

INTRADPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

November 17, 2014
1.17

TO: Chief of Police

FROM: Commanding Officer, Information Technology Bureau

SUBJECT: TRANSMITTAL OF THE GRANT APPLICATION AND AWARD FOR
THE 2014/2015 INTERNET CRIMES AGAINST CHILDREN PROGRAM
GRANT FROM THE CALIFORNIA GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF
EMERGENCY SERVICES

Attached for your approval and signature is an Intradepartmental Correspondence to the Board of Police Commissioners requesting approval to transmit the attached grant application and award for the 2014/2015 Internet Crimes Against Children Task Force Program from the California Governor's Office of Emergency Services, pursuant to Administrative Code Section 14.6(a), to the Mayor, Office of the City Administrative Officer, Office of the Chief Legislative Analyst and to the City Clerk for Committee and City Council consideration. The Los Angeles Police Department (LAPD) is requesting authorization to accept the \$100,000 grant award for the grant period of July 1, 2014, through June 30, 2015.

The \$100,000 award will provide funding to the Los Angeles Regional Internet Crimes Against Children Task Force (Task Force). This Task Force, directed by the LAPD, is comprised of law enforcement agencies throughout a five county area. The mission of the task force is to prevent, interdict, investigate and prosecute Internet crimes against children and technology-facilitated child exploitation.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, please have a member of your staff contact Senior Management Analyst Stella Larracas, Officer in Charge, Grants Section, at (213) 486-0380.



MAGGIE GOODRICH, Chief Information Officer
Commanding Officer
Information Technology Bureau

Attachments



October 23, 2014

Fabian Lizarraga, Commanding Officer, Juvenile Division
Los Angeles, City of
100 West First Street, Room #341
Los Angeles, CA 90012-4112

Subject: **NOTIFICATION OF APPLICATION APPROVAL**
Internet Crimes Against Children
Award #: IC14 06 7250, Cal OES ID: 037-44000

Dear Mr. Lizarraga:

Congratulations! The California Governor's Office of Emergency Services (Cal OES) has approved your application in the amount of \$100,000, subject to Budget approval. A copy of your approved subgrant is enclosed for your records.

Cal OES will make every effort to process payment requests within 60 days of receipt.

This subgrant is subject to the Cal OES Recipient Handbook. You are encouraged to read and familiarize yourself with the Cal OES Recipient Handbook, which can be viewed on Cal OES website at www.caloes.ca.gov.

Any funds received in excess of current needs, approved amounts, or those found owed as a result of a close-out or audit, must be refunded to the State within 30 days upon receipt of an invoice from Cal OES.

Should you have questions on your subgrant, please contact your Program Specialist.

PSVS Grant Processing

Enclosure

c: Recipient's file

(Cal OES Use Only)

MA 592169 KCG
Request

Cal OES# 037.44800-04 FIPS# 037.44800 VS _____ CFDA# _____ Grant# IC 14 06 7250

CALIFORNIA GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF EMERGENCY SERVICES GRANT AWARD FACE SHEET (Cal OES 2-101)

The California Governor's Office of Emergency Services hereafter designated Cal OES, hereby makes a Grant Award of funds to the following:

- 1. Grant Recipient: The City of Los Angeles, City of 1a. DUNS# 037848012
In the amount and for the purpose and duration set forth in this Grant Award.
- 2. Implementing Agency: The Los Angeles Police Department, City of 2a. DUNS# 037848012
- 3. Implementing Agency Address: 100 West First Street, Ste 842 Los Angeles 90012-4112
Street City Zip+4
- 4. Location of Project: 501 West Ocean Blvd., #720 Los Angeles 90802-4213
City County Zip+4
- 5. Disaster/Program Title: Internet Crimes Against Children 6. Performance Period: 07/01/14 to 06/30/15

Grant Year	Fund Source	A. State	B. Federal	C. Total	D. Cash Match	E. In-Kind Match	F. Total Match	G. Total Project Cost
2014	7. ICAC	\$100,000					\$0	\$100,000
Select	8. Select						\$0	\$0
Select	9. Select						\$0	\$0
Select	10. Select						\$0	\$0
Select	11. Select						\$0	\$0
	12. TOTALS	\$100,000	\$0	\$100,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	12G. Total Project Cost: \$100,000

13. This Grant Award consists of this title page, the application for the grant, which is attached and made a part hereof, and the Assurances/Certifications. I hereby certify I am vested with the authority to enter into this Grant Award Agreement, and have the approval of the City/County Financial Officer, City Manager, County Administrator, Governing Board Chair, or other Approving Body. The Grant Recipient certifies that all funds received pursuant to this agreement will be spent exclusively on the purposes specified in the Grant Award. The Grant Recipient accepts this Grant Award and agrees to administer the grant project in accordance with the Grant Award as well as all applicable state and federal laws, audit requirements, federal program guidelines, and Cal OES policy and program guidance. The Grant Recipient further agrees that the allocation of funds may be contingent on the enactment of the State Budget.

14. Official Authorized to Sign for Applicant/Grant Recipient: Charlie Beck 15. Federal Employer ID Number: 956000735
 Name: Charlie Beck Title: Chief of Police
 Telephone: (213) 486-0150 FAX: (213) 486-5727 Email: grants@lapd.lacity.org
 (area code) (area code)
 Payment Mailing Address: 100 West First Street, Suite 842 City: Los Angeles Zip+4: 90012-4112
 Signature: [Signature] Date: 9-22-14

[FOR Cal OES USE ONLY]

I hereby certify upon my own personal knowledge that budgeted funds are available for the period and purposes of this expenditure stated above.
[Signature] 10/24/14 [Signature] 10/21/14
 Cal OES Fiscal Officer Date Cal OES Director (or designee) Date



Yr: 2014/15 / Chapter: 25/ PCA No: 14140
 Item: 0690-102-0214 Fed Cat #: N/A
 Component: 40.30.908
 Program: Internet Crimes Against Children
 Fund: Restitution
 Match Req.: None
 Project No.: 14ICAC Amount: \$ 100,000

PROJECT CONTACT INFORMATION

Recipient Los Angeles, City of
Los Angeles Police Department, City of Los Angeles Grant Number 10 14 06 7250

Provide the name, title, address, telephone number, and e-mail address for the project contacts named below. **NOTE: If you use a PO Box address, a street address is also required for package delivery and site visit purposes.**

1. The **Project Director** for the project:

Name: Fabian Lizarraga Title: Commanding Officer, Juvenile Division

Telephone #: (213) 486-0500 Fax#: (213) 486-0498 Email Address: fabian.lizarraga@lapd.lacity.org

Address/City/Zip + 4: 100 West First Street, Room #341, Los Angeles, CA 90012-4112

2. The **Financial Officer** for the project:

Name: Laura Luna Title: Police Administrator

Telephone #: (213) 486-8590 Fax#: (213) 486-0299 Email Address: laura.luna@lapd.lacity.org

Address/City/Zip + 4: 100 West First Street, Room #768, Los Angeles, CA 90012-4112

3. The **person** having **Routine Programmatic** responsibility for the project:

Name: Andrea Grossman Title: Lieutenant

Telephone #: (562) 624-4027 Fax#: (562) 980-3242 Email Address: 30231@lapd.lacity.org

Address/City/Zip + 4: 501 West Ocean Blvd., Suite #720, Long Beach, CA 90802-4213

