justification

The LAPD requests a six-month extension of the 2012 Forensic DNA Backlog Reduction Grant from the current grant period end date of September 30, 2014 to March 31, 2015. LAPD has received the notification from NIJ that no further extensions will be allowed.

The end date extension will allow the LAPD to complete renovations of the Serology/DNA laboratory at the Piper Technical Center. The renovations will allow the Serology/DNA Unit to operate more efficiently and enhance productivity. LAPD anticipates that construction will completed in early January 2015.

Approval of this request will allow the LAPD to complete the laboratory renovations, enable personnel to work the allocated overtime hours, and allow the LAPD to fully utilize the award.

Thank you for your time and consideration.



US DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE OFFICE OF JUSTICE PROGRAMS

GRANT ADJUSTMENT NOTICE

Grantee Information						
Grantee Name:	City of Los Angeles	Project Period:	10/01/2012 - 03/31/2015	GAN Number:	006	
Grantee Address:	200 N. SPRING ST SW MEZZANINE RM M175 LOS ANGELES, 90012	Program Office:	NIJ	Date:	09/23/2014	
Grantee DUNS Number:	03-784-8012	Grant Manager:	Alan Spanbauer			
Grantee EIN:	95-6000735	Application Number(s):	2012-90021-CA DY			
Vendor #:	956000735	Award Number:	2012-DN-BX- 0039			
Project Title:	FY2012 Forensic DNA Backlog Reduction Program - Los Angeles Police Department	Award Amount:	\$1,447,163.00			

Categories	Approved Budget	Requested Changes to Budget	Revised Budget
A. Personnel	\$ 513990	\$-98127	\$ 415863
B. Fringe Benefits	\$0	\$0	\$0
C. Travel	\$ 32734	\$ -32734	\$ 0
D. Equipment	\$ 64000	\$[-64000	\$0
E. Supplies	\$0	\$0	\$0
F. Construction	\$0	\$ 0	\$0
G. Contractual	\$ 687903	\$ 343397	\$ 1031300
H. Other	\$ 148536	\$-148536	\$0
TOTAL DIRECT COST	\$ 1447163	\$[0	\$ 1447163
Total Direct C	Costs = (Sum of lines /	A-H)	
INDIRECT COST	\$0	\$0	\$ 0
TOTAL PROJECT COST	\$ 1447163	\$0	\$ 1447163

Income				
FEDERAL FUNDS APPROVED	\$ 1447163			\$ 1447163
NON- FEDERAL FUNDS APPROVED	\$[0	\$0		\$[0
PROGRAM INCOME	\$ 0	\$0		\$0
*Required J	ustification for Bu	dget Modif	ication	
See attache	ed documents.			
See attache				<u> </u>
			User:	Timestamp:
	S: Filename:	LAPDO		<u> </u>
Attachments Justification.o	S: Filename:		User:	Timestamp:
Attachments Justification.o	Filename:		User: GRANTS GRANTS	Timestamp: 09/09/2014 9:41 AM
Attachments Justification.o	Filename:	LAPDO	User: GRANTS GRANTS	Timestamp: 09/09/2014 9:41 AM
Attachments Justification.o Budget Detai	Filename: docx I Worksheet.xlsx	LAPDO Print	User: GRANTS GRANTS	Timestamp: 09/09/2014 9:41 AM
Attachments Justification.c Budget Detai Audit Trail: Description:	Filename: docx I Worksheet.xlsx	LAPD(Print	User: GRANTS GRANTS	Timestamp: 09/09/2014 9:41 AM 09/09/2014 9:40 AM
Attachments Justification.c Budget Detai	Filename: docx I Worksheet.xlsx	LAPDO Print	User: GRANTS GRANTS User:	Timestamp: 09/09/2014 9:41 AM 09/09/2014 9:40 AM Timestamp:

*

LOS ANGELES POLICE DEPARTMENT

2012 Forensic DNA Backlog Reduction Program Award Number 2012-DN-BX-0039 Budget Modification Justification

The Los Angeles Police Department (LAPD) requests approval to transfer funds from the Personnel, Travel, Equipment, and Other categories to Consultants/Contracts.

