Statistics of Pictor Oscar, Morros Gradam Statistics (1929, Statistic) On 7,236

- 1 3. Plaintiff is informed and believes and on that basis alleges that Defendant
- 2 MARTA LATHROP ("Lathrop") is an individual who is a resident of the State of California.
- 3 4. As alleged in more detail below, the subject property is located in Valley
- 4 Village in the City of Los Angeles, County of Los Angeles, State of California, and the subject
- 5 contract was executed and the breach by the Defendants occurred in part in the County of Los
- 6 Angeles, State of California.
- 7 5. Plaintiff does not know the true names and capacities and the full bases for
- 8 liability of Defendants sued as Does 1 through 20, inclusive. Plaintiff is informed and believes
- 9 and on that basis alleges that those fictitiously named Defendants have an interest in the
- 10 subject real property and are in some manner responsible for the breach of contract and other
- 11 wrongful conduct as alleged in this complaint.
- 12 6. Plaintiff is further informed and believes and on that basis alleges that, at all
- 13 relevant times, each of the Defendants was the agent and employee or after ego of the other
- 14 Defendants, and in doing the acts herein alleged, Defendants were acting within the course and
- 15 scope of their authority as such agents, servants, and employees and with the knowledge and
- 16 consent of the other Defendants, or are otherwise liable as alter egos. Plaintiff will amend this
- 17 complaint to set forth the true names of the Doe Defendants when their identities, capacities
- and the bases for their liability have been more fully ascertained.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

- 20 (Specific Performance for Breach of Contract Against All Defendants)
- 21 7. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 6 as
- 22 though fully set forth herein.

19

- 23 8. On or about February 26, 2015, Defendants Edwards and Lathrop, as the Seller,
- 24 and Plaintiff's predecessor and assignor, Urban Blox, LLC and/or Assignee, as the Buyer,
- 25 entered into the binding contract for the purchase and sale of that certain real property
- 26 described as 5303 Hermitage Avenue, Valley Village, California, 91607, APN 2347-025-010
- 27 (the "Property") for the purchase price of \$1,750,000.00. The documents comprising the
- 28 parties' purchase and sale contract for the Property are as follows: Seller Counteroffer No.1

- dated February 25, 2015; Probate Purchase Agreement and Joint Escrow Instructions dated
- 2 February 17, 2015; Probate Advisory executed on or about February 25, 2015; and Addendum
- 3 No. 1 executed on or about February 25, 2015 (the "Addendum") (all collectively, the
- 4 "Purchase Agreement"), and all attached collectively as Exhibit 1 and incorporated by this
- 5 reference.
- 6 9. The legal description of the Property is that certain land situated in the County
- 7 of Los Angeles, State of California, described as follows:
- 8 EASTERLY 75 FEET OF LOT 7 OF TRACT NO. 1487, AS PER MAP
- 9 RECORDED IN BOOK 20, PAGE 29 OF MAPS, IN THE OFFICE OF THE
- 10 COUNTY RECORDER OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY.
- 11 10. Paragraph 26 of the Purchase Agreement provides for the prevailing party to
- 12 recovery its reasonable attorney's fees and costs incurred.
- 13 11. On or about May 15, 2015, Urban Blox, LLC, assigned all right and title to and
- 14 interest in the Purchase Agreement and the Property to Plaintiff UB Valley Village, LLC, as
- 15 Assignee, which assumed all rights of Buyer, A copy of the Assignment is attached as Exhibit
- 16 2 and incorporated by this reference.
- 17 12. Plaintiff, as Buyer, has performed its obligations under the Purchase
- 18 Agreement, including but not limited to making the \$52,000 deposit into escrow.
- 19 13. As set forth in the Addendum (Exhibit 1), escrow is to close within fifteen (15)
- 20 days after tenant Jennifer Getz ("Getz") is evicted and removed from the Property by
- 21 Defendants. Under the Purchase Agreement, including the implied covenant of good faith and
- 22 fair dealing, Defendants are obligated to evict and remove Getz from the Property to satisfy the
- 23 condition to close escrow and complete the transfer of the property to Plaintiff.
- 24 14. Contrary to their obligations under the Purchase Agreement, Defendants,
- 25 through one of their agents, on Thursday, September 1, 2016, communicated Defendants'
- 26 intention to offer Getz an option to purchase the Property. Such an option to the Property in
- 27 favor of Getz would jeopardize Plaintiff's rights, and Defendants' repudiation constitutes

