FY 2016-17 Budget Report Back
Administrative Services Bureau
Response to Item Nos. R-60 and R-64

Item No. R-60 (Creating a new Police Service Representative position classification):

The Police Service Representative (PSR) classification is comprised primarily of three disciplines: Radio Telephone Operator (RTO), which is responsible for dispatching calls and coordinating with field units, Emergency Board Operator (EBO), which is responsible for answering incoming calls for service and the Complaint Board Operator, which handles services requests from field units and obtains follow-up information from citizens reporting non-emergency calls.

Understandably, extensive training is required in order to learn the complex duties a PSR is expected to perform. The current curriculum consists of 48 weeks of instruction. Conversely, the function of CBO is far less demanding than either the RTO or EBO positions. Analysis of training protocols/requirements would be needed in order to establish a dedicated CBO training regimen.

Additional Information:

There are currently 68 PSR vacancies within Communications Division. This number reflects the addition of 30 new PSRs who attended training sessions in July 2014 and March 2015. Unfortunately, CD remains understrength and is unable to maintain adequate staffing levels.

Summary:

- Creating a new PSR classification, tailored exclusively to EBO, would expedite the training process.
- Creating an EBO classification may in certain circumstances limit CD's ability to redeploy assets to address workload and deployment needs.
- CD is currently working with Personnel Division and Personnel Department staff to determine the feasibility of creating a new EBO only classification.

Item No. R-64 (Creating a metric for hold time on the non-emergency telephone lines):

Due to staffing shortages coupled with a call load that has increased over the past six years by 700,000 calls, CD has not achieved the National Emergency Number Association (NENA) goal of answering 90% of 9-1-1 calls within 10 seconds during the busiest hour.

It should be noted that NENA does not provide a metric for hold time for the non-emergency lines and does not mandate a standard for the non-emergency line. Communications Division developed an internal standard that can only be met when fully staffed. That standard is 80% of the non-emergency calls answered within 30 seconds. Non-emergency calls are defined as calls transferred from 9-1-1 (which are determined to be non-emergencies), calls transferred from other entities, and calls received directly from 1-877-ASK-LAPD. While CD strives to meet this internal goal the continuing staffing shortage has inhibited the division's ability to meet this self-imposed standard.

Summary:

- CD has created a metric for hold time for the non-emergency lines which is to answer 80% of non-emergency calls within 30 seconds.

From: BEATRICE GIRMALA <beatrice.girmala@lapd.lacity.org>
To: N3712@lapd.lacity.org
Date: 4/28/2016 8:07 AM
Subject: Re: Pacific Beach Detail 3/12

Patricia..you have always been so good to me over the years...It is my turn to reciprocate..the change in the schedule was effective 12/17/15....best, B

Sent from my iPad

> On Apr 28, 2016, at 10:54 AM, PATRICIA PATERNO <N3712@lapd.lacity.org> wrote:
>
> Chief, thank you so much. I really appreciate the quick response.
>
>>>> BEATRICE GIRMALA <beatrice.girmala@lapd.lacity.org> 4/27/2016 6:16 PM >>>
> Dear Patricia,
> Pacific did in fact revert back to a 3/12 for A and C watch and left Watch B a 4/10. This was accepted via ERG with Commander Blake's concurrence some months back.

Pacific Division ranked 9th in the City for crisis calls for service in 2015 and ranks 7th YTD. Additionally, MEU engaged in a homeless outreach pilot on Venice Beach from June through October 2015, during which time 1600 contacts were made. Of those contacts, only five met the criteria to be placed on a hold and the vast majority of the persons contacted were service resistant.

In the final analysis, the Pacific numbers do not support the dedication of a SMART unit, to do so is inconsistent with the mission and with the MOA with DMH, as their Emergency Response Clinicians do not engage in homeless outreach, and there are already SB-82 funded DMH Homeless Outreach Teams and NGOs in that service area to effectively address the needs of homeless individuals in Venice.

The mission of the LAPD/Department of Mental Health (DMH) co-deployed SMART units is to respond to calls that involve persons who are suffering a mental health "crisis." The SMART is part of the DMH Emergency Outreach Bureau and its mission does not include homeless outreach. The DMH has dedicated homeless outreach teams that are funded by SB-82 and work directly out of the DMH service areas. They are not part of the Emergency Outreach Bureau. Additionally, there are several other non-governmental organizations (NGOs) and community groups that perform homeless outreach in the community.

The recent expansion of SMART (by LAPD and DMH) was in response to a significant increase in crisis calls for service (up 17%) throughout the City, only 20% of which involved a person who was homeless. The additional SMART units will be distributed across the City, as reflected in my earlier badge note, and there are no plans to "dedicate" a SMART unit to Pacific Division.

Fact Sheet

2016-17 Budget Report Back - R63

The Police Department reports that 338 sworn personnel perform Administrative, Clerical, Detention Officer, Police Service Representative, Technical and other civilian duties. 269 (80%) of the 338 sworn personnel spend 100% of their daily time performing civilian personnel duties while the remaining 69 (20%) of the 338 sworn personnel, on average, spend 40% of their time performing civilian duties. In total 338 sworn personnel perform job functions equivalent to 297 civilianized duties.

