

INTRADEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

BPC #16-0274 4E

RECEIVED

AUG 10 2016

POLICE COMMISSION

August 12, 2016
1.17

REVIEWED

TO: The Honorable Board of Police Commissioners

FROM: Chief of Police


RICHARD M. TEFFINK
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
8/10/16
DATE

SUBJECT: TRANSMITTAL OF THE GRANT APPLICATION AND AWARD FOR THE 2016 OFF-HIGHWAY MOTOR VEHICLE RECREATION GRANT

RECOMMENDED ACTIONS

1. That the Board of Police Commissioners (Board) REVIEW and APPROVE this report.
2. That the Board TRANSMIT the grant application and award for the 2016 Off-Highway Motor Vehicle Recreation (OHMVR) Program, pursuant to Administrative Code Section 14.6(a), to the Mayor, Office of the City Administrative Officer (CAO), Office of the Chief Legislative Analyst, and to the City Clerk for Committee and City Council consideration.
3. That the Board REQUEST the Mayor and City Council to:
 - A. AUTHORIZE the Chief of Police to ACCEPT the grant award of \$54,264 from the State of California, Department of Parks and Recreation for the OHMVR Program for the period of July 7, 2016 through July 6, 2017;
 - B. AUTHORIZE the Chief of Police or his designee to negotiate and execute the Cooperative Agreement for the period of July 7, 2016 through July 6, 2017, for a total of \$54,264, subject to the review of the City Attorney as to form and legality;
 - C. AUTHORIZE the Controller to set up a grant receivable and appropriate \$54,264 to an appropriation account to be determined, in Fund No. 339, Department No. 70, for the receipt and disbursements of OHMVR grant funds;
 - D. AUTHORIZE the Los Angeles Police Department (LAPD) to submit grant reimbursement requests to the grantor and deposit grant receipts in Fund No. 339, Department No. 70;
 - E. AUTHORIZE the LAPD to spend up to the total grant amount of \$54,264 in accordance with the grant award agreement;
 - F. AUTHORIZE the Controller to increase appropriations as needed from Fund No. 339, Department No. 70, appropriation account number to be determined, to Fund No. 100, Department No. 70, account numbers and amounts as follows:

Account No. 001092, Overtime Sworn, \$36,264

- G. AUTHORIZE the LAPD to prepare Controller Instructions for any technical adjustments, subject to the approval of the City Administrative Officer, and AUTHORIZE and INSTRUCT the Controller to implement the instructions.

DISCUSSION


The OHMVR law enforcement project provides financial assistance to local and federal agencies for protection of life and property, including natural and cultural resources related to OHMVR and motorized access to non-motorized recreation.

The LAPD has a highly specialized Off-Road Enforcement Team that enforces the illegal off-highway vehicle activity throughout the City of Los Angeles (City). The Off-Road Unit located at Valley Traffic Division, consisting of two Sergeants and eight Officers, works in partnership with the Los Angeles Fire Department for search and rescue efforts, public notification, and enforcement on Red Flag days. In addition, joint operations will be conducted with the United States Department of Forestry inside the boundaries of the Angeles National Forest to prevent illegal Off-Highway Vehicle (OHV) activities and resource damage.

The LAPD will utilize \$36,264 of the grant award for sworn overtime to enforce the illegal OHV activity throughout the City. The remaining \$18,000 will be allocated to purchase two Dual Spot Motorcycles used to enforce the illegal off-highway vehicle activity throughout the City. The grant award requires a 25 percent in-kind match. The LAPD will satisfy the match utilizing a percentage of the salary for a Police Officer III.

If you have any questions regarding this transmittal, please contact Chief Information Officer Maggie Goodrich, Commanding Officer, Information Technology Bureau, at (213) 486-0370.

Respectfully,


CHARLIE BECK
Chief of Police

BOARD OF
POLICE COMMISSIONERS
Approved *August 16, 2016*
Secretary *Maria Silva*

Attachments

INTRADEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

August 2, 2016
1.17

TO: Chief of Police


FROM: Chief Information Officer, Information Technology Bureau

SUBJECT: TRANSMITTAL OF THE GRANT APPLICATION AND AWARD FOR THE 2016 OFF-HIGHWAY MOTOR VEHICLE RECREATION PROGRAM GRANT

Attached for your review and approval is a transmittal to accept the grant application and award for the 2016 Off-Highway Motor Vehicle Recreation Program. The Los Angeles Police Department (LAPD) has been awarded \$54,264 by the State of California, Department of Parks and Recreation. The grant period begins on July 7, 2016 and ends on July 6, 2017.

The Off-Road Unit at Valley Traffic Division will utilize \$36,264 of the grant award for sworn overtime to enforce the illegal Off-Highway Vehicle activity throughout the City of Los Angeles (City). The remaining \$18,000 will be allocated to purchase two Dual Sport Motorcycles used to enforce the illegal off-highway vehicle activity throughout the City. The grant award requires a 25 percent in-kind match. The LAPD will satisfy the match utilizing a percentage of the salary for a Police Officer III.

If you have any questions, Senior Management Analyst Stella Larracas, Officer in Charge, Grants Section, is available to assist you at (213) 486-0380.