- l breach of contract. To protect and enforce its rights under the Purchase Agreement, Plaintiff
- 2 was required to file the present complaint.
- 3 15. Plaintiff has performed all of its obligations and covenants under the terms and
- 4 provisions of the Purchase Agreement, except to the extent they have been prevented, excused,
- 5 or interfered with by the acts, conduct and omissions of Defendants, and Plaintiff stands ready,
- 6 willing and able to perform under the terms and provisions of the Purchase Agreement.
- 7 16. At the time of the execution of the Purchase Agreement, the purchase price was
- 8 fair, just and adequate. Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law, including but not limited to
- 9 the fact that the Purchase Agreement is a contract for the transfer of real property, and
- 10 pursuant to California Civil Code Section 3387 money damages are presumed inadequate for
- 11 breach, and furthermore Plaintiff has taken substantial additional actions in reliance on
- 12 Defendants' performance of the Purchase Agreement and the transfer of the Property to
- 13 Plaintiff, which cannot be fully compensated by monetary damages.
- 14 17. Accordingly, Plaintiff is entitled to specific performance of the Purchase
- 15 Agreement and the transfer of the Property to Plaintiff pursuant to its terms, provisions,
- 16 covenants and conditions, and upon such other conditions as the Court deems equitable,
- 17 including but not limited to the award of consequential and incidental damages.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

19 (Damages for Breach of Contract - Against All Defendants)

- 20 18. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 6 and 8 through
- 21 15 as though set forth in full.
- 22 19. Defendants' conduct including repudiation of Plaintiff's rights under the
- 23 Purchase Agreement constitutes a breach of contract and has resulted in damages to Plaintiff
- 24 according to proof.

18

- 25 20. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' breach of the Purchase
- 26 Agreement, and in accordance with California Civil Code Sections 3300 and 3306, and
- 27 although the award of damages remains inadequate except to offset the purchase price in the
- 28 claim for specific performance, Plaintiff is entitled to damages to compensate for all detriment

1	proximately caused by the breach or which would be likely to result therefrom, including (i)
2	the portion of the price paid, (ii) the expenses incurred in examining the title and preparing the
,3	necessary papers, (iii) the difference between the price agreed to be paid pursuant to the
4	Purchase Agreement and the value of the Property; (iv) the expenses incurred in preparing to
5	enter upon the land, (v) consequential damages according to proof, and (vi) prejudgment
6	interest,
7	PRAYER
8	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for the following relief:
9	A. For an order of specific performance and a judgment decreeing the conveyance
10	of the Property to Plaintiff, in accordance with terms and conditions of the Purchase
11	Agreement as alleged in this Complaint and as awarded by the Court, including but not limited
12	to consequential and incidental damages;
13	B. In the alternative, and only if the Court were not to decree specific
14	performance, for compensatory damages for Defendants' breach of the Purchase Agreement
15	according to proof at trial;
16	C. For pre-judgment interest at 10 percent per annum as permitted by law;
17	D. For costs and the award of attorney's fees pursuant to the Purchase Agreement;
18	and
19	E. For all such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.
20	DATED: September 7, 2016 KING PARRET & DROSTE LLP
21	An A
22	By: Clay Model
23	Alan J. Droste Attorneys for Plaintiff
24	. UB VALLEY VILLAGE, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company
25	
26	
27	,
28	