Of the 338 sworn personnel, 221 are on full duty status, 91 are on Light Duty status, three are on Injury On-Duty (off) status, and 23 are on Permanent Injury status. The Department currently has 88 civilian vacancies where the 221 full duty status sworn personnel are assigned and performing civilian duties. The remaining 133 of 221 full duty status sworn personnel performing civilian duties throughout the Department do not have equivalent civilian vacancies available due to Early Retirement Incentive Program (ERIP) and the elimination of formerly budgeted civilian positions.

Table: Prioritized List of Civilian Classification

Priority Level:	Assignment and Duties	Current Vacancy Level Where 221 Full Duty Officers perform Civilian Duties
1	Detention Officer	65
2	Police Service Representative	8
3	Technical/Other	5
4	Administrative	7
5	Clerical	3

FACT SHEET

LAPD SUBSTATION AT THE VILLAGE

Background
The Village opened on Friday, September 18, 2015. It is located at 6250 Topanga Canyon Boulevard. This is an open-air mall configuration with over 80 stores and 2,600 parking spaces. The goal of the open-air mall concept was to create an outdoor experience for community members to enjoy the weather, landscape, and events. The Public Safety Committee is seeking data on the cost benefit of placing an LAPD Substation at The Village.

Currently, the Westfield Topanga mall, located at 6600 Topanga Canyon Boulevard, has an LAPD Substation. This substation is located to the south side of the mall and approximately 1,000 feet from The Village. Unfortunately, the substation is in subpar condition. The substation is lacking resources, such as computers, printers, and a live-scan machine. Currently, staffing allows for only one foot beat to be assigned to both The Village and Westfield Topanga to handle all calls for service.

Conclusion
Business space at The Village is very limited. There are no additional business locations that are available to install a substation.

PUBLIC SAFETY COMMITTEE DEPLOYMENT-RELATED INQUIRIES

Prepared by
Field Deployment Unit

June 29, 2016

6-29-16

PURPOSE

The Field Deployment Unit (FDU) was requested to provide the following deployment-related information to the Public Safety Committee:

- Item R-66 Part 1 - Number of officers performing patrol functions versus specialized units;
- Item R-66 Part 2 - Historical analysis of percentage of sworn officers assigned to patrol over the past 30 years at five-year intervals; and,
- Item R-68 - Plan for restoring positions to Valley Traffic Division (VTD) in order to reduce hit and run and other traffic-related fatalities.

FINDINGS

Item R-66 Part 1

The FDU utilized the Deployment Management System (DMS) 3.0 to obtain Patrol versus Specialized deployment data for Deployment Period (DP) No. 6, 2016. The table below shows the number of officers assigned to Patrol/Traffic versus Specialized Units within Office of Operations (OO). See attached table titled, "Office of Operations Patrol Officers v Specialized Officers," for details.

DP No. 6 – Number of Personnel of Officer Rank in OO – Patrol/Traffic v Specialized

Patrol/Traffic	3453	70%
Specialized	1457	30%
Total	4910	100%

Patrol/Traffic – Category includes: Patrol response cars, (A-car, X-car, XL-car, Non-supervisory L-car), Traffic response units, Desk, Entertainment Detail, Harbor Gateway Z-car, Kit Room, LA Live, Footbeat, Beach Detail, STORM, and Z-car (such as a patrol watch transient car).

Specialized – Category includes: Administrative, Bikes, CCU, CLEAR, Community Relations, COMPSTAT, Court Liaison, Crime Intelligence Unit, Detectives, FBI Task Force, Gang Auditor, Gang Detectives, GED, HACLA, NED, PCU, PED, RESET, Safer Cities Initiative, SPU, Station Security, UPTF, VCTF, and Vice.

Item R-66 Part 2

Because deployment data tracked by the current version of DMS only goes back to 2013, this system could not be utilized to address the Public Safety Committee's historical inquiry. Initially, the goal was to obtain Patrol and Specialized deployment data from 1985 to 2015, average the data in five-year intervals, and conduct an analysis to identify deployment trends.

However, after querying FDU's historical records and inquiring with other entities within the Los Angeles Police Department (Department), it was determined that deployment tracking was limited for a large part of the past 30 years, compared to the current DMS 3.0 system. The Department has historically tracked officer deployment by use of the Table of Organization and Deployment (TOD) report, maintained by Personnel Division. The limitation of this report is that it only provides the total number of personnel assigned to an Area/Division and does not account for the actual assignment.

Based on these limitations, FDU conducted an analysis of the TOD available in the FDU database, with the earliest reports going back to 2002. An analysis from DP No. 6 of 2002, 2005, 2010, and 2015 was conducted to compare the number of personnel of the Officer rank assigned to a Patrol Division relative to the Department as a whole, as can be seen in the table below. See attached tables titled, "Office of Operations (Year) Officer Deployment," for details.

DP No. 6 – Personnel of Officer Rank Assigned to Patrol Division

Year	Officers Deployed to Patrol Division	Total Sworn Officers	% of Officers in Patrol
2002	3769	5919	64%
2005	4155	6181	67%
2010	4532	6580	69%
2015	4467	6734	66%
Average	**4231**	**6354**	**67%**

Item R-68

To identify any deployment trends of officers assigned to Valley Traffic Division (VTD), the FDU conducted an analysis of the TOD reports going back five years to 2011. The FDU obtained VTD's deployment data for each DP starting from DP 1, 2011, to DP 6, 2016, for the Officer rank. The table on the following page shows the average number of officers authorized and deployed to VTD for the five year period. See attached tables titled, "Office of Operations Valley Traffic Division Officer Deployment – DP 1, 2011 through DP 6, 2016" for details.

