

1 message

Sharon Montrose <sharonmontrose@mac.com>

Mon, May 9, 2016 at 10:04 AM

To: councilmember.wesson@lacity.org, paul.koretz@lacity.org, vince.bertoni@lacity.org, tom.rothmann@lacity.org, ken.bemstein@lacity.org, craig.weber@lacity.org, nicholas.maricich@lacity.org, phyllis.nathanson@lacity.org, niall.huffman@lacity.org, councilmember.huizar@lacity.org, councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org, councilmember.englander@lacity.org, councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org, councilmember.fuentes@lacity.org, sharon.dickinson@lacity.org

Cc: Elizabeth Carlin <elizabeth.carlin@lacity.org>, Jordan Beroukhim <jordan.beroukhim@lacity.org>, faisal.alseri@lacity.org, john.damell@lacity.org

Dear City Council members and Planning Staff,

The first draft of amendments to the BMO/BHO made a good start. By almost 4 to 1, residents' responses supported the meaningful BMO reform outlined in Councilmember Koretz's original motion.

But the latest draft takes a wrong turn by a) preserving loopholes (exemptions and bonuses) that undermined the ordinance in the first place, and b) borrowing elements from re:codeLA that make the ordinance more difficult to understand and enforce.

While the process gets off track and falls behind, mansionization is spoiling neighborhoods all over LA. People who feel that developers are calling the shots at City Hall – and there are a lot of them – see this as further proof.

Councilmember Koretz's motion provided the blueprint for a simple, effective, and reasonable fix that would allow renovations, expansions and new construction, while protecting our treasured neighborhoods from being ravaged by unchecked, short-term real estate speculation and reckless development.

Please, support and champion the intent of Councilmember Koretz's original motion. Ensure that the ordinance does not retain (or create new) harmful loopholes – or get so filled with technobabble that it becomes impossible to understand or enforce.

Your voices carry great weight in this matter. You have a wonderful opportunity to show that you have been listening to stakeholders across the city, and that you care about LA's precious residential neighborhoods. It's time for Los Angeles to put stable, sustainable communities ahead of real estate speculation, political pressure, and the almighty dollar.

Sincerely,

Sharon Montrose Wilshire Vista Council District 10



1 message

#### michelle2112@mac.com <michelle2112@mac.com>

Mon, May 9, 2016 at 10:22 AM

To: councilmember.wesson@lacity.org, paul.koretz@lacity.org, vince.bertoni@lacity.org, tom.rothmann@lacity.org, ken.bernstein@lacity.org, craig.weber@lacity.org, nicholas.maricich@lacity.org, phyllis.nathanson@lacity.org, niall.huffman@lacity.org, councilmember.huizar@lacity.org, councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org, councilmember.englander@lacity.org, councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org, councilmember.fuentes@lacity.org, sharon.dickinson@lacity.org

Cc: Elizabeth Carlin <elizabeth.carlin@lacity.org>, Jordan Beroukhim <jordan.beroukhim@lacity.org>, faisal.alseri@lacity.org, john.darnell@lacity.org

Dear City Council members and Planning Staff,

The first draft of amendments to the BMO/BHO made a good start. By almost 4 to 1, residents' responses supported the meaningful BMO reform outlined in Councilmember Koretz's original motion.

But the latest draft takes a wrong turn by a) preserving loopholes (exemptions and bonuses) that undermined the ordinance in the first place, and b) borrowing elements from re:Code LA that make the ordinance more difficult to understand and enforce.

While the process gets off track and falls behind, mansionization is spoiling neighborhoods all over LA. People who feel that developers are calling the shots at City Hall – and there are a lot of them – see this as further proof.

Councilmember Koretz's motion (and the first draft of proposed amendments) provided the blueprint for a simple, effective, and reasonable fix that would allow renovations, expansions and new construction, while protecting our treasured neighborhoods from being ravaged by unchecked, short-term real estate speculation and reckless development.

