CITY OF LOS ANGELES

OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK ROOM 395, CITY HALL LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012

CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT

INITIAL STUDY

and CHECKLIST

(CEQA Guidelines Section 15063)

LEAD CITY AGENCY: City of Los Angeles	COUNCIL DISTRICT:	DATE: 01/02/2015		
RESPONSIBLE AGENCIES: Department of		101/02/2015		
ENVIRONMENTAL CASE: ENV-2014-2184-MND	RELATED CASES: APCSV-2014-2183-ZC			
PREVIOUS ACTIONS CASE NO.: Does have significant changes from previous actions. Does NOT have significant changes from previous actions				
PROJECT DESCRIPTION: NEW 2-STY,6-UNIT APARTMENT BUILDIN	√G	aka Martig Er (Birima a. 1848) 30 deja ASEAN - Kristi in Indulyago gila asti, aki 2004, asa risat da abbadiidan ASS		
auto parking spaces and four bicycle parking The Zone Change request is from R1-1-RIO	to R3-1-RIO. plemental use district, but the zone change reques			
designated local street. Land uses completely surrounding the subje	ingular-shaped lot, having a frontage of 50 feet alo ect site is improved multiple residential in the R3-1- da Park, and another 250 feet south is the Los Ang	RIO zone. Across Kittridge Street,		
COMMUNITY PLAN AREA: RESEDA - WEST VAN NUYS STATUS: Does Conform to Plan Does NOT Conform to Plan	AREA PLANNING COMMISSION: SOUTH VALLEY	CERTIFIED NEIGHBORHOOD COUNCIL: RESEDA		
EXISTING ZONING: R1-1-RIO	MAX. DENSITY/INTENSITY ALLOWED BY ZONING: 1 d.u. / 5000 sq.ft.			
GENERAL PLAN LAND USE: Medium Residential	MAX. DENSITY/INTENSITY ALLOWED BY PLAN DESIGNATION: 1 d.u. / 800 sq.ft.	LA River Adjacent: NO		
	PROPOSED PROJECT DENSITY:			

		Signature	Title	Phone
		and My	City Planning Associate	(818) 374-5061
		significant effects (a) hav applicable standards, and	oposed project could have a significant effect or e been analyzed adequately in an earlier EIR or d (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to g revisions or mitigation measures that are impo	r NEGATIVE DECLARATION pursuant to p that earlier EIR or NEGATIVE
		impact on the environme pursuant to applicable le analysis as described on	ct MAY have a "potentially significant impact" or nt, but at least one effect 1) has been adequate gal standards, and 2) has been addressed by m attached sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPAC hat remain to be addressed.	ly analyzed in an earlier document itigation measures based on earlier
		I find the proposed proje REPORT is required.	ct MAY have a significant effect on the environm	nent, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT
	V	significant effect in this c	roposed project could have a significant effect o ase because revisions on the project have been D NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.	made by or agreed to by the project
		I find that the proposed p DECLARATION will be p	project COULD NOT have a significant effect on prepared.	the environment, and a NEGATIVE
On	the ba	asis of this initial evaluatio	n:	

Evaluation Of Environmental Impacts:

- 1. A brief explanation is required for all answers except "No Impact" answers that are adequately supported by the information sources a lead agency cites in the parentheses following each question. A "No Impact" answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to projects like the one involved (e.g., the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A "No Impact" answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards (e.g., the project will not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants based on a project-specific screening analysis).
- 2. All answers must take account of the whole action involved, including off-site as well as on-site, cumulative as well as project-level, indirect as well as direct, and construction as well as operational impacts.
- 3. Once the lead agency has determined that a particular physical impact may occur, then the checklist answers must indicate whether the impact is potentially significant, less that significant with mitigation, or less than significant. "Potentially Significant Impact" is appropriate if there is substantial evidence that an effect may be significant. If there are one or more "Potentially Significant Impact" entries when the determination is made, an EIR is required.
- 4. "Negative Declaration: Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated" applies where the incorporation of a mitigation measure has reduced an effect from "Potentially Significant Impact" to "Less Than Significant Impact." The lead agency must describe the mitigation measures, and briefly explain how they reduce the effect to a less than significant level (mitigation measures from "Earlier Analyses," as described in (5) below, may be cross-referenced).
- 5. Earlier analyses may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR, or negative declaration. Section 15063 (c)(3)(D). In this case, a brief discussion should identify the following:
 - a. Earlier Analysis Used. Identify and state where they are available for review.