Average Number of Officers Authorized and Deployed to VTD

Year	Average Number of Officers Authorized (Adj)	Average Number of Officers Deployed	Plus/Minus
2011	195.5	193.7	-1.8
2012	196.1	181.0	-15.1
2013	195.7	174.9	-20.8
2014	195.2	180.0	-15.2
2015	195.8	187.2	-8.6
2016	193.5	188.0	-5.5
Average	**195.3**	**184.1**	**-11.2**

As can be seen in the table above, VTD average deployment during the last five years has ranged from a high of 193.7 (1.8 under authorized) officers to a low of 174.9 officers (20.8 under authorized). Deployment for 2016 is the second highest in the last five years at 188.0 (5.5 under authorized).

CONCLUSION

The FDU's analysis indicates patrol deployment has increased the last decade and a half. Additionally, VTD deployment has also remained relatively steady the last five years, with current levels being the second highest during that time period.

OFFICE OF OPERATIONS

Patrol Officers v Specialized Officers

DP 6, 2016

AREA	PATROL*					SPECIALIZED**				
	PO 3 +1	PO 3	PO 2	PO 1	TOTAL PO	PO 3 + 1	PO 3	PO 2	PO 1	TOTAL PO
OFFICE OF OPERATIONS	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	7	0	19
CENTRAL	1	44	122	26	193	10	19	86	7	122
RAMPART	0	34	77	18	129	9	18	42	0	69
HOLLENBECK	0	28	78	16	122	13	19	44	0	76
NORTHEAST	0	33	94	11	138	8	8	40	0	56
NEWTON	0	32	79	18	129	10	13	64	0	87
CTD	0	15	99	0	114	0	1	11	0	12
CENTRAL BUREAU	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	11	0	15
OCB TOTAL	1	186	549	89	825	50	82	298	7	437
SOUTHWEST	0	39	97	24	160	9	17	72	0	98
HARBOR	1	33	96	12	142	7	14	25	0	46
77TH	0	35	115	20	170	11	26	43	0	80
SOUTHEAST	0	27	113	17	157	28	36	41	1	106
CGHD	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	13	0	25
STD	0	6	73	0	79	0	8	8	0	16
SOUTH BUREAU	0	0	1	0	1	0	9	18	0	27
OSB TOTAL	1	140	495	73	709	55	122	220	1	398
HOLLYWOOD	0	34	154	18	206	8	17	34	0	59
WILSHIRE	0	25	62	21	108	9	17	20	0	46
WEST LA	0	26	83	20	129	7	8	29	0	44
PACIFIC	0	29	114	20	163	8	7	20	0	35
PAC-LAX	0	5	13	0	18	0	2	7	0	9
OLYMPIC	0	31	79	16	126	10	13	21	0	44
WTD	0	4	108	0	112	0	10	19	0	29
WEST BUREAU	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	16	0	20
OWB TOTAL	0	154	613	95	862	42	78	166	0	286
VAN NUYS	0	30	79	16	125	7	13	21	0	41
WEST VALLEY	0	21	99	11	131	6	9	20	0	35
N. HOLLYWOOD	0	25	104	10	139	8	12	8	0	28
FOOTHILL	0	24	85	4	113	5	7	25	0	38
DEVONSHIRE	0	25	88	15	128	6	8	16	0	30
MISSION	0	32	91	14	137	8	11	37	0	56
TOPANGA	0	30	80	17	127	5	11	19	0	35
VTD	0	12	145	0	157	0	10	15	0	25
VALLEY BUREAU	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	22	0	29
OVB TOTAL	0	199	771	87	1057	46	88	183	0	317
TOTALS	2	679	2428	344	3453	193	382	874	8	1457

TOTAL PATROL	3453
TOTAL SPECIALIZED	1457
TOTAL	4910

PERCENTAGE OF OFFICERS ASSIGNED TO PATROL:	70%
PERCENTAGE OF OFFICERS ASSIGNED SPECIALIZED	30%

* PATROL -CATEGORY INCLUDES: PATROL RESPONSE CARS (A-CAR, X-CAR, XL-CAR, NON-SUPERVISORY L-CAR), TRAFFIC RESPONSE UNITS, DESK, ENTERTAINMENT DETAIL, HARBOR GATEWAY Z-CAR, KIT ROOM, LA LIVE, FOOTBEAT, BEACH DETAIL, STORM, AND Z-CAR (SUCH AS A PATROL WATCH TRANSIENT CAR).

** SPECIALIZED - CATEGORY INCLUDES: ADMINISTRATIVE, BIKES, CCU, CLEAR, COMMUNITY RELATIONS, COMPSTAT, COURT LIAISON, CRIME INTELLIGENCE UNIT, DETECTIVES, FBI TASK FORCE, GANG AUDITOR, GANG DETECTIVES, GED, HACLA, NED, PCU, PED, RESET, SAFER CITIES INITIATIVE, SPU, STATION SECURITY, UPTF, VCTF, AND VICE.

