CITY OF LOS ANGELES OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK ROOM 395, CITY HALL LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012 CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT

PROPOSED MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION

LEAD CITY AGENCY	COUNCIL DISTRICT
City of Los Angeles	CD 3 - BOB BLUMENFIELD
PROJECT TITLE	CASE NO.
ENV-2016-1028-MND	CPC-2016-1027-ZC-SPR-ZAA-CDO

PROJECT LOCATION 7124 N ETIWANDA AVE

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The demolition of an existing 13,070 square-foot commercial building and the construction, use and maintenance of a proposed five-story, 47-foot in height mixed-use development consisting of two buildings, containing 115 residential units (Building A: 38 Units, Building B: 84 units), approximately 10,485 square feet of ground floor retail/restaurant space (7,767 square feet in Building A and 2,718 square feet in Building B), a total of 250 on-site parking spaces, with a total floor area of approximately 122,000 square feet. The project will provide approximately 13,480 square feet of common open space and is located on a 49,060 square-foot site in the proposed [Q]RAS4-1L-CDO Zone. The applicant is requesting a vesting zone change, site plan review, Zoning Administrator Adjustment's, and a determination of compliance with the Reseda Central Business District Community Design Overlay, and any additional actions, including but not limited to, demolition, grading, excavation, haul route, and building permits.

NAME AND ADDRESS OF APPLICANT IF OTHER THAN CITY AGENCY

Reseda Citi, LLC

8500 Wilshire Boulevard, #829

Beverly Hills, CA 90211

FINDING:

The City Planning Department of the City of Los Angeles has Proposed that a mitigated negative declaration be adopted for this project because the mitigation measure(s) outlined on the attached page(s) will reduce any potential significant adverse effects to a level of insignificance

(CONTINUED ON PAGE 2)

SEE ATTACHED SHEET(S) FOR ANY MITIGATION MEASURES IMPOSED.

Any written comments received during the public review period are attached together with the response of the Lead City Agency. The project decision-make may adopt the mitigated negative declariation, amend it, or require preparation of an EIR. Any changes made should be supported by substantial evidence in the record and appropriate findings made.

THE INITIAL STUDY PREPARED FOR THIS PROJECT IS ATTACHED.

		TITLE	Т	ELEPHONE NUMBER
JOJO Pensawa	3	City Planning Assistant		213) 978-1214
ADDRESS	SIGNATURE (Official)		DATE	
200 N. SPRING STREET, 7th FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA. 90012		2. Sum	Αυ	GUST 10, 2016

I-10. Aesthetics (Landscape Plan)

- Environmental impacts to the character and aesthetics of the neighborhood may result from project implementation. However, the potential impacts will be mitigated to a less than significant level by the following measure:
- All landscaped areas shall be maintained in accordance with a landscape plan, including an automatic irrigation
 plan, prepared by a licensed landscape architect in accordance with LAMC Sections 12.40 and 12.41. The final
 landscape plan shall be reviewed and approved by the City of Los Angeles Department of City Planning during
 the building permit process.

I-120. Aesthetics (Light)

- Environmental impacts to the adjacent residential properties may result due to excessive illumination on the project site. However, the potential impacts will be mitigated to a less than significant level by the following measure:
- Outdoor lighting shall be designed and installed with shielding, such that the light source cannot be seen from adjacent residential properties, the public right-of-way, nor from above.

I-130. Aesthetics (Glare)

- Environmental impacts to adjacent residential properties may result from glare from the proposed project. However, the potential impacts will be mitigated to a less than significant level by the following measure:
- The exterior of the proposed structure shall be constructed of materials such as, but not limited to, high-performance and/or non-reflective tinted glass (no mirror-like tints or films) and pre-cast concrete or fabricated wall surfaces to minimize glare and reflected heat.

XII-20. Increased Noise Levels (Demolition, Grading, and Construction Activities)

•

- Construction and demolition shall be restricted to the hours of 7:00 am to 6:00 pm Monday through Friday, and
 8:00 am to 6:00 pm on Saturday.
- Demolition and construction activities shall be scheduled so as to avoid operating several pieces of equipment simultaneously, which causes high noise levels.
- The project contractor shall use power construction equipment with state-of-the-art noise shielding and muffling devices.

XII-40. Increased Noise Levels (Parking Structure Ramps)

- Environmental impacts may result from project implementation due to noise from cars using the parking ramp. However, the potential impacts will be mitigated to a less than significant level by the following measures:
- Concrete, not metal, shall be used for construction of parking ramps.
- The interior ramps shall be textured to prevent tire squeal at turning areas.
- Parking lots located adjacent to residential buildings shall have a solid decorative wall adjacent to the residential.

XII-50. Increased Noise Levels (Retail Markets, Bars, Entertainment etc...)

- Environmental impacts to adjacent residential properties may result from project implementation due to noise from
 the proposed project's activities and parking on the site. However, the potential impacts will be mitigated to a less
 than significant level by the following measures:
- No window openings shall be permitted along the residential sides of the building.
- A 6-foot-high solid decorative masonry wall, measured from the lowest adjacent grade, adjacent to residential
 properties shall be constructed if no such wall currently exists.
- The proposed facility shall incorporate noise-attenuating features (physical as well as operational) designed by a
 licensed acoustical sound engineer to assure that operational sounds shall be inaudible beyond the property line.

XII-60. Increased Noise Levels (Mixed-Use Development)

- Environmental impacts to proposed on-site residential uses from noises generated by proposed on-site
 commercial uses may result from project implementation. However, the potential impact will be mitigated to a less
 than significant level by the following measure:
- Wall and floor-ceiling assemblies separating commercial tenant spaces, residential units, and public places, shall
 have a Sound Transmission Class (STC) value of at least 50, as determined in accordance with ASTM E90 and
 ASTM E413.

XIV-10. Public Services (Fire)

- Environmental impacts may result from project implementation due to the location of the project in an area having
 marginal fire protection facilities. However, this potential impact will be mitigated to a less than significant level by
 the following measure:
- The following recommendations of the Fire Department relative to fire safety shall be incorporated into the building plans, which includes the submittal of a plot plan for approval by the Fire Department either prior to the recordation of a final map or the approval of a building permit. The plot plan shall include the following minimum design features: fire lanes, where required, shall be a minimum of 20 feet in width; all structures must be within 300 feet of an approved fire hydrant, and entrances to any dwelling unit or guest room shall not be more than 150 feet in distance in horizontal travel from the edge of the roadway of an improved street or approved fire lane.

XIV-20. Public Services (Police – Demolition/Construction Sites)

 Temporary construction fencing shall be placed along the periphery of the active construction areas to screen as much of the construction activity from view at the local street level and to keep unpermitted persons from entering the construction area.

XIV-30. Public Services (Police)

- Environmental impacts may result from project implementation due to the location of the project in an area having marginal police services. However, this potential impact will be mitigated to a less than significant level by the following measure:
- The plans shall incorporate the design guidelines relative to security, semi-public and private spaces, which may include but not be limited to access control to building, secured parking facilities, walls/fences with key systems, well-illuminated public and semi-public space designed with a minimum of dead space to eliminate areas of concealment, location of toilet facilities or building entrances in high-foot traffic areas, and provision of security guard patrol throughout the project site if needed. Please refer to "Design Out Crime Guidelines: Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design", published by the Los Angeles Police Department. Contact the Community Relations Division, located at 100 W. 1st Street, #250, Los Angeles, CA 90012; (213) 486-6000. These measures shall be approved by the Police Department prior to the issuance of building permits.

XIV-40. Public Services (Construction Activity Near Schools)

- Environmental impacts may result from project implementation due to the close proximity of the project to a school. However, the potential impact will be mitigated to a less than significant level by the following measures:
- The developer and contractors shall maintain ongoing contact with administrator of ______school. The administrative offices shall be contacted when demolition, grading and construction activity begin on the project site so that students and their parents will know when such activities are to occur. The developer shall obtain school walk and bus routes to the schools from either the administrators or from the LAUSD's Transportation Branch (323)342-1400 and guarantee that safe and convenient pedestrian and bus routes to the school be maintained.
- The developer shall install appropriate traffic signs around the site to ensure pedestrian and vehicle safety.
- There shall be no staging or parking of construction vehicles, including vehicles to transport workers on any of the streets adjacent to the school.
- Due to noise impacts on the schools, no construction vehicles or haul trucks shall be staged or idled on these streets during school hours.

XIV-50. Public Services (Schools affected by Haul Route)

- LADBS shall assign specific haul route hours of operation based upon _____ School(s) hours of operation.
- Haul route scheduling shall be sequenced to minimize conflicts with pedestrians, school buses and cars at the
 arrival and dismissal times of the school day. Haul route trucks shall not be routed past the school during periods
 when school is in session especially when students are arriving or departing from the campus.

