Regarding Short-felm Neutrolo -

## Honorable Councilmembers:

I read recently about Councilmember Bonin's proposal to limit short-term rentals in Los Angeles. I support smart, sensible regulation of this business in our city. But I think the current proposal overreaches, and bans elements of this industry that clearly should be kept intact.

For instance, I own a second home and I depend on the income I generate from renting it to guests when I'm not using it. The current proposal would make it illegal for me to rent out my own second home, and that doesn't make sense.

I understand the intent of this proposal – to stop property owners from turning apartment buildings into de facto hotels – but limiting short-term rentals strictly to a property owner's primary residence simply goes too far. If somebody owns a single-family home, they should be able to rent that home as they please.

Aside from the issue of property owners' rights, the fact is that there's a huge tourism demand in our city for comfortable, homey, authentic accommodations. The millions of tourists visiting Los Angeles every year shouldn't be limited to choosing between a large hotel and someone's primary residence.

Again, I have no issue with sensible regulation of the short-term rental business. But we need to be smart about where we draw the line, so that we're not creating unintended consequences that needlessly eliminate parts of this valuable, job-creating industry that clearly have the right to exist.

Not only do I depend on the income, many of my tenants have utilized my home due to floods and fires in their homes. They need a place for their entire family and often times pets as well. It would be very costly and uncomfortable in a hotel for several weeks and often times a few months. I strongly urge you to consider all of the consequences of the proposal before us.

Thank you

Deborah Kernahan