OFFICE OF OPERATIONS

CENTRAL BUREAU SPECIALIZED UNITS

PO3 +1 / PO3 / PO2 / PO1

TYPE OF DETAIL	CENTRAL	RAMPART	HOLLENBECK	NORTHEAST	NEWTON	CTD	OCB	TOTAL
ADMIN	12	10	7	6	5	12	25	67
BIKES	10	5	3	1	7	0	0	26
CCU	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CLEAR	0	0	8	0	11	0	0	19
COMMUNITY RELATIONS	17	13	19	13	13	0	0	75
COMPSTAT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
COURT LIAISON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CRIME INTELLIGENCE UNIT	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
DETECTIVES	1	5	9	7	6	0	0	28
FBI TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
GANG AUDITOR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
GANG DETECTIVES	0	3	0	0	3	0	0	6
GED	6	15	11	18	22	0	0	72
HACLA	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	10
NED	7	4	2	5	4	0	0	22
PCU	0	0	4	4	13	0	0	21
PED	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
RESET	54	0	0	0	0	0	0	54
SAFER CITIES INITIATIVE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SPU	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	9
STATION SECURITY	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
UPTF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
VCTF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
VICE	6	3	3	2	2	0	0	16
TOTALS (PO3+1, PO3, PO2, PO1)	122	69	76	56	87	12	15	437

BUREAU TOTAL	437

OFFICE OF OPERATIONS

WEST BUREAU SPECIALIZED UNITS

PO3 +1 / PO3 / PO2 / PO1

TYPE OF DETAIL	HOLLYWOOD	WILSHIRE	WEST LA	PACIFIC	PAC-LAX	OLYMPIC	WTD	OWB	TOTAL
ADMIN	6	10	5	1	1	3	12	11	49
BIKES	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CCU	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CLEAR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
COMMUNITY RELATIONS	12	12	11	9	0	14	2	0	60
COMPSTAT	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	5
COURT LIAISON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CRIME INTELLIGENCE UNIT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
DETECTIVES	4	9	8	5	8	4	10	7	55
FBI TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
GANG AUDITOR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
GANG DETECTIVES	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	3
GED	11	6	5	9	0	11	0	0	42
HACLA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NED	3	4	5	5	0	4	0	0	21
PCU	0	0	4	4	0	5	0	1	14
PED	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13
RESET	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SAFER CITIES INITIATIVE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SPU	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	6
STATION SECURITY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
UPTF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
VCTF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
VICE	10	3	0	1	0	3	0	0	17
TOTALS (PO3+1, PO3, PO2, PO1)	59	46	44	35	9	44	29	20	286

BUREAU TOTAL	286

OFFICE OF OPERATIONS

2002 OFFICER DEPLOYMENT

AREA	PO3+1	PO3	PO2/PO1	TOTALS (BY AREA)
	DEPLOYED	DEPLOYED	DEPLOYED	DEPLOYED
CENTRAL	13	73	151	237
RAMPART	13	81	155	249
HOLLENBECK	7	56	94	157
NORTHEAST	7	60	130	197
NEWTON	8	66	105	179
OCB TOTAL	**48**	**336**	**635**	**1019**
SOUTHWEST	9	63	158	230
HARBOR	9	59	113	181
77TH	9	72	168	249
SOUTHEAST	11	64	136	211
OSB TOTAL	**38**	**258**	**575**	**871**
HOLLYWOOD	9	77	136	222
WILSHIRE	13	80	140	233
WEST LA	7	47	152	206
PACIFIC	9	53	149	211
OLYMPIC	N/A*	N/A*	N/A*	0
OWB TOTAL	**38**	**257**	**577**	**872**
VAN NUYS	11	68	134	213
WEST VALLEY	10	62	130	202
N. HOLLYWOOD	10	63	96	169
FOOTHILL	6	56	137	199
DEVONSHIRE	8	61	155	224
MISSION	N/A*	N/A*	N/A*	0
TOPANGA	N/A*	N/A*	N/A*	0
OVB TOTAL	**45**	**310**	**652**	**1007**
TOTALS (BY RANK)	169	1161	2439	

TOTAL OFFICERS DEPLOYED TO PATROL DIVISION	TOTAL OFFICERS DEPARTMENT WIDE	% OF OFFICERS IN PATROL DIVISION
3769	5919	64%

*This Patrol Division did not exist at this time.

Data obtained from the Table of Organization and Deployment report for each respective

OFFICE OF OPERATIONS

2005 OFFICER DEPLOYMENT

AREA	PO3+1	PO3	PO2/PO1	TOTALS (BY AREA)
	DEPLOYED	DEPLOYED	DEPLOYED	DEPLOYED
CENTRAL	12	73	167	252
RAMPART	12	82	159	253
HOLLENBECK	8	55	115	178
NORTHEAST	8	59	136	203
NEWTON	10	66	155	231
OCB TOTAL	**50**	**335**	**732**	**1117**
SOUTHWEST	11	68	195	274
HARBOR	8	57	119	184
77TH	10	68	260	338
SOUTHEAST	10	60	209	279
OSB TOTAL	**39**	**253**	**783**	**1075**
HOLLYWOOD	11	68	176	255
WILSHIRE	12	78	156	246
WEST LA	7	42	121	170
PACIFIC	8	53	124	185
OLYMPIC	N/A*	N/A*	N/A*	0
OWB TOTAL	**38**	**241**	**577**	**856**
VAN NUYS	11	64	153	228
WEST VALLEY	10	62	152	224
N. HOLLYWOOD	10	53	124	187
FOOTHILL	5	44	102	151
DEVONSHIRE	6	45	106	157
MISSION	7	46	107	160
TOPANGA	N/A*	N/A*	N/A*	0
OVB TOTAL	**49**	**314**	**744**	**1107**
TOTALS (BY RANK)	176	1143	2836	

TOTAL OFFICERS DEPLOYED TO PATROL DIVISION	TOTAL OFFICERS DEPARTMENT WIDE	% OF OFFICERS IN PATROL DIVISION
4155	6181	67%

*This Patrol Division did not exist at this time.

