

### 14-0656

1 message

Sharon GIn <sharon.gin@lacity.org>
To: Etta Armstrong <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>

Tue. Mar 17, 2015 at 10:23 AM

----- Forwarded message —

From: Mtuohy

Date: Mon. Mar 16, 2015 at 4:48 PM Subject: Please implement the ICO NOW

To: councilmember.huizar@lacity.org. councilmember.englander@lacity.org. councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org.

councilmember.wesson@lacity.org.paul.koretz@lacity.org

Cc: sharon.gin@lacity.org. ken.bernstein@lacity.org. tom.rothmann@lacity.org. Arturo.Chavez@lacity.org.

John.S.Lee@lacity.org

Dear Councilmembers.

I was dismayed to learn that the last vote on the much-needed anti-mansionization ICO to protect our wonderful Los Angeles neighborhoods was delayed at last month's PLUM meeting. The issue deserves a vote at tomorrow's PLUM hearing—a YES vote—to protect our wonderful neighborhoods and historic Los Angeles homes.

Speaking personally. my neighbourhood off West 3rd St. near the Grove has seen a huge boom in oversized new construction that is not at all suited to the lot sizes of our historic homes. The proposed ICO would remedy this problem while still permitting owners to build homes of more-than-adequate size. The current system is rife with loopholes and "bonuses" that need to be closed. The ICO is a step in the right direction to help protect our neighborhoods.

Please bring the ICO to a vote ASAP and vote YES to protect Los Angeles's neighborhoods and families.

Sincerely.





#### 14-0656

1 message

Sharon Gin <sharon.gin@lacity.org>
To: Etta Armstrong <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>

Tue. Mar 17. 2015 at 10:24 AM

From: Danielle Keenan-Miller
Date: Mon. Mar 16, 2015 at 4:59 PM
Subject: Protect Our Neighborhoods

To: councilmember.huizar@lacity.org. councilmember.englander@lacity.org. councilmember.cediflo@lacity.org.

councilmember.wesson@lacity.org, paul.koretz@lacity.org

Cc: sharon.gin@lacity.org. ken.bernstein@lacity.org. tom.rothmann@lacity.org. Arturo.Chavez@lacity.org.

John.S.Lee@lacity.org

#### To:

councilmember.huizar@lacity.org; councilmember.englander@lacity.org; councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org;

#### CC:

sharon.gin@lacity.org; ken.bernstein@lacity.org; tom.rothmann@lacity.org; Arturo. Chavez@lacity.org; John.S.Lee@lacity.org

#### Subject:

Protect Our Neighborhoods - Implement the ICO Now

Dear Councilmembers.

I was dismayed to learn that the last vote on the much-needed anti-mansionization ICO to protect our wonderful Los Angeles neighborhoods was delayed at last month's PLUM meeting. The issue deserves a vote at tomorrow's PLUM hearing—a YES vote—to protect our wonderful neighborhoods and historic Los Angeles homes.

Truly, this oversized new construction is not suited to the lot sizes of our historic neighborhoods, and the proposed ICO would remedy this problem while still permitting owners to build homes of more-than-adequate size. The current system is rife with loopholes and "bonuses" that need to be closed. The ICO is a step in the right direction to help protect our neighborhoods.

Please bring the ICO to a vote ASAP and vote YES to protect Los Angeles's neighborhoods and families.

Sincerely.,

This email may contain material that is confidential and/or privileged for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review, reliance or distribution by others or forwarding without expression permission is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and delete all copies.



## Fwd: In Support of ICOs; Council File14- 0656

1 message

Sharon Gin <sharon.gin@lacity.org> To: Etta Armstrong <etta.armstrong@lacity.org> Tue. Mar 17. 2015 at 10:20 AM

- Forwarded message ----

From: Liberman, Meg <meg.liberman@cbs.com>

Date: Mon. Mar 16. 2015 at 2:26 PM

Subject: In Support of ICOs: Council File14- 0656

To: "councilmember.huizar@lacity.org" <councilmember.huizar@lacity.org>. "councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org" <councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org>, "councilmember.englander@lacity.org" <councilmember.englander@</p> lacity.org>. "councilmember.wesson@lacity.org" <councilmember.wesson@lacity.org>. "sharon.gin@lacity.org" <sharon.gin@lacity.org>. "ken.bernstein@lacity.org" <ken.bernstein@lacity.org>. "tom.rothmann@lacity.org" <tom.rothmann@lacity.org>. "councilmember.labonge@lacity.org" <councilmember.labonge@lacity.org>. "councilmember.koretz@lacity.org" <councilmember.koretz@lacity.org>. "Tanner.Blackman@lacity.org" <Tanner.Blackman@lacity.org>