4. The **person** having **Routine Fiscal Responsibility** for the project:

Name: Kurt Iwasaki Title: Police Officer III

Telephone #: (213) 486-0380 Fax#: (213) 486-5727 Email Address: 38450@lapd.lacity.org

Address/City/Zip + 4: 100 West First Street, Room #842, Los Angeles, CA 90012-4112

5. The **Executive Director** of a nonprofit organization or the **Chief Executive Officer** (i.e., chief of police, superintendent of schools) of the implementing agency:

Name: Charlie Beck Title: Chief of Police

Telephone #: (213) 486-0150 Fax#: (213) 486-0168 Email Address: grants@lapd.lacity.org

Address/City/Zip + 4: 100 West First Street, Room #1072, Los Angeles, CA 90012-4112

6. The **Official Designated** by the Governing Board to enter into the Grant Award Agreement for the city/county or Community-Based Organization, as stated in Block 14 of the Grant Award Face Sheet:

Name: Charlie Beck Title: Chief of Police

Telephone #: (213) 486-0150 Fax#: (213) 486-0168 Email Address: grants@lapd.lacity.org

Address/City/Zip + 4: 100 West First Street, Room #1072, Los Angeles, CA 90012-4112

7. The **chair** of the **Governing Body** of the recipient:

Name: Herb Wesson, President Title: Councilmember

Telephone #: (213) 473-7010 Fax#: (213) 485-9829 Email Address: councilmember.wesson@lacity.org

Address/City/Zip + 4: 200 North Spring Street, Room #430, Los Angeles, CA 90012-4112

SIGNATURE AUTHORIZATION

Grant Award #: IC 14 06 7250

Grant Recipient: City of Los Angeles, City of

Implementing Agency: Los Angeles Police Department

*The Project Director and Financial Officer are **REQUIRED** to sign this form.

*Project Director: Fabian Lizarraga ✓

Signature: 

Date: 9.18.14

*Financial Officer: Laura Luna ✓

Signature: 

Date: 9/12/14

The following persons are authorized to sign for the
Project Director



Signature

Andrea Grossman

Name

Signature

Name

Signature

Name


Signature

Name

Signature

Name

The following persons are authorized to sign for the
Financial Officer



Signature

Betty Jai

Name

Signature

Stelia Larracas

Name

Signature

Name

Signature

Name

Signature

Name

CERTIFICATION OF ASSURANCE OF COMPLIANCE

I, Charlie Beck, Chief of Police hereby certify that
(official authorized to sign grant award; same person as Section 12 on Grant Award Face Sheet)

RECIPIENT: The City of Los Angeles

IMPLEMENTING AGENCY: The Los Angeles Police Department

PROJECT TITLE: 2014/2015 Internet Crimes Against Children

is responsible for reviewing the *Grant Recipient Handbook* and adhering to all of the Grant Award Agreement requirements (state and/or federal) as directed by Cal OES including, but not limited to, the following areas:

I. Federal Grant Funds

Recipients expending \$500,000 or more in federal grant funds annually are required to secure an audit pursuant to OMB Circular A-133 and are allowed to utilize federal grant funds to budget for the audit costs. See Section 8000 of the Recipient Handbook for more detail.

- The above named recipient receives \$500,000 or more in federal grant funds annually.
- The above named recipient does not receive \$500,000 or more in federal grant funds annually.

II. Equal Employment Opportunity – (*Recipient Handbook Section 2151*)

It is the public policy of the State of California to promote equal employment opportunity by prohibiting discrimination or harassment in employment because of race, religious creed, color, national origin, ancestry, disability (mental and physical) including HIV and AIDS, medical condition (cancer and genetic characteristics), marital status, sex, sexual orientation, denial of family medical care leave, denial of pregnancy disability leave, or age (over 40). **Cal OES-funded projects certify that they will comply with all state and federal requirements regarding equal employment opportunity, nondiscrimination and civil rights.**

Please provide the following information:

Equal Employment Opportunity Officer: Gloria Grube

Title: Commanding Officer, Personnel Group

Address: 100 W. First Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012

Phone: (213) 486-4720

Email: E8547@lapd.lacity.org

III. Drug-Free Workplace Act of 1990 – (Recipient Handbook, Section 2152)

The State of California requires that every person or organization awarded a grant or contract shall certify it will provide a drug-free workplace.

IV. California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) – (Recipient Handbook, Section 2153)

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) (*Public Resources Code, Section 21000 et seq.*) requires all Cal OES funded projects to certify compliance with CEQA. Projects receiving funding must coordinate with their city or county planning agency to ensure that the project is compliance with CEQA requirements.

V. Lobbying – (Recipient Handbook Section 2154)

Cal OES grant funds, grant property, or grant funded positions shall not be used for any lobbying activities, including, but not limited to, being paid by or on behalf of the undersigned, to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with the making of any federal grant, the entering into of any cooperative agreement, and the extension, continuation, renewal, amendment, or modification of any federal grant or cooperative agreement.

VI. Debarment and Suspension – (Recipient Handbook Section 2155)

(This applies to federally funded grants only.)

Cal OES-funded projects must certify that it and its principals are not presently debarred, suspended, proposed for debarment, declared ineligible, sentenced to a denial of federal benefits by a state or federal court, or voluntarily excluded from covered transactions by any federal department of agency.

VII. Proof of Authority from City Council/Governing Board

The above-named organization (applicant) accepts responsibility for and will comply with the requirement to obtain written authorization from the city council/governing board in support of this program. The applicant agrees to provide all matching funds required for said project (including any amendment thereof) under the Program and the funding terms and conditions of Cal OES, and that any cash match will be appropriated as required. It is agreed that any liability arising out of the performance of this Grant Award Agreement, including civil court actions for damages, shall be the responsibility of the grant recipient and the authorizing agency. The State of California and Cal OES disclaim responsibility of any such liability. Furthermore, it is also agreed that grant funds received from Cal OES shall not be used to supplant expenditures controlled by the city council/governing board.

The applicant is required to obtain written authorization from the city council/governing board that the official executing this agreement is, in fact, authorized to do so. The applicant is also required to maintain said written authorization on file and readily available upon demand.

All appropriate documentation must be maintained on file by the project and available for Cal OES or public scrutiny upon request. Failure to comply with these requirements may result in suspension of payments under the grant or termination of the grant or both and the Recipient may be ineligible for award of any future grants if the Cal OES determines that any of the following has occurred:

(1) the Recipient has made false certification, or (2) violates the certification by failing to carry out the requirements as noted above.

CERTIFICATION

I, the official named below, am the same individual authorized to sign the Grant Award Agreement [Section 12 on Grant Award Face Sheet], and hereby swear that I am duly authorized legally to bind the contractor or grant recipient to the above described certification. I am fully aware that this certification, executed on the date and in the county below, is made under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California.