XVI-30. Transportation (Haul Route)

- •
- The developer shall install appropriate traffic signs around the site to ensure pedestrian and vehicle safety.
- The applicant shall be limited to no more than two trucks at any given time within the site's staging area.
- There shall be no staging of hauling trucks on any streets adjacent to the project, unless specifically approved as a condition of an approved haul route.
- No hauling shall be done before 9 a.m. or after 3 p.m.
- Trucks shall be spaced so as to discourage a convoy effect.

ENV-2016-1028-MND Page 3 of 41

- A minimum of two flag persons are required. One flag person is required at the entrance to the project site and one flag person at the next intersection along the haul route.
- Truck crossing signs are required within 300 feet of the exit of the project site in each direction.
- The owner or contractor shall keep the construction area sufficiently dampened to control dust caused by grading and hauling, and at all times shall provide reasonable control of dust caused by wind.
- Loads shall be secured by trimming and watering or may be covered to prevent the spilling or blowing of the earth
 material.
- Trucks and loads are to be cleaned at the export site to prevent blowing dirt and spilling of loose earth.
- No person shall perform grading within areas designated "hillside" unless a copy of the permit is in the possession of a responsible person and available at the site for display upon request.
- A log documenting the dates of hauling and the number of trips (i.e. trucks) per day shall be available on the job site at all times.
- The applicant shall identify a construction manager and provide a telephone number for any inquiries or complaints from residents regarding construction activities. The telephone number shall be posted at the site readily visible to any interested party during site preparation, grading and construction.

XVI-80. Transportation/Traffic

- The project will result in impacts to transportation and/or traffic systems. However, the impact can be reduced to a less than significant level though compliance with the following measure(s):
- Applicant shall plan construction and construction staging as to maintain pedestrian access on adjacent sidewalks
 throughout all construction phases. This requires the applicant to maintain adequate and safe pedestrian
 protection, including physical separation (including utilization of barriers such as K-Rails or scaffolding, etc.) from
 work space and vehicular traffic and overhead protection, due to sidewalk closure or blockage, at all times.
- Temporary pedestrian facilities should be adjacent to the project site and provide safe, accessible routes that replicate as nearly as practical the most desirable characteristics of the existing facility.
- Covered walkways shall be provided where pedestrians are exposed to potential injury from falling objects.
- Applicant shall keep sidewalk open during construction until only when it is absolutely required to close or block sidewalk for construction staging. Sidewalk shall be reopened as soon as reasonably feasible taking construction and construction staging into account.
- Provide transit passes to all new tenants for their first year of residence. Provide an information kiosk in the lobby of both residential buildings and near the entrance of the bank about transit lines, bike routes, amenities, and attractions within walking distance (1/2 mile radius), and any other public transportation programs in the western San Fernando area. This TDM Plan shall be prepared by the applicant and submitted to DOT for review and approval prior to the issuance of any building permit for this project.

ENV-2016-1028-MND Page 4 of 41

CITY OF LOS ANGELES

OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK ROOM 395, CITY HALL LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012

CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT

INITIAL STUDY

and CHECKLIST

(CEQA Guidelines Section 15063)

	(
LEAD CITY AGENCY: City of Los Angeles		COUNCIL DISTRICT: CD 3 - BOB BLUMENFIELD		DATE:			
RESPONSIBLE AGENCIES: Department of City	Planning	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4					
ENVIRONMENTAL CASE: ENV-2016-1028-MND							
PREVIOUS ACTIONS CASE NO.: Does have significant changes from previous actions. Does NOT have significant changes from previous actions							
PROJECT DESCRIPTION: ZONE CHANGE, SITE PLAN REVIEW, ZONING ADMINISTRATOR'S ADJUSTMENT, CDO							
ENV PROJECT DESCRIPTION: The demolition of an existing 13,070 square-foot commercial building and the construction, use and maintenance of a proposed five-story, 47-foot in height mixed-use development consisting of two buildings, containing 115 residential units (Building A: 38 Units, Building B: 84 units), approximately 10,485 square feet of ground floor retail/restaurant space (7,767 square feet in Building A and 2,718 square feet in Building B), a total of 250 on-site parking spaces, with a total floor area of approximately 122,000 square feet. The project will provide approximately 13,480 square feet of common open space and is located on a 49,060 square-foot site in the proposed [Q]RAS4-1L-CDO Zone. The applicant is requesting a vesting zone change, site plan review, Zoning Administrator Adjustment's, and a determination of compliance with the Reseda Central Business District Community Design Overlay, and any additional actions, including but not limited to, demolition, grading, excavation, haul route, and building permits.							
ENVIRONMENTAL SETTINGS:			01				
The subject property is a rectangular-shaped, level frontage of 293 feet on the east side of Etiwanda 13,070 square-foot commercial building fronting S	The subject property is a rectangular-shaped, level, corner lot with a frontage of 83 feet on the south side of Sherman Way and a frontage of 293 feet on the east side of Etiwanda Avenue. The site is bisected by a 20-foot alleyway. The site is developed with a 13,070 square-foot commercial building fronting Sherman Way and a surface parking lot with access from Etiwanda Avenue. The site is zoned [Q]C2-1L-CDO and [Q]P-1L-CDO and is located within the Reseda-West Van Nuys Community Plan. The site is						
located within the Reseda Central Business Distri (150' height limit above elevation 790) and horizon nearest known fault (Northridge Fault).							
The northern adjoining properties are zoned [Q]OS-1XL-CDO and [Q]C2-1L-CDO and are developed with a landscaped median along Sherman Way and a retail center. The eastern adjoining property is zoned [Q]C2-1L-CDO and is developed with a single-story commercial building and surface parking lot. Further east, the property is zoned [Q]C2-1L-CDO and is developed with a school (Magnolia Science Academy). The southern adjoining property is zoned (Q)R3-1 and R1-1 and is developed with an apartment building. The western adjoining properties (across Etiwanda Avenue) are zoned [Q]C2-1D-CDO, [Q]P-1D-CDO, [Q]Cr-1D-CDO and [Q]R3-1D-CDO and are developed with commercial buildings fronting Sherman Way, surface parking, and office and multi-family residential fronting Etiwanda Avenue.							
PROJECT LOCATION: 7124 N ETIWANDA AVE				The Comment of the Co			
COMMUNITY PLAN AREA: RESEDA - WEST VAN NUYS STATUS:		PLANNING COMMISSION: VALLEY	CERTIFIEI COUNCIL: RESEDA	D NEIGHBORHOOD			
Does Conform to Plan Does NOT Conform to Plan	THE PERSON OF TH						

ENV-2016-1028-MND Page 5 of 41

EXISTING ZONING: [Q]C2-1L-CDO and [Q]P-1L-CDO	MAX. DENSITY/INTENSITY ALLOWED BY ZONING:	
GENERAL PLAN LAND USE: COMMUNITY COMMERCIAL	MAX. DENSITY/INTENSITY ALLOWED BY PLAN DESIGNATION:	LA River Adjacent:
	PROPOSED PROJECT DENSITY:	

ENV-2016-1028-MND Page 6 of 41

On the basis of this initial evaluation: I find that the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared. I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions on the project have been made by or agreed to by the project proponent. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared. I find the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required. I find the proposed project MAY have a "potentially significant impact" or "potentially significant unless mitigated" impact on the environment, but at least one effect 1) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and 2) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on earlier analysis as described on attached sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required, but it must analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed. I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been analyzed adequately in an earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION pursuant to applicable standards, and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the proposed project, nothing further is required. **City Planning Assistant** (213) 978-1214 Title Signature Phone

Determination (To Be Completed By Lead Agency)

Evaluation Of Environmental Impacts:

- 1. A brief explanation is required for all answers except "No Impact" answers that are adequately supported by the information sources a lead agency cites in the parentheses following each question. A "No Impact" answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to projects like the one involved (e.g., the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A "No Impact" answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards (e.g., the project will not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants based on a project-specific screening analysis).
- 2. All answers must take account of the whole action involved, including off-site as well as on-site, cumulative as well as project-level, indirect as well as direct, and construction as well as operational impacts.
- 3. Once the lead agency has determined that a particular physical impact may occur, then the checklist answers must indicate whether the impact is potentially significant, less that significant with mitigation, or less than significant. "Potentially Significant Impact" is appropriate if there is substantial evidence that an effect may be significant. If there are one or more "Potentially Significant Impact" entries when the determination is made, an EIR is required.
- 4. "Negative Declaration: Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated" applies where the incorporation of a mitigation measure has reduced an effect from "Potentially Significant Impact" to "Less Than Significant Impact." The lead agency must describe the mitigation measures, and briefly explain how they reduce the effect to a less than significant level (mitigation measures from "Earlier Analyses," as described in (5) below, may be cross-referenced).
- 5. Earlier analyses may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR, or negative declaration. Section 15063 (c)(3)(D). In this case, a brief discussion should identify the following:
 - a. Earlier Analysis Used. Identify and state where they are available for review.
 - b. Impacts Adequately Addressed. Identify which effects from the above checklist were within the scope of and adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and state whether such effects were addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis.
 - c. Mitigation Measures. For effects that are "Less than Significant with Mitigation Measures Incorporated," describe the mitigation measures which were incorporated or refined from the earlier document and the extent to which they address site-specific conditions for the project.

