

# Strategic Growth Council

Date: 8-19-15

Submitted in Housing Committee

Council File No: 15-0087

## AFFORDABLE HOUSING AND SUSTAINABLE COMMUNITIES PROGRAM

~~Deputy~~ HCIDLA

### 2014-15 PROGRAM HIGHLIGHTS

- 723,286 METRIC TONS IN AVOIDED GHG EMISSIONS = TAKING 140,000 CARS OFF THE ROAD FOR ONE YEAR
- 28 projects in 21 cities and 19 counties
- 2,003 New Affordable Units near Transit
- \$89 million (73%) to affordable housing
- \$92 million (75%) to benefit Disadvantaged Communities

### AFFORDABLE HOUSING INVESTMENTS

Extremely Low Income (>30% AMI*)	528 units
Very Low Income (30-50% AMI)	1,014 units
Low Income (50-60% AMI)	461 units
<b>TOTAL AFFORDABLE UNITS</b>	<b>2,003 units</b>

\*Area Median Income

### DISADVANTAGED COMMUNITY INVESTMENTS

BENEFIT	# OF PROJECTS	DOLLARS	% OF TOTAL
Located within a DAC	17	\$68,073,396	56%
Within ½ mile walkable of a DAC	4	\$23,763,299	19%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>\$91,836,695</b>	<b>75%</b>

### COMMON PROJECT CO-BENEFITS

- Decrease in Housing Costs
- Decrease in Transportation Costs
- Decrease Housing Overcrowding
- Decrease Air Pollution
- Decreases in Energy Use & Costs
- Increases Housing in Job-Rich Areas
- Increases Access to Jobs via Transit
- Increasing Active Transportation Options
- Creates Additional Jobs & Increases Access to Parks



### CITIES WITH AWARDS

Bakersfield	Hayward	San Francisco (2)
Chula Vista	Long Beach	San Jose
El Cerrito	Los Angeles (6)	Santa Ana
Emeryville	National City	Stockton
Fremont	Oakland (2)	Truckee
Fresno	Richmond	Walnut Creek
Hanford	Riverside	West Sacramento

### COUNTIES WITH AWARDS

Alameda (5)	Madera*	San Diego (2)
Contra Costa (3)	Merced*	San Francisco (2)
Fresno (2)*	Monterey *	Riverside
Imperial*	Nevada	San Joaquin
Kern (2)*	Orange	Tulare*
Kings*	Santa Clara	Yolo
Los Angeles (7)		

\*Indicates a county that contains a project that serves multiple counties

### RURAL PROJECTS FUNDED

- Vanpool Expansion Project: San Joaquin, Salinas and Imperial Valleys (\$3 m)
- Truckee, CA Railyard Downtown Corridor Improvements (\$8 m)

## CONCEPT, FULL APPLICATION AND FUNDED PROJECT DATA

### BY ELIGIBLE USE AND DOLLARS REQUESTED

	Submitted at Concept	%	Invited to Full Application	%	Funded	%
<b>Housing</b>	*\$440,651,935	62%	*\$190,489,711	70%	\$89,003,068	73%
<b>Transportation</b>	\$252,104,691	36%	\$78,978,926	29%	\$31,823,633	26%
<b>Planning</b>	\$3,410,733	.5%	\$1,137,220	.4%	\$304,000	.2%
<b>Program</b>	\$12,793,427	1.8%	\$2,578,820	.9%	\$824,759	.7%
<b>TOTAL</b>	*\$708,960,786		*\$272,047,457		\$121,955,460	

*\*Reflects adjusted amounts to account for reduced requests from concept to full application.*

### BY REGION AND PROJECTS SUBMITTED

Region	Concepts Submitted	%	Invited to Full Application	%	Funded	%
Bay Area (MTC)	43	29%	21	38%	11	39%
Central Coast (AMBAG, SBCAG, SLOCOG)	6	4%	3	5%	0	0
Multiple MPOs	1	.07%	1	2%	1	4%
Non-MPO	1	.07%	1	2%	1	4%
Sacramento (SACOG)	10	7%	5	9%	1	4%
San Diego (SANDAG)	14	10%	5	9%	2	7%
San Joaquin Valley	21	14%	7	13%	3	11%
Southern CA (SCAG)	51	35%	13	23%	9	32%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>147</b>		<b>56</b>		<b>28</b>	

### BY REGION AND DOLLARS REQUESTED

Region	Concepts Submitted	%	Invited to Full App	%	Funded	%
Bay Area (MTC)	\$210,214,176	29%	\$110,453,978	40%	\$47,291,464	39%
Central Coast (AMBAG, SBCAG, SLOCOG)	\$26,749,892	4%	\$10,720,127	4%	0	
Multiple MPOs	\$3,000,000	.04%	\$3,000,000	1%	\$3,000,000	2%
Non-MPO	\$8,000,000	.04%	\$8,000,000	3%	\$8,000,000	7%
Sacramento (SACOG)	\$31,747,594	4%	\$14,502,423	5%	\$6,730,888	6%
San Diego (SANDAG)	\$89,244,283	12%	\$37,448,741	14%	\$16,240,888	13%
San Joaquin Valley	\$115,384,896	16%	\$41,328,384	15%	\$13,216,490	11%
Southern CA (SCAG)	\$231,764,382	32%	\$47,731,004	17%	\$27,475,730	23%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>*\$716,105,223</b>		<b>*\$273,184,657</b>		<b>\$121,955,460</b>	

*\*Reflects adjusted amounts to account for reduced requests from concept to full application.*