Authorized Official's Signature: 

Authorized Official's Typed Name: Charlie Beck ✓

Authorized Official's Title: Chief of Police

Date Executed: 9-11-14

Federal Employer ID #: 95-6000735 Federal DUNS # 037848012

Current Central Contractor Registration Expiration Date: 02/07/2015

Executed in the City/County of: Los Angeles/Los Angeles

AUTHORIZED BY: *(not applicable to State agencies)*

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> City Financial Officer | <input type="checkbox"/> County Financial Officer |
| <input type="checkbox"/> City Manager | <input type="checkbox"/> County Manager |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Governing Board Chair | |

Signature: 

Typed Name: Miguel A. Santana

Title: City Administrative Officer

Grant Recipient: Los Angeles Police Department

Grant Number: 1214067250

A. Personal Services – Salaries/Employee Benefits

Police Detective II

Grant Funds 50 % of salary for one Detective II
26 pay periods (07/01/14 - 6/30/15)
Detective II salary = \$4,380.00 per pay period x 26 pay periods = \$113880 ✓
50% of Detective II salary = \$113880 * 50% = \$56940

\$56,940

Overtime

\$67 (avg. sworn overtime rate) X 581 hours

\$38,927

PERSONAL SECTION TOTAL

\$95,867

①

Grant Recipient: Los Angeles Police Department

Grant Number: LA 1406 7250

B. Operating Expenses

COST

Parking (3 Vehicles x 12 months x \$60/mo. = \$ 2,160)

\$2,160 ✓

Office Supplies

(ballpoint pens, permanent markers, correction tape, paper clips, sticky notes, index folder tabs, envelopes, mailing tape, paper cutter, clear tape, white board markers, white board erasers, sanitizing wipes, etc.)

\$1,473 ✓

Miscellaneous cables for desktop computers, tablet computers, and cell phones

\$500 ✓

OPERATING SECTION TOTAL

\$4,133

10

Grant Recipient:

Grant Number:

1 C 1406 7250

C. Equipment

COST

None

EQUIPMENT SECTION TOTAL

\$0.00

Total Project Cost*

\$100,000

*Same as Block 10G on the Grant Award Face Sheet

R

LEVS Budget Summary Report

IC14 Internet Crimes Against Children
 Los Angeles, City of
 Internet Crimes Against Children

Award #: IC14 06 7250
 Award Period: 07/01/14 - 06/30/15
 Latest Request: , Not Final 201

A. Personal Services - Salaries/Employee Benefits

<u>F/S/L</u>	<u>Funding Source</u>	<u>Budget Amount</u>	<u>Paid/Expended</u>	<u>Balance</u>	<u>Pending</u>	<u>Pending Balance</u>
S	14ICAC	95,867	0	95,867	0	95,867
Total A. Personal Services - Salaries/Employee Benefits:		95,867	0	95,867	0	95,867

B. Operating Expenses

<u>F/S/L</u>	<u>Funding Source</u>	<u>Budget Amount</u>	<u>Paid/Expended</u>	<u>Balance</u>	<u>Pending</u>	<u>Pending Balance</u>
S	14ICAC	4,133	0	4,133	0	4,133
Total B. Operating Expenses:		4,133	0	4,133	0	4,133

C. Equipment

<u>F/S/L</u>	<u>Funding Source</u>	<u>Budget Amount</u>	<u>Paid/Expended</u>	<u>Balance</u>	<u>Pending</u>	<u>Pending Balance</u>
S	14ICAC	0	0	0	0	0
Total C. Equipment:		0	0	0	0	0

	<u>Budget Amount</u>	<u>Paid/Expended</u>	<u>Balance</u>	<u>Pending</u>	<u>Pending Balance</u>
Total Local Match:	0	0	0	0	0
Total Funded:	100,000	0	100,000	0	100,000
Total Project Cost:	100,000	0	100,000	0	100,000

F/S/L (Funding Types): F=Federal, S=State, L=Local Match

Paid/Expended=posted in ledger w/Claim Schedule, Pending=Processed, but not yet in Claim Schedule

10/23/14

Applicant: Los Angeles Police Department

Grant Number: IC 14 06 7250

Budget Narrative

Category A – Personal Services

Grant funds will be utilized to pay 50 percent of the \$4,380 bi-weekly salary of a Los Angeles Police Department Detective II forensic examiner. This forensic examiner is assigned exclusively to perform duties related to the ICAC grant. The calculation is as follows \$4,380 x .50 x 26 pay periods = \$56,940 ✓

Due to the inherent need of performing ICAC duties during off hours, overtime has been budgeted in the grant at the rate of \$67 per hour x 581 hours which totals to the amount of \$38,927. ✓

Total personal services costs are \$95,867 which includes salary and overtime costs.

Category B – Operating Expenses

The LA ICAC has budgeted \$4,133 for office supplies (ballpoint pens, permanent markers, correction tape, paper clips, sticky notes, index folder tabs, envelopes, mailing tape, paper cutter, clear tape, white board markers, white board erasers, sanitizing wipes, etc.), parking fees for three response vehicles, and miscellaneous cables for computers and cell phones. These items will enhance and improve the quality of the LA ICAC Task Force Program.

Category C – Equipment

None

Governor Project Narrative**I. PROBLEM STATEMENT**

The Los Angeles Police Department (LAPD) is a law enforcement community leader that has integrated the Los Angeles Regional Internet Crimes Against Children (LA ICAC) Task Force into its law enforcement efforts. The Task Force serves five counties and encompasses an area of approximately 29,500 square miles and 16 million community members. The purpose the LA ICAC is to quickly respond, investigate and prosecute incidents involving the exploitation of juveniles through the use of the Internet. The Task Force specialized investigators allow monitoring and interception of wireless data, prevents destruction of computerized evidence, enables physical transportation of hardware during a search warrant, and enables a quick response to prosecute perpetrators.

Even with the Internet's rapid growth and popularity during the past 20 years, public safety agencies have lacked sufficient resources to combat the problem of Internet predators and the possession and distribution of child pornography over the Internet. The LAPD recognized the need for and strength in law enforcement partners collaborating to address Internet crime. With federal assistance, the Los Angeles Regional Internet Crimes Against Children Task Force (LA ICAC or Task Force) was formed in 2005. Led by the LAPD, this enormous partnership required a great deal of effort and planning. Today, the Task Force is currently comprised of numerous law enforcement agencies (LEAs). Among them are: the LAPD, the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department, the cities of Azusa, Alhambra, Arcadia, Baldwin Park, Bell Gardens, Beverly Hills, Claremont, Downey, El Segundo,

Applicant: Los Angeles Police Department

Grant Number: 14067250

Gardena, Glendale, Hawthorne, Inglewood, Long Beach, Manhattan Beach, Pasadena, Santa Monica, Signal Hill, Torrance, the Los Angeles School Police, the University of California Los Angeles (UCLA), the Los Angeles City Attorney's Office, and the Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office in Los Angeles County; City of Guadalupe, Lompoc, Santa Maria, Santa Barbara County Sheriff's Department, County of Santa Barbara District Attorney and its Bureau of Investigations in Santa Barbara County; City of Ventura, Oxnard, Port Hueneme, Santa Paula, Simi Valley, Ventura County Sheriff's Department, Naval Criminal Investigative Service at Port Hueneme, Ventura County's Sheriff's and District Attorney's Office in Ventura County; the City of Redland, Upland and the San Bernardino County Sheriff's Department in San Bernardino County; and, Anaheim, Buena Park, Garden Grove, Huntington Beach, Newport Beach, and the Orange County Sheriff's Department in Orange County. Also participating are the United States Postal Inspection Service, United States Immigration and Customs Enforcement Agency (ICE), and the United States Attorney's Office. The LAPD is leading the effort to acquire additional grant funding to add additional LEAs during the FY 2014/2015 Internet Crime Against Children (ICAC) Grant.

