

CF#14-1635-S2; CPC-2016-1243

1 message

 Nadine Davidson <princepub@aol.com>
 Mon, Dec 5, 2016 at 12:15 AM

 To: Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org, Councilmember.Bonin@lacity.org, Councilmember.Cedillo@lacity.org,
 Councilmember.Cedillo@lacity.org,

 Councilmember.Koretz@lacity.org
 Councilmember.Bonin@lacity.org,
 Councilmember.Cedillo@lacity.org,

Subject: CF#14-1635-S2; CPC-2016-1243

Please do not legalize short term rentals! And please add me to the residents of Brentwood

opposing short term rentals.

Please let me point out several issues important to any Short Term Rental Ordinance:

1. The draft ordinance permits rental of an entire home without the presence of the host and therefore is not "home sharing" but rather is the operation of a hotel/motel. Santa Monica requires the presence of the host – – true home-sharing.

2. The draft ordinance allows short-term rentals for too many days - - 180 days or half the year - - which equates to 3 1/2 days every weekend. Sixty days a year should be the maximum.

3. The provision for 15 days of non-primary residence vacation rentals would make enforcement impossible of the provision limiting rentals to a primary residence.

4. The draft ordinance relies on the cooperation of web sites like airbnb for enforcement, but it's insanity to expect different results from the same provision adopted by several other cities that have been sued by airbnb.

Nadine Davidson

11985 Brentridge Lane

Los Angeles, CA 90049



CF#14-1635-S2; CPC-2016-1243

1 message

Gail Schacht <gschacht@airbud.com> Mon, Dec 5, 2016 at 9:54 AM To: "Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org" <Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org>, "Councilmember.Bonin@lacity.org" <Councilmember.Cedillo@lacity.org" <Councilmember.Cedillo@lacity.org>, "Councilmember.Cedillo@lacity.org>, "Councilmember.Koretz@lacity.org" <Councilmember.Koretz@lacity.org>

Please let me point out several issues important to any Short Term Rental Ordinance:

1. The draft ordinance permits rental of an entire home without the presence of the host and therefore is not "home sharing" but rather is the operation of a hotel/motel. Santa Monica requires the presence of the host -- true home-sharing.

2. The draft ordinance allows short-term rentals for too many days - 180 days or half the year - which equates to 3 1/2 days every weekend. Sixty days a year should be the maximum.

3. The provision for 15 days of non-primary residence vacation rentals would make enforcement impossible of the provision limiting rentals to a primary residence.

4. The draft ordinance relies on the cooperation of web sites like airbnb for enforcement, but it's insanity to expect different results from the same provision adopted by several other cities that have been sued by Airbnb.

Thank you for your attention to this matter and your consideration of our neighborhood's concerns.

The Schacht family, Brentwood



CF#14-1635-S2; CPC-2016-1243

1 message

Diedre Gordon <ddakelly@yahoo.com>

Mon, Dec 5, 2016 at 2:03 PM To: Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org, Councilmember.Bonin@lacity.org, Councilmember.Cedillo@lacity.org, Councilmember.Koretz@lacity.org

I would like to draw your attention to the following important provisions:

1. The draft ordinance permits rental of an entire home without the presence of the host and therefore is not "home sharing" but rather is the operation of a hotel/motel. Santa Monica requires the presence of the host - - true homesharing.

2. The draft ordinance allows short-term rentals for too many days - - 180 days or half the year - - which equates to 3 1/2 days every weekend. Sixty days a year should be the maximum.

3. The provision for 15 days of non-primary residence vacation rentals would make enforcement impossible of the provision limiting rentals to a primary residence.

4. The draft ordinance relies on the cooperation of web sites like airbnb for enforcement, but it's insanity to expect different results from the same provision adopted by several other cities that have been sued by airbnb.

We work hard to maintain our homes and properties. This ordinance without at least the above provisions, puts us all in jeopardy.

Please require the above provisions.

thank you,

diedre gordon 655 firth ave. 90049



CF#14-1635-S2; CPC-2016-1243

1 message

Callene Momtazee <cmomtazee@gmail.com>

Mon, Dec 5, 2016 at 12:13 PM To: Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org, Councilmember.Bonin@lacity.org, Councilmember.Cedillo@lacity.org, Councilmember.Koretz@lacity.org

I would like to draw your attention to the following important provisions:

1. The draft ordinance permits rental of an entire home without the presence of the host and therefore is not "home sharing" but rather is the operation of a hotel/motel. Santa Monica requires the presence of the host - - true homesharing.

2. The draft ordinance allows short-term rentals for too many days - - 180 days or half the year - - which equates to 3 1/2 days every weekend. Sixty days a year should be the maximum.

3. The provision for 15 days of non-primary residence vacation rentals would make enforcement impossible of the provision limiting rentals to a primary residence.

4. The draft ordinance relies on the cooperation of web sites like airbnb for enforcement, but it's insanity to expect different results from the same provision adopted by several other cities that have been sued by airbnb.

Callie Momtazee 900 N Bundy Drive Los Angeles, CA 90049

Sent from my iPhone



CF#14-1635-S2; CPC-2016-1243

1 message

Mark Caplow <MCaplow@caplow.com>

Mon, Dec 5, 2016 at 10:00 AM

To: "Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org" <Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org>, "Councilmember.Bonin@lacity.org" <Councilmember.Bonin@lacity.org>, "Councilmember.Cedillo@lacity.org" <Councilmember.Cedillo@lacity.org>, "Councilmember.Koretz@lacity.org" <Councilmember.Koretz@lacity.org>

Please help keep BUSINESS out of home ownership. It is the BUSINESS of home ownership that caused major economic problems that we are still dealing with today.