MAGGIE GOODRICH, Chief Information Officer
Commanding Officer
Information Technology Bureau

Attachment

Law Enforcement

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 702732

ITEM 1. Proposed Project

The Los Angeles Police Department (LAPD) has a highly specialized Off-Road Unit (ORU) that prevents the illegal Off Highway Vehicle (OHV) activity throughout the entire City of Los Angeles (469.3 square miles). The ORU currently consists of three motor sergeants and eleven motor officers who are all post certified. All team members have completed a 40 hour Peace Officer Standards and Training (POST) in Off-Road enforcement, and must re-certify quarterly with the Traffic Coordination Section, Motor Training Division. OHV enforcement operations are conducted on a regular basis, throughout the week, weekends and holidays and as complaints arise. With the assistance of this OHV grant award, the ORU has been able to establish its own post certified, off road-dual purpose motorcycle school as well as purchase needed equipment to perform our duties.

The City of Los Angeles has a large amount of protected open space where OHVs are prohibited. We have a constant problem with "garage riders" trespassing on private lands in order to get to these open spaces, thus weekly enforcement is a necessity to combat this ongoing problem. With all the wild fires that have engulfed our City hillsides within the past few years, we have made a high priority combating OHV vehicles that illegally trespass. OHV vehicles have the potential to start fires from lack of spark arrestors, which ultimately can result in loss of life and property.

The ORU is currently funded by the City of Los Angeles general fund account. With current budget constraints the City of Los Angeles is facing, it is becoming very difficult for the ORU to operate and prevent illegal OHV operations on a continuous basis. The 2015 OHV grant would continue to assist the unit by providing funds for enforcement efforts and to purchase equipment. The grant would also allow the ORU to provide education to OHV enthusiasts and the public on legal riding areas.

The LAPD ORU is requesting funding this year for two dual sport motorcycles as they are reaching their final stages of serviceability. These motorcycles will have the capability of traversing through the rugged terrain that the City encompasses.

The ORU is responsible to enforce violations of the California Penal Codes, California Vehicle Codes, California Health and Safety Codes, California Food and Agriculture Codes, California Streets and Highway Codes, California Code of Regulations and the Los Angeles Municipal Codes. The unit also works in partnership with Los Angeles Fire Department for search and rescue efforts, and public notification and enforcement in high fire zones on **Red Flag** days.

In addition, joint operations will be conducted with the Los Angeles national forest shared locations that border up to one another and often times receive the same OHV complaints. These OHV complaints arise as illegal activity often times travel from Los Angeles forest property to the City of Los Angeles property. We work in partnership with Department of Forestry on common complaints and resolutions to combat these complaints. We are also working in partnership with the Department of Water and Power to conduct enforcement surrounding power lines, towers, and water ways that traverse through the hillsides within the City. We have found these remote locations to be attractive to illegal OHV activity and have received several complaints. These hillsides contain the City's communication systems (repeaters) and several other first responders agencies' communication networks which are imperative to our operations. We are also working with the Southern California Gas Company who have gas lines and underground tanks throughout the hills that surround the City, located in remote locations which are also attractive to illegal OHV activity. Both of these utility companies are very important and vital resources for our Southern California community. Illegal OHV activity occurring in these remote locations are of great concern for homeland security and also pose a threat and potential to start wild fires as seen in the past.

In working with the community, the ORU participates in several community gatherings such as fairs, car shows sponsored by LAPD, and school career days throughout the entire City of Los Angeles. At these events we distribute educational

materials to enhance community awareness and public safety with regard to the operation of off-highway vehicles. In addition, we direct the public on legal riding locations throughout the County of Los Angeles to safely enjoy OHV activity. The ORU continues to be a positive resource for the Los Angeles Police Department.

ITEM 2. Project Coverage

The area patrolled by the ORU is unique; there are many hills and large open parks that are within the City of Los Angeles and the ongoing development of new construction continues on the surrounding borders of the City. Unfortunately, these areas are restricted zones and are designated as a high fire danger zones or land that is privately owned or closed public lands. Vehicle's trespassing on private and public lands remains a constant problem and a large source of citizen complaints are generated. The terrain that surrounds the city and large open parks are attractive to OHV enthusiasts, and therefore a large number of "garage riders" exist. These "garage riders" illegally ride their OHV's from their homes, down city streets and onto private and public lands, or the parks which are closed to motor vehicles. The ORU patrols these areas and routinely responds to calls for trespassing.

Griffith Park is within the City of Los Angeles and it's the largest municipal park with urban wilderness within the entire United States. This park has over 4,210 acres and has many trails and fire roads which are attractive to "garage riders". Another unique area in which the ORU patrols is Hansen Dam Park. It's within the city limits and borders up to the Los Angeles national forest. Hansen Dam Park is a flood control basin for the city and it is over 1,437 acres. There are numerous trails and large open spaces throughout the park. The ORU responds to numerous citizen complaints at both parks and conducts routine patrols at both these locations. The unit works in partnership with the Los Angeles forestry combating mutual complaints.

In addition, enforcement is conducted surrounding power lines, towers, and water ways that traverse through the hillsides within the City (469.3 square miles). These hillsides contain the City's communication systems (repeaters) for our emergency broadcasting system. We also work with Southern California Gas Company who have gas lines and underground tanks throughout the hills that surround the City. These important resources provide natural gas to the entire Southern California region. Both of these utility companies are very important to our Southern California region, homeland security, and also from the threat of fire as a cause of illegal off road activity.

ITEM 3. Describe the frequency of the patrols

The ORU will provide OHV enforcement patrols during the week, weekends and holidays year round. This region is seldom impacted by inclement weather; therefore OHV patrols are needed year round. Enforcement operations will typically be conducted four times a month during daylight hours, however the hours and days of the week will vary slightly so as not to develop a pattern. In addition to the dedicated OHV patrol operations, our regular officers will continue to enforce OHV violations when they are able to safely make contact.

ITEM 4. Deployment of Personnel

The ORU currently has three motor sergeants and eleven motor officers assigned to the unit. The unit has six off road motorcycles, one Ford, F-250 pick-up truck, one Can-AM side by side, a 22' trailer and a helicopter for aerial support upon request.

On a typical ten hour day (OHV enforcement shift), the ORU deploys one sergeant, five officers on dual purpose motorcycles, and one officer driving a 4x4 vehicle. On each operation the motorcycles will traverse approximately 80-100 miles of fire roads, trails, and other areas frequented by off-road enthusiasts.

District and County Information

A. California State Senate Districts

Select one or more of the California State Senate Districts where the proposed project activities will occur. Copy and Paste the URL (http://www.legislature.ca.gov/legislators_and_districts/districts/districts.html) in your browser to

determine the State Senate district(s).

- | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> State Senate 01 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Senate 02 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Senate 03 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Senate 04 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Senate 05 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> State Senate 06 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Senate 07 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Senate 08 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Senate 09 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Senate 10 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> State Senate 11 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Senate 12 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Senate 13 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Senate 14 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Senate 15 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> State Senate 16 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> State Senate 17 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Senate 18 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Senate 19 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> State Senate 20 |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> State Senate 21 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> State Senate 22 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> State Senate 23 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> State Senate 24 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> State Senate 25 |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> State Senate 26 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> State Senate 27 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> State Senate 28 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> State Senate 29 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> State Senate 30 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> State Senate 31 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Senate 32 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Senate 33 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Senate 34 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Senate 35 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> State Senate 36 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Senate 37 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Senate 38 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Senate 39 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Senate 40 |

B. California State Assembly Districts

Select one or more of the California State Assembly Districts where the proposed project activities will occur. Copy and Paste the URL (http://www.legislature.ca.gov/legislators_and_districts/districts/districts.html) in your browser to determine the State Assembly district(s).

- | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly 01 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly 02 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly 03 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly 04 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly 05 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly 06 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly 07 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly 08 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly 09 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly 10 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly 11 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly 12 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly 13 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly 14 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly 15 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly 16 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly 17 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly 18 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly 19 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly 20 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly 21 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly 22 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly 23 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly 24 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly 25 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly 26 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly 27 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly 28 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly 29 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly 30 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly 31 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly 32 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly 33 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly 34 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly 35 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly 36 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly 37 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> State Assembly 38 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> State Assembly 39 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> State Assembly 40 |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> State Assembly 41 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> State Assembly 42 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> State Assembly 43 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> State Assembly 44 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> State Assembly 45 |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> State Assembly 46 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> State Assembly 47 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> State Assembly 48 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly 49 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly 50 |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> State Assembly 51 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> State Assembly 52 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> State Assembly 53 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> State Assembly 54 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> State Assembly 55 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly 56 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly 57 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly 58 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly 59 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly 60 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly 61 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly 62 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly 63 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly 64 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly 65 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly 66 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly 67 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly 68 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly 69 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly 70 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly 71 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly 72 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly 73 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly 74 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly 75 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly 76 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly 77 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly 78 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly 79 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly 80 |

C. California Congressional Districts

Select one or more of the California Congressional Districts where the proposed project activities will occur. Copy and Paste the URL (<https://www.govtrack.us/congress/members/CA>) in your browser to determine the Congressional district(s).

- | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 1 | <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 2 | <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 3 | <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 4 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 5 | <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 6 | <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 7 | <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 8 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 9 | <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 10 | <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 11 | <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 12 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 13 | <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 14 | <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 15 | <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 16 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 17 | <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 18 | <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 19 | <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 20 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 21 | <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 22 | <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 23 | <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 24 |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 25 | <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 26 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 27 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 28 |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 29 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 30 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 31 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 32 |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 33 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 34 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 35 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 36 |

- | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 37 | <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 38 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 39 | <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 40 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 41 | <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 42 | <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 43 | <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 44 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 45 | <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 46 | <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 47 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 48 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 49 | <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 50 | <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 51 | <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 52 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 53 | | | |

D. County

Select one or more of the California Counties where the proposed project activities will occur.