Funding is being sought from The California Governor's Office of Emergency Services (Cal OES) Internet Crimes Against Children Program to enable the LA ICAC to continue to improve Task Force effectiveness in preventing, interdicting, investigating and prosecuting Internet crimes against children by accomplishing the following goals and activities: Serve as a resource for communities and agencies within its geographic area; Conduct both proactive and reactive investigations into the

computer/technology crimes perpetrated against children and youth; Serve as a forensic resource to affiliate and non-affiliate agencies within the Task Force's geographical service area; Assist with the successful prosecution of cases at the local, state and federal level; and Expand the LA ICAC Task Force to prevent, interdict, investigate and prosecute Internet crimes against children. Through these goals and activities, the LA ICAC will strive to provide a safer environment for children.

The Task Force encompasses an area primarily in and around Los Angeles County, which has a population of approximately 10 million people. Throughout the areas served by the Task Force, access to computers and other technology-related communication devices has increased at a dramatic rate. Computers are available to children in homes, schools, public libraries, community youth centers, and countless other public places where youth congregate. Based on data from the United States (U.S.) Census Bureau (2005), it is estimated there are over 24.5 million Internet users in the United States are 10 to 17 years of age.¹ Additionally, youth are accessing the Internet at ever-increasing levels. Just the technological advances over the last five years have been staggering. There has been "large increases in the capacity of computers to receive and transmit images; increases in speeds of Internet access; increases in the availability of inexpensive and sophisticated digital cameras, web cameras, cell phones camera, and media players; and the development of new technologies such as peer-to-peer file sharing."²

This increase in technology has created a tremendous potential in learning for youth. Unfortunately, it has also brought with it, the increased potential for online

¹ Online Victimization of Youth: Five Years Later, 2006, National Center for Missing & Exploited Children

² IBID

victimization of these same young people to include unwanted exposure to sexual material, unwanted sexual solicitations, and online harassment. Over the last several years, the aggressive marketing of sexual material on the Internet has increased.

Young people encounter unwanted exposure to sexual material through pop-up ads and malicious software. Items have been found bundled in game demonstrations.

Young people also continue to receive unwanted sexual solicitations while online.

During the past year, the number of Internet users under the age of 18 who experienced an unwanted exposure to sexual material was at an all-time high of 34 percent of users³. Particularly disturbing trends in these solicitations are requests for young people to send photographs of themselves in sexually explicit poses. It is a crime under federal law, to take, distribute, or possess sexually explicit images of children younger than 18 years of age. Many of these photographs would constitute child pornography. When a person under the age of 18 complies with these requests, he/she may not be able to realize the potential impact of his/her actions. The picture(s) could be circulated online to hundreds of people, or more. The victim would have no way of retrieving the images.

The foregoing statistics mirror what is currently happening locally in the LA ICAC Task Force area. During calendar year 2013, the Task Force received 3,192 Cybertips from the National Center for Missing and Exploited Children (NCMEC) and conducted 1,066 investigations. The Task Force continues to receive documented complaints regarding the manufacturing, distribution, and possession of child pornography. In addition to the documented complaints and Cybertips received, the

³ Online Victimization of Youth: Five Years Later, 2006, National Center for Missing & Exploited Children

Task Force receives countless phone calls and referrals from parents, teachers, relatives, and other agencies regarding possible online crimes committed against young people. Cyber-tips are citizen complaints and Internet service provider complaints forwarded from NCMEC for the possession and posting of child pornography.

Both national and local statistics suggest that the online victimization of children is not decreasing, but growing significantly, on all levels. This could be attributed to a combination factors including, but not limited to, an increase in the number of online child predators, an increase in a young person's access to computers, an increase in the technological advances of the computer devices used, and an increase in the amount of time children and youth spend using the Internet daily.

II. GOALS, OBJECTIVES, AND PERFORMANCE MEASURES

In order to maintain and expand state and regional ICAC task forces, as well as improve task force effectiveness and to prevent, interdict, investigate, and prosecute crimes against children, the LA ICAC proposes to achieve the following goals during the 12 month grant period: These are based on the goals of the 2014 National ICAC Program.

Goal #1: Serve as a resource for communities and agencies within its geographic area.

Objective 1a: Increase in the number of ICAC related referrals and requests will be received and forwarded to the appropriate agency.

Applicant: Los Angeles Police Department

Grant Number: 14 06 7250

Objective 1b: Engage in law enforcement and community outreach activities including training, prevention, and education activities. In accordance with the *National Project Safe Childhood Initiative*, the Task Force recognizes the need to train federal, state, and local law enforcement. The Task Force also recognizes the need for continued awareness and public education campaigns.

Activities:

To achieve these objectives, the Task Force will:

- Accept and assess referrals and requests for information from participating agencies, citizens, teachers, NCMEC, and other related entities.
- Refer non-ICAC related referrals and requests to the appropriate agency.
- Identify and provide information/resources requested.
- Document actions taken on a statistical reporting form.
- The LA ICAC will complete the Speaker Request Form with information pertaining to the date/time of the event; the identity and contact information of the requestor; the location of the event; the number of people expected; and the topic desired.
- Provide copies of the Speaker Request Form to supervisors and the Task Force Records Officer.
- After the presentation, follow-up to ascertain the number of attendees via a sign-in sheet (if applicable).
- Develop a presentation evaluation form for attendees to complete.

Goal #2: To conduct both proactive and reactive investigations into the computer/technology crimes perpetrated against children and youth.

(B)

Objective 2a: Investigate reactive and proactive cases.

Objective 2b: Conduct criminal investigations initiated from Task Force members, allied agencies (local, state, and federal), citizen complaints, teachers, Cyber-tips, NCMEC, and other similar sources. The Task Force will strive whenever possible to integrate federal, state and local efforts as identified in the U.S. Attorney General's Project Safe Childhood Initiative.

Objective 2c: Develop and complete a statistical tracking form for investigation to include information on case outcome (arrests, search warrant, etc.).

Activities:

To achieve this objective for reactive cases, the Task Force will complete the following activities:

- Assess initial report information identify responsible jurisdiction related to prosecution and additional investigative follow up.
- Develop suspect information and probable cause through appropriate investigative techniques.
- Write and execute search and arrest warrants.
- Conduct undercover investigations including, but not limited to, on-line chat, Peer-to-Peer, monitoring of social network sites, e.g., MySpace, Facebook, Zanga, etc.

Applicant: Los Angeles Police Department

Grant Number: 1L 14 067250

- Coordinate case presentation with appropriate prosecutorial designee (Assistant United States Attorney, District Attorney, Deputy Attorney General, etc.).

Goal #3: Serve as a forensic resource to affiliate and non-affiliate agencies within the Task Force's geographic service area.

Objective 3a: Complete at least 120 forensic examinations.

Objective 3b: Respond effectively to at least 250 ICAC related referrals from agencies (other task forces, federal partners, Cyber-tips, etc.).

Activities:

To achieve the objective the Task Force will complete the following activities:

- Receive and assess forensic requests from Task Force members, affiliate and non-affiliate agencies within the Task Force service area.
- Refer non-ICAC related referrals and requests to the appropriate agency.
- Triage forensic requests based on Task Force guidelines (based on pending court dates, seriousness of the crime, etc.).
- Coordinate forensic requests/requirements with respective prosecutor to minimize unneeded analysis.
- Assist in conducting previews and search warrants.
- Prepare forensic report based on Task Force policy.
- Complete statistical tracking form for forensic examinations completed.
- Provide and Identify information/resources needed by requesting party.

Applicant: Los Angeles Police Department

Grant Number: 1C14067250

- Make personnel resources available for all necessary meetings as identified by investigation leader.