The additional funding in Consultants/Contracts will be used for the laboratory renovations at the Piper Technical Center Facility (PTC). LAPD has received revised estimates for the renovations. Design reviews revealed that an access ramp and restroom modifications are necessary. The facility was designed in 1980 and the ramp and restroom modifications will allow the laboratory to be in compliance with the current requirements of the Americans with Disabilities Act.

To fund the renovations, LAPD requests permission to reduce expenditures in the Personnel category and eliminate Other, Travel, and Equipment. LAPD will request approval from NIJ to add items from Travel, Equipment, and Other to the budget for the 2013 DNA Backlog (2013-DN-BX-0070).

The LAPD respectfully requests approval of this GAN. The GAN will assist the LAPD in enhancing productivity and achieving the program goals.

Budget Detail Worksheet

<u>Purpose:</u> This Budget Detail Worksheet must be used as your budget detail, but you can submit the budget narrative in any format (your own document or inserted as text after each category in this form).

All required information must be present in the budget narrative, regardless of format.

In TIE - If you may lest a live a mitter appearance on the second may are selected and the second may be in the se

A, Personnel—List each position by title - NOT INDIVIDUAL NAMES. Show the annual salary rate and the percentage of time to be devoted to the project. Compensation paid for employees engaged in grant activities must be consistent with that paid for similar work within the applicant organization.

Computation

Casework Position (additional)	Amount per unit	Define Unit	# units	# Individuals
				100000000000000000000000000000000000000

Database Position (additional)	Amount per unit	Define Unit	# units	# Individuals
				-

Casework Position (Overtime)	Amount per unit	Define Unit	# units	# Individuals
CRIMINALIST I	\$46.65	per hour	80	16
CRIMINALIST II	\$75.90	per hour	80	42
CRIMINALIST III	\$79.65	per hour	80	5
LABORATORY TECHNICIAN I	\$39.63	per hour	80	7
LABORATORY TECHNICIAN II	\$47.00	per hour	80	1

Database Position (Overtime)	Amount per unit	Define Unit	# units	# Individuals
AND THE PARTY OF T				

Validation Overtime	Amount per unit	Define Unit	# units	# Individuals
Committee II	THE RESERVE	per their		Company of the Company
MARCHE SHOULD HEREIN AND	HERMAN STATE			
	THE RESERVE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN			Sec. 1

Administrative Costs Position	Amount per unit	Define Unit	# units	# Individuals
Grant Manager - Management Analyst II	\$62.60	per hour	74.0	1
Grant Manager - Criminalist III	\$79.65	per hour	44.0	1
Grant Administration - Clerk Typist	\$34.10	per hour	30.0	1

Cost	
\$0.00	Enter casework
\$0.00	analysts/technicians here
\$0.00	
Subtotal	\$0.00

Cost		
\$0.00	Enter database	
\$0.00	analysts/technicians here	
\$0.00		Т
Subtotal	\$0.00	

Cost	- 1
\$59,712.00	Catas annuals available base
\$255,024.00	Enter casework overtime here
\$31,860.00	
\$22,192.80	
\$3,760.00	Service of a State of Garage
Subtotal	\$372,548.80

Cost	
\$0.00	Erter database cynrime here
\$0.00	
Subtotal	(delete)

Cost	
\$34,155,36	Serve must of systema feet
\$0.00	wallelitiken beze
10.00	
	E34.975.90

Cost	
\$4,632.03	Enter administrative costs here
\$3,504.60	
\$1,022.85	
Subtotal	\$9,159.48

Weighted OT Rate	\$68.03	hrs	
Validations		1000	\$68,033.14
Document Scanning		2000	\$136,066.29
	Subtotal		\$204,099.43
Weighted OT Rate	\$68.03	hrs	
Analysis In-house	632	5	\$214,984.73
	Subtotal		\$214,984.73
Weighted OT Rate	\$68.03	hrs	
Cases Outsourced	135	8	\$73,475.79
SCRs	55	2	\$7,483.65
	Subtotal		\$80,959.44
	TOTAL		\$500,043,60

PERSONNEL TOTAL:

\$415,863.28

Note: In the # of units column, you can display the entry as a percentage (%) or a number. To change between numbers and percentage: select the cell by left clicking on it, then right click and select FORMAT CELLS, then the NUMBER tab, then select number or percentage (%) from the list

Budget Narrative for Personnel: The LAPD SDU requests Overtime costs to support 71 full-time casework analysts and Laboratory Technicians at the overtime rates of \$46.65, \$75.90, \$79.65, \$39.63 and \$47.00 per hour. These analysts will be directly involved in the screening, analysis, and interpretation of forensic DNA cases, as well as the review and upload of any CODIS-eligible profiles. The Laboratory Technicians will provide support by booking evidence, performing send-outs to contract laboratories and filing of grant related casework data.