Data obtained from the Table of Organization and Deployment report for each respective

OFFICE OF OPERATIONS

2010 OFFICER DEPLOYMENT

AREA	PO3+1	PO3	PO2/PO1	TOTALS (BY AREA)
	DEPLOYED	DEPLOYED	DEPLOYED	DEPLOYED
CENTRAL	14	57	265	336
RAMPART	9	57	128	194
HOLLENBECK	8	55	147	210
NORTHEAST	7	52	153	212
NEWTON	10	50	178	238
OCB TOTAL	**48**	**271**	**871**	**1190**
SOUTHWEST	10	58	202	270
HARBOR	10	52	132	194
77TH	11	66	235	312
SOUTHEAST	10	60	214	284
OSB TOTAL	**41**	**236**	**783**	**1060**
HOLLYWOOD	9	59	195	263
WILSHIRE	9	50	121	180
WEST LA	7	42	120	169
PACIFIC	8	37	155	200
OLYMPIC	9	64	114	187
OWB TOTAL	**42**	**252**	**705**	**999**
VAN NUYS	7	47	137	191
WEST VALLEY	6	44	118	168
N. HOLLYWOOD	8	51	122	181
FOOTHILL	6	40	125	171
DEVONSHIRE	5	45	123	173
MISSION	7	51	164	222
TOPANGA	7	52	118	177
OVB TOTAL	**46**	**330**	**907**	**1283**
TOTALS (BY RANK)	177	1089	3266	

TOTAL OFFICERS DEPLOYED TO PATROL DIVISION	TOTAL OFFICERS DEPARTMENT WIDE	% OF OFFICERS IN PATROL DIVISION
4532	6580	69%

Data obtained from the Table of Organization and Deployment report for each respective

OFFICE OF OPERATIONS

2015 OFFICER DEPLOYMENT

AREA	PO3+1	PO3	PO2/PO1	TOTALS (BY AREA)
	DEPLOYED	DEPLOYED	DEPLOYED	DEPLOYED
CENTRAL	12	58	243	313
RAMPART	9	55	135	199
HOLLENBECK	12	50	158	220
NORTHEAST	6	49	148	203
NEWTON	8	52	166	226
OCB TOTAL	**47**	**264**	**850**	**1161**
SOUTHWEST	11	49	212	272
HARBOR	8	50	139	197
77TH	11	61	208	280
SOUTHEAST	24	56	199	279
OSB TOTAL	**54**	**216**	**758**	**1028**
HOLLYWOOD	8	49	222	279
WILSHIRE	8	47	108	163
WEST LA	7	40	119	166
PACIFIC	7	41	174	222
OLYMPIC	10	48	122	180
OWB TOTAL	**40**	**225**	**745**	**1010**
VAN NUYS	7	42	124	173
WEST VALLEY	6	35	144	185
N. HOLLYWOOD	7	41	143	191
FOOTHILL	5	35	131	171
DEVONSHIRE	4	39	133	176
MISSION	6	43	150	199
TOPANGA	5	42	126	173
OVB TOTAL	**40**	**277**	**951**	**1268**
TOTALS (BY RANK)	181	982	3304	

TOTAL OFFICERS DEPLOYED TO PATROL DIVISION	TOTAL OFFICERS DEPARTMENT WIDE	% OF OFFICERS IN PATROL DIVISION
4467	6734	66%

YEAR	TOTAL OFFICERS DEPLOYED TO PATROL DIVISION	TOTAL OFFICERS DEPARTMENT WIDE	% OF OFFICERS IN PATROL DIVISION
2002	3769	5919	64%
2005	4155	6181	67%
2010	4532	6580	69%
2015	4467	6734	66%
AVERAGE	4231	6354	67%

Data obtained from the Table of Organization and Deployment report for each respective

OFFICE OF OPERATIONS

Valley Traffic Division Officer Deployment - DP 1, 2011 through DP 6, 2016

VALLEY TRAFFIC DIVISION - 2011 OO Authorized (ADJ) v Deployed (DEP)
(PO3+1, PO3, PO2, PO2+2, PO1)

