

Contact Information

Neighborhood Council: Studio City Neighborhood Council

Name: Denise Welvang

Phone Number: [\(818\) 990-0008](tel:(818)990-0008)

Email: rvilla@studiocitync.org

The Board approved this CIS by a vote of: Yea(13) Nay(0) Abstain(0) Ineligible(1) Recusal(0)

Date of NC Board Action: 08/17/2016

Type of NC Board Action: Against

Impact Information

Date: 11/25/2016

Update to a Previous Input: Yes

Directed To: City Council and Committees

Council File Number: 14-0656

Agenda Date:

Item Number:

Summary: The Board of the Studio City Neighborhood Council supports the proposed BMO/BHO ordinance provisions released to the public April 21, 2016 as amended by the Staff Recommended Modifications to the Ordinance included as Appendix B to the Department of City Planning Recommendation Report Dated July 14, 2016, however, we request the following changes and additions to such documents: SEE ATTACHED DOCUMENTS

We request the following changes and additions to such documents:

- 1. A budget for enforcement of the ordinance must be included in the final ordinance. Special Studio City RFA requirements are not enforced by the DBS building inspectors and plan check staff and/or engineers, even when complaints are filed. Real enforcement of the building codes and all applicable ordinances must be a priority in our City. Any construction that deviates from approved plans must be required to be brought into compliance.**
- 2. We continue to request the complete elimination of the 400 square foot allowance for attached garages. Garages to the rear of the property include the very important "driveway" which provides the current additional spacing we have between houses in most neighborhoods before "manisnionization" occurs.**
- 3. The elimination of all Residential Floor Area bonuses for all R1 Zones in accordance with the Code Amendment Summary Fact Sheet dated July 6, 2016. Page 3 and page 5 of Appendix A: Proposed Ordinance Provisions continue to indicate that there is still one 20 percent bonus per property if the conditions listed in either (a) or b) are met. As we note no revision to that provision in Appendix B, we request that the bonus referred to above be eliminated in the final ordinance.**
- 4. We continue to request the elimination of the provision which states that "Adjustments" of 10 percent can be granted by zoning administrators in private without public oversight as indicated on page 21 of Appendix A Proposed Ordinance Provisions. The city already has a process for variances and a wealth of zoning tools tailored to the needs of individual neighborhoods. Additional "adjustments" should not be permitted. Any requested "change" to the BMO, BHO or RFA Districts must be handled as part of the public hearing process.**
- 5. We continue to request clarity and specificity in the definition of what constitutes an existing structure or remodel. The definition should include clear limits to the extent of demolition allowed such project categories, as well as requirements for how portions of existing structures to remain must be utilized in the new construction. These protections are necessary to prevent new building projects from being disguised as renovation projects or remodel.**

- 6. We request the complete elimination of the exemption which is included in Appendix B General Item 1. There should be no explicit exemption for CUP projects from the BMO and BHO provisions.**
- 7. We request that there be no doubling of the Maximum Grading Quantities as set forth in Appendix A: Proposed Ordinance Provisions on page 25 which would revise Section 23 Paragraph (f) (1). Without further study stakeholders are unable to determine that this provision coupled with other provisions of the Proposed Ordinance Provisions would actually result in a net decrease in maximum allowable grading quantities.**
- 8. We request that the by-right minimum 1,000 square feet of Residential Floor Area for nonconforming hillside lots be reconsidered. Without revision of this provision, a nonconforming 2,500 square foot lot would be allowed an inappropriate 1,000 square feet of Residential Floor Area by-right.**
- 9. We continue to request that the City keep the ordinance as straightforward and enforceable as possible. We specifically request the addition of enforcement provisions including explicit requirements that project design documents clearly demonstrate compliance with all aspects of the ordinance in order to facilitate efficient and timely review by City officials.**

We would like to specifically express our support of the following provisions of the proposed ordinance:

- 10. Elimination of ALL Bonus Options: The bonus options in the Studio City RFA have significantly decreased its effectiveness. This is the type of loop-hole which turns houses into out of scale buildings.**
- 11. Retention of the various articulation requirements in the final ordinance so that the new home does not have the side and back walls as one long flat wall. This articulation is important to the existing neighboring homes as it gives some design to the side and back walls visible all around.**

moveed by:
Rita Villa

Second
Richard
welsh

STUDIO CITY NEIGHBORHOOD COUNCIL

EMPOWER LA

DEPARTMENT OF NEIGHBORHOOD EMPOWERMENT

BOARD VOTE FORM

Budget Fiscal Year: 2016 - 2017

Meeting Date: August 17, 2016

Agenda Item: 10 B

USE THIS FORM FOR THE FOLLOWING FUNDING ITEMS:

☐ APPROVAL OF BYLAWS MOTION

☐ APPROVAL OF CULTURAL AFFAIRS MOTION

☒ APPROVAL OF GOVERNMENT AFFAIRS MOTION

☒ APPROVAL OF LAND USE MOTION

Description:

See Attached:

Vote Count

*Recused-Boardmember must leave the room prior to any discussion and may not return to the room until after the vote is completed.

