

8150 Sunset Blvd (Lytton Savings/Chase Bank Historic Resource), Case No. VTT-72370-CN-1A; CHC-2016-2522-HCM

1 message

danny@dannyhellerart.com <danny@dannyhellerart.com>

Tue, Oct 25, 2016 at 2:17 PM

Reply-To: danny@dannyhellerart.com

To: "william.lamborn@lacity.org" <william.lamborn@lacity.org>, "councilmember.huizar@lacity.org" <councilmember.huizar@lacity.org>, "councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org" <councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org>, "councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org>, "councilmember.englander@lacity.org" <councilmember.englander@lacity.org" <councilmember.price@lacity.org" <councilmember.price@lacity.org> (councilmember.ryu@lacity.org>, "sharon.dickinson@lacity.org" <<sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>, "afine@laconservancy.org" <afine@laconservancy.org>

Dear PLUM committee and other parties,

I'm writing today to request your consideration in preserving the Lytton Savings/Chase Bank currently under threat of demolition and an item on today's PLUM meeting agenda. The best way to lend your support is voting to nominate it an Historic Cultural Monument (HCM) to ensure proper procedures are followed for development at this site. I am not opposed to development at the location, but I strongly object to the needless demolition of a historic building when clear preservation alternatives are available. These alternatives show how the building could otherwise be integrated and reused as part of the overall design. I would like to see everyone - developer, committee, LA Conservancy representatives - work together to achieve a win-win solution for the site. So again, please consider the overwhelming HCM designation criteria displayed and help save this iconic example of 1960s Modernism. Once it's gone, it's gone for good.

Thank you.

Best regards, Danny Heller



8150 Sunset Blvd (Lytton Savings/Chase Bank Historic Resource), Case No. VTT-72370-CN-1A; CHC-2016-2522-HCM

1 message

Jesús Hermosillo <chuy90023@gmail.com>

Tue, Oct 25, 2016 at 3:21 PM

To: councilmember.huizar@lacity.org, councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org, councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org, councilmember.englander@lacity.org, councilmember.price@lacity.org, councilmember.ryu@lacity.org

Cc: sharon.dickinson@lacity.org, Adrian Fine <afine@laconservancy.org>, william.lamborn@lacity.org

Dear Councilmen:

Please save the old Lytton Savings bank building! Please help us break with LA's unfortunate record of ignoring the historic and cultural value of our built environment in the name of uncritical development. Responsible, profitable development in our dynamic, growing city is completely possible while also meeting the broader goals of a diverse, multifaceted urban society, such as securing good jobs, affordable housing and the preservation of our cultural patrimony.

The Lytton Savings building—which meets our city's criteria for HCM designation—represents a great example of how multiple goals can be reached at once, including minimizing the project's ecological footprint (which is always smaller when we choose to preserve and reuse over destroying and rebuilding).

I regret not being able to attend today's hearing but, as a proud Angeleno excited to witness his city's building boom, I'm also hoping to see our maturation into a world-class city proud of its history while embracing the future.

Sincerely,

Jesus Hermosillo 116 1/2 S Catalina St Los Angeles CA 90004



8150 Sunset Blvd (Lytton Savings/Chase Bank Historic Resource), Case No. VTT-72370-CN-1A; CHC-2016-2522-HCM

1 message

Alexander King <avking@live.com>

Tue, Oct 25, 2016 at 3:27 PM

To: "william.lamborn@lacity.org" <william.lamborn@lacity.org>, "councilmember.huizar@lacity.org" <councilmember.huizar@lacity.org>, "councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org" <councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org>, "councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org>, "councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org>, "councilmember.englander@lacity.org" <councilmember.englander@lacity.org>, "councilmember.price@lacity.org" <councilmember.price@lacity.org> (councilmember.ryu@lacity.org>, "sharon.dickinson@lacity.org" <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>, "afine@laconservancy.org" <afine@laconservancy.org>

The Lytton Savings bank building is an important part of Los Angeles history and should be preserved and incorporated into the new development.

Like countless elementary school students in the 1960s and '70s, I went on vividly memorable field-trips to the Lytton Science Center that was housed in the building's bottom story. The architecture and impression of the place engendered the possibilities and expansiveness that lay ahead of us and Los Angeles.

I additionally agree with the L.A. Conservancy and others that:

- Lytton Savings is historic and meets the HCM designation criteria.
- Two distinct preservation alternatives have been evaluated, but no substantial evidence or analysis has been provided by the City or applicant to demonstrate why adaptive reuse of Lytton Savings would be infeasible or inviable.
- The preservation alternatives would meet the majority of the proposed project's objectives, as well as reduce environmental impacts.
- A win-win outcome that would retain the building is possible; it would allow a substantially similar project that would not violate the California Environmental Protection Act (CEQA) to move forward.

--Alexander King

Los Angeles (Mar Vista)



8150 Sunset Blvd (Lytton Savings/Chase Bank Historic Resource), Case No. VTT-72370-CN-1A; CHC-2016-2522-HCM

1 message

Matthew Gaskill | Sotheby's Int'l Realty <matthew.gaskill@sothebyshomes.com> Wed, Oct 26, 2016 at 5:04 PM To: william.lamborn@lacity.org, councilmember.huizar@lacity.org, councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org, councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org, councilmember.englander@lacity.org, councilmember.price@lacity.org, councilmember.ryu@lacity.org, sharon.dickinson@lacity.org
Cc: afine@laconservancy.org

Lytton Savings is historic and meets the HCM designation criteria.

Two distinct preservation alternatives have been evaluated, but no substantial evidence or analysis has been provided by the City or applicant to demonstrate why adaptive reuse of Lytton Savings would be infeasible or inviable.

The preservation alternatives would meet the majority of the proposed project's objectives, as well as reduce environmental impacts.

A win-win outcome that would retain the building is possible; it would allow a substantially similar project that would not violate the California Environmental Protection Act (CEQA) to move forward.

Matthew Gaskill Sotheby's International Realty 310.728.0063 luxuryhomesiosangeles.com CalBRE: 01934613







Emails sent or received by a real estate agent do not constitute offers to sell or lease nor shall such communications constitute acceptance of negotiated transactions on behalf of the real estate agent's client. All electronic communications discussing offers, counter offers or terms under which the real estate agent's client would agree to enter into an agreement shall constitute terms of negotiation and shall not be binding upon the agent's client nor shall such communications create a binding contract. The agent's client may only be bound by written contract signed by the agent's client.

Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail