

Etta Armstrong <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>

15-0963

Re: LA City PLUM Committee, Agenda Item 7 for the Sept. 1, 2015 Meeting, CF # 15-0963

1 message

 Sharon Gin <sharon.gin@lacity.org>
 Tue, Sep 1, 2015 at 12:53 PM

 To: Bob Blue <camarobob@hotmail.com>
 Cc: Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>, Etta Armstrong <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>

Hello Mr. Blue,

Thank you for your correspondence. Please note that Sharon Dickinson is now the PLUM Clerk and should receive future PLUM submittals. I cc'ed her and her colleague, Etta Armstrong, so they can process your comment. Thank you.

On Tue, Sep 1, 2015 at 12:35 PM, Bob Blue <camarobob@hotmail.com> wrote:

COUNCILMEMBER JOSE HUIZAR, CHAIR COUNCILMEMBER MARQUEECE HARRIS-DAWSON COUNCILMEMBER GILBERT A. CEDILLO COUNCILMEMBER MITCHELL ENGLANDER COUNCILMEMBER FELIPE FUENTES City Council File #: 15-0963 Property address: 5258 Hermitage Ave Case Nos.: TT-72725-CN-1A 2014-2510-MND

Dear PLUM Committee Members:

Recommendation to accept appeal(s) and deny the applicant for the above referenced project.

This communication is being submitted prior to the start of the September 1, 2015 City of Los Angeles, PLUM Committee meeting.

Via this communication and from previous submittals on the record, you have been made aware of violations City and State laws that

City of Los Angeles Mail - Re: LA City PLUM Committee, Agenda Item 7 for the Sept. 1, 2015 Meeting, CF # 15-0963

occurred governing the demolition of structures (City of Los Angeles Ord. No. 178,402, Eff. 4-2-07. Sec. 22.171.12.) and should not take any action and instead refer this to an outside law enforcement agency for further investigation of any actions taken by the City and the applicant that violated local or State laws.

Giving any approvals or denying the appeals at this time frame would make you derelict in your duty to protect public health and safety and to uphold the law.

1. <u>Temporary Stay of Demolition was required under Ordinance (Ord.</u> <u>No. 178,402, Eff. 4-2-07. Sec. 22.171.12.</u>): An outside agency needs to investigate who knew about this and who in the City failed to follow the law. The City Attorney's office, Building and Safety, Office of Historic Resources, all may have potential conflicts due to attorney-client relationships.

Ord. No. 178,402, Eff. 4-2-07. Sec. 22.171.12. Temporary Stay of Demolition, Substantial Alteration or Removal Pending

Determination to Designate a Monument. Upon initiation by the Council, the Commission or the Director of a proposed designation of a Monument, or upon the Commission's determination that an application for a proposed designation merits further consideration, no permit for the demolition, substantial alteration or removal of that site, building, or structure shall be issued, and the site, building or structure, regardless of whether a permit exists or does not exist, shall not be demolished, substantially altered or removed, pending final determination by the Council that the proposed site, building or structure shall be designated as a Monument. The Commission shall notify the Department of Building and Safety in writing not to issue any permits for the demolition, alteration or removal of a building or structure. The owner of the site, building or structure shall notify the Commission, in writing, whenever application is made for a permit to demolish, substantially alter, or remove any site, building or structure proposed to be designated as a Monument. The Council shall act on the proposed designation within the time limits contained in Section 22.171.10(f) of this article. If, after the expiration of the final period of time to act, the Council has not taken an action on the application or initiation to designate a Monument, then the demolition, alteration or removal of the site, building or structure may proceed.

2. South Coast Air Quality Management District (AQMD) Rule

1403: The structure was demolished without review of materials of construction or existing conditions to determine the presence of asbestos.

AQMD Rule 1403, adopted by the SCAQMD on October 6, 1989, establishes Survey Requirements, notification and work practice requirements to prevent asbestos emissions from emanating during building renovation and demolition activities.

Asbestos is a carcinogen and is categorized as a hazardous air pollutant by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). As such, SCAQMD Rule 1403 incorporates the requirements of the federal asbestos requirements found in National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) T found in the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Title 40, Part 61, Subpart M.

The EPA delegated to SCAQMD the authority to enforce the federal asbestos NESHAP and the SCAQMD is the local enforcement authority for asbestos.

The failure to follow the law should not be rewarded or concealed by taking any action to approve the applicant's request or denying the appeals.

Thank you,

Bob Blue.

