



Etta Armstrong <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>

Amend the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance NOW (Council File 14-0656)

1 message

ROCHELLE VENTURA <rdventura@aol.com>

Mon, Oct 6, 2014 at 8:35 AM

To: councilmember.huizar@lacity.org, councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org, councilmember.englander@lacity.org, sharon.gin@lacity.org, etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Cc: councilmember.wesson@lacity.org, jordan.beroukhim@lacity.org, elizabeth.carlin@lacity.org, andrew.westall@lacity.org, paul.koretz@lacity.org, joan.pelico@lacity.org, shawn.bayliss@lacity.org, john.darnell@lacity.org, mayor.garcetti@lacity.org

RE: [Council File 14-0656](#)

Dear members of the Planning & Land Use Management Committee,

As you know, the [Baseline Mansionization Ordinance](#) (BMO) has failed miserably.

The lovely historic neighborhoods throughout the City of Los Angeles are being permanently destroyed by the demolition of well-built, architecturally consistent older homes and the construction of out of scale huge box-like structures.

Councilmember Koretz's smart and sensible [motion to amend the BMO](#) would bring much-needed relief to constituents citywide, and would also relieve city agencies from the burdens associated with implementing and enforcing a patchwork of overlays.

On July 29, you acknowledged that it was time to address the deficiencies in the current mansionization ordinance, and asked City Planning to report back in 30 days. Their [Report](#), mansionization ordinance, and asked City Planning to report back in 30 days. Their [Report](#), which proposes **an 18 month timeline for amending the BMO, is UNACCEPTABLE. Thousands of single family homes could be demolished - and hundreds of neighborhoods destroyed - during this timeframe.**

We understand that Councilmember Koretz's staff has a redlined version of the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance, developed in consultation with community leaders, showing possible language changes that are straight forward, clear, doable, meaningful, and which align with the motion to amend the BMO. These changes could be discussed and adopted quickly, and there is absolutely no legitimate reason that this process should be delayed 18 months.

At your meeting this Tuesday, I urge you to push-back on the stall tactics (18 months is unnecessary and unacceptable) and limit time spent on the diversionary recommendation that select neighborhoods get ICO's (while a good idea perhaps, it does not address the motion on the table, and puts unprotected neighborhoods at even greater risk).

Refocus your attention on amending the BMO:

Decrease the base floor area ratio for R-1 lots. Eliminate the pointless 'green' building bonus. Eliminate the attached garage exemption and count this square footage. Attached garages add 400 square feet of bloat and eliminate driveways that provide an essential buffer between lots. Eliminate the other self-defeating design bonuses for items like double-height entryways and balconies, which add hundreds of square feet of uncounted bulk and still leave us with McMansions that loom over neighbors' homes.

These simple amendments could be adopted quickly, to protect our treasured neighborhoods from being ravaged by unchecked, short-term real estate speculation and reckless development. They will allow renovations, expansions, and new construction that can accommodate modern family life while respecting the scale and character of established neighborhoods.

Please, 1) expedite and shepherd the BMO amendments through the Council review process, and 2) include an

urgency clause with the adoption of the amendments, to provide desperately-needed relief from this destructive trend.

Sincerely,
ROCHELLE VENTURA
Council District 5

phone: 323.930.0217

phone: 408.899.4111

rdventura@aol.com



Etta Armstrong <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>

Amend the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance NOW (Council File 14-0656)

1 message

Samantha Butler <samjbutler@gmail.com>

Mon, Oct 6, 2014 at 12:22 AM

To: councilmember.huizar@lacity.org, councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org, councilmember.englander@lacity.org, sharon.gin@lacity.org, etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Cc: councilmember.wesson@lacity.org, jordan.beroukhim@lacity.org, elizabeth.carlin@lacity.org, andrew.westall@lacity.org, paul.koretz@lacity.org, joan.pelico@lacity.org, shawn.bayliss@lacity.org, john.darnell@lacity.org, mayor.garcetti@lacity.org

RE: Council File 14-0656

Dear members of the Planning & Land Use Management Committee,

Please act fast on the following important issue. McMansionization is destroying the fabric of our beautiful neighborhood.

As you know, the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance (BMO) has failed miserably. Councilmember Koretz's smart and sensible motion to amend the BMO would bring much-needed relief to constituents citywide, and would also relieve city agencies from the burdens associated with implementing and enforcing a patchwork of overlays.

On July 29, you acknowledged that it was time to address the deficiencies in the current mansionization ordinance, and asked City Planning to report back in 30 days. Their Report, which proposes an 18 month timeline for amending the BMO, is UNACCEPTABLE. Thousands of single family homes could be demolished - and hundreds of neighborhoods destroyed - during this timeframe.

We understand that Councilmember Koretz's staff has a redlined version of the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance, developed in consultation with community leaders, showing possible language changes that are straight forward, clear, doable, meaningful, and which align with the motion to amend the BMO. These changes could be discussed and adopted quickly, and there is absolutely no legitimate reason that this process should be delayed 18 months.

At your meeting this Tuesday, I urge you to push-back on the stall tactics (18 months is unnecessary and unacceptable) and limit time spent on the diversionary recommendation that select neighborhoods get ICO's (while a good idea perhaps, it does not address the motion on the table, and puts unprotected neighborhoods at even greater risk).

Refocus your attention on amending the BMO:

- Decrease the base floor area ratio for R-1 lots.
- Eliminate the pointless 'green' building bonus.
- Eliminate the attached garage exemption and count this square footage. Attached garages add 400 square feet of bloat and eliminate driveways that provide an essential buffer between lots.
- Eliminate the other self-defeating design bonuses for items like double-height entryways and balconies, which add hundreds of square feet of uncounted bulk and still leave us with McMansions that loom over neighbors' homes.

These simple amendments could be adopted quickly, to protect our treasured neighborhoods from being ravaged by unchecked, short-term real estate speculation and reckless development. They will allow renovations, expansions, and new construction that can accommodate modern family life while respecting the scale and character of established neighborhoods.

Please, 1) expedite and shepherd the BMO amendments through the Council review process, and 2) include an urgency clause with the adoption of the amendments, to provide desperately-needed relief from this destructive trend.

Sincerely,

Samantha Butler

Beverly Grove

CD5



Etta Armstrong <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>

Amend the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance NOW (Council File 14-0656)

1 message

Tom O'Connor <toconnor6325@gmail.com>

Sun, Oct 5, 2014 at 8:47 PM

To: councilmember.huizar@lacity.org, councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org, councilmember.englander@lacity.org, sharon.gin@lacity.org, etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Cc: councilmember.wesson@lacity.org, jordan.beroukhim@lacity.org, elizabeth.carlin@lacity.org, andrew.westall@lacity.org, paul.koretz@lacity.org, joan.pelico@lacity.org, shawn.bayliss@lacity.org, john.darnell@lacity.org, mayor.garcetti@lacity.org

RE: Council File 14-0656

Dear members of the Planning & Land Use Management Committee,

As you know, the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance (BMO) has failed miserably. Councilmember Koretz's smart and sensible motion to amend the BMO would bring much-needed relief to constituents citywide, and would also relieve city agencies from the burdens associated with implementing and enforcing a patchwork of overlays.

On July 29, you acknowledged that it was time to address the deficiencies in the current mansionization ordinance, and asked City Planning to report back in 30 days. Their Report, which proposes an 18 month timeline for amending the BMO, is UNACCEPTABLE. Thousands of single family homes could be demolished - and hundreds of neighborhoods destroyed - during this timeframe.

We understand that Councilmember Koretz's staff has a redlined version of the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance, developed in consultation with community leaders, showing possible language changes that are straight forward, clear, doable, meaningful, and which align with the motion to amend the BMO. These changes could be discussed and adopted quickly, and there is absolutely no legitimate reason that this process should be delayed 18 months.

At your meeting this Tuesday, I urge you to push-back on the stall tactics (18 months is unnecessary and unacceptable) and limit time spent on the diversionary recommendation that select neighborhoods get ICO's (while a good idea perhaps, it does not address the motion on the table, and puts unprotected neighborhoods at even greater risk).

Refocus your attention on amending the BMO:

- Decrease the base floor area ratio for R-1 lots.
- Eliminate the pointless 'green' building bonus.
- Eliminate the attached garage exemption and count this square footage. Attached garages add 400 square feet of bloat and eliminate driveways that provide an essential buffer between lots.
- Eliminate the other self-defeating design bonuses for items like double-height entryways and balconies, which add hundreds of square feet of uncounted bulk and still leave us with McMansions that loom over neighbors' homes.

These simple amendments could be adopted quickly, to protect our treasured neighborhoods from being ravaged by unchecked, short-term real estate speculation and reckless development. They will allow renovations, expansions, and new construction that can accommodate modern family life while respecting the scale and character of established neighborhoods.

Please, 1) expedite and shepherd the BMO amendments through the Council review process, and 2) include an urgency clause with the adoption of the amendments, to provide desperately-needed relief from this destructive trend.

Sincerely,

Victor Bumbalo

Tom O'Connor

828 North Laurel Ave

Los Angeles, CA 90046



Etta Armstrong <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>

Amend the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance NOW (Council File 14-0656)

1 message

Emailamr@aol.com <Emailamr@aol.com>

Sun, Oct 5, 2014 at 8:46 PM

Dear members of the Planning & Land Use Management Committee,

As you know, the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance (BMO) has failed miserably. Councilmember Koretz's smart and sensible motion to amend the BMO would bring much-needed relief to constituents citywide, and would also relieve city agencies from the burdens associated with implementing and enforcing a patchwork of overlays.