Cc: "renee.weitzer@lacity.org" <renee.weitzer@lacity.org>. "shawn,bayliss@lacity.org"

<shawn.bayliss@lacity.org>. "councilmember.krekorian@lacity.org" <councilmember.krekorian@lacity.org>.

"Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org" < Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org>.

"councilmember.fuentes@lacity.org" <councilmember.fuentes@lacity.org>. "councilmember.parks@lacity.org" <councilmember.parks@lacity.org>. "Councilmember.Price@lacity.org" <Councilmember.Price@lacity.org>. "councilmember.bonin@lacity.org" <councilmember.bonin@lacity.org>. "councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org" <councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org>, "Councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org" <Councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org</p> lacity.org>, "mayor.garcetti@lacity.org" <mayor.garcetti@lacity.org>, "erick.lopez@lacity.org"

<erick.lopez@lacity.org>. "Michael.logrande@lacity.org" <Michael.logrande@lacity.org>

Hello.

On March 17th . PLUM will be considering ICOs written to halt, for up to 2 years, the building of McMansions in many of Los Angeles' historic neighborhoods. I own a home in one of those neighborhoods - La Brea Hancock and I wholeheartedly support the ICOs.

Developers lecture us about their property rights, but what they really mean is their right to pick somebody else's pocket: Realtors estimate that houses next door to McMansions lose at least \$50,000 to \$100,000 in value,

Though property rights are a cherished value, they are not absolute. People living on city lots are not allowed to keep horses. They're not allowed to hold raucous all-night parties. And they should not be allowed to build houses that violate the scale and character of the neighborhood, deprive their neighbors of sunlight and privacy. and damage the value of their neighbors' property.

The purpose of zoning is to promote compatible development. Reckless speculation and development degrade neighborhoods, and that's why so many communities across the country have passed ordinances to stop mansionization.

Developers are strip-mining the city, neighborhood by neighborhood. They make a fast buck and move on. Based on city records, the LA Times estimates that last year more than a thousand homes were replaced by McMansions. This kind of reckless development violates the city's goals, policies, and principles.

I urge you, the PLUM committee members, to approve the ICOs and send them to the City Council for quick

enactment. I also urge you to recommend that the BMO Amendments and RFAs are quickly enacted. Focus and commitment are required to save the single family neighborhoods of this city. City Planning should make these zoning ordinances a priority. or the face of Los Angeles will be changed forever. Thank you.

Meg Liberman





### 14-0656

1 message

Sharon Gln <sharon.gin@lacity.org>
To: Etta Armstrong <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>

Tue, Mar 17, 2015 at 10:21 AM

---- Forwarded message ----

From: Nathaniel Bach <natbach@gmail.com>

Date: Mon. Mar 16. 2015 at 2:43 PM

Subject: Implement the ICO - No More Delay

To: councilmember.huizar@lacity.org

Cc: paul.koretz@lacity.org. sharon.gin@lacity.org. ken.bernstein@lacity.org. tom.rothmann@lacity.org

Dear Councilmember Huizar.

I was dismayed to learn that the last vote on the much-needed ICO to protect our wonderful Los Angeles neighborhoods was delayed at last month's PLUM meeting. The issue deserves a vote at tomorrow's hearing—a YES vote—to protect our wonderful neighborhoods and historic Los Angeles homes.

On my block, historic homes are being demolished and already the frame of another new mansion is present, completely dwarfing its neighboring homes. My wife and I are new homeowners, having just purchased a 1930s Spanish style home because we love the character of it and of those similar homes in our neighborhood. Truly, this new construction is not suited to the lot sizes, and the proposed ICO would remedy that while still permitting owners to build homes of more-than-adequate size. The current system is rife with loopholes and "bonuses" that need of be closed. The ICO would do that.

Please bring the ICO to a vote ASAP and vote YES to protect Los Angeles's neighborhoods and families.