Determination (To Be Completed By Lead Agency)

- b. Impacts Adequately Addressed. Identify which effects from the above checklist were within the scope of and adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and state whether such effects were addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis.
- c. Mitigation Measures. For effects that are "Less than Significant with Mitigation Measures Incorporated," describe the mitigation measures which were incorporated or refined from the earlier document and the extent to which they address site-specific conditions for the project.

- Lead agencies are encouraged to incorporate into the checklist references to information sources for potential impacts (e.g.,
 general plans, zoning ordinances). Reference to a previously prepared or outside document should, where appropriate,
 include a reference to the page or pages where the statement is substantiated.
- 7. Supporting Information Sources: A sources list should be attached, and other sources used or individuals contacted should be cited in the discussion.
- 8. This is only a suggested form, and lead agencies are free to use different formats; however, lead agencies should normally address the questions from this checklist that are relevant to a project's environmental effects in whatever format is selected.
- 9. The explanation of each issue should identify:
 - a. The significance criteria or threshold, if any, used to evaluate each question; and
 - b. The mitigation measure identified, if any, to reduce the impact to less than significance.

ENV-2014-2184-MND Page 6 of 24

Environmental Factors Potentially Affected:

The environmental factors checked below would be potentially affected by this project, involving at least one impact that is a "Potentially Significant Impact" as indicated by the checklist on the following pages.

☐ AESTHETICS ☐ AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES ☐ AIR QUALITY ☐ BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES ☐ CULTURAL RESOURCES ✔ GEOLOGY AND SOILS	☐ GREEN HOUSE GAS EMISSIONS ✓ HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS ☐ HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY ☐ LAND USE AND PLANNING ☐ MINERAL RESOURCES ☐ NOISE	☐ POPULATION AND HOUSING ☐ PUBLIC SERVICES ☐ RECREATION ☐ TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC ✔ UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS ☐ MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE
INITIAL STUDY CHECKLIS	T (To be completed by the Lead City Agency)	
Background		
PROPONENT NAME:	P	HONE NUMBER:
Morvarid Mostafavi	3)	318) 515-8100
APPLICANT ADDRESS:		
6222 Lubao Ave. Woodland Hills, CA 91367		
AGENCY REQUIRING CHECKLIST:	D	ATE SUBMITTED:
Department of City Planning	06	5/18/2014
PROPOSAL NAME (if Applicable):		

Potentially	Potentially significant unless	Less than	
significant	mitigation	significant	
impact	incorporated	impact	No impact

84 4	AESTHETICS			Man of a security state
a.	Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?			V
b.	Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?		ikyratan sacaraka taya qaliin kiitikan mahii luungi inquinada sacara mahinassani inqui Africa mahindakii o	1
C.	Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?		and have been designed to the second	V
1.	Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttlme views in the area?			V
ſ.	AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES	Philippi and an air and a second	ھەرەنىيە يۇلۇلۇنىن يورەرىنىيىنىنىن ئىسىد <mark>ا سىنت</mark> ەنمىدە ئىكەمەسىن بولىدىدا	and the state of t
a.	Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to nonagricultural use?	Parkers Miller Miller State Company of the Addition		1
b.	Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?			1
C.	Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code section 12220(g)), timberland (as defined by Public Resources Code section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Government Code section 51104(g))?			~
1.	Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?	No. of the last of		Y
2.	Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?			~
II.	AIR QUALITY		Santa dan ethio dili santi a sini sa si sa passa sanggin dan a si	and the same of th
	Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?			
	Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?		Y .	-
	Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?	program de l'accessor de la construcción de la cons		
	Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?		V	***************************************
	Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
1.	BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES		2	7-1-7-1-7-1-7-1-7-1-7-1-7-1-7-1-7-1-7-1
ALCOHOLOGICA	Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?		manazan dalam di Stata dalam da pangan pangan da da da da pangan da da da da pangan da da da da da da da da da	4
Sep. Richman	Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or US Fish and Wildlife Service?		titera anta di Grani simini melekati pengajambah bitanah agia anta akubaman bitaha	1
- Additions	Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?	and and the second section of the se		~
The same	Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?			~
	Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?			~
Dept.	Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?	A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR		4

