



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

Subject: BMO/BHO Amendments, CF no. 14-0656

pmessina98@aol.com <pmessina98@aol.com>

Tue, May 3, 2016 at 10:24 AM

To: david.ryu@lacity.org, paul.koretz@lacity.org, councilmember.wesson@lacity.org, tom.rothman@lacity.org, ken.bernstein@lacity.org, craig.weber@lacity.org, nicholas.maricich@lacity.org, phyllis.nathanson@lacity.org, niall.huffman@lacity.org, councilmember.huizar@lacity.org, councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org, councilmember.englander@lacity.org, councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org, councilmember.fuentes@lacity.org, sharon.dickinson@lacity.org

Dear City Officials.

I am a homeowner in the La Brea Hancock area of Los Angeles at 646 South Citrus Avenue. I have been an active participant in the goal of changing the mansionization laws and am disturbed by the direction things are going.

The first draft of amendments to the mansionization ordinances made a good start. The Planning Department collected more than 600 responses – by almost 4-to-1, people asked for tighter limits on home size. But the latest draft takes a wrong turn.

- We asked for meaningful reform. Instead, the latest draft preserves loopholes that undermined the ordinance in the first place. These include the exemption for attached garage space (even in “the flats”) and excessive allowances for grading and hauling, to name just two.*
- The Council Motion provided the blueprint for a simple, effective fix. Instead, the latest draft borrows elements from Re:Code LA that make the ordinance harder to understand and harder to enforce. These include “encroachment planes” and “side wall articulation.”*

While the process falls further and further behind, mansionization is spoiling neighborhoods all over Los Angeles.

People who feel that developers are calling the shots at City Hall – and there are a lot of them – see this as further proof.

The Planning Department needs to stop mansionization in the simplest, most effective, and timeliest way. That’s what residents and homeowners demand and what the Council Motion calls for. We, in the La Brea Hancock area, along with so many others in Los Angeles have worked tirelessly to bring this important issue to public attention and we will continue to fight to preserve the distinction and value of our neighborhoods for as long as it takes.

It’s time for Los Angeles to put stable communities and neighborhood character ahead of real estate speculation.

Sincerely,

Philip F. Messina



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

BMO/BHO Amendments, CF No. 14-0656

Elisabeth Frank Rosenson <erosenson@yahoo.com>

Tue, May 3, 2016 at 10:19 AM

Reply-To: Elisabeth Frank Rosenson <erosenson@yahoo.com>

To: "councilmember.wesson@lacity.org" <councilmember.wesson@lacity.org>, "vince.bertoni@lacity.org" <vince.bertoni@lacity.org>, "tom.rothmann@lacity.org" <tom.rothmann@lacity.org>, "ken.bernstein@lacity.org" <ken.bernstein@lacity.org>, "craig.weber@lacity.org" <craig.weber@lacity.org>, "nicholas.maricich@lacity.org" <nicholas.maricich@lacity.org>, "phyllis.nathanson@lacity.org" <phyllis.nathanson@lacity.org>, "niall.huffman@lacity.org" <niall.huffman@lacity.org>, "councilmember.huizar@lacity.org" <councilmember.huizar@lacity.org>, "councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org" <councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org>, "councilmember.englander@lacity.org" <councilmember.englander@lacity.org>, "councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org" <councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org>, "councilmember.fuentes@lacity.org" <councilmember.fuentes@lacity.org>, "sharon.dickinson@lacity.org" <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>, "paul.koretz@lacity.org" <paul.koretz@lacity.org>, "shawn.bayliss@lacity.org" <shawn.bayliss@lacity.org>, "philip.bennett@lacity.org" <philip.bennett@lacity.org>

Dear Councilmembers and City Staff,

I'm writing to you as a homeowner in West Los Angeles (Council District 5) regarding the mansionization ordinances that are currently being developed.

The first draft of amendments to the mansionization ordinances made a good start and reflected the overwhelming interest on the part of the community for tighter limits on home size. As a member of the Westwood South of Santa Monica Blvd. HOA, I firmly support the earlier draft revisions.

It's my understanding that the latest draft has been watered down and preserves loopholes that undermined the ordinance. It also uses language that makes the ordinance harder to understand and enforce.

The longer this process takes, the more mansionization is spoiling my neighborhood and others across the city.

I recently received a letter from a realtor that can only be called a scare tactic, trying to encourage homeowners to sell now, ostensibly so we can get maximum value for our property, but really so that this realtor and others can maximize their profits. Meanwhile, those of us who are actually committed to our communities, who bought our homes here to live in them, not speculate on property values, to send our children to local public schools and appreciate our neighborhoods for what they are, will be left with these ugly monstrosities all around us, blocking light, reducing our privacy and truly devaluing our neighborhoods.

The Planning Department needs to stop mansionization in the simplest, most effective, and efficient way. That's what residents and homeowners want and what the Council Motion calls for. It's time for Los Angeles to put stable communities and neighborhood character ahead of real estate speculation.