P / YEAR	PO 3+1		PO 3		PO2/1		MOTORS (PO 2+2)		TOTAL OFFICERS		Plus / Minus
	ADJ	DEP	ADJ	DEP	ADJ	DEP	ADJ	DEP	ADJ	DEP	
., 2011	8	7	14	10	104	105	68	72	194	194	0
:, 2011	8	7	14	10	99	105	75	75	196	197	1
), 2011	8	7	14	10	99	105	75	75	196	197	1
), 2011	8	7	14	10	99	104	75	75	196	196	0
), 2011	8	7	14	10	99	104	74	74	195	195	0
), 2011	8	7	14	10	99	103	74	75	195	195	0
', 2011	8	7	14	10	99	102	75	76	196	195	-1
), 2011	8	7	13	10	99	102	75	76	195	195	0
), 2011	8	7	13	10	99	100	75	75	195	192	-3
.0, 2011	8	8	13	9	101	101	74	76	196	194	-2
.1, 2011	8	8	13	9	100	100	74	73	195	190	-5
.2, 2011	8	8	13	9	100	100	74	73	195	190	-5
.3, 2011	8	8	13	9	102	97	74	74	197	188	-9
/ERAGE*	8.0	7.3	13.5	9.7	99.9	102.2	74.0	74.5	195.5	193.7	-1.8

VALLEY TRAFFIC DIVISION - 2012 OO Authorized (ADJ) v Deployed (DEP)
(PO3+1, PO3, PO2, PO2+2, PO1)

DP / YEAR	PO 3+1		PO 3		PO2/1		MOTORS (PO 2+2)		TOTAL OFFICERS		Plus / Minus
	ADJ	DEP	ADJ	DEP	ADJ	DEP	ADJ	DEP	ADJ	DEP	
DP 1, 2012	8	8	13	9	102	96	71	71	194	184	-10
DP 2, 2012	8	8	13	9	101	96	71	70	193	183	-10
DP 3, 2012	8	8	13	9	101	95	71	70	193	182	-11
DP 4, 2012	8	8	13	9	102	94	72	71	195	182	-13
DP 5, 2012	8	8	14	9	102	93	73	71	197	181	-16
DP 6, 2012	8	8	14	10	102	91	73	73	197	182	-15
DP 7, 2012	8	8	14	10	101	91	74	73	197	182	-15
DP 8, 2012	8	8	14	11	101	90	74	73	197	182	-15
DP 9, 2012	8	8	14	12	101	89	74	73	197	182	-15
DP 10, 2012	8	8	14	13	101	88	74	73	197	182	-15
DP 11, 2012	8	7	14	12	101	87	73	72	196	178	-18
DP 12, 2012	8	7	14	12	101	86	73	72	196	177	-19
DP 13, 2012	8	7	14	11	105	86	73	72	200	176	-24
AVERAGE*	8.0	7.8	13.7	10.5	101.6	90.9	72.8	71.8	196.1	181.0	-15.1

VALLEY TRAFFIC DIVISION - 2013 OO Authorized (ADJ) v Deployed (DEP)
(PO3+1, PO3, PO2, PO2+2, PO1)

P / YEAR	PO 3+1		PO 3		PO2/1		MOTORS (PO 2+2)		TOTAL OFFICERS		Plus / Minus
	ADJ	DEP	ADJ	DEP	ADJ	DEP	ADJ	DEP	ADJ	DEP	
., 2013	8	7	14	11	105	86	73	72	200	176	-24
:, 2013	8	7	14	11	101	88	73	71	196	177	-19
), 2013	8	7	14	11	101	87	73	71	196	176	-20
), 2013	8	8	14	12	100	82	73	72	195	173	-22
), 2013	8	8	14	11	99	84	73	70	194	173	-21
), 2013	8	8	14	11	100	89	73	68	195	176	-19
', 2013	8	8	14	11	100	89	73	68	195	176	-19
), 2013	8	8	14	11	101	89	73	67	196	175	-21
), 2013	8	8	14	11	101	88	73	67	196	174	-22
.0, 2013	8	8	14	11	101	89	73	67	196	175	-21
.1, 2013	8	8	14	11	101	90	72	66	195	175	-20
.2, 2013	8	8	14	11	102	89	72	66	196	174	-22
.3, 2013	8	7	14	11	100	91	72	65	194	174	-20
/ERAGE*	8.0	7.7	14.0	11.1	100.9	87.8	72.8	68.4	195.7	174.9	-20.8

VALLEY TRAFFIC DIVISION - 2014 OO Authorized (ADJ) v Deployed (DEP)
(PO3+1, PO3, PO2, PO2+2, PO1)

DP / YEAR	PO 3+1		PO 3		PO2/1		MOTORS (PO 2+2)		TOTAL OFFICERS		Plus / Minus
	ADJ	DEP	ADJ	DEP	ADJ	DEP	ADJ	DEP	ADJ	DEP	
DP 1, 2014	8	7	14	13	100	90	73	65	195	175	-20
DP 2, 2014	8	7	14	13	102	88	73	65	197	173	-24
DP 3, 2014	8	7	14	13	101	88	71	65	194	173	-21
DP 4, 2014	8	7	14	13	101	88	70	62	193	170	-23
DP 5, 2014	8	5	14	13	101	90	70	62	193	170	-23
DP 6, 2014	8	5	14	13	101	97	71	63	194	178	-16
DP 7, 2014	8	5	14	13	102	102	71	63	195	183	-12
DP 8, 2014	8	6	14	14	102	103	73	63	197	186	-11
DP 9, 2014	8	6	14	14	102	104	72	62	196	186	-10
DP 10, 2014	8	6	14	14	102	105	72	62	196	187	-9
DP 11, 2014	8	7	14	12	102	105	72	62	196	186	-10
DP 12, 2014	8	7	14	13	102	105	73	61	197	186	-11
DP 13, 2014	8	7	14	13	101	105	72	62	195	187	-8
AVERAGE*	8.0	6.3	14.0	13.2	101.5	97.7	71.8	62.8	195.2	180.0	-15.2