ENV-2014-2184-MND Page 8 of 24

		Potentially significant impact	Potentially significant unless mitigation incorporated	Less than significant impact	No impact
a.	Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical				1
b.	resource as defined in § 15064.5? Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological				
C.	resource pursuant to § 15064.5? Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or			and the state of t	· ·
	unique geologic feature?				
L	Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?	Sales to the sales of the sales		and the state of t	Y
VI	GEOLOGY AND SOILS	white had not see the office of the	و و المادية		The state of the s
a.	Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving: Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning-Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42.				V
b.	Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving: Strong seismic ground shaking?			Y	
C.	Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving: Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?		Y		
d.	Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving: Landslides?				~
8.	Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?				V
f.	Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?		~		A San Carlotte Control of the
g.	Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property?				Y
h.	Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tenks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water?				V
VII	GREEN HOUSE GAS EMISSIONS	and the state of t	and the state of t	and the second second second second	***************************************
a.	Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?		n yan da da ana da	~	ىلىدىلىدىلىدىكى يەندىكى يايىلىلىنىدىلەن بىرىدىنىنى بىلىدىكىدىلىلىدىلىدىلىدىلىدىلىدىلىدىلىدىل
b.	Conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?			~	makan da kata da maka da kata d
711	. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	the state of the s	Santa de Caración de la Caración de Caraci	Commission of Columnia and Columnia	**************************************
1.	Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?		Control of the Contro	والمرافقة المرافقة ا	V
4	Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?				Y
	Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?		~		mederili en des d'i i e y circegrappedenni
d colored	Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?				~
DOLL THE LAW	For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public alroort or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?				V
f.	For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?				V
	mpair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?				V

ENV-2014-2184-MND Page 9 of 24

Potentially significant impact	Potentially significant unless mitigation incorporated	Less than significant impact	No impact
--------------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

h.	Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized		and a second sec	1
	areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?			
IX.	HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY			
2.	Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?		V	
b.	Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of preexisting nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?			A form this is the second of t
	Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?		The state of the s	1
d.	Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site?			· V
1	Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?		V	
f.	Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?		V	
	Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?			~
	Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows?			V
1	Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?		Y	
	nundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?			V
L	AND USE AND PLANNING	Reading to the definition of the security of the deficit of the de	n maria di proprieta de la companie	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Physically divide an established community?		والمنظمة والمتحدث والمتحدث والمتحدث والمتحددة والمتحددة والمتحددة والمتحددة والمتحددة والمتحددة والمتحددة والمتحددة	1
-	Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?	enterior magnetici in productiva de l'America de Deputa de la Regiona de la Regiona de la Regiona de la Regiona	7	, majding y i difika wil mkilikaki dalapikan
	Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan?		and the state of t	1
1. 1	MINERAL RESOURCES			
	Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?			V
1	Result in the loss of availability of a locally important mineral resource ecovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?			~
H.	NOISE	The state of the s		***************************************
	exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable tandards of other agencies?		~	
	exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundbome vibration or groundborne noise levels?		V	
	substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project icinity above levels existing without the project?		~	
	substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the roject vicinity above levels existing without the project?		Y	

ENV-2014-2184-MND Page 10 of 24

		Potentially significant impact	Potentially significant unless mitigation incorporated	Less than significant impact	No impact
e.	For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?				V
f.	For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?			گذشته در بازد بازد که بازد که در در د	V
	II. POPULATION AND HOUSING	The state of the s	Name of the Street of the Stre	**	
-	Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?			V	
	Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?				V
المنتعدد	Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?				V
XI	V. PUBLIC SERVICES				
a.	Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically aftered governmental facilities, need for new or physically aftered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services: Fire protection?			V	
b.	Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services: Police protection?	And the second s		V	
c.	Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services: Schools?			Y	
	Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services: Parks?			-	ika di dikabanya di mahanda di dimunu di apendalaman
AND DESCRIPTIONS OF THE PERSONS OF T	Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services: Other public facilities?			V	MARIA - Maria Salaman and Araba Salama
KV,	RECREATION				
- 1	Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?			~	Marine Specific December Species as the second seco
1	Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?			~	-punishment gyglig in gran fall of a c 6 O Acid American
α	. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC				
Section of the sectio	Conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation including mass transit and non-motorized travel and relevant components of the circulation system, including but not limited to intersections, streets, highways and freeways, pedestrian and bicycle paths, and mass transit?		Apple - Recommended in the control of the control o		1