Sincerely,

Elisabeth Rosenson
1917 Midvale Ave
Los Angeles, CA 90025



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

BMO/BHO Amendments, CF no. 14-0656

Howard Kim <howardkim@hotmail.com>

Tue, May 3, 2016 at 12:03 AM

To: "councilmember.wesson@lacity.org" <councilmember.wesson@lacity.org>, "vince.bertoni@lacity.org" <vince.bertoni@lacity.org>, "tom.rothmann@lacity.org" <tom.rothmann@lacity.org>, "ken.bernstein@lacity.org" <ken.bernstein@lacity.org>, "craig.weber@lacity.org" <craig.weber@lacity.org>, "nicholas.maricich@lacity.org" <nicholas.maricich@lacity.org>, "phyllis.nathanson@lacity.org" <phyllis.nathanson@lacity.org>, "niall.huffman@lacity.org" <niall.huffman@lacity.org>, "councilmember.huizar@lacity.org" <councilmember.huizar@lacity.org>, "councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org" <councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org>, "david.ryu@lacity.org" <david.ryu@lacity.org>, "paul.koretz@lacity.org" <paul.koretz@lacity.org>, "councilmember.englander@lacity.org" <councilmember.englander@lacity.org>, "councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org" <councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org>, "councilmember.fuentes@lacity.org" <councilmember.fuentes@lacity.org>, "sharon.dickinson@lacity.org" <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

The first draft of amendments to the mansionization ordinances made a good start. The Planning Department collected more than 600 responses -- by almost 4-to-1, people asked for tighter limits on home size.

But the latest draft takes a wrong turn.

- We asked for meaningful reform. Instead, the latest draft preserves loopholes that undermined the ordinance in the first place. These include the exemption for attached garage space (even in "the flats") and excessive allowances for grading and hauling, to name just two.

- The Council Motion provided the blueprint for a simple, effective fix. Instead, the latest draft borrows elements from Re:Code LA that make the ordinance harder to understand and harder to enforce. These include "encroachment planes" and "side wall articulation."

While the process falls further and further behind, mansionization is spoiling neighborhoods all over Los Angeles.

People who feel that developers are calling the shots at City Hall – and there are a lot of them – see this as further proof.

The Planning Department needs to stop mansionization in the simplest, most effective, and timeliest way. That's what residents and homeowners demand and what the Council Motion calls for.

It's time for Los Angeles to put stable communities and neighborhood character ahead of real estate speculation.

Thank you for your time and consideration,

Howard Kim

homeowner, La Brea Hancock



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

BMO/BHO Amendments, CF no. 14-0656

Howard Kim <howardhkim@hotmail.com>

Tue, May 3, 2016 at 12:03 AM

To: "councilmember.wesson@lacity.org" <councilmember.wesson@lacity.org>, "vince.bertoni@lacity.org" <vince.bertoni@lacity.org>, "tom.rothmann@lacity.org" <tom.rothmann@lacity.org>, "ken.bernstein@lacity.org" <ken.bernstein@lacity.org>, "craig.weber@lacity.org" <craig.weber@lacity.org>, "nicholas.maricich@lacity.org" <nicholas.maricich@lacity.org>, "phyllis.nathanson@lacity.org" <phyllis.nathanson@lacity.org>, "niall.huffman@lacity.org" <niall.huffman@lacity.org>, "councilmember.huizar@lacity.org" <councilmember.huizar@lacity.org>, "councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org" <councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org>, "david.ryu@lacity.org" <david.ryu@lacity.org>, "paul.koretz@lacity.org" <paul.koretz@lacity.org>, "councilmember.englander@lacity.org" <councilmember.englander@lacity.org>, "councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org" <councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org>, "councilmember.fuentes@lacity.org" <councilmember.fuentes@lacity.org>, "sharon.dickinson@lacity.org" <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

The first draft of amendments to the mansionization ordinances made a good start. The Planning Department collected more than 600 responses -- by almost 4-to-1, people asked for tighter limits on home size.

But the latest draft takes a wrong turn.

- We asked for meaningful reform. Instead, the latest draft preserves loopholes that undermined the ordinance in the first place. These include the exemption for attached garage space (even in "the flats") and excessive allowances for grading and hauling, to name just two.
- The Council Motion provided the blueprint for a simple, effective fix. Instead, the latest draft borrows elements from Re:Code LA that make the ordinance harder to understand and harder to enforce. These include "encroachment planes" and "side wall articulation."

While the process falls further and further behind, mansionization is spoiling neighborhoods all over Los Angeles.

People who feel that developers are calling the shots at City Hall – and there are a lot of them – see this as further proof.

The Planning Department needs to stop mansionization in the simplest, most effective, and timeliest way. That's what residents and homeowners demand and what the Council Motion calls for.

It's time for Los Angeles to put stable communities and neighborhood character ahead of real estate speculation.

Thank you for your time and consideration,

Howard Kim

homeowner, La Brea Hancock



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

BMO/BHO Amendments, CF no. 14-0656

Dori Berlin <doriberlin@aol.com>

Mon, May 2, 2016 at 7:59 PM

To: david.ryu@lacity.org, paul.koretz@lacity.org, councilmember.wesson@lacity.org, tom.rothman@lacity.org, ken.bernstein@lacity.org, craig.weber@lacity.org, nicholas.maricich@lacity.org, phyllis.nathanson@lacity.org, niall.huffman@lacity.org, councilmember.huizar@lacity.org, councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org, councilmember.englander@lacity.org, councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org, councilmember.fuentes@lacity.org, sharon.dickinson@lacity.org

To Whom It May Concern:

Re: BMO/BHO Amendments, CF no. 14-0656

The first draft of amendments to the mansionization ordinances made a good start. The Planning Department collected more than 600 responses – by almost 4-to-1, people asked for tighter limits on home size. But the latest draft takes a wrong turn.

- We asked for meaningful reform. Instead, the latest draft preserves loopholes that undermined the ordinance in the first place. These include the exemption for attached garage space (even in “the flats”) and excessive allowances for grading and hauling, to name just two.
- The Council Motion provided the blueprint for a simple, effective fix. Instead, the latest draft borrows elements from Re:Code LA that make the ordinance harder to understand and harder to enforce. These include “encroachment planes” and “side wall articulation.”

While the process falls further and further behind, mansionization is spoiling neighborhoods all over Los Angeles. People who feel that developers are calling the shots at City Hall – and there are a lot of them – see this as further proof.