- | | | | | | |
|---|--|--------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Alameda | <input type="checkbox"/> Alpine | <input type="checkbox"/> Amador | <input type="checkbox"/> Butte | <input type="checkbox"/> Calaveras | <input type="checkbox"/> Colusa |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Contra Costa | <input type="checkbox"/> Del Norte | <input type="checkbox"/> El Dorado | <input type="checkbox"/> Fresno | <input type="checkbox"/> Glenn | <input type="checkbox"/> Humboldt |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Imperial | <input type="checkbox"/> Inyo | <input type="checkbox"/> Kern | <input type="checkbox"/> Kings | <input type="checkbox"/> Lake | <input type="checkbox"/> Lassen |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Los Angeles | <input type="checkbox"/> Madera | <input type="checkbox"/> Marin | <input type="checkbox"/> Mariposa | <input type="checkbox"/> Mendocino | <input type="checkbox"/> Merced |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Modoc | <input type="checkbox"/> Mono | <input type="checkbox"/> Monterey | <input type="checkbox"/> Napa | <input type="checkbox"/> Nevada | <input type="checkbox"/> Orange |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Placer | <input type="checkbox"/> Plumas | <input type="checkbox"/> Riverside | <input type="checkbox"/> Sacramento | <input type="checkbox"/> San Benito | <input type="checkbox"/> San Bernardino |
| <input type="checkbox"/> San Diego | <input type="checkbox"/> San Francisco | <input type="checkbox"/> San Joaquin | <input type="checkbox"/> San Luis Obispo | <input type="checkbox"/> San Mateo | <input type="checkbox"/> Santa Barbara |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Santa Clara | <input type="checkbox"/> Santa Cruz | <input type="checkbox"/> Shasta | <input type="checkbox"/> Sierra | <input type="checkbox"/> Siskiyou | <input type="checkbox"/> Solano |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Sonoma | <input type="checkbox"/> Stanislaus | <input type="checkbox"/> Sutter | <input type="checkbox"/> Tehama | <input type="checkbox"/> Trinity | <input type="checkbox"/> Tulare |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Tuolumne | <input type="checkbox"/> Ventura | <input type="checkbox"/> Yolo | <input type="checkbox"/> Yuba | | |

LE Certification

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 702732

Law Enforcement - Page 1

1. Identify areas with high priority law enforcement needs because of public safety, cultural resources, and sensitive environmental habitats, including wilderness areas and areas of critical environmental concerns:

Within our project area is vegetation damaged by recent wildfires (Foothill Mountains). These areas have been a continuing problem with OHV's trespassing into the burned closed areas and causing significant damage to the landscape. Re-vegetation in those areas is critical for prevention of mudslides. We continue to receive calls for OHV's trespassing in these sensitive areas.

2. Describe how the proposed Project relates to OHV Recreation and will sustain OHV Recreation, motorized off-highway access to non-motorized recreation, or OHV Opportunities associated with the Project Area:

The requested funds for the project relate to providing funds for enforcement of illegal OHV activity and the prevention of natural habitat.

3. Describe the Applicant's formal or informal cooperation with other law enforcement agencies:

We have an informal agreement with USFS/Los Angeles District and the Santa Clarita Sheriff's Department regarding illegal OHV activity. We are in the process of establishing a Memorandum Of Understanding (MOU) with USFS. We meet with other local agencies to discuss continuing problems and solutions to them.

Law Enforcement - Page 2

4. Does the Applicant recover a portion of the law enforcement costs directly associated with privately sponsored OHV events where sponsors have obtained a local permit? Yes No

Explain:

The Los Angeles Police Department does not and has never recovered any cost's from privately sponsored OHV event's.

5. The Applicant agrees to implement a public education program that includes information on safety programs available in the area and how to report OHV violations? Yes No

6. Describe the Applicant's OHV law enforcement training program including how the training program educates personnel to address OHV safety and natural and cultural resource protection:

All of the motor officers assigned to the ORU are currently certified by Post and continue to attend re-certification annually. The annual training consists of 40 hrs enhancing our motorcycle skills, which include OHV operations and familiarization of the "Tread Lightly" principals. We also conduct training on new laws pertaining to the vehicle code which also include any new OHV related laws. All officers are trained to recognize, identify any culturally sensitive areas and apply the "Tread Lightly" principals and to teach any citizens on them as well.

Law Enforcement - Page 3

7. Is the proposed project in accordance with local or federal plans and the OHMVR Division Strategic Plan? Yes No

8. LOCAL AGENCIES ONLY - Describe the Applicant's policies and/or agreements regarding enforcement on federal land:

We have an informal verbal agreement with USFS/Los Angeles District and the Santa Clarita Sheriff's Department regarding illegal OHV activity. We are in the process of establishing a Memorandum Of Understanding (MOU) with USFS. We have meetings with other agencies to discuss continuing problems and solutions.

9. COUNTIES ONLY - Describe how the OHV in-lieu of tax funds are being used and whether the use of these fees complements the Applicant's project:

Law Enforcement - Page 4

10. APPLICANTS WHO MANAGE OHV RECREATION FACILITIES – Describe how your organization is meeting its operation and maintenance needs:

N/A

11. The Applicant agrees to enforce the registration of OHVs and the other provision of Division 16.5 commencing with Section 38000 of the vehicle code and to enforce other applicable laws regarding the operation of OHVs? Yes No