Goal #4: Assist with the successful prosecution of cases at the local, state and federal level. The Task Force will strive to increase federal involvement in the prosecution of these cases as set forth in the *National Project Safe Childhood Initiative*.

Objective 4a: Submit at least 30 total cases for federal, state, or local prosecution.

Activities:

To achieve this objective, the Task Force will:

- Prepare case for prosecution based on prosecutor's standards.
- Complete statistical tracking to include the number of cases submitted for federal prosecution, the number of cases accepted for federal prosecution, the number of cases submitted for state prosecution, and the number of cases submitted for local prosecution.
- Complete statistical tracking to include case dispositions (e.g., unfounded, suspended, plea, or trial).

Goal #5: The Task Force will effectively respond to referrals from agencies (other task forces, federal partners, cybertips, etc.).

Objective 5a: Respond to 100 ICAC-related referrals.

Activities:

To achieve this objective, the Task Force will:

Applicant: Los Angeles Police Department

Grant Number: IC 14 06 7250

- Accept referrals from participating agencies, citizens, teachers, NCMEC, and other related entities.
- Assess referrals for appropriateness as related to the ICAC guidelines.
- Refer non-ICAC related referrals and requests to the appropriate agency.
- Provide and identify information/resources needed by the requesting party.
- Document actions taken on statistical reporting form.

Goal #6: The Task Force will engage in law enforcement and community outreach to include training, prevention, and education activities.

Objective 6a: Conduct 12 community outreach and 6 law enforcement presentations.

Activities:

To achieve this objective, the Task Force will:

- Receive requests for presenters from people representing law enforcement agencies and community groups (community groups include, but is not limited to, schools, youth organizations, community-based organizations, state and local law enforcement associations, local businesses and organizations, victim service providers, and faith based organizations).
- Identify someone on the Task Force who has skills and knowledge to make the presentations.
- Provide resources for appropriate material to present.
- Report the presentations on the monthly statistical reports.

Goal #7: Expand and formalize law enforcement partnerships with the LA ICAC task force to prevent, interdict, investigate and prosecute Internet crimes against children.

Objective 7a: Add new law enforcement partners in the LA ICAC task force.

Objective 7b: Obtain a signed MOU from new partner agencies, which includes adoption of federal ICAC Task Force investigative standards.

Activities:

To achieve this objective, the Task Force will:

- Contact and conduct presentations to agencies that have requested assistance, training, information or had Cyber-tips referred to them in the past year.
- Ensure the MOU includes language requiring partner agencies to adopt ICAC Task Force Investigative Standards for all ICAC-related investigations.
- Provide a copy of ICAC Task Force Investigative Standards to all partner agencies;
- Keep copies of signed MOUs on file and add the new agency to list of formal ICAC partners.
- Keep agencies apprised of training opportunities, nationally coordinated investigations and planning meetings and invite them to attend quarterly Task Force meetings.

Goal #8: Participate in nationally-coordinated investigations.

Objective 8a: The Task Force will participate in all nationally coordinated investigations as requested.

Applicant: Los Angeles Police Department

Grant Number: 14 067250

Activities:

To achieve this objective, the Task Force will:

- Identify available resources for participation.
- Make personnel resources available for all necessary meetings as identified by investigation leader.
- Report participation on a six-month progress report.

III. PROJECT/PROGRAM DESIGN IMPLEMENTATION

The LA ICAC will assist with the successful prosecution of cases at the local, state and federal levels as well as strive to increase federal involvement in prosecution. Cases will be prepared based on the prosecutor's standards. It is the goal of the Task Force to submit at least 30 cases for prosecution.

The Task Force will seek to expand its membership during the grant period. A list of those agencies seeking Task Force technical assistance or investigative assistance with ICAC-related cases has been compiled. Those agencies will be targeted and contacted about establishing a formal relationship with the Task Force. They will also be provided with a presentation on the ICAC Program and the process for becoming an affiliate agency.

In the LAPD, the day-to-day activities of the assigned ICACU detectives are supervised by a Lieutenant, dedicated to ICAC duties. This supervisor is the LA ICAC Task Force Commander (TFC). The TFC ensures that all investigators follow the ICAC Task Force Operational and Investigative Standards. The TFC is responsible for case assignments and public presentation assignments. The TFC is

also responsible for assigning requests for technical assistance and requests for resources. On an as-needed basis, the TFC will support requests for technical assistance, requests for resources and training by other LEAs and the community. The TFC is supervised by a Captain who provides direction for Task Force activities.

Proactive and Reactive Investigations

The Task Force will conduct criminal investigations initiated from the Task Force members, other agencies (local, state and federal), public complaints, NCMEC, Children's Cyber-tip line and other similar sources. It will assess initial report information, identify jurisdiction related to prosecution and additional investigative follow up. The Task Force will write and serve search and arrest warrants and collect and process evidence. In addition, it will coordinate case presentation with the appropriate prosecutorial designee (District Attorney, Deputy Attorney General, and Assistant U.S. Attorney). The LA ICAC will conduct both proactive and reactive investigations. Proactive investigations occur when undercover investigators enter various Internet chat rooms posing as children to engage and arrange meetings with predators who are interested in traveling to meet children for sex.

During these proactive investigations, the investigators will also capture any sexually explicit images and videos that the predator sends them. In California, sending lewd material to a child in order to lure that child for sex is an additional felony charge that can be filed along with the attempt to molest a child when the predator travels to a meet location. Reactive cases arise from reports from a variety of sources, although most come from citizens directly or from NCMEC. In the event that the report is about a child who has already been molested, officers will attempt to

assume the persona of the abused child to re-engage the predator through the Internet to gather corroborative evidence. If the predator agrees to meet for sex again, the individual can be charged with additional offenses.

The Task Force will conduct both proactive and reactive investigations into computer and/or technology crimes perpetrated against children throughout its geographic service area. The Task Force services will be directed at communities within its multi-county service area. Services will be provided to children, teachers, parents, other concerned community members, and LEAs. Crimes committed by suspects residing in the service area will be investigated. Cases involving suspects residing outside of the service area/jurisdiction will be referred to the appropriate LEA.

When it comes to computer facilitated crimes against children, the most effective tool is forensic computer examinations. Forensic computer examination is the process of collecting, analyzing and preserving computer related data. Examiners use special tools and follow certain evidence collection procedures. The forensic examiner's tools usually include operating system utilities (for backups, disk manipulation, string searches, etc.), data recovery software (to thwart file deletion attempts) file viewers and Hex editors (to perform Win/Mac data conversion and reveal information contents and patterns) and commercial firewalls (for network sniffing and port scanning during investigations).

Digital evidence is information and data of investigative value that is stored and transmitted by an electronic device. Such evidence is acquired when data or physical items are collected and stored for examination purposes. Computer forensic evidence

is often latent in the same sense as fingerprints or DNA evidence. It can cross borders with ease and speed, is fragile and can be easily altered, damaged, or destroyed, and it is sometimes time sensitive.

Forensic Resources

The LA ICAC will also serve as a forensic resource to affiliate and non-affiliate agencies within the Task Force's geographical service area. When forensic resources requests are received, they will be assessed and either processed or referred to the appropriate agency. The Task Force will then coordinate the forensic requests with respective prosecutors to minimize unneeded analysis. Forensic reports will be generated based on task force policy and statistical tracking forms will be completed to assist in quarterly reports.