The Planning Department needs to stop mansionization in the simplest, most effective, and timeliest way. That’s what residents and homeowners demand and what the Council Motion calls for. It’s time for Los Angeles to put stable communities and neighborhood character ahead of real estate speculation.

Sincerely,

Dori Berlin
412 S. Mansfield Ave.
Los Angeles, CA, 90036



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

BMO/BHO Amendments, CF No. 14-0656

Robson, Karen M. <KRobson@pryorcashman.com>

Mon, May 2, 2016 at 9:10 PM

To: "councilmember.wesson@lacity.org" <councilmember.wesson@lacity.org>, "vince.bertoni@lacity.org" <vince.bertoni@lacity.org>, "tom.rothmann@lacity.org" <tom.rothmann@lacity.org>, "ken.bernstein@lacity.org" <ken.bernstein@lacity.org>, "craig.weber@lacity.org" <craig.weber@lacity.org>, "nicholas.maricich@lacity.org" <nicholas.maricich@lacity.org>, "phyllis.nathanson@lacity.org" <phyllis.nathanson@lacity.org>, "niall.huffman@lacity.org" <niall.huffman@lacity.org>, "councilmember.huizar@lacity.org" <councilmember.huizar@lacity.org>, "councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org" <councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org>, "councilmember.englander@lacity.org" <councilmember.englander@lacity.org>, "councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org" <councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org>, "councilmember.fuentes@lacity.org" <councilmember.fuentes@lacity.org>, "sharon.dickinson@lacity.org" <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>, "paul.koretz@lacity.org" <paul.koretz@lacity.org>

I am a member of the Westwood South of Santa Monica Blvd. community, and I support the first draft of amendments to the mansionization ordinances ---I wanted tighter limits on home size.

The latest draft is going in the wrong direction .

This latest draft doesn't help it just t preserves loopholes that undermined the ordinance in the first place. These include the exemption for attached garage space and excessive allowances for grading and hauling,

Mansionization is ruining neighborhoods all over Los Angeles.

Developers seem to be in charge of City Hall .

I just received a scare letter from developers telling me I had to sell my house before limits are introduced – it was outrageous!

The Planning Department needs to stop mansionization by real limits not this latest draft .

Thanks for your consideration.

Karen Robson

2330 Veteran Avenue

LA CA 90064

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE

This email contains confidential information which may also be legally privileged and which is intended only for the use of the recipient(s) named above. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that forwarding or copying of this email, or the taking of any action in reliance on its contents, may be strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please notify us immediately by reply email and delete this message from your inbox.



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

BMO/BHO Amendments, CF no. 14-0656

CAMERON TAYLOR-BROWN <cameron.taylorbrown@gmail.com>

Mon, May 2, 2016 at 9:08 AM

To: david.ryu@lacity.org, councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org, councilmember.englander@lacity.org, sharon.dickinson@lacity.org, councilmember.fuentes@lacity.org, paul.koretz@lacity.org, tom.rothman@lacity.org, Phyllis.nathanson@lacity.org, Nicholas.maricich@lacity.org, councilmember.wesson@lacity.org, councilmember.huizar@lacity.org, niall.huffman@lacity.org, councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org, ken.bernstein@lacity.org, craig.weber@lacity.org

Subject: BMO/BHO Amendments, CF no. 14-0656

The first draft of amendments to the mansionization ordinances made a good start. The Planning Department collected more than 600 responses -- by almost 4-to-1, people asked for tighter limits on home size. But the latest draft takes a wrong turn.

- We asked for meaningful reform. Instead, the latest draft preserves loopholes that undermined the ordinance in the first place. These include the exemption for attached garage space (even in “the flats”) and excessive allowances for grading and hauling, to name just two.*
- The Council Motion provided the blueprint for a simple, effective fix. Instead, the latest draft borrows elements from Re:Code LA that make the ordinance harder to understand and harder to enforce. These include “encroachment planes” and “side wall articulation.”*

While the process falls further and further behind, mansionization is spoiling neighborhoods all over Los Angeles.

People who feel that developers are calling the shots at City Hall – and there are a lot of them – see this as further proof.

The Planning Department needs to stop mansionization in the simplest, most effective, and timeliest way. That’s what residents and homeowners demand and what the Council Motion calls for.

It’s time for Los Angeles to put stable communities and neighborhood character ahead of real estate speculation.

Sincerely, Cameron Taylor-Brown, 418 South Mansfield Ave, Los Angeles, CA



CAMERON TAYLOR-BROWN



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

Subject: BMO/BHO Amendments, CF no. 14-0656 The first draft of amendments to the mansionization ordinances made a good start. The Planning Department collected more than 600 responses -- by almost 4-to-1, people asked for tighter limits on home size. But the latest draft takes a wrong turn. · We asked for meaningful reform. Instead, the latest draft preserves loopholes that undermined the ordinance in the first place. These include the exemption for attached garage space (even in “the flats”) and excessive allowances for grading and hauling, to name just two. · The Council Motion provided the blueprint for a simple, effective fix. Instead, the latest draft borrows elements from Re:Code LA that make the ordinance harder to understand and harder to enforce. These include “encroachment planes” and “side wall articulation.” While the process falls further and further behind, mansionization is spoiling neighborhoods all over Los Angeles. People who feel that developers are c

sixto sicilia <sixtojsicilia@gmail.com>

Mon, May 2, 2016 at 10:08 AM

To: tom.rothmann@lacity.org, david.ryu@lacity.org, paul.koretz@lacity.org, councilmember.wesson@lacity.org, ken.bernstein@lacity.org, craig.weber@lacity.org, Nicholas.maricich@lacity.org, Phyllis.nathanson@lacity.org, niall.huffman@lacity.org, councilmember.huizar@lacity.org, councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org, councilmember.englander@lacity.org, councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org, councilmember.fuentes@lacity.org, sharon.dickinson@lacity.org

To Whom It May Concern:

Really, so now you want the quaint neighborhoods to be ruined in totality. Do you see how these "projects" of mansionization with ugly cheap modern building is changing the character of quaint 1920's neighborhoods, and even if they weren't modern, they are garish and impose on privacy!