Project Cost Estimate

| | | | |
|-----------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------|
| FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: | | Version # _____ | APP # 702732 |
| APPLICANT NAME : | Los Angeles Police Department / Valley Traffic Division, Off Road Unit | | |
| PROJECT TITLE : | Law Enforcement | PROJECT NUMBER (Division use only) : | G15-03-66-L01 |
| PROJECT TYPE : | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Law Enforcement <input type="checkbox"/> Restoration <input type="checkbox"/> Education & Safety <input type="checkbox"/> Acquisition <input type="checkbox"/> Development <input type="checkbox"/> Ground Operations <input type="checkbox"/> Planning | | |
| PROJECT DESCRIPTION : | <p>The Los Angeles Police Department (LAPD) has a highly specialized Off-Road Unit (ORU) that prevents the illegal Off Highway Vehicle (OHV) activity throughout the entire City of Los Angeles (469.3 square miles). The ORU currently consists of three motor sergeants and eleven motor officers who are all post certified. All team members have completed a 40 hour Peace Officer Standards and Training (POST) in Off-Road enforcement, and must re-certify quarterly with the Traffic Coordination Section, Motor Training Division. OHV enforcement operations are conducted on a regular basis, throughout the week, weekends and holidays and as complaints arise. With the assistance of this OHV grant award, the ORU has been able to establish its own post certified, off road-dual purpose motorcycle school as well as purchase needed equipment to perform our duties.</p> <p>The City of Los Angeles has a large amount of protected open space where OHVs are prohibited. We have a constant problem with "garage riders" trespassing on private lands in order to get to these open spaces. Thus weekly enforcement is a necessity to combat this ongoing problem. With all the wild fires that have engulfed our City hillsides within the past few years, we have made a high priority combating OHV vehicles that illegally trespass. OHV vehicles have the potential to start fires from lack of spark arrestors, which ultimately can result in loss of life and property.</p> <p>The ORU is currently funded by the City of Los Angeles general fund account. With current budget constraints the City of Los Angeles is facing, it is becoming very difficult for the ORU to operate and prevent illegal OHV operations on a continuous basis. The 2015 OHV grant would continue to assist the unit by providing funds for enforcement efforts and to purchase equipment. The grant would also allow the ORU to provide education to OHV enthusiasts and the public on legal riding areas.</p> <p>The LAPD ORU is requesting funding this year for two dual sport motorcycles as they are reaching their final stages of serviceability. These motorcycles will have the capability of traversing through the rugged terrain that the City encompasses.</p> <p>The ORU is responsible to enforce violations of the California Penal Codes, California Vehicle Codes, California Health and Safety Codes, California Food and Agriculture Codes, California Streets and Highway Codes, California Code of Regulations and the Los Angeles Municipal Codes. The unit also works in partnership with Los Angeles Fire Department for search and rescue efforts, and public notification and enforcement in high fire zones on Red Flag days.</p> | | |

Project Cost Estimate for Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program - 2015/2016
 Agency: Los Angeles Police Department / Valley Traffic Division, Off Road Unit
 Application: Law Enforcement

8/2/2016

In addition, joint operations will be conducted with the Los Angeles national forest shared locations that border up to one another and often times receive the same OHV complaints. These OHV complaints arise as illegal activity often times travel from Los Angeles forest property to the City of Los Angeles property. We work in partnership with Department of Forestry on common complaints and resolutions to combat these complaints. We are also working in partnership with the Department of Water and Power to conduct enforcement surrounding power lines, towers, and water ways that traverse through the hillsides within the City. We have found these remote locations to be attractive to illegal OHV activity and have received several complaints. These hillsides contain the City's communication systems (repeaters) and several other first responders agencies' communication networks which are imperative to our operations. We are also working with the Southern California Gas Company who have gas lines and underground tanks throughout the hills that surround the City, located in remote locations which are also attractive to illegal OHV activity. Both of these utility companies are very important and vital resources for our Southern California community. Illegal OHV activity occurring in these remote locations are of great concern for homeland security and also pose a threat and potential to start wild fires as seen in the past.

In working with the community, the ORU participates in several community gatherings such as fairs, car shows sponsored by LAPD, and school career days throughout the entire City of Los Angeles. At these events we distribute educational materials to enhance community awareness and public safety with regard to the operation of off-highway vehicles. In addition, we direct the public on legal riding locations throughout the County of Los Angeles to safely enjoy OHV activity. The ORU continues to be a positive resource for the Los Angeles Police Department.

| Line Item | Qty | Rate | UOM | Grant Req. | Match | Total |
|---|----------|--------|-----|------------|-------|-----------|
| DIRECT EXPENSES | | | | | | |
| Program Expenses | | | | | | |
| 1 Staff | | | | | | |
| 1. Sergeant Notes : This line denotes 90 hours of Sergeant's overtime pay at \$95.98 per hour. This grant request will pay for 90 hours for Sergeant's pay for dedicated OHV enforcement operations. This amount would cover the cost for 1 supervisor shift per month. | 90.0000 | 95.980 | HRS | 8,638.00 | 0.00 | 8,638.00 |
| 2. Law Enforcement Officers Notes : This line denotes 350 hours of Officer pay at \$78.93 per hour. | 350.0000 | 78.930 | HRS | 27,626.00 | 0.00 | 27,626.00 |

Project Cost Estimate for Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program - 2015/2016
 Agency: Los Angeles Police Department / Valley Traffic Division, Off Road Unit
 Application: Law Enforcement

