

Mansionization CF #14-0656

Suellen Wagner <swag1274@aol.com>

Wed, Jul 13, 2016 at 7:08 AM

To: CPC@lacity.org, Krekorian Paul <councilmember.krekorian@lacity.org>, councilmember.huizar@lacity.org, councilmember.harris-davis@lacity.org, Englander Councilmember Mitchell <councilmember.englander@lacity.org>, councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org, councilmember.fuentes@lacity.org, Dickinson Sharon <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>, vince.bertoni@lacity.org, kevin.keller@lacity.org, tom.rothman@lacity.org, ken.bernstein@lacity.org, phyllis.nathanson@lacity.org, niall.huffman@lacity.org, stopmansionization@yahoo.com

RE: CF #14-0656 Mansionization

Dear Sir or Madam,

The current recommendations give away too much. It's time to put the interests of the communities ahead of the interests of developers. The current recommendations move in the wrong direction. Bonuses, exemptions, and other loopholes would ruin the Mansionization ordinance. Please close the loopholes!

In this spirit, please consider modifying the ordinance to make sure that schools and builders/developers are required to abide by the same rules as homeowners EVERYWHERE! including hillside areas. The BMO (Baseline Mansionization Ordinance) should explicitly include Conditional Use Permits (CUP) projects within it (just as they should be explicitly included in the BHO (Baseline Hillside Ordinance)), which is how those ordinances were intended and should continue to be understood.

The community asked for tighter limits by almost 4-1. Please eliminate the bonuses and exemptions. Tighten the R-1 floor area ratio (FAR) to the .45 FAR. Garages should be part of the FAR calculation! Set reasonable limits on grading and hauling, INCLUDING HILLSIDE AREAS.

We should not continue to allow the building of large, out-of-scale projects of any kind in residential areas -- that take away their neighbors' air and light and privacy. When DEVELOPER behavior is harmful, the city does not only have the right to stop Mansionization and over-development, it has the **responsibility**.

Thank you for considering community comments as you discuss this important motion.

Sincerely, Suellen Wagner Studio City



MANSIONIZATION CF 14-0656

dana brook <db@popkitdesign.com>

Tue, Jul 12, 2016 at 10:02 AM

To: councilmember.wesson@lacity.org, councilmember.huizar@lacity.org, councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org, councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org, councilmember.fuentes@lacity.org, sharon.dickinson@lacity.org, CPC@lacity.org, vince.bertoni@lacity.org, kevin.keller@lacity.org, tom.rothmann@lacity.org, ken.bernstein@lacity.org, craig.weber@lacity.org, phyllis.nathanson@lacity.org, niall.huffman@lacity.org

Dear Council President Wesson and City Planning and Land Use Management Committee,

We are nearing the end of a very long journey with getting the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance to a place that reflects the voice of those that LIVE in the community.

The last leg of the road is sometimes the most difficult yet most important. I want to go on record in support of:

- 1. INCLUDING THE SQUARE FOOTAGE OF ATTACHED GARAGES TO THE FLOOR AREA
- 2. ELIMINATE BONUSES IN RA/RS/RE JUST LIKE IN R1 ZONES
- 3. KEEPING THE ORDINANCE STRAIGHTFORWARD AND ENFORCEABLE AS POSSIBLE.

Please be mindful of who is in opposition to these requests, as the majority of opposition comes from developers who have no vested interest in the neighborhood. I LIVE in this community and the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance is what will keep the scale and character of my neighborhood in tact. Let's get it right this time!

Thank you.

Dana Brook

PICFAIR VILLAGE CD 10

Dana Brook

Popkit :: www.popkitdesign.com :: 310-266-3932



MANSIONIZATION CF 14-0656

Debbie <debgone@sbcglobal.net>

Tue, Jul 12, 2016 at 5:32 PM

To: councilmember.wesson@lacity.org, councilmember.huizar@lacity.org, councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org, councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org, councilmember.fuentes@lacity.org, sharon.dickinson@lacity.org, CPC@lacity.org, vince.bertoni@lacity.org, kevin.keller@lacity.org, tom.rothmann@lacity.org, ken.bernstein@lacity.org, craig.weber@lacity.org, phyllis.nathanson@lacity.org, niall.huffman@lacity.org

Dear Council President Wesson and City Planning and Land Use Management Committee,

We are nearing the end of a very long journey with getting the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance to a place that reflects the voice of those that LIVE in the community.