ENV-2016-1028-MND Page 7 of 41

- 6. Lead agencies are encouraged to incorporate into the checklist references to information sources for potential impacts (e.g., general plans, zoning ordinances). Reference to a previously prepared or outside document should, where appropriate, include a reference to the page or pages where the statement is substantiated.
- 7. Supporting Information Sources: A sources list should be attached, and other sources used or individuals contacted should be cited in the discussion.
- 8. This is only a suggested form, and lead agencies are free to use different formats; however, lead agencies should normally address the questions from this checklist that are relevant to a project's environmental effects in whatever format is selected.
- 9. The explanation of each issue should identify:
 - a. The significance criteria or threshold, if any, used to evaluate each question; and
 - b. The mitigation measure identified, if any, to reduce the impact to less than significance.

Environmental Factors Potentially Affected:

PROPOSAL NAME (if Applicable):

The environmental factors checked below would be potentially affected by this project, involving at least one impact that is a "Potentially Significant Impact" as indicated by the checklist on the following pages.

The state of the s					
AESTHETICS AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES AIR QUALITY BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES	☐ GREEN HOUSE GAS EMISSIONS ☐ HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS ☐ HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY	☐ POPULATION AND HOUSING ✓ PUBLIC SERVICES ☐ RECREATION ✓ TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC ☐ UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS			
CULTURAL RESOURCES	LAND USE AND PLANNING				
GEOLOGY AND SOILS	MINERAL RESOURCES	MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE			
GEOLOGI AND SOILS	✓ NOISE	SIGNIFICANCE			
Lang.	All the property of the second	Many L			
INITIAL STUDY CHECKLIS	T (To be completed by the Lead City Agency)				
PROPONENT NAME:	P	HONE NUMBER:			
Reseda Citi, LLC	eseda Citi, LLC (909) 597-2415				
APPLICANT ADDRESS:					
8500 Wilshire Boulevard, #829 Beverly Hills, CA 90211					
AGENCY REQUIRING CHECKLIST:	D.	ATE SUBMITTED:			
Department of City Planning	03	3/24/2016			

ENV-2016-1028-MND Page 9 of 41

	Less than significant			
Potentially significant	with mitigation	Less than significant		
impact	incorporated	impact	No impact	

. 1	AESTHETICS	1		
ι,	Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?			1
).	Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?			Y
G.	Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?	~		
d.	Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?	V		
II.	AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES			A Paris and the same and the sa
a.	Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to nonagricultural use?			~
b.	Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?			1
c.	Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code section 12220(g)), timberland (as defined by Public Resources Code section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Government Code section 51104(g))?			~
d.	Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?			1
e.	Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?			~
III.	AIR QUALITY			
a.	Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?		V	
b.	Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?		~	
c.	Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?		~	
d.	Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?		V	Carried in the same of the same
e.	Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?		V	
IV.	BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES	A)
a.	Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?			1
b.	Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?			~
c.	Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?			~
d.	Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?			~
e.	Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?			~
f.	Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?			~

ENV-2016-1028-MND Page 10 of 41

		Potentially significant impact	Less than significant with mitigation incorporated	Less than significant impact	No impact
a.	Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in § 15064.5?		STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE P		V
b.	Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to § 15064.5?			~	
c.	Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?			Y	
d.	Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?			Y	
e.	Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a site, feature, place, cultural landscape, sacred place, or object with cultural value to a California Native American Tribe that is listed or determined eligible for listing on the California register of historical resources, listed on a local historical register, or otherwise determined by the lead agency to be a tribal cultural resource?			*	
VI.	GEOLOGY AND SOILS				
a.	Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving: Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42.				~
b.	Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving: Strong seismic ground shaking?			V	
c.	Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving: Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?				V
d.	Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving: Landslides?				V
e.	Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?			1	
f.	Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?				Y
g.	Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property?			Y	
h.	Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water?				V
_	GREEN HOUSE GAS EMISSIONS				
	Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?			V	
	Conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?			V	
	. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS		April 100 miles	the state of the s	
	Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?			Y	
200.000.000.000	Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?			V	
c.	Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?			~	
d.	Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?				V

ENV-2016-1028-MND Page 11 of 41

		Potentially significant impact	significant with mitigation incorporated	Less than significant impact	No impact
e.	For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?				V
f.	For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?				~
g.	Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?				V
	Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?				~
IX.	HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY				
a.	Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?			V	
b.	Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of preexisting nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?			~	
c.	Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?			V	
d.	Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site?			Y	
e.	Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?			Y	
f.	Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?				Y
g.	Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?				~
h.	Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows?				Y
i.	Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?				~
j.	Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?				~
X.	LAND USE AND PLANNING	<u> </u>	d	<u> </u>	
a.	Physically divide an established community?				Y
b.	Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?			V	
c.	Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan?				Y
1	MINERAL RESOURCES				
a.	Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?				Y
	Result in the loss of availability of a locally important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?				~
XI	. NOISE				
a.	Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?		Y		

Less than significant with

ENV-2016-1028-MND Page 12 of 41

		Potentially significant impact	significant with mitigation incorporated	Less than significant impact	No impact
b.	Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or			-/	
	groundborne noise levels?			Y	
c.	A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?	1		~	
	A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?				
e.	For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?			*	
f.	For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?			V	
XI	I. POPULATION AND HOUSING				
a.	Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?			~	
b.	construction of replacement housing elsewhere?				Y
c.	Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?				V
Χľ	/. PUBLIC SERVICES				
a.	Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services: Fire protection?		•		
b.	Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services: Police protection?		~		
C.	Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services: Schools?		~		
d.	Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services: Parks?			V	Charles and Charle
	Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services: Other public facilities?			V	
\vdash	RECREATION				
a.	Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?	A THE STATE OF THE		V	
b.	Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?			~	
XV	. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC		3		

Less than significant

ENV-2016-1028-MND Page 13 of 41

		impact	incorporated	impact	No impact
	a a				
a.	Conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation including mass transit and non-motorized travel and relevant components of the circulation system, including but not limited to intersections, streets, highways and freeways, pedestrian and bicycle paths, and mass transit?				
b.	Conflict with an applicable congestion management program, including, but not limited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other standards established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?	About day a mirror and the second and a mirror and a mirr		Y	
c.	Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?			~	
d.	Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?		Y		
e.	Result in inadequate emergency access?			V	
f.	Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs regarding public transit, bicycle, or pedestrian facilities, or otherwise decrease the performance or safety of such facilities supporting alternative transportation (e.g., bus turnouts, bicycle racks)?			-	A to the second
XΛ	II. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS				
a.	Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?			V	
b.	Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?			~	
C.	Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?			Y	
d.	Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?	ekingen metalentiga antik kinde melli dipende mellimin kinde mengelang mellimin seminan mellimin seminan mellim		Y	
e.	Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?			V	
f.	Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?			~	
g.	Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?			~	
X١	III. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE				
a.	Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?				
b.	Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)?			•	
c.	Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?			1	

Less than significant

with

mitigation

Less than significant

Potentially

significant

Note: Authority cited: Sections 21083, 21083.05, Public Resources Code. Reference: Section 65088.4, Gov. Code; Sections 21080, 21083.05, 21095, Pub. Resources Code; Eureka Citizens for Responsible Govt. v. City of Eureka (2007) 147 Cal.App.4th 357; Protect the Historic Amador Waterways v. Amador Water Agency (2004) 116 Cal.App.4th at 1109; San Franciscans Upholding the Downtown Plan v. City and County of San Francisco (2002) 102 Cal.App.4th 656.

ENV-2016-1028-MND Page 14 of 41

DISCUSSION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION (Attach additional sheets if necessary)

The Environmental Impact Assessment includes the use of official City of Los Angeles and other government source reference materials related to various environmental impact categories (e.g., Hydrology, Air Quality, Biology, Cultural Resources, etc.). The State of California, Department of Conservation, Division of Mines and Geology - Seismic Hazard Maps and reports, are used to identify potential future significant seismic events; including probable magnitudes, liquefaction, and landslide hazards. Based on applicant information provided in the Master Land Use Application and Environmental Assessment Form, impact evaluations were based on stated facts contained therein, including but not limited to, reference materials indicated above, field investigation of the project site, and any other reliable reference materials known at the time.

