


DOCUMENT FILED
City Clerk's Office
26-14-362
No:
Certified by: 
Date: 10-17-14

CITY OF LOS ANGELES
OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK
ROOM 395, CITY HALL
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012
CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT
PROPOSED MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION


LEAD CITY AGENCY City of Los Angeles	COUNCIL DISTRICT 2	
PROJECT TITLE ENV-2014-1751-MND	CASE NO. CPC-2014-1750-GPA-ZC	
PROJECT LOCATION 6724 N Allott Ave		
PROJECT DESCRIPTION <p>The proposed project is the subdivision of an existing 33,159.8 square-foot lot into three lots: lot "A" 21,137.35 square feet, lot "B" 6,011.76 square feet, and lot "C" 6,011.76 square feet (filed separately as a modification to PMLA-2011-1236); and the construction of one single family dwelling on lot "B" and one on lot "C" (the existing single family dwelling will remain on lot "A"). Both new dwellings will be two stories, approximately 28 feet in height, and will have two-car garages. The project will require the removal of accessory structures.</p> <p>This project will require a General Plan Amendment from the Very Low Residential Land Use Designation to the Low Land Use Designation over the portion of the property that will be future lots "B" and "C" and is currently zoned R1-1. A Zone Change from R1-1 to (T)(Q)R1-1 is also being requested for this portion of the property. The portion that becomes lot "A" will remain in the Very Low Residential Land Use Designation with the existing zone of RE11-1</p>		
NAME AND ADDRESS OF APPLICANT IF OTHER THAN CITY AGENCY Robert Saribekyan 6724 N. Allot Avenue Van Nuys, CA 91401		
FINDING: <p>The City Planning Department of the City of Los Angeles has Proposed that a mitigated negative declaration be adopted for this project because the mitigation measure(s) outlined on the attached page(s) will reduce any potential significant adverse effects to a level of insignificance</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(CONTINUED ON PAGE 2)</p> <p>SEE ATTACHED SHEET(S) FOR ANY MITIGATION MEASURES IMPOSED.</p> <p>Any written comments received during the public review period are attached together with the response of the Lead City Agency. The project decision-maker may adopt the mitigated negative declaration, amend it, or require preparation of an EIR. Any changes made should be supported by substantial evidence in the record and appropriate findings made.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">THE INITIAL STUDY PREPARED FOR THIS PROJECT IS ATTACHED.</p>		
NAME OF PERSON PREPARING THIS FORM Tom Henry	TITLE City Planning Associate	TELEPHONE NUMBER (818) 374-5061
ADDRESS 200 N. SPRING STREET, 7th FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA. 90012	SIGNATURE (Official) 	DATE NOVEMBER 12, 2014

Exhibit C
Environmental
Clearance

III-10. Air Pollution (Demolition, Grading, and Construction Activities)

-
- All unpaved demolition and construction areas shall be wetted at least twice daily during excavation and construction, and temporary dust covers shall be used to reduce dust emissions and meet SCAQMD District Rule 403. Wetting could reduce fugitive dust by as much as 50 percent.
- The construction area shall be kept sufficiently dampened to control dust caused by grading and hauling, and at all times provide reasonable control of dust caused by wind.
- All clearing, earth moving, or excavation activities shall be discontinued during periods of high winds (i.e., greater than 15 mph), so as to prevent excessive amounts of dust.
- All dirt/soil loads shall be secured by trimming, watering or other appropriate means to prevent spillage and dust.
- All dirt/soil materials transported off-site shall be either sufficiently watered or securely covered to prevent excessive amount of dust.
- General contractors shall maintain and operate construction equipment so as to minimize exhaust emissions.
- Trucks having no current hauling activity shall not idle but be turned off.

VI-70. Liquefaction Area

- Environmental impacts may result due to the proposed project's location in an area with liquefaction potential. However, these potential impacts will be mitigated to a less than significant level by the following measures:
- Prior to the issuance of grading or building permits, the applicant shall submit a geotechnical report, prepared by a registered civil engineer or certified engineering geologist, to the Department of Building and Safety, for review and approval. The project shall comply with the Uniform Building Code Chapter 18. Division 1 Section 1804.5 Liquefaction Potential and Soil Strength Loss. The geotechnical report shall assess potential consequences of any liquefaction and soil strength loss, estimation of settlement, lateral movement or reduction in foundation soil-bearing capacity, and discuss mitigation measures that may include building design consideration. Building design considerations shall include, but are not limited to: ground stabilization, selection of appropriate foundation type and depths, selection of appropriate structural systems to accommodate anticipated displacements or any combination of these measures.
- The project shall comply with the conditions contained within the Department of Building and Safety's Geology and Soils Report Approval Letter for the proposed project, and as it may be subsequently amended or modified.

VIII-10. Explosion/Release (Existing Toxic/Hazardous Construction Materials)

- Due to the age of the building(s) being demolished, toxic and/or hazardous construction materials may be located in the structure(s). Exposure to such materials during demolition or construction activities could be hazardous to the health of the demolition workers, as well as area residents, employees, and future occupants. However, these impacts can be mitigated to a less than significant level by the following measure:
- **(Asbestos)** Prior to the issuance of any permit for the demolition or alteration of the existing structure(s), the applicant shall provide a letter to the Department of Building and Safety from a qualified asbestos abatement consultant indicating that no Asbestos-Containing Materials (ACM) are present in the building. If ACMs are found to be present, it will need to be abated in compliance with the South Coast Air Quality Management District's Rule 1403 as well as all other applicable State and Federal rules and regulations.
- **(Lead Paint)** Prior to issuance of any permit for the demolition or alteration of the existing structure(s), a lead-based paint survey shall be performed to the written satisfaction of the Department of Building and Safety. Should lead-based paint materials be identified, standard handling and disposal practices shall be implemented pursuant to OSHA regulations.

XII-20. Increased Noise Levels (Demolition, Grading, and Construction Activities)

-
- The project shall comply with the City of Los Angeles Noise Ordinance No. 144,331 and 161,574, and any subsequent ordinances, which prohibit the emission or creation of noise beyond certain levels at adjacent uses unless technically infeasible.
- Construction and demolition shall be restricted to the hours of 7:00 am to 6:00 pm Monday through Friday, and 8:00 am to 6:00 pm on Saturday.
- Demolition and construction activities shall be scheduled so as to avoid operating several pieces of equipment simultaneously, which causes high noise levels.
- The project contractor shall use power construction equipment with state-of-the-art noise shielding and muffling devices.

CITY OF LOS ANGELES
OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK
ROOM 395, CITY HALL
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012
CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT
INITIAL STUDY
and CHECKLIST
(CEQA Guidelines Section 15063)

LEAD CITY AGENCY: City of Los Angeles		COUNCIL DISTRICT: 2	DATE: 08/29/2014
RESPONSIBLE AGENCIES: Department of City Planning			
ENVIRONMENTAL CASE: ENV-2014-1751-MND		RELATED CASES: CPC-2014-1750-GPA-ZC	
PREVIOUS ACTIONS CASE NO.:		<input type="checkbox"/> Does have significant changes from previous actions. <input type="checkbox"/> Does NOT have significant changes from previous actions	
PROJECT DESCRIPTION: ZC AND GPA (WITH CONCURRENT MODIFICATION TO APPROVED PMLA UNDER SEPARATE FILING)			
ENV PROJECT DESCRIPTION: The proposed project is the subdivision of an existing 33,159.8 square-foot lot into three lots: lot "A" 21,137.35 square feet, lot "B" 6,011.76 square feet, and lot "C" 6,011.76 square feet (filed separately as a modification to PMLA-2011-1236); and the construction of one single family dwelling on lot "B" and one on lot "C" (the existing single family dwelling will remain on lot "A"). Both new dwellings will be two stories, approximately 28 feet in height, and will have two-car garages. The project will require the removal of accessory structures. This project will require a General Plan Amendment from the Very Low Residential Land Use Designation to the Low Land Use Designation over the portion of the property that will be future lots "B" and "C" and is currently zoned R1-1. A Zone Change from R1-1 to (T)(Q)R1-1 is also being requested for this portion of the property. The portion that becomes lot "A" will remain in the Very Low Residential Land Use Designation with the existing zone of RE11-1			
ENVIRONMENTAL SETTINGS: The site is one rectangular-shaped lot totaling 33,159.8 sq-ft of lot area and extends from Allott Avenue to Sunnyslope Avenue (both local streets) with a 108.32 frontage on each. The depth of the lot is 306.12 feet. The site is improved with a one-story single family dwelling to remain on the future lot "A". An existing detached garage/storage and swimming pool, located on future lots "B" and "C" are to be removed. Adjacent land uses include single family residential uses with a zoning of R1-1, RE11-1, and RE9-1 to the east, west and south of the subject site. Uses to the north of the subject site consists of single family residential and multiple family residential uses with a zoning of RE11-1 and [Q]RD1.5-1. Within 500 feet south of the subject site is Kittridge Elementary School. There are 13 trees on the site and none will be removed. The site is located in a liquefaction area.			
PROJECT LOCATION: 6724 N Allott Ave			
COMMUNITY PLAN AREA: VAN NUYS - NORTH SHERMAN OAKS STATUS: <input type="checkbox"/> Does Conform to Plan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Does NOT Conform to Plan		AREA PLANNING COMMISSION: SOUTH VALLEY	CERTIFIED NEIGHBORHOOD COUNCIL: GREATER VALLEY GLEN
EXISTING ZONING: R1-1 RE11-1		MAX. DENSITY/INTENSITY ALLOWED BY ZONING: R1-1 1 du/5000 sq.ft., RE11-1 du/11,000 sq.ft.	

GENERAL PLAN LAND USE: VERY LOW RESIDENTIAL	MAX. DENSITY/INTENSITY ALLOWED BY PLAN DESIGNATION: 1 du/9,000 sq.ft.	LA River Adjacent: NO
	PROPOSED PROJECT DENSITY: 2 du/6,011 sq.ft., 1 du/21,137 sq.ft.	

Determination (To Be Completed By Lead Agency)

On the basis of this initial evaluation:

- ☐ I find that the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a **NEGATIVE DECLARATION** will be prepared.
- ☒ I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions on the project have been made by or agreed to by the project proponent. A **MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION** will be prepared.
- ☐ I find the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an **ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT** is required.
- ☐ I find the proposed project MAY have a "potentially significant impact" or "potentially significant unless mitigated" impact on the environment, but at least one effect 1) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and 2) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on earlier analysis as described on attached sheets. An **ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT** is required, but it must analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed.
- ☐ I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been analyzed adequately in an earlier EIR or **NEGATIVE DECLARATION** pursuant to applicable standards, and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or **NEGATIVE DECLARATION**, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the proposed project, nothing further is required.

City Planning Associate

(818) 374-5061

Signature

Title

Phone

Evaluation Of Environmental Impacts:

1. A brief explanation is required for all answers except "No Impact" answers that are adequately supported by the information sources a lead agency cites in the parentheses following each question. A "No Impact" answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to projects like the one involved (e.g., the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A "No Impact" answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards (e.g., the project will not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants based on a project-specific screening analysis).
2. All answers must take account of the whole action involved, including off-site as well as on-site, cumulative as well as project-level, indirect as well as direct, and construction as well as operational impacts.
3. Once the lead agency has determined that a particular physical impact may occur, then the checklist answers must indicate whether the impact is potentially significant, less than significant with mitigation, or less than significant. "Potentially Significant Impact" is appropriate if there is substantial evidence that an effect may be significant. If there are one or more "Potentially Significant Impact" entries when the determination is made, an EIR is required.
4. "Negative Declaration: Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated" applies where the incorporation of a mitigation measure has reduced an effect from "Potentially Significant Impact" to "Less Than Significant Impact." The lead agency must describe the mitigation measures, and briefly explain how they reduce the effect to a less than significant level (mitigation measures from "Earlier Analyses," as described in (5) below, may be cross-referenced).
5. Earlier analyses may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR, or negative declaration. Section 15063 (c)(3)(D). In this case, a brief discussion should identify the following:
 - a. Earlier Analysis Used. Identify and state where they are available for review.
 - b. Impacts Adequately Addressed. Identify which effects from the above checklist were within the scope of and adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and state whether such effects were addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis.
 - c. Mitigation Measures. For effects that are "Less than Significant with Mitigation Measures Incorporated," describe the mitigation measures which were incorporated or refined from the earlier document and the extent to which they address site-specific conditions for the project.

6. Lead agencies are encouraged to incorporate into the checklist references to information sources for potential impacts (e.g., general plans, zoning ordinances). Reference to a previously prepared or outside document should, where appropriate, include a reference to the page or pages where the statement is substantiated.
7. Supporting Information Sources: A sources list should be attached, and other sources used or individuals contacted should be cited in the discussion.
8. This is only a suggested form, and lead agencies are free to use different formats; however, lead agencies should normally address the questions from this checklist that are relevant to a project's environmental effects in whatever format is selected.
9. The explanation of each issue should identify:
 - a. The significance criteria or threshold, if any, used to evaluate each question; and
 - b. The mitigation measure identified, if any, to reduce the impact to less than significance.

Environmental Factors Potentially Affected:

The environmental factors checked below would be potentially affected by this project, involving at least one impact that is a "Potentially Significant Impact" as indicated by the checklist on the following pages.

<input type="checkbox"/> AESTHETICS	<input type="checkbox"/> GREEN HOUSE GAS EMISSIONS	<input type="checkbox"/> POPULATION AND HOUSING
<input type="checkbox"/> AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	<input type="checkbox"/> PUBLIC SERVICES
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> AIR QUALITY	<input type="checkbox"/> HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY	<input type="checkbox"/> RECREATION
<input type="checkbox"/> BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES	<input type="checkbox"/> LAND USE AND PLANNING	<input type="checkbox"/> TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC
<input type="checkbox"/> CULTURAL RESOURCES	<input type="checkbox"/> MINERAL RESOURCES	<input type="checkbox"/> UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> GEOLOGY AND SOILS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NOISE	<input type="checkbox"/> MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE

INITIAL STUDY CHECKLIST (To be completed by the Lead City Agency)

Background

PROPONENT NAME:

Robert Saribekyan

PHONE NUMBER:

(303) 900-0000

APPLICANT ADDRESS:

6724 N. Allot Avenue
Van Nuys, CA 91401

AGENCY REQUIRING CHECKLIST:

Department of City Planning

DATE SUBMITTED:

07/01/2014

PROPOSAL NAME (if Applicable):

Potentially significant impact	Potentially significant unless mitigation incorporated	Less than significant impact	No impact
--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

I. AESTHETICS

a. Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?				✓
b. Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?				✓
c. Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?			✓	
d. Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?			✓	

II. AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES

a. Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to nonagricultural use?				✓
b. Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?				✓
c. Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code section 12220(g)), timberland (as defined by Public Resources Code section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Government Code section 51104(g))?				✓
d. Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?				✓
e. Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?				✓

III. AIR QUALITY

a. Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?			✓	
b. Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?		✓		
c. Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?			✓	
d. Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?		✓		
e. Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?			✓	

IV. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES

a. Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?				✓
b. Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or US Fish and Wildlife Service?				✓
c. Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?				✓
d. Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?				✓
e. Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?				✓
f. Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?				✓

V. CULTURAL RESOURCES

Potentially significant impact	Potentially significant unless mitigation incorporated	Less than significant impact	No impact
--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

a.	Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in § 15064.5?			✓
b.	Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to § 15064.5?		✓	
c.	Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?		✓	
d.	Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?		✓	

VI. GEOLOGY AND SOILS

a.	Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving: Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42.			✓
b.	Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving: Strong seismic ground shaking?		✓	
c.	Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving: Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?	✓		
d.	Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving: Landslides?			✓
e.	Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?			✓
f.	Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?	✓		
g.	Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property?			✓
h.	Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water?			✓

VII. GREEN HOUSE GAS EMISSIONS

a.	Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?		✓	
b.	Conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?		✓	

VIII. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS

a.	Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?			✓
b.	Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?	✓		
c.	Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?	✓		
d.	Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?			✓
e.	For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?			✓
f.	For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?			✓
g.	Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?			✓

Potentially significant impact	Potentially significant unless mitigation incorporated	Less than significant impact	No impact
--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

h.	Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?				✓
IX. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY					
a.	Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?			✓	
b.	Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of preexisting nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?			✓	
c.	Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?				✓
d.	Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site?				✓
e.	Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?			✓	
f.	Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?			✓	
g.	Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?				✓
h.	Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows?				✓
i.	Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?			✓	
j.	Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?				✓
X. LAND USE AND PLANNING					
a.	Physically divide an established community?				✓
b.	Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?			✓	
c.	Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan?				✓
XI. MINERAL RESOURCES					
a.	Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?				✓
b.	Result in the loss of availability of a locally important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?				✓
XII. NOISE					
a.	Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?			✓	
b.	Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?			✓	
c.	A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?			✓	
d.	A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?		✓		

Potentially significant impact	Potentially significant unless mitigation incorporated	Less than significant impact	No impact
--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

e.	For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?				✓
f.	For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?				✓
XIII. POPULATION AND HOUSING					
a.	Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?			✓	
b.	Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?			✓	
c.	Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?			✓	
XIV. PUBLIC SERVICES					
a.	Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services: Fire protection?			✓	
b.	Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services: Police protection?			✓	
c.	Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services: Schools?			✓	
d.	Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services: Parks?			✓	
e.	Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services: Other public facilities?			✓	
XV. RECREATION					
a.	Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?			✓	
b.	Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?			✓	
XVI. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC					
a.	Conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation including mass transit and non-motorized travel and relevant components of the circulation system, including but not limited to intersections, streets, highways and freeways, pedestrian and bicycle paths, and mass transit?			✓	

Potentially significant impact	Potentially significant unless mitigation incorporated	Less than significant impact	No impact
--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

b.	Conflict with an applicable congestion management program, including, but not limited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other standards established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?		✓	
c.	Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?			✓
d.	Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?			✓
e.	Result in inadequate emergency access?			✓
f.	Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs regarding public transit, bicycle, or pedestrian facilities, or otherwise decrease the performance or safety of such facilities supporting alternative transportation (e.g., bus turnouts, bicycle racks)?			✓

XVII. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS

a.	Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?		✓	
b.	Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?		✓	
c.	Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?		✓	
d.	Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?		✓	
e.	Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?		✓	
f.	Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?		✓	
g.	Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?		✓	

XVIII. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE

a.	Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?		✓	
b.	Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)?		✓	
c.	Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?		✓	

Note: Authority cited: Sections 21083, 21083.05, Public Resources Code. Reference: Section 65088.4, Gov. Code; Sections 21080, 21083.05, 21095, Pub. Resources Code; *Eureka Citizens for Responsible Govt. v. City of Eureka* (2007) 147 Cal.App.4th 357; *Protect the Historic Amador Waterways v. Amador Water Agency* (2004) 116 Cal.App.4th at 1109; *San Franciscans Upholding the Downtown Plan v. City and County of San Francisco* (2002) 102 Cal.App.4th 656.

DISCUSSION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION (Attach additional sheets if necessary)

The Environmental Impact Assessment includes the use of official City of Los Angeles and other government source reference materials related to various environmental impact categories (e.g., Hydrology, Air Quality, Biology, Cultural Resources, etc.). The State of California, Department of Conservation, Division of Mines and Geology - Seismic Hazard Maps and reports, are used to identify potential future significant seismic events; including probable magnitudes, liquefaction, and landslide hazards. Based on applicant information provided in the Master Land Use Application and Environmental Assessment Form, impact evaluations were based on stated facts contained therein, including but not limited to, reference materials indicated above, field investigation of the project site, and any other reliable reference materials known at the time.

Project specific impacts were evaluated based on all relevant facts indicated in the Environmental Assessment Form and expressed through the applicant's project description and supportive materials. Both the Initial Study Checklist and Checklist Explanations, in conjunction with the City of Los Angeles's Adopted Thresholds Guide and CEQA Guidelines, were used to reach reasonable conclusions on environmental impacts as mandated under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA).

The project as identified in the project description may cause potentially significant impacts on the environment without mitigation. Therefore, this environmental analysis concludes that a Mitigated Negative Declaration shall be issued to avoid and mitigate all potential adverse impacts on the environment by the imposition of mitigation measures and/or conditions contained and expressed in this document; the environmental case file known as ENV-2014-1751-MND and the associated case(s), CPC-2014-1750-GPA-ZC. Finally, based on the fact that these impacts can be feasibly mitigated to less than significant, and based on the findings and thresholds for Mandatory Findings of Significance as described in the California Environmental Quality Act, section 15065, the overall project impact(s) on the environment (after mitigation) **will not:**

- Substantially degrade environmental quality.
- Substantially reduce fish or wildlife habitat.
- Cause a fish or wildlife habitat to drop below self sustaining levels.
- Threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community.
- Reduce number, or restrict range of a rare, threatened, or endangered species.
- Eliminate important examples of major periods of California history or prehistory.
- Achieve short-term goals to the disadvantage of long-term goals.
- Result in environmental effects that are individually limited but cumulatively considerable.
- Result in environmental effects that will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:

All supporting documents and references are contained in the Environmental Case File referenced above and may be viewed in the EIR Unit, Room 763, City Hall.

For City information, addresses and phone numbers: visit the City's website at <http://www.lacity.org>; City Planning - and Zoning Information Mapping Automated System (ZIMAS) cityplanning.lacity.org/ or EIR Unit, City Hall, 200 N Spring Street, Room 763. Seismic Hazard Maps - <http://gmw.consrv.ca.gov/shmp/> Engineering/Infrastructure/Topographic Maps/Parcel Information - <http://boemaps.eng.ci.la.ca.us/index01.htm> or City's main website under the heading "Navigate LA".

