

Gloria Pinon <gloria.pinon@lacity.org>

## THE COUNCIL FILE NUMBER (18-0392)

1 message

Brian Han <brian@blackgarlic.com> Reply-To: brian@blackgarlic.com To: cityclerk@lacity.org Tue, May 22, 2018 at 7:44 AM

This letter is to indicate that I do not support the proposed temporary homeless shelter in Koreatown, located at 682 S. Vermont Avenue (CF No. 18-0932). As a resident of this community, I was not given an opportunity to speak out my

opinion or voice in this important matter that directly affects my community. Why was my right to due process ignored?

The reasons given by your administration as to why this Koreatown parking lot was the best possible location is vague and does not show how you came to this decision.

Sincerely,

Ki Han



Gloria Pinon <gloria.pinon@lacity.org>

## Lincoln Heights Hardship of City of Los Angeles plans to remove 5 Public Parking lots for Affordable Housing and HHH Homeless Housing

1 message

'Valerie Switzer' via Clerk - CityClerk <cityclerk@lacity.org> Reply-To: vswitzersee@aol.com To: cityclerk@lacity.org Cc: vswitzersee@aol.com Tue, May 22, 2018 at 10:30 AM

SUBJECT: LETTER OF CONCERN - City of Los Angeles Gil Cedillo plans to remove 5 Public Parking Lots in Lincoln Heights for HHH Homeless and Affordable Housing leaving the entire community with no public parking in the corridor of Lincoln Heights.

May 22, 2018

The Honorable Herb J. Wesson, Jr. President, Los Angeles City Council Councilmember, 10th District 200 N. Spring Street, Room 430 Los Angeles, CA 90012

Dear Council President Wesson:

This letter is to indicate that I do not support the proposed homeless temporary or permanent affordable housing in Lincoln Heights, located at Daly St, 3 on Workman St and on Ave 24.

As a resident of this community, I was not given an opportunity to express my opinion nor voice in this important matter that directly affects my community. Why was my right to due process ignored here and throughout the City if Los Angeles? The reasons given by your administration as to why 5 Lincoln Heights parking lots was the best possible location is vague and does not show how you came to this decision, especially when removing 5 much needed public parking lots is going to cause a hugh storage of much needed public parking for the entire community.

The new housing should benefit the entire community not cause a public parking hardship, especially when parking is limited. I am against developing at these public parking locations. It was stated by Cedillo that are public parking lots would be replaced, but there is no convenient place near the businesses to replace the lots.

This had now opened up the opportunity for loan sharks and Investors like Michael Banner to find an opportunity to invest in creating a solution to a parking concern that today we do not have, instead of finding a more appropriate place to build housing that Will Not affect and disable the entire community public parking we already have today. It would be in the best interest of the community to build housing where the City would build our replacement public parking, as that would not affect our entire community to the close public parking lots we have had all these years. I also am against all additionally selected homeless supportive locations in my City without public community input. This process has not been transparent and is infringing on my rights as a resident of this city.

Sincerely, Valerie Switzer vswitzersee@aol.com