Computer forensic evidence, like all other evidence, must be handled carefully and in a manner that preserves its evidentiary value. Certain types of computer evidence require special collection, packaging, and transportation. If precautions are not taken and/or the computer is not examined by a trained and experienced computer forensics specialist, then the usefulness and credibility of that evidence will be compromised.

The ability to bring perpetrators of serious crimes against children to justice requires that the data be preserved. When prospective exploiters of children record or re-create pornography using computers or digital devices, they almost always leave digital remnants, artifacts and a recurring record of their crimes. Unfortunately, perpetrators may get away with these crimes if computers or other devices capable of storing data such as commercial servers, workstations, laptops, hard drives, digital

cameras, palm size computers, and personal data assistants (PDAs) are not examined by trained and experienced forensics specialists, and preserved with special precautions. When the integrity of the evidence is compromised, its use in obtaining a conviction is diminished. In jurisprudence, this is referred to as maintaining the "chain of custody." The "chain of custody" specifically refers to the documentation or trail showing the seizure, custody, control, transfer, analysis and disposition of physical and electronic evidence. Because evidence is used in court to convict persons of crimes, it must be handled in a scrupulously careful manner to avoid later allegations of tampering or misconduct which can compromise the prosecution's case and lead to acquittal.

For the computer forensics field, the concept of "chain of custody" equates to the following best practices. Best practices can be described as the methods and procedures used to collect digital evidence, while maintaining its integrity. In order to conform to best practices, examiners require knowledge and extensive training to apply them and the tools required and a properly equipped facility to carry them out.

Homeland Security Investigations (HSI) regional headquarters is located in Long Beach, California. This will allow HSI and the LA ICAC to work together and collaborate on cases as well as have access to the forensic capabilities and laboratory housed within HSI. As a member of the HSI Task Force with cross Federal status, the LA ICAC members housed at HSI have become Customs Officers, allowing the individual to facilitate investigation and computer forensic examinations beyond city boundaries. This will enable the LA ICAC to establish better working relationships with other agencies through the work the computer forensic examiner provides.

Reporting and Data Collection

On a monthly basis, the Task Force Commander (TFC) will compile a report showing the number of investigations completed, the status of each investigation (e.g., arrested, pending, etc.), the number of forensic examinations completed, the number and type of presentations given each month, the number of people trained, the frequency of technical assistance provided, and the number of times the Task Force served as a resource to the community and other LEAs. On a quarterly basis, the TFC will complete a report detailing the Task Force's status toward achieving the stated goals and objectives. This report will list each objective as shown in this application, each goal associated with the individual objectives, and our status to date. Those areas where we are not on target toward achieving our stated goals will be reviewed by the TFC. Resources will be allocated to those areas to ensure our ability to meet our goals as stated in this application.

As the lead agency, the LAPD will endeavor to coordinate and provide all resources for the investigation of computer-facilitated crimes against children in Los Angeles County, evaluating all requests for assistance, to ensure the successful operation of the ICAC Program. These resources include, but are not limited to, building space, furniture, investigative tools, computer equipment, forensic equipment, investigative staff, and support personnel.

IV. ORGANIZATIONAL CAPABILITY AND COMPETENCIES

The LAPD is the third largest police department in the United States, consisting of 10,000 sworn officers, 3,000 civilian employees, encompasses an area of 473 square miles, and provides public safety for a population of approximately 3.8 million

people with an annual budget that exceeds one billion dollars. Although the LAPD has a relatively small police force in comparison to other major cities in the United States, it serves among the largest municipal populations. Established in 1869, the LAPD has a 140-year history of distinguished service, highlighted by its outstanding support of the Summer Olympics in 1984, and its top notch protection of Pope John Paul II's during his visit to Los Angeles in 1987.

Among LAPD's 20 management principles, the importance of public participation in crime prevention, friendly enforcement, and police/community partnerships are emphasized. Because of its commitment to working with the community, the LAPD is succeeding in reducing crime in the communities it serves and hopes to do the same while serving as the lead agency for the LA ICAC Task Force.

The ICAC Unit (ICACU), Juvenile Division, LAPD, provides functional oversight for Task Force operations and serves as the administrator of the grant funds. Lieutenant Andrea Grossman is the supervisor of the ICAC Unit and Commander of the Los Angeles Regional ICAC Task Force. She is charged with meeting all goals and objectives of the ICAC Task Force grant award. Detective Gilbert Escontrias assists Lieutenant Grossman in all operations of the LA ICAC Task Force.

The LA ICAC experienced a significant increase in productivity during 2013. The information below represents an overview of a portion of the accomplishments:

- Arrests: 270 arrests were made or complaints filed.
- Federal Search Warrants: 48 Federal search warrants and 508 subpoenas or court orders were obtained.

Applicant: Los Angeles Police Department

Grant Number: IC 14 06 7250

- State/Local Search Warrants: 874 State/Local Search Warrants and 115 subpoenas or court orders were obtained.
- LA ICAC received 3,192 documented Cybertips and 1066 investigations.

The LAPD and the LA ICAC member agencies recognize the need for prevention efforts. The LAPD has begun to reach out to the media and the public. Thus far, it has enjoyed success by having personnel appear on the Dr. Phil Show, the Early Show on NBC with Katie Couric, and various computer radio shows on KFI-AM 640 and KNX-AM 1070 to promote awareness of Internet dangers for children. The LA ICAC has been aggressively promoting education and information to the public through the media and community presentations. In fact, recently NBC's Los Angeles Region produced a special investigation regarding the LA ICAC mission during its highest rating period of "Sweeps Week." This information was also broadcast widely through the Internet. The LA ICAC also published an article for the February edition of *Police Chief Magazine* which was highlighted as the feature article and covered the mission of the LA ICAC. The LA ICAC also won the International Association of Chiefs of Police Award for Use of Technology for the apprehension of criminals using computers to facilitate crimes. The LA ICAC appeared on a local television program "Sunday LA." The program was about child sexual predators and helping the public understand the current laws. The news program was available in 2.3 million households in Southern California.

The Associated Press accompanied the ICAC Task Force during the execution of a search warrant on a suspected Internet child pornographer. The article that the

Applicant: Los Angeles Police Department

Grant Number: 14 06 7250

Associated Press wrote regarding their experience with the ICAC investigators was very positive. The information was presented in the best way possible to educate the community regarding the very dangerous and prevalent existence of Internet predators.

Additional appearances on national television (in English and Spanish) and local radio are expected. Moreover, the LAPD has designed and is hosting a website for the LA ICAC Task Force Program. In its effort to collaborate with the community, the LAPD has made numerous Internet Safety presentations to schools, parent groups, and youth conferences, most notably the 2013 Internet Cyber Symposium, where an Internet safety program was delivered to approximately 350 children and parents.

Due to the increased interest and participation in "sexting" by teens and pre-teens, the LA ICAC has partnered law enforcement agencies from Interpol, Ireland, the United Kingdom and the Australia and Royal College of Surgeons in Ireland to assist in developing a more comprehensive analysis of sexting. Sexting is defined as sexually suggestive images taken and willfully distributed by mobile phone by the person in that image. This form of self-generated pornography is very disturbing and gives the Internet child predator yet another method of easily obtaining nude photographs of children. The study will cover a three-year period (January 1, 2009 through December 31, 2012). It is anticipated that the results will be published in 2014. The findings will be presented at Interpol and other law enforcement venues.