Stop the deliberate greed and non-interest of developers NOT consulting with neighborhood groups, to maintain what people bought in the neighborhood for in the first place. Los Angeles needs to maintain its integrity, a place where tourists and visitors would come to enjoy our heritage. *Like in Europe. We have something to learn from putting people, neighbors before money and greed.*

Subject: BMO/BHO Amendments, CF no. 14-0656

The first draft of amendments to the mansionization ordinances made a good start. The Planning Department collected more than 600 responses -- by almost 4-to-1, people asked for tighter limits on home size.

But the latest draft takes a wrong turn.

- *We asked for meaningful reform. Instead, the latest draft preserves loopholes that undermined the ordinance in the first place. These include the exemption for attached garage space (even in “the flats”) and excessive allowances for grading and hauling, to name just two.*
- *The Council Motion provided the blueprint for a simple, effective fix. Instead, the latest draft borrows elements from Re:Code LA that make the ordinance harder to understand and harder to enforce. These include “encroachment planes” and “side wall articulation.”*

While the process falls further and further behind, mansionization is spoiling neighborhoods all over Los Angeles.

People who feel that developers are calling the shots at City Hall – and there are a lot of them – see this as further proof.

The Planning Department needs to stop mansionization in the simplest, most effective, and timeliest way. That’s what residents and homeowners demand and what the Council Motion calls for.

It’s time for Los Angeles to put stable communities and neighborhood character ahead of real estate speculation.

*Sixto J Sicilia
365 South Mansfield Dr.
LA., 36*



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

BMO/BHO Amendments, CF no. 14-0656

Mickey Maxwell <micmaxwell@gmail.com>

Mon, May 2, 2016 at 11:04 AM

To: vince.bertoni@lacity.org

Bcc: sharon.dickinson@lacity.org

To all involved in making decisions about our home life,

Why when almost 4 to 1 people asked for tighter limits on home size, does the most recent version rollback the amendments that were on the first draft? Why are our tax dollars being wasted, when the majority of people laid out what we want, to preserve our home life, i.e. Our privacy, our sunlight, etc.

- We asked for meaningful reform. Instead, the latest draft preserves loopholes that undermined the ordinance in the first place. These include the exemption for attached garage space (even in "the flats") and excessive allowances for grading and hauling, to name just two.
- The Council Motion provided the blueprint for a simple, effective fix. Instead, the latest draft borrows elements from Re:Code LA that make the ordinance harder to understand and harder to enforce. These include "encroachment planes" and "side wall articulation."

While the process falls further and further behind, mansionization is spoiling neighborhoods and residents' (voters) home lives all over Los Angeles.

Building code violations are still happening all the time, and lame duck inspectors, who we believe are taking bribes, are closing out complaints within a day or two and recording them as "No Violation."

People who feel that developers are calling the shots at City Hall – and there are a lot of them – see this as further proof.

The Planning Department needs to stop mansionization in the simplest, most effective, and timeliest way. That's what residents and homeowners want, asked for and what the Council Motion calls for.

Remove the red tape and do what we asked for, NOW, before they build one these intrusive monsters up against your property line!!!

Michael Maxwell



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

BMO/BHO Amendments, CF no. 14-0656

dhspitzer <ds@upperdiamond.com>
To: sharon.dickinson@lacity.org

Mon, May 2, 2016 at 12:36 PM

Dear Councilmember

I am a Block Captain, an active volunteer in the political process and a donor. I am a home owner and a small business owner with employees and contractors who live in LA who all share my concerns about a live-able LA. Mansionization for me and most of my neighbors is the #1 issue by far and we think that it is part of a developer led trend taking LA in the wrong direction. Please help us save our neighborhoods which are the life blood of our city.

The first draft of amendments to the mansionization ordinances made a good start. The Planning Department collected more than 600 responses -- by almost 4-to-1, people asked for tighter limits on home size. But the latest draft takes a wrong turn.

We asked for meaningful reform. Instead, the latest draft preserves loopholes that undermined the ordinance in the first place. These include the exemption for attached garage space (even in "the flats") and excessive allowances for grading and hauling, to name just two.

The Council Motion provided the blueprint for a simple, effective fix. Instead, the latest draft borrows elements from Re:Code LA that make the ordinance harder to understand and harder to enforce. These include "encroachment planes" and "side wall articulation."

While the process falls further and further behind, mansionization is spoiling neighborhoods all over Los Angeles.

People who feel that developers are calling the shots at City Hall – and there are a lot of them – see this as further proof.

The Planning Department needs to stop mansionization in the simplest, most effective, and timeliest way. That's what residents and homeowners demand and what the Council Motion calls for.

It's time for Los Angeles to put stable communities and neighborhood character ahead of real estate speculation.

Thank you for your time!