On July 29, you acknowledged that it was time to address the deficiencies in the current mansionization ordinance, and asked City Planning to report back in 30 days. Their Report, which proposes an 18 month timeline for amending the BMO, is UNACCEPTABLE. Thousands of single family homes could be demolished - and hundreds of neighborhoods destroyed - during this timeframe.

We understand that Councilmember Koretz's staff has a redlined version of the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance, developed in consultation with community leaders, showing possible language changes that are straight forward, clear, doable, meaningful, and which align with the motion to amend the BMO. These changes could be discussed and adopted quickly, and there is absolutely no legitimate reason that this process should be delayed 18 months.

At your meeting this Tuesday, I urge you to push-back on the stall tactics (18 months is unnecessary and unacceptable) and limit time spent on the diversionary recommendation that select neighborhoods get ICO's (while a good idea perhaps, it does not address the motion on the table, and puts unprotected neighborhoods at even greater risk).

Refocus your attention on amending the BMO:

- Decrease the base floor area ratio for R-1 lots.
- Eliminate the pointless 'green' building bonus.
- Eliminate the attached garage exemption and count this square footage. Attached garages add 400 square feet of bloat and eliminate driveways that provide an essential buffer between lots.
- Eliminate the other self-defeating design bonuses for items like double-height entryways and balconies, which add hundreds of square feet of uncounted bulk and still leave us with McMansions that loom over neighbors' homes.

These simple amendments could be adopted quickly, to protect our treasured neighborhoods from being ravaged by unchecked, short-term real estate speculation and reckless development. They will allow renovations, expansions, and new construction that can accommodate modern family life while respecting the scale and character of established neighborhoods.

Please, 1) expedite and shepherd the BMO amendments through the Council review process, and 2) include an urgency clause with the adoption of the amendments, to provide desperately-needed relief from this destructive trend.

Sincerely,

Ann Rubin
90048 Carthay Circle
CD5



Etta Armstrong <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>

Amend the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance NOW (Council File 14-0656)

1 message

Mary Sarantes <marysarantes@gmail.com>

Sun, Oct 5, 2014 at 8:23 PM

To: councilmember.huizar@lacity.org, councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org, councilmember.englander@lacity.org, sharon.gin@lacity.org, etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Cc: councilmember.wesson@lacity.org, jordan.beroukhim@lacity.org, elizabeth.carlin@lacity.org, andrew.westall@lacity.org, Paul Koretz <paul.koretz@lacity.org>, joan.pelico@lacity.org, shawn.bayliss@lacity.org, john.darnell@lacity.org, mayor.garcetti@lacity.org

RE: Council File 14-0656

Dear members of the Planning & Land Use Management Committee,

As you know, the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance (BMO) has failed miserably. Councilmember Koretz's smart and sensible motion to amend the BMO would bring much-needed relief to constituents citywide, and would also relieve city agencies from the burdens associated with implementing and enforcing a patchwork of overlays.

On July 29, you acknowledged that it was time to address the deficiencies in the current mansionization ordinance, and asked City Planning to report back in 30 days. Their Report, which proposes an 18 month timeline for amending the BMO, is UNACCEPTABLE. Thousands of single family homes could be demolished - and hundreds of neighborhoods destroyed - during this timeframe.

We understand that Councilmember Koretz's staff has a redlined version of the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance, developed in consultation with community leaders, showing possible language changes that are straight forward, clear, doable, meaningful, and which align with the motion to amend the BMO. These changes could be discussed and adopted quickly, and there is absolutely no legitimate reason that this process should be delayed 18 months.

At your meeting this Tuesday, I urge you to push-back on the stall tactics (18 months is unnecessary and unacceptable) and limit time spent on the diversionary recommendation that select neighborhoods get ICO's (while a good idea perhaps, it does not address the motion on the table, and puts unprotected neighborhoods at even greater risk).

Refocus your attention on amending the BMO:

- Decrease the base floor area ratio for R-1 lots.
- Eliminate the pointless 'green' building bonus.
- Eliminate the attached garage exemption and count this square footage. Attached garages add 400 square feet of bloat and eliminate driveways that provide an essential buffer between lots.
- Eliminate the other self-defeating design bonuses for items like double-height entryways and balconies, which add hundreds of square feet of uncounted bulk and still leave us with McMansions that loom over neighbors' homes.

These simple amendments could be adopted quickly, to protect our treasured neighborhoods from being ravaged by unchecked, short-term real estate speculation and reckless development. They will allow renovations, expansions, and new construction that can accommodate modern family life while respecting the scale and character of established neighborhoods.

Please, 1) expedite and shepherd the BMO amendments through the Council review process, and 2) include an urgency clause with the adoption of the amendments, to provide desperately-needed relief from this destructive trend.

Sincerely,
Maria (Mary) Sarantes

Melrose/Crescent Heights neighborhood
District 5 (Councilman Koretz)



Etta Armstrong <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>

Amend the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance NOW (Council File 14-0656)

1 message

william kroger <wskroger@gmail.com>

Sun, Oct 5, 2014 at 8:04 PM

To: councilmember.wesson@lacity.org, jordan.beroukhim@lacity.org, elizabeth.carlin@lacity.org, andrew.westall@lacity.org, paul.koretz@lacity.org, joan.pelico@lacity.org, shawn.bayliss@lacity.org, john.darnell@lacity.org, mayor.garcetti@lacity.org, councilmember.huizar@lacity.org, councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org, councilmember.englander@lacity.org, sharon.gin@lacity.org, etta.armstrong@lacity.org

RE: Council File 14-0656

Dear members of the Planning & Land Use Management Committee,

The building of these ungodly houses is ruining our city. They are disgusting. They have to be stopped. They **MUST BE STOPPED**. The house on our corner took all the light away from our house. *It has been on the market, six months. It is empty and unkempt. The contractors destroy the neighborhoods, we have called to police on many occasions. They cause the street to be ruined with their large trucks. They cause trash and they cause disturbances that are unacceptable. STOP THEM NOW!!! Before it is too late.*

As you know, the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance (BMO) has failed miserably. Councilmember Koretz's smart and sensible motion to amend the BMO would bring much-needed relief to constituents citywide, and would also relieve city agencies from the burdens associated with implementing and enforcing a patchwork of overlays.

On July 29, you acknowledged that it was time to address the deficiencies in the current mansionization ordinance, and asked City Planning to report back in 30 days. Their Report, which proposes an 18 month timeline for amending the BMO, is **UNACCEPTABLE**. Thousands of single family homes could be demolished - and hundreds of neighborhoods destroyed - during this timeframe.

We understand that Councilmember Koretz's staff has a redlined version of the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance, developed in consultation with community leaders, showing possible language changes that are straight forward, clear, doable, meaningful, and which align with the motion to amend the BMO. These changes could be discussed and adopted quickly, and there is absolutely no legitimate reason that this process should be delayed 18 months.

At your meeting this Tuesday, I urge you to push-back on the stall tactics (18 months is unnecessary and unacceptable) and limit time spent on the diversionary recommendation that select neighborhoods get ICO's (while a good idea perhaps, it does not address the motion on the table, and puts unprotected neighborhoods at even greater risk).

Refocus your attention on amending the BMO:

- Decrease the base floor area ratio for R-1 lots.
- Eliminate the pointless 'green' building bonus.
- Eliminate the attached garage exemption and count this square footage. Attached garages add 400 square feet

of bloat and eliminate driveways that provide an essential buffer between lots.

· Eliminate the other self-defeating design bonuses for items like double-height entryways and balconies, which add hundreds of square feet of uncounted bulk and still leave us with McMansions that loom over neighbors' homes.

These simple amendments could be adopted quickly, to protect our treasured neighborhoods from being ravaged by unchecked, short-term real estate speculation and reckless development. They will allow renovations, expansions, and new construction that can accommodate modern family life while respecting the scale and character of established neighborhoods.

Please, 1) expedite and shepherd the BMO amendments through the Council review process, and 2) include an urgency clause with the adoption of the amendments, to provide desperately-needed relief from this destructive trend.

Sincerely,

—

William S. Kroger
a resident of Los Angeles, in the Melrose Heights area.



Elta Armstrong <elta.armstrong@lacity.org>

Amend the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance NOW (Council File 14-0656)

1 message

Cindy Becker <cindybecker@mac.com>

Sun, Oct 5, 2014 at 7:51 PM

To: councilmember.huizar@lacity.org, councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org, councilmember.englander@lacity.org, sharon.gin@lacity.org, etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Cc: councilmember.wesson@lacity.org, jordan.beroukhim@lacity.org, elizabeth.carlin@lacity.org, andrew.westall@lacity.org, paul.koretz@lacity.org, joan.pelico@lacity.org, shawn.bayliss@lacity.org, john.darnell@lacity.org, mayor.garcetti@lacity.org

Dear members of the Planning & Land Use Management Committee,

As you know, the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance (BMO) has failed miserably. Councilmember Koretz's smart and sensible motion to amend the BMO would bring much-needed relief to constituents citywide, and would also relieve city agencies from the burdens associated with implementing and enforcing a patchwork of overlays.

On July 29, you acknowledged that it was time to address the deficiencies in the current mansionization ordinance, and asked City Planning to report back in 30 days. Their Report, which proposes an 18 month timeline for amending the BMO, is UNACCEPTABLE. Thousands of single family homes could be demolished - and hundreds of neighborhoods destroyed - during this timeframe.