Project specific impacts were evaluated based on all relevant facts indicated in the Environmental Assessment Form and expressed through the applicant's project description and supportive materials. Both the Initial Study Checklist and Checklist Explanations, in conjunction with the City of Los Angeles's Adopted Thresholds Guide and CEQA Guidelines, were used to reach reasonable conclusions on environmental impacts as mandated under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA).

The project as identified in the project description may cause potentially significant impacts on the environment without mitigation. Therefore, this environmental analysis concludes that a Mitigated Negative Declaration shall be issued to avoid and mitigate all potential adverse impacts on the environment by the imposition of mitigation measures and/or conditions contained and expressed in this document; the environmental case file known as ENV-2016-1028-MND and the associated case(s),

CPC-2016-1027-ZC-SPR-ZAA-CDO . Finally, based on the fact that these impacts can be feasibly mitigated to less than significant, and based on the findings and thresholds for Mandatory Findings of Significance as described in the California Environmental Quality Act, section 15065, the overall project impact(s) on the environment (after mitigation) will not:

- Substantially degrade environmental quality.
- Substantially reduce fish or wildlife habitat.
- Cause a fish or wildlife habitat to drop below self sustaining levels.
- Threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community.
- Reduce number, or restrict range of a rare, threatened, or endangered species.
- Eliminate important examples of major periods of California history or prehistory.
- Achieve short-term goals to the disadvantage of long-term goals.
- Result in environmental effects that are individually limited but cumulatively considerable.
- Result in environmental effects that will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:

All supporting documents and references are contained in the Environmental Case File referenced above and may be viewed in the EIR Unit, Room 763, City Hall.

<u>For City information, addresses and phone numbers:</u> visit the City's website at http://www.lacity.org; City Planning - and Zoning Information Mapping Automated System (ZIMAS) cityplanning.lacity.org/ or EIR Unit, City Hall, 200 N Spring Street, Room 763. Seismic Hazard Maps - http://gmw.consrv.ca.gov/shmp/

Engineering/Infrastructure/Topographic Maps/Parcel Information - http://boemaps.eng.ci.la.ca.us/index01.htm or City's main website under the heading "Navigate LA".

	TITLE:	TELEPHONE NO.:	DATE:
PREPARED BY:	City Planning Assistant	(213) 978-1214	06/30/2016

ENV-2016-1028-MND Page 15 of 41

		Mitigation
Impact?	Explanation	Measures

APPENDIX A: ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS EXPLANATION TABLE

1 4	I. AESTHETICS			
I. Al				
a.	NO IMPACT	The existing visual character of the surrounding locale is highly urban and the project site is not located within or along a designated scenic highway, corridor, or parkway. No designated scenic vistas in the local area would be impeded, and the project will not substantially block any scenic vistas. Therefore, no impact will result.		
b.	NO IMPACT	A significant impact would occur only if scenic resources would be damaged or removed by a project, such as a tree, rock outcropping, or historic building within a designated scenic highway. There are no identified scenic resources such as rock outcroppings or historic buildings located on-site. The building has not been identified as requiring Historic Preservation Review. The project is located within the Reseda Central Business District Design Overlay and will comply with the regulations. No impact will result.		
C.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT WITH MITIGATION INCORPORATED	A significant impact would occur if the proposed project would substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the project site and its surroundings. Significant impacts to the visual character of a site and its surroundings are generally based on the removal of features with aesthetic value, the introduction of contrasting urban features into a local area, and the degree to which the elements of the proposed project detract from the visual character of an area. The proposed project would include the construction of a new, five-story, mixeduse development and landscaping. The proposed project would not substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the project site and its surroundings. The project site and its surroundings. The project is required to obtain compliance with the Reseda Central Business District Community Design Overlay. With mitigation measures, the project will have less than significant impacts on the visual quality of the site.	I-10	

ENV-2016-1028-MND Page 16 of 41

	1	F. L	Mitigation
	Impact?	Explanation	Measures
	I		L
d.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT WITH MITIGATION INCORPORATED	The project (through new building materials and lighting) has the potential to create a new source of substantial light and glare for adjacent residential land uses. The referenced Mitigation Measures shall apply to reduce impacts to a less than significant level.	I-120, I-130
II. A	GRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOU	RCES	
а.	NO IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the proposed project would convert valued farmland to non-agricultural uses. The project site is developed with a commercial building and surface parking. No Farmland, agricultural uses, or related operations are present within the project site or surrounding area. Due to its urban setting, the project site and surrounding area are not included in the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency. Therefore, the proposed project would not convert any Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance to non-agricultural use, and no impact would occur.	
b.	NO IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the proposed project conflicted with existing agricultural zoning or agricultural parcels enrolled under a Williamson Act Contract. The project site is not zoned for agricultural use or under a Williamson Act Contract. The project site is currently zoned [Q]C2-1L-CDO and [Q]P-1L-CDO. As the project site and surrounding area do not contain farmland of any type, the proposed project would not conflict with a Williamson Act Contract. Therefore, no impact would occur.	
C.	NO IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the proposed project conflicted with existing zoning for, or caused rezoning of forest land or timberland or result in the loss of forest land or in the conversion of forest land to non-forest use. The project site and the surrounding area are not zoned for forest land or timberland. As identified above, the project site is currently zoned [Q]C2-1L-CDO and [Q]P-1L-CDO. Accordingly, the proposed project would not conflict with forest land or timberland zoning or result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use. Therefore, no impact would occur.	

Page 17 of 41 ENV-2016-1028-MND

			Mitigation
	Impact?	Explanation	Measures
d.	NO IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the proposed project conflicted with existing zoning for, or caused rezoning of forest land or timberland or result in the loss of forest land or in the conversion of forest land to non-forest use. The project site and the surrounding area are not zoned for forest land or timberland. As identified above, the project site is currently zoned [Q]C2-1L-CDO and [Q]P-1L-CDO. Accordingly, the proposed project would not conflict with forest land or timberland zoning or result in the loss of forest land	
		or conversion of forest land to non-forest use. Therefore, no impact would occur.	
e.	NO IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the proposed project caused the conversion of farmland to non-agricultural use or Forest Land to Non-Forest Use. The project site does not contain farmland, forestland, or timberland. Therefore, no impacts would occur.	
111. /	AIR QUALITY		
	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) is the agency primarily responsible for comprehensive air pollution control in the South Coast Air Basin and reducing emissions from area and point stationary, mobile, and indirect sources. SCAQMD prepared the 2012 Air Quality Management Plan (AQMP) to meet federal and state ambient air quality standards. A significant air quality impact may occur if a project is inconsistent with the AQMP or would in some way represent a substantial hindrance to employing the policies or obtaining the goals of that plan. The proposed project with 115 residential units and 10,485 square feet of commercial space is not expected to conflict with or obstruct the implementation of the AQMP and SCAQMD rules. The proposed project is also subject to the City's Green Building Program Ordinance (Ord. No. 179,890), which was adopted to reduce the use of natural resources, create healthier living environments, and minimize the negative impacts of development on local, regional and global ecosystems. Therefore, impacts would be less than significant.	

	·		
b.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the proposed project would violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation. Project construction and operation emissions are estimated using California Emissions Estimator Model (CalEEMod), a statewide land use emissions computer model designed to quantify potential criteria pollutant and greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions associated with both construction and operations from land use projects. According to the CalEEMod model results for similar types of projects, Overall Construction (Maximum Daily Emission) for the proposed project would not exceed the SCAQMD thresholds for the criteria pollutants Reactive Organic Compounds (ROG), Nitrogen Oxides (NOx), Carbon Monoxide (CO), Sulfur Dioxide (SO2), and Respirable Particulate Matter (PM10 and PM2.5). The project is estimated to generate less than the SCAQMD threshold of 75 pounds per day (lbs/day) for ROG, 100 lbs/day for NOx,, 550 lbs/day for CO, 150 lbs per day for SO2, 150 lbs/day for PM10, and 55 lbs/day for PM2.5. Additionally, the project output is also below the significance thresholds for these criteria pollutants with regard to Overall Operational Emissions. The project is estimated to generate less than the SCAQMD threshold of 55 pounds per day (lbs/day) for ROG, 55 lbs/day for NOx, 550 lbs/day for ROG, 55 lbs/day for NOx, 550 lbs/day for ROG, 55 lbs/day for SO2, 150 lbs/day for PM10, and 55 lbs/day for SO2, 150 lbs/day for PM10, and 55 lbs/day for PM2.5. Motor vehicles that access the project site would be the predominant source of long-term project emissions. Additional emissions would be generated by area sources, such as energy use and landscape maintenance activities. Therefore, the proposed project would result in a less-than-significant	
		impact related to regional operational emissions.	
c.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The project is a mixed-use project with 115 residential units and 10,485 square feet of commercial uses that does not include major sources of combustion or fugitive dust. As a result, its localized emissions of PM10 and PM2.5 would be minimal. Similarly, existing land uses in the area include commercial land uses that do not produce substantial emissions of localized nonattainment pollutants. A	

Explanation

Impact?

