OFFICE OF THE CITY ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER

Date:

August 26, 2016

CAO File No.

0160-01573-0000

Council District: Council File No.

All 14-1478

To:

The Mayor The Council

From:

Miguel A. Santana, City Administrative Officer

Reference:

Council report back under Council File No. 14-1478

Subject:

EXISTING BUILDING ENERGY & WATER EFFICIENCY ORDINANCE FEE STUDY

RECOMMENDATION

That the Council, subject to the approval of the Mayor, adopt the Office of the City Attorney's proposed ordinance to add Division 97 of Article I, Chapter IX of the Los Angeles Municipal Code to adopt the Existing Building Energy and Water Efficiency Program which includes the fees recommended in Attachment 1, penalties based on a peer city review, and requires existing buildings to undergo energy and water efficiency audits and annual benchmarking of energy and water consumption.

SUMMARY

On November 24, 2015, the Council adopted an Energy and Environment Committee (E&E) Report (C.F. 14-1478) requesting the Office of the City Attorney (City Attorney) to draft an ordinance to effectuate the Existing Building Energy and Water Efficiency (EBEWE) Program. On August 4, 2016, our Office completed a fully burdened time and materials cost analysis (Attachment 1) to determine the EBEWE Program fees. Additionally, a peer city review (Attachment 2) was conducted to determine the appropriate penalties to assess when a building owner fails to correct the non-compliance violation within a reasonable time. Benchmarking penalties are set between \$500 and \$1,000. Audits and retro-commissioning penalties are set between \$1,250 and \$20,000. Penalty amounts are set based on building size and the time elapsed after due date. The recommended fees and penalties are included in a proposed City Attorney ordinance adding Division 97 of Article I, Chapter IX of the Los Angeles Municipal Code to adopt the EBEWE Program.

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

There is no General Fund impact. The fees for the Existing Building Energy and Water Efficiency (EBEWE) Program are set at full cost recovery. Therefore, any cost incurred by the Department of Building and Safety to administer the EBEWE Program will be offset by fees collected. This recommendation is consistent with the City's Financial Policies as on-going expenditures will be fully supported by on-going revenues.

MAS:YC:ACA:JLK:02170023c

ATTACHMENTS

FY 2016-17 Existing Building Energy and Water Efficiency (EBEWE) Fee Analysis

The following provides the estimated Department of Building and Safety costs to provide services per the EBEWE Ordinance.

Tasks	No. Hours Per Task (A)	Classification Performing Task (B)	Code PG (C)	Top Step Hourly Salary Rate (D)	CAP 37 ¹ (E)	Annual Expenses ² (F)	Hourly Expenses (F)/1800=(G)	Total Hourly Rate (D)+(E)+(G)≃(H)	Total Hourly
Verify Compliance with Annual Disclosure	Tuok (A)	1000 (2)	(0)		(2)		(1)/1000 (0)		100 (2) (11)-(
Send Notification									
Sort database; review, print & mail notification ³	0.08	OFFICE ENGRG TECH III	7212-3	36.47	35.98	4,352	2.42	74.87	6.2
Answer questions from customers	0.25	OFFICE ENGRG TECH III	7212-3	36.47	35.98	4,352	2.42	74.87	18.7
Verify and log complete submissions	0.08	OFFICE ENGRG TECH III	7212-3	36.47	35.98	4,352	2,42	74.87	6.2
Engineering support activities ^{4,5}	0.08	MECH ENGRG ASSC IV	7554-4	59.89	59.09	6,481	3.60	122.58	10.2
Supervisory support activities 5,6	0.04	BLD MECH ENGR II	7561-2	70.42	69.48	6,098	3.39	143.29	5.9
Clerical support activities 5,6	0.04	SR ADMINISTRATIVE CLERK	1368-0	30.99	30.58	4,352	2.42	63.99	2.6
Cierical support activities	0.04		1000 0	00.33	00.00			nual Disclosure	
Verify Compliance with Audit and Retro-Commissionin	na (5-vears)					1	ee Subtotal - Al	muai Disclosure	Ψ 3,
Send Notification	ng (o youro)			<u> </u>					
Sort database; review, print & mail notification ³	0.08	OFFICE ENGRG TECH III	7212-3	36,47	35.98	4,352	2.42	74.87	6.2
Answer questions from customers	0.25	OFFICE ENGRG TECH III	7212-3	36.47	35.98	4,352	2.42	74.87	18.7
Supervisory support activities ^{5,6}	0.03	BLD MECH ENGR II	7561-2	70.42	69.48	6,098	3.39	143.29	4.7
Engineering support activities 4.5	0.07	MECH ENGRG ASSC IV	7554-4	59.89	59.09	6,481	3.60	122.58	8.1
Clerical support activities Clerical support activities 1.5.6	0.03	SR ADMINISTRATIVE CLERK	1368-0	30.99	30.58	4,352	2.42	63,99	2.1
Technical Verification	0.03	OTT. SHIP TO THE SEE AT	1000-0	00.99	30.30	4,002	2.42	03,99	
Veriy required information is provided	0.50	OFFICE ENGRG TECH III	7212-3	36.47	35.98	4,352	2.42	74.87	37.4
Answer questions from customers	0.50	OFFICE ENGRG TECH III	7212-3	36.47	35.98	4,352	2.42	74.87	37.4
Engineering support activities ^{5,7}	0.30	MECH ENGRG ASSC IV	7554-4	59.89	59.09	6.481	3.60	122,58	36.7
Supervisory support activities ^{5,6}	0.10	BLD MECH ENGR II	7561-2	70.42	69.48	6,098	3.39	143.29	14.3
Clerical support activities ^{5,6}	0.10	SR ADMINISTRATIVE CLERK	1368-0	30.99	30.58	4,352	2,42	63.99	6.4
Olerical support activities			.500 5	1				-Commissioning	
Additional Notice(s) for Annual Disclosure or Audit an	nd Retro-Commis	sionina				r ee Subtotal - /	Addit and Netro	-commissioning	Ψ 177
Sort database; review, print & mail addtl notification	0.08	OFFICE ENGRG TECH III	7212-3	36.47	35.98	4,352	2.42	74.87	6.2
Supervisory support activities ^{5,8}	0.01	BLD MECH ENGR II	7561-2	70.42	69.48	6.098	3.39	143.29	1.1
Clerical support activities 5.6	0.01	SR ADMINISTRATIVE CLERK	1368-0	30.99	30.58	4,352	2.42	63.99	0.5
C.O. Car dupport dollvilloo				00.00				dditional Notices	
Noncompliance for Annual Disclosure or Audit and Re	etro-Commission	ina				•	cc Subtotal - At	adicional Nocices	
Assess fee, send to owner, follow through	2.00	OFFICE ENGRG TECH III	7212-3	36.47	35.98	4,352	2.42	74.87	149.7
Supervisory support activities ⁶	0.20	BLD MECH ENGR II	7561-2	70.42	69.48	6,098	3.39	143.29	28.6
	0.20	SR ADMINISTRATIVE CLERK	1368-0	30.99	30.58	4,352	2.42	63.99	12.8
Clerical support activities ⁶									

¹ CAP 37 includes Fringe, CS, CTO & Dept Ad=98.67%	Fringe	Central Services	Dept Admin	СТО	Total
	40.73%	14.07%	22.03%	21.84%	98.67%

² Expenses include Mileage, Printing and Binding, Contractual Services, Office & Admin, Operating Supplies, and Equipment; these expenses vary per classification.

³ Includes one notice sent to all customers. Additional notices or noncompliance situations are assessed separately.

⁴ Time needed for these support activities calculated based on 20% of OET time needed for this task.

⁵ See position descriptions for additional information on tasks performed by these positions.

⁶ Time needed for these support activities calculated based on 10% of OET time needed for this task.

⁷ Time needed for these support activities calculated based on 30% of OET time needed for this task, due to additional complexity anticipated during technical verification tasks.