Sincerely.

Nathaniel Bach

North Beverly Grove Los Angeles. CA 310-200-0787 natbach@gmail.com





## Fwd: Support of ICO - 14-0656

1 message

Sharon Gin <sharon.gin@lacity.org>
To: Etta Armstrong <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>

Tue. Mar 17. 2015 at 10:21 AM

---- Forwarded message ----

From: Dave Mannix <davemannix@aol.com>

Date: Mon. Mar 16. 2015 at 2:53 PM Subject: Support of ICO - 14-0656

To: Sharon Gin@lacity.org

Please register my support for Council Ordinance - CF 14-0656.

Quick passage by the Planning and Land Use Management Committee at tomorrow's meeting is critical to the neighborhoods in Los Angeles significantly impacted by the negative aspects of the City's BMO.

Thank you.

Dave Mannix

8101 McConnell Ave. LA. Ca. 90045





### 14-0656

1 message

Sharon Gin <sharon.gin@lacity.org>
To: Etta Armstrong <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>

Tue. Mar 17. 2015 at 10:22 AM

Forwarded message

From: Lisa Aronson < Lisa@legaloptiongroup.com>

Date: Mon. Mar 16. 2015 at 3:17 PM

Subject:

To: "sharon.gin@lacity.org" <sharon.gin@lacity.org>. "councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org" <councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org>. "councilmember.krekorian@lacity.org" <councilmember.krekorian@</p> lacity.org>. "councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org" < councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org>. "councilmember.labonge@lacity.org" <councilmember.labonge@lacity.org>. "councilmember.koretz@lacity.org" <councilmember.koretz@lacity.org>. "councilmember.martinez@lacity.org" <councilmember.martinez@</p> lacity.org>. "councilmember.fuentes@lacity.org" < councilmember.fuentes@lacity.org>. "councilmember.parks@lacity.org" <councilmember.parks@lacity.org>, "councilmember.price@lacity.org" <councilmember.price@lacity.org>. "councilmember.wesson@lacity.org" <councilmember.wesson@lacity.org>. "councilmember.bonin@lacity.org" <councilmember.bonin@lacity.org>. "councilmember.englander@lacity.org" <councilmember.englander@lacity.org>, "councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org" <councilmember.ofarrell@</p> lacity.org>. "councilmember.huizar@lacity.org" <councilmember.huizar@lacity.org>. "councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org" <councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org>, "shawn.bayliss@lacity.org" <shawn.bayliss@lacity.org>. "joan.pelico@lacity.org" <joan.pelico@lacity.org>. "etta.armstrong@lacity.org" <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>. "mayor.garcetti@lacity.org" <mayor.garcetti@lacity.org>. "jesus.d.orozco@lacity.org" <jesus.d.orozco@lacity.org>. "noah.muhlstein@lacity.org" <noah.muhlstein@lacity.org>. "tom.rothmann@lacity.org" <tom.rothmann@lacity.org>. "erick.lopez@lacity.org" <erick.lopez@lacity.org>

Ladies and Gentlemen,

I am a constituent in Lower Council District 5 and until just recently. I was unaware that my neighborhood was included in this ICO (Council File No. 14-0656).

This ICO is overreaching, does not necessarily prevent the building of hulky, box-like structures, treats zoning restrictions in neighboring communities differently, will deflate property values, will reduce city revenues, and will drive away investment from our neighborhoods.

Please do not support these changes and urge the City Council to require the PLUM Committee to give ample public notice and hold public hearings so that our neighborhoods can understand the ramifications of the passage of this ICO and provide input on how to ensure responsible development in our communities.

Thank you.

Lisa Aronson



## 14-0656

1 message

Sharon Gin <sharon.gin@lacity.org>
To: Etta Armstrong <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>

Tue. Mar 17. 2015 at 10:22 AM

---- Forwarded message -----

From: Ashley Alexopoulos <ardevlin@gmail.com>

Date: Mon. Mar 16, 2015 at 3:43 PM

Subject: Protect Our Neighborhoods - Implement the ICO Now

To: councilmember.huizar@lacity.org. councilmember.englander@lacity.org. councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org.

councilmember.wesson@lacity.org. paul.koretz@lacity.org

Cc: sharon.gin@lacity.org. ken.bernstein@lacity.org. tom.rothmann@lacity.org. Arturo.Chavez@lacity.org.