Mitigation

Measures

ENV-2016-1028-MND Page 19 of 41

	Immont?	Evalenation	Mitigation
	Impact?	Explanation	Measures
		less-than significant project impact does not make a cumulatively considerable contribution to a cumulative impact. Long-term operation of the project would not result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any non-attainment criteria pollutant. Therefore, impacts will be less than significant.	
d.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The proposed project would not expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations, particularly localized criteria pollutant emissions, during construction. The California Air Resources Board (CARB) has published guidance for locating new sensitive receptors (e.g., residences) away from nearby sources of air pollution. Relevant recommendations include avoiding siting new sensitive land uses within 500 feet of a freeway or 300 feet of a large gas station (defined as a facility with a throughput of 3.6 million gallons per year or greater). The project site is not near either a freeway or a large gas station. Therefore, the proposed project would result in a less than significant impact.	
e.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	Potential sources that may emit odors during construction activities include equipment exhaust and architectural coatings. Odors from these sources would be localized and generally confined to the immediate area surrounding the project site. The proposed project would utilize typical construction techniques, and the odors would be typical of most construction sites and temporary in nature. Construction of the proposed mixed-use project would not cause an odor nuisance. According to the SCAQMD CEQA Air Quality Handbook, land uses and industrial operations that are associated with odor complaints include agricultural uses, wastewater treatment plants, food processing plants, chemical plants, composting, refineries, landfills, dairies and fiberglass molding. The proposed land uses would not result in activities that create objectionable odors. Therefore, the proposed project would result in a less than significant impact related to objectionable odors.	
IV. E	BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES	pimpact related to objectionable odors.	

ENV-2016-1028-MND Page 20 of 41

			'
a.	NO IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the project resulted in the loss or destruction of individuals of a species or through the degradation of sensitive habitat. The subject property is located within an urbanized area and is currently developed with minimal landscaping. No endangered and/or threatened species are located within the property, and no such species has been observed on the property. As such, the project would not adversely affect endangered and/or threatened species either directly or indirectly through habitat modification. No impact would occur.	
b.	NO IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if any riparian habitat or natural community would be lost or destroyed as a result of urban development. The subject property does not contain any riparian habitat and does not contain any streams or water courses necessary to support riparian habitat. As such, the project would not have any effect on riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) or the United States Fish and Wildlife Services (USFWS). No impact would occur.	
Э.	NO IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if federally protected wetlands would be modified or removed by a project. The subject property does not contain any federally protected wetlands, wetland resources, or other waters of the United States as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act. The property is located in an urbanized area. As such, the project would not have any effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means. No impact would occur.	
d.	NO IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the project would interfere with, or remove access to, a migratory wildlife corridor or impede use of native wildlife nursery sites. Due to the urbanized nature of the subject property and surrounding area, the lack of a major water body, and the limited number of trees, the subject property does not support habitat for	

Explanation

Impact?

Mitigation Measures

ENV-2016-1028-MND Page 21 of 41

			Mitigation
	Impact?	Explanation	Measures
		native resident or migratory species or contain native nurseries. Therefore, the project would not interfere with wildlife movement or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites. No impact would occur.	
е.	NO IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the project would be inconsistent with local regulations pertaining to biological resources. The project would not conflict with any policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as the City of Los Angeles Protected Tree Ordinance (No. 177,404). The subject property does not contain locally-protected biological resources, such as oak trees, Southern California black walnut, western sycamore or California bay trees. The project would be required to comply with the provisions of the Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA) and the California Fish and Game Code (CFGC). Both the MBTA and CFGC protects migratory birds that may use trees on or adjacent to the property for nesting and may be disturbed during construction of the project. Therefore, the project would not conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as tree preservation policy or ordinance (e.g., oak trees or California walnut woodlands). No impact would occur.	
f.	NO IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the project conflicted with any draft or adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional or state habitat conservation plan. The subject property and its vicinity are not part of any such area. Therefore, the proposed project would not conflict with the provisions of any adopted conservation plan. No impact would occur.	
V. C	CULTURAL RESOURCES		
a.	NO IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the proposed project would substantially alter the environmental context of, or remove identified historical resources. The project includes the demolition of one commercial building constructed during 1954. However, none of the structures has been identified as a historic resource by local or state agencies, and the project site has not been determined to be eligible for listing in the National Register of Historical	

	Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
			Modelio
		Resources, and the Los Angeles Historic-Cultural Monuments Register. In addition, the site was not found to be a potential historic resource based on communication with the Planning Department's Office of Historic Resources, and data available on the City's HistoricPlacesLA website (the City's new online information and management system created to inventory Los Angeles' significant historic resources). Therefore, no impacts would occur.	
b.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The project is not located on a site with any known archeological resources; however, the applicant shall abide by current law if archaeological resources are discovered during grading or construction. Therefore, impacts will be less than significant.	
c.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The project is not located on a site with any known paleontological resources; however, the applicant shall abide by current law if paleontological resources are discovered during grading or construction. Therefore, impacts will be less than significant.	
d.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	No human remains are expected to be located on the project site; however, the applicant shall abide by current law if human remains are discovered during grading or construction. Therefore, impacts will be less than significant.	
e.		A significant impact would occur if the project would result in a substantial adverse change in the significance of a tribal cultural resource, including, but not limited to, sites, features, places, cultural landscapes, sacred places, and objects with cultural value to a California Native American tribe or an historical resource as defined in of Section 5024.1(c) Public Resources Code. The subject property has not been identified to contain any tribal cultural resource. If human remains of Native American origin are discovered during project construction, compliance with state laws, which fall within the jurisdiction of the Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC) (Public Resource Code Section 5097), relating to the disposition of Native American burials will be adhered to and if archeological resources are found during excavation, the project will be required to follow procedures as detailed in the California Public Resources Code Section 21083.2.	

ENV-2016-1028-MND Page 23 of 41

	Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
		Therefore, project impacts would be less than significant.	
VI. C	SEOLOGY AND SOILS		
a.	NO IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the proposed project would cause personal injury or death or result in property damage as a result of a fault rupture occurring on the project site and if the project site is located within a State-designated Alquist-Priolo Zone or other designated fault zone. According to the California Department of Conservation Special Studies Zone Map, the project site is not located within an Alquist-Priolo Special Studies Zone or Fault Rupture Study Area. The proposed project would not expose people or structures to potential adverse effects resulting from the rupture of known earthquake faults. The Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Act is intended to mitigate the hazard of surface fault rupture on structures for human occupancy. Therefore, no impacts would occur.	
b.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT NO IMPACT	The site is located in a seismically active area approximately 10 kilometers from the nearest fault (Northridge Fault), but the project is not expected to cause or accelerate any geological hazards. The project is also subject to the seismic standards of the Department of Building and Safety's Uniform Building Code, thereby reducing possible seismic hazard impacts to a less than significant level. A significant impact would occur if the project would cause personal injury or death or resulted in property damage as a	
		result of liquefaction. According to the Safety Element of the City of Los Angeles General Plan Safety Element of the Los Angeles City General Plan, Areas Susceptible to Liquefaction, Exhibit B, the subject property is not located within a Liquefiable Area or Potentially Liquefiable Area. Therefore, the project would not cause personal injury or death or resulted in property damage as a result of liquefaction, and no impact would occur.	
d.	NO IMPACT	According to ZIMAS, the site is not located within an area of historically earthquake-induced landslides, and landslides on the site are not anticipated based on the area's flat terrain.	

ENV-2016-1028-MND Page 24 of 41

	Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
е.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	Construction of proposed project would result in ground surface disturbance during site clearance, excavation, and grading, which could create the potential for soil erosion to occur. Construction activities would be performed in accordance with the requirements of the Los Angeles Building Code and the Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board (LARWQBC) through the City's Stormwater Management Division. Therefore, the proposed project would not result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil, and impacts would be less than significant.	
f.	NO IMPACT	According to ZIMAS, the project site at18260 West Sherman Way is not located within an unstable soil area, landslide, or liquefaction area, and is not expected to cause or accelerate any geological hazards. No impact will result.	
g.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The project site is not located in an area known to have expansive soils. Impacts will be less than significant.	
h.	NO IMPACT	No septic tanks or alternative waste disposal systems are proposed. The project will be served by the City's sewer system. No impact will result.	
VII.	GREEN HOUSE GAS EMISSIONS		
a.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	Through required implementation of the LA Green Building Code, the proposed project would be consistent with local and statewide goals and polices aimed at reducing the generation of GHGs. Therefore, the proposed project's generation of GHG emissions would not make a cumulatively considerable contribution to emissions and impacts would be less than significant.	
b.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATE	The project would provide infill residential and commercial development proximate to a major transportation corridor (Sherman Way) and would not interfere with SCAG's ability to implement the regional strategies outlined in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS. The proposed project, therefore, would be consistent with statewide, regional and local goals and policies aimed at reducing GHG emissions. Impacts are less than significant.	