We understand that Councilmember Koretz's staff has a redlined version of the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance, developed in consultation with community leaders, showing possible language changes that are straight forward, clear, doable, meaningful, and which align with the motion to amend the BMO. These changes could be discussed and adopted quickly, and there is absolutely no legitimate reason that this process should be delayed 18 months.

At your meeting this Tuesday, I urge you to push-back on the stall tactics (18 months is unnecessary and unacceptable) and limit time spent on the diversionary recommendation that select neighborhoods get ICO's (while a good idea perhaps, it does not address the motion on the table, and puts unprotected neighborhoods at even greater risk).

Refocus your attention on amending the BMO:

- Decrease the base floor area ratio for R-1 lots.
- Eliminate the pointless 'green' building bonus.
- Eliminate the attached garage exemption and count this square footage. Attached garages add 400 square feet of bloat and eliminate driveways that provide an essential buffer between lots.
- Eliminate the other self-defeating design bonuses for items like double-height entryways and balconies, which add hundreds of square feet of uncounted bulk and still leave us with McMansions that loom over neighbors' homes.

These simple amendments could be adopted quickly, to protect our treasured neighborhoods from being ravaged by unchecked, short-term real estate speculation and reckless development. They will allow renovations, expansions, and new construction that can accommodate modern family life while respecting the scale and character of established neighborhoods.

Please, 1) expedite and shepherd the BMO amendments through the Council review process, and 2) include an urgency clause with the adoption of the amendments, to provide desperately-needed relief from this destructive trend.

Sincerely,

Cindy /CD5

Cindy Becker

10/6/2014

City of Los Angeles Mail - Amend the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance NOW (Council File 14-0656)

Producer

Cell: 310.600.0706

Email: cindybecker@mac.com



Etta Armstrong <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>

Amend the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance NOW! (Council File 14-0656)

1 message

Beatrice Samples <beatricesamples@gmail.com>

Sun, Oct 5, 2014 at 7:39 PM

To: councilmember.huizar@lacity.org, councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org, councilmember.englander@lacity.org, sharon.gin@lacity.org, etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Cc: councilmember.wesson@lacity.org, jordan.beroukhim@lacity.org, Elizabeth Carlin <elizabeth.carlin@lacity.org>, andrew.westall@lacity.org, paul.koretz@lacity.org, joan.pelico@lacity.org, shawn.bayliss@lacity.org, john.darnell@lacity.org, mayor.garcetti@lacity.org

RE: [Council File 14-0656](#)

Dear members of the Planning & Land Use Management Committee,

As you know, the [Baseline Mansionization Ordinance](#) (BMO) has failed miserably. Councilmember Koretz's smart and sensible [motion to amend the BMO](#) would bring much-needed relief to constituents citywide, and would also relieve city agencies from the burdens associated with implementing and enforcing a patchwork of overlays.

On July 29, you acknowledged that it was time to address the deficiencies in the current mansionization ordinance, and asked City Planning to report back in 30 days.

Their [Report](#), which proposes an **18 month timeline for amending the BMO, is UNACCEPTABLE. Thousands of single family homes could be demolished - and hundreds of neighborhoods destroyed - during this timeframe.**

We understand that Councilmember Koretz's staff has a redlined version of the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance, developed in consultation with community leaders, showing possible language changes that are straight forward, clear, doable, meaningful, and which align with the motion to amend the BMO. These changes could be discussed and adopted quickly, and there is absolutely no legitimate reason that this process should be delayed 18 months.

At your meeting this Tuesday, I urge you to push-back on the stall tactics (18 months is unnecessary and unacceptable) and limit time spent on the diversionary recommendation that select neighborhoods get ICO's (while a good idea perhaps, it does not address the motion on the table, and puts unprotected neighborhoods at even greater risk).

Refocus your attention on amending the BMO:

- Decrease the base floor area ratio for R-1 lots.
- Eliminate the pointless 'green' building bonus.
- Eliminate the attached garage exemption and count this square footage. Attached garages add 400 square feet of bloat and eliminate driveways that provide an essential buffer between lots.
- Eliminate the other self-defeating design bonuses for items like double-

height entryways and balconies, which add hundreds of square feet of uncounted bulk and still leave us with McMansions that loom over neighbors' homes.

These simple amendments could be adopted quickly, to protect our treasured neighborhoods from being ravaged by unchecked, short-term real estate speculation and reckless development. They will allow renovations, expansions, and new construction that can accommodate modern family life while respecting the scale and character of established neighborhoods.

Please, 1) expedite and shepherd the BMO amendments through the Council review process, and 2) include an urgency clause with the adoption of the amendments, to provide desperately-needed relief from this destructive trend.

Sincerely,
Beatrice Samples
Faircrest Heights
CD10



Faircrest Heights Mansionization Example Pointview.pdf
584K

**Example of Mansionization in Faircrest Heights
1500 Block of Pointview**

Before



After





Etta Armstrong <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>

Amend the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance NOW (Council File 14-0656)

1 message

Monika Hummer <monikahummer@ca.rr.com>

Sun, Oct 5, 2014 at 7:26 PM

To: councilmember.huizar@lacity.org, councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org, councilmember.englander@lacity.org, sharon.gin@lacity.org, etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Cc: councilmember.wesson@lacity.org, jordan.beroukhim@lacity.org, elizabeth.carlin@lacity.org, andrew.westall@lacity.org, paul.koretz@lacity.org, joan.pelico@lacity.org, shawn.bayliss@lacity.org, john.darnell@lacity.org, mayor.garcetti@lacity.org

RE: [Council File 14-0656](#)

Dear members of the Planning & Land Use Management Committee,

As you know, the [Baseline Mansionization Ordinance](#) (BMO) has failed miserably. Councilmember Koretz's smart and sensible [motion to amend the BMO](#) would bring much-needed relief to constituents citywide, and would also relieve city agencies from the burdens associated with implementing and enforcing a patchwork of overlays.

On July 29, you acknowledged that it was time to address the deficiencies in the current mansionization ordinance, and asked City Planning to report back in 30 days. Their [Report](#), which proposes **an 18 month timeline for amending the BMO, is UNACCEPTABLE. Thousands of single family homes could be demolished - and hundreds of neighborhoods destroyed - during this timeframe.**

We understand that Councilmember Koretz's staff has a redlined version of the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance, developed in consultation with community leaders, showing possible language changes that are straight forward, clear, doable, meaningful, and which align with the motion to amend the BMO. These changes could be discussed and adopted quickly, and there is absolutely no legitimate reason that this process should be delayed 18 months.

At your meeting this Tuesday, I urge you to push-back on the stall tactics (18 months is unnecessary and unacceptable) and limit time spent on the diversionary recommendation that select neighborhoods get ICO's (while a good idea perhaps, it does not address the motion on the table, and puts unprotected neighborhoods at even greater risk).

Refocus your attention on amending the BMO:

- Decrease the base floor area ratio for R-1 lots.
- Eliminate the pointless 'green' building bonus.

- Eliminate the attached garage exemption and count this square footage. Attached garages add 400 square feet of bloat and eliminate driveways that provide an essential buffer between lots.
- Eliminate the other self-defeating design bonuses for items like double-height entryways and balconies, which add hundreds of square feet of uncounted bulk and still leave us with McMansions that loom over neighbors' homes.

These simple amendments could be adopted quickly, to protect our treasured neighborhoods from being ravaged by unchecked, short-term real estate speculation and reckless development. They will allow renovations, expansions, and new construction that can accommodate modern family life while respecting the scale and character of established neighborhoods.

Please, 1) expedite and shepherd the BMO amendments through the Council review process, and 2) include an urgency clause with the adoption of the amendments, to provide desperately-needed relief from this destructive trend.

Sincerely,

Monika Hummer

Faircrest Heights

CD10



Etta Armstrong <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>

Please immediately Amend the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance (Council File 14-0656)

1 message

Claudia O'Connell <ms.claudiao@gmail.com>

Sun, Oct 5, 2014 at 6:48 PM

To: councilmember.huizar@lacity.org, councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org, councilmember.englander@lacity.org, sharon.gin@lacity.org, etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Cc: councilmember.wesson@lacity.org, jordan.beroukhim@lacity.org, elizabeth.carlin@lacity.org, andrew.westall@lacity.org, paul.koretz@lacity.org, joan.pelico@lacity.org, shawn.bayliss@lacity.org, john.darnell@lacity.org, mayor.garcetti@lacity.org

RE: Council File 14-0656

Dear members of the Planning & Land Use Management Committee,

The Baseline Mansionization Ordinance (BMO) is not doing the job it was written to do. Councilmember Koretz's motion to amend the BMO would bring much-needed relief to neighborhoods that are being compromised all over the city and it would relieve city agencies from the burdens associated with implementing and enforcing a patchwork of overlays.

On July 29, you acknowledged that it was time to address the deficiencies in the current mansionization ordinance, and asked City Planning to report back in 30 days. Their Report, which proposes an 18 month timeline for amending the BMO, is UNACCEPTABLE. Thousands of single family homes could be demolished - and hundreds of neighborhoods destroyed - during this timeframe.

We understand that Councilmember Koretz's staff has a redlined version of the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance, developed in consultation with community leaders, showing possible language changes that are straight forward, clear, doable, meaningful, and which align with the motion to amend the BMO. These changes could be discussed and adopted quickly, and there is absolutely no legitimate reason that this process should be delayed 18 months.

At your meeting this Tuesday, I urge you to push-back on the stall tactics (18 months is unnecessary and unacceptable) and limit time spent on the diversionary recommendation that select neighborhoods get ICO's (while a good idea perhaps, it does not address the motion on the table, and puts unprotected neighborhoods at even greater risk).

Refocus your attention on amending the BMO:

- Decrease the base floor area ratio for R-1 lots.
- Eliminate the pointless 'green' building bonus.
- Eliminate the attached garage exemption and count this square footage. Attached garages add 400 square feet of bloat and eliminate driveways that provide an essential buffer between lots.
- Eliminate the other self-defeating design bonuses for items like double-height entryways and balconies, which add hundreds of square feet of uncounted bulk and still leave us with McMansions that loom over neighbors' homes.

These simple amendments could be adopted quickly, to protect our treasured neighborhoods from being ravaged by unchecked, short-term real estate speculation and reckless development. They will allow renovations, expansions, and new construction that can accommodate modern family life while respecting the scale and character of established neighborhoods.

Please, 1) expedite and shepherd the BMO amendments through the Council review process, and 2) include an urgency clause with the adoption of the amendments, to provide desperately-needed relief from this destructive trend.

Sincerely,
Tom and Claudia O'Connell
817 N. Laurel Ave. LA 90046
Melrose Heights
Council District 5



Etta Armstrong <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>

Amend the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance NOW (Council File 14-0656)

1 message

A Leader <avisleader@gmail.com>

Sun, Oct 5, 2014 at 6:30 PM

To: councilmember.huizar@lacity.org, councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org, councilmember.englander@lacity.org, sharon.gin@lacity.org, etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Cc: councilmember.wesson@lacity.org, jordan.beroukhim@lacity.org, elizabeth.carlin@lacity.org, andrew.westall@lacity.org, paul.koretz@lacity.org, joan.pelico@lacity.org, shawn.bayliss@lacity.org, john.darnell@lacity.org, mayor.garcetti@lacity.org

RE: Council File 14-0656

Dear Members of the Planning & Land Use Management Committee,

As you know, the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance (BMO) has failed miserably. Councilmember Koretz's smart and sensible motion to amend the BMO would bring much-needed relief to constituents citywide, and would also relieve city agencies from the burdens associated with implementing and enforcing a patchwork of overlays.

On July 29, you acknowledged that it was time to address the deficiencies in the current mansionization ordinance, and asked City Planning to report back in 30 days. Their Report, which proposes an 18 month timeline for amending the BMO, is UNACCEPTABLE. Thousands of single family homes could be demolished - and hundreds of neighborhoods destroyed - during this timeframe.

We understand that Councilmember Koretz's staff has a redlined version of the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance, developed in consultation with community leaders, showing possible language changes that are straight forward, clear, doable, meaningful, and which align with the motion to amend the BMO. These changes could be discussed and adopted quickly, and there is absolutely no legitimate reason that this process should be delayed 18 months.

At your meeting this Tuesday, I urge you to push-back on the stall tactics (18 months is unnecessary and unacceptable) and limit time spent on the diversionary recommendation that select neighborhoods get ICO's (while a good idea perhaps, it does not address the motion on the table, and puts unprotected neighborhoods at even greater risk).

Refocus your attention on amending the BMO:

- Decrease the base floor area ratio for R-1 lots.
- Eliminate the pointless 'green' building bonus.
- Eliminate the attached garage exemption and count this square footage. Attached garages add 400 square feet of bloat and eliminate driveways that provide an essential buffer between lots.
- Eliminate the other self-defeating design bonuses for items like double-height entryways and balconies, which add hundreds of square feet of uncounted bulk and still leave us with McMansions that loom over neighbors' homes.

These simple amendments could be adopted quickly, to protect our treasured neighborhoods from being ravaged by unchecked, short-term real estate speculation and reckless development. They will allow renovations, expansions, and new construction that can accommodate modern family life while respecting the scale and character of established neighborhoods.

Please, 1) expedite and shepherd the BMO amendments through the Council review process, and 2) include an urgency clause with the adoption of the amendments, to provide desperately-needed relief from this destructive trend.

Sincerely,

Avis Leader

Beverlywood
Council District 5



Etta Armstrong <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>

Amend the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance NOW (Council File 14-0656)

1 message

Jeremy Matz <jeremydmatz@gmail.com>

Sun, Oct 5, 2014 at 5:16 PM

To: councilmember.huizar@lacity.org, councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org, councilmember.englander@lacity.org, sharon.gin@lacity.org, etta.armstrong@lacity.org, councilmember.labonge@lacity.org
 Cc: councilmember.wesson@lacity.org, jordan.beroukhim@lacity.org, elizabeth.carlin@lacity.org, andrew.westall@lacity.org, paul.koretz@lacity.org, joan.pelico@lacity.org, shawn.bayliss@lacity.org, john.darnell@lacity.org, mayor.garcetti@lacity.org

RE: Council File 14-0656

Dear members of the Planning & Land Use Management Committee,

As you know, the [Baseline Mansionization Ordinance](#) (BMO) has failed miserably. Councilmember Koretz's smart and sensible [motion to amend the BMO](#) would bring much-needed relief to constituents citywide, and would also relieve city agencies from the burdens associated with implementing and enforcing a patchwork of overlays.

On July 29, you acknowledged that it was time to address the deficiencies in the current mansionization ordinance, and asked City Planning to report back in 30 days. Their [Report](#), which proposes **an 18 month timeline for amending the BMO, is UNACCEPTABLE. Thousands of single family homes could be demolished - and hundreds of neighborhoods destroyed - during this timeframe. There is ABSOLUTELY NO REASON why amending the BMO to close its egregious loopholes and bring some common-sense rules and results to its operation should take 18 months.**

We understand that Councilmember Koretz's staff has a redlined version of the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance, developed in consultation with community leaders, showing possible language changes that are straightforward, clear, doable, meaningful, and which align with the motion to amend the BMO. These changes could be discussed and adopted quickly, and there is absolutely no legitimate reason that this process should be delayed 18 months.

At your meeting this Tuesday, I urge you to push-back on the stall tactics (18 months is unnecessary and unacceptable) and limit time spent on the diversionary recommendation that select neighborhoods get ICO's (while a good idea perhaps, it does not address the motion on the table, and puts unprotected neighborhoods at even greater risk).

As a resident and homeowner in the Miracle Mile, where we own and cherish a 1920s Spanish-style home that is absolutely appropriate for the lot size and for the surrounding neighborhood, I have already been victimized by the ridiculous loopholes in the BMO. Just a few houses away, on my block, a vintage and historic home was recently demolished, and a tasteless, disgusting, and monstrous McMansion is rising in its place. It is absurd that this monstrosity is apparently legal and in compliance with an ordinance (the BMO) that is supposed to prevent exactly this kind of reckless disregard for neighborhoods and history that is demonstrated time and again by speculators and developers. Look at these before-and-after pictures, and ask yourselves whether an ordinance entitled "Baseline Mansionization Ordinance" is actually achieving what it is supposed to achieve if this kind of

tear-down and gargantuan construction is possible:



Refocus your attention on amending the BMO:

- Decrease the base floor area ratio for R-1 lots.
- Eliminate the pointless 'green' building bonus.
- Eliminate the attached garage exemption and count this square footage. Attached garages add 400 square feet of bloat and eliminate driveways that provide an essential buffer between lots.
- Eliminate the other self-defeating design bonuses for items like double-height entryways and balconies, which add hundreds of square feet of uncounted bulk and still leave us with McMansions that loom over neighbors' homes.

These simple amendments could be adopted quickly, to protect our treasured neighborhoods from being ravaged by unchecked, short-term real estate speculation and reckless development. They will allow renovations, expansions, and new construction that can accommodate modern family life while respecting the scale and character of established neighborhoods.

Please, 1) expedite and shepherd the BMO amendments through the Council review process, and 2) include an urgency clause with the adoption of the amendments, to provide desperately-needed relief from this destructive trend.

Sincerely,

Jeremy Matz
Miracle Mile resident
CD 4



Etta Armstrong <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>

Amend the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance NOW (Council File 14-0656)

1 message

lowell <lowell@ljkconsult.com>

Sun, Oct 5, 2014 at 3:25 PM

To: "councilmember.huizar@lacity.org" <councilmember.huizar@lacity.org>, "councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org" <councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org>, "councilmember.englander@lacity.org"

<councilmember.englander@lacity.org>, "sharon.gin@lacity.org" <sharon.gin@lacity.org>,

"etta.armstrong@lacity.org" <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>

Cc: "councilmember.wesson@lacity.org" <councilmember.wesson@lacity.org>, "jordan.beroukhim@lacity.org"

<jordan.beroukhim@lacity.org>, "elizabeth.carlin@lacity.org" <elizabeth.carlin@lacity.org>,

"andrew.westall@lacity.org" <andrew.westall@lacity.org>, "paul.koretz@lacity.org" <paul.koretz@lacity.org>,

"joan.pelico@lacity.org" <joan.pelico@lacity.org>, "shawn.bayliss@lacity.org" <shawn.bayliss@lacity.org>,

"john.darnell@lacity.org" <john.darnell@lacity.org>, "mayor.garcetti@lacity.org" <mayor.garcetti@lacity.org>

RE: Council File 14-0656

Dear members of the Planning & Land Use Management Committee,

As you know, the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance (BMO) has failed miserably. Councilmember Koretz's smart and sensible motion to amend the BMO would bring much-needed relief to constituents citywide, and would also relieve city agencies from the burdens associated with implementing and enforcing a patchwork of overlays.

On July 29, you acknowledged that it was time to address the deficiencies in the current mansionization ordinance, and asked City Planning to report back in 30 days. Their Report, which proposes an 18 month timeline for amending the BMO, is UNACCEPTABLE. Thousands of single family homes could be demolished - and hundreds of neighborhoods destroyed - during this timeframe.