John.S.Lee@lacity.org

Protect Our Neighborhoods - Implement the ICO Now

Dear Councilmembers.

I was dismayed to learn that the last vote on the much-needed anti-mansionization ICO to protect our wonderful Los Angeles neighborhoods was delayed at last month's PLUM meeting. The issue deserves a vote at tomorrow's PLUM hearing—a YES vote—to protect our wonderful neighborhoods and historic Los Angeles homes.

Truly, this oversized new construction is not suited to the lot sizes of our historic neighborhoods, and the proposed ICO would remedy this problem while still permitting owners to build homes of more-than-adequate size. The current system is rife with loopholes and "bonuses" that need to be closed. The ICO is a step in the right direction to help protect our neighborhoods.

Please bring the ICO to a vote ASAP and vote YES to protect Los Angeles's neighborhoods and families.

Sincerely.

Ashley Alexopoulos





## Fwd: Request for 300 S. Citrus block re: Council File 14-0656

1 message

Sharon Gin <sharon.gin@lacity.org>
To: Etta Armstrong <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>

Tue. Mar 17. 2015 at 10:23 AM

----- Forwarded message -----From: **Bob Eisele** <bobelisele@ca.rr.com>
Date: Mon. Mar 16. 2015 at 3:49 PM

Subject: Request for 300 S. Citrus block re: Council File 14-0656

To: councilmember.huizar@lacity.org. councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org. councilmember.englander@lacity.org. councilmember.wesson@lacity.org. sharon.gin@lacity.org. ken.bernstein@lacity.org. tom.rothmann@lacity.org. councilmember.labonge@lacity.org. councilmember.koretz@lacity.org. Tanner.Blackman@lacity.org

Cc: renee.weitzer@lacity.org. shawn.bayliss@lacity.org. councilmember.krekorian@lacity.org.

Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org. councilmember.fuentes@lacity.org. councilmember.parks@lacity.org.

Councilmember.Price@lacity.org. councilmember.bonin@lacity.org. councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org.

Councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org. mayor.garcetti@lacity.org. erick.lopez@lacity.org.

Michael.logrande@lacity.org

The 300 block of S. Citrus Ave., Los Angeles 90036, has been historically and geographically part of the La Brea Hancock neighborhood since the mid-1920s. This block is also included in the La Brea Hancock Homeowners Association. In the last re-districting, the 300 block of S. Citrus Ave. was the only block in La Brea Hancock to be carved out of Council District 4 and placed into Council District 5. The reasons for this have never been made completely clear to the residents of the 300 S. Citrus block.

When the ICO boundaries were originally drawn, the 300 S. Citrus block was included in the La Brea Hancock ICO. Subsequently, it has been placed in the South Council District 5 ICO.

Our block, along with the rest of La Brea Hancock, has demonstrated strong support for zoning ordinances to protect us from mansionization. Though two 300 S. Citrus block homeowners lobbied earlier for the change to South CD5's ICO, we want to go on record that among those 300 S. Citrus block homeowners we were able to contact – including the aforementioned who asked for the initial change – there was unanimity regarding their desire to be put back into the La Brea Hancock ICO. We have been informed by CD4 that this request will not be granted at this time. So we want to go on record that, if at all possible in the future, we request that the 300 block of S. Citrus Ave. be switched back to the La Brea Hancock ICO. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Robert Eisele

Block Captain 300 S. Citrus block

Vice-President, La Brea Hancock Homeowners Association

Resident homeowner of

359 S. Citrus Ave.

Los Angeles, CA 90036





# Fwd: Support of ICO - 14-0656

1 message

Sharon Gin <sharon.gin@lacity.org>
To: Etta Armstrong <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>

Tue. Mar 17. 2015 at 10:21 AM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Dave Mannix <davemannix@aol.com>

Date: Mon. Mar 16. 2015 at 2:53 PM Subject: Support of ICO - 14-0656

To: Sharon.Gin@lacity.org

Please register my support for Council Ordinance - CF 14-0656.

Quick passage by the Planning and Land Use Management Committee at tomorrow's meeting is critical to the neighborhoods in Los Angeles significantly impacted by the negative aspects of the City's BMO.