Please, support and champion the intent of Councilmember Koretz's original motion. Ensure that the ordinance does not retain (or create new) harmful loopholes – or get so filled with technobabble that it becomes impossible to understand or enforce.

Your voices carry great weight in this matter. You have a wonderful opportunity to show that you have been listening to stakeholders across the city, and that you care about LA's precious residential neighborhoods. It's time for Los Angeles to put stable, sustainable communities ahead of real estate speculation, political pressure, and the almighty dollar.

Sincerely,

Michelle Stern Picfair Village Council District 10



1 message

#### SPENCER STARR < spencerstarr@mac.com>

Mon, May 9, 2016 at 10:42 AM

To: councilmember.wesson@lacity.org, paul.koretz@facity.org, vince.bertoni@facity.org, tom.rothmann@facity.org, ken.bernstein@facity.org, craig.weber@facity.org, nicholas.maricich@facity.org, phyllis.nathanson@facity.org, niall.huffman@facity.org, councilmember.huizar@facity.org, councilmember.harris-dawson@facity.org, councilmember.englander@facity.org, councilmember.cediflo@facity.org, councilmember.fuentes@facity.org, sharon.dickinson@facity.org

Cc: elizabeth.carlin@lacity.org, jordan.beroukhim@lacity.org, faisal.alseri@lacity.org, john.darnell@lacity.org

Dear City Council members and Planning Staff,

The first draft of amendments to the BMO/BHO made a good start. By almost 4 to 1, residents' responses supported the meaningful BMO reform outlined in Councilmember Koretz's original motion.

But the latest draft takes a wrong turn by a) preserving loopholes (exemptions and bonuses) that undermined the ordinance in the first place, and b) borrowing elements from re:Code LA that make the ordinance more difficult to understand and enforce.

While the process gets off track and falls behind, mansionization is spoiling neighborhoods all over LA. People who feel that developers are calling the shots at City Hall – and there are a lot of them – see this as further proof.

Councilmember Koretz's motion (and the first draft of proposed amendments) provided the blueprint for a simple, effective, and reasonable fix that would allow renovations, expansions and new construction, while protecting our treasured neighborhoods from being ravaged by unchecked, short-term real estate speculation and reckless development.

Please, support and champion the intent of Councilmember Koretz's original motion. Ensure that the ordinance does not retain (or create new) harmful loopholes – or get so filled with technobabble that it becomes impossible to understand or enforce.

Your voices carry great weight in this matter. You have a wonderful opportunity to show that you have been listening to stakeholders across the city, and that you care about LA's precious residential neighborhoods. It's time for Los Angeles to put stable, sustainable communities ahead of real estate speculation, political pressure, and the almighty dollar.

Sincerely,

Spencer Starr Wilshire Vista CD 10





1 message

#### Susan Sanford <sanfordsk@att.net>

Mon, May 9, 2016 at 2:24 PM

To: councilmember.wesson@lacity.org, paul.koretz@lacity.org, vince.bertoni@lacity.org, tom.rothmann@lacity.org, ken.bernstein@lacity.org, craig.weber@lacity.org, nicholas.maricich@lacity.org, phyllis.nathanson@lacity.org, niall.huffman@lacity.org, councilmember.huizar@lacity.org, councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org, councilmember.englander@lacity.org, councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org, councilmember.fuentes@lacity.org, sharon.dickinson@lacity.org, stopmansionization@yahoo.com, elizabeth.carlin@lacity.org, jordan.beroukhim@lacity.org, faisal.alseri@lacity.org, john.darnell@lacity.org

Dear City Council members and Planning Staff,

Those of us who have been working tirelessly on this for years cannot believe that the people of this city – again – don't seem to matter. Is it possible that the only people who matter in this town are those chasing the almighty dollar and those who are elected to represent us believe that one developer's viewpoint is worth that of 10,000 stakeholders? The first draft of amendments to the BMO/BHO made a good start. By almost 4 to 1, residents' responses supported the meaningful BMO reform outlined in Councilmember Koretz's original motion.