ENV-2016-1028-MND Page 25 of 41

	Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
a.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	Construction activities have the potential to result in the release, emission, handling, and disposal of hazardous materials. The proposed project would provide for a mixed-use, infill development that consists of residential and retail uses. These types of uses would be expected to use and store very small amounts of hazardous materials, (ie. cleaners, solvents, etc). Nevertheless, all hazardous materials within the project site would be acquired, handled, used, stored, transported, and disposed of in accordance with all applicable federal, State, and local requirements to reduce impacts to less than significant.	
b.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the proposed project created a significant hazard to the public or environment due to a reasonably foreseeable release of hazardous materials. The removal of asbestos is regulated by SCAQMD Rule 1403; therefore, any asbestos found on-site would be required to be removed by a certified asbestos containment contractor in accordance with applicable regulations prior to demolition. Similarly, it is likely that lead-based paint is present in buildings constructed prior to 1979. Compliance with existing State laws regarding removal would be required. Therefore, project impacts would be less than significant.	
C.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	A project-related significant adverse effect may occur if the project is located within 0.25-mile (1,325 feet) of an existing or proposed school site, and is projected to release toxic emissions, which would pose a health hazard beyond regulatory thresholds. The nearest school is: Magnolia Science Academy. However, the Project will have a less than significant impact during construction (with regulatory compliance measures for asbestos, lead-based paint, PCBs) and will not emit any hazardous substances during operation. The school would still be generally shielded from the Project Site by the distance and intervening commercial buildings between the school and the Site. Therefore, impacts of hazardous materials within one-quarter mile of a school will be less than significant.	

ENV-2016-1028-MND Page 26 of 41

	Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
			
d.	NO IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the project site is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and would create a significant hazard to the public or the environment. The California Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) maintains a database (EnviroStor) that provides access to detailed information on hazardous waste permitted sites and corrective action facilities, as well as existing site cleanup information. EnviroStor also provides information on investigation, cleanup, permitting, and/or corrective actions that are planned, being conducted, or have been completed under DTSC's oversight. A review of EnviroStor did not identify any records of hazardous waste facilities on the project site. Therefore, no impact would occur.	
e.	NO IMPACT	The project site is not located within an airport land use plan, nor is it within two miles of a public or public use airport. Therefore, no impact will result.	
f.	NO IMPACT	The project site is not located within an airport land use plan, nor is it within two miles of a private air strip. Therefore, no impact will result.	
g.	NO IMPACT	The proposed project would not require the closure of any public or private streets and would not impede emergency vehicle access to the project site or surrounding area. Additionally, emergency access to and from the project site would be provided in accordance with requirements of the Los Angeles Fire Department (LAFD). Therefore, the proposed project would not impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan, and no impact would occur.	
h.	NO IMPACT	The proposed project is not located within a Very High Fire Hazard Severity Zone, and is in a developed urbanized area that is not subject to wildland fires. No impact will result.	
IX. F	IYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY		
a.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The proposed project is a for a mixed-use development with 115 residential units and 10,485 square feet of commercial space. As is typical of most non-industrial urban development, stormwater runoff from the proposed project has the	

ENV-2016-1028-MND Page 27 of 41

			Mitigation
	Impact?	Explanation	Measures
		potential to introduce small amounts of pollutants into the stormwater system. Pollutants would be associated with runoff from landscaped areas (pesticides and fertilizers) and paved surfaces (ordinary household cleaners). Thus, the proposed project would be required to comply with the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) standards and the City's Stormwater and Urban Runoff Pollution Control regulations (Ordinance No. 172,176 and No. 173,494) to ensure pollutant loads from the project site are minimized for downstream receiving waters. The Stormwater and Urban Runoff Pollution Control Ordinances contain requirements for construction activities and operation of development and redevelopment projects to integrate low impact development projects to integrate low impact development projects to integrate low impact developments consistent with the City's landscape ordinance and other related requirements in the City's Development Best Management Practices (BMPs) Handbook. Conformance would be ensured during the City's building plan review and approval process. Therefore, the proposed project would result in less-than-significant impacts and would not violate water quality standards, waste discharge requirements, or stormwater NPDES permits or otherwise substantially degrade water quality.	
b.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The proposed project would not require the use of groundwater at the project site. Potable water would be supplied by the Los Angeles Department of Water and Power (LADWP). Therefore, the project would not require direct additions or withdrawals of groundwater. Excavation to accommodate subterranean levels is not proposed at a depth that would result in the interception of existing aquifers or penetration of the existing water table. Therefore, project development would not impact groundwater supplies or groundwater recharge, and no impact would occur.	

	Impact:	Explanation	IviedSureS
C.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The site is within an urbanized area and no natural watercourses are located on-site nor in the vicinity. Runoff from the site and surrounding area is removed by way of street flows and storm drains and will comply with L.A.M.C. Section 64.70. Impacts will be less than significant.	
d.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	No streams or rivers are contained on-site and as such the development will not alter the existing drainage patterns. Further, the project will comply with L.A.M.C. Section 64.70 to ensure that surface runoff will not result in flooding. Impacts will be less than significant.	
e.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	Site-generated surface water runoff would continue to flow to the City's storm drain system. Impermeable surfaces resulting from the development of the project would not significantly change the volume of stormwater runoff. Accordingly, since the volume of runoff from the site would not measurably increase over existing conditions, water runoff after development would not exceed the capacity of existing or planned drainage systems. Any project that creates, adds, or replaces 500 square feet of impervious surface must comply with the Low impact Development (LID) Ordinance or alternatively, the City's Standard Urban Stormwater Mitigation Plan (SUSMP), as an LAMC requirement to address water runoff and storm water pollution. Therefore, the proposed project would result in less-than-significant impacts related to existing storm drain capacities or water quality.	
f.	NO IMPACT	The proposed project does not include potential sources of contaminants, which could potentially degrade water quality and would comply with all federal, state and local regulations governing stormwater discharge. Therefore, no impact would occur.	
g.	NO IMPACT	The proposed project is not located within a 100-year flood hazard area. Therefore no impact will result.	
h.	NO IMPACT	The proposed project is not located within a 100-year flood hazard area. Therefore no impact will result.	
i.	NO IMPACT	The project site is not located within a potential dam inundation zone. No impact will result.	

Explanation

Impact?

Mitigation

Measures

ENV-2016-1028-MND Page 29 of 41

	Impact?	Explanation	Measures
j.	NO IMPACT	The project site is not located in an inundation zone or area subject to seiches, tsumanis, or mudflow. No impact will result.	
X. L	AND USE AND PLANNING		
a.	NO IMPACT	A significant impact may occur if a project were sufficiently large enough or otherwise configured in such a way as to create a physical barrier within an established community. A typical example would be a project that involved a continuous right-of-way such as a roadway, which would divide a community and impede access between parts of the community. The Project Site is comprised of a portion of one City block surrounded by existing boundaries (roads and alley). The Project is not of a scale or nature that could physically divide an established community. The Project is not affecting any right-of-ways. The Project would be built on an existing urban infill site currently improved with structures and paved parking. As such, no impact related to physical division of an established community will occur.	
b.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	A significant impact may occur if a project is inconsistent with the General Plan or zoning designations currently applicable to the project site, and would cause adverse environmental effects, which the General Plan and zoning ordinance are designed to avoid or mitigate. The site is located within the Reseda-West Van Nuys Community Plan Area. The site is zoned [Q]C2-1L-CDO and [Q]P-1L-CDO, with a General Plan land use designation of Community Commercial. The proposed project would be comprised of 115 multi-family residential units and ground floor commercial space. The project is also requesting a zone change from C2 and P to RAS4. Residential uses are permitted in RAS4 zoned lots with a development density of 400 square feet per dwelling unit. The proposed project would conform to the allowable land uses pursuant to the Los Angeles Municipal Code, and will be in compliance with the RAS4 Zone development standards of the Zoning Code, with the exception of minor yard deviations. Impacts related to land use have been mitigated elsewhere, or are addressed through compliance with existing regulations. Therefore, impact would be less than significant.	

	Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
c.	NO IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the proposed project were located within an area governed by a habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan. The project site is not subject to any habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan. Therefore, no impact would occur.	
XI. I	MINERAL RESOURCES		
a.	NO IMPACT	MRZ-2 sites are identified in two community plan elements of the city's general plan, the Sun Valley and the Sunland-Tujunga-Lake View Terrace-Shadow Hills-East La Tuna Canyon community plans. Neither the project site nor the surrounding area is identified as an area containing mineral deposits of regional or statewide significance. Therefore, no impact to known mineral deposits would occur.	
b.	NO IMPACT	The project site is not delineated as a locally important mineral resource recovery site on any City plans. Furthermore, the project site is surrounded by dense urban uses and residential uses. Thus, the Project Site would not be an adequate candidate for mineral extraction. Therefore, no impacts to loss of availability of a locally important mineral resource will occur.	
XII.	NOISE		
a.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT WITH MITIGATION INCORPORATED	The City of Los Angeles has established policies and regulations concerning the generation and control of noise that could adversely affect its citizens and noise-sensitive land uses. Construction activity would result in temporary increases in ambient noise levels in the project area on an intermittent basis. Noise levels would fluctuate depending on the construction phase, equipment type and duration of use, distance between the noise source and receptor, and presence or absence of noise attenuation barriers. During construction of the proposed project, the applicant will be required to comply with the City's Noise Ordinance No. 161,574, which prohibits the emission or creation of noise beyond certain levels at adjacent uses unless technically infeasible, and will also be required to comply with construction noise mitigation	XII-20

ENV-2016-1028-MND Page 31 of 41

			Mitigation
	Impact?	Explanation	Measures
		measures including limiting construction activities to specific times and days (i.e., 7:00AM to 6:00PM Monday through Friday, and 8:00AM to 6:00PM on Saturday, with no work on Sunday) and implementing specific construction equipment operations requirements, which will reduce impacts to a less than significant level. Therefore, with required mitigation measures, impacts would be less than significant.	
b.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The City of Los Angeles does not address vibration in the LAMC or in the Noise Element of the General Plan. According to the Federal Transit Administration (FTA), ground vibrations from construction activities very rarely reach the level capable of damaging structures. The construction activities that typically generate the most severe vibrations are blasting and impact pile driving. These types of activities are not proposed by the project. The FTA has published standard vibration velocities for various construction equipment operations. The estimated vibration velocity levels from construction equipment would be well below the significance thresholds. Therefore, project impacts would be less than significant.	
c.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the project caused a substantial permanent increase in noise levels above existing ambient levels. New stationary sources of noise, such as rooftop mechanical HVAC equipment, would be installed on the proposed development. The design of the equipment will be required to comply with LAMC Section 112.02, which prohibits noise from air conditioning, refrigeration, heating, pumping, and filtering equipment from exceeding the ambient noise level on the premises of any other occupied properties by more than 5 dBA. Therefore, project impacts would be less than significant.	
d.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT WITH MITIGATION INCORPORATED	During the construction phase, the project may create a substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity. Noise from recurrent activities (e.g., conversation, amplified music, parking) or non-recurrent activities (e.g., parties) would elevate ambient noise levels to differing degrees. The	XII-40, XII-50, XII-60

		Mitigation
Impact?	Explanation	Measures
	City's noise ordinance would also provide a means to address nuisances related to restaurant or retail noise. The proposed Mitigation Measures will reduce the impacts to a less than significant level.	
LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The project is not located within two miles of a public airport or public use airport. No impact will result.	
LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The project is not located within two miles of a private airstrip. No impact will result.	
. POPULATION AND HOUSING		
LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	A potentially significant impact would occur if the proposed project would induce substantial population growth that would not have otherwise occurred as rapidly or in as great a magnitude. The proposed project would result in the development of 115 residential units, which would not be considered a substantial increase in population. The project will accommodate residential population growth in keeping with the Reseda-West Van Nuys Community Plan land use and density designations, and would not substantially induce population growth in the project area, either directly or indirectly. The physical secondary or indirect impacts of population growth such as increased traffic or noise have been adequately mitigated in other portions of this document. Therefore, the impact would be less than significant.	
NO IMPACT	A significant impact may occur if a project would result in the displacement of existing housing units, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere. The Project Site does not contain any housing. The Project does not represent a displacement of substantial numbers of existing housing. The project will result in the net addition of 115 residential units. Therefore, no impact will occur.	
NO IMPACT PUBLIC SERVICES	A significant impact may occur if a project would result in the displacement of existing housing units, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere. The project site does not contain any housing. The Project does not represent a displacement of substantial numbers of existing housing. Therefore, no impact will occur.	
	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT POPULATION AND HOUSING LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT NO IMPACT	City's noise ordinance would also provide a means to address nuisances related to restaurant or retail noise. The proposed Mitigation Measures will reduce the impacts to a less than significant level. LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT The project is not located within two miles of a public airport or public use airport. No impact will result. POPULATION AND HOUSING LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT A potentially significant impact would occur if the proposed project would induce substantial population growth that would not have otherwise occurred as rapidly or in as great a magnitude. The proposed project would induce substantial increase in population. The proposed project would occur if the proposed project would induce substantial increase in population. The proposed project would result in the development of 115 residential units, which would not be considered a substantial increase in population. The project will accommodate residential population growth in keeping with the Reseda-West Van Nuys Community Plan land use and density designations, and would not substantially induce population growth in the project area, either directly or indirectly. The physical secondary or indirectly. The physical secondary or indirectly measure of population growth in the displacement of existing housing units, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere. The Project Site does not contain any housing. The Project does not represent a displacement of substantial numbers of existing housing. The project would result in the displacement of existing housing units, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere. The project site does not impact will occur. A significant impact may occur if a project would result in the displacement of existing housing units, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere. The project side does not contain any housing. The Project does not represent a displacement of substantial numbers of existing housing. The Project does not represent a displa

ENV-2016-1028-MND Page 33 of 41

	Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
	•		
a.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT WITH MITIGATION INCORPORATED	The proposed mixed-use development is served by Fire Station No. 73. The project will comply with all applicable City fire safety regulations. fire hydrant locations will be analyzed at the plan check phase. To ensure that fire protection services are adequate within the proposed buildings and around the project site, The referenced mitigation measure would reduce potential impacts on fire protection services to a less than significant level. This measure will allow the LAFD to ensure that the project will not increase demand on the fire department to the extent that a new or expanded facility is needed, the construction of which may cause a significant impact on the environment.	XIV-10
b.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT WITH MITIGATION INCORPORATED	A significant impact would occur if the Los Angeles Police Department (LAPD) could not adequately serve the proposed project, necessitating a new or physically altered station. The project site and the surrounding area are currently served by LAPD's Valley Bureau, West Valley Station (Reporting District 1025). The proposed project would result in a temporary construction area being located on-site, and a net increase of 115 residential units, potentially increasing demand for police services. However, mitigation measures requiring temporary construction fencing along the project site, and LAPD review and approval of the project plans prior to issuance of building permits to ensure that the design of the project follows the LAPD's Design Out Crime Program (an initiative that introduces the techniques of Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED) to all City departments beyond the LAPD) would reduce project impacts to less-than-significant levels.	XIV-20, XIV-30
c.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT WITH MITIGATION INCORPORATED	A significant impact would occur if the proposed project would include substantial employment or population growth, which could generate a demand for school facilities that would exceed the capacity of the school district. The proposed project would result in a net increase of 115 units, which could increase enrollment at	XIV-40, XIV-50

	Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
	impact:	Explanation	ineasures
		schools that service the area. However, development of the proposed project would be subject to California Government Code Section 65995, which would allow LAUSD to collect impact fees from developers of new residential units. Conformance to California Government Code Section 65995 is deemed to provide full and complete mitigation of impacts to school facilities. Environmental impacts may also result from project implementation proximate to schools located within 2,000 feet of the project site. However, with mitigation, including the requirement for coordination with school administrators regarding the timing of planned demolition, grading, and construction activities relative to school schedules, impacts would be less than significant. Therefore, the proposed project would result in a less-than-significant impact to public	
d.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the proposed project would exceed the capacity or capability of the local park system to serve the proposed project. The City of Los Angeles Department of Recreation and Parks (RAP) is responsible for the provision, maintenance, and operation of public recreational and park facilities and services in the City. The proposed project would result in a increase of 115 units, which could result in increased demand for parks and recreation facilities. The proposed project would include common open space and private balcony space. These project features would reduce the demand for park space created by the proposed project to less than significant levels. Nevertheless, payment of required impact fees by the proposed residential development per LAMC Section 17.12 would further offset some of the increased demand by helping fund new facilities, as well as the expansion of existing facilities. Therefore, the project would not create capacity or service level problems, or result in substantial physical impacts associated with the provision or new or altered parks facilities, and project impacts would be less than significant.	