8/2/2016

| Line Item | Qty | Rate | UOM | Grant Req. | Match | Total |
|---|----------|----------|-----|------------|-----------|-----------|
| This grant request will pay for 350 Officer hours for dedicated OHV enforcement operations. Approx 1 shift per month. | | | | | | |
| Total for Staff | | | | 36,264.00 | 0.00 | 36,264.00 |
| 2 Contracts | | | | | | |
| 3 Materials / Supplies | | | | | | |
| 4 Equipment Use Expenses | | | | | | |
| 5 Equipment Purchases | | | | | | |
| 1. dual sport motorcycle Notes : These dual sport motorcycles will be used for patrolling the mountains surrounding the City. These motorcycles have the capability to traverse rugged terrain and are a key component for the unit. | 2.0000 | 9000.000 | EA | 18,000.00 | 0.00 | 18,000.00 |
| 6 Others | | | | | | |
| 1. Training Notes : This line denotes the training cost for eleven officers to maintain dual purpose motorcycle certification (POST). Quarterly training. This training is required for OHV enforcement. | 259.0000 | 52.620 | HRS | 0.00 | 13,629.00 | 13,629.00 |
| 2. Training Notes : This line denotes the training cost for three sergeants to maintain dual purpose motorcycle certification (PCST). Quarterly training. This training for OHV enforcement. | 70.0000 | 63.960 | HRS | 0.00 | 4,477.00 | 4,477.00 |
| Total for Others | | | | 0.00 | 18,106.00 | 18,106.00 |
| Total Program Expenses | | | | 54,264.00 | 18,106.00 | 72,370.00 |
| TOTAL DIRECT EXPENSES | | | | 54,264.00 | 18,106.00 | 72,370.00 |
| INDIRECT EXPENSES | | | | | | |

Project Cost Estimate for Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program - 2015/2016
 Agency: Los Angeles Police Department / Valley Traffic Division, Off Road Unit
 Application: Law Enforcement

8/2/2016

| Line Item | Qty | Rate | UOM | Grant Req. | Match | Total |
|--------------------------------|-----|------|-----|------------|-----------|-----------|
| Indirect Costs | | | | | | |
| 1 Indirect Costs | | | | | | |
| Total Indirect Costs | | | | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| TOTAL INDIRECT EXPENSES | | | | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES | | | | 54,264.00 | 18,106.00 | 72,370.00 |

Project Cost Summary for Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program - 2015/2016
 Agency: Los Angeles Police Department / Valley Traffic Division, Off Road Unit
 Application: Law Enforcement

8/2/2016

| | Category | Grant Req. | Match | Total | Narrative |
|--------------------------------|------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|--|
| DIRECT EXPENSES | | | | | |
| Program Expenses | | | | | |
| 1 | Staff | 36,264.00 | 0.00 | 36,264.00 | The amount of \$144,844 is requested for off-highway vehicle enforcement to be conducted throughout the year, approx 3 times a month. |
| 2 | Contracts | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 3 | Materials / Supplies | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 4 | Equipment Use Expenses | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 5 | Equipment Purchases | 18,000.00 | 0.00 | 18,000.00 | Our current fleet of dual purpose motorcycles are reaching their final stages of serviceability. With this years grant we would like to replace two of the motorcycles for the unit. These dual purpose motorcycles have the capability to traverse rugged terrain and are a key component for the unit. |
| 6 | Others | 0.00 | 18,106.00 | 18,106.00 | Dual purpose motorcycle training is required for all members on the unit to maintain their post certification. This amount of \$25,843 covers the cost for quarterly training. |
| Total Program Expenses | | 54,264.00 | 18,106.00 | 72,370.00 | |
| TOTAL DIRECT EXPENSES | | 54,264.00 | 18,106.00 | 72,370.00 | |
| INDIRECT EXPENSES | | | | | |
| Indirect Costs | | | | | |
| 1 | Indirect Costs | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| Total Indirect Costs | | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| TOTAL INDIRECT EXPENSES | | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES | | 54,264.00 | 18,106.00 | 72,370.00 | |

Environmental Review Data Sheet (ERDS)

| | | |
|----------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: | Version # _____ | APP # 702732 |
|----------------------|-----------------|--------------|

ITEM 1 and ITEM 2

ITEM 1

- a. ITEM 1 - Has a CEQA Notice of Determination (NOD) been filed for the Project? Yes N
o

ITEM 2

- b. Does the proposed Project include a request for funding for CEQA and/or NEPA document preparation prior to implementing the remaining Project Deliverables (i.e., is it a two-phased Project pursuant to Section 4970.06.1(b)) Yes N
o

ITEM 3 - Project under CEQA Guidelines Section 15378

- c. ITEM 3 - Are the proposed activities a "Project" under CEQA Guidelines Section 15378? Yes N
o
- d. The Application is requesting funds solely for personnel and support to enforce OHV laws and ensure public safety. These activities would not cause any physical change in the environment, or a reasonably foreseeable indirect physical change in the environment and are thus not a "Project" under CEQA. Yes N
o
- e. Other. Explain why proposed activities would not cause any physical change in the environment, or a reasonably foreseeable indirect physical change in the environment, and are thus not a "Project" under CEQA. DO NOT complete ITEMS 4 – 10.

