



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

BMO/BHO Amendments, Council File #14-0656

Debbie G <debbielgone@gmail.com>

Fri, Jun 3, 2016 at 1:23 AM

To: councilmember.wesson@lacity.org, paul.koretz@lacity.org, vince.bertoni@lacity.org, tom.rothmann@lacity.org, ken.bernstein@lacity.org, neighborhoodconservation@lacity.org, councilmember.huizar@lacity.org, councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org, councilmember.englander@lacity.org, councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org, councilmember.fuentes@lacity.org, sharon.dickinson@lacity.org

Cc: elizabeth.carlin@lacity.org, jordan.beroukhim@lacity.org, faisal.alserri@lacity.org, john.darnell@lacity.org, jasmine.shamolian@lacity.org

Dear City Council members and Planning Staff,

The first draft of BMO/BHO amendments made a good start but the latest draft takes a wrong turn by a) preserving loopholes that undermined the BMO in the first place, and b) borrowing elements from re:Code LA that make the ordinance more difficult to understand and enforce.

While the amendment process gets off track and falls behind, mansionization is spoiling our neighborhood.

Please ensure that the amended ordinance does not retain (or create new) harmful loopholes - or get so filled with technobabble that it becomes impossible to understand or enforce. Two specific requests:

1) **Eliminate the attached garage exemption (COUNT attached garages as FAR).**

This doesn't prevent developers/owners from building attached garages; it simply means that garages' square footage should count toward the floor area ratio when part of the house.

2) **Eliminate the zoning administrator's discretionary 10 percent "adjustment."**

Given our concerns regarding the current lack of transparency, we don't need yet another adjustment that gets approved behind closed doors. As the city has existing public processes for seeking variances, this discretionary provision should be eliminated.

You have an opportunity to show that you have been listening to your constituents as well as stakeholders across the city, and that you care about LA's precious residential neighborhoods. It's time for Los Angeles to put stable, sustainable communities ahead of real estate speculation, political pressure, and the almighty dollar.

Sincerely,

Debbie Gaughan

PICFAIR VILLAGE
CD 10



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

BMO/BHO Amendments, Council File #14-0656

John Donovan <jjdonovan019@gmail.com>

Fri, Jun 3, 2016 at 1:24 AM

To: "Herb Wesson (CD 10 Councilman and Council President)" <councilmember.wesson@lacity.org>, paul.koretz@lacity.org, vince.bertoni@lacity.org, tom.rothmann@lacity.org, ken.bernstein@lacity.org, neighborhoodconservation@lacity.org, councilmember.huizar@lacity.org, councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org, councilmember.englander@lacity.org, councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org, councilmember.fuentes@lacity.org, sharon.dickinson@lacity.org

Cc: "Liz Carlin (CD 10 Rep)" <elizabeth.carlin@lacity.org>, jordan.beroukhim@lacity.org, faisal.alserri@lacity.org, john.darnell@lacity.org, jasmine.shamolian@lacity.org

Dear City Council members and Planning Staff,

The first draft of BMO/BHO amendments made a good start but the latest draft takes a wrong turn by a) preserving loopholes that undermined the BMO in the first place, and b) borrowing elements from re:Code LA that make the ordinance more difficult to understand and enforce.

While the amendment process gets off track and falls behind, mansionization is spoiling our neighborhood.

Please ensure that the amended ordinance does not retain (or create new) harmful loopholes - or get so filled with technobabble that it becomes impossible to understand or enforce. Two specific requests:

1) Eliminate the attached garage exemption (COUNT attached garages as FAR).

This doesn't prevent developers/owners from building attached garages; it simply means that garages' square footage should count toward the floor area ratio when part of the house.

2) Eliminate the zoning administrator's discretionary 10 percent "adjustment."

Given our concerns regarding the current lack of transparency, we don't need yet another adjustment that gets approved behind closed doors. As the city has existing public processes for seeking variances, this discretionary provision should be eliminated.

You have an opportunity to show that you have been listening to your constituents as well as stakeholders across the city, and that you care about LA's precious residential neighborhoods. It's time for Los Angeles to put stable, sustainable communities ahead of real estate speculation, political pressure, and the almighty dollar.