We understand that Councilmember Koretz's staff has a redlined version of the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance, developed in consultation with community leaders, showing possible language changes that are straight forward, clear, doable, meaningful, and which align with the motion to amend the BMO.

These changes could be discussed and adopted quickly, and there is absolutely no legitimate reason that this process should be delayed 18 months.

At your meeting this Tuesday, I urge you to push-back on the stall tactics (18 months is unnecessary and unacceptable) and limit time spent on the diversionary recommendation that select neighborhoods get ICO's (while a good idea perhaps, it does not address the motion on the table, and puts unprotected neighborhoods at even greater risk).

Refocus your attention on amending the BMO:

- Decrease the base floor area ratio for R-1 lots.
- Eliminate the pointless 'green' building bonus.
- Eliminate the attached garage exemption and count this square footage. Attached garages add 400 square feet of bloat and eliminate driveways that provide an essential buffer between lots.
- Eliminate the other self-defeating design bonuses for items like double-height entryways and balconies, which add hundreds of square feet of uncounted bulk and still leave us with McMansions that loom over neighbors' homes.

These simple amendments could be adopted quickly, to protect our treasured neighborhoods from being ravaged by unchecked, short-term real estate speculation and reckless development. They will allow renovations, expansions, and new construction that can accommodate modern family life while respecting the scale and character of established neighborhoods.

Please, 1) expedite and shepherd the BMO amendments through the Council review process, and 2) include an urgency clause with the adoption of the amendments, to provide desperately-needed relief from this destructive trend.

Sincerely,

Lowell Kay

Beverly Grove area

CD5 district



Etta Armstrong <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>

Amend the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance NOW (Council File 14-0656)

1 message

Jimbo <j_milne@sbcglobal.net>

Sun, Oct 5, 2014 at 2:40 PM

To: councilmember.huizar@lacity.org, councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org, councilmember.englander@lacity.org, sharon.gin@lacity.org, etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Cc: councilmember.wesson@lacity.org, jordan.beroukhim@lacity.org, elizabeth.carlin@lacity.org, andrew.westall@lacity.org, paul.koretz@lacity.org, joan.pelico@lacity.org, shawn.bayliss@lacity.org, john.darnell@lacity.org, mayor.garcetti@lacity.org

RE: Council File 14-0656

Dear members of the Planning & Land Use Management Committee,

As you know, the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance (BMO) has failed miserably. Councilmember Koretz's smart and sensible motion to amend the BMO would bring much-needed relief to constituents citywide, and would also relieve city agencies from the burdens associated with implementing and enforcing a patchwork of overlays.

On July 29, you acknowledged that it was time to address the deficiencies in the current mansionization ordinance, and asked City Planning to report back in 30 days. Their Report, which proposes an 18 month timeline for amending the BMO, is UNACCEPTABLE. Thousands of single family homes could be demolished - and hundreds of neighborhoods destroyed - during this timeframe.

We understand that Councilmember Koretz's staff has a redlined version of the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance, developed in consultation with community leaders, showing possible language changes that are straight forward, clear, doable, meaningful, and which align with the motion to amend the BMO. These changes could be discussed and adopted quickly, and there is absolutely no legitimate reason that this process should be delayed 18 months.

At your meeting this Tuesday, I urge you to push-back on the stall tactics (18 months is unnecessary and unacceptable) and limit time spent on the diversionary recommendation that select neighborhoods get ICO's (while a good idea perhaps, it does not address the motion on the table, and puts unprotected neighborhoods at even greater risk).

Refocus your attention on amending the BMO:

- Decrease the base floor area ratio for R-1 lots.
- Eliminate the pointless 'green' building bonus.
- Eliminate the attached garage exemption and count this square footage. Attached garages add 400 square feet of bloat and eliminate driveways that provide an essential buffer between lots.
- Eliminate the other self-defeating design bonuses for items like double-height entryways and balconies, which add hundreds of square feet of uncounted bulk and still leave us with McMansions that loom over neighbors' homes.

These simple amendments could be adopted quickly, to protect our treasured neighborhoods from being ravaged by unchecked, short-term real estate speculation and reckless development. They will allow renovations, expansions, and new construction that can accommodate modern family life while respecting the scale and character of established neighborhoods.

Please, 1) expedite and shepherd the BMO amendments through the Council review process, and 2) include an urgency clause with the adoption of the amendments, to provide desperately-needed relief from this destructive trend.

Sincerely,

James and Deborah Milne

Fairfax Village
CD10



Etta Armstrong <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>

Amend the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance NOW (Council File 14-0656)

1 message

brandt <brandtee@yahoo.com>

Sun, Oct 5, 2014 at 12:49 PM

To: councilmember.huizar@lacity.org, councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org, councilmember.englander@lacity.org, sharon.gin@lacity.org, etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Cc: councilmember.wesson@lacity.org, jordan.beroukhim@lacity.org, elizabeth.carlin@lacity.org, andrew.westall@lacity.org, paul.koretz@lacity.org, joan.pelico@lacity.org, shawn.bayliss@lacity.org, john.darnell@lacity.org, mayor.garcetti@lacity.org

Dear members of the Planning & Land Use Management Committee,

RE: Council File 14-0656

As you know, the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance (BMO) has failed miserably. Councilmember Koretz's smart and sensible motion to amend the BMO would bring much-needed relief to constituents citywide, and would also relieve city agencies Sent from my iPhone

On July 29, you acknowledged that it was time to address the deficiencies in the current mansionization ordinance, and asked City Planning to report back in 30 days. Their Report, which proposes an 18 month timeline for amending the BMO, is UNACCEPTABLE. Thousands of single family homes could be demolished - and hundreds of neighborhoods destroyed - during this timeframe.

We understand that Councilmember Koretz's staff has a redlined version of the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance, developed in consultation with community leaders, showing possible language changes that are straight forward, clear, doable, meaningful, and which align with the motion to amend the BMO. These changes could be discussed and adopted quickly, and there is absolutely no legitimate reason that this process should be delayed 18 months.

At your meeting this Tuesday, I urge you to push-back on the stall tactics (18 months is unnecessary and unacceptable) and limit time spent on the diversionary recommendation that select neighborhoods get ICO's (while a good idea perhaps, it does not address the motion on the table, and puts unprotected neighborhoods at even greater risk).

Refocus your attention on amending the BMO:

- Decrease the base floor area ratio for R-1 lots.
- Eliminate the pointless 'green' building bonus.
- Eliminate the attached garage exemption and count this square footage. Attached garages add 400 square feet of bloat and eliminate driveways that provide an essential buffer between lots.
- Eliminate the other self-defeating design bonuses for items like double-height entryways and balconies, which add hundreds of square feet of uncounted bulk and still leave us with McMansions that loom over neighbors' homes.

These simple amendments could be adopted quickly, to protect our treasured neighborhoods from being ravaged by unchecked, short-term real estate speculation and reckless development. They will allow renovations, expansions, and new construction that can accommodate modern family life while respecting the scale and character of established neighborhoods.

Please:

- 1) expedite and shepherd the BMO amendments through the Council review process, and
- 2) include an urgency clause with the adoption of the amendments, to provide desperately-needed relief from this destructive trend.

Please help us!!

Sincerely,

Jeffrey Sachs
516 N. Harper Avenue
Los Angeles, CA 90048
District 5-Councilman Koretz



Etta Armstrong <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>

Amend the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance NOW (Council File 14-0656)

1 message

Considine, Traci <tconsidine@conet.ucla.edu>

Mon, Oct 6, 2014 at 11:26 AM

To: "alan.bell@lacity.org" <alan.bell@lacity.org>, "erick.lopez@lacity.org" <erick.lopez@lacity.org>, "tom.rothmann@lacity.org" <tom.rothmann@lacity.org>

Cc: "sharon.gin@lacity.org" <sharon.gin@lacity.org>, "Etta.Armstrong@lacity.org" <Etta.Armstrong@lacity.org>

Dear Messrs Bell, Lopez and Rothmann,

Please see below a message I sent to PLUM members, regarding Councilmember Koretz's motion to amend the BMO. While your suggestion to offer ICO's to those with pending HPOZ's and RFA's is not a bad idea in and of itself, it is a diversionary/stall tactic that **DOES NOT ADDRESS THE MOTION AT HAND**, which is to **AMEND the BMO**. We support every aspect of Mr. Koretz's motion, and there is no reason his suggested amendments couldn't be made quickly. Quit wasting your time and ours – just **AMEND THE BMO**. Every week you stall, more and more neighborhoods are destroyed. Do you really want that to be your legacy?

Thank you in advance for refocusing your attention on the motion on the table.

Traci Considine

Faircrest Heights

CD10

From: Considine, Traci

Sent: Saturday, October 4, 2014 1:58 PM

To: 'councilmember.huizar@lacity.org'; 'councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org'; 'councilmember.englander@lacity.org'; 'sharon.gin@lacity.org'; 'Etta.Armstrong@lacity.org'

Cc: 'councilmember.wesson@lacity.org'; 'jordan.beroukhim@lacity.org'; 'elizabeth.carlin@lacity.org'; 'andrew.westall@lacity.org'; 'paul.koretz@lacity.org'; 'joan.pelico@lacity.org'; 'shawn.bayliss@lacity.org'; 'john.darnell@lacity.org'; 'mayor.garcetti@lacity.org'

Subject: Amend the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance NOW (Council File 14-0656)

re: [Council File 14-0656](#)

Dear members of the Planning & Land Use Management Committee,

As you know, the [Baseline Mansionization Ordinance](#) (BMO) has failed miserably. Councilmember Koretz's smart and sensible [motion to amend the BMO](#) would bring much-needed relief to constituents citywide, and would also relieve city agencies from the burdens associated with implementing and enforcing a patchwork of

overlays.