ITEM 4 - Impact of this Project on Wetlands

ITEM 5 - Cumulative Impacts of this Project

ITEM 6 - Soil Impacts

ITEM 7 - Damage to Scenic Resources

ITEM 8 - Hazardous Materials

- Is the proposed Project Area located on a site included on any list compiled pursuant to Section 65962.5 of the California Government Code (hazardous materials)? Yes N
o

If YES, describe the location of the hazard relative to the Project site, the level of hazard and the measures to be taken to minimize or avoid the hazards:

ITEM 9 - Potential for Adverse Impacts to Historical or Cultural Resources

- Would the proposed Project have potential for any substantial adverse impacts to historical or cultural resources? Yes N
o

Discuss the potential for the proposed Project to have any substantial adverse impacts to historical or cultural resources:

ITEM 10 - Indirect Significant Impacts

CEQA/NEPA Attachment

Final Awards
2015/2016 Grants and Cooperative Agreements
Local - Law Enforcement Projects

| # | Applicant | Project Title | Project Number | Amount Requested | Division Recommend | Base Award | Amount Less Base Award | Proportional Percent | Additional Award | Total Project Awarded |
|---------------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|----------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| 1 | Alameda County Sheriff's Office | Law Enforcement | G16-03-27-L01 | \$59,120 | \$59,120 | \$10,000 | \$49,120 | 28.195345 | \$13,850 | \$73,850 |
| 2 | Alpine County Sheriff's Office | Law Enforcement | G16-03-01-L01 | \$62,152 | \$62,152 | \$10,000 | \$52,152 | 28.195345 | \$14,704 | \$76,856 |
| 3 | Amador County Sheriff's Office | Law Enforcement | G16-03-50-L01 | \$19,298 | \$19,298 | \$10,000 | \$9,298 | 28.195345 | \$2,622 | \$12,622 |
| 4 | Butte County Sheriff's Office | Law Enforcement | G16-03-01-L01 | \$97,611 | \$97,611 | \$10,000 | \$87,611 | 28.195345 | \$24,702 | \$122,313 |
| 5 | Calaveras County Sheriff's Department | Law Enforcement | G16-03-03-L01 | \$253,596 | \$253,596 | \$10,000 | \$243,596 | 28.195345 | \$68,683 | \$78,683 |
| 6 | City of California City | Law Enforcement | G16-03-02-L01 | \$430,619 | \$430,619 | \$10,000 | \$420,619 | 28.195345 | \$118,595 | \$128,595 |
| 7 | City of Hasperia Police Department | Law Enforcement | G16-03-58-L01 | \$41,675 | \$41,675 | \$10,000 | \$31,675 | 28.195345 | \$8,931 | \$18,931 |
| 8 | City of Sacramento Police | Law Enforcement | G16-03-22-L01 | \$63,338 | \$63,338 | \$10,000 | \$53,338 | 28.195345 | \$14,489 | \$67,827 |
| 9 | Colusa County Sheriff's Office | Law Enforcement | G16-03-05-L01 | \$48,300 | \$48,300 | \$10,000 | \$38,300 | 28.195345 | \$10,799 | \$49,099 |
| 10 | El Dorado County Sheriff's Department | Law Enforcement | G16-03-01-L01 | \$263,732 | \$263,732 | \$10,000 | \$253,732 | 28.195345 | \$71,541 | \$335,273 |
| 11 | Fort Bragg Police Department | Law Enforcement | G16-03-32-L01 | \$33,918 | \$33,918 | \$10,000 | \$23,918 | 28.195345 | \$6,744 | \$30,662 |
| 12 | Fresno County Sheriff's Office | Law Enforcement | G16-03-01-L01 | \$181,290 | \$181,290 | \$10,000 | \$171,290 | 28.195345 | \$48,296 | \$229,586 |
| 13 | Humboldt County Sheriff's Office | Law Enforcement - Humboldt SO | G15-03-11-L01 | \$54,461 | \$54,461 | \$10,000 | \$44,461 | 28.195345 | \$12,536 | \$67,000 |
| 14 | Imperial County Sheriff's Office | Law Enforcement | G15-03-01-L01 | \$596,856 | \$596,856 | \$10,000 | \$586,856 | 28.195345 | \$165,466 | \$752,322 |
| 15 | Inyo County Sheriff's Department | Law Enforcement | G15-03-30-L01 | \$185,126 | \$185,126 | \$10,000 | \$175,126 | 28.195345 | \$49,377 | \$224,503 |
| 16 | Kern County Sheriff's Office | Law Enforcement | G15-03-01-L01 | \$456,047 | \$456,047 | \$10,000 | \$446,047 | 28.195345 | \$125,764 | \$571,811 |
| 17 | Lake County Sheriff's Office | Law Enforcement | G15-03-04-L01 | \$33,026 | \$33,026 | \$10,000 | \$23,026 | 28.195345 | \$6,131 | \$29,157 |
| 18 | Lassen County Sheriff's Department | Law Enforcement | G15-03-01-L01 | \$43,121 | \$43,121 | \$10,000 | \$33,121 | 28.195345 | \$8,339 | \$41,460 |