But the latest draft takes a wrong turn by a) preserving loopholes (exemptions and bonuses) that undermined the ordinance in the first place, and b) borrowing elements from re: Code LA that make the ordinance more difficult to understand and enforce.

While the process gets off track and falls behind, mansionization is spoiling neighborhoods all over LA. People who feel that developers are calling the shots at City Hall – and there are a lot of them – see this as further proof.

Councilmember Koretz's motion (and the first draft of proposed amendments) provided the blueprint for a simple, effective, and reasonable fix that would allow renovations, expansions and new construction, while protecting our treasured neighborhoods from being ravaged by unchecked, short-term real estate speculation and reckless development.

Please, support and champion the intent of Councilmember Koretz's original motion. Ensure that the ordinance does not retain (or create new) harmful loopholes – or get so filled with technobabble that it becomes impossible to understand or enforce.

Your voices carry great weight in this matter. You have a wonderful opportunity to show that you have been listening to stakeholders across the city, and that you care about LA's precious residential neighborhoods. It's time for Los Angeles to put stable, sustainable communities ahead of real estate speculation, political pressure, and the almighty dollar.

Sincerely,

Carthay Square

District 5

Susan K. Sanford

Sales Consultant

CalBRE #01965277

Berkshire Hathaway HomeServices

9696 Wilshire Boulevard, 3rd Floor

Beverly Hills, CA 90212

323.646.2422

sanfordsk@att.net

www.susanksanford.com

http://app.bhhscalifornia.com/ssanford







1 message

Jennifer Meislohn <meisterjj@yahoo.com>

Mon, May 9, 2016 at 2:27 PM

Reply-To: Jennifer Meislohn <meisterij@yahoo.com>

To: "councilmember.wesson@lacity.org" <councilmember.wesson@lacity.org>, "paul.koretz@lacity.org" <paul.koretz@lacity.org>, "vince.bertoni@lacity.org" <vince.bertoni@lacity.org>, "tom.rothmann@lacity.org" <tom.rothmann@lacity.org>, "craig.weber@lacity.org" <ken.bernstein@lacity.org>, "craig.weber@lacity.org" <craig.weber@lacity.org>, "nicholas.maricich@lacity.org" <nicholas.maricich@lacity.org>, "phyllis.nathanson@lacity.org>, "niall.huffman@lacity.org" <niall.huffman@lacity.org>, "councilmember.huizar@lacity.org" <councilmember.huizar@lacity.org>,

"councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org" <councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org>,

"councilmember.englander@lacity.org" <councilmember.englander@lacity.org>, "councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org" <councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org>, "councilmember.fuentes@lacity.org" <councilmember.fuentes@lacity.org>, "sharon.dickinson@lacity.org" <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

Cc: "elizabeth.carlin@lacity.org" <elizabeth.carlin@lacity.org>, "jordan.beroukhim@lacity.org" <jordan.beroukhim@lacity.org>, "faisal.alseri@lacity.org" <faisal.alseri@lacity.org>, "john.darnell@lacity.org>

Dear City Council members and Planning Staff,

The first draft of amendments to the BMO/BHO made a good start but the latest draft takes a wrong turn by a) preserving loopholes (exemptions and bonuses) that undermined the ordinance in the first place, and b) borrowing elements from re:Code LA that make the ordinance more difficult to understand and enforce.

I want to go on record that ATTACHED GARAGES SHOULD COUNT in the overall RFA.

Mansionization is spoiling neighborhoods all over LA. People who feel that developers are calling the shots at City Hall – and there are a lot of them – see this 2nd draft as further proof.

Councilmember Koretz's motion (and the first draft of proposed amendments) provided the blueprint for a simple, effective, and reasonable fix that would allow renovations, expansions and new construction, while protecting our treasured neighborhoods from being ravaged by unchecked, short-term real estate speculation and reckless development.

