

**Fwd: matt berger**

1 message

Fri, Dec 7, 2018 at 8:45 AM

From: **matt** <lkpx@sbcglobal.net>

Date: Fri, Dec 7, 2018 at 8:27 AM

Subject: Re: matt berger

CF 14-1635-S2 and 14-1635-S3

Dear City Council,

It is essential that the short term rental ordinance **only provide for true home-sharing**. Other jurisdictions have discovered that any relinquishment of this provision opens up all residential structures, garages and other structures, especially those under the new State ADU provisions to complete abuse, interminable litigation, and chaos. Unregulated short-term rental has already destroyed our families, our neighborhoods, communities, and schools. It must end now!!

**All homeowners and landowners who oppose a true home-sharing ordinance still have the right to rent for 30 or more days under current law.** Those who oppose a true home-sharing short-term rental ordinance with the corrupt financial help of the platforms are merely looking for a windfall from their properties and seriously depleting the affordable housing stock. If they cannot rent their properties for the month to month or year to year as originally provided by statute then they bought the properties with the wrong intent and should not be owning those properties!

Any amendment that is not designed to prevent abuse of a true home-sharing ordinance and that is not strictly limited to 4 weeks of "vacation rental," for primary homeowners will be open to total abuse. If such a "vacation rental" provision is added it must provide that the primary homeowner must choose between true-home sharing or vacation rental, they do both.

Thank you for your time, Matt Berger