ORCHAL



## **APPEAL APPLICATION**

This application is to be used for any appeals authorized by the Los Angeles Municipal Code (LAMC) for discretionary actions administered by the Department of City Planning.

1.	APPELLANT BODY/CASE INFORMATION			
	Appellant Body:			
	☐ Area Planning Commission ☐ City Planning Commission ☐ City Planning Commission ☐ Director of Planning			
	Regarding Case Number: DiR 2011-0044 (RU)			
	Project Address: 405 West ADAMS BOULEVALD L-A. CA 90016			
	Final Date to Appeal: AUGUST 13, 2015			
	Type of Appeal:  Appeal by Applicant  Appeal by a person, other than the applicant, claiming to be aggrieved  Appeal from a determination made by the Department of Building and Safety			
2.	APPELLANT INFORMATION  Appellant's name (print): BALUBLIA; G. PATEL Trustee of the Parel Family trust  Company:			
	Mailing Address: 255 5- Reno STREET			
	City: Los Lucelles State: CR Zip: 90057			
	Telephone: (213) 386-2286 E-mail: NONE			
	Is the appeal being filed on your behalf or on behalf of another party, organization or company?      Self □ Other:      Is the appeal being filed to support the original applicant's position?			
3.	REPRESENTATIVE/AGENT INFORMATION			
	Representative/Agent name (if applicable): FRANK A. WEISER - KTTORNEY AT LAW			
	Company: LAW OFFTER OF FRANK A- Weige			
	Mailing Address: 3460 Worlshier BLUD, Soite 1212			
	City: Los ANGELES State: CR Zip: 90010			
	Telephone: (213) 384. 6964 E-mail: wainous @ aol-Com			

JUSTIFICAT	TION/REASON FOR APPEAL				
Is the entire	decision, or only parts of it being appealed?	Entire	☐ Part		
•	conditions of approval being appealed?	☐ Yes	No		
If Yes, list	t the condition number(s) here: (entire	decissod app	pealed)		
Attach a separate sheet providing your reasons for the appeal. Your reason must state:					
• The rea	<ul> <li>The reason for the appeal</li> <li>How you are aggrieved by the decision</li> </ul>				
<ul><li>Specific</li></ul>	• Specifically the points at issue • Why you believe the decision-maker erred or abused their discretion				
I certify that	T'S AFFIDAVIT  the statements contained in this application are	Æ?	0-12-15		
FILING REC	Ignature: Bent by g Peth, BALY BUAL CEPATE QUIREMENTS/ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	Canidy.	Date: 0 - 12-13  TOYSTEE.		
• Eight	• Eight (8) sets of the following documents are required for each appeal filed (1 original and 7 duplicates):				
0	Appeal Application (form CP-7769)				
0					
0	Copies of Original Determination Letter				
<ul> <li>A Filing Fee must be paid at the time of filing the appeal per LAMC Section 19.01 B.</li> </ul>					
0	Original applicants must provide a copy of their 85% appeal filing fee).	he original applicatio	n receipt(s) (required to calculate		

- Original Applicants must pay mailing fees to BTC and submit a copy of receipt.
- Appellants filing an appeal from a determination made by the Department of Building and Safety per LAMC
   12.26 K are considered original applicants and must provide noticing per LAMC
   12.26 K.7.
- A Certified Neighborhood Council (CNC) or a person identified as a member of a CNC or as representing the CNC may <u>not</u> file an appeal on behalf of the Neighborhood Council; persons affiliated with a CNC may only file as an individual on behalf of self.
- Appeals of Density Bonus cases can only be filed by adjacent owners or tenants (must have documentation).
- Appeals to the City Council from a determination on a Tentative Tract (TT or VTT) by the Area or City Planning Commission must be filed within 10 days of the <u>date of the written determination</u> of said Commission.
- A CEQA document can only be appealed if a non-elected decision-making body (ZA, APC, CPC, etc.) makes
  a determination for a project that is not further appealable. (CA Public Resources Code § 21151 (c)). CEQA
  Section 21151 (c) appeals must be filed within the next 5 meeting days of the City Council.

This Section for City Planning Staff Use Only				
Base Fee:	Reviewed & Accepted by (DSC Planner):	Date:		
\$ 89,00	StereKim	8/13/15		
Receipt No:	Deemed Complete by (Project Planner):	Date:		
25275				
Determination authority notified	Original receipt and BTC	Original receipt and BTC receipt (if original applicant)		

4.

5.

6.