PREPARED BY:	TITLE:	TELEPHONE NO.:	DATE:
	City Planning Associate	(818) 374-5061	10/17/2014

Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
---------	-------------	---------------------

APPENDIX A: ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS EXPLANATION TABLE

I. AESTHETICS		
a.	NO IMPACT	The project is not located near a Scenic Vista.
b.	NO IMPACT	The project is not located near any scenic resources.
c.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The project may impact the visual character in the neighborhood by introducing residential development which may be out of scale with other existing structures in the area, thereby being a dominant and visible feature in the immediate neighborhood, but as the project is completing a built out development pattern and limited in height the impact will be less than significant.
d.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The project will increase lighting on the site compared to existing levels. Based on the City of Los Angeles CEQA Thresholds Guide, the determination of whether the proposed project results in a significant nighttime illumination impact shall be made considering the following factors: (a) the change in ambient illumination levels as a result of proposed project sources; and (b) the extent to which proposed project lighting would spill off the project site and affect adjacent light-sensitive areas. Night lighting for the proposed project would be provided in order to illuminate the building entrances largely to provide adequate night visibility for residents and visitors and to provide a measure of security, and not be out of character with other dwellings in the area.
II. AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES		
a.	NO IMPACT	The project site is not used or designated as farmland of importance.
b.	NO IMPACT	The site is not zoned for agricultural uses and does not hold a Williamson Act contract.
c.	NO IMPACT	The project site is not zoned for forest land or timberland.
d.	NO IMPACT	The project site does not contain or impact any forest land.
e.	NO IMPACT	The project would not result in a conversion of farmland to a non-agricultural use, or forest to a non-forest use.

Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
---------	-------------	---------------------

III. AIR QUALITY

a.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	<p>A significant air quality impact may occur if the Proposed Project is not consistent with the applicable Air Quality Management Plan (AQMP) or would in some way represent a substantial hindrance to employing the policies or obtaining the goals of that plan. In the case of projects proposed within the City of Los Angeles or elsewhere in the South Coast Air Basin (Basin), the applicable plan is the Air Quality Management Plan (AQMP), which is prepared by the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD). The SCAQMD is the agency principally responsible for comprehensive air pollution control in the Basin. To that end, the SCAQMD, a regional agency, works directly with the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG), county transportation commissions, local governments, and cooperates actively with all State and federal government agencies. The SCAQMD develops rules and regulations, establishes permitting requirements, inspects emissions sources, and enforces such measures through educational programs or fines, when necessary. The SCAQMD is directly responsible for reducing emissions from stationary (area and point), mobile, and indirect sources. It has responded to this requirement by preparing a series of AQMPs. The most recent AQMP was adopted by the Governing Board of the SCAQMD on June 1, 2007. The 2007 AQMP was prepared to comply with the federal and State Clean Air Acts and amendments, to accommodate growth, to reduce the high levels of pollutants in the Basin, to meet federal and State air quality standards, and to minimize the fiscal impact that pollution control measures have on the local economy. Projects that are consistent with the projections of employment and population forecasts identified in the Growth Management Chapter of the Regional Comprehensive Plan (RCP) are considered consistent with the AQMP growth projections, since the Growth Management Chapter forms the basis of the land use and transportation control portions of the AQMP. The project is consistent with the regional growth projections for the Los Angeles Subregion. Thus, the proposed project</p>
----	------------------------------	---

Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
	would not impair implementation of the AQMP, and this impact would be less than significant.	
b. POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT UNLESS MITIGATION INCORPORATED	The project will produce fugitive dust and mobile source emissions as a result of construction activities of the development. Because of the construction time frame, and the normal day-to-day activities, it is difficult if not impossible to precisely quantify the daily and quarterly emissions associated with the proposed construction activities.	III-10 The timeframe for construction with the incorporated mitigation measures is anticipated to reduce air quality impacts during construction to a less than significant level.
c. LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The project will produce fugitive dust and mobile sources emissions as a result of construction activities, as well as mobile source emission from additional vehicle trips. These emissions will be partially controlled on-site by existing regulations and these emissions fall below the SCAQMD's daily significant thresholds, and therefore would not be cumulatively considerable. In addition, the project would be consistent with the AQMP, which is intended to bring the Basin into attainment for all criteria pollutants. As such, cumulative impacts would be less than significant.	
d. POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT UNLESS MITIGATION INCORPORATED	Nearby sensitive receptors include residences within and in the vicinity of the project site. Vehicle emissions and fugitive dust during construction of the project can result in a significant impact nearby sensitive residents.	III-10 The timeframe for construction with the incorporated mitigation measures is anticipated to reduce air quality impacts during construction to a less than significant level.
e. LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	SCAQMD Rule 1113 limits the amount of VOCs from architectural coatings and solvents, so construction activities or materials are not expected to create significant objectionable odors. The residential land uses proposed for the project would not otherwise be expected to create or emit objectionable odors. Therefore, this impact would be less than significant.	
IV. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES		
a. NO IMPACT	The site is located in a built-up residential area and does not support any known protected or special-status species.	
b. NO IMPACT	The site is located in a built-up residential area and does not support any significant riparian or special status habitats.	
c. NO IMPACT	The site does not contain any federally protected wetlands.	

Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
d.	NO IMPACT	The site is located in a built-up residential area and does not support any known wildlife corridor or designated migration path.
e.	NO IMPACT	There are 13 trees on the site, but none are being removed.
f.	NO IMPACT	The project will not conflict with any conservation plans.
V. CULTURAL RESOURCES		
a.	NO IMPACT	No historic resources are known to exist on-site or in the vicinity, per City of LA's Environmental and Public Facilities Maps (Historic-Cultural Monuments & Historic Preservation Overlay Zones). No impact would occur and no mitigation measures are required.
b.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	No archeological resources have been identified on-site or in the vicinity of the site, per City of LA's Environmental and Public Facilities Maps (Prehistoric & Historic Archeological Sites & Survey Areas). If archeological resources are found during excavation, the project will need to follow procedure as detailed in the California Health and Safety Code Sections 7000 et seq. Less than significant impacts are expected and no mitigation measures are required.
c.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	No paleontological resources are known to exist on-site or in the vicinity, per City of LA's Environmental and Public Facilities Maps (Vertebrate Paleontological Resources). There are no unique geological features located on or near the project site. If paleontological resources are found during excavation, the project will need to follow procedure as detailed in the California Health and Safety Code Sections 7000 et seq. Less than significant impacts are expected and no mitigation measures are required.
d.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	No archeological resources have been identified on-site or in the vicinity of the site, per City of LA's Environmental and Public Facilities Maps (Prehistoric & Historic Archeological Sites & Survey Areas). The project is not within the immediate surroundings of a known burial site. If human remains are found during excavation, the project will need to follow procedure as detailed in the California Health and Safety Code Sections 7000 et seq. Less than significant impacts are expected and no mitigation measures are required.

Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
---------	-------------	---------------------

VI. GEOLOGY AND SOILS

a.	NO IMPACT	The site is not located within the Alquist-Priolo Fault Zone. No impact would occur and no mitigation measures are required.	
b.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The site is located in a seismically active area approximately 7.8 kilometers from the nearest fault (Northridge), but the project is not expected to cause or accelerate any geological hazards. The project is also subject to the seismic standards of the Department of Building and Safety's Uniform Building Code, thereby reducing possible seismic hazard impacts to a less than significant level.	
c.	POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT UNLESS MITIGATION INCORPORATED	The site is located in a designated liquefaction hazard zone as shown on the "Seismic Hazard Zones" map issued by the State of California.	VI-70 Soils analysis after being reviewed by Building and Safety may necessitate additional building requirements to accommodate anticipated displacements of soil due to liquefaction.
d.	NO IMPACT	The project site is not located within an area of historically earthquake-induced landslides, and landslides on the site are not anticipated based on the area's flat terrain.	
e.	NO IMPACT	The site is not located in an area of known or suspected erosion hazard.	
f.	POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT UNLESS MITIGATION INCORPORATED	The site is located in a designated liquefaction hazard zone, with potentially unstable soils, as shown on the "Seismic Hazard Zones" map issued by the State of California.	VI-70
g.	NO IMPACT	The site is not located on a known expansive soil area.	
h.	NO IMPACT	The proposed project would not involve the use of septic tanks or alternative wastewater disposal systems. The project site is located in an urbanized area served by existing sewer infrastructure.	

VII. GREEN HOUSE GAS EMISSIONS

a.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The most common contributors to GHG emissions result from transportation and the consumption of fossil fuels. The project is expected to consume additional fossil fuel resources through electrical and natural gas usage, as well as generate additional mobile source emissions by introducing new vehicle trips. However, it is not possible to predict the impact on global climate change resulting from this specific and relatively small incremental increase in emissions.	
----	------------------------------	--	--

Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
---------	-------------	---------------------

		<p>small incremental increase in emissions due to the project's operation. Additionally, the proposed project does include features which will reduce the consumption of fossil fuels, such as compliance with the Los Angeles Green Building Program and the California Building Code, and as an in-fill development, thereby reducing consumption of fossil fuels in vehicles. Therefore, the project is expected to have a less than significant impact on the effect of GHGs on the environment.</p>	
b.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	<p>The project is expected to consume additional fossil fuel resources through electrical and natural gas usage, as well as generate additional mobile source emissions by introducing new vehicle trips. However, the project is consistent with existing greenhouse gas reduction plans or policies, including the Los Angeles Green Building Program, the California Building Code, and as an in-fill housing project. Since the project is consistent with existing GHG reduction policies, it is expected to have a less than significant impact.</p>	

VIII. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS

a.	NO IMPACT	The proposed residential use of the site is not expected to require the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials.	
b.	POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT UNLESS MITIGATION INCORPORATED	The project includes the removal of an accessory building constructed in 1954. Lead paint and/or asbestos may be emitted	VIII-10 These measures will reduce toxic emissions.
c.	POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT UNLESS MITIGATION INCORPORATED	The project site is located 500 feet from a school and playground, and an accessory building constructed in 1954 is to be demolished. Lead paint and/or asbestos may be emitted.	VIII-10 These measures will reduce toxic emissions.
d.	NO IMPACT	The site is not listed as a hazardous site, per the EPA's Cortese List.	
e.	NO IMPACT	The site is not located within the vicinity of an airport.	
f.	NO IMPACT	The site is not located within the vicinity of a private airstrip.	
g.	NO IMPACT	The project will not interfere with any emergency response plans.	
h.	NO IMPACT	The site is not located within a fire hazard zone.	