Jurisdiction	Compliance Details	Additional Requirements		
Atlanta	Enforcement: Yes	Audits: Yes		
	Penalties for Non-Compliance: Yes	Water Use Tracking: Yes		
	Description: Written notice of first violation; Fine or			
	\$1,000 if 30 days late, an additional \$1,000 every year	r		
	thereafter			
Austin	Enforcement: Yes	Audits: Yes		
	Penalties for Non-Compliance: Yes	Retrocommisioning: No		
	Description: Proof of culpable mental state is not	Water Use Tracking: No		
	required for a fine of up to \$500. If a person acts with	n		
	criminal negligence, a fine of up to \$2,000 may be			
	assessed.			
<u>Boston</u>	Enforcement: Yes	Audits: Yes		
*	Penalties for Non-Compliance: Yes	Water Use Tracking: Yes		
	Description: \$75 to \$200 per day for owners. Maximum			
	annual fine is \$3,000. Non-residential tenants may be			
	fined up to \$35 at a time for failing to supply building			
	owners with their energy data. Residential tenants will			
	not be fined.			
	Compliance Rate (Based on Building Area): 84%			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(2014)			
<u>Chicago</u>	Enforcement: Yes	Audits: No		
	Penalties for Non-Compliance: Yes	Retrocommisioning: No		
	Description: Owner subject up to \$100 fine for 1st	t Water Use Tracking: No		
	violation and additional fines up to \$25/day			
	Number of Buildings in Compliance: 1,774			
	Area of Buildings in Compliance: 210,200,000 Sq. Feet			
	Compliance Rate (Based on # of Buildings): 84%			
	Compliance Rate (Based on Building Area): 92%			
	Description: Compliance numbers represent 2014			
	data, taken from the 2015 Chicago benchmarking report.			
istrict of Columbia		Water Use Tracking: Yes		
	Penalties for Non-Compliance: Yes	1		
	Description: Up to \$100 per day.	· · ·		
	Number of Buildings in Compliance: 490			
	Area of Buildings in Compliance: 170,000,000 Sq. Feet			
	Compliance Rate (Based on # of Buildings): 83%			
	Description: Compliance numbers from February 2014.			

Jurisdiction	Compliance Details	Additional Requirements
Minneapolis	Enforcement: Yes	Water Use Tracking: Yes
	Penalties for Non-Compliance: Yes]
	Description: Warning notice mailed to the building	Ī
	owner, indicating 45 days to comply else face a penalty.	
	Failure to comply with penalties may result in a	
	suspension of commercial building registration.]
	Number of Buildings in Compliance: 102	
	Area of Buildings in Compliance: 21,000,000 Sq. Feet	
	Compliance Rate (Based on # of Buildings): 87% (2013)	
	Description: Compliance data from November 2013	
New York City	Enforcement: Yes	Audits: Yes
	Penalties for Non-Compliance: Yes	Retrocommisioning: Yes
		Water Use Tracking: Yes
	benchmarking deadline, additional \$500 fines for each	
	subsequent quarter failing to benchmark (maximum:	
	\$2,000)	
	Number of Buildings in Compliance: 19,670	
	Compliance Rate (Based on # of Buildings): 84%	
	Compliance Rate (Based on Building Area): 84%	
	Description: Compliance data is based on NYC's	
	Private Sector Second Benchmarking Report, released	
	in September 2014. This report analyzed benchmarking	
	data collected for calendar year 2012	
Philadelphia	Enforcement: Yes	Water Use Tracking: Yes
	Penalties for Non-Compliance: Yes	
	Description: \$300 fine for the 1st 30 days, and then \$100/day	,
	Compliance Rate (Based on # of Buildings): 90%	
	Compliance Rate (Based on Building Area): 90%	
	Description: Based on 2014 Energy Benchmarking	
	Report, detailing statistics from 2013.	
Portland	Enforcement: Yes	Audits: No
	Penalties for Non-Compliance: Yes	Retrocommisioning: No
	Description: \$500 for every 90 day period during which violations continue.	Water Use Tracking: No

Jurisdiction	Compliance Details	Additional		
Jurisdiction	Compliance Details	Requirements		
San Francisco	Enforcement: Yes	Audits: Yes		
	Penalties for Non-Compliance: Yes	Retrocommisioning: Yes		
	Description: Warning, then public notice, then fine	Water Use Tracking: No		
	Compliance Rate (Based on Building Area): 82% (2013)			
Seattle	Enforcement: Yes	Audits: No		
	Penalties for Non-Compliance: Yes	Retrocommisioning: Yes		
	Description: Penalties accrue quarterly, starting 90 days after reporting deadlines. Buildings 50,000 SF or	_		
	greater: \$1,000/quarter. Buildings greater than or equal to 20,000 SF and less than 50,000 SF: \$500/quarter			
	Number of Buildings in Compliance: 2,992			
	Area of Buildings in Compliance: 267,200,000 Sq. Feet			
	Compliance Rate (Based on # of Buildings): 99.2 (2013)			
	Compliance Rate (Based on Building Area): 99.4% (2013)			
	Description: This compliance data is for the 2013 energy reporting year. Non-Residential: 1,651 buildings (99% compliance) with 178.6 million square feet (99.2%			
	compliance). Multifamily: 1,565 buildings (99.5% compliance) with 102.4 million square feet (99.7% compliance).			