ENV-2014-2184-MND Page 11 of 24

		impact	incorporated	impact	No impact
b.	Conflict with an applicable congestion management program, including, but not limited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other standards established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?				V
G.	Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?	and the state of t			~
i.	Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?	\$1400 a 150 14 a 4 50 a			V
3.	Result in inadequate emergency access?	Toronto de la la la constitució de la c		Biograph Indian area (a till, 1600 p. Feel of co nspile	V
f.	Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs regarding public transit, bicycle, or pedestrian facilities, or otherwise decrease the performance or safety of such facilities supporting alternative transportation (e.g., bus turnouts, bicycle racks)?	tiledhirydge birdy gaire bird dei i'n e y meigleg gerd di			~
(1	II. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS				
1.	Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?		V		
),	Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?				Y
	Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?	a decision for the second seco		V	
1.	Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?	<u> </u>			~
	Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?	anned de Selection de syntagonylle Espekin (1967) (1967) (1968)		Y	
	Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?	Mingglapt and a second property and a second property and a second property and a second property and a second		~	
	Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?	<u> </u>		~	<u> </u>
٧	III. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE				
CHARLES SHOW AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSONS ASSESSED.	Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?			Y	
and positive and and	Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)?			1	and the second seco
	Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?			V	

Potentially significant

unless

mitigation

Less than

significant

Potentially

significant

Note: Authority cited: Sections 21083, 21083.05, Public Resources Code. Reference: Section 65088.4, Gov. Code; Sections 21080, 21083.05, 21095, Pub. Resources Code; Eureka Citizens for Responsible Govt. v. City of Eureka (2007) 147 Cal.App.4th 357; Protect the Historic Amador Waterways v. Amador Water Agency (2004) 116 Cal.App.4th at 1109; San Franciscans Upholding the Downtown Plan v. City and County of San Francisco (2002) 102 Cal.App.4th 656.

ENV-2014-2184-MND Page 12 of 24

DISCUSSION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION (Attach additional sheets if necessary)

The Environmental Impact Assessment includes the use of official City of Los Angeles and other government source reference materials related to various environmental impact categories (e.g., Hydrology, Air Quality, Biology, Cultural Resources, etc.). The State of California, Department of Conservation, Division of Mines and Geology - Seismic Hazard Maps and reports, are used to identify potential future significant seismic events; including probable magnitudes, Ilquefaction, and landslide hazards. Based on applicant information provided in the Master Land Use Application and Environmental Assessment Form, impact evaluations were based on stated facts contained therein, including but not limited to, reference materials indicated above, field investigation of the project site, and any other reliable reference materials known at the time.

Project specific impacts were evaluated based on all relevant facts indicated in the Environmental Assessment Form and expressed through the applicant's project description and supportive materials. Both the Initial Study Checklist and Checklist Explanations, in conjunction with the City of Los Angeles's Adopted Thresholds Guide and CEQA Guidelines, were used to reach reasonable conclusions on environmental impacts as mandated under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA).

The project as identified in the project description may cause potentially significant impacts on the environment without mitigation. Therefore, this environmental analysis concludes that a Mitigated Negative Declaration shall be issued to avoid and mitigate all potential adverse impacts on the environment by the imposition of mitigation measures and/or conditions contained and expressed in this document; the environmental case file known as ENV-2014-2184-MND and the associated case(s), APCSV-2014-2183-ZC. Finally, based on the fact that these impacts can be feasibly mitigated to less than significant, and based on the findings and thresholds for Mandatory Findings of Significance as described in the California Environmental Quality Act, section 15065, the overall project impact(s) on the environment (after mitigation) will not:

- · Substantially degrade environmental quality.
- Substantially reduce fish or wildlife habitat.
- Cause a fish or wildlife habitat to drop below self sustaining levels.
- Threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community.
- Reduce number, or restrict range of a rare, threatened, or endangered species.
- · Eliminate important examples of major periods of California history or prehistory.
- Achieve short-term goals to the disadvantage of long-term goals.
- Result in environmental effects that are individually limited but cumulatively considerable.
- Result in environmental effects that will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:

All supporting documents and references are contained in the Environmental Case File referenced above and may be viewed in the EIR Unit, Room 763, City Hall.