The LAPD SDU is requesting 450 hours of overtime for the DNA Technical Leader and other analysts to evaluate and validate a new expanded core loci STR kit, as well as other potential validations.

The LAPD SDU is also requesting 148 hours of overtime for grant related activities, 74 hours at a rate of \$62.60 per hour for a Management Analyst II, who is the grant manager for the administrative aspects of the grant; 44 hours at \$79.65 for the grant manager for technical aspects of this program; and 30 hours at a rate of \$34.10 per hour for the clerk typist, who assists the laboratory technicians with the filing of grant related casework data, along with the tracking of all grant related overtime. Based on a weighted average overtime wage rate of \$68.03/hour, the LAPD intends to analyze and/or review a minimum of 466 backlogged cases (defined by the National Institute of Justice as cases not completed within 30 days of request) utilizing overtime to further than the program of the progr

asework Overtime salaries + Fringe =	\$372,548.80
Charles a Considera Galleries + Errora -	\$0.001

B. Fringe Benefits—Fringe benefits should be based on actual known costs or an established formula. Fringe benefits are for the personnel listed category (A) and only for the percentage of time devoted to the project. Any fringe benefit that is usual and allowable by the agency may be applied to overtime.

Casework Position (additional)	Amount of Personnel for basis
Employer's FICA	\$0.00
Retirement	\$0.00
Uniform Allowance	\$0.00
Health Insurance	\$0.00
Workman's Compensation	\$0.00
Unemployment Compensation	\$0.00
	Amount of Personnel for

Database Position (additional)	Amount of Personnel for basis
Employer's FICA	\$0.00
Retirement	\$0.00
Uniform Allowance	\$0.00
Health Insurance	\$0.00
Workman's Compensation	\$0.00
Unemployment Compensation	\$0.00

Casework Position (Overtime)	Amount of Personnel for basis
Employer's FICA	\$372,548.80
Retirement	\$372,548.80
Uniform Allowance	\$372,548.80
Health Insurance	\$372,548.80
Workman's Compensation	\$372,548.80
Unemployment Compensation	\$372,548.80

Database Position (Overtime)	Amount of Personnel for basis
Employer's FICA	\$0.00
Retirement	\$0.00
Uniform Allowance	\$0,00
Health Insurance	\$0.00
Workman's Compensation	\$0.00
Unemployment Compensation	\$0.00

Administrative Costs Position	Amount of Personnel for basis
Employer's FICA	\$9,159.48
Retirement	\$9,159.48
Uniform Allowance	\$9,159.48
Health Insurance	\$9,159.48
Workman's Compensation	\$9,159.48
Unemployment Compensation	\$9,159.48

% of Amount of Personnel	Additional computation (optional)
0.00%	
0.00%	
0.00%	
0.00%	
0.00%	
0.00%	Recorded.

% of Amount of Personnel	Additional computation (optional)
0.00%	
0.00%	
0.00%	
0.00%	
0.00%	
0.00%	

% of Amount of Personnel	Additional computation (optional)
0.00%	
0.00%	SCHOOL DA
0.00%	
0.00%	
0.00%	
0.00%	

% of Amount of Personnel	Additional computation (optional)
0.00%	
0.00%	
0.00%	HU TO
0.00%	
0.00%	
0.00%	

% of Amount of Personnel	Additional computation (optional)
0.00%	
0.00%	12-1-2-2
0.00%	
0.00%	
0.00%	
0.00%	

Cost	1
\$0.00	Enter casework
\$0.00	analysts/technicians fringe here
\$0.00	
\$0.00	
\$0.00]
\$0.00	
Subtotal	\$0.00

Cost	1
\$0.00	Enter database
\$0.00	analysts/technicians fringe here
\$0.00	
\$0.00	1
\$0.00	1
\$0.00	
Subtotal	\$0.00