Sharon Gin City of Los Angeles Office of the City Clerk 213.978.1068 Sharon.Gin@lacity.org



Richard Lee Abrams 1916 North Saint Andrews Place Hollywood, California 90068-3602 323/957-9588 <u>AbramsRL@Gmail.com</u>

Monday, September 1, 2015

Honorable Members of the PLUM Committee of the City of Los Angeles c/o Sharon.Gin@lacity.org

sharon.dickinson@lacity.org etta.armstrong@lacity.org

RE:	Council File #:	15-0963 🗸	
	Address:	5258 Hermitage Avenue, Valley Village	
Date:		Tuesday, September 1, 2015	

Dear Honorable Committee Members:

On August 25, 2015, Granes and Stacey LLP, attorneys for the developer in the above referenced case submitted a letter concerning the property and the demolition without satisfying the proper administrative process.

They admit that the South Coast Air Quality Management District [SCAQMD] issued them a Notice to Comply. Nowhere do they show that the developed complied with the Notice. The City of Los Angeles is not the proper entity to adjudicate what ramifications flow from the failure to comply with the Notice. Only the SCAQMD has that power. When primary jurisdiction rests with another agency with more expertise, the city should defer to that other agency and not abrogate the rights of the SCAQMD by making a determination which is within its jurisdiction.

Furthermore, the SCAQMD has not absolved the developer of any wrongdoing. If it had, the developer's attorney would have provided the City of the SCAQMD's determination. When we look at the report which the developer produces, we see that it is legally worthless and why the developer would not present it to the SCAQMD – the samples on which the lab tests were performed were taken **after** the demolition had taken place on June 15, 2015.

"The site survey was conducted on June 16, 2015." Hart Laboratory Report date June 24, 2015, page 4 of unnumbered pages. Survey means that they came and looked at the site – without gathering any samples.

The materials which were tested by SanAir Technologies Laboratory Inc were collected on **June 19**, 2015. See Exhibit #1 attached hereto pages 1, 2, 4, 16, 17 from Hart Laboratory June 24, 2015.

There is no knowing what materials SanAir Labs tested since the demolition was on Monday, June 15, 2015 and their samples were taken four days later on Friday, June 19, 2015 after the debris had been cleared and removed from the site.

As a matter of state law, a judge or jury is obligated to evaluate each violation individually and with reference to all relevant facts and circumstances. AQMD considers many factors based upon the California Health and Safety Code including:

- (a) The extent of harm caused by the violation.
- (b) The nature and persistence of the violation.
- (c) The length of time over which the violation occurs.
- (d) The frequency of past violations.
- (e) The record of maintenance.

(f) The unproven or innovative nature of the control equipment.

(g) Any action taken by the defendant to mitigate the violation.

(h) The financial burden to the defendant.

The City's PLUM committee is not the agency which state law has authorized to make the determination, it also has no data on the factors which the state law requires. Since June 2015, the developer could have obtained a clean bill of health from the SCAQMD, if such could be had. (Appellant should not be penalized for the developer's misconduct. The appellant was not cited and lacked standing withr espect to this issue before the SCAQMD.)

The city should not invade the province of the SCAQMD in deciding how to dispose of a Notice to Comply. If cities can approve projects which have not been cleared by the SCAQMD, then the cities are significantly interfering with the legislative mandate which has been give to this state agency.

When the developer fails to present his "evidence" to the proper agency and when a cursory glance shows that the "evidence" is worthless since all tested materials were collected after the demolition and removal of the materials, the city may not approve the project. Rather, the City has two options:

(1) deny the project based upon grounds within the city's jurisdiction, as that will not interfere with the SCAQMD

(2) defer the mater until after the SCAQMND has fully adjudicated the matter and an official determination has been provided to the City.

Summary:

The demolition was contrary to law as there was no approval from SCAQMD who cited the developer for non-compliance.

City of Los Angeles, PLUM Cmte Tuesday, September 1, 2015 Council File # 15-0963

There is no evidence that the SCAQMD has completed the issue.

Developer's evidence is worthless on its face.

Conclusion:

Therefore, the PLUM Committee needs to grant the appeal or at a minimum, it needs to continue this matter until the SCAQMD has verified that it has made its final determination.