The last leg of the road is sometimes the most difficult yet most important. I want to go on record in support of:

- 1. INCLUDING THE SQUARE FOOTAGE OF ATTACHED GARAGES TO THE FLOOR AREA
- ELIMINATE BONUSES IN RA/RS/RE JUST LIKE IN R1 ZONES
- 3. KEEPING THE ORDINANCE STRAIGHTFORWARD AND ENFORCEABLE AS POSSIBLE.

Please be mindful of who is in opposition to these requests, as the majority of opposition comes from developers who have no vested interest in the neighborhood. I LIVE in this community and the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance is what will keep the scale and character of my neighborhood in tact. Let's get it right this time!

Thank you.

Debbie Gaughan

PICFAIR VILLAGE CD 10



MANSIONIZATION CF 14-0656

John Donovan <jjdonovan019@gmail.com>

Tue, Jul 12, 2016 at 5:34 PM To: "Herb Wesson (CD 10 Councilman and Council President)" <councilmember.wesson@lacity.org>, councilmember.huizar@lacity.org, councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org, councilmember.englander@lacity.org, councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org, councilmember.fuentes@lacity.org, sharon.dickinson@lacity.org, CPC@lacity.org, vince.bertoni@lacity.org, kevin.keller@lacity.org, tom.rothmann@lacity.org, ken.bernstein@lacity.org, craig.weber@lacity.org, phyllis.nathanson@lacity.org, niall.huffman@lacity.org

Dear Council President Wesson and City Planning and Land Use Management Committee,

We are nearing the end of a very long journey with getting the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance to a place that reflects the voice of those that LIVE in the community.

The last leg of the road is sometimes the most difficult yet most important. I want to go on record in support of:

- INCLUDING THE SQUARE FOOTAGE OF ATTACHED GARAGES TO THE FLOOR AREA
- 2. ELIMINATE BONUSES IN RA/RS/RE JUST LIKE IN R1 ZONES
- 3. KEEPING THE ORDINANCE STRAIGHTFORWARD AND ENFORCEABLE AS POSSIBLE.

Please be mindful of who is in opposition to these requests, as the majority of opposition comes from developers who have no vested interest in the neighborhood. I LIVE in this community and the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance is what will keep the scale and character of my neighborhood in tact. Let's get it right this time!

Thank you.

John Donovan

PICFAIR VILLAGE CD 10



MANSIONIZATION CF 14-0656

Nancy Hanover <nh.picogsi@gmail.com>

Wed, Jul 13, 2016 at 7:43 AM

To: councilmember.wesson@lacity.org, councilmember.huizar@lacity.org, councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org, councilmember.englander@lacity.org, councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org, councilmember.fuentes@lacity.org, sharon.dickinson@lacity.org, CPC@lacity.org, vince.bertoni@lacity.org, kevin.keller@lacity.org, tom.rothmann@lacity.org, ken.bernstein@lacity.org, craig.weber@lacity.org, phyllis.nathanson@lacity.org, niall.huffman@lacity.org

Dear Council President Wesson and City Planning and Land Use Management Committee,

We are nearing the end of a very long journey with getting the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance to a place that reflects the voice of those that LIVE in the community.

The last leg of the road is sometimes the most difficult yet most important. I want to go on record in support of:

- 1. INCLUDING THE SQUARE FOOTAGE OF ATTACHED GARAGES TO THE FLOOR AREA
- 2. ELIMINATE BONUSES IN RA/RS/RE JUST LIKE IN R1 ZONES
- 3. KEEPING THE ORDINANCE STRAIGHTFORWARD AND ENFORCEABLE AS POSSIBLE.

Please be mindful of who is in opposition to these requests, as the majority of opposition comes from developers who have no vested interest in the neighborhood. I LIVE in this community and the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance is what will keep the scale and character of my neighborhood in tact. Let's get it right this time!

Thank you.

Nancy Hanover &

Gerardo Reyes

PICFAIR VILLAGE CD 10