Cost	
\$0.00	Enter casework overtime fringe
\$0.00	here
\$0.00	
\$0.00	ľ
\$0.00	
\$0.00	
Subtotal	\$0.00

Cost	
\$0,00	Prite database over the lings
\$0.00	Dere
\$0.00	
\$0.00	
\$0.00	
\$0:00	
otekal	\$0.00

Cost	
\$0.00	Fisher administration from t
\$0.00 \$0.00	Enter administrative fringe here
\$0.00	
\$0.00	
\$0.00	
\$0.00	
Subtotal	\$0.00

FRINGE TOTAL: \$0,00

TOTAL PERSONNEL AND FRINGE: \$415,863.28

Budget Narrative for Fringe Benefits: There is no funding requested in this budget category.

C. Travel-Itemize travel expenses of project personnel by purpose (e.g., staff to training, field interviews, advisory group meetings, etc. Show the basis of computation (e.g., six people at a 3-day training at \$X airfare, \$Y lodging, \$Z subsistence). For training projects, travel and meals for trainees should be listed separately. Show the number of trainees and unit cost involved. Identify the location of travel, if known, Indicate source of Travel Policies applied: Applicant or Federal Travel Regulations.

		(Computation							
Purpose of Travel	Location	item	Cost	# Individuals	# Nights/Days	# Trips	Cost			
		Airfare	n Trebules			- 15 C 25 L	\$0.00	Enter meeting and conference	ravel costs in the	ĺ
		Hotel	FILT PLAN				\$0.00	brown shaded boxes.		
		Meals				The state of the s	\$0.00			
								Are you within	the 5% cap for tra	vel and registration for
		Airfare	The Contract				\$0.00			
		Hotel		The new	100000000000000000000000000000000000000		\$0.00		\$0.00	Ĭ
		Meals		10 -3- LL			\$0.00	Registration:	\$0.00	
		_								Travel/Registration total
SALIDOK FIRM		Hotel					\$0.00		\$1,447,163.00	Award total
		Meals	Late of the second				\$0.00		0.00%	% of award for
		Parking					\$0.00		0.00%	Travel/Registration to Meeting:
						Meeting Tra	vel Subtotal	\$0.00		
								2		
	1	\irfare	\$0.00	0		1	\$0.00	Enter travel associated with tra	ining sessions an	d/or vendor
	1	fotel	\$0.00	0		1	\$0.00	laboratory site visits in the gre	shaded boxes.	
	1	Meals	\$0.00	0		1	\$0.00			
						Non-meeting tra		\$0		
8										
						TRAVEL	TOTAL:	\$0.00		
								72,22		

Budget Narrative for Travel: There is no funding requested in this budget category.

D. Equipment—List non-expendable items that are to be purchased. (Note: Organization's own capitalization policy for classification of equipment should be used. Expendable items should be included in the "Supplies" category. Applicants should analyze the cost benefits of purchasing versus leasing equipment, especially high cost items and those subject to rapid technical advances. Rented or leased equipment costs should be listed in the "Contractual" category. The budget narrative for this category should explain how the equipment is necessary for the success of the project as well as describe the procurement method to be used. A separate justification must be provided for sole source purchases in excess of \$100,000.

						Note - Always include the vendor name - then add up all costs
	Computation					that vendor to see if you exceed \$100,000 in sole source
Instrument or Equipment Item	Cost per Unit	# Units	Define Unit	Vendor	Cost	requests
					\$0.00	

EQUIPMENT TOTAL \$0.00

Budget Narrative for Equipment: There is no funding requested in this budget category.

E. Supplies—List only lab supplies to work cases, to process database samples, or reagents and supplies to validate new technologies here. Do not include database collection kits here - place them in the other category. A separate justification must be provided for sole source purchases in excess of \$100,000.