Sincerely,

John Donovan

PICFAIR VILLAGE
CD 10



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

BMO/BHO Amendments, Council File #14-0656

1 message

Jeffrey Bowser <jeff@jeffbowser.com>

Fri, Jun 3, 2016 at 11:50 AM

To: councilmember.wesson@lacity.org, paul.koretz@lacity.org, vince.bertoni@lacity.org, tom.rothmann@lacity.org, ken.bernstein@lacity.org, neighborhoodconservation@lacity.org, councilmember.huizar@lacity.org, councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org, councilmember.englander@lacity.org, councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org, councilmember.fuentes@lacity.org, sharon.dickinson@lacity.org

Cc: elizabeth.carlin@lacity.org, jordan.beroukhim@lacity.org, faisal.alserri@lacity.org, john.darnell@lacity.org, jasmine.shamolian@lacity.org

Dear City Council members and Planning Staff,

The first draft of BMO/BHO amendments made a good start but the latest draft takes a wrong turn by a) preserving loopholes that undermined the BMO in the first place, and b) borrowing elements from re:Code LA that make the ordinance more difficult to understand and enforce.

While the amendment process gets off track and falls behind, mansionization is spoiling our neighborhood.

Please ensure that the amended ordinance does not retain (or create new) harmful loopholes - or get so filled with technobabble that it becomes impossible to understand or enforce. Two specific requests:

1) **Eliminate the attached garage exemption (COUNT attached garages as FAR).**

This doesn't prevent developers/owners from building attached garages; it simply means that garages' square footage should count toward the floor area ratio when part of the house.

2) **Eliminate the zoning administrator's discretionary 10 percent "adjustment."**

Given our concerns regarding the current lack of transparency, we don't need yet another adjustment that gets approved behind closed doors. As the city has existing public processes for seeking variances, this discretionary provision should be eliminated.

You have an opportunity to show that you have been listening to your constituents as well as stakeholders across the city, and that you care about LA's precious residential neighborhoods. It's time for Los Angeles to put stable, sustainable communities ahead of real estate speculation, political pressure, and the almighty dollar.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey Bowser
Jeff@jeffbowser.com

PICFAIR VILLAGE
CD 10



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

BMO/BHO AMENDMENTS, COUNCIL FILE #14-0656

1 message

Courtanya Ferguson <cferg714@aol.com>

Fri, Jun 3, 2016 at 4:19 PM

To: councilmember.wesson@lacity.org, paul.koretz@lacity.org, vince.bertoni@lacity.org, tom.rothmann@lacity.org, ken.bernstein@lacity.org, neighborhoodconservation@lacity.org, councilmember.huizar@lacity.org, councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org, councilmember.englander@lacity.org, councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org, councilmember.fuentes@lacity.org, sharon.dickinson@lacity.org

Cc: elizabeth.carlin@lacity.org, jordan.beroukhim@lacity.org, faisal.alserri@lacity.org, john.darnell@lacity.org, jasmine.shamolian@lacity.org

Dear City Council members and Planning Staff

The first draft of BMO/BHO amendments made a good start, but the latest draft takes a wrong turn by: a) preserving loopholes that undermined the BMO in the first place; b) borrowing elements from re:Code LA that make the ordinance more difficult to understand and enforce.

While the amendment process gets off track and falls behind, mansionization is spoiling our neighborhood.

Please ensure that the amended ordinance does not retain and/or create new harmful loopholes or get so filled with technical non-sense language that it becomes impossible to understand or enforce. Two specific requests:

1) **Eliminate the attached garage exemption (COUNT attached garages as FAR).**

This doesn't prevent developers/owners from building attached garages; it simply means that garages' square footage should count toward the floor area ratio, when it is built attached to the house.

2) **Eliminate the zoning administrator's discretionary 10 percent "adjustment."**

Given our concerns regarding the current lack of transparency, we don't need yet another adjustment that gets approved behind closed doors. As the city has existing public processes for seeking variances, this discretionary provision should be eliminated.

You have an opportunity to show that you have been listening to your constituents as well as stakeholders across the city, and that you care about LA's precious residential neighborhoods. It's time for Los Angeles to put stable, sustainable communities ahead of real estate speculation, political pressure and the almighty dollar.

Sincerely,

Courtanya Ferguson
PICFAIR VILLAGE
CD 10