David Spitzer
322 South Orange Drive
Dhspitzer@yahoo.com
213-841-9436



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

BMO/BHO Amendments, CF no. 14-0656

Jason Director <jasondirector@aol.com>

Mon, May 2, 2016 at 12:38 PM

To: paul.koretz@lacity.org, councilmember.wesson@lacity.org

Cc: vince.bertoni@lacity.org, tom.rothmann@lacity.org, ken.bernstein@lacity.org, craig.weber@lacity.org, nicholas.maricich@lacity.org, phyllis.nathanson@lacity.org, niall.huffman@lacity.org, councilmember.huizar@lacity.org, councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org, councilmember.englander@lacity.org, councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org, councilmember.fuentes@lacity.org, sharon.dickinson@lacity.org

Hello Councilmember Koretz and others,

The first draft of amendments to the mansionization ordinances made a good start. The Planning Department collected more than 600 responses -- by almost 4-to-1, people asked for tighter limits on home size.

But the latest draft takes a wrong turn.

--We asked for meaningful reform. Instead, the latest draft preserves loopholes that undermined the ordinance in the first place. These include the exemption for attached garage space (even in "the flats") and excessive allowances for grading and hauling, to name just two.

--The Council Motion provided the blueprint for a simple, effective fix. Instead, the latest draft borrows elements from Re:Code LA that make the ordinance harder to understand and harder to enforce. These include "encroachment planes" and "side wall articulation."

While the process falls further and further behind, mansionization is spoiling neighborhoods all over Los Angeles.

People who feel that developers are calling the shots at City Hall -- and there are a lot of them -- see this as further proof.

The Planning Department needs to stop mansionization in the simplest, most effective, and timeliest way. That's what residents and homeowners want and what the Council Motion calls for.

It's time for Los Angeles to put stable communities and neighborhood character ahead of real estate speculation.

Thank you,
Jason Director

homeowner in Beverly Grove



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

Strengthen BMO/BHO Amendments, CF no. 14-0656

Diana Eisele <dgeisele@ca.rr.com>

Mon, May 2, 2016 at 1:36 PM

To: councilmember.wesson@lacity.org, vince.bertoni@lacity.org, tom.rothmann@lacity.org, ken.bernstein@lacity.org, craig.weber@lacity.org, nicholas.maricich@lacity.org, phyllis.nathanson@lacity.org, niall.huffman@lacity.org, councilmember.huizar@lacity.org, councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org, david.ryu@lacity.org, paul.koretz@lacity.org, councilmember.englander@lacity.org, councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org, councilmember.fuentes@lacity.org, sharon.dickinson@lacity.org

The first draft of amendments to the mansionization ordinances made a good start. The Planning Department collected more than 600 responses – by almost 4-to-1, people asked for tighter limits on home size.

But the latest draft takes a wrong turn.

- ***We asked for meaningful reform. Instead, the latest draft preserves loopholes that undermined the ordinance in the first place. These include the exemption for attached garage space (even in “the flats”) and excessive allowances for grading and hauling, to name just two.***
- ***The Council Motion provided the blueprint for a simple, effective fix. Instead, the latest draft borrows elements from Re:Code LA that make the ordinance harder to understand and harder to enforce. These include “encroachment planes” and “side wall articulation.”***

While the process falls further and further behind, mansionization is spoiling neighborhoods all over Los Angeles.

People who feel that developers are calling the shots at City Hall – and there are a lot of them – see this as further proof.

The big lie that developers and other real estate speculators are telling, is that somehow mansionization addresses the problem of affordable housing in Los Angeles. Nothing could be further from the truth.

The Planning Department needs to stop mansionization in the simplest, most effective, and timeliest way. That’s what residents and homeowners demand and what the Council Motion calls for.

It’s time for Los Angeles to put stable communities and neighborhood character ahead of real estate speculation. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Diana Eisele

homeowner, La Brea Hancock, Los Angeles



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

No Mansionization of Our Valley Neighborhoods (or any neighborhoods)! BMO/BHO Amendments, CF no. 14-0656

O'Connells <poconnellhome@sbcglobal.net>

Sun, May 1, 2016 at 7:30 PM

Reply-To: O'Connells <poconnellhome@sbcglobal.net>

To: "councilmember.wesson@lacity.org" <councilmember.wesson@lacity.org>, Councilwoman Nury Martinez <councilmember.martinez@lacity.org>, "vince.bertoni@lacity.org" <vince.bertoni@lacity.org>, "tom.rothmann@lacity.org" <tom.rothmann@lacity.org>, "ken.bernstein@lacity.org" <ken.bernstein@lacity.org>, "craig.weber@lacity.org" <craig.weber@lacity.org>, "nicholas.maricich@lacity.org" <nicholas.maricich@lacity.org>, "phyllis.nathanson@lacity.org" <phyllis.nathanson@lacity.org>, "niall.huffman@lacity.org" <niall.huffman@lacity.org>, "councilmember.huizar@lacity.org" <councilmember.huizar@lacity.org>, "councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org" <councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org>, "councilmember.englander@lacity.org" <councilmember.englander@lacity.org>, "councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org" <councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org>, "councilmember.fuentes@lacity.org" <councilmember.fuentes@lacity.org>, "sharon.dickinson@lacity.org" <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

The first draft of amendments to the mansionization ordinances made a good start. The Planning Department collected more than 600 responses -- by almost 4-to-1, people asked for tighter limits on home size.

But the latest draft takes a wrong turn.

- We asked for meaningful reform. Instead, the latest draft preserves loopholes that undermined the ordinance in the first place. These include the exemption for attached garage space (even in "the flats") and excessive allowances for grading and hauling, to name just two.
- The Council Motion provided the blueprint for a simple, effective fix. Instead, the latest draft borrows elements from Re:Code LA that make the ordinance harder to understand and harder to enforce. These include "encroachment planes" and "side wall articulation."

While the process falls further and further behind, mansionization is spoiling neighborhoods all over Los Angeles.

People who feel that developers are calling the shots at City Hall -- and there are a lot of them -- see this as further proof.