IX. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY

Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	Construction of the project would require earthwork activities, including some grading of the project site, and the construction and operation of the project may result in run-off from the site impacting water quality standards or waste discharge requirements. However, the project will be required to comply with existing City regulations regarding construction, grading and dust control measures, including Low Impact Development (LID), Best Management Practices (BMPs) and SUSMP requirements to reduce the discharge of polluted runoff from the project site. Therefore, any impact should be less than significant.	
LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The project will reduce the current permeable area of the project site, but is expected to provide pervious surfaces in the landscaped areas of the site and comply with City regulations for groundwater infiltration and recharge. Therefore, construction activities and operation of the residences would not substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere with groundwater recharge.	
NO IMPACT	The project will not significantly alter a drainage pattern and no rivers or streams exist on the site. Minimal changes to the existing drainage patterns would occur on-site and no significant erosion impact is expected.	
NO IMPACT	The project will not significantly alter a drainage pattern and no rivers or streams exist on the site. Minimal changes to the existing drainage patterns would occur on-site and no significant additional runoff or impact due to flooding is expected.	
LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The project will not result in a measurable increase in stormwater run-off, and the project would include appropriate on-site drainage improvements and water quality control measures to accommodate anticipated runoff or storm water flows. Therefore, the project would not create or contribute substantial runoff or contamination of runoff.	
LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	Construction of the project would require earthwork activities, including demolition and grading of the project site, and the construction and operation of the project may result in run-off from the site impacting water quality standards or	

Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
	waste discharge requirements. However, the project will be required to comply with existing City regulations regarding construction, grading and dust control measures, including Low Impact Development (LID), Best Management Practices (BMPs) and SUSMP requirements to reduce the discharge of polluted runoff from the project site. Therefore, any impact should be less than significant.	
g. NO IMPACT	The project site is located outside of any designated flood zone.	
h. NO IMPACT	The project site is located outside of any designated flood zone.	
i. LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The project site is located within an area identified as at-risk for inundation, per the City of LA Environmental and Public Facilities Map, 1996. However, the project is not expected to impact existing aquifers or groundwater levels. In addition, the project will be required to comply with existing City regulations, including Low Impact Development (LID), Best Management Practices (BMPs) and SUSMP requirements for on-site filtration and groundwater recharge. Therefore, any impact should be less than significant.	
j. NO IMPACT	The site is not within a tsunami, seiche, or mudflow area, per the City of LA Environmental and Public Facilities Map, 1996 and LA Flood Hazard Map, 1998.	
X. LAND USE AND PLANNING		
a. NO IMPACT	The project will not physically divide a community; it will provide a compatible land use neighboring single-family and multi-family residential uses.	
b. LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	A significant impact may occur if a project is inconsistent with the General Plan or zoning designations currently applicable to the Project Site, and would cause adverse environmental effects, which the General Plan and zoning ordinance are designed to avoid or mitigate. The site is located within the Van Nuys-North Sherman Oaks Community Plan Area. The site is within the Community Plan Land Use Designation of Very Low Residential. The proposed project would be comprised of single-family residential uses of a higher density which is not consistent with that land use designation. With the approved Plan Amendment to Low Residential and by the Los Angeles	

Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
	City Planning Commission, the Mayor, and City Council the proposed project would conform to the allowable land uses pursuant to the Los Angeles Municipal Code.	
c. NO IMPACT	The project site is located in a heavily urbanized area of Los Angeles, and no conservation plans apply to the project site or area.	
XI. MINERAL RESOURCES		
a. NO IMPACT	The site is not located in a known mineral resource area, per the City of LA Environmental and Public Facilities Maps, 1996. No mineral extraction operations occur on the project site or in the vicinity.	
b. NO IMPACT	The site is not located in a known mineral resource area, per the City of LA Environmental and Public Facilities Maps, 1996. No mineral extraction operations occur on the project site or in the vicinity.	
XII. NOISE		
a. LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The proposed project is unlikely to result in new sources of noise which would exceed levels established in the General Plan or Municipal Code. On-site operational noise would be generated by heating ventilation, and air conditioning equipment installed in the new structure. However, any on-site stationary sources of noise are required to comply with the LAMC Section 112.02 which prohibits noise from this type of equipment from exceeding the ambient noise level on the premises of other occupied properties by more than five decibels. A temporary increase in noise levels is expected to occur during the construction phase, due to the heavy construction equipment and related construction activity, and could be audible to those sensitive uses closest to the project site.	
b. LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The project would be constructed using typical construction techniques. Construction equipment would generate a limited amount of groundborne vibration during construction activities at short distances away from the source, but will be subject to LAMC Sections 112.05 and 41.40 regarding construction hours and construction equipment noise thresholds.	
c. LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The project will not result in a significant permanent increase in noise levels.	

Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
---------	-------------	---------------------

d.	POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT UNLESS MITIGATION INCORPORATED	A significant impact may occur if the proposed project were to result in a substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels above existing ambient noise levels without the proposed project. As defined in the L.A. CEQA Thresholds Guide threshold for construction noise impacts, a significant impact would occur if construction activities lasting more than one day would increase the ambient noise levels by 10 dBA or more at any off-site noise-sensitive location. In addition, the L.A. CEQA Thresholds Guide also states that construction activities lasting more than ten days in a three-month period, which would increase ambient exterior noise levels by 5 dBA or more at a noise sensitive use, would also normally result in a significant impact. As discussed above, impacts are expected to be less than significant for construction noise and vibration, and operational noise and vibration.	XII-20 The implementation of Mitigation Measure XII-20 would ensure the proposed project would not result in a substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity, and these impacts would be less than significant.
e.	NO IMPACT	The site is not located within the vicinity of an airport.	
f.	NO IMPACT	The site is not located within the vicinity of an airstrip.	
XIII. POPULATION AND HOUSING			
a.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The project will accommodate residential population growth in-keeping with what was originally envisioned from the Community Plan land use and density designations, and proposes a net increase of 2 residential units. The physical secondary or indirect impacts of population and housing growth such as increased traffic or noise have been adequately mitigated or addressed in other portions of this document, and therefore the increase of population will have a less than significant effect.	
b.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The project is not displacing any housing.	
c.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The project is not displacing any housing.	
XIV. PUBLIC SERVICES			
a.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The site is located approximately 0.5 miles travel distance from the nearest Fire Engine Company (#39), less than the maximum response distance preferred by the Los Angeles Fire Code (LAMC Sec. 57.09.07). The project is not otherwise located in any fire hazard zones.	

Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
---------	-------------	---------------------

b.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The project is served by the Van Nuys Community Police Station, located approximately 1 mile from the subject site. The proposed development would result in a net increase of 2 residential units, which is less than the significance threshold of 75 residential units, as identified by the City of LA CEQA Thresholds Guide. Therefore the project would not have a significant impact on the City's police services.	
c.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The proposed development would result in a net increase of 2 residential units, resulting in the addition of new students into the school system. However, the development will be required to pay school fees to the Los Angeles Unified School District to offset some of the increased demand for school services. Therefore the project will have a less than significant impact on the City's school services.	
d.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The proposed development would result in a net increase of 2 residential units, creating a slight additional need and usage of park facilities. The parcel map may require Quimby fees. These amenities will help to offset any potential impacts the project might have on the City's park system.	
e.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	Potential project impacts on other City services have been addressed in other portions of this document, including transportation improvements, and have been mitigated to a less than significant level.	

XV. RECREATION

a.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The proposed development would result in a net increase of 2 residential units, creating an additional need and usage of park facilities. The parcel map may require Quimby fees, helping to offset any potential impacts the project might have on the City's park system.	
b.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	Construction-related impacts on the project site have been mitigated to a less-than significant levels through the implementation of measures identified in the remainder of the document.	

XVI. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC

Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
---------	-------------	---------------------

a.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The project would result below a threshold of 500 daily trips and less than 43 p.m. peak hour vehicle trips on the street system. Therefore the project will not cause a significant or substantial increase in traffic. However, LADOT may recommend mitigation measures to ensure safe and adequate site access.	
b.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The project would result in below a threshold of 500 daily trips and less than 43 p.m. peak hour vehicle trips on the street system. Therefore the project will not cause a significant or substantial increase in traffic. In addition, the project would not conflict with any existing congestion management plan.	
c.	NO IMPACT	The project will not alter air traffic patterns.	
d.	NO IMPACT	The project does not include any changes to transportation design features.	
e.	NO IMPACT	The project will provide adequate emergency access.	
f.	NO IMPACT	The project will not interfere with public transit plans or policies.	

XVII. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS

a.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The City's Department of Public Works provides wastewater services for the site, and generated wastewater is transferred to Hyperion Treatment Plant, which is currently operating at 362 mgd, below a capacity of 450 mgd. The proposed project is also not located in any Sewer Capacity Threshold Area, per LA CEQA Thresholds Guide, Exhibits M.2-1 - M.2-12. Full occupancy of the project would produce approximately 400 gpd in wastewater flows, less than the 4,000 gpd threshold identified by the City's CEQA Thresholds Guide. However, as the site is in an arid region, the decision maker should require water conservation measures to lessen the demand for water	
b.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The project would result in increased water demand and wastewater generation. However, the project will not require the construction of additional water or wastewater facilities, as discussed in XVIIa. Therefore, impacts would be less than significant.	

Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	Drainage patterns and post-development runoff quantities under the proposed project would be similar to the existing site conditions. If the project is required any additional construction of connections to the existing drainage system, the construction would be mitigated by other construction mitigation already required for the project. Therefore any potential construction for sewage connections would be less than significant.	
LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	A significant impact may occur if a project would increase water consumption to such a degree that new water sources would need to be identified. Based on the City of Los Angeles CEQA Thresholds Guide, the determination of whether the project results in a significant impact on water shall be made considering the following factors: (a) the total estimated water demand for the project; (b) whether sufficient capacity exists in the water infrastructure that would serve the project, taking into account the anticipated conditions at project build-out; (c) the amount by which the project would cause the projected growth in population, housing or employment for the Community Plan area to be exceeded in the year of the project completion; and (d) the degree to which scheduled water infrastructure improvements or project design features would reduce or offset service impacts. Based on a net increase of 2 units, the net increase for water demand would be 470 gallons per day. As concluded above, the proposed project would have a less-than-significant impact on water demand.	
LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	Based upon the criteria established in the City of Los Angeles CEQA Thresholds Guide, a project would normally have a significant wastewater impact if: (a) the project would cause a measurable increase in wastewater flows to a point where, and a time when, a sewer's capacity is already constrained or that would cause a sewer's capacity to become constrained; or (b) the project's additional wastewater flows would substantially or incrementally exceed the future scheduled capacity of any one treatment plant by generating flows greater than those anticipated in the Wastewater Facilities Plan or General plan and its elements. The sewage flow	

Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
	will ultimately be conveyed to the Hyperion Treatment Plant, which has sufficient capacity for the Proposed Project. Therefore, impacts would be less than significant.	
f. LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The project will generate waste due to the demolition, construction and operation of structures. The 2009 County of Los Angeles CoWMP report on landfill capacity also states that without changes in the status quo, a shortage of permitted solid waste disposal capacity at in-County Class III landfills is projected by 2014. However, the report also anticipates that future disposal needs can be adequately met through 2024 through landfill expansion, new technologies, and waste reduction programs. Estimated daily use of the multi-family residential building will contribute less than the City's operational threshold of 5 tons per week identified in the City's CEQA Thresholds Guide. In addition, the project would be required to comply with all applicable regulations and recycling programs, including the LA Green Building Code and AB939 to reduce the amount of solid waste disposed of at local landfills. Therefore, waste generated by the construction and operation of the site would have a less than significant impact on local landfills.	
g. LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The project will generate waste due to the demolition, construction and operation of structures. The project would be required to comply with all applicable regulations, including the LA Green Building Code and AB939 to reduce the amount of solid waste disposed of at local landfills. Therefore, waste generated by the construction and operation of the site should be in compliance with all applicable regulations and would result in a less than significant impact.	
XVIII. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE		
a. LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The project site does not contain any threatened or endangered species, sensitive habitats, or known historic resources. The project will not significantly degrade the environment or affect any plant or wildlife areas.	
b. LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The project will not result in any cumulative effects.	

Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
---------	-------------	---------------------

c.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	Any potential substantial adverse effects on human beings have been addressed in other portions of this report (air quality, grading, traffic, hazardous materials, infrastructure impacts) and have been adequately mitigated to a less than significant level.	
----	------------------------------	--	--

DOCUMENT FILED
City Clerk's Office
26-14-362
No.
Certified by [Signature]
Date: 10-17-14

CITY OF LOS ANGELES
OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK
ROOM 395, CITY HALL
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012
CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT
PROPOSED MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION

LEAD CITY AGENCY City of Los Angeles	COUNCIL DISTRICT 2	
PROJECT TITLE ENV-2014-1751-MND	CASE NO. CPC-2014-1750-GPA-ZC	
PROJECT LOCATION 6724 N Allott Ave		
PROJECT DESCRIPTION <p>The proposed project is the subdivision of an existing 33,159.8 square-foot lot into three lots: lot "A" 21,137.35 square feet, lot "B" 6,011.76 square feet, and lot "C" 6,011.76 square feet (filed separately as a modification to PMLA-2011-1236); and the construction of one single family dwelling on lot "B" and one on lot "C" (the existing single family dwelling will remain on lot "A"). Both new dwellings will be two stories, approximately 28 feet in height, and will have two-car garages. The project will require the removal of accessory structures.</p> <p>This project will require a General Plan Amendment from the Very Low Residential Land Use Designation to the Low Land Use Designation over the portion of the property that will be future lots "B" and "C" and is currently zoned R1-1. A Zone Change from R1-1 to (T)(Q)R1-1 is also being requested for this portion of the property. The portion that becomes lot "A" will remain in the Very Low Residential Land Use Designation with the existing zone of RE11-1</p>		
NAME AND ADDRESS OF APPLICANT IF OTHER THAN CITY AGENCY Robert Saribekyan 6724 N. Allot Avenue Van Nuys, CA 91401		
FINDING: <p>The City Planning Department of the City of Los Angeles has Proposed that a mitigated negative declaration be adopted for this project because the mitigation measure(s) outlined on the attached page(s) will reduce any potential significant adverse effects to a level of insignificance</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(CONTINUED ON PAGE 2)</p>		
SEE ATTACHED SHEET(S) FOR ANY MITIGATION MEASURES IMPOSED.		
Any written comments received during the public review period are attached together with the response of the Lead City Agency. The project decision-maker may adopt the mitigated negative declaration, amend it, or require preparation of an EIR. Any changes made should be supported by substantial evidence in the record and appropriate findings made.		
THE INITIAL STUDY PREPARED FOR THIS PROJECT IS ATTACHED.		
NAME OF PERSON PREPARING THIS FORM Tom Henry	TITLE City Planning Associate	TELEPHONE NUMBER (818) 374-5061
ADDRESS 200 N. SPRING STREET, 7th FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA. 90012	SIGNATURE (Official) [Signature]	DATE NOVEMBER 12, 2014

**Exhibit C
Environmental
Clearance**

III-10. Air Pollution (Demolition, Grading, and Construction Activities)

- All unpaved demolition and construction areas shall be wetted at least twice daily during excavation and construction, and temporary dust covers shall be used to reduce dust emissions and meet SCAQMD District Rule 403. Wetting could reduce fugitive dust by as much as 50 percent.
- The construction area shall be kept sufficiently dampened to control dust caused by grading and hauling, and at all times provide reasonable control of dust caused by wind.
- All clearing, earth moving, or excavation activities shall be discontinued during periods of high winds (i.e., greater than 15 mph), so as to prevent excessive amounts of dust.
- All dirt/soil loads shall be secured by trimming, watering or other appropriate means to prevent spillage and dust.
- All dirt/soil materials transported off-site shall be either sufficiently watered or securely covered to prevent excessive amount of dust.
- General contractors shall maintain and operate construction equipment so as to minimize exhaust emissions.
- Trucks having no current hauling activity shall not idle but be turned off.

VI-70. Liquefaction Area

- Environmental impacts may result due to the proposed project's location in an area with liquefaction potential. However, these potential impacts will be mitigated to a less than significant level by the following measures:
- Prior to the issuance of grading or building permits, the applicant shall submit a geotechnical report, prepared by a registered civil engineer or certified engineering geologist, to the Department of Building and Safety, for review and approval. The project shall comply with the Uniform Building Code Chapter 18, Division 1 Section 1804.5 Liquefaction Potential and Soil Strength Loss. The geotechnical report shall assess potential consequences of any liquefaction and soil strength loss, estimation of settlement, lateral movement or reduction in foundation soil-bearing capacity, and discuss mitigation measures that may include building design consideration. Building design considerations shall include, but are not limited to: ground stabilization, selection of appropriate foundation type and depths, selection of appropriate structural systems to accommodate anticipated displacements or any combination of these measures.
- The project shall comply with the conditions contained within the Department of Building and Safety's Geology and Soils Report Approval Letter for the proposed project, and as it may be subsequently amended or modified.

VIII-10. Explosion/Release (Existing Toxic/Hazardous Construction Materials)

- Due to the age of the building(s) being demolished, toxic and/or hazardous construction materials may be located in the structure(s). Exposure to such materials during demolition or construction activities could be hazardous to the health of the demolition workers, as well as area residents, employees, and future occupants. However, these impacts can be mitigated to a less than significant level by the following measure:
- **(Asbestos)** Prior to the issuance of any permit for the demolition or alteration of the existing structure(s), the applicant shall provide a letter to the Department of Building and Safety from a qualified asbestos abatement consultant indicating that no Asbestos-Containing Materials (ACM) are present in the building. If ACMs are found to be present, it will need to be abated in compliance with the South Coast Air Quality Management District's Rule 1403 as well as all other applicable State and Federal rules and regulations.
- **(Lead Paint)** Prior to issuance of any permit for the demolition or alteration of the existing structure(s), a lead-based paint survey shall be performed to the written satisfaction of the Department of Building and Safety. Should lead-based paint materials be identified, standard handling and disposal practices shall be implemented pursuant to OSHA regulations.

XII-20. Increased Noise Levels (Demolition, Grading, and Construction Activities)

- The project shall comply with the City of Los Angeles Noise Ordinance No. 144,331 and 161,574, and any subsequent ordinances, which prohibit the emission or creation of noise beyond certain levels at adjacent uses unless technically infeasible.
- Construction and demolition shall be restricted to the hours of 7:00 am to 6:00 pm Monday through Friday, and 8:00 am to 6:00 pm on Saturday.
- Demolition and construction activities shall be scheduled so as to avoid operating several pieces of equipment simultaneously, which causes high noise levels.
- The project contractor shall use power construction equipment with state-of-the-art noise shielding and muffling devices.

CITY OF LOS ANGELES
OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK
ROOM 395, CITY HALL
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012
CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT
INITIAL STUDY
and CHECKLIST
(CEQA Guidelines Section 15063)

LEAD CITY AGENCY: City of Los Angeles		COUNCIL DISTRICT: 2	DATE: 08/29/2014
RESPONSIBLE AGENCIES: Department of City Planning			
ENVIRONMENTAL CASE: ENV-2014-1751-MND		RELATED CASES: CPC-2014-1750-GPA-ZC	
PREVIOUS ACTIONS CASE NO.:		<input type="checkbox"/> Does have significant changes from previous actions. <input type="checkbox"/> Does NOT have significant changes from previous actions	
PROJECT DESCRIPTION: ZC AND GPA (WITH CONCURRENT MODIFICATION TO APPROVED PMLA UNDER SEPARATE FILING)			
ENV PROJECT DESCRIPTION: <p>The proposed project is the subdivision of an existing 33,159.8 square-foot lot into three lots: lot "A" 21,137.35 square feet, lot "B" 6,011.76 square feet, and lot "C" 6,011.76 square feet (filed separately as a modification to PMLA-2011-1236); and the construction of one single family dwelling on lot "B" and one on lot "C" (the existing single family dwelling will remain on lot "A"). Both new dwellings will be two stories, approximately 28 feet in height, and will have two-car garages. The project will require the removal of accessory structures.</p> <p>This project will require a General Plan Amendment from the Very Low Residential Land Use Designation to the Low Land Use Designation over the portion of the property that will be future lots "B" and "C" and is currently zoned R1-1. A Zone Change from R1-1 to (T)(Q)R1-1 is also being requested for this portion of the property. The portion that becomes lot "A" will remain in the Very Low Residential Land Use Designation with the existing zone of RE11-1</p>			
ENVIRONMENTAL SETTINGS: <p>The site is one rectangular-shaped lot totaling 33,159.8 sq-ft of lot area and extends from Allott Avenue to Sunnyslope Avenue (both local streets) with a 108.32 frontage on each. The depth of the lot is 306.12 feet. The site is improved with a one-story single family dwelling to remain on the future lot "A". An existing detached garage/storage and swimming pool, located on future lots "B" and "C" are to be removed.</p> <p>Adjacent land uses include single family residential uses with a zoning of R1-1, RE11-1, and RE9-1 to the east, west and south of the subject site. Uses to the north of the subject site consists of single family residential and multiple family residential uses with a zoning of RE11-1 and [Q]RD1.5-1. Within 500 feet south of the subject site is Kittridge Elementary School. There are 13 trees on the site and none will be removed. The site is located in a liquefaction area.</p>			
PROJECT LOCATION: 6724 N Allott Ave			
COMMUNITY PLAN AREA: VAN NUYS - NORTH SHERMAN OAKS STATUS: <input type="checkbox"/> Does Conform to Plan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Does NOT Conform to Plan		AREA PLANNING COMMISSION: SOUTH VALLEY	CERTIFIED NEIGHBORHOOD COUNCIL: GREATER VALLEY GLEN
EXISTING ZONING: R1-1 RE11-1		MAX. DENSITY/INTENSITY ALLOWED BY ZONING: R1-1 1 du/5000 sq.ft., RE11-1 du/11,000 sq.ft.	

GENERAL PLAN LAND USE: VERY LOW RESIDENTIAL	MAX. DENSITY/INTENSITY ALLOWED BY PLAN DESIGNATION: 1 du/9,000 sq.ft.	LA River Adjacent: NO
	PROPOSED PROJECT DENSITY: 2 du/6,011 sq.ft., 1 du/21,137 sq.ft.	

Determination (To Be Completed By Lead Agency)

On the basis of this initial evaluation:

- ☐ I find that the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.
- ☒ I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions on the project have been made by or agreed to by the project proponent. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.
- ☐ I find the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required.
- ☐ I find the proposed project MAY have a "potentially significant impact" or "potentially significant unless mitigated" impact on the environment, but at least one effect 1) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and 2) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on earlier analysis as described on attached sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required, but it must analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed.
- ☐ I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been analyzed adequately in an earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION pursuant to applicable standards, and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the proposed project, nothing further is required.