ENV-2016-1028-MND Page 35 of 41

	Impact?	Explanation	Measures
e.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The proposed project would result in a net increase of 115 residential units, which could result in increased demand for library services and resources of the LAPL System. While the increase in population as a result of the proposed project may create a demand for library services, the proposed project would not create substantial capacity or service level problems that would require the provision of new or physically altered library facilities in order to maintain an acceptable level of service for libraries. Therefore, the proposed project would result in a less than significant impact on library services.	
XV.	RECREATION		
а.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The provision of private recreation space and the payment of required impact fees by the proposed mixed-use development per LAMC Section 17.12 would further offset some of the increased demand for recreational facilities by helping fund new facilities, as well as the expansion of existing facilities. Therefore, the project would not create capacity or service level problems, or result in substantial physical impacts associated with the provision or new or altered parks facilities, and project impacts would be less than significant.	
b.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	Although the proposed project would place some additional demands on park facilities, the increase in demand would be met through a combination of on-site amenities and existing parks in the project area. The project's increased demands upon recreational facilities would not in and of itself result in the construction of a new park, which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment. Therefore, project impacts would be less than significant.	
XVI.	TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC		
a.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT WITH MITIGATION INCORPORATED	According to the DOT Case No. 42749 dated May 17, 2016, the proposed mixed-use development will not conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance, or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system. The project will result in an increase of 1,066 daily trips (66 trips during the a.m. peak and 90 trips during the p.m. peak). The analysis traffic study concluded that one of the study	XVI-80

	Impact?	Explanation	Measures
		intersections would be significantly impacted by project related traffic (Sherman Way and Reseda Boulevard). The referenced mitigation measures shall apply to reduce transportation related impacts to a less than significant level.	
b.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	According to the DOT Case No. 42749 dated May 17, 2016, the proposed mixed-use development will not conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance, or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system. The project will result in an increase of 1,066 daily trips (66 trips during the a.m. peak and 90 trips during the p.m. peak). The analysis traffic study concluded that one of the study intersections would be significantly impacted by project related traffic (Sherman Way and Reseda Boulevard). The referenced mitigation measures shall apply to reduce transportation related impacts to a less than significant level.	
C.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The proposed mixed-use project is located approximately 2.4 miles from Van Nuys Airport. The project will not in any way affect air traffic patterns in the area. No impact will occur.	
d.		A significant impact would occur if the proposed project would substantially increase an existing hazardous design feature or introduced incompatible uses to the existing traffic pattern. The proposed project will include an expanded area of vehicular access from the alley, which, if not properly designed and constructed, could potentially conflict with pedestrian circulation in the project area. Furthermore, the project may have potentially significant impacts on pedestrians on the street during construction phases. With implementation of the referenced mitigation measure, the potential impacts related to hazards due to a design feature would be reduced to less-than-significant.	XVI-30, XVI-80
e.		A significant impact would occur if the proposed project would result in inadequate emergency access. The project does not propose any changes to emergency access, and will require approval of plans by the Fire Department. Further, the project must comply with all	

ENV-2016-1028-MND Page 37 of 41

	Impact?	Explanation	Measures
		applicable City fire safety regulations. No impact will occur.	
f.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	A significant impact may occur if the proposed project would conflict with adopted policies or involve modification of existing alternative transportation facilities located on or offsite. The proposed project would not require the disruption of public transportation services or the alteration of public transportation routes. Furthermore, the proposed project would not interfere with any Class I or Class II bikeway systems. Since the proposed project would not modify or conflict with any alternative transportation policies, plans or programs, impacts would be less than significant.	
XVII	. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEM	3	
a.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the proposed project would exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board (LARWQCB). It is important to consider the existing and anticipated wastewater generation of the project (i.e., a net increase of 115 units) in relation to current average daily flows experienced at Hyperion Treatment Plant (HTP), as well as in proportion to remaining capacity of the system. The HTP experiences an average daily flow of 362 million gallons per day (mgd), below a capacity of 450 mgd. As a proportion of total average daily flow experienced by the HTP, the wastewater generation of the proposed project would account for a small percentage of average daily wastewater flow. This increase in wastewater flow would not jeopardize the HTP to operate within its established wastewater treatment requirements. Furthermore, all wastewater from the project would be treated according to requirements of the NPDES permit authorized by the LARWQCB. Therefore, the proposed project would result in a less-than-significant impact related to wastewater treatment requirements.	
b.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the proposed project would increase water consumption or wastewater generation to such a degree that the capacity of facilities currently serving the project site would be exceeded. The Los Angeles Department of Water and Power (LADWP) conducts water planning based	

ENV-2016-1028-MND Page 38 of 41

	Impact?	Explanation	Measures
	Impact?	on forecast population growth. Accordingly, the increase in residential population resulting from the proposed project would not be considered substantial in consideration of anticipated growth. The net increase of 115 residential units resulting from implementation of the proposed project would be consistent with Citywide growth, and, therefore, the project demand for water is not anticipated to require new water supply entitlements and/or require the expansion of existing or construction of new water treatment facilities beyond those already considered in the LADWP 2010 Urban Water Management Plan. Thus, it is anticipated that the proposed project would not create any water system capacity issues, and there would be sufficient reliable water supplies available to meet project demands. Prior to any construction activities, the project applicant would be required to coordinate with the City of Los Angeles Bureau of Sanitation (BOS) to determine the exact wastewater conveyance requirements of the proposed project, and any upgrades to the wastewater lines in the vicinity of the project site that are needed to adequately serve the proposed project would be undertaken as part of the project. Therefore, the proposed project would have a less-than-significant impact related to water or wastewater infrastructure.	Measures
c.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The project may have new impacts on drainage by covering a significant portion of the site with structures and paved parking lots and driveways, etc. However, the project will be required to comply with L.A.M.C. Section 6470, which will reduce any impacts to a less than significant level.	
d.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	A significant impact may occur if a project were to increase water consumption to such a degree that new water sources would need to be identified, or that existing resources would be consumed at a pace greater than planned for by purveyors, distributors, and service providers. The City's water supply comes from local groundwater sources, the Los Angeles-Owens River Aqueduct, State Water Project, and from the Metropolitan Water District of Southern California, which is obtained from the Colorado River	

ENV-2016-1028-MND Page 39 of 41

	Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
		Aqueduct. These sources, along with recycled water, are expected to supply the City's water needs in the years to come. The Project shall comply with the City of Los Angeles Low Impact Development Ordinance (City Ordinance No. 181,899) and to implement Best Management Practices that have stormwater recharge or reuse benefits for the Project. Impacts will be less than significant.	
е.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	A significant impact may occur if a project would increase wastewater generation to such a degree that the capacity of facilities currently serving the Project Site would be exceeded. The Project's wastewater would be sufficiently accommodated as part of the remaining 88 mgd or 80 percent of treatment capacity currently available at the Hyperion Water Treatment Plant (HTP). Also, the HTP has sufficient capacity for the Project's flow. Therefore, impacts to wastewater treatment would be less than significant.	
f.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	During the demolition, construction, and operational phases, the project has the potential to have an impact on landfills. However, the project will be required to comply with current regulations required by the Department of Building and Safety (LAMC Section 99.04.408.1) and the Bureau of Sanitation (LAMC Section 66.32) which requires the recycling and proper disposal of solid waste. Impacts will be less than significant.	
g.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The project will be required to comply with current regulations required by the Department of Building and Safety (LAMC Section 99.04.408.1) and the Bureau of Sanitation (LAMC Section 66.32) which requires the recycling and proper disposal of solid waste and will ensure that the project complies with federal, state, and local regulations as it relates to solid waste. Impacts will be less than significant.	
XVI	II. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNI	FICANCE	
a.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The proposed project does not have the potential to significantly degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of fish or wildlife species, or threaten to eliminate a plant animal community. The project is located in a developed, urbanized area and will	

	Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
		not disrupt or hinder any known habitats. Impacts will be less than significant.	
b.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	A significant impact may occur if the proposed project, in conjunction with the related projects, would result in impacts that are less than significant when viewed separately but significant when viewed together. Although projects may be constructed in the project vicinity, the cumulative impacts to which the proposed project would contribute would be less than significant. In addition, all potential impacts of the proposed project would be reduced to less than significant levels with implementation of the mitigation measure provided in the previous sections. None of these potential impacts are considered cumulatively considerable, and implementation of the mitigation measures identified will ensure that no cumulative impacts will occur as a result of the proposed project.	
C.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	A significant impact may occur if the proposed project has the potential to result in significant impacts, as discussed in the preceding sections. All potential impacts of the proposed project have been identified, and mitigation measures have been prescribed, where applicable, to reduce all potential impacts to less-than-significant levels. Upon implementation of the mitigation measure identified, the proposed project would not have the potential to result in substantial adverse impacts on human beings either directly or indirectly	

ENV-2016-1028-MND Page 41 of 41