Thank you.

Dave Mannix

8101 McConnell Ave. LA. Ca. 90045





#### 14-0656

1 message

Sharon Gin <sharon.gin@lacity.org>
To: Etta Armstrong <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>

Tue. Mar 17. 2015 at 10:23 AM

— Forwarded message ———

From: Ross Levine <rossmlevine@yahoo.com>

Date: Mon. Mar 16. 2015 at 4:59 PM Subject: McMansionisation Moratorium

To: "John.S.Lee@lacity.org" < John.S.Lee@lacity.org>. "shawn.bayliss@lacity.org"

<shawn.bayliss@lacity.org>. "joan.pelico@lacity.org" <joan.pelico@lacity.org>. "paul.koretz@lacity.org"
<paul.koretz@lacity.org>. "councilmember.wesson@lacity.org" <councilmember.wesson@lacity.org>.

"ken.bernstein@lacity.org" <ken.bernstein@lacity.org>. "tom.rothmann@lacity.org" <tom.rothmann@lacity.org>. "sharon.gin@lacity.org" <sharon.gin@lacity.org" <sharon.gin@lacity.org>. "arturo.chavez@lacity.org" <arturo.chavez@lacity.org>.

"councilmember.englander@lacity.org" <councilmember.englander@lacity.org>. "councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org>

Cc: "mayor.garcetti@lacity.org" <mayor.garcetti@lacity.org>

Dear Members of the Los Angeles City Council.

Please vote tomorrow in support of the moratorium on McMansions in parts of Los Angeles. A freeze is necessary right now to allow time for careful consideration of an ordinance that will allow sensible development without the wholesale destruction of neighborhoods.

Sincerely.

Ross Levine and Roberto Fradera 1155 South Hudson Avenue Los Angeles. CA 90019





## Fwd: Council File 14-0656

1 message

Sharon Gin <sharon.gin@lacity.org>
To: Etta Armstrong <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>

Tue, Mar 17, 2015 at 10:24 AM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Claudia O'Connell <ms.claudiao@gmail.com>

Date: Mon. Mar 16. 2015 at 5:08 PM Subject: Re: Council File 14-0656

To: sharon.gin@lacity.org

Please give priority to Councilman Paul Koretz's proposed BMO amendments and compress the timeframe for enacting strong citywide protection to those of us who live within the areas deeply affected by mansionization.

I live on North Laurel Ave just north of Melrose Ave. our street has been a construction zone for over 4 years. I respect the freedom for homeowners to sell their homes and for developers to create updated residences. But the massive scale takes away: character, sunlight, shade trees and encroaches upon neighbors privacy.

While the developers throw their might around, the people of these neighborhoods have had their quality of life diminished, because tax dollars are to be made and donations by wealthy developers go into councilperson's coffers. Please do what the people want! We can't enact laws on good taste and style, but we can limit the size of these monstrosities that are being erected. I urge you to please enact the ICOs. Revitalize don't supersize! These developers can revitalize an old home and still make a good profit, maybe it will be \$700K instead of \$1.2M. Let's figure out a solution for all parties involved and keep these neighborhoods livable.

Respectfully, Claudia O'Connell 817 N Laurel Ave Los Angeles, CA 90046





## Fwd: ICO Councile File No. 14-0656

1 message

Sharon Gin <sharon.gin@lacity.org>
To: Etta Armstrong <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>

Tue. Mar 17. 2015 at 10:24 AM

From: Shane K <shane.noir@gmail.com>
Date: Mon. Mar 16. 2015 at 5:28 PM
Subject: Re: ICO Councile File No. 14-0656

To:

To Whom It May Concern:

I work in Venice Beach, and until just recently. I was unaware that this neighborhood was included in this ICO (Council File No. 14-0656). This ICO is overreaching, does not necessarily prevent the building of hulky, box-like structures, treats zoning restrictions in neighboring communities differently, will deflate property values, will reduce city revenues, and will drive away investment from our neighborhoods.

I don't support these changes and urge the City Council to require the PLUM Committee to give ample public notice and hold public hearings so that the neighborhoods can understand the ramifications of the passage of this in their communities.

THIS HAS TO BE BROUGHT TO A PUBLIC VOTE!

Sincerely.

Shane King