City Planning Associate

(818) 374-5061

Signature

Title

Phone

Evaluation Of Environmental Impacts:

1. A brief explanation is required for all answers except "No Impact" answers that are adequately supported by the information sources a lead agency cites in the parentheses following each question. A "No Impact" answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to projects like the one involved (e.g., the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A "No Impact" answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards (e.g., the project will not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants based on a project-specific screening analysis).
2. All answers must take account of the whole action involved, including off-site as well as on-site, cumulative as well as project-level, indirect as well as direct, and construction as well as operational impacts.
3. Once the lead agency has determined that a particular physical impact may occur, then the checklist answers must indicate whether the impact is potentially significant, less than significant with mitigation, or less than significant. "Potentially Significant Impact" is appropriate if there is substantial evidence that an effect may be significant. If there are one or more "Potentially Significant Impact" entries when the determination is made, an EIR is required.
4. "Negative Declaration: Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated" applies where the incorporation of a mitigation measure has reduced an effect from "Potentially Significant Impact" to "Less Than Significant Impact." The lead agency must describe the mitigation measures, and briefly explain how they reduce the effect to a less than significant level (mitigation measures from "Earlier Analyses," as described in (5) below, may be cross-referenced).
5. Earlier analyses may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR, or negative declaration. Section 15063 (c)(3)(D). In this case, a brief discussion should identify the following:
 - a. Earlier Analysis Used. Identify and state where they are available for review.
 - b. Impacts Adequately Addressed. Identify which effects from the above checklist were within the scope of and adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and state whether such effects were addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis.
 - c. Mitigation Measures. For effects that are "Less than Significant with Mitigation Measures Incorporated," describe the mitigation measures which were incorporated or refined from the earlier document and the extent to which they address site-specific conditions for the project.

6. Lead agencies are encouraged to incorporate into the checklist references to information sources for potential impacts (e.g., general plans, zoning ordinances). Reference to a previously prepared or outside document should, where appropriate, include a reference to the page or pages where the statement is substantiated.
7. Supporting Information Sources: A sources list should be attached, and other sources used or individuals contacted should be cited in the discussion.
8. This is only a suggested form, and lead agencies are free to use different formats; however, lead agencies should normally address the questions from this checklist that are relevant to a project's environmental effects in whatever format is selected.
9. The explanation of each issue should identify:
 - a. The significance criteria or threshold, if any, used to evaluate each question; and
 - b. The mitigation measure identified, if any, to reduce the impact to less than significance.

Environmental Factors Potentially Affected:

The environmental factors checked below would be potentially affected by this project, involving at least one impact that is a "Potentially Significant Impact" as indicated by the checklist on the following pages.

<input type="checkbox"/> AESTHETICS	<input type="checkbox"/> GREEN HOUSE GAS EMISSIONS	<input type="checkbox"/> POPULATION AND HOUSING
<input type="checkbox"/> AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	<input type="checkbox"/> PUBLIC SERVICES
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> AIR QUALITY	<input type="checkbox"/> HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY	<input type="checkbox"/> RECREATION
<input type="checkbox"/> BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES	<input type="checkbox"/> LAND USE AND PLANNING	<input type="checkbox"/> TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC
<input type="checkbox"/> CULTURAL RESOURCES	<input type="checkbox"/> MINERAL RESOURCES	<input type="checkbox"/> UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> GEOLOGY AND SOILS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NOISE	<input type="checkbox"/> MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE

INITIAL STUDY CHECKLIST (To be completed by the Lead City Agency)

Background

PROPONENT NAME:

Robert Saribekyan

PHONE NUMBER:

(303) 900-0000

APPLICANT ADDRESS:

6724 N. Allot Avenue
Van Nuys, CA 91401

AGENCY REQUIRING CHECKLIST:

Department of City Planning

DATE SUBMITTED:

07/01/2014

PROPOSAL NAME (if Applicable):

Potentially significant impact	Potentially significant unless mitigation incorporated	Less than significant impact	No impact
--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

I. AESTHETICS

a. Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?				✓
b. Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?				✓
c. Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?			✓	
d. Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?			✓	

II. AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES

a. Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to nonagricultural use?				✓
b. Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?				✓
c. Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code section 12220(g)), timberland (as defined by Public Resources Code section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Government Code section 51104(g))?				✓
d. Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?				✓
e. Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?				✓

III. AIR QUALITY

a. Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?			✓	
b. Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?		✓		
c. Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?			✓	
d. Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?		✓		
e. Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?			✓	

IV. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES

a. Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?				✓
b. Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or US Fish and Wildlife Service?				✓
c. Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?				✓
d. Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?				✓
e. Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?				✓
f. Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?				✓

V. CULTURAL RESOURCES

Potentially significant impact	Potentially significant unless mitigation incorporated	Less than significant impact	No impact
--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

a.	Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in § 15064.5?			✓
b.	Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to § 15064.5?		✓	
c.	Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?		✓	
d.	Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?		✓	

VI. GEOLOGY AND SOILS

a.	Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving: Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42.			✓
b.	Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving: Strong seismic ground shaking?		✓	
c.	Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving: Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?	✓		
d.	Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving: Landslides?			✓
e.	Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?			✓
f.	Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?	✓		
g.	Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property?			✓
h.	Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water?			✓

VII. GREEN HOUSE GAS EMISSIONS

a.	Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?		✓	
b.	Conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?		✓	

VIII. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS

a.	Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?			✓
b.	Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?	✓		
c.	Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?	✓		
d.	Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?			✓
e.	For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?			✓
f.	For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?			✓
g.	Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?			✓

Potentially significant impact	Potentially significant unless mitigation incorporated	Less than significant impact	No impact
--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

h.	Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?				✓
IX. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY					
a.	Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?			✓	
b.	Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of preexisting nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?			✓	
c.	Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?				✓
d.	Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site?				✓
e.	Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?			✓	
f.	Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?			✓	
g.	Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?				✓
h.	Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows?				✓
i.	Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?			✓	
j.	Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?				✓
X. LAND USE AND PLANNING					
a.	Physically divide an established community?				✓
b.	Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?			✓	
c.	Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan?				✓
XI. MINERAL RESOURCES					
a.	Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?				✓
b.	Result in the loss of availability of a locally important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?				✓
XII. NOISE					
a.	Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?			✓	
b.	Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?			✓	
c.	A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?			✓	
d.	A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?		✓		

Potentially significant impact	Potentially significant unless mitigation incorporated	Less than significant impact	No impact
--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

e.	For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?				✓
f.	For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?				✓
XIII. POPULATION AND HOUSING					
a.	Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?			✓	
b.	Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?			✓	
c.	Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?			✓	
XIV. PUBLIC SERVICES					
a.	Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services: Fire protection?			✓	
b.	Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services: Police protection?			✓	
c.	Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services: Schools?			✓	
d.	Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services: Parks?			✓	
e.	Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services: Other public facilities?			✓	
XV. RECREATION					
a.	Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?			✓	
b.	Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?			✓	
XVI. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC					
a.	Conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation including mass transit and non-motorized travel and relevant components of the circulation system, including but not limited to intersections, streets, highways and freeways, pedestrian and bicycle paths, and mass transit?			✓	

Potentially significant impact	Potentially significant unless mitigation incorporated	Less than significant impact	No impact
--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

b.	Conflict with an applicable congestion management program, including, but not limited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other standards established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?			✓	
c.	Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?				✓
d.	Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?				✓
e.	Result in inadequate emergency access?				✓
f.	Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs regarding public transit, bicycle, or pedestrian facilities, or otherwise decrease the performance or safety of such facilities supporting alternative transportation (e.g., bus turnouts, bicycle racks)?				✓

XVII. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS

a.	Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?			✓	
b.	Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?			✓	
c.	Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?			✓	
d.	Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?			✓	
e.	Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?			✓	
f.	Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?			✓	
g.	Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?			✓	

XVIII. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE

a.	Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?			✓	
b.	Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)?			✓	
c.	Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?			✓	

Note: Authority cited: Sections 21083, 21083.05, Public Resources Code. Reference: Section 65088.4, Gov. Code; Sections 21080, 21083.05, 21095, Pub. Resources Code; *Eureka Citizens for Responsible Govt. v. City of Eureka* (2007) 147 Cal.App.4th 357; *Protect the Historic Amador Waterways v. Amador Water Agency* (2004) 116 Cal.App.4th at 1109; *San Franciscans Upholding the Downtown Plan v. City and County of San Francisco* (2002) 102 Cal.App.4th 656.

DISCUSSION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION (Attach additional sheets if necessary)

The Environmental Impact Assessment includes the use of official City of Los Angeles and other government source reference materials related to various environmental impact categories (e.g., Hydrology, Air Quality, Biology, Cultural Resources, etc.). The State of California, Department of Conservation, Division of Mines and Geology - Seismic Hazard Maps and reports, are used to identify potential future significant seismic events; including probable magnitudes, liquefaction, and landslide hazards. Based on applicant information provided in the Master Land Use Application and Environmental Assessment Form, impact evaluations were based on stated facts contained therein, including but not limited to, reference materials indicated above, field investigation of the project site, and any other reliable reference materials known at the time.

Project specific impacts were evaluated based on all relevant facts indicated in the Environmental Assessment Form and expressed through the applicant's project description and supportive materials. Both the Initial Study Checklist and Checklist Explanations, in conjunction with the City of Los Angeles's Adopted Thresholds Guide and CEQA Guidelines, were used to reach reasonable conclusions on environmental impacts as mandated under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA).

The project as identified in the project description may cause potentially significant impacts on the environment without mitigation. Therefore, this environmental analysis concludes that a Mitigated Negative Declaration shall be issued to avoid and mitigate all potential adverse impacts on the environment by the imposition of mitigation measures and/or conditions contained and expressed in this document; the environmental case file known as **ENV-2014-1751-MND** and the associated case(s), **CPC-2014-1750-GPA-ZC**. Finally, based on the fact that these impacts can be feasibly mitigated to less than significant, and based on the findings and thresholds for Mandatory Findings of Significance as described in the California Environmental Quality Act, section 15065, the overall project impact(s) on the environment (after mitigation) **will not**:

- Substantially degrade environmental quality.
- Substantially reduce fish or wildlife habitat.
- Cause a fish or wildlife habitat to drop below self sustaining levels.
- Threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community.
- Reduce number, or restrict range of a rare, threatened, or endangered species.
- Eliminate important examples of major periods of California history or prehistory.
- Achieve short-term goals to the disadvantage of long-term goals.
- Result in environmental effects that are individually limited but cumulatively considerable.
- Result in environmental effects that will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:

All supporting documents and references are contained in the Environmental Case File referenced above and may be viewed in the EIR Unit, Room 763, City Hall.

For City information, addresses and phone numbers: visit the City's website at <http://www.lacity.org> ; City Planning - and Zoning Information Mapping Automated System (ZIMAS) cityplanning.lacity.org/ or EIR Unit, City Hall, 200 N Spring Street, Room 763. Seismic Hazard Maps - <http://gmw.consrv.ca.gov/shmp/> Engineering/Infrastructure/Topographic Maps/Parcel Information - <http://boemaps.eng.ci.la.ca.us/index01.htm> or City's main website under the heading "Navigate LA".