Please, support and champion the intent of Councilmember Koretz's original motion. Ensure that the ordinance does not retain (or create new) harmful loopholes – or get so filled with technobabble that it becomes impossible to understand or enforce.

Your voices carry great weight in this matter. You have a wonderful opportunity to show that you have been listening to stakeholders across the city, and that you care about LA's precious residential neighborhoods. It's time for Los Angeles to put stable, sustainable communities ahead of real estate speculation, political pressure, and the almighty dollar.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Meislohn PicFair Village 1428 S. Ogden Dr., 90019



# City's Current BMO Proposal Lacks Needed Restrictions to Protect Communities!!

1 message

Nancy Sogoian <cellbroker@sbcglobal.net>

Mon, May 9, 2016 at 2:34 PM

Reply-To: Nancy Sogoian <cellbroker@sbcglobal.net>

To: "vince.bertoni@lacity.org" <vince.bertoni@lacity.org>, "tom.rothmann@lacity.org" <tom.rothmann@lacitv.org>. "ken.bernstein@lacity.org" <ken.bernstein@lacity.org>, "craig.weber@lacity.org" <craig.weber@lacity.org>, "nicholas,maricich@lacity.org" <nicholas,maricich@lacity.org>, "phyllis,nathanson@lacity.org" <phyllis.nathanson@lacity.org>, "niall.huffman@lacity.org" <niall.huffman@lacity.org> Cc: "councilmember.wesson@lacity.org" <councilmember.wesson@lacity.org>, "vince.bertoni@lacity.org" <vince.bertoni@lacity.org>, "tom.rothmann@lacity.org" <tom.rothmann@lacity.org>, "ken.bernstein@lacity.org" <ken.bernstein@lacity.org>, "craig.weber@lacity.org" <craig.weber@lacity.org>, "nicholas.maricich@lacity.org" <nicholas.maricich@lacity.org>, "phyllis.nathanson@lacity.org" <phyllis.nathanson@lacity.org>, "niall.huffman@lacity.org" <niall.huffman@lacity.org>, "councilmember.huizar@lacity.org" <councilmember.huizar@lacity.org>, "councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org" <councilmember.harris-</p> dawson@lacity.org>, "councilmember.englander@lacity.org" <councilmember.englander@lacity.org>, "councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org" <councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org>, "councilmember.fuentes@lacity.org" <councilmember.fuentes@lacity.org>, "sharon.dickinson@lacity.org" <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>, Sarah Dusseault <sarah.dusseault@lacity.org>, Julia Duncan <julia.duncan@lacity.org>, Adeena Bleich <adeena.bleich@lacity.org>

On May 4, Councilmen Ryu and Koretz appropriately requested a .45 FAR and NO bonuses for R1 lots under 7,500 sq. ft. --acceptable parameters.

While I applaud the Councilmen's clear directive to Planning to properly (and without delay) protect our community from mansionization, their request lacks specific parameters for several VITAL restrictions. including height, second-story reduced footprint, and set-back restrictions which are vital to include (see below).

Additionally, one of the most important items not included in their letter to Planning was eliminating the Zoning Administrator's ability to grant 10-20% additional square footage! Not eliminating this in large part defeats the benefits of the City's BMO efforts!

A 28' height restriction, combined with second-and upper story restrictions of 60% footprint of main/ground story, plus elimination of Zoning Administrator's ability to grant additional bonus (along with setback and other items) are the most vitally-required restrictions not included in the City's current BMO proposal.

It's time for Los Angeles to prioritize homeowner and community RIGHTS before developer greed! Please ACT NOW to ensure the BMO includes ALL REQUIRED RESTRICTIONS AND PARAMETERS and help protect L.A. from mansionization and overdevelopment resulting from developer greed and failures of local government.

Thank you!

Nancy Sogoian VOTER, HOMEOWNER, TAXPAYER 14014 Hartsook St. Sherman Oaks, CA 91423