The Planning Department needs to stop mansionization in the simplest, most effective, and timeliest way. That's what residents and homeowners want and what the Council Motion calls for.

It's time for Los Angeles to put stable communities and neighborhood character ahead of real estate speculation.

Sincerely,

Anita O'Connell

Van Nuys



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

BMO/BHO Amendments, CF no. 14-0656

Bradley Jewett <bjewett@sandersroberts.com>

Sun, May 1, 2016 at 9:37 PM

To: "david.ryu@lacity.org" <david.ryu@lacity.org>, "paul.koretz@lacity.org" <paul.koretz@lacity.org>, "councilmember.wesson@lacity.org" <councilmember.wesson@lacity.org>, "ken.bernstein@lacity.org" <ken.bernstein@lacity.org>, "craig.weber@lacity.org" <craig.weber@lacity.org>, "nicholas.maricich@lacity.org" <nicholas.maricich@lacity.org>, "phyllis.nathanson@lacity.org" <phyllis.nathanson@lacity.org>, "niall.huffman@lacity.org" <niall.huffman@lacity.org>, "councilmember.huizar@lacity.org" <councilmember.huizar@lacity.org>, "councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org" <councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org>, "councilmember.englander@lacity.org" <councilmember.englander@lacity.org>, "councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org" <councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org>, "councilmember.fuentes@lacity.org" <councilmember.fuentes@lacity.org>, "sharon.dickinson@lacity.org" <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>, "vince.bertoni@lacity.org" <vince.bertoni@lacity.org>, "tom.rothmann@lacity.org" <tom.rothmann@lacity.org>

City Councilmembers, Planning Department, et al.,

The first draft of amendments to the mansionization ordinances made a good start. The Planning Department collected more than 600 responses -- by almost 4-to-1, people asked for tighter limits on home size. But the latest draft takes a wrong turn.

- *We asked for meaningful reform. Instead, the latest draft preserves loopholes that undermined the ordinance in the first place. These include the exemption for attached garage space (even in “the flats”) and excessive allowances for grading and hauling, to name just two.*
- *The Council Motion provided the blueprint for a simple, effective fix. Instead, the latest draft borrows elements from Re:Code LA that make the ordinance harder to understand and harder to enforce. These include “encroachment planes” and “side wall articulation.”*

While the process falls further and further behind, mansionization is spoiling neighborhoods all over Los Angeles.

The Planning Department needs to stop mansionization in the simplest, most effective, and timeliest way. That’s what residents and homeowners demand and what the Council Motion calls for.

It’s time for Los Angeles to put stable communities and neighborhood character ahead of real estate speculation.

Thank you,

Bradley E. Jewett
La Brea Hancock HOA VP
600 S. Sycamore Avenue resident



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

BMO/BHO Amendments, CF no. 14-0656

Frank Rosato <frank.rosato@me.com>

Sun, May 1, 2016 at 6:08 PM

To: councilmember.wesson@lacity.org, vince.bertoni@lacity.org, tom.rothmann@lacity.org, ken.bernstein@lacity.org, craig.weber@lacity.org, nicholas.maricich@lacity.org, phyllis.nathanson@lacity.org, niall.huffman@lacity.org, councilmember.huizar@lacity.org, councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org, david.ryu@lacity.org, paul.koretz@lacity.org, councilmember.englander@lacity.org, councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org, councilmember.fuentes@lacity.org, sharon.dickinson@lacity.org

To whom it may concern,

The first draft of amendments to the mansionization ordinances made a good start. The Planning Department collected more than 600 responses -- by almost 4-to-1, people asked for tighter limits on home size.

But the latest draft takes a wrong turn.

- We asked for meaningful reform. Instead, the latest draft preserves loopholes that undermined the ordinance in the first place. These include the exemption for attached garage space (even in "the flats") and excessive allowances for grading and hauling, to name just two.
- The Council Motion provided the blueprint for a simple, effective fix. Instead, the latest draft borrows elements from Re:Code LA that make the ordinance harder to understand and harder to enforce. These include "encroachment planes" and "side wall articulation."

While the process falls further and further behind, mansionization is spoiling neighborhoods all over Los Angeles.

People who feel that developers are calling the shots at City Hall -- and there are a lot of them -- see this as further proof.

The Planning Department needs to stop mansionization in the simplest, most effective, and timeliest way. That's what residents and homeowners demand and what the Council Motion calls for.

It's time for Los Angeles to put stable communities and neighborhood character ahead of real estate speculation.

Thank you,

Frank Rosato
330 S. Mansfield Ave.
Los Angeles, 90036



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

BMO/BHO Amendments, CF no. 14-0656

PB&M <braeniad@ca.rr.com>

Sun, May 1, 2016 at 4:31 PM

To: sharon.dickinson@lacity.org, councilmember.fuentes@lacity.org, councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org, councilmember.englander@lacity.org, paul.koretz@lacity.org, david.ryu@lacity.org, councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org, councilmember.huizar@lacity.org, niall.huffman@lacity.org, phyllis.nathanson@lacity.org, nicholas.maricich@lacity.org, craig.weber@lacity.org, ken.bernstein@lacity.org, tom.rothmann@lacity.org, vince.bertoni@lacity.org, councilmember.wesson@lacity.org

Cc: Phil <braeniad@ca.rr.com>, Robert Eisele <bobeisele@ca.rr.com>

The first draft of amendments to the mansionization ordinances made a good start. The Planning Department collected more than 600 responses -- by almost 4-to-1, people asked for tighter limits on home size.

But the latest draft takes a wrong turn.