In December 2007 through July 2008, Project Fairplay employed the proactive technique known as "Peer-to-Peer Investigations." Peer-to-Peer investigations targeted individuals sharing child pornography files among the Gnutella File Sharing

Network. Users of the Gnutella Network made the files on their computer available for download to other users on the network. Thereby, the public availability of these files constituted distribution of child pornography.

The LA ICAC executed LA Saves, a multi-agency task force special one day initiative. The purpose of LA Saves was to conduct probation and parole searches of sex registrants who have search conditions as a result of prior child pornography convictions (Penal Code Section 311, et al.). The Task Force consisted of LA ICAC investigators and affiliate members, along with members of the Los Angeles Police Department Registration Enforcement and Compliance Team (LAPD REACT) and Computer Crimes Unit (CCU), the Department of Children and Family Services, the U.S. Secret Service Computer Crimes Task Force, and the Los Angeles County Probation and Parole. In May of 2014, over 250 home searches were conducted at residences in which occupants were on parole or probation for Possession of Child Pornography. This action resulted in the arrests of 186 individuals. The LAPD conducts at least 21 LA Saves sweep per year with the LA ICAC assistance.

The LAPD is partnered with Ireland Interpol, New Scotland Yard, NCMEC, and the Australian Police in Project Vic. This Interpol Initiative is for improving information sharing using cutting-edge technologies and approaches. This project will serve as a model that conclusively demonstrates the effectiveness of targeted information sharing to improve agencies' ability to apprehend pedophiles and rescue children from sexual abuse. The project will define and publish the first standards-based protocol for connecting the dots across separate child exploitation systems and processes, which has the potential to radically transform the global response to child

Applicant: Los Angeles Police Department

Grant Number: 14 067250

exploitation in the same way that other standards such as Wi-Fi have revolutionized networking. The service will support a high-quality repository of categorized image hashes, leading to major improvements in the efficiency of investigators in rescuing victims. The service will allow investigators in separate agencies to be aware of each other's activities in ongoing investigations, and help make the connections across boundaries. The project will also define the protocol for connecting disparate child exploitation applications and databases, and implement the protocol as part of the service.

V. CONCLUSION

The LA ICAC will continue to improve task force effectiveness in preventing, interdicting, investigating and prosecuting Internet crimes against children by accomplishing the following goals: Serve as a resource for communities and agencies within its geographic area; Conduct both proactive and reactive investigations into the computer/technology crimes perpetrated against children and youth; Serve as a forensic resource to affiliate and non-affiliate agencies within the Task Force's geographical service area; Assist with the successful prosecution of cases at the local, state and federal level; and Expand the LA ICAC Task Force to prevent, interdict, investigate and prosecute Internet crimes against children. Through these activities, the LA ICAC will strive to provide a safer environment for children.

PROJECT SUMMARY

1. GRANT AWARD NO.

IC 14 06 7250

2. PROJECT TITLE

2014/2015 Internet Crimes Against Children

3. GRANT PERIOD

07/01/2014 to 06/30/2015

4. APPLICANT

Name: City of Los Angeles Phone: (213) 486-0380

Address: 100 W. 1st Street Fax #: (213) 486-5727

City: Los Angeles Zip: 90012-4112

5. GRANT AMOUNT

(this is the same amount as 10G of the Grant Award Face Sheet)

\$ 100,000.00

6. IMPLEMENTING AGENCY

Name: The Los Angeles Police Department Phone: (213) 486-0380 Fax #: (213) 486-5727

Address: 100 West First Street, Room #842 City: Los Angeles Zip: 90012-4112

7. PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Los Angeles Regional ICAC Task Force will investigate computer crimes that target our youth; provide educational programs aimed at law enforcement officers, teachers, parents and children; serve as a forensic resource to law enforcement agencies within its service area; prosecute cases at the local, state, and federal levels; and participate in nationally-coordinated investigation efforts.

8. PROBLEM STATEMENT

For many years, the Los Angeles area suffered from a lack of resources to combat the problem of Internet predators and the possession and distribution of child pornography over the Internet. Increases in technology has brought with it the increased potential for online victimization of young people to include unwanted exposure to sexual material, unwanted sexual solicitations, and online harassment. Over the last several years, the aggressive marketing of sexual material on the Internet has increased. The Los Angeles Police Department (LAPD) recognized the need for collaboration and set about with Federal assistance to form the Task Force. The LAPD formed the Task Force late in 2005. The Task Force is currently comprised of over 50 participating law enforcement agencies covering five counties.

9. OBJECTIVES

There are eight objectives that the Task Force will achieve. The following are the major objectives: #1 Serve as a resource for communities and agencies within its geographic area.; #2 Conduct both proactive and reactive investigations into the computer/technology crimes perpetrated against children and youth.; #3 Serve as a forensic resource to affiliate and non-affiliate agencies within the Task Force's geographic service area.; #4 Assist with the successful prosecution of cases at the local, state and federal level.; #5 Effectively respond to referrals from agencies; #6 Engage in law enforcement and community outreach to include training, prevention, and education activities.; #7 Expand and formalize law enforcement partnerships with the LA ICAC task force to prevent, interdict, investigate and prosecute Internet crimes against children.; and #8 Participate in national coordinated investigations.

10. ACTIVITIES

The Task Force will conduct both proactive and reactive investigations into computer and/or technology crimes perpetrated against children throughout its geographic service area. Services will be provided to children, teachers, parents, other concerned community members, and Law Enforcement Agencies (LEAs). Crimes committed by suspects residing in the service area will be investigated. Cases involving suspects residing outside of the service area/jurisdiction will be referred to the appropriate LEA. The Task Force will conduct criminal investigations initiated from the Task Force members, other agencies (local, state and federal), public complaints, the NCMEC Cybertip line and other similar sources. It will assess initial report information, identify jurisdiction related to prosecution and additional investigative follow up. The Task Force will write and serve search and arrest warrants and collect and process evidence. In addition, it will coordinate case presentation with the appropriate prosecutorial designee (District Attorney, Deputy Attorney General, Assistant United States Attorney).

11. EVALUATION (if applicable)

Statistics are collected on the monthly, quarterly and semi-annual reports and will be submitted to Cal EMA via the required quarterly progress reports. The reports will list each objective, each goal associated with the individual objectives, and our status to date. Those areas where we are not on target toward achieving our stated goals will be reviewed by the Task Force Cmdr.

12. NUMBER OF CLIENTS

(if applicable)

13. PROJECT BUDGET

(these are the same amounts as on Budget Pages)

	Personal Services	Operating Expenses	Equipment	TOTAL
See budget narrative and worksheet	\$95,867	\$4,133		\$100,000
				\$0
				\$0
				\$0
				\$0
				\$0
Totals:	\$95,867	\$4,133	\$0	\$100,000

Operational Agreements (OA) Summary Form

	List of Agencies/Organizations/Individuals	Date OA Signed (xx/xx/xxxx)	Dates of OA	
			From:	To:
1.	Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department	01/01/2006		to
2.	Azusa Police Department	04/13/2011		to
3.	Alhambra Police Department	10/09/2009		to
4.	Arcadia Police Department	08/03/2009		to
5.	Baldwin Park Police Department	09/10/2009		to
6.	Bell Gardens Police Department	08/04/2010		to
7.	Beverly Hills Police Department	05/03/2009		to
8.	Claremont Police Department	10/13/2011		to
9.	Downey Police Department	03/09/2010		to
10.	El Segundo Police Department	09/13/2010		to
11.	Gardena Police Department	20/16/2011		to
12.	Glendale Police Department	07/21/2005		to
13.	Hawthorne Police Department	02/16/2011		to
14.	Inglewood Police Department	03/07/2012		to
15.	Long Beach Police Department	07/21/2005		to
16.	Manhattan Beach Police Department	05/05/2009		to
17.	Pasadena Police Department	01/19/2006		to
18.	Torrance Police Department	11/27/2005		to
19.	Los Angeles City Attorney's Office	01/24/2006		to
20.	Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office	11/17/2009		to

Use additional pages if necessary.