On July 29, you acknowledged that it was time to address the deficiencies in the current mansionization ordinance, and asked City Planning to report back in 30 days. Their [Report](#), which proposes **an 18 month timeline for amending the BMO, is UNACCEPTABLE. Thousands of single family homes could be demolished - and hundreds of neighborhoods destroyed - during this timeframe.**

We understand that Councilmember Koretz's staff has a redlined version of the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance, developed in consultation with community leaders, showing possible language changes that are straight forward, clear, doable, meaningful, and which align with the motion to amend the BMO. These changes could be discussed and adopted quickly, and there is absolutely no legitimate reason that this process should be delayed 18 months.

At your meeting this Tuesday, I urge you to push-back on the stall tactics (18 months is unnecessary and unacceptable) and limit time spent on the diversionary recommendation that select neighborhoods get ICO's (while a good idea perhaps, it does not address the motion on the table, and puts unprotected neighborhoods at even greater risk).

Refocus your attention on amending the BMO:

- Decrease the base floor area ratio for R-1 lots.
- Eliminate the pointless 'green' building bonus.
- Eliminate the attached garage exemption and count this square footage. Attached garages add 400 square feet of bloat and eliminate driveways that provide an essential buffer between lots.
- Eliminate the other self-defeating design bonuses for items like double-height entryways and balconies, which add hundreds of square feet of uncounted bulk and still leave us with McMansions that loom over neighbors' homes.

These simple amendments could be adopted quickly, to protect our treasured neighborhoods from being ravaged by unchecked, short-term real estate speculation and reckless development. They will allow renovations, expansions, and new construction that can accommodate modern family life while respecting the scale and character of established neighborhoods.

Please, 1) expedite and shepherd the BMO amendments through the Council review process, and 2) include an urgency clause with the adoption of the amendments, to provide desperately-needed relief from this destructive trend.

Sincerely,

Traci Considine

Faircrest Heights

CD10



Etta Armstrong <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>

Please save our neighborhood from being destroyed! Amend the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance NOW (Council File 14-0656)

1 message

Jack Monderer <Jack.Monderer@fox.com>

Mon, Oct 6, 2014 at 11:05 AM

To: "councilmember.huizar@lacity.org" <councilmember.huizar@lacity.org>, "councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org" <councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org>, "councilmember.englander@lacity.org" <councilmember.englander@lacity.org>, "sharon.gin@lacity.org" <sharon.gin@lacity.org>, "etta.armstrong@lacity.org" <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>

Cc: "councilmember.wesson@lacity.org" <councilmember.wesson@lacity.org>, "jordan.beroukhim@lacity.org" <jordan.beroukhim@lacity.org>, "elizabeth.carlin@lacity.org" <elizabeth.carlin@lacity.org>, "andrew.westall@lacity.org" <andrew.westall@lacity.org>, "paul.koretz@lacity.org" <paul.koretz@lacity.org>, "joan.pelico@lacity.org" <joan.pelico@lacity.org>, "shawn.bayliss@lacity.org" <shawn.bayliss@lacity.org>, "john.darnell@lacity.org" <john.darnell@lacity.org>, "mayor.garcetti@lacity.org" <mayor.garcetti@lacity.org>

PLEASE HELP US – GREED AND POOR PLANNING ARE DESTROYING OUR NEIGHBORHOOD – the 500 and 600 blocks of N. Edinburgh in Melrose Heights, 90048:

Dear members of the Planning & Land Use Management Committee,

As you know, the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance (BMO) has failed miserably. Councilmember Koretz's smart and sensible motion to amend the BMO would bring much-needed relief to constituents citywide, and would also relieve city agencies from the burdens associated with implementing and enforcing a patchwork of overlays.

On July 29, you acknowledged that it was time to address the deficiencies in the current mansionization ordinance, and asked City Planning to report back in 30 days. Their Report, which proposes an 18 month timeline for amending the BMO, is UNACCEPTABLE. Thousands of single family homes could be demolished - and hundreds of neighborhoods destroyed - during this timeframe.

We understand that Councilmember Koretz's staff has a redlined version of the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance, developed in consultation with community leaders, showing possible language changes that are straight forward, clear, doable, meaningful, and which align with the motion to amend the BMO. These changes could be discussed and adopted quickly, and there is absolutely no legitimate reason that this process should be delayed 18 months.

At your meeting this Tuesday, I urge you to push-back on the stall tactics (18 months is unnecessary and unacceptable) and limit time spent on the diversionary recommendation that select neighborhoods get ICO's (while a good idea perhaps, it does not address the motion on the table, and puts unprotected neighborhoods at even greater risk).

Refocus your attention on amending the BMO:

- Decrease the base floor area ratio for R-1 lots.
- Eliminate the pointless 'green' building bonus.
- Eliminate the attached garage exemption and count this square footage. Attached garages add 400 square feet of bloat and eliminate driveways that provide an essential buffer between lots.

- Eliminate the other self-defeating design bonuses for items like double-height entryways and balconies, which add hundreds of square feet of uncounted bulk and still leave us with McMansions that loom over neighbors' homes.

These simple amendments could be adopted quickly, to protect our treasured neighborhoods from being ravaged by unchecked, short-term real estate speculation and reckless development. They will allow renovations, expansions, and new construction that can accommodate modern family life while respecting the scale and character of established neighborhoods.

Please, 1) expedite and shepherd the BMO amendments through the Council review process, and 2) include an urgency clause with the adoption of the amendments, to provide desperately-needed relief from this destructive trend.

Sincerely,

Jack Monderer

549 N. Edinburgh Avenue

Los Angeles, CA 90048

My councilman is Paul Koretz, Council District 5



Etta Armstrong <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>

Amend the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance NOW (Council File 14-0656)

1 message

Julian Davies <mrgigglewater@gmail.com>

Mon, Oct 6, 2014 at 11:02 AM

To: councilmember.huizar@lacity.org, councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org, councilmember.englander@lacity.org, sharon.gin@lacity.org, etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Cc: councilmember.wesson@lacity.org, jordan.beroukhim@lacity.org, elizabeth.carlin@lacity.org, andrew.westall@lacity.org, paul.koretz@lacity.org, joan.pelico@lacity.org, shawn.bayliss@lacity.org, john.darnell@lacity.org, mayor.garcetti@lacity.org

RE: Council File 14-0656

Dear members of the Planning & Land Use Management Committee,

As you know, the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance (BMO) has failed miserably. Councilmember Koretz's smart and sensible motion to amend the BMO would bring much-needed relief to constituents citywide, and would also relieve city agencies from the burdens associated with implementing and enforcing a patchwork of overlays.

On July 29, you acknowledged that it was time to address the deficiencies in the current mansionization ordinance, and asked City Planning to report back in 30 days. Their Report, which proposes an 18 month timeline for amending the BMO, is UNACCEPTABLE. Thousands of single family homes could be demolished - and hundreds of neighborhoods destroyed - during this timeframe.

We understand that Councilmember Koretz's staff has a redlined version of the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance, developed in consultation with community leaders, showing possible language changes that are straight forward, clear, doable, meaningful, and which align with the motion to amend the BMO. These changes could be discussed and adopted quickly, and there is absolutely no legitimate reason that this process should be delayed 18 months.

At your meeting this Tuesday, I urge you to push-back on the stall tactics (18 months is unnecessary and unacceptable) and limit time spent on the diversionary recommendation that select neighborhoods get ICO's (while a good idea perhaps, it does not address the motion on the table, and puts unprotected neighborhoods at even greater risk).

Refocus your attention on amending the BMO:

- Decrease the base floor area ratio for R-1 lots.
- Eliminate the pointless 'green' building bonus.
- Eliminate the attached garage exemption and count this square footage. Attached garages add 400 square feet of bloat and eliminate driveways that provide an essential buffer between lots.
- Eliminate the other self-defeating design bonuses for items like double-height entryways and balconies, which add hundreds of square feet of uncounted bulk and still leave us with McMansions that loom over neighbors' homes.

These simple amendments could be adopted quickly, to protect our treasured neighborhoods from being ravaged by unchecked, short-term real estate speculation and reckless development. They will allow renovations, expansions, and new construction that can accommodate modern family life while respecting the scale and character of established neighborhoods.

Please, 1) expedite and shepherd the BMO amendments through the Council review process, and 2) include an urgency clause with the adoption of the amendments, to provide desperately-needed relief from this destructive trend.

Sincerely

Julian Davies

10/6/2014

City of Los Angeles Mail - Amend the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance NOW (Council File 14-0656)

Fairfax District

CD5



Etta Armstrong <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>

Beverly Grove North STOP MCMANSIONS

1 message

Michele Abbott <micheleabbott@mac.com>

Mon, Oct 6, 2014 at 10:59 AM

To: "To: councilmember.huizar"@lacity.org, councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org, councilmember.englander@lacity.org, sharon.gin@lacity.org, etta.armstrong@lacity.org, councilmember.wesson@lacity.org, jordan.beroukhim@lacity.org, elizabeth.carlin@lacity.org, andrew.westall@lacity.org, paul.koretz@lacity.org, joan.pelico@lacity.org, shawn.bayliss@lacity.org, john.darnell@lacity.org, mayor.garcetti@lacity.org

RE: Council File 14-0656

Dear Members,

Please see attached PDF/reference to our home & the McMansion development going in directly next to us.