| 19 | Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department | Law Enforcement - Inglewood | G15-03-10-L01 | \$154,472 | \$154,472 | \$5,000 | \$149,472 | 28.195345 | \$42,144 | \$191,616 |
| 20 | Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department | Law Enforcement - Santa Clarita | G15-03-10-L02 | \$257,110 | \$257,110 | \$5,000 | \$252,110 | 28.195345 | \$71,083 | \$323,193 |
| 21 | Los Angeles Police Department / Valley | Law Enforcement | G15-03-06-L01 | \$166,989 | \$166,989 | \$10,000 | \$156,989 | 28.195345 | \$44,264 | \$201,253 |
| 22 | Madera County Sheriff's Department | Law Enforcement | G15-03-01-L01 | \$113,928 | \$113,928 | \$10,000 | \$103,928 | 28.195345 | \$29,303 | \$133,231 |
| 23 | Mono County Sheriff's Department | Law Enforcement | G15-03-12-L01 | \$107,976 | \$107,976 | \$10,000 | \$97,976 | 28.195345 | \$27,624 | \$125,600 |
| 24 | Napa County Sheriff's Office | Law Enforcement | G15-03-01-L01 | \$48,223 | \$48,223 | \$10,000 | \$38,223 | 28.195345 | \$10,777 | \$49,000 |
| 25 | Nevada County Sheriff's Office | Law Enforcement | G15-03-18-L01 | \$36,340 | \$36,340 | \$10,000 | \$26,340 | 28.195345 | \$7,427 | \$33,767 |
| 26 | Placer County Sheriff's Office | Law Enforcement | G15-03-01-L01 | \$179,505 | \$179,505 | \$10,000 | \$169,505 | 28.195345 | \$47,793 | \$217,298 |
| 27 | Plumas County Sheriff's Office | Law Enforcement | G15-03-13-L01 | \$112,043 | \$112,043 | \$10,000 | \$102,043 | 28.195345 | \$28,771 | \$130,814 |
| 28 | Ridgecrest Police Department | Law Enforcement | G15-03-01-L01 | \$31,039 | \$31,039 | \$10,000 | \$21,039 | 28.195345 | \$5,632 | \$26,671 |
| 29 | Riverside County Sheriff's | Law Enforcement | G15-03-14-L01 | \$168,920 | \$168,920 | \$10,000 | \$158,920 | 28.195345 | \$41,425 | \$200,345 |
| 30 | San Bernardino County Sheriff's Department | Law Enforcement - Bascom | G15-03-01-L01 | \$184,215 | \$184,215 | \$2,500 | \$181,715 | 28.195345 | \$51,228 | \$232,943 |
| 31 | San Bernardino County Sheriff's Department | Law Enforcement - Colorado River | G15-03-15-L02 | \$210,850 | \$210,850 | \$2,500 | \$208,350 | 28.195345 | \$58,680 | \$267,030 |
| 32 | San Bernardino County Sheriff's Department | Law Enforcement - Fontana | G15-03-01-L01 | \$158,507 | \$158,507 | \$2,500 | \$156,007 | 28.195345 | \$43,628 | \$200,135 |
| 33 | San Bernardino County Sheriff's Department | Law Enforcement - Victor Valley | G15-03-15-L04 | \$258,167 | \$258,167 | \$2,500 | \$255,667 | 28.195345 | \$72,086 | \$327,753 |
| 34 | San Bernardino County Sheriff's Department | Law Enforcement - Redlands | G15-03-01-L01 | \$199,544 | \$199,544 | \$10,000 | \$189,544 | 28.195345 | \$53,728 | \$243,272 |
| 35 | San Joaquin County Sheriff's Department | Law Enforcement | G15-03-18-L01 | \$60,850 | \$60,850 | \$10,000 | \$50,850 | 28.195345 | \$14,337 | \$65,187 |
| 36 | San Joaquin County Sheriff's Department | Law Enforcement | G15-03-01-L01 | \$112,413 | \$112,413 | \$10,000 | \$102,413 | 28.195345 | \$28,771 | \$131,184 |
| 37 | Santa Clara County Parks and Recreation | Law Enforcement | G16-03-19-L01 | \$150,178 | \$150,178 | \$10,000 | \$140,178 | 28.195345 | \$42,343 | \$182,521 |
| 38 | Stanislaus County Sheriff's Department | Law Enforcement | G16-03-01-L01 | \$67,000 | \$67,000 | \$10,000 | \$57,000 | 28.195345 | \$15,621 | \$72,621 |
| 39 | Stanislaus County Sheriff's Department | Law Enforcement | G15-03-38-L01 | \$317,428 | \$317,428 | \$10,000 | \$307,428 | 28.195345 | \$86,881 | \$394,309 |
| 40 | Tulare County Sheriff's Office | Law Enforcement | G15-03-01-L01 | \$22,000 | \$22,000 | \$10,000 | \$12,000 | 28.195345 | \$3,233 | \$15,233 |
| 41 | Tuolumne County Sheriff's Office | Law Enforcement | G15-03-78-L01 | \$40,182 | \$40,182 | \$10,000 | \$30,182 | 28.195345 | \$8,504 | \$38,686 |
| 42 | Yuba County Sheriff's Office | Law Enforcement | G15-03-01-L01 | \$172,167 | \$172,167 | \$10,000 | \$162,167 | 28.195345 | \$46,724 | \$208,891 |
| 43 | Yuba County Sheriff's Office | Law Enforcement | G16-03-41-L01 | \$172,167 | \$172,167 | \$10,000 | \$162,167 | 28.195345 | \$46,724 | \$208,891 |
| LOCAL - LAW ENFORCEMENT TOTALS | | | | \$8,360,460 | \$6,358,430 | \$400,000 | \$5,958,430 | | \$1,680,000 | \$2,080,000 |