I would like to draw your attention to the following important provisions:

1. The draft ordinance permits rental of an entire home without the presence of the host and therefore is not "home sharing" but rather is the operation of a hotel/motel. Santa Monica requires the presence of the host - - true home-sharing.

2. The draft ordinance allows short-term rentals for too many days - - 180 days or half the year - - which equates to 3 1/2 days every weekend. Sixty days a year should be the maximum.

3. The provision for 15 days of non-primary residence vacation rentals would make enforcement impossible of the provision limiting rentals to a primary residence.

4. The draft ordinance relies on the cooperation of web sites like airbnb for enforcement, but it's insanity to expect different results from the same provision adopted by several other cities that have been sued by airbnb.

Mark Caplow

2692 Westgate Ave.

Los Angeles, CA. 90049



CF#14-1635-S2; CPC-2016-1243

1 message

Mon, Dec 5, 2016 at 5:16 PM

 Mark Beccaria <markbeccaria@earthlink.net>
 Mon, Dec

 Reply-To: Mark Beccaria <markbeccaria@earthlink.net>
 To: Councilmember.Bonin@lacity.org, Councilmember.Koretz@lacity.org, Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org, Councilmember.Cedillo@lacity.org

Please let me point out several issues important to any Short Term Rental Ordinance:

1. The draft ordinance permits rental of an entire home without the presence of the host and therefore is not "home sharing" but rather is the operation of a hotel/motel. Santa Monica requires the presence of the host – – true home-sharing. If someone needs to the additional income, they should have to rent out their home/apartment for a minimum of 30 days. As Airbnb continues to grow (which they are rapidly doing), they will really start to compete head to head with Los Angeles hotels that employee many workers in this City. As hotels business goes down, employees will end up losing their jobs. One of the reasons why apartment rentals are so expensive today is due to lower supply resulting from units being sold on Airbnb where more money can be made then if renting out on a month to month basis.

2. The draft ordinance allows short-term rentals for too many days - -180 days or half the year - - which equates to 3 1/2 days every weekend. Sixty days a year should be the maximum.

3. The provision for 15 days of non-primary residence vacation rentals would make enforcement impossible of the provision limiting rentals to a primary residence.

4. The draft ordinance relies on the cooperation of web sites like airbnb for enforcement, but it's insanity to expect different results from the same provision adopted by several other cities that have been sued by airbnb.

This is a very serious issue and your immediate attention is great appreciated.

Thank you,

Mark Beccaria 220 S. Saltair Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90049 markbeccaria@earthlink.net



CF#14-1635-S2; CPC-2016-1243

1 message

Evelyn Stern <stern123@earthlink.net>

Mon, Dec 5, 2016 at 7:59 PM To: Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org, Bonin Mike <Councilmember.Bonin@lacity.org>, Councilmember.Cedillo@lacity.org, Councilmember.Koretz@lacity.org

I urge you NOT to rewrite the Short Term Rental Ordinance. Instead of enforcing the current law that makes short-term rentals under 30 days illegal, the proposal will make the situation worse and threatens the stability and safety of our neighborhoods.

Please let me point out several issues important to any Short Term Rental Ordinance:

1. The draft ordinance permits rental of an entire home without the presence of the host and therefore is not "home sharing" but rather is the operation of a hotel/motel. Santa Monica requires the presence of the host -- true home-sharing.

2. The draft ordinance allows short-term rentals for too many days - - 180 days or half the year -- which equates to 3 1/2 days every weekend. Sixty days a year should be the maximum.

3. The provision for 15 days of non-primary residence vacation rentals would make enforcement impossible of the provision limiting rentals to a primary residence.

4. The draft ordinance relies on the cooperation of web sites like airbnb for enforcement, but it's insanity to expect different results from the same provision adopted by several other cities that have been sued by airbnb.

Evelyn Stern, 12367 Deerbrook Lane, L.A. 90049 stern123@earthlink.net



CF#14-1635-S2; CPC-2016-1243

1 message

Kathleen Flanagan <flanagan1203@gmail.com>

Mon, Dec 5, 2016 at 8:09 PM To: Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org, Councilmember.Bonin@lacity.org, Councilmember.Cedillo@lacity.org, Councilmember.Koretz@lacity.org

Hello:

I write in regards to the Short Term Rental Ordinance, and several changes that I ask to be considered. This issue should not just be about the tax revenue that can be gained, but balanced with the quality of life in the impacted neighborhoods as part of good public policy.

First, the draft ordinance permits rental of an entire home without the presence of the host. This is not "home sharing" but rather the operation of a hotel/motel. Santa Monica requires the presence of the host, and Los Angeles should do the same. This is true home-sharing.

Second, the draft ordinance allows short-term rentals for too many days --- 180 days or half the year --- which equates to 3 1/2 days every weekend. Sixty days a year should be the maximum.

Third, the provision for 15 days of non-primary residence vacation rentals would make enforcement impossible of the provision limiting rentals to a primary residence.

Finally, the draft ordinance relies on the cooperation of websites like airbnb for enforcement. It's unrealistic to expect different results from the same provision adopted by several other cities that have been sued by airbnb. In any event, enforcement and funds to support enforcement, are key to this ordinance. Relying on a third party is not sufficient.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Flanagan

455 Tigertail Road

Los Angeles, CA 90049