The privacy of our 10yr owned & very loved home in Beverly Grove North has been destroyed, at the hand of a greedy developer. The scale of the McMansion being built next door (for the past 8 months - filled with toxic dust/endsless noise/etc.) now overwhelms the entire neighborhood, and looks like an apartment building is next door vs a single family home. We are asking that the City of LA pay attention to the plight of the neighborhood to SAVE the historic 1920's/1930's homes, and MANAGE this out of control over-development and land abuse. These developers do not live in the neighborhood. They do not care about the architectural integrity of the area.

And now the form letter, with more details as to what we are requesting:

Dear members of the Planning & Land Use Management Committee,

As you know, the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance (BMO) has failed miserably. Councilmember Koretz's smart and sensible motion to amend the BMO would bring much-needed relief to constituents citywide, and would also relieve city agencies from the burdens associated with implementing and enforcing a patchwork of overlays.

On July 29, you acknowledged that it was time to address the deficiencies in the current mansionization ordinance, and asked City Planning to report back in 30 days. Their Report, which proposes an 18 month timeline for amending the BMO, is UNACCEPTABLE. Thousands of single family homes could be demolished - and hundreds of neighborhoods destroyed - during this timeframe.

We understand that Councilmember Koretz's staff has a redlined version of the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance, developed in consultation with community leaders, showing possible language changes that are straight forward, clear, doable, meaningful, and which align with the motion to amend the BMO. These changes could be discussed and adopted quickly, and there is absolutely no legitimate reason that this process should be delayed 18 months.

At your meeting this Tuesday, I urge you to push-back on the stall tactics (18 months is unnecessary and

unacceptable) and limit time spent on the diversionary recommendation that select neighborhoods get ICO's (while a good idea perhaps, it does not address the motion on the table, and puts unprotected neighborhoods at even greater risk).

Refocus your attention on amending the BMO:

- Decrease the base floor area ratio for R-1 lots.
- Eliminate the pointless 'green' building bonus.
- Eliminate the attached garage exemption and count this square footage. Attached garages add 400 square feet of bloat and eliminate driveways that provide an essential buffer between lots.
- Eliminate the other self-defeating design bonuses for items like double-height entryways and balconies, which add hundreds of square feet of uncounted bulk and still leave us with McMansions that loom over neighbors' homes.

These simple amendments could be adopted quickly, to protect our treasured neighborhoods from being ravaged by unchecked, short-term real estate speculation and reckless development. They will allow renovations, expansions, and new construction that can accommodate modern family life while respecting the scale and character of established neighborhoods.

Please, 1) expedite and shepherd the BMO amendments through the Council review process, and 2) include an urgency clause with the adoption of the amendments, to provide desperately-needed relief from this destructive trend.

Sincerely,

Michele Abbott

10yr homeowner in Beverly Grove North -

now under the shadow of a HUGE mcmansion that looks into our yard and violates our privacy
it's like we are living next to a cruise ship please control this !!!

Koretz Distrit

Our back yard before.....

and now.... a row of windows staring into our yard

we ahve spent thousands of dollars in new plants and fence line to try and plant something. ANYTHING, that will eventually hide this monster.

3 attachments

P1010005-30.jpeg
100K



IMG_9234.jpeg
98K

 **Laurel MCMANSION.pdf**
6981K





LAUREL AVE. (between Beverly & Oakwood)
BEVERLY GROVE NORTH
90048

STREET VIEW

BEFORE



AFTER



LAUREL AVE. (between Beverly & Oakwood)
BEVERLY GROVE NORTH
90048

BACKYARD

BEFORE



AFTER





Etta Armstrong <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>

Amend the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance NOW (Council File 14-0656)

1 message

Elizabeth Fuller <zilf@leapinliz.com>

Mon, Oct 6, 2014 at 10:57 AM

To: councilmember.huizar@lacity.org, councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org, councilmember.englander@lacity.org, sharon.gin@lacity.org, etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Cc: "councilmember.wesson@lacity.org" <councilmember.wesson@lacity.org>, jordan.beroukhim@lacity.org, Elizabeth Carlin <elizabeth.carlin@lacity.org>, Andrew Westall <andrew.westall@lacity.org>, "councilmember.koretz@lacity.org" <paul.koretz@lacity.org>, joan.pelico@lacity.org, shawn.bayliss@lacity.org, John Darnell <john.darnell@lacity.org>, mayor.garcetti@lacity.org

RE: Council File 14-0656

Dear members of the Planning & Land Use Management Committee,

As you know, the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance (BMO) has failed miserably. Councilmember Koretz's smart and sensible motion to amend the BMO would bring much-needed relief to constituents citywide, and would also relieve city agencies from the burdens associated with implementing and enforcing a patchwork of overlays.

On July 29, you acknowledged that it was time to address the deficiencies in the current mansionization ordinance, and asked City Planning to report back in 30 days. Their Report, which proposes an 18 month timeline for amending the BMO, is UNACCEPTABLE. Thousands of single family homes could be demolished - and hundreds of neighborhoods destroyed - during this timeframe.

We understand that Councilmember Koretz's staff has a redlined version of the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance, developed in consultation with community leaders, showing possible language changes that are straight forward, clear, doable, meaningful, and which align with the motion to amend the BMO. These changes could be discussed and adopted quickly, and there is absolutely no legitimate reason that this process should be delayed 18 months.

At your meeting this Tuesday, I urge you to push-back on the stall tactics (18 months is unnecessary and unacceptable) and limit time spent on the diversionary recommendation that select neighborhoods get ICO's (while a good idea perhaps, it does not address the motion on the table, and puts unprotected neighborhoods at even greater risk).

Refocus your attention on amending the BMO:

- Decrease the base floor area ratio for R-1 lots.
- Eliminate the pointless 'green' building bonus.
- Eliminate the attached garage exemption and count this square footage. Attached garages add 400 square feet of bloat and eliminate driveways that provide an essential buffer between lots.
- Eliminate the other self-defeating design bonuses for items like double-height entryways and balconies,

which add hundreds of square feet of uncounted bulk and still leave us with McMansions that loom over neighbors' homes.

These simple amendments could be adopted quickly, to protect our treasured neighborhoods from being ravaged by unchecked, short-term real estate speculation and reckless development. They will allow renovations, expansions, and new construction that can accommodate modern family life while respecting the scale and character of established neighborhoods.

Please, 1) expedite and shepherd the BMO amendments through the Council review process, and 2) include an urgency clause with the adoption of the amendments, to provide desperately-needed relief from this destructive trend.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Fuller
1919 S. Harvard Blvd., 90018
(CD 10 resident)



Etta Armstrong <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>

Council File 14-0656

1 message

Ann Sycamore Square Info <ann.sycamoresquare@gmail.com>

Mon, Oct 6, 2014 at 10:40 AM

To: councilmember.huizar@lacity.org, councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org, councilmember.englander@lacity.org, sharon.gin@lacity.org, etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Cc: councilmember.wesson@lacity.org, jordan.beroukhim@lacity.org, elizabeth.carlin@lacity.org, andrew.westall@lacity.org, paul.koretz@lacity.org, joan.pelico@lacity.org, shawn.bayliss@lacity.org, john.darnell@lacity.org, mayor.garcetti@lacity.org, councilmember.labonge@lacity.org, Benjamin Seinfeld <ben.seinfeld@lacity.org>, Renee Weitzer <Renee.Weitzer@lacity.org>

Dear members of the Planning & Land Use Management Committee,

As you know, the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance (BMO) has failed miserably. Councilmember Koretz's smart and sensible motion to amend the BMO would bring much-needed relief to constituents citywide, and would also relieve city agencies from the burdens associated with implementing and enforcing a patchwork of overlays.

On July 29, you acknowledged that it was time to address the deficiencies in the current mansionization ordinance, and asked City Planning to report back in 30 days. Their Report, which proposes an 18 month timeline for amending the BMO, is UNACCEPTABLE. Thousands of single family homes could be demolished - and hundreds of neighborhoods destroyed - during this timeframe.

We understand that Councilmember Koretz's staff has a redlined version of the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance, developed in consultation with community leaders, showing possible language changes that are straight forward, clear, doable, meaningful, and which align with the motion to amend the BMO. These changes could be discussed and adopted quickly, and there is absolutely no legitimate reason that this process should be delayed 18 months.

At your meeting this Tuesday, I urge you to push-back on the stall tactics (18 months is unnecessary and unacceptable) and limit time spent on the diversionary recommendation that select neighborhoods get ICO's (while a good idea perhaps, it does not address the motion on the table, and puts unprotected neighborhoods at even greater risk).

Refocus your attention on amending the BMO:

- Decrease the base floor area ratio for R-1 lots.
- Eliminate the pointless 'green' building bonus.
- Eliminate the attached garage exemption and count this square footage. Attached garages add 400 square feet of bloat and eliminate driveways that provide an essential buffer between lots.
- Eliminate the other self-defeating design bonuses for items like double-height entryways and balconies, which add hundreds of square feet of uncounted bulk and still leave us with McMansions that loom over neighbors' homes.

These simple amendments could be adopted quickly, to protect our treasured neighborhoods from being ravaged by unchecked, short-term real estate speculation and reckless development. They will allow renovations, expansions, and new construction that can accommodate modern family life while respecting the scale and character of established neighborhoods.

Please, 1) expedite and shepherd the BMO amendments through the Council review process, and 2) include an urgency clause with the adoption of the amendments, to provide desperately-needed relief from this destructive trend.