PREPARED BY:	TITLE:	TELEPHONE NO.:	DATE:
	City Planning Associate	(818) 374-5061	10/17/2014

Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
---------	-------------	---------------------

APPENDIX A: ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS EXPLANATION TABLE

I. AESTHETICS			
a.	NO IMPACT	The project is not located near a Scenic Vista.	
b.	NO IMPACT	The project is not located near any scenic resources.	
c.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The project may impact the visual character in the neighborhood by introducing residential development which may be out of scale with other existing structures in the area, thereby being a dominant and visible feature in the immediate neighborhood, but as the project is completing a built out development pattern and limited in height the impact will be less than significant.	
d.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The project will increase lighting on the site compared to existing levels. Based on the City of Los Angeles CEQA Thresholds Guide, the determination of whether the proposed project results in a significant nighttime illumination impact shall be made considering the following factors: (a) the change in ambient illumination levels as a result of proposed project sources; and (b) the extent to which proposed project lighting would spill off the project site and affect adjacent light-sensitive areas. Night lighting for the proposed project would be provided in order to illuminate the building entrances largely to provide adequate night visibility for residents and visitors and to provide a measure of security, and not be out of character with other dwellings in the area.	
II. AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES			
a.	NO IMPACT	The project site is not used or designated as farmland of importance.	
b.	NO IMPACT	The site is not zoned for agricultural uses and does not hold a Williamson Act contract.	
c.	NO IMPACT	The project site is not zoned for forest land or timberland.	
d.	NO IMPACT	The project site does not contain or impact any forest land.	
e.	NO IMPACT	The project would not result in a conversion of farmland to a non-agricultural use, or forest to a non-forest use.	

Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
---------	-------------	---------------------

III. AIR QUALITY

a.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	<p>A significant air quality impact may occur if the Proposed Project is not consistent with the applicable Air Quality Management Plan (AQMP) or would in some way represent a substantial hindrance to employing the policies or obtaining the goals of that plan. In the case of projects proposed within the City of Los Angeles or elsewhere in the South Coast Air Basin (Basin), the applicable plan is the Air Quality Management Plan (AQMP), which is prepared by the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD). The SCAQMD is the agency principally responsible for comprehensive air pollution control in the Basin. To that end, the SCAQMD, a regional agency, works directly with the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG), county transportation commissions, local governments, and cooperates actively with all State and federal government agencies. The SCAQMD develops rules and regulations, establishes permitting requirements, inspects emissions sources, and enforces such measures through educational programs or fines, when necessary. The SCAQMD is directly responsible for reducing emissions from stationary (area and point), mobile, and indirect sources. It has responded to this requirement by preparing a series of AQMPs. The most recent AQMP was adopted by the Governing Board of the SCAQMD on June 1, 2007. The 2007 AQMP was prepared to comply with the federal and State Clean Air Acts and amendments, to accommodate growth, to reduce the high levels of pollutants in the Basin, to meet federal and State air quality standards, and to minimize the fiscal impact that pollution control measures have on the local economy. Projects that are consistent with the projections of employment and population forecasts identified in the Growth Management Chapter of the Regional Comprehensive Plan (RCP) are considered consistent with the AQMP growth projections, since the Growth Management Chapter forms the basis of the land use and transportation control portions of the AQMP. The project is consistent with the regional growth projections for the Los Angeles Subregion. Thus, the proposed project</p>
----	------------------------------	---

Impact?		Explanation	Mitigation Measures
		would not impair implementation of the AQMP, and this impact would be less than significant.	
b.	POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT UNLESS MITIGATION INCORPORATED	The project will produce fugitive dust and mobile source emissions as a result of construction activities of the development. Because of the construction time frame, and the normal day-to-day activities, it is difficult if not impossible to precisely quantify the daily and quarterly emissions associated with the proposed construction activities.	III-10 The timeframe for construction with the incorporated mitigation measures is anticipated to reduce air quality impacts during construction to a less than significant level.
c.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The project will produce fugitive dust and mobile sources emissions as a result of construction activities, as well as mobile source emission from additional vehicle trips. These emissions will be partially controlled on-site by existing regulations and these emissions fall below the SCAQMD's daily significant thresholds, and therefore would not be cumulatively considerable. In addition, the project would be consistent with the AQMP, which is intended to bring the Basin into attainment for all criteria pollutants. As such, cumulative impacts would be less than significant.	
d.	POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT UNLESS MITIGATION INCORPORATED	Nearby sensitive receptors include residences within and in the vicinity of the project site. Vehicle emissions and fugitive dust during construction of the project can result in a significant impact nearby sensitive residents.	III-10 The timeframe for construction with the incorporated mitigation measures is anticipated to reduce air quality impacts during construction to a less than significant level.
e.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	SCAQMD Rule 1113 limits the amount of VOCs from architectural coatings and solvents, so construction activities or materials are not expected to create significant objectionable odors. The residential land uses proposed for the project would not otherwise be expected to create or emit objectionable odors. Therefore, this impact would be less than significant.	
IV. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES			
a.	NO IMPACT	The site is located in a built-up residential area and does not support any known protected or special-status species.	
b.	NO IMPACT	The site is located in a built-up residential area and does not support any significant riparian or special status habitats.	
c.	NO IMPACT	The site does not contain any federally protected wetlands.	

Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
d.	NO IMPACT	The site is located in a built-up residential area and does not support any known wildlife corridor or designated migration path.
e.	NO IMPACT	There are 13 trees on the site, but none are being removed.
f.	NO IMPACT	The project will not conflict with any conservation plans.
V. CULTURAL RESOURCES		
a.	NO IMPACT	No historic resources are known to exist on-site or in the vicinity, per City of LA's Environmental and Public Facilities Maps (Historic-Cultural Monuments & Historic Preservation Overlay Zones). No impact would occur and no mitigation measures are required.
b.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	No archeological resources have been identified on-site or in the vicinity of the site, per City of LA's Environmental and Public Facilities Maps (Prehistoric & Historic Archeological Sites & Survey Areas). If archeological resources are found during excavation, the project will need to follow procedure as detailed in the California Health and Safety Code Sections 7000 et seq. Less than significant impacts are expected and no mitigation measures are required.
c.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	No paleontological resources are known to exist on-site or in the vicinity, per City of LA's Environmental and Public Facilities Maps (Vertebrate Paleontological Resources). There are no unique geological features located on or near the project site. If paleontological resources are found during excavation, the project will need to follow procedure as detailed in the California Health and Safety Code Sections 7000 et seq. Less than significant impacts are expected and no mitigation measures are required.
d.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	No archeological resources have been identified on-site or in the vicinity of the site, per City of LA's Environmental and Public Facilities Maps (Prehistoric & Historic Archeological Sites & Survey Areas). The project is not within the immediate surroundings of a known burial site. If human remains are found during excavation, the project will need to follow procedure as detailed in the California Health and Safety Code Sections 7000 et seq. Less than significant impacts are expected and no mitigation measures are required.

Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
---------	-------------	---------------------

VI. GEOLOGY AND SOILS

a.	NO IMPACT	The site is not located within the Alquist-Priolo Fault Zone. No impact would occur and no mitigation measures are required.	
b.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The site is located in a seismically active area approximately 7.8 kilometers from the nearest fault (Northridge), but the project is not expected to cause or accelerate any geological hazards. The project is also subject to the seismic standards of the Department of Building and Safety's Uniform Building Code, thereby reducing possible seismic hazard impacts to a less than significant level.	
c.	POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT UNLESS MITIGATION INCORPORATED	The site is located in a designated liquefaction hazard zone as shown on the "Seismic Hazard Zones" map issued by the State of California.	VI-70 Soils analysis after being reviewed by Building and Safety may necessitate additional building requirements to accommodate anticipated displacements of soil due to liquefaction.
d.	NO IMPACT	The project site is not located within an area of historically earthquake-induced landslides, and landslides on the site are not anticipated based on the area's flat terrain.	
e.	NO IMPACT	The site is not located in an area of known or suspected erosion hazard.	
f.	POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT UNLESS MITIGATION INCORPORATED	The site is located in a designated liquefaction hazard zone, with potentially unstable soils, as shown on the "Seismic Hazard Zones" map issued by the State of California.	VI-70
g.	NO IMPACT	The site is not located on a known expansive soil area.	
h.	NO IMPACT	The proposed project would not involve the use of septic tanks or alternative wastewater disposal systems. The project site is located in an urbanized area served by existing sewer infrastructure.	

VII. GREEN HOUSE GAS EMISSIONS

a.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The most common contributors to GHG emissions result from transportation and the consumption of fossil fuels. The project is expected to consume additional fossil fuel resources through electrical and natural gas usage, as well as generate additional mobile source emissions by introducing new vehicle trips. However, it is not possible to predict the impact on global climate change resulting from this specific and relatively small incremental increase in emissions.	
----	------------------------------	--	--

Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
---------	-------------	---------------------

		<p>small incremental increase in emissions due to the project's operation. Additionally, the proposed project does include features which will reduce the consumption of fossil fuels, such as compliance with the Los Angeles Green Building Program and the California Building Code, and as an in-fill development, thereby reducing consumption of fossil fuels in vehicles. Therefore, the project is expected to have a less than significant impact on the effect of GHGs on the environment.</p>	
b.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	<p>The project is expected to consume additional fossil fuel resources through electrical and natural gas usage, as well as generate additional mobile source emissions by introducing new vehicle trips. However, the project is consistent with existing greenhouse gas reduction plans or policies, including the Los Angeles Green Building Program, the California Building Code, and as an in-fill housing project. Since the project is consistent with existing GHG reduction policies, it is expected to have a less than significant impact.</p>	

VIII. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS

a.	NO IMPACT	The proposed residential use of the site is not expected to require the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials.	
b.	POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT UNLESS MITIGATION INCORPORATED	The project includes the removal of an accessory building constructed in 1954. Lead paint and/or asbestos may be emitted	VIII-10 These measures will reduce toxic emissions.
c.	POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT UNLESS MITIGATION INCORPORATED	The project site is located 500 feet from a school and playground, and an accessory building constructed in 1954 is to be demolished. Lead paint and/or asbestos may be emitted.	VIII-10 These measures will reduce toxic emissions.
d.	NO IMPACT	The site is not listed as a hazardous site, per the EPA's Cortese List.	
e.	NO IMPACT	The site is not located within the vicinity of an airport.	
f.	NO IMPACT	The site is not located within the vicinity of a private airstrip.	
g.	NO IMPACT	The project will not interfere with any emergency response plans.	
h.	NO IMPACT	The site is not located within a fire hazard zone.	