Forensic Casework Supply Item	ns Cost per unit	Define Unit	# Units	Vend	dor		Cost					
								Enter casework so the blue cells	upplies only in			
							\$0.00	are blue cons				
							\$0.00 \$0.00		Ho	w many cases sh Case	work supplies:	1000
							\$0.00 \$0.00				for casework:	\$214,9
		200			E TALISTEE		\$0.00				se per \$1,000	215
						1	\$0.00	31.3		many cases have base samples show		000
							\$0.00 \$0.00			Datab	ase Supplies:	
							\$0.00				for Database:	
					1 - 1 - 1 6		\$0.00 \$0.00			any samples have imples declared	to be worked:	
							\$0.00		A Ha		st per sample:	#QIV
						Casework Su	\$0,00 ipply Subtotal	\$0.00	1			
	Computation								,			
Database Supply Items	Cost per unit	Define Unit	# Units	Ven	dor	1	Cost	Enter database su	applies only in			
							\$0.00	the green cells				
						Database Su	pply Subtotal	\$0,00	3			
alidation Supply Items	Cost per unit	Define Unit	# Units	Ven	dor							
						1	\$0.00 \$0.00		upplies here			
							\$0.00		,			
		1				Validation Su	innly Subtotal	1 90.00	11			
						Validation Su		\$0.00	0]			
						Validation Su SUPPLY TOTAL			01			
udαet Narrative for Supplies: There	a is no fundina requested in	this budget cated	gory.									
		this budget cated	gory.									
Construction—As a rule, construction	n costs are not allowable.		20ry.				\$0.00					
Construction—As a rule, constructio		/ork					\$0.00 Cost	1 1				
Construction-As a rule, constructio	n costs are not allowable. Description of W	/ork				SUPPLY TOTAL	\$0.00 Cost \$0.00]]]				
Construction-As a rule, constructio	n costs are not allowable. Description of W	/ork					\$0.00 Cost]]]				
Construction—As a rule, constructio urpose A	n costs are not allowable. Description of W Not allowable und	fork der these awards		ederal Acquisition		SUPPLY TOTAL TOTAL	\$0.00 Cost \$0.00]]]				
Construction—As a rule, construction prose A Consultants/Contracts—Indicate with consultants/Contracts—Indicate with consultants/Contracts—Indicate with consultants	Description of W Not allowable und	fork der these awards ritten Procuremer	nt Policy or the F		ns Policy is being	SUPPLY TOTAL TOTAL g applied	\$0.00 Cost \$0.00 \$0.00					
Construction—As a rule, construction pose A Consultants/Contracts—Indicate who consultant Fee: For each consultant	Description of W Not allowable und	fork for these awards ritten Procurement	nt Policy or the F		ns Policy is being	SUPPLY TOTAL TOTAL g applied	\$0.00 Cost \$0.00 \$0.00					
Construction—As a rule, construction in pose (A) Consultants/Contracts—Indicate who is consultant. Fee: For each consultant, es in excess of \$450 per day require.	Description of W Not allowable und	fork for these awards ritten Procurement	nt Policy or the F		ns Policy is being	SUPPLY TOTAL TOTAL g applied	\$0.00 Cost \$0.00 \$0.00					
Construction—As a rule, construction rule, construction rule, construction rule, construction rule, consultants/Contracts—Indicate who consultant Fee: For each consultant,	Description of W Not allowable und	fork for these awards ritten Procurement service to be provinion approval fron	nt Policy or the F vided, hourly or n OJP.	daily fee (8 hour	ns Policy is being	SUPPLY TOTAL TOTAL g applied	Cost \$0.00 \$0.00			u hire to provide	advice Do	
Construction—As a rule, construction in pose (A) Consultants/Contracts—Indicate who is consultant. Fee: For each consultant, es in excess of \$450 per day require.	Description of W Not allowable und hether applicant's formal, we enter the name, if known, additional justification and p	fork for these awards ritten Procurement service to be provinion approval fron	nt Policy or the F vided, hourly or n OJP. Computation	daily fee (8 hour	ns Policy is being day), and estima	SUPPLY TOTAL TOTAL g applied	Cost \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	Note - A consult:	ant is someone yo	without first discu		
Construction—As a rule, construction prose A Consultants/Contracts—Indicate who consultant Fee: For each consultant, es in excess of \$450 per day require	Description of W Not allowable und hether applicant's formal, we enter the name, if known, additional justification and p	fork for these awards ritten Procurement service to be provinion approval fron	nt Policy or the F vided, hourly or n OJP. Computation	daily fee (8 hour	ns Policy is being day), and estima	SUPPLY TOTAL TOTAL g applied	Cost \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	Note - A consult:	ant is someone yo	without first discu		