. AVERAGES AND AVERAGE TOTALS ARE ROUNDED TO THE NEAREST TENTH.

obtained from PLASTICS, DP 1, 2011 through DP 6, 2016

FIELD DEPLOYMENT UNIT

6/29/2016

OFFICE OF OPERATIONS

Valley Traffic Division Officer Deployment - DP 1, 2011 through DP 6, 2016

VALLEY TRAFFIC DIVISION - 2015 OO Authorized (ADJ) v Deployed (DEP)
(PO3+1, PO3, PO2, PO2+2, PO1)

P / YEAR	PO 3+1		PO 3		PO2/1		MOTORS (PO 2+2)		TOTAL OFFICERS		Plus / Minus
	ADJ	DEP	ADJ	DEP	ADJ	DEP	ADJ	DEP	ADJ	DEP	
, 2015	8	7	14	13	104	108	72	61	198	189	-9
, 2015	8	7	14	13	104	108	72	61	198	189	-9
, 2015	8	7	14	13	104	108	72	62	198	190	-8
, 2015	8	8	14	13	101	107	74	63	197	191	-6
, 2015	8	8	14	13	100	107	74	63	196	191	-5
, 2015	8	8	14	13	100	105	73	62	195	188	-7
, 2015	8	8	14	13	100	105	74	63	196	189	-7
, 2015	8	8	14	13	100	101	74	63	196	185	-11
, 2015	8	8	14	14	100	99	73	62	195	183	-12
0, 2015	8	8	14	14	100	102	73	62	195	186	-9
1, 2015	8	8	14	14	100	100	72	61	194	183	-11
2, 2015	8	8	14	14	100	98	72	60	194	180	-14
3, 2015	8	8	14	15	100	103	72	64	194	190	-4
/ERAGE*	8.0	7.8	14.0	13.5	101.0	103.9	72.8	62.1	195.8	187.2	-8.6

VALLEY TRAFFIC DIVISION
CITYWIDE AVERAGES 2011 to 2016 - OO Authorized (ADJ) v Deployed (DEP)
(PO3+1, PO3, PO2, PO2+2, PO1)

P / YEAR	PO 3+1		PO 3		PO2/1		MOTORS (PO 2+2)		TOTAL OFFICERS		Plus / Minus
	ADJ	DEP	ADJ	DEP	ADJ	DEP	ADJ	DEP	ADJ	DEP	
2011	8.0	7.3	13.5	9.7	99.9	102.2	74.0	74.5	195.5	193.7	-1.8
2012	8.0	7.8	13.7	10.5	101.6	90.9	72.8	71.8	196.1	181.0	-15.1
2013	8.0	7.7	14.0	11.1	100.9	87.8	72.8	68.4	195.7	174.9	-20.8
2014	8.0	6.3	14.0	13.2	101.5	97.7	71.8	62.8	195.2	180.0	-15.2
2015	8.0	7.8	14.0	13.5	101.0	103.9	72.8	62.1	195.8	187.2	-8.6
2016	8.0	8.0	14.0	14.2	98.2	101.2	73.3	64.7	193.5	188.0	-5.5
/ERAGE*	8.0	7.5	13.9	12.2	100.6	98.2	72.9	66.6	195.3	184.1	-11.2

* AVERAGES AND AVERAGE TOTALS ARE ROUNDED TO THE NEAREST TENTH.

VALLEY TRAFFIC DIVISION - 2016 OO Authorized (ADJ) v Deployed (DEP)
(PO3+1, PO3, PO2, PO2+2, PO1)

DP / YEAR	PO 3+1		PO 3		PO2/1		MOTORS (PO 2+2)		TOTAL OFFICERS		Plus / Minus
	ADJ	DEP	ADJ	DEP	ADJ	DEP	ADJ	DEP	ADJ	DEP	
DP 1, 2016	8	8	14	15	100	105	73	65	195	193	-2
DP 2, 2016	8	8	14	14	101	104	73	64	196	190	-6
DP 3, 2016	8	8	14	14	95	102	73	64	190	188	-2
DP 4, 2016	8	8	14	14	101	104	73	64	196	190	-6
DP 5, 2016	8	8	14	14	96	97	74	66	192	185	-7
DP 6, 2016	8	8	14	14	96	95	74	65	192	182	-10
AVERAGE*	8.0	8.0	14.0	14.2	98.2	101.2	73.3	64.7	193.5	188.0	-5.5

obtained from PLASTICS, DP 1, 2011 through DP 6, 2016

FIELD DEPLOYMENT UNIT

6/29/2016

OFFICE OF OPERATIONS

VALLEY BUREAU SPECIALIZED UNITS

PO3 +1 / PO3 / PO2 / PO1

TYPE OF DETAIL	VAN NUYS	WEST VALLEY	NORTH HOLLYWOOD	FOOTHILL	DEVONSHIRE	MISSION	TOPANGA	VTD	OVB	TOTAL
ADMIN	3	2	1	2	1	2	2	16	24	53
BIKES	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CCU	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CLEAR	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
COMMUNITY RELATIONS	12	11	12	7	10	14	9	0	0	75
COMPSTAT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
COURT LIAISON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
CRIME INTELLIGENCE UNIT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DETECTIVES	5	7	4	5	6	5	7	9	1	49
FBI TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
GANG AUDITOR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
GANG DETECTIVES	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
GED	7	6	4	11	6	12	7	0	0	53
HACLA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NED	4	3	3	3	3	4	3	0	0	23
PCLI	4	3	0	4	4	4	4	0	0	23
PED	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
RESET	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SAFER CITIES INITIATIVE	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	9
SPU	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
STATION SECURITY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
UPTF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
VCTF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
VICE	6	3	4	1	0	4	3	0	2	23
TOTALS (PO3+1, PO3, PO2, PO1)	41	35	28	38	30	56	35	25	29	317