--

Ann Eggleston
Sycamore Square Neighborhood Association
2014-2015 President



Etta Armstrong <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>

Council File 14-0656

1 message

Ann Sycamore Square Info <ann.sycamoresquare@gmail.com>

Mon, Oct 6, 2014 at 10:40 AM

To: councilmember.huizar@lacity.org, councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org, councilmember.englander@lacity.org, sharon.gin@lacity.org, etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Cc: councilmember.wesson@lacity.org, jordan.beroukhim@lacity.org, elizabeth.carlin@lacity.org, andrew.westall@lacity.org, paul.koretz@lacity.org, joan.pelico@lacity.org, shawn.bayliss@lacity.org, john.darnell@lacity.org, mayor.garcetti@lacity.org, councilmember.labonge@lacity.org, Benjamin Seinfeld <ben.seinfeld@lacity.org>, Renee Weitzer <Renee.Weitzer@lacity.org>

Dear members of the Planning & Land Use Management Committee,

As you know, the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance (BMO) has failed miserably. Councilmember Koretz's smart and sensible motion to amend the BMO would bring much-needed relief to constituents citywide, and would also relieve city agencies from the burdens associated with implementing and enforcing a patchwork of overlays.

On July 29, you acknowledged that it was time to address the deficiencies in the current mansionization ordinance, and asked City Planning to report back in 30 days. Their Report, which proposes an 18 month timeline for amending the BMO, is UNACCEPTABLE. Thousands of single family homes could be demolished - and hundreds of neighborhoods destroyed - during this timeframe.

We understand that Councilmember Koretz's staff has a redlined version of the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance, developed in consultation with community leaders, showing possible language changes that are straight forward, clear, doable, meaningful, and which align with the motion to amend the BMO. These changes could be discussed and adopted quickly, and there is absolutely no legitimate reason that this process should be delayed 18 months.

At your meeting this Tuesday, I urge you to push-back on the stall tactics (18 months is unnecessary and unacceptable) and limit time spent on the diversionary recommendation that select neighborhoods get ICO's (while a good idea perhaps, it does not address the motion on the table, and puts unprotected neighborhoods at even greater risk).

Refocus your attention on amending the BMO:

- Decrease the base floor area ratio for R-1 lots.
- Eliminate the pointless 'green' building bonus.
- Eliminate the attached garage exemption and count this square footage. Attached garages add 400 square feet of bloat and eliminate driveways that provide an essential buffer between lots.
- Eliminate the other self-defeating design bonuses for items like double-height entryways and balconies, which add hundreds of square feet of uncounted bulk and still leave us with McMansions that loom over neighbors' homes.

These simple amendments could be adopted quickly, to protect our treasured neighborhoods from being ravaged by unchecked, short-term real estate speculation and reckless development. They will allow renovations, expansions, and new construction that can accommodate modern family life while respecting the scale and character of established neighborhoods.

Please, 1) expedite and shepherd the BMO amendments through the Council review process, and 2) include an urgency clause with the adoption of the amendments, to provide desperately-needed relief from this destructive trend.

—
Ann Eggleston
Sycamore Square Neighborhood Association
2014-2015 President



Etta Armstrong <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>

Amend the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance NOW (Council File 14-0656)

1 message

Isadora Chesler <isadora.chesler@gmail.com>

Mon, Oct 6, 2014 at 10:39 AM

To: councilmember.huizar@lacity.org, councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org, councilmember.englander@lacity.org, sharon.gin@lacity.org, etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Cc: councilmember.wesson@lacity.org, jordan.beroukhim@lacity.org, elizabeth.carlin@lacity.org, andrew.westall@lacity.org, paul.koretz@lacity.org, joan.pelico@lacity.org, shawn.bayliss@lacity.org, john.darnell@lacity.org, mayor.garcetti@lacity.org

RE: Council File 14-0656

Dear Members,

Please see attached PDF/reference to our home & the McMansion development going in directly next to us.

The privacy of our 10yr owned & very loved home in Beverly Grove North has been destroyed, at the hand of a greedy developer. The scale of the McMansion being built next door (for the past 8 months - filled with toxic dust/endsless noise/etc.) now overwhelms the entire neighborhood, and looks like an apartment building is next door vs a single family home. We are asking that the City of LA pay attention to the plight of the neighborhood to SAVE the historic 1920's/1930's homes, and MANAGE this out of control over-development and land abuse. These developers do not live in the neighborhood. They do not care about the architectural integrity of the area.

And now the form letter, with more details as to what we are requesting:

Dear members of the Planning & Land Use Management Committee,

As you know, the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance (BMO) has failed miserably. Councilmember Koretz's smart and sensible motion to amend the BMO would bring much-needed relief to constituents citywide, and would also relieve city agencies from the burdens associated with implementing and enforcing a patchwork of overlays.

On July 29, you acknowledged that it was time to address the deficiencies in the current mansionization ordinance, and asked City Planning to report back in 30 days. Their Report, which proposes an 18 month timeline for amending the BMO, is UNACCEPTABLE. Thousands of single family homes could be demolished - and hundreds of neighborhoods destroyed - during this timeframe.

We understand that Councilmember Koretz's staff has a redlined version of the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance, developed in consultation with community leaders, showing possible language changes that are straight forward, clear, doable, meaningful, and which align with the motion to amend the BMO. These changes could be discussed and adopted quickly, and there is absolutely no legitimate reason that this process should be delayed 18 months.

At your meeting this Tuesday, I urge you to push-back on the stall tactics (18 months is unnecessary and

unacceptable) and limit time spent on the diversionary recommendation that select neighborhoods get ICO's (while a good idea perhaps, it does not address the motion on the table, and puts unprotected neighborhoods at even greater risk).

Refocus your attention on amending the BMO:

- Decrease the base floor area ratio for R-1 lots.
- Eliminate the pointless 'green' building bonus.
- Eliminate the attached garage exemption and count this square footage. Attached garages add 400 square feet of bloat and eliminate driveways that provide an essential buffer between lots.
- Eliminate the other self-defeating design bonuses for items like double-height entryways and balconies, which add hundreds of square feet of uncounted bulk and still leave us with McMansions that loom over neighbors' homes.

These simple amendments could be adopted quickly, to protect our treasured neighborhoods from being ravaged by unchecked, short-term real estate speculation and reckless development. They will allow renovations, expansions, and new construction that can accommodate modern family life while respecting the scale and character of established neighborhoods.

Please, 1) expedite and shepherd the BMO amendments through the Council review process, and 2) include an urgency clause with the adoption of the amendments, to provide desperately-needed relief from this destructive trend.

Sincerely,

Isadora Chesler

10yr homeowner in Beverly Grove North

Koretz District

--

310 467-3599 cell
isadora.chesler@gmail.com



Laurel MCMANSION.pdf
6981K



Elta Armstrong <elta.armstrong@lacity.org>

stop mansionization

1 message

Bruce Phillips <brucephillips1949@gmail.com>

Mon, Oct 6, 2014 at 10:11 AM

To: councilmember.huizar@lacity.org, councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org, councilmember.englander@lacity.org, sharon.gin@lacity.org, etta.armstrong@lacity.org, jordan.beroukhim@lacity.org

Cc: elizabeth.carlin@lacity.org, andrew.westall@lacity.org, paul.koretz@lacity.org, joan.pelico@lacity.org, shawn.bayliss@lacity.org, john.darnell@lacity.org, mayor.garcetti@lacity.org

RE: Council File 14-0656

Dear members of the Planning & Land Use Management Committee,

As you know, the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance (BMO) has failed miserably. Councilmember Koretz's smart and sensible motion to amend the BMO would bring much-needed relief to constituents citywide, and would also relieve city agencies from the burdens associated with implementing and enforcing a patchwork of overlays.

On July 29, you acknowledged that it was time to address the deficiencies in the current mansionization ordinance, and asked City Planning to report back in 30 days. Their Report, which proposes an 18 month timeline for amending the BMO, is UNACCEPTABLE. Thousands of single family homes could be demolished - and hundreds of neighborhoods destroyed - during this timeframe.

We understand that Councilmember Koretz's staff has a redlined version of the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance, developed in consultation with community leaders, showing possible language changes that are straight forward, clear, doable, meaningful, and which align with the motion to amend the BMO. These changes could be discussed and adopted quickly, and there is absolutely no legitimate reason that this process should be delayed 18 months.

At your meeting this Tuesday, I urge you to push-back on the stall tactics (18 months is unnecessary and unacceptable) and limit time spent on the diversionary recommendation that select neighborhoods get ICO's (while a good idea perhaps, it does not address the motion on the table, and puts unprotected neighborhoods at even greater risk).

Refocus your attention on amending the BMO:

- Decrease the base floor area ratio for R-1 lots.
- Eliminate the pointless 'green' building bonus.
- Eliminate the attached garage exemption and count this square footage. Attached garages add 400 square feet of bloat and eliminate driveways that provide an essential buffer between lots.
- Eliminate the other self-defeating design bonuses for items like double-height entryways and balconies, which add hundreds of square feet of uncounted bulk and still leave us with McMansions that loom over neighbors' homes.

These simple amendments could be adopted quickly, to protect our treasured neighborhoods from being ravaged by unchecked, short-term real estate speculation and reckless development. They will allow renovations, expansions, and new construction that can accommodate modern family life while respecting the scale and character of established neighborhoods.

Please, 1) expedite and shepherd the BMO amendments through the Council review process, and 2) include an urgency clause with the adoption of the amendments, to provide desperately-needed relief from this destructive trend.

Sincerely,

Bruce A. Phillips
Cathay Circle
CD 5