Board Member Name	Yes	No	Abstain	*Recused	Absent	Ineligible
Alex Bosschaerts	✓					
Alex Izbicki					X	
Andrew Sussman					X	
Craig Radow	✓					
Eric Preven	✓					
Heidi Mackay	✓					
John Crotteau	✓					
Lisa Karadjian	✓					
Patrice Berlin	✓					
Patrick Lewis	✓					
Richard Welsh	✓					
Richard Niederberg	✓					
Rita Villa	✓					
Robert Schiller	✓					
Sarina Patel						X
Denise Welvang	✓					
NC Quorum:	7	Totals:				

We, the Treasurer and Signer of the above indicated Council, declare that the information presented on this form is accurate and complete, and that a public meeting was held in accordance with the Brown Act, where with a quorum of Board Members present, the Council approved the above action.

Treasurer's Signature:

Print/Type name: Rob Schiller

Date:

Signer's Signature:

Print/Type name: Richard Niederberg

Date:

NC Additional Comments

STUDIO CITY NEIGHBORHOOD COUNCIL

EMPOWER LA

DEPARTMENT OF NEIGHBORHOOD EMPOWERMENT

BOARD VOTE FORM

Budget Fiscal Year: 2016 - 2017

Meeting Date: August 17, 2016

Agenda Item: 10 B page 2 - 3

USE THIS FORM FOR THE FOLLOWING FUNDING ITEMS:

☐ APPROVAL OF BYLAWS MOTION

☐ APPROVAL OF CULTURAL AFFAIRS MOTION

☒ APPROVAL OF GOVERNMENT AFFAIRS MOTION

☒ APPROVAL OF LAND USE MOTION

The BMO/BHO Ad Hoc Committee of the Studio City Neighborhood Council recommends that the Board of the Studio City Neighborhood Council take the following action:

Motion B: The Board of the Studio City Neighborhood Council supports the proposed BMO/BHO ordinance provisions released to the public April 21, 2016 as amended by the Staff Recommended Modifications to the Ordinance included as Appendix B to the Department of City Planning Recommendation Report Dated July 14, 2016, however, we request the following changes and additions to such documents:
A budget for enforcement of the ordinance must be included in the final ordinance. Special Studio City RFA requirements are not enforced by the DBS building inspectors and plan check engineers, even when complaints are filed. Real enforcement of the Studio City RFA, building codes and all ordinances must be a priority in our City. Any construction that deviates from approved plans must be required to be brought into compliance.

We continue to request the complete elimination of the 400 square foot allowance for attached garages. Garages to the rear of the property include the very important "driveway" which provides the current additional spacing we have between houses in most neighborhoods before "mansinization" occurs.

The elimination of all Residential Floor Area bonuses for all R1 Zones in accordance with the Code Amendment Summary Fact Sheet dated July 6, 2016. Page 3 and page 5 of Appendix A: Proposed Ordinance Provisions continue to indicate that there is still one 20 percent bonus per property if the conditions listed in either (a) or b) are met. As we note no revision to that provision in Appendix B, we request that the bonus referred to above be eliminated in the final ordinance.

We continue to request the elimination of the provision which states that "Adjustments" of 10 percent can be granted by zoning administrators behind closed doors as indicated on page 21 of Appendix A Proposed Ordinance Provisions. The city already has a process for variances and a wealth of zoning tools tailored to the needs of individual neighborhoods. Additional "adjustments" should not be permitted. Any requested "change" to the BMO, BHO or RFA Districts must be handled as part of the public hearing process.

We continue to request clarity and specificity in the definition of what constitutes an existing structure. The definition should include clear limits to the extent of demolition allowed such project categories, as well as requirements for how portions of existing structures to remain must be utilized in the new construction. These protections are necessary to prevent new building projects from being disguised as renovation projects.
We request the complete elimination of the exemption which is included in Appendix B General Item 1. There should be no explicit exemption for CUP projects from the BMO and BHO provisions.

We request that there be no doubling of the Maximum Grading Quantities as set forth in Appendix A: Proposed Ordinance Provisions on page 25 which would revise Section 23 Paragraph (f) (1). Without further study stakeholders are unable to determine that this provision coupled with other provisions of the Proposed Ordinance Provisions would actually result in a net decrease in maximum allowable grading quantities.
We request that the by-right minimum 1,000 square feet of Residential Floor Area for nonconforming hillside lots be reconsidered. Without revision of this provision, a nonconforming 2,500 square foot lot would be allowed an inappropriate 1,000 square feet of Residential Floor Area by-right.
We continue to request that the City keep the ordinance as straightforward and enforceable as possible. We specifically request the addition of enforcement provisions including explicit requirements that project design documents clearly demonstrate compliance with all aspects of the ordinance in order to facilitate efficient and timely review by City officials.

We would like to specifically express our support of the following provisions of the proposed ordinance:

Elimination of ALL Bonus Options: The bonus options in the Studio City RFA have significantly decreased its effectiveness. This is the type of loop-hole turns houses into Mc Mansions. Retention of the various articulation requirements in the final ordinance so that the new home does not have the side and back walls as one long flat wall. This articulation is important to the existing neighboring homes as it gives some design to the side and back walls visible all around.

This motion should be filed as a community impact statement to council file CF 14-0656.