TO: PLUM Committee, Los Angeles City Council

RE: CF 18-0412

RE: for City Planning: ENV-2017-1504-SCEA

The PLUM Committee should REJECT any deliberation on this project until the serious defects of the May 16 Public Hearing are corrected. There is precedent for such action on this project. Recall that the Notice for Public Comment had to be re-issued because the address for the property was wrong. The wrong information for the Public Hearing is much worse.

The name of Thomas Safran and Associates (TSA), who acted during the Hearing as the in-charge entity for the project, was NOT on the Public Hearing Notice. Instead, "Montecito Apartment Housing, LP" was listed as the Applicant.

So, how is the public to know who is responsible for this project? How is the PLUM Committee to know? What is the reputation of "Montecito Apartment Housing, LP"?

Perhaps the PLUM Committee could REJECT the proposal of the "Montecito Apartment Housing, LP" and do a favor to the entire community. If TSA still wants to pursue the folly of this project, a danger for the 120 aged residents squeezed for 2 years of construction, let the Public Hearing be circularized again, this time using the TSA name and reputation.

6/13/10

Signed:	Kathleen a. Larsen
Name:	KATHLEEN A. LARSEN
Address:	PO BOX 74458
	LOS ANCELES, CA- 90004-0458

Email: Kalertfineyahoo.com

If you care about seniors, you will DENY this project. Why would you want to let old people—120 of them—be in such danger? It's a small property that already has a beautiful old 10-story building where they live. There's not enough room for the 6-story of 68 tiny apartments.

For 2 years, you'd let these seniors suffer through construction? Can't get out of the building easily. More likely to fall down and maybe break bones. Hard to walk uphill because can't get into the driveway. Their own building cut into to put a 25 ft. connecting building to the 6-story. Trouble breathing, with dust and dirt in the air. Everything right on top of them.

Housing is needed, but not more people on this small property. Busy traffic, narrow streets, a hill to climb, and at-risk seniors don't mix. Why don't you tell the builder to go where his company can build something decent? Not on this property, because it's not suited to further development.

Signature:	Pite Francore 6/12/18	
Name:	Pete Buonocone	
Address:	611 Livian XAY L.A. 96004	
E-mail		

RE: CF 18-0412

It looks like everybody sees only the project, but nobody sees the problems: 120 at risk seniors living on the property while there's 2 years of construction right on top of them. The property is too small. In the end, everybody is too crowded. Why?

The new building would be so close to the original building—especially just 15 ft. apart in the two basements—that there could be a big problem with the 10-story building collapsing. Then, what would happen to the residents?

You need to cut off this terrible project.

Signature:	Com	<i>k l</i>
Name:	EDUardo, Chabez	6 6/18
Address:	14 90 w pico Bld 9000.6	
E-mail	LA, CA 06-08-18	

RE: CF 18-0412

It looks like everybody sees only the project, but nobody sees the problems: 120 at risk seniors living on the property while there's 2 years of construction right on top of them. The property is too small. In the end, everybody is too crowded. Why?

The new building would be so close to the original building—especially just 15 ft. apart in the two basements—that there could be a big problem with the 10-story building collapsing. Then, what would happen to the residents?

You need to cut off this terrible project.

Signature:	For Co
Name:	FERNANDO CHAVEZ
Address:	1680 WILSHIREBIND 90017
E-mail	<u>CACA06-26</u> 20018 90017

RE: CF 18-0412

It looks like everybody sees only the project, but nobody sees the problems: 120 at risk seniors living on the property while there's 2 years of construction right on top of them. The property is too small. In the end, everybody is too crowded. Why?

The new building would be so close to the original building—especially just 15 ft. apart in the two basements—that there could be a big problem with the 10-story building collapsing. Then, what would happen to the residents?

You need to cut off this terrible project.

Signature:	do sel
Name:	Damaris Connors
Address:	3635 LABrea Ave.
E-mail	1ACK 90016 6-10-18

If you care about seniors, you will DENY this project. Why would you want to let old people—120 of them—be in such danger? It's a small property that already has a beautiful old 10-story building where they live. There's not enough room for the 6-story of 68 tiny apartments.

For 2 years, you'd let these seniors suffer through construction? Can't get out of the building easily. More likely to fall down and maybe break bones. Hard to walk uphill because can't get into the driveway. Their own building cut into to put a 25 ft. connecting building to the 6-story. Trouble breathing, with dust and dirt in the air. Everything right on top of them.

Housing is needed, but not more people on this small property. Busy traffic, narrow streets, a hill to climb, and at-risk seniors don't mix. Why don't you tell the builder to go where his company can build something decent? Not on this property, because it's not suited to further development.

Signature:	Hanguner Dront		
Name:	Virginia Dearte	9	
Address:	625 W Watern Die Los Angeles California	90022	
E-mail	06-67-2018		

If you care about seniors, you will DENY this project. Why would you want to let old people—120 of them—be in such danger? It's a small property that already has a beautiful old 10-story building where they live. There's not enough room for the 6-story of 68 tiny apartments.

