

Deny 1848 Gramercy Place Historical Nomination

Avis Bates <avisbates@yahoo.com>

Sep 29, 2018 9:24 AM

Posted in group: **Clerk-PLUM-Committee**

Dear Commissioners and City Staff,

I previously gave my objection to 1848 S Gramercy Place being considered for historic designation. The Cultural Heritage Commission received an email from me on June 25th, 2018 noting that there are several other occurrences of this same style home in very close proximity, meaning we don't have to save every single old building in this neighborhood based on age alone. My letter also mentions the exclusionary economic practices of this area at the time of construction. "Preserving this property in this fashion is preserving the idea that rich neighbors can still exclude people they feel are beneath them, or different than them, just because they have an emotional reaction."

Nothing on the interior, exterior, nor experience wise has happened at this home to warrant historic preservation.

Please deny this puzzling nomination.

Thank you,

Avis Bates
1672 North Western Ave
Los Angeles, CA 90027

RE:1848 S. Gramercy Place

Javi M <javi.m31@yahoo.com>

Sep 29, 2018 10:05 AM

Posted in group: **Clerk-PLUM-Committee**

Dear PLUM Commissioners,

I attended the CHC Hearing regarding the nomination of 1848 S Gramercy Place as a property worthy of Historic-Cultural Monument Status. I was happy with unanimous vote to deny it as I had sent is a letter opposed to the nomination. Imagine my surprise to learn that the case is now being heard by PLUM. Didn't the commissioners who are in charge of preservation denying it means anything?

In my letter to the CHC, one of the reasons I gave to deny this nomination was it feels like an attempt by already wealthy neighbors to preserve properties they can't control to get enough homes locally to qualify as an HPOZ and increase their home values. Just one guy's opinion.

Please continue to deny. Thank you.

Javier Mulero

1022 North Vista Street

West Hollywood CA 90069

Please Deny Council File: 18-0330

Ruthie Myers <myer0159@gmail.com>

Sep 29, 2018 9:33 PM

Posted in group: **Clerk-PLUM-Committee**

Dear PLUM Staff,

I am writing in opposition to the HCM for 1848 Gramercy Place. I considered the report, reviewed the site, and looked up the property, went out to speak against and sent a letter to the Cultural Heritage Commission. I was very happy when they unanimously decided against deeming this location historic. I hope that you will do the same.

The nomination appears to be made at the request of neighborhood residents. These residents did not appreciate the aesthetic of the building until progress was initiated. At that point, the building was being considered despite SurveyLA already rejecting this building.

The building itself is insignificant, run down, and nothing more than an opportunity to do something relevant. Whatever the plan for the site is, I will be excited to see LA embrace progress and move forward as this building has been deteriorating it seems for decades. I don't like this word, but it's ugly.

When I looked it up, on Yelp it appeared as a sober living facility. I called the number and hung up after it asked me for insurance information. There was nothing else I saw online except for the price of the house on various real estate sites.

I did not come across anything historically significant for this project and encourage you to reject this nomination.

Respectfully,

Ruth Myers
(952) 200-0944