BUREAU TOTAL	317

OFFICE OF OPERATIONS

SOUTH BUREAU SPECIALIZED UNITS

PO3 +1 / PO3 / PO2 / PO1

TYPE OF DETAIL	SOUTHWEST	HARBOR	77TH	SOUTHEAST	CGHD	STD	OSB	TOTAL
ADMIN	9	9	8	8	0	6	17	57
BIKES	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CCU	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
CLEAR	10	0	0	1	0	0	0	11
COMMUNITY RELATIONS	18	10	16	17	0	2	1	64
COMPSTAT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
COURT LIAISON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CRIME INTELLIGENCE UNIT	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
DETECTIVES	2	7	10	3	25	8	0	55
FBI TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
GANG AUDITOR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
GANG DETECTIVES	1	0	8	1	0	0	0	10
GED	10	12	25	20	0	0	9	76
HACLA	0	0	0	38	0	0	0	38
NED	5	4	5	6	0	0	0	20
PCU	3	1	0	4	0	0	0	8
PED	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
RESET	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SAFER CITIES INITIATIVE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SPU	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
STATION SECURITY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
UPTF	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	30
VCTF	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
VICE	4	3	6	6	0	0	0	19
TOTALS (PO3+1, PO3, PO2, PO1)	98	46	80	106	25	16	27	398

BUREAU TOTAL	398

FACT SHEET
DETECTIVE BUREAU PROJECT 16-124
REPORT TO PUBLIC SAFETY COMMITTEE
REQUIRED RESOURCES FOR GUN UNIT RELATIVE TO FY 2016-2017 BUDGET
GANG AND NARCOTICS DIVISION

PURPOSE

This fact-sheet was conducted in accordance with Detective Bureau Project No. 16-124 directed by the Office of Special Operations regarding budgetary considerations relative to Gang and Narcotics Division (GND), Gun Unit. Detective Bernard Caraveo, GND-Gun Unit completed the report.

INSPECTION

City of Los Angeles Fiscal Year Budget Item R-67 instructed the Police Department to report "any resource required to provide a robust Gun Unit that is able to do all (ATF) Tracing and investigations for problem gun dealers."

A review of the Gun Unit's personnel staffing as well as current and anticipated investigative responsibilities was conducted since the inception of the Unit as an investigative entity in 2003.

FINDINGS

The Gun Unit was strictly an administrative unit until 2003 when staffing was increased to include gun trafficking investigations and Prohibited Persons investigations. Gun Unit areas of investigative and administrative responsibilities have steadily increased while staffing levels have declined.

Unit staffing levels peaked in 2007 where (20) sworn and (2) civilians were assigned including (6) sworn personnel within the Unit cross-deputized as ATF-Task Force Officers (TFO).

Current staffing levels consist of (15) sworn and (1) civilian including (3) sworn personnel cross deputized as TFO's. Of the three TFO's, (1) is assigned as a Point of Contact (POC) for all Tracing needs and (2) for field enforcement.

In addition to self-initiated investigations, the above listed duties and Citywide divisional support, the Gun Unit is also specifically assigned to:

- Inspections and enforcement of all Federally Licensed Firearms Dealers (FFL's) within the City.
- Processing and oversight of all Carry Concealed Weapons (CCW) applicants and permit holders.

FACT SHEET
DETECTIVE BUREAU PROJECT 16-124
REPORT TO PUBLIC SAFETY COMMITTEE
REQUIRED RESOURCES FOR GUN UNIT RELATIVE TO FY 2016-2017 BUDGET
GANG AND NARCOTICS DIVISION

- Maintenance, oversight and enforcement of the Armed Prohibited Persons System (APPS) which identifies prohibited persons who are still listed as firearms owners in the Automated Firearms System (AFS).
- Maintenance and investigations of Ammunition Logs which can identify prohibited persons who purchase ammunition. Ammunition Logs are generated at an average rate of 3600 per month. Prior analysis along with a Rand study revealed that an average of 10-11% of purchasers are prohibited possessors and well beyond the current scope of personnel to investigate.
- Provide firearms expertise to field officers and provide expert court testimony.
- Conduct analysis of pending City, State and Federal firearms legislation.
- Conduct surveillance and write and execute search warrants.
- Conduct Dealer Record of sale (DROS) denial investigations for persons who falsely claim they are eligible to purchase a firearm but are prohibited.
- Destruct List: Conduct AFS monthly checks for every firearm in the City that has been requested to be destroyed by an investigating officer to ensure it is not lost or stolen or otherwise eligible to be returned to a legitimate owner.

At peak staffing, neither APPS nor Ammunition Logs existed and CCW applications since 2007 have risen over 250% due to recent court decisions.