Construction—As a rule, construction in pose (A) Consultants/Contracts—Indicate who is consultant fee: For each consultant, es in excess of \$450 per day require.	Description of W Not allowable und hether applicant's formal, we enter the name, if known, additional justification and p	fork for these awards ritten Procurement service to be provinion approval fron	nt Policy or the F vided, hourly or n OJP. Computation	daily fee (8 hour	ns Policy is being day), and estima # Units	SUPPLY TOTAL TOTAL g applied	Cost \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	Note - A consultanot make an enti	ant is someone yo	without first discu		
Construction—As a rule, construction prose A Consultants/Contracts—Indicate who shoultant Fee: For each consultant, as in excess of \$450 per day require. Name of Consultant	Description of W Not allowable und Not allowable und hether applicant's formal, we enter the name, if known, additional justification and p	fork fer these awards ritten Procurement service to be provided to the provi	nt Policy or the F vided, hourly or n OJP. Computation Cost per unit	Define Unit	ns Policy is being day), and estima # Units Consultan	TOTAL g applied ated time on the p	Cost \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	Note - A consultanot make an enti	ant is someone yo	without first discu		
Construction—As a rule, construction prose A Consultants/Contracts—Indicate who consultant Fee: For each consultant, es in excess of \$450 per day require. Name of Consultant	Description of W Not allowable und Not allowable und hether applicant's formal, we enter the name, if known, additional justification and p	fork fer these awards ritten Procurement service to be provided to the provi	nt Policy or the F vided, hourly or n OJP. Computation Cost per unit	Define Unit	ns Policy is being day), and estima # Units Consultan	TOTAL g applied ated time on the p	Cost \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	Note - A consultanot make an enti	ant is someone yo	without first discu		
Construction—As a rule, construction repose (A) Consultants/Contracts—Indicate who consultant Fee: For each consultant, es in excess of \$450 per day require. Name of Consultant onsultant Expenses: List all expenses tem	Description of W Not allowable und Not allowable und hether applicant's formal, we enter the name, if known, additional justification and p	fork fer these awards ritten Procurement service to be provided to the provi	nt Policy or the F vided, hourly or n OJP. Computation Cost per unit	Define Unit	ns Policy is being day), and estima # Units Consultan	TOTAL g applied ated time on the p	Cost \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	Note - A consultant make an enti	ant is someone yo	without first discu		
Construction—As a rule, construction urpose (A) Consultants/Contracts—Indicate with consultant Fee: For each consultant, les in excess of \$450 per day require. Name of Consultant Onsultant Expenses: List all expenses.	Description of W Not allowable und Not allowable und Not allowable und hether applicant's formal, w enter the name, if known, additional justification and p Service Provide	fork fer these awards ritten Procurement service to be provided to the provi	nt Policy or the F vided, hourly or n OJP. Computation Cost per unit	Define Unit	ns Policy is being day), and estima # Units	TOTAL g applied ated time on the p	Cost \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	Note - A consulting of make an entimatter with your	ant is someone yo	without first discu		
onsultant Expenses: List all expensions litem literidatel data	Description of W Not allowable und Not allowable und Not allowable und hether applicant's formal, w enter the name, if known, additional justification and p Service Provide	fork fer these awards ritten Procurement service to be provided to the provi	nt Policy or the F vided, hourly or n OJP. Computation Cost per unit	Define Unit	ns Policy is being day), and estima # Units	TOTAL g applied ated time on the p	Cost \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	Note - A consultant make an enti	ant is someone yo	without first discu		
Construction—As a rule, construction urpose A Consultants/Contracts—Indicate with consultant Fee: For each consultant, les in excess of \$450 per day require. Name of Consultant Consultant Expenses: List all expenses.	Description of W Not allowable und Not allowable und Not allowable und hether applicant's formal, w enter the name, if known, additional justification and p Service Provide	fork fer these awards ritten Procurement service to be provided to the provi	nt Policy or the F vided, hourly or n OJP. Computation Cost per unit	Define Unit	ns Policy is being day), and estima # Units	TOTAL g applied ated time on the p	Cost \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	Note - A consultant make an enti	ant is someone yo	without first discu		