Sincerely **Richard Lee Abrams**

RLA:rsm attached Hart Lab report w/ SanAir Reports

Exhibit #1

Sections of Hart Laboratories June 24, 2015 Report

SanAir Technologies Laboratory, Inc. 1551 Oakbridge Drive, Suite B, Powhatan, VA 23139 804.897.1177 Toll Free: 888.895.1177 Fax: 804.897.0070

Web: http://www.sanair.com E-mail: iag@sanair.com

SanAir ID Number

15017780

FINAL REPORT

Hart Laboratory 2028 Rt. 66 #103 Glendora, CA 91741	Project Number: P.O. Number: Project Name:	5258 Hermitage Valley Village CA	
	Collected Date:	6/19/2015	
	Received Date:	6/23/2015 8:00:00 AM	
	Report Date:	6/24/2015 4:08:41 PM	
		Robertson, Erin	

Asbestos Bulk PLM EPA 600/R-93/116

1

SanAir ID / Description	Stereoscopic	Com	ponents	Asbestos
	Appearance	% Fibrous	% Non-Fibrous	Fibers
03 / 15017780-001 Drywall	Off-White Non-Fibrous Homogeneous	5% Cellulose	95% Other	None Detected
SanAir ID / Description	Stereoscopic	<u>Comr</u>	onents	Asbestos
	Appearance	% Fibrous	% Non-Fibrous	Fibers
04 / 15017780-002 Concrete	Grey Non-Fibrous Nomogeneous		100% Other	None Detected
SanAir ID / Description	Stereoscopic	Comp	onents	Asbestos
	Appearance	% Fibrous	% Non-Fibrous	Fibers
05 / 15017780-003 Roof Felt	Black Fibrous Homogeneous	854 Cellulose	15% Other	None Detected
SanAir ID / Description	Stereoscopic	Comp.	onents	Asbestos
	Appearance	% Fibrous	% Non-Fibrous	Fibers
06 / 15017780-004 Hucco, Stucco	Grey Non-Fibrous Homogeneous		100% Other	None Detected
SanAir ID / Description	Stereoscopic	Compo	onents	Asbestos
	Appearance	% Fibrous	% Non-Fibrous	Fibers
7 / 15017780-005 tucco, Stucco	Grey Non-Fibrous Homogeneous		100% Other	None Detected
anAir ID / Description	Stereoscopic	<u>Compo</u>	nents	Asbestos
	Appearance	% Fibrous	% Non-Fibrous	Fibers
B / 15017780-006 tucco, Stucco	Grey Non-Fibrous Homogeneous		100% Other	None Detected
anAir ID / Description	Stereoscopic	Compo	nents	Asbestos
	Appearance	% Fibrous	% Non-Fibrous	Fibers
1 / 15017780-007 pof Felt Center	Black Fibrous Homogeneous	60% Cellulose 30% Glass	10% Other	None Detected

Certification

Astatil **Reviewed:** Date: 6/24/2015

SanAir Technologies Laboratory, Inc.

1551 Oakbridge Drive, Suite B, Powhatan, VA 23139 804.897.1177 Tol! Free: 688.895.1177 Fax: 804.897.0070 Web: http://www.sanair.com E-mail: lag@sanair.com

Name: Hart Laboratory Address: 2028 Rt. 66 #103

Glendora, CA 91741

SanAir ID Mumber

15017781

FINAL REPORT

Project Number: P.O. Number: Project Name:	5258 Hermitage Valley Village CA
Collected Date:	6/19/2015
Received Date:	6/23/2015 8:00:00 AM
Report Date:	6/24/2015 5:19:10 PM
Analyst:	Tallert, Jonathan G.

Asbestos Soil/Vermiculite PLM EPA 600/R-93/116

Sample Number	Sample ID / Location	Characteristics	Asbestos Fibers
15017781-001	01 / Soil S.W. Corner	Brown Non-Fibrous Heterogeneous	None Detected
Sample Number	Sample ID / Location	Characteristics	Asbestos Fibers
15017781-002	02 / Soil N.W. Corner	Brown Non-Fibrous Neterogeneous	None Detected
Sample Number	Sample ID / Location	Characteristics	Asbestos Fibers
15017781-003	09 / Soil Center Of Property	Brown Non-Fibrous Heterogeneous	None Detected
Sample Number	Sample ID / Location	Characteristics	Asbestos Fibers
15017781-004	10'/ Soil Center North	Brown Non-Fibrous Heterogeneous	None Detected
Sample Number	Sample ID / Location	Characteristics	Asbestos Fibers
15017781-005	15 / Soil @ Rock File	Brown Non-Fibrous Heterogeneous	None Detected
Sample Number	Sample ID / Location	Characteristics	Asbestos Fibers
15017701-006	19 7 Soll East Corner	Brown Non-Fibrous Heterogeneous	None Detected
Sample Number	Sample ID / Location	Characteristics	Asbestos Fibers
15017781-007	20 / Soil Rock Pile	Brown Non-Fibrous Heterogeneous	None Detected

Certification ASTallis ASTattle Signature: **Reviewed:** Date: 6/24/2015 Date: 6/24/2015 Page 1 of 1