

MOTION

HOUSING

On June 15, 2015, the California Supreme Court ruled in the case *California Building Industry Association. v. City of San Jose* that cities and counties may require developers to provide affordable housing units in developments whose units will be offered for-sale. The Court upheld an inclusionary housing ordinance adopted by the City of San Jose and stated that inclusionary housing laws are similar to local regulations controlling housing size and density. This ruling should be studied by the Housing and Community Investment Department to determine how it impacts the City's ability to adopt inclusionary housing ordinances, including this ruling's impact on the *Palmer* decision (2009) by the Court of Appeal, which barred inclusionary housing in the rental market.

I THEREFORE MOVE that the City Council direct the Housing and Community Investment Department, with the assistance of the City Attorney, to report to the Council on the California Supreme Court ruling in the case *California Building Industry Association. v. City of San Jose* and its impact on the City's ability to impose inclusionary housing ordinances and the *Palmer* decision.

I FURTHER MOVE that the Housing and Community Investment Department present policy options and a framework for a potential inclusionary housing ordinance in the City of Los Angeles.

PRESENTED BY:

GILBERT A. CEDILLO
Councilman, 1st District

MIKE BONIN
Councilman, 11th District

MITCH O'FARRELL
Councilman, 13th District

SECONDED BY:

ORIGINAL