Contracts: Provide a description of the product or services to be procured by contract and an estimate of the cost. Applicants are encouraged to promote free and open competition in awarding contracts. A separate justification must be provided for sole source contracts in excess of \$100,000.

	Item Vend		Service Provided	Cost		
Outsourcing casework	Cellmark Forensics (existing contract)	\$2000/case x	3 cases	\$6,000.00		
Outsourcing casework	3		45 cases	\$67,500.00		
Piper Tech Lab Renovation	City of Los Angeles - Bureau of Engineer / IBI Group (existing contract)		Study existing building layout, create architectural drawings, plan workstation placement, obtain building permits, etc.			
Piper Tech Lab Renovation	City of Los Angeles Information Technology Agency	Communication	n services (data lines, phone lines, etc.)	\$47,341.72		
Piper Tech Lab Renovation	City of Los Angeles LAPD / General Services Division	Cubicles and	chairs	\$145,000.00		
Piper Tech Lab Renovation	City of Los Angeles General Services Division		HVAC, demolition, walls, flooring, ceiling work, sprinklers, restroom & access ramp for ADA compliance, etc.)	\$645,458.00		

Contracts Subtotal	\$1,031,299.72
CONSULTANTS/CONTRACTS TOTAL	\$1,031,299.72

Budget Narrative for Consultants/Contracts: Funding from this grant will be utilized to obtain contract laboratory services from Bode Technology Group and Cellmark Forensics for the outsourcing of forensic DNA cases. The LAPD will send approximately 48 cases to contract labs. The LAPD used the competitive bid process to select these laboratories, and has established contracts with both. The LAPD had also selected Sorenson Laboratories and established a contract, but elected not to renew it for subsequent years. Any contract laboratory paid with funds from this grant will have an approved Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) on file for the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA).

In order to provide sufficient workstations for new employees, make more efficient use of the current space, and provide adequate separation between laboratory and administrative work areas, the LAPD plans to utilize funds from the FY 12 DNA Backlog Reduction Program to renovate existing laboratory space at its Piper Technical Center (PTC) facility. As the LAPD Serology/DNA Unit (SDU) has grown, workspace has become a pressing issue to the point that other units within the Hertzberg Davis Forensic Science Center (HDFSC) laboratory have been forced to share their workstations with the SDU. In addition, a conference room formerly used for training and meetings has been re-purposed to temporarily house new employees. In order to address the lack of administrative and laboratory space to accommodate all SDU employees, the LAPD plans to further renovate its PTC facility. PTC is an older facility, originally designed to accommodate solid dose drug analysis, toxicology, blood alcohol, forensic photography, trace evidence and field investigation units, as well as serology (the facility was designed in 1980 and built out prior to DNA testing and service expansion). In the existing area for each unit, the desk space for analysts is within the same room as the laboratory space. While this may have been acceptable at the time, advances in DNA testing sensitivity, knowledge of general laboratory safety and ISO 17025 standards (5.3.1 - "environmental conditions shall....facilitate correct performance of tests" and 5.3.3 - "effective separation between neighboring areas in which there are incompatible activities") require that analysts have desk space for more from lab space.

Until 2013, the Serology/DNA Unit shared the PTC space with the Scientific Investigation Divisions' Electronics Unit (who occupied half of the laboratory and administrative space). As a result, no progress could be made in terms of creating more useable space. With the relocation of the Electronics Unit to another facility early in 2013, the entire PTC location is now available, allowing for the space necessary each (following renovations) SDU's full cadre of personnel between its PTC and HDFSC facilities. A photographic darkroom was previously converted to space that could be utilized for DNA analysis, utilizing funds from the FY 2010 DNA Backlog Reduction Program Grant. The conversion was limited at that time, due to the presence of Electronics Unit in approximately half of the available space. A more thorough renovation can now be undertaken, allowing for a much more efficient and productive use of the space. Demoiltion of some existing walls and infrastructure is planned, followed by rebuilding and finish work of the involved portions of the facility. In order to complete the work, significant funds are required to bring the restrooms into compliance with current Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) regulations. New data and phone cabling will need to be installed, followed by the addition of cubicle areas, furniture, computers, phones, etc., in order to provide the administrative seating areas with the necessary equipment for the analysts. By accomplishing this renovation, the SDU will be able to function as a complete satellite laboratory at PTC, with all of the necessary facilities and equipment that will allow the laboratory to greatly increase its efficiency, reducing the backlog (per NIJ, over 30 days since requested) of forensic DNA cases, and allowing the backlog to be maintained at a much lower level than was possible with the inadequate facilities that currently exist.

