DATE

1R-201 - 24 1

CITY CLERK'S USE

CITY OF LOS ANGELES

OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK
200 NORTH SPRING STREET, ROOM 360
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012

CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT

NOTICE OF EXEMPTION

(California Environmental Quality Act Section 15062)

Filing of this form is optional. If filed, the form shall be filed with the County Clerk, 12400 E. Imperial Highway, Norwalk, CA 90650, pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21152 (b). Pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21167 (d), the filing of this notice starts a 35-day statute of limitations on court challenges to the approval of the project. Failure to file this notice with the County Clerk results in the statute of limitations being extended to 180 days.

starts a 35-day statute of limitations on court chall results in the statute of limitations being extended		of the project. I	Failure to file this notic	e with the County Clerk
LEAD CITY AGENCY City of Los Angeles Department of City				COUNCIL DISTRICT 13
			LOG REFE ENV-2017-	
PROJECT LOCATION Ω 1118 N. Heliotrope Dr.				
DESCRIPTION OF NATURE, PURPOSE, AND BE Ω Demo an existing single family dwelling to consti				
NAME OF PERSON OR AGENCY CARRYING OUR RDCS Inc.	JT PROJECT, IF OTHE	ER THAN LEAD	CITY AGENCY:	
CONTACT PERSON Ω Lucio Rivera	ARE/ Ω (32		LEPHONE NUMBER 246-4815	EXT.
EXEMPT STATUS: (Check One)				
	STATE CEQA GUID	ELINES	CITY CEQA	GUIDELINES
9 MINISTERIAL	Sec. 15268		Art. II,	Sec. 2b
9 DECLARED EMERGENCY	Sec. 15269		Art. II,	Sec. 2a (1)
9 EMERGENCY PROJECT	Sec. 15269 (b	Sec. 15269 (b) & (c) Art. II,		Sec. 2a (2) & (3)
Y CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION	Sec. 15300 ea	t seq.	Art. III	, Sec. 1
Class3 Category_	(City CE	QA Guidelines)	1	
9 OTHER (See Public Resources Coo	de Sec. 21080 (b) and	set forth state a	nd City guideline provi	sion.
JUSTIFICATION FOR PROJECT EXEMPTION: A units or not in conjunction with the building of trapartments, duplexes, and similar structures desired building of two or more such units. See Letter of desired.	wo or more such stru- gned for not more that	ctures. In urbain six dwelling u	nized areas, the exen	nption applies to single
IF FILED BY APPLICANT, ATTACH CERTIFIED IN THE DEPARTMENT HAS FOUND THE PROJECT	DOCUMENT ISSUED			
SIGNATURE huld 2 Mm ttl	Planning A	SS. Stunt EC'D. BY	DAT	E 10/12/17
FEE: ***********************************		EC'D. BY esse Ramos	DAT 6/21	E /2017
DISTRIBUTION: (1) County Clerk, (2) City Clerk, (Rev. 11-1-03 Rev. 1-31-06 Word	(3) Agency Record			·
IF FILED BY THE APPLICANT:				
Ω NAME (PRINTED)	<u>:</u> S	<u>)</u> BIGNATURE		

DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING

CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

DAVID H. J. AMBROZ

RENEE DAKE WILSON

CAROLINE CHOE
VAHID KHORSAND
JOHN W. MACK
SAMANTHA MILLMAN
MARC MITCHELL
VERONICA PADILLA-CAMPOS
DANA M. PERLMAN

ROCKY WILES COMMISSION OFFICE MANAGER (213) 978-1300

City of Los Angeles

CALIFORNIA



ERIC GARCETTI

EXECUTIVE OFFICES 200 N. SPRING STREET, ROOM 52

200 N. SPRING STREET, ROOM 525 LOS ANGELES, CA 90012-4801

VINCENT P. BERTONI, AICP DIRECTOR (213) 978-1271

KEVIN J. KELLER, AICP EXECUTIVE OFFICER (213) 978-1272

LISA M. WEBBER, AICP DEPUTY DIRECTOR (213) 978-1274

http://planning.lacity.org

JUSTIFICATION FOR PROJECT EXEMPTION CASE NO. ENV-2017-2482-CE

On October 12th, 2017, the Planning Department determined that the City of Los Angeles Guidelines for the implementation of the California Environmental Quality Act of 1970 and the State CEQA Guidelines designate the subject project as Categorically Exempt under Article III, Section 1, Class 3, Category 2 (multi-family residential structure with no more than four units) Case No. ENV-2017-2481-CE.

There are six (6) Exceptions which the City is required to consider before finding a project exempt under Class 15303 and 15332: (a) Location; (b) Cumulative Impacts; (c) Significant Effect; (d) Scenic Highways; (e) Hazardous Waste Sites; and (f) Historical Resources.

While the subject site is located within an Active Fault Near-Source Zone, specific Regulatory Compliance Measures (RCMs) in the City of Los Angeles regulate the grading and construction of projects in these particular types of "sensitive" locations and will reduce any potential impacts to less than significant. Regulatory Compliance Measures (RCMs) include conforming to seismic standards and complying with the Southern California Air Quality Management District provisions. These RCMs have been historically proven to work to the satisfaction of the City Engineer to reduce any impacts from the specific environment the project is located. Furthermore, the project does not exceed the threshold criteria established by LADOT for preparing a traffic study. Therefore, the project will not have any significant impacts to traffic. Thus, the location of the project will not result in a significant impact based on its location.

There is not a succession of known projects of the same type and in the same place as the subject project. As mentioned, the project proposes the demolition of an existing one-story, 1,969 square foot, single-family dwelling and the construction two (2), three-story duplexes in an area zoned and designated for such development. All nearby lots are developed with one and two story single family homes, and the subject site is of a similar size to nearby properties. The project proposes a Floor Area Ratio (FAR) of 0.84 on a site that is permitted to have a maximum FAR of 3.0. The project size is not unusual for the vicinity of the subject site, and is similar in scope to other existing Low Medium II Residential uses in the area. Thus, there are no unusual circumstances which may lead to a significant effect on the environment. According to Appendix B of the City of Los Angeles Mobility Plan, the subject site is not designated as a scenic highway, nor are there any designated scenic highways located near the project site. According to Envirostor, the State of California's database of Hazardous Waste Sites, neither the subject site, nor any site in the vicinity, is identified as a hazardous waste site. Furthermore, the project site has not been identified as a historic resource by local or state agencies, and the project site has not been determined to be eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places, California Register of Historical Resources, the Los Angeles Historic-Cultural Monuments Register, and/or any local register, nor has the site been found to be a potential historic

resource based on the City's HistoricPlacesLA website or SurveyLA, the citywide survey of Los Angeles. Finally, the City does not choose to treat the site as a historic resource.				