<u>For City information, addresses and phone numbers:</u> visit the City's website at http://www.lacity.org; City Planning - and Zoning Information Mapping Automated System (ZIMAS) cityplanning.lacity.org/ or EIR Unit, City Hall, 200 N Spring Street, Room 763. Seismic Hazard Maps - http://gmw.consrv.ca.gov/shmp/

Engineering/Infrastructure/Topographic Maps/Parcel Information - http://boemaps.eng.ci.la.ca.us/index01.htm or City's main website under the heading "Navigate LA".

DDEDADED DV	TITLE:	TELEPHONE NO.:	DATE:
PREPARED BY:	City Planning Associate	(818) 374-5061	01/07/2015

		Mitigation
Impact?	Explanation	Measures

APPENDIX A: ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS EXPLANATION TABLE

1. #	AESTHETICS		
a.	NO IMPACT	The project is not located near a Scenic Vista.	
b.	NO IMPACT	The project is not located near any scenic resources.	
C.	NO IMPACT	The project is an infill apartment building surrounded by existitng apartment buildings of the same height.	
ď.	NO IMPACT	The project will not increase lighting on the site compared to existing levels.	
1. /	AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESC	URCES	
a,	NO IMPACT	The project site is not used or designated as farmland of importance.	
b.	NO IMPACT	The site is not zoned for agricultural uses and does not hold a Williamson Act contract.	
c.	NO IMPACT	The project site is not zoned for forest land or timberland.	
d.	NO IMPACT	The project site does not contain or impact any forest land.	
e.	NO IMPACT	The project would not result in a conversion of farmland to a non-agricultural use, or forest to a non-forest use.	
1. /	AIR QUALITY		
a.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The proposed project for the development of 6 residential units would constitute a negligible percentage of the City's forecasted growth through 2020. Therefore the project will not generate population, housing or employment growth exceeding the forecasts used in the development of the latest 2007 Air Quality Management Plan (AQMP) established by the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) for the South Coast Air Basin, and air quality impacts would be less than significant.	
).	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The project will produce fugitive dust and mobile sources emissions as a result of construction activities, but these should be controlled on-site by existing regulations. The project is also expected to generate additional vehicle trips (mobile source emissions). However, the project is below the SCAQMD's 261 unit operational daily threshold for residential	

	Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
		developments and therefore is not potentially significant.	
C.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The project will produce fugitive dust and mobile sources emissions as a result of construction activities, as well as mobile source emissions from additional vehicle trips. These emissions will be partially controlled on-site by existing regulations and these emissions fall below the SCAQMD's daily significant thresholds, and therefore would not be cumulatively considerable. In addition, the project would be consistent with the AQMP, which is intended to bring the Basin into attainment for all criteria pollutants. As such, cumulative impacts would be less than significant.	
d.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	Nearby sensitive receptors include residences within and directly adjacent to the project site. Construction and operation of the project would result in a less than significant impact for both localized and regional air pollution emissions.	
e.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	SCAQMD Rule 1113 limits the amount of VOCs from architectural coatings and solvents, so construction activities or materials are not expected to create significant objectionable odors. The residential land uses proposed for the project would not otherwise be expected to create or emit objectionable odors. Therefore, this impact would be less than significant.	
V. I	BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES		
a.	NO IMPACT	The site is located in a built-up residential area and does not support any known protected or special-status species.	
b.	NO IMPACT	The site is located in a built-up residential area and does not support any significant riparian or special status habitats.	
C.	NO IMPACT	The site does not contain any federally protected wetlands.	
d.	NO IMPACT	The site is located in a built-up residential area and does not support any known wildlife corridor or designated migration path.	
e.	NO IMPACT	The project site does not contain any vegetation or wildlife and the project will not conflict with any conservation plans.	
f.	NO IMPACT	The project will not conflict with any conservation plans.	

	Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
a.	NO IMPACT	No historic resources are known to exist on-site or in the vicinity, per City of LA's Environmental and Public Facilities Maps (Historic-Cultural Monuments & Historic Preservation Overlay Zones). No impact would occur and no mitigation measures are required.	
b.	NO IMPACT	No archeological resources are known to exist on-site, per City of LA's Environmental and Public Facilities Maps (Prehistoric & Historic Archeological Sites & Survey Areas). No impact would occur and no mitigation measures are required.	
C.	NO IMPACT	No paleontological resources are known to exist on-site or in the vicinity, per City of LA's Environmental and Public Facilities Maps (Vertebrate Paleontological Resources). No impact would occur and no mitigation measures are required.	
d.	NO IMPACT	No human remains are known to be located on-site. No impact would occur and no mitigation measures are required.	
VI.	GEOLOGY AND SOILS		
a.	NO IMPACT	The site is not located within the Alquist-Priolo Fault Zone. No impact would occur and no mitigation measures are required.	
b.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The site is located in a seismically active area approximately 11.3 kilometers from the nearest fault, but the project is not expected to cause or accelerate any geological hazards. The project is also subject to the seismic standards of the Department of Building and Safety's Uniform Building Code, thereby reducing possible seismic hazard impacts to a less than significant level.	
c.	POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT UNLESS MITIGATION INCORPORATED	The site is located in a designated liquefaction hazard zone as shown on the "Seismic Hazard Zones" map Issued by the State of California. A soil report shall be prepared to the satisfaction of the Department of Building and Safety.	VI-70
d.	NO IMPACT	The project site is not located within an area of historically earthquake-induced landslides, and landslides on the site are not anticipated based on the area's flat terrain.	
€.	NO IMPACT	The site is not located in an area of known or suspected erosion hazard.	

ENV-2014-2184-MND Page 16 of 24

	Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
f.	POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT UNLESS MITIGATION INCORPORATED	The site is not located within a liquefaction area which may cause a geological hazard.	VI-70
g.	NO IMPACT	The site is not located on a known expansive soil area.	
h.	NO IMPACT	The proposed project would not involve the use of septic tanks or alternative wastewater disposal systems. The project site is located in an urbanized area served by existing sewer infrastructure.	
/11.	GREEN HOUSE GAS EMISSIONS		
a.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The most common contributors to GHG emissions result from transportation and the consumption of fossil fuels. The project is expected to consume additional fossil fuel resources through electrical and natural gas usage, as well as generate additional mobile source emissions by introducing new vehicle trips. However, it is not possible to predict the impact on global climate change resulting from this specific and relatively small incremental increase in emissions due to the project's operation. Additionally, the proposed project does include features which will reduce the consumption of fossil fuels, such as compliance with the Los Angeles Green Building Program and the California Building Code, and as an in-fill development, thereby reducing consumption of fossil fuels in vehicles. Therefore, the project is expected to have a less than significant impact on the effect of GHGs on the environment.	
),	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The project is expected to consume additional fossil fuel resources through electrical and natural gas usage, as well as generate additional mobile source emissions by introducing new vehicle trips. However, the project is consistent with existing greenhouse gas reduction plans or policies, including the Los Angeles Green Building Program, the California Building Code, and as an in-fill housing project. Since the project is consistent with existing GHG reduction policies, it is expected to have a less than significant impact.	,
111.	HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATE	RIALS	***************************************
	NO IMPACT	The proposed residential use of the site is not expected to require the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials.	

ENV-2014-2184-MND Page 17 of 24

	Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
b.	NO IMPACT	The project will not include the use of hazardous materials and no known hazardous materials exist on-site.	
c.	POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT UNLESS MITIGATION INCORPORATED	The project site is located within 500 feet of two schools and a public park. While the construction or use of the project is not expected to emit or handle hazardous materials.	VIII-60
d.	NO IMPACT	The site is not listed as a hazardous site, per the EPA's Cortese List.	
e.	NO IMPACT	The site is not located within the vicinity of an airport.	
f.	NO IMPACT	The site is not located within the vicinity of a private airstrip.	
g.	NO IMPACT	The project will not interfere with any emergency response plans.	
h.	NO IMPACT	The site is not located within a fire hazard zone.	
X.	HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY		
a.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	Construction of the project would require earthwork activities, including grading of the project site, and the construction and operation of the project could result in run-off from the site impacting water quality standards or waste discharge requirements. However, the project will be required to comply with existing City regulations regarding construction, grading and dust control measures, including Low Impact Development (LID), Best Management Practices (BMPs) and SUSMP requirements to reduce the discharge of polluted runoff from the project site. Therefore, any impact should be less than significant.	
),	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The project will reduce the current permeable area of the project site, but is expected to provide pervious surfaces in the landscaped areas of the site and comply with City regulations for groundwater infiltration and recharge. Therefore, construction activities and operation of the residences would not substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere with groundwater recharge.	
	NO IMPACT	The project will not significantly alter a drainage pattern and no rivers or streams exist on the site. Minimal changes to the existing drainage patterns would occur on-site and no significant erosion impact is expected.	