H. Other Costs—List items like registration and workshop fees, software purchases, renovation costs (if not covered by contracts), LIMS systems, books and journals, and equipment items which have a cost below agency requirements to be called equipment. List registration fees and workshop fees associated with meetings and conferences in the designated spaces.

	Computation			0				
Registration	Registration and Workshop Costs			(define unit)	# Units	Co	ost	
							\$0.00	Enter only registration fees for
							\$0.00	meetings/conferences in pink cells
						- Ess	\$0.00	
						Registration Subto	tal	\$0.00
	Computation							
Item	Cost per unit	(define unit)	# Units	Ver	idor	Co	ost	
							\$0.00	Enter all other "Other" expenses (costs not
								associated with meeting/conference registratio
							\$0.00	fees) in the yellow cells
							\$0.00	
						Subtota	al	\$0.00
					,			
						OTHER TOTAL	\$0.00	

Budget Narrative for Other: There is no funding requested in this budget category.

I. Indirect Cost-Indirect costs are allowed only if the applicant has Federally approved indirect cost rate. A copy of the rate approval, (a fully executed, negotiated agreement), must be attached. If the applicant does not have an approved rate, one can be requested by contacting the applicant's cognizant Federal agency, which will review all documentation and approve a rate for the applicant organization, or if the applicant's accounting system permits, costs may be allocated in the direct costs categories.

Computation

Description	Amount of Direct Costs the Indirect Rate Applies to:	Indirect Cost Rate
		3.00%

Cost \$0.00

INDIRECT COST TOTAL

\$0.00

Budget Summary--When you have completed this budget worksheet, the totals for each category will transfer to the spaces below. The total costs and total project costs will be computed via Excel formula. Indicate the amount of Federal requested and the amount of non-Federal funds that will support the project.

Budget Category	Amount
A. Personnel	\$415,863.28
B. Fringe Benefits	\$0.00
C. Travel	\$0.00
D. Equipment	\$0.00
E. Supplies	\$0.00
F. Construction	\$0.00
G. Consultants/Contracts	\$1,031,299.72
H. Other	\$0.00
Total Direct Costs	\$1,447,163,00
I. Indirect Costs	\$0.00
TOTAL PROJECT COSTS	\$1,447,163.00
Federal Request	\$1,447,163.00
Non-Federal Amount	\$0.00

NOTE: If a Non-Federal amount is entered, make sure those items for which they will be used must be incorporated into your overall budget. Indicate clearly throughout you budget narrative and detail worksheet for which items these funds will be used.

INTRADEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

September 24, 2014 1.17

TO:

Chief of Police

FROM:

Commanding Officer, Information Technology Bureau

SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF EXTENSION AND BUDGET

MODIFICATION OF THE 2012 FORENSIC DNA BACKLOG

REDUCTION PROGRAM

Attached for your approval and signature is an Intradepartmental Correspondence to the Board of Police Commissioners requesting approval to transmit the attached requests for the 2012 Forensic DNA Backlog Reduction Program to the Mayor, Office of the City Administrative Officer, Office of the Chief Legislative Analyst, and to the City Clerk for committee and City Council consideration.

The Los Angeles Police Department (LAPD) requests approval to reprogram funds totaling \$343,397. Funds would be transferred from the Personnel, Travel, Equipment, and Other categories to the Contractual category. The reprogramming of funds will allow the Department to fund overtime and renovations to the DNA laboratory at the Piper Technical Center.

The LAPD is also seeking approval to accept a six-month no-cost extension. The extension will allow the LAPD to fully utilize grant funds and implement the revised budget.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, please have a member of your staff contact Senior Management Analyst Stella Larracas, Officer in Charge, Grants Section, at (213) 486-0380.

MAGGIE GOODRICH, Chief Information Officer

Commanding Officer

Information Technology Bureau

Attachments