- ***We asked for meaningful reform. Instead, the latest draft preserves loopholes that undermined the ordinance in the first place. These include the exemption for attached garage space (even in "the flats") and excessive allowances for grading and hauling, to name just two.***

- ***The Council Motion provided the blueprint for a simple, effective fix. Instead, the latest draft borrows elements from Re:Code LA that make the ordinance harder to understand and harder to enforce. These include "encroachment planes" and "side wall articulation."***

While the process falls further and further behind, mansionization is spoiling neighborhoods all over Los Angeles.

People who feel that developers are calling the shots at City Hall -- and there are a lot of them -- see this as further proof.

The Planning Department needs to stop mansionization in the simplest, most effective, and timeliest way. That's what residents and homeowners demand and what the Council Motion calls for.

It's time for Los Angeles to put stable communities and neighborhood character ahead of real estate speculation.

Phil & Beth Braen

439 S. Citrus Ave.

Los Angeles 90036-3536



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

BMO/BHO Amendments, CF no. 14-0656

Jeff Marsh <jeffmarsh5@ca.rr.com>

Sun, May 1, 2016 at 4:45 PM

To: councilmember.wesson@lacity.org, vince.bertoni@lacity.org, tom.rothmann@lacity.org, ken.bernstein@lacity.org, craig.weber@lacity.org, nicholas.maricich@lacity.org, phyllis.nathanson@lacity.org, niall.huffman@lacity.org, councilmember.huizar@lacity.org, councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org, councilmember.englander@lacity.org, councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org, councilmember.fuentes@lacity.org, sharon.dickinson@lacity.org, paul.koretz@lacity.org

Your Honorable Council Member,

The first draft of amendments to the mansionization ordinances made a good start. The Planning Department collected more than 600 responses -- *by almost 4-to-1, people asked for tighter limits on home size.*

But the latest draft takes a wrong turn.

- We asked for meaningful reform. Instead, the latest draft preserves loopholes that undermined the ordinance in the first place. These include the exemption for attached garage space (even in “the flats”) and excessive allowances for grading and hauling, to name just two.
- The Council Motion provided the blueprint for a simple, effective fix. Instead, the latest draft borrows elements from Re:Code LA that make the ordinance harder to understand and harder to enforce. These include “encroachment planes” and “side wall articulation.”

While the process falls further and further behind, mansionization is spoiling neighborhoods all over Los Angeles.

People who feel that developers are calling the shots at City Hall – and there are a lot of them – see this as further proof.

The Planning Department needs to stop mansionization in the simplest, most effective, and timeliest way. That’s what residents and homeowners want and what the Council Motion calls for.

It’s time for Los Angeles to put stable communities and neighborhood character ahead of real estate speculation.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey Marsh
CD5



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

Fwd: BMO/BHO AMMENDMENT CF no 14-0656

amyg93@aol.com <amyg93@aol.com>
To: sharon.dickinson@lacity.org

Sun, May 1, 2016 at 3:17 PM

To who it may concern:

As an owner of residential real estate, I am appalled the the continual tear down of every historic property to be replaced with cheap, slab luxury apartments.
so are all my tenants who are young professions, who vote.

We are angry at the tear down of homes that are out of sync with the neighborhood and are unaffordable for folks the want and need to live here. .

I am disgusted with the continual delays and procedural dodge, seeming like something is being done, and the new overviews have the same loop holes as the old.

Seems like the developer's are in control, too bad they don't vote, and we do

Thanks for listening

Amy Galaudet and family

Amy Galaudet
Artist, Poet, Activist
www.amygalaudet.com

Take not the most trodden path, make your own path, and leave a trail

"Emerson"



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

Subject: BMO/BHO Amendments, CF no. 14-0656

Julie Kim <jagannon@yahoo.com>

Sun, May 1, 2016 at 10:51 AM

Reply-To: Julie Kim <jagannon@yahoo.com>

To: "councilmember.huizar@lacity.org" <councilmember.huizar@lacity.org>, "councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org" <councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org>, "councilmember.englander@lacity.org" <councilmember.englander@lacity.org>, "councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org" <councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org>, "councilmember.fuentes@lacity.org" <councilmember.fuentes@lacity.org>, "sharon.dickinson@lacity.org" <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

The first draft of amendments to the mansionization ordinances made a good start. The Planning Department collected more than 600 responses -- by almost 4-to-1, people asked for tighter limits on home size.

But the latest draft takes a wrong turn.

- We asked for meaningful reform. Instead, the latest draft preserves loopholes that undermined the ordinance in the first place. These include the exemption for attached garage space (even in “the flats”) and excessive allowances for grading and hauling, to name just two.***
- The Council Motion provided the blueprint for a simple, effective fix. Instead, the latest draft borrows elements from Re:Code LA that make the ordinance harder to understand and harder to enforce. These include “encroachment planes” and “side wall articulation.”***

While the process falls further and further behind, mansionization is spoiling neighborhoods all over Los Angeles.

People who feel that developers are calling the shots at City Hall – and there are a lot of them – see this as further proof.

The Planning Department needs to stop mansionization in the simplest, most effective, and timeliest way. That’s what residents and homeowners demand and what the Council Motion calls for.

It’s time for Los Angeles to put stable communities and neighborhood character ahead of real estate speculation.