#### Refer To File No.

#### Member of the Bar:

# FRANK A. WEISER 3460 Wilshire Boulevard. Suite 1212

United States Supreme Court
United States Court of Appeals for Third Circuit
United States Court of Appeals for Fourth Circuit
United States Court of Appeals for Fifth Circuit
United States Court of Appeals for Sixth Circuit
United States Court of Appeals for Eighth Circuit
United States Court of Appeals for Ninth Circuit
United States Court of Appeals for Tenth Circuit

United States Tax Court.

Master of Law in Taxation

Los Angeles, California 90010 Telephone: (213) 384-6964 Fax: (213) 383-7368

August 13, 2015

### BY PERSONAL DELIVERY

Lourdes Green
Associate Zoning Administrator
Office of Zoning Administration
201 N. Figueroa Street, Fourth Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Re: 4905 West Adams Boulevard/Adams Garden Inn/ Owner: Balubhai G. Patel, Trustee/Case No. DIR 2015-0094(RV)/Appeal of Imposition of Conditions/Reasons for Appeal

Dear Ms. Green:

As you know from my attendance at the public hearing in the above referenced matter on March 10, 2015, I represent Balubhai G. Patel, Trustee of the Patel Family Trust and owner of the Adams Garden Inn located at 4905 West Adams Avenue, Los Angeles, CA 90016 ("Motel").

Mr. Patel has received your Zoning Administrator's Decision ("Decision") dated July 29, 2015 imposing conditions on the operation of the motel. Concurrent with the filing of this letter, Mr. Patel is appealing the whole decision to the City Council.

The reasons for the appeal are as follows: There is no substantial evidence, or any evidence, that the claimed nuisance activity is actually coming from the motel. The motel is gated, locked and secure and individuals cannot come into the motel without prior clearance from Mr. Patel's onsite manager. The motel has extensive video cameras which monitor the motel 24 hours a day and security already in place with his manager who resides on site. Check in and out procedures at the motel are in place and Mr. Patel and his

Lourdes Green
Associate Zoning Administrator
Office of Zoning Administration
201 N. Figueroa Street, Fourth Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Re: 4905 West Adams Boulevard/Adams Garden Inn/
Owner: Balubhai G. Patel, Trustee/Case No. DIR
2015-0094(RV)/Appeal of Imposition of
Conditions/Reasons for Appeal
August 13, 2015
Page 2
(By Personal Delivery)

employees have never been convicted by the City or any state authorities for operating the motel as a public nuisance; (2) The claimed evidence the decision uses is stale and subject to the statute of limitations under state law; (3) Any crime problem alleged by the community stems from lack of City oversight in the area at large and in particular from businesses operating several blocks from the motel; (4) Mr. Patel has owned and operated the motel for a good many years without any previous claims by the City and has invested a good deal of monies in the upkeep and maintenance of the motel; (5) the Decision on its face and as applied to my client violates the Fourth, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments of the United States Constitution and parallel provisions of the California Constitution, specifically the Fourth Amendment's Search and Seizure Clause, the Fifth Amensdment's Taking Clause, the Fourteenth Amendment's Due Process Clause as to its substantive and procedural components and the Fourteenth Amendment's Equal Protection Clause both as to Mr. Patel and as to his quests and residents and also violates the same as to himself and his quests and residents under the Federal Fair Housing Act and state FEHA and the federal American Disabilities Act and the Unruh Act.

Further, the decision's imposition of search conditions under Los Angeles Municipal Code Section ("LAMC") 41.49 is wholly facially unconstitutional under the Fourth Amendment's Search and Seizure Clause pursuant to a recent opinion of the United States Supreme Court entitled <u>City of Los Angeles v Patel</u>, 576 U.S. \_\_\_ (2015) (decided June 22, 2015) (declaring LAMC 41.49 facially unconstitutional under the Fourth Amendment). I was counsel of record in the <u>Patel</u> case.

In addition to the above, the decision is vague, ambiguous and contradictory.

Lourdes Green
Associate Zoning Administrator
Office of Zoning Administration
201 N. Figueroa Street, Fourth Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Re: 4905 West Adams Boulevard/Adams Garden Inn/
Owner: Balubhai G. Patel, Trustee/Case No. DIR
2015-0094(RV)/Appeal of Imposition of
Conditions/Reasons for Appeal
August 13, 2015
Page 3
(By Personal Delivery)

Once again, my client wishes to appeal your decision immediatly and I request a City Council appeal hearing. I appreciate your courtesy and cooperation in the matter and I look forward to hearing from you.

Sincerely,

Frank A. Weiser Attorney at Law

Enclosure
FAW:aw