IX. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY

Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	Construction of the project would require earthwork activities, including some grading of the project site, and the construction and operation of the project may result in run-off from the site impacting water quality standards or waste discharge requirements. However, the project will be required to comply with existing City regulations regarding construction, grading and dust control measures, including Low Impact Development (LID), Best Management Practices (BMPs) and SUSMP requirements to reduce the discharge of polluted runoff from the project site. Therefore, any impact should be less than significant.	
LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The project will reduce the current permeable area of the project site, but is expected to provide pervious surfaces in the landscaped areas of the site and comply with City regulations for groundwater infiltration and recharge. Therefore, construction activities and operation of the residences would not substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere with groundwater recharge.	
NO IMPACT	The project will not significantly alter a drainage pattern and no rivers or streams exist on the site. Minimal changes to the existing drainage patterns would occur on-site and no significant erosion impact is expected.	
NO IMPACT	The project will not significantly alter a drainage pattern and no rivers or streams exist on the site. Minimal changes to the existing drainage patterns would occur on-site and no significant additional runoff or impact due to flooding is expected.	
LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The project will not result in a measurable increase in stormwater run-off, and the project would include appropriate on-site drainage improvements and water quality control measures to accommodate anticipated runoff or storm water flows. Therefore, the project would not create or contribute substantial runoff or contamination of runoff.	
LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	Construction of the project would require earthwork activities, including demolition and grading of the project site, and the construction and operation of the project may result in run-off from the site impacting water quality standards or	

Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
	waste discharge requirements. However, the project will be required to comply with existing City regulations regarding construction, grading and dust control measures, including Low Impact Development (LID), Best Management Practices (BMPs) and SUSMP requirements to reduce the discharge of polluted runoff from the project site. Therefore, any impact should be less than significant.	
g. NO IMPACT	The project site is located outside of any designated flood zone.	
h. NO IMPACT	The project site is located outside of any designated flood zone.	
i. LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The project site is located within an area identified as at-risk for inundation, per the City of LA Environmental and Public Facilities Map, 1996. However, the project is not expected to impact existing aquifers or groundwater levels. In addition, the project will be required to comply with existing City regulations, including Low Impact Development (LID), Best Management Practices (BMPs) and SUSMP requirements for on-site filtration and groundwater recharge. Therefore, any impact should be less than significant.	
j. NO IMPACT	The site is not within a tsunami, seiche, or mudflow area, per the City of LA Environmental and Public Facilities Map, 1996 and LA Flood Hazard Map, 1998.	
X. LAND USE AND PLANNING		
a. NO IMPACT	The project will not physically divide a community; it will provide a compatible land use neighboring single-family and multi-family residential uses.	
b. LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	A significant impact may occur if a project is inconsistent with the General Plan or zoning designations currently applicable to the Project Site, and would cause adverse environmental effects, which the General Plan and zoning ordinance are designed to avoid or mitigate. The site is located within the Van Nuys-North Sherman Oaks Community Plan Area. The site is within the Community Plan Land Use Designation of Very Low Residential. The proposed project would be comprised of single-family residential uses of a higher density which is not consistent with that land use designation. With the approved Plan Amendment to Low Residential and by the Los Angeles	

Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
	City Planning Commission, the Mayor, and City Council the proposed project would conform to the allowable land uses pursuant to the Los Angeles Municipal Code.	
c. NO IMPACT	The project site is located in a heavily urbanized area of Los Angeles, and no conservation plans apply to the project site or area.	
XI. MINERAL RESOURCES		
a. NO IMPACT	The site is not located in a known mineral resource area, per the City of LA Environmental and Public Facilities Maps, 1996. No mineral extraction operations occur on the project site or in the vicinity.	
b. NO IMPACT	The site is not located in a known mineral resource area, per the City of LA Environmental and Public Facilities Maps, 1996. No mineral extraction operations occur on the project site or in the vicinity.	
XII. NOISE		
a. LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The proposed project is unlikely to result in new sources of noise which would exceed levels established in the General Plan or Municipal Code. On-site operational noise would be generated by heating ventilation, and air conditioning equipment installed in the new structure. However, any on-site stationary sources of noise are required to comply with the LAMC Section 112.02 which prohibits noise from this type of equipment from exceeding the ambient noise level on the premises of other occupied properties by more than five decibels. A temporary increase in noise levels is expected to occur during the construction phase, due to the heavy construction equipment and related construction activity, and could be audible to those sensitive uses closest to the project site.	
b. LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The project would be constructed using typical construction techniques. Construction equipment would generate a limited amount of groundbourne vibration during construction activities at short distances away from the source, but will be subject to LAMC Sections 112.05 and 41.40 regarding construction hours and construction equipment noise thresholds.	
c. LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The project will not result in a significant permanent increase in noise levels.	

Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
d.	<p>POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT UNLESS MITIGATION INCORPORATED</p>	<p>A significant impact may occur if the proposed project were to result in a substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels above existing ambient noise levels without the proposed project. As defined in the L.A. CEQA Thresholds Guide threshold for construction noise impacts, a significant impact would occur if construction activities lasting more than one day would increase the ambient noise levels by 10 dBA or more at any off-site noise-sensitive location. In addition, the L.A. CEQA Thresholds Guide also states that construction activities lasting more than ten days in a three-month period, which would increase ambient exterior noise levels by 5 dBA or more at a noise sensitive use, would also normally result in a significant impact. As discussed above, impacts are expected to be less than significant for construction noise and vibration, and operational noise and vibration.</p> <p>XII-20 The implementation of Mitigation Measure XII-20 would ensure the proposed project would not result in a substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity, and these impacts would be less than significant.</p>
e.	NO IMPACT	The site is not located within the vicinity of an airport.
f.	NO IMPACT	The site is not located within the vicinity of an airstrip.
XIII. POPULATION AND HOUSING		
a.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	<p>The project will accommodate residential population growth in-keeping with what was originally envisioned from the Community Plan land use and density designations, and proposes a net increase of 2 residential units. The physical secondary or indirect impacts of population and housing growth such as increased traffic or noise have been adequately mitigated or addressed in other portions of this document, and therefore the increase of population will have a less than significant effect.</p>
b.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The project is not displacing any housing.
c.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The project is not displacing any housing.
XIV. PUBLIC SERVICES		
a.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	<p>The site is located approximately 0.5 miles travel distance from the nearest Fire Engine Company (#39), less than the maximum response distance preferred by the Los Angeles Fire Code (LAMC Sec. 57.09.07). The project is not otherwise located in any fire hazard zones.</p>

Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
---------	-------------	---------------------

b.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The project is served by the Van Nuys Community Police Station, located approximately 1 mile from the subject site. The proposed development would result in a net increase of 2 residential units, which is less than the significance threshold of 75 residential units, as identified by the City of LA CEQA Thresholds Guide. Therefore the project would not have a significant impact on the City's police services.	
c.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The proposed development would result in a net increase of 2 residential units, resulting in the addition of new students into the school system. However, the development will be required to pay school fees to the Los Angeles Unified School District to offset some of the increased demand for school services. Therefore the project will have a less than significant impact on the City's school services.	
d.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The proposed development would result in a net increase of 2 residential units, creating a slight additional need and usage of park facilities. The parcel map may require Quimby fees. These amenities will help to offset any potential impacts the project might have on the City's park system.	
e.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	Potential project impacts on other City services have been addressed in other portions of this document, including transportation improvements, and have been mitigated to a less than significant level.	

XV. RECREATION

a.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The proposed development would result in a net increase of 2 residential units, creating an additional need and usage of park facilities. The parcel map may require Quimby fees, helping to offset any potential impacts the project might have on the City's park system.	
b.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	Construction-related impacts on the project site have been mitigated to a less-than significant levels through the implementation of measures identified in the remainder of the document.	

XVI. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC

Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The project would result below a threshold of 500 daily trips and less than 43 p.m. peak hour vehicle trips on the street system. Therefore the project will not cause a significant or substantial increase in traffic. However, LADOT may recommend mitigation measures to ensure safe and adequate site access.	
LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The project would result in below a threshold of 500 daily trips and less than 43 p.m. peak hour vehicle trips on the street system. Therefore the project will not cause a significant or substantial increase in traffic. In addition, the project would not conflict with any existing congestion management plan.	
NO IMPACT	The project will not alter air traffic patterns.	
NO IMPACT	The project does not include any changes to transportation design features.	
NO IMPACT	The project will provide adequate emergency access.	
NO IMPACT	The project will not interfere with public transit plans or policies.	
UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS		
LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The City's Department of Public Works provides wastewater services for the site, and generated wastewater is transferred to Hyperion Treatment Plant, which is currently operating at 362 mgd, below a capacity of 450 mgd. The proposed project is also not located in any Sewer Capacity Threshold Area, per LA CEQA Thresholds Guide, Exhibits M.2-1 - M.2-12. Full occupancy of the project would produce approximately 400 gpd in wastewater flows, less than the 4,000 gpd threshold identified by the City's CEQA Thresholds Guide. However, as the site is in an arid region, the decision maker should require water conservation measures to lessen the demand for water	
LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The project would result in increased water demand and wastewater generation. However, the project will not require the construction of additional water or wastewater facilities, as discussed in XVIIa. Therefore, impacts would be less than significant.	

Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	Drainage patterns and post-development runoff quantities under the proposed project would be similar to the existing site conditions. If the project is required any additional construction of connections to the existing drainage system, the construction would be mitigated by other construction mitigation already required for the project. Therefore any potential construction for sewage connections would be less than significant.	
LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	A significant impact may occur if a project would increase water consumption to such a degree that new water sources would need to be identified. Based on the City of Los Angeles CEQA Thresholds Guide, the determination of whether the project results in a significant impact on water shall be made considering the following factors: (a) the total estimated water demand for the project; (b) whether sufficient capacity exists in the water infrastructure that would serve the project, taking into account the anticipated conditions at project build-out; (c) the amount by which the project would cause the projected growth in population, housing or employment for the Community Plan area to be exceeded in the year of the project completion; and (d) the degree to which scheduled water infrastructure improvements or project design features would reduce or offset service impacts. Based on a net increase of 2 units, the net increase for water demand would be 470 gallons per day. As concluded above, the proposed project would have a less-than-significant impact on water demand.	
LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	Based upon the criteria established in the City of Los Angeles CEQA Thresholds Guide, a project would normally have a significant wastewater impact if: (a) the project would cause a measurable increase in wastewater flows to a point where, and a time when, a sewer's capacity is already constrained or that would cause a sewer's capacity to become constrained; or (b) the project's additional wastewater flows would substantially or incrementally exceed the future scheduled capacity of any one treatment plant by generating flows greater than those anticipated in the Wastewater Facilities Plan or General plan and its elements. The sewage flow	

Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
	will ultimately be conveyed to the Hyperion Treatment Plant, which has sufficient capacity for the Proposed Project. Therefore, impacts would be less than significant.	
f.	<p>LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT</p> <p>The project will generate waste due to the demolition, construction and operation of structures. The 2009 County of Los Angeles ColWMP report on landfill capacity also states that without changes in the status quo, a shortage of permitted solid waste disposal capacity at in-County Class III landfills is projected by 2014. However, the report also anticipates that future disposal needs can be adequately met through 2024 through landfill expansion, new technologies, and waste reduction programs. Estimated daily use of the multi-family residential building will contribute less than the City's operational threshold of 5 tons per week identified in the City's CEQA Thresholds Guide. In addition, the project would be required to comply with all applicable regulations and recycling programs, including the LA Green Building Code and AB939 to reduce the amount of solid waste disposed of at local landfills. Therefore, waste generated by the construction and operation of the site would have a less than significant impact on local landfills.</p>	
g.	<p>LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT</p> <p>The project will generate waste due to the demolition, construction and operation of structures. The project would be required to comply with all applicable regulations, including the LA Green Building Code and AB939 to reduce the amount of solid waste disposed of at local landfills. Therefore, waste generated by the construction and operation of the site should be in compliance with all applicable regulations and would result in a less than significant impact.</p>	
XVIII. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE		
a.	<p>LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT</p> <p>The project site does not contain any threatened or endangered species, sensitive habitats, or known historic resources. The project will not significantly degrade the environment or affect any plant or wildlife areas.</p>	
b.	<p>LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT</p> <p>The project will not result in any cumulative effects.</p>	

Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
---------	-------------	---------------------

c.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	Any potential substantial adverse effects on human beings have been addressed in other portions of this report (air quality, grading, traffic, hazardous materials, infrastructure impacts) and have been adequately mitigated to a less than significant level.	
----	------------------------------	--	--