

## McQUISTON ASSOCIATES

6212 Yucca St, Los Angeles, CA 90028-5223

(323) 464-6792 FAX same

consultants to technical management June 10, 2014

CF14-0650 ITEM 3, PLUM 6/10/14 S. Gin

## STATEMENT of J.H. McQUISTON on 7108 AMIGO and 18561 GAULT

Honorable Chairman and Members of the Committee:

There is a problem with this conversion. The General Plan conflict prevents conversion from Single-family residential.

Just because other parcels do not comply with the General Plan does not permit another parcel not to comply.

The Plan is not set forth in whole in the material for review.

What the Council must do is adopt a Plan Amendment if the project is to go forward. A specific plan to the contrary is not sufficient evidence to permit Plan violation.

CEQA cases have painfully-set forth that there is no such thing as a "minor" departure from CEQA and from Government Code.

I suggest looking at the General Plan first, then determining what is appropriate for the area, for the City, and for surrounding Cities and County, just like California requires the City to do.

AD HOC PLANNING WAS FORBIDDEN in the 1970's. City lost its case to continue ad hoc planning in City of Los Angeles v State of California. That happened over 30 years ago.

It will be painful, but now is the time for Los Angeles to realize it is part and parcel of this State and obey it.

For further facts on State law, see Government Code at 65300, and also CF14-0608 (this agenda) "Comment".

The State recognizez only one zoning per parcel and insists that the Plan adhere to that zoning. There is no excuse to claim a parcel may choose between a host of mutually-exclusive zoning and claim it applies to it.

"The tail does not wag the dog". deBottari, Lesher, many other courts.

Respectfully submitted,

JAMIE Gilly ton

J. H. McQuiston

c: Interested parties