Operational Agreements (OA) Summary Form

List of Agencies/Organizations/Individuals		Date OA Signed (xx/xx/xxxx)	Dates of OA From: To:	
1.	Lompoc Police Department	1/23/2009		to
2.	County of Santa Barbara District Attorney	07/21/2010		to
3.	County of Santa Barbara Bureau of Investigations	04/12/2011		to
4.	Oxnard Police Department	02/01/2010		to
5.	Ventura County Sheriff's Department	05/12/2009		to
6.	Naval Criminal Investigative Service at Port Hueneme	08/21/2012		to
7.	Simi Valley Police Department	01/15/2009		to
8.	Ventura County's Sheriff's Department	05/12/2009		to
9.	Ventura County District Attorney's Office	11/15/2010		to
10.	City of Redlands	01/01/2006		to
11.	San Bernardino County Sheriff's Department	01/01/2005		to
12.	Anaheim Police Department	03/15/2010		to
13.	Garden Grove Police Department	11/22/2011		to
14.	Huntington Beach Police Department	09/09/2010		to
15.	Long Beach Police Department	06/18/2009		to
16.	Manhattan Beach Police Department	05/05/2009		to
17.	Newport Beach Police Department	06/15/2011		to
18.	Orange County Sheriff's Department	08/15/2011		to
19.	United States Postal Inspection Service	03/23/2011		to
20.	United States Immigration and Customs Enforcement Agency (ICE)	06/18/2009		to

Use additional pages if necessary.

Operational Agreements (OA) Summary Form

List of Agencies/Organizations/Individuals	Date OA Signed (xx/xx/xxxx)	Dates of OA From:	To:
1. United States Attorney's Office	01/01/2006	to	
2. Los Angeles School Police	07/11/2012	to	
3. San Bernardino County District Attorney's Office	01/01/2009	to	
4. San Bernardino County Investigator's Office	01/01/2009	to	
5. Santa Monica Police Department	08/04/2011	to	
6. Southern California High Tech Task Force	01/01/2011	to	
7. UCLA Campus Police	07/25/2011	to	
8. Homeland Security Investigation	06/18/2009	to	
9. United States Secret Service	06/25/2003	to	
10. Los Angeles County District Attorney Investigator's Office	11/17/2009	to	
11. Orange Police Department	07/01/2014	to	
12. Burbank Police Department	06/23/2014	to	
13. United States Marine Corps	08/01/2014	to	
14. National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA)	06/01/2014	to	
15. Whittier Police Department	07/01/2014	to	
16.		to	
17.		to	
18.		to	
19. Our MOA's do not have a start or end date. They are valid until LAPD decides to terminate them.		to	
20. Due to the number of MOUs the LA ICAC has obtained, the LAPD will provide hard copies upon demand.		to	

Use additional pages if necessary.

PROJECT SERVICE AREA INFORMATION

1. COUNTY OR COUNTIES SERVED: Enter the name(s) of the county or counties served by the project. Put an asterisk where the project's principal office is located.

Los Angeles*
Orange
San Bernardino
Ventura
Santa Barbara

2. U.S. CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT(S): Enter the number(s) of the U.S. Congressional District(s) which the project serves. Put an asterisk for the district where the project's principal office is located.

Los Angeles: 25, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 46*
Orange: 40, 42, 44, 46, 47, 48
San Bernardino: 25, 26, 41, 42, 43
Ventura: 23, 24
Santa Barbara: 23, 24

3. STATE ASSEMBLY DISTRICT(S): Enter the number(s) of the State Assembly District(s) which the project serves. Put an asterisk for the district where the project's principal office is located.

Los Angeles: 36 through 61 (54*)
Orange: 56, 60, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73
San Bernardino: 32, 34, 36, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 65
Ventura: 35, 37, 38, 41
Santa Barbara: 33, 35

4. STATE SENATE DISTRICT(S): Enter the number(s) of the State Senate District(s) that the project serves. Put an asterisk for the district where the project's principal office is located.

Los Angeles: 17, 19 through 30, 32 (27*)
Orange: 33, 34, 35, 38
San Bernardino: 17, 18, 29, 31, 32,
Ventura: 17, 19, 23
Santa Barbara: 15, 19

5. POPULATION OF SERVICE AREA: Enter the total population of the area served by the project.

Los Angeles: 9,884,632	Santa Barbara: 427,267	Total: 16,264,580
Orange: 3,055,792	Ventura: 832,970	
San Bernardino: 2,063,919		

Source for population data: State of California, Department of Finance
<http://www.dof.ca.gov/research/demographic/reports/estimates/e-5/2011-20/view.php>

OTHER FUNDING SOURCES

Complete this form to report the total funds available to support the activities related to accomplishing the goals and objectives of the Grant Award Agreement. In the "Grant Funds" column, report the CalEMA funds requested by category. In the "Other Funds" column, report all other funds available to support the project by category and then calculate the totals by category in the "Program Total" column. Total each column to arrive at the total program funds available.

OTHER FUNDING SOURCES			
(Enter numbers without \$ or decimal points.)			
BUDGET CATEGORY	GRANT FUNDS <i>(Use only the grant funds identified in the preceding budget pages.)</i>	OTHER FUNDS	PROGRAM TOTAL
Personal Services	95,867	306,142	\$402,009
Operating Expenses	4,133	309,381	\$313,514
Equipment	0	25,367	\$25,367
TOTAL	\$100,000	\$640,890	\$740,890

This form does not become part of the grant award.

PRIOR, CURRENT AND PROPOSED Cal EMA FUNDING

List all currently funded Cal EMA projects and all Cal EMA grants awarded to the applicant during the last five fiscal years. Include the fiscal year of operation, the grant number and the amount of Cal EMA funding. For current and proposed grants that include positions funded by more than one Cal EMA grant, list these personnel by title and the percentage of the position funded by Cal EMA. The percentage of funding must not exceed 100 percent for any one individual.

Example				
FISCAL YEAR	GRANT NUMBER	GRANT AMOUNT	PERSONNEL BY TITLE	PERCENTAGE PAID BY OES
2005-06	DC05160010	\$50,000	Project Director	25%
2005-06	CE05089504	\$67,000	Project Director	25%
2005-06	MS05040550	\$68,000	Project Director	50%

PRIOR, CURRENT AND PROPOSED Cal EMA FUNDING				
FISCAL YEAR	GRANT NUMBER	GRANT AMOUNT	PERSONNEL BY TITLE	% OF Cal EMA FUNDING
2008	IC07017250	\$125,000	Forensic Examiner	100%
2009	IC07017250	\$125,000	Forensic Examiner	100%
2010	IC10027250	\$125,000	Forensic Examiner	70%
2011	IC11037250	\$125,000	Forensic Examiner	70%
2012	IC12047250	\$100,000	Forensic Examiner	60%
2013	IC13057250	\$100,000	Forensic Examiner	50%
2014		\$100,000	Forensic Examiner	50%