ENV-2014-2184-MND Page 18 of 24

	Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
ď.	NO IMPACT	The project will not significantly after a drainage pattern and no rivers or streams exist on the site. Minimal changes to the existing drainage patterns would occur on-site and no significant additional runoff or impact due to flooding is expected.	
ė.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The project will not result in a measurable increase in stormwater run-off, and the project would include appropriate on-site drainage improvements and water quality control measures to accommodate anticipated runoff or storm water flows. Therefore, the project would not create or contribute substantial runoff or contamination of runoff.	
f.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	Construction of the project would require earthwork activities, including grading of the project site, and the construction and operation of the project could result in run-off from the site impacting water quality standards or waste discharge requirements. However, the project will be required to comply with existing City regulations regarding construction, grading and dust control measures, including Low Impact Development (LID), Best Management Practices (BMPs) and SUSMP requirements to reduce the discharge of polluted runoff from the project site. Therefore, any impact should be less than significant.	
j.	NO IMPACT	The project site is located outside of any designated flood zone.	
١.	NO IMPACT	The project site is located outside of any designated flood zone.	
	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The project site is located within an area identified as at-risk for inundation, per the City of LA Environmental and Public Facilities Map, 1996. However, the project is not expected to impact existing aquifers or groundwater levels. In addition, the project will be required to comply with existing City regulations, including Low Impact Development (LID), Best Management Practices (BMPs) and SUSMP requirements for on-site filtration and groundwater recharge. Therefore, any impact should be less than significant.	
	NO IMPACT	The site is not within a tsunami, seiche, or mudflow area, per the City of LA Environmental and Public Facilities Map, 1996 and LA Flood Hazard Map, 1998.	

ENV-2014-2184-MND Page 19 of 24

	Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
a.	NO IMPACT	The project will not physically divide a community; it will provide a compatible land use neighboring residential uses.	
b .	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The project is located in the LA RIO supplemental use district, although the applicant filed this project application prior to the use district being adopted by the City Council. However any adverse impacts related to the project requests can be properly mitigated by conditions in the Zone Change Approval.	
),	NO IMPACT	The project site is located in a heavily urbanized area of Los Angeles, and no conservation plans apply to the project site or area.	
1, 1	MINERAL RESOURCES		
Э.	NO IMPACT	The site is not located in a known mineral resource area, per the City of LA Environmental and Public Facilities Maps, 1996. No mineral extraction operations occur on the project site or in the vicinity.	
).	NO IMPACT	The site is not located in a known mineral resource area, per the City of LA Environmental and Public Facilities Maps, 1996. No mineral extraction operations occur on the project site or in the vicinity.	
11.	NOISE		
	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	Construction noise for the project will cause a temporary increase in the ambient noise levels, but will be subject to the LAMC Sections 112.05 and 41.40 regarding construction hours and construction equipment noise thresholds.	
	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The project would be constructed using typical construction techniques. Construction equipment would generate a limited amount of groundbourne vibration during construction activities at short distances away from the source, but will be subject to LAMC Sections 112.05 and 41.40 regarding construction hours and construction equipment noise thresholds.	
	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The project will not result in a significant permanent increase in noise levels.	
	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	Construction noise for the project will cause a temporary increase in the ambient noise levels, but will be subject to existing noise regulations. Additionally, the use of the site, vehicular driveways, and mechanical equipment on-site will also generate periodic noise, but is not expected to cause substantial increase in noise levels.	

	Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
			N.
е.	NO IMPACT	The site is not located within the vicinity of an airport.	
F.,	NO IMPACT	The site is not located within the vicinity of an airstrip.	
	. POPULATION AND HOUSING		
a.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The project will accommodate residential population growth in-keeping with what was originally envisioned from the Community Plan land use and density designations, and proposes a net increase of 6 residential units. The physical secondary or indirect impacts of population and housing growth such as increased traffic or noise have been adequately mitigated or addressed in other portions of this document, and therefore the increase of population will have a less than significant effect.	
),	NO IMPACT	The project is not displacing any existing housing, and will be providing greater housing capacity after the construction of the 6-unit apartment building is complete.	
	NO IMPACT	The project is not displacing any existing residents, and will be providing greater housing capacity after the construction of the 6-unit apartment building is complete.	
IV	PUBLIC SERVICES		
	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The site is in close proximity Fire Engine and Truck Company #73, which is within the maximum response distance preferred by the Los Angeles Fire Code (LAMC Sec. 57.09.07). The project is not otherwise located in any fire hazard zones. Therefore the project would not have a significant impact on the City's fire services.	
	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The project is served by the West Valley Community Police Station. The proposed development would result in a net increase of 6 residential units, which is less than a significance threshold of 75 residential units, as identified by the City of LA CEQA Thresholds Guide. Therefore the project would not have a significant impact on the City's police services.	
	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The proposed development would result in a net increase of 6 residential units, resulting in the addition of new students into the school system. However, the development will be required to pay school fees to the Los Angeles Unified School District to offset some of the increased demand for school services. Therefore the project will have a less than	

	Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
		significant impact on the City's school services.	
d.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The proposed development would result in a net increase of 6 residential units, creating an additional need and usage of park facilities. However, the project will be required to pay Zone Change Park fees (LAMC 12.33) to the Department of Recreation and Parks for the development of the building and will help to offset any potential impacts the project might have on the City's park system.	
е.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	Potential project impacts on other City services have been addressed in other portions of this document, including transportation improvements, and have been mitigated to a less than significant level.	
XV	. RECREATION		
a.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The proposed development would result in a net increase of 6 residential units, creating an additional need and usage of park facilities. However, the project will be required to pay Zone Change Park fees (LAMC 12.33) to the Department of Recreation and Parks for the development of the building and will help to offset any potential impacts the project might have on the City's park system.	
b.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	Construction-related impacts on the project site have been mitigated to a less-than significant levels through the implementation of measures identified in the remainder of the document.	
IVX	. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC		
a.	NO IMPACT	The 6 unit project will not cause a significant or substantial increase in traffic. However, LADOT may recommend several mitigation measures to ensuresafe and adequate site access.	
b.	NO IMPACT	The 6 unit project will not cause a significant or substantial increase in traffic. However, LADOT may recommend several mitigation measures to ensure safe and adequate site access. The project would not conflict with any existing congestion management plan.	
c.	NO IMPACT	The project will not alter air traffic patterns.	
d.	NO IMPACT	The project does not include any changes to transportation design features and will maintain the existing driveway entrance to the site.	

ENV-2014-2184-MND Page 22 of 24

	Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
e.	NO IMPACT	The project will provide adequate emergency access.	
f.	NO IMPACT	The project will not interfere with public transit plans or policies.	
XV	II. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS	S	
a.	POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT UNLESS MITIGATION INCORPORATED	The City's Department of Public Works provides wastewater services for the site, and generated wastewater is transferred to the Hyperion Treatment Plan, which is currently operating at 362 mgd, below a capacity of 450 mgd. The proposed project is also not located in any Sewer Capacity Threshold Area, per LA CEQA Thresholds Guide, Exhibits M.2-1 - M.2-12. However, unless properly mitigated there will be unnecessary wastewater flows.	XVII-10, XVII-20, XVII-40 Requirements for more efficient landscaping and water-usage will reduce both water demand and wastewater production for the project. Additionally, the project-related increase in wastewater generation would represent a small fraction of the permitted annual flow increase for the HTP, which can accommodate approximately 90 mgd beyond current treated flow conditions. Therefore, the project would not be expected to exceed the wastewater treatment requirements of the RWQCB, and potential impacts should be reduced to less than significant levels through water-conservation measures.
b.	NO IMPACT	The project would result in increased water demand and wastewater generation. However, the project will not require the construction of additional water or wastewater facilities, as discussed in XVIa. Therefore, impacts would be less than significant.	
c.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	Drainage patterns and post-development runoff quantities under the proposed project would be similar to the existing site conditions. If the project is required any additional construction of connections to the existing drainage system, the construction would mitigated by other construction mitigation already required for the project. Therefore any potential construction for sewage connections would be reduced to a less than significant level.	
d.	NO IMPACT	The project has adequate water supply access from the City.	
e.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The City's Department of Public Works provides wastewater services for the site, and generated wastewater is transferred to the Hyperion Treatment Plan, which is currently operating at 362 mgd, below a capacity of 450 mgd. The proposed project is also not located in any Sewer Capacity Threshold Area, per LA CEQA Thresholds Guide, Exhibits M.2-1 - M.2-12. However, full occupancy of the project would produce less wastewater	

ENV-2014-2184-MND Page 23 of 24