Julie Kim

403 S. Orange Dr

323 617 6184



BMO/BHO Amendments, CF no. 14-0656

Simon Toparovsky <simon@simontoparovsky.com>

Sat, Apr 30, 2016 at 4:26 PM

To: "councilmember.wesson@lacity.org" <councilmember.wesson@lacity.org>, "paul.koretz@lacity.org" <paul.koretz@lacity.org>

Cc: "tom.rothman@lacity.org" <tom.rothman@lacity.org>, "ken.bernstein@lacity.org" <ken.bernstein@lacity.org>, "craig.weber@lacity.org" <craig.weber@lacity.org>, "nicholas.maricich@lacity.org" <nicholas.maricich@lacity.org>, "phyllis.nathanson@lacity.org" <phyllis.nathanson@lacity.org>, "niall.huffman@lacity.org" <niall.huffman@lacity.org>, "councilmember.huizar@lacity.org" <councilmember.huizar@lacity.org>, "councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org" <councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org>, "councilmember.englander@lacity.org" <councilmember.englander@lacity.org>, "councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org" <councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org>, "councilmember.fuentes@lacity.org" <councilmember.fuentes@lacity.org>, "sharon.dickinson@lacity.org" <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

The first draft of amendments to the mansionization ordinances made a good start. The Planning Department collected more than 600 responses -- *by almost 4-to-1, people asked for tighter limits on home size.*

But the latest draft takes a wrong turn.

- We asked for meaningful reform. Instead, the latest draft preserves loopholes that undermined the ordinance in the first place. These include the exemption for attached garage space (even in “the flats”) and excessive allowances for grading and hauling, to name just two.
- The Council Motion provided the blueprint for a simple, effective fix. Instead, the latest draft borrows elements from Re:Code LA that make the ordinance harder to understand and harder to enforce. These include “encroachment planes” and “side wall articulation.”

While the process falls further and further behind, **mansionization is spoiling neighborhoods all over Los Angeles.**

People who feel that developers are calling the shots at City Hall – and there are a lot of them – see this as further proof.

The Planning Department needs to stop mansionization in the simplest, most effective, and timeliest way. That’s what residents and homeowners demand and what the Council Motion calls for.

It’s time for Los Angeles to put stable communities and neighborhood character ahead of real estate speculation.

Simon Toparovsky
Crestview



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

BMO/BHO Amendments, CF no. 14-0656

Felicity Palmer <fjpalmer@gmail.com>

Sat, Apr 30, 2016 at 7:56 PM

To: paul.koretz@lacity.org, "councilmember.wesson@lacity.org" <councilmember.wesson@lacity.org>

Cc: tom.rothman@lacity.org, ken.bernstein@lacity.org, craig.weber@lacity.org, nicholas.maricich@lacity.org, phyllis.nathanson@lacity.org, niall.huffman@lacity.org, councilmember.huizar@lacity.org, councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org, councilmember.englander@lacity.org, councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org, councilmember.fuentes@lacity.org, sharon.dickinson@lacity.org

Dear Councilmember Koretz, Councilmember Wesson, and members of the Planning Department and the Planning and Land Use Management Committee,

The first draft of amendments to the mansionization ordinances made a good start. The Planning Department collected more than 600 responses – *by almost 4-to-1, people asked for tighter limits on home size.*

But the latest draft takes a wrong turn.

- We asked for meaningful reform. Instead, the latest draft preserves loopholes that undermined the ordinance in the first place. These include the exemption for attached garage space (even in “the flats”) and excessive allowances for grading and hauling, to name just two.
- The Council Motion provided the blueprint for a simple, effective fix. Instead, the latest draft borrows elements from Re:Code LA that make the ordinance harder to understand and harder to enforce. These include “encroachment planes” and “side wall articulation.”

While the process falls further and further behind, mansionization is spoiling neighborhoods all over Los Angeles.

People who feel that developers are calling the shots at City Hall – and there are a lot of them – see this as further proof.

The Planning Department needs to stop mansionization in the simplest, most effective, and timeliest way. That’s what residents and homeowners demand and what the Council Motion calls for.

It’s time for Los Angeles to put stable communities and neighborhood character ahead of real estate speculation.

Sincerely,

Felicity Palmer & Michael Goldenberg

6437 Drexel Ave, Los Angeles, CA 90048



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

BMO/BHO Amendments, CF no. 14-0656

Bob Eisele <bobeisele@ca.rr.com>

Sat, Apr 30, 2016 at 11:01 PM

To: david.ryu@lacity.org, paul.koretz@lacity.org, councilmember.wesson@lacity.org, tom.rothman@lacity.org, ken.bernstein@lacity.org, craig.weber@lacity.org, nicholas.maricich@lacity.org, phyllis.nathanson@lacity.org, niall.huffman@lacity.org, councilmember.huizar@lacity.org, councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org, councilmember.englander@lacity.org, councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org, councilmember.fuentes@lacity.org, sharon.dickinson@lacity.org

The first draft of amendments to the mansionization ordinances made a good start. The Planning Department collected more than 600 responses -- by almost 4-to-1, people asked for tighter limits on home size.

But the latest draft takes a wrong turn.

- *We asked for meaningful reform. Instead, the latest draft preserves loopholes that undermined the ordinance in the first place. These include the exemption for attached garage space (even in "the flats") and excessive allowances for grading and hauling, to name just two.*
- *The Council Motion provided the blueprint for a simple, effective fix. Instead, the latest draft borrows elements from Re:Code LA that make the ordinance harder to understand and harder to enforce. These include "encroachment planes" and "side wall articulation."*

While the process falls further and further behind, mansionization is spoiling neighborhoods all over Los Angeles.

People who feel that developers are calling the shots at City Hall -- and there are a lot of them -- see this as further proof.

And mansionization does NOT address the problem of affordable housing in L.A. It makes the housing stock of our city unattainable for all but the wealthiest citizens.

The Planning Department needs to stop mansionization in the simplest, most effective, and timeliest way. That's what residents and homeowners demand and what the Council Motion calls for.

It's time for Los Angeles to put stable communities and neighborhood character ahead of real estate speculation.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Bob Eisele

Homeowner and Vice-President of La Brea Hancock Homeowners Association