For 2 years, you'd let these seniors suffer through construction? Can't get out of the building easily. More likely to fall down and maybe break bones. Hard to walk uphill because can't get into the driveway. Their own building cut into to put a 25 ft. connecting building to the 6-story. Trouble breathing, with dust and dirt in the air. Everything right on top of them.

Housing is needed, but not more people on this small property. Busy traffic, narrow streets, a hill to climb, and at-risk seniors don't mix. Why don't you tell the builder to go where his company can build something decent? Not on this property, because it's not suited to further development.

Signature:	() me	6/11/18	
Name:	Marles Durcan		
Address:	4164 Jujunga Ave	Studio City	91604
E-mail			

06-08-20

Email:

RE: CF 18-0412 also known as ENV-2017-1504-SCEA

This project needs an ADEQUATE Public Hearing before the PLUM Committee is forced to spend precious time on it.

The May 16 "Hearing" had the wrong name as Applicant: Montecito Apartment Housing, LP, which was never mentioned during the Hearing! Instead, Thomas Safran and Associates (TSA) was the only entity cited by TSA's representative, Dana Sayles of Three6ixty. Tyler Monroe, TSA Vice-President, also spoke on behalf of his firm.

Who is responsible for this project? Is it TSA, which touted its experience? Or, is it the unknown and unmentioned "Montecito Apartment Housing, LP"?

Besides re-doing a map to satisfy Engineering, perhaps whoever the Applicant is could produce an actual plan, not just an unseen "outline", to provide for the safety of the 120 at-risk elderly forced to live on the same postage stamp of a property while their 10-story nearly 90 year old building is under attack by this project.

While I support affordable senior housing, I am AGAINST this project. It's a waste of time, talent, and money for TSA. It is a disaster waiting to happen for its elderly residents and life in a small box for those unlucky enough to be new occupants if it is built.

$\Lambda \mathcal{P} = 1$
Signed: fall felle and and 2
Name: JOSEL FERNANCEZ.
Address: 1639-W-IZTHPLAPT#3
LAICA , 90015
V.

Re: CF 18-0412

The PLUM Committee should STOP this bad project. All these old people will be living on the property at the same time as big construction. It's a small property. What's going to happen to the 120 at-risk seniors?

There's not enough space and that means danger for them. During construction, just getting out of the building will be hard, with two of the three regular exit doors blocked. There won't be room for them to use the driveway. They'd be living on the construction site, not next to it.

It's at the corner of a busy street and a very narrow side street that's downhill.

The developer sees only the project, but not the problems.

brain

Seniors will be living on a construction site.

I, like you, want affordable senior housing.

I, like you, want to help the old people.

How are they going to manage?

PLEASE, STOP this project.

Signature:

Name:

Address:

90013

E-mail

RE: CF 18-0412 also known as ENV-2017-1504-SCEA

This project needs an ADEQUATE Public Hearing before the PLUM Committee is forced to spend precious time on it.

The May 16 "Hearing" had the wrong name as Applicant: Montecito Apartment Housing, LP, which was never mentioned during the Hearing! Instead, Thomas Safran and Associates (TSA) was the only entity cited by TSA's representative, Dana Sayles of Three6ixty. Tyler Monroe, TSA Vice-President, also spoke on behalf of his firm.

Who is responsible for this project? Is it TSA, which touted its experience? Or, is it the unknown and unmentioned "Montecito Apartment Housing, LP"?

Besides re-doing a map to satisfy Engineering, perhaps whoever the Applicant is could produce an actual plan, not just an unseen "outline", to provide for the safety of the 120 at-risk elderly forced to live on the same postage stamp of a property while their 10-story nearly 90 year old building is under attack by this project.

While I support affordable senior housing, I am AGAINST this project. It's a waste of time, talent, and money for TSA. It is a disaster waiting to happen for its elderly residents and life in a small box for those unlucky enough to be new occupants if it is built.

6/12/18

	1
Signed:	MAR Costar
Name:	MARK FOSTER
Address:	520 GIEROKEE
	L.A. 90004
Email:	

Re: CF 18-0412

The PLUM Committee should STOP this bad project. All these old people will be living on the property at the same time as big construction. It's a small property. What's going to happen to the 120 at-risk seniors?

There's not enough space and that means danger for them. During construction, just getting out of the building will be hard, with two of the three regular exit doors blocked. There won't be room for them to use the driveway. They'd be living on the construction site, not next to it.

6/11/18

reenbush AVE. M.H. c.A. 91605

It's at the corner of a busy street and a very narrow side street that's downhill.

The developer sees only the project, but not the problems.

Seniors will be living on a construction site.

I, like you, want affordable senior housing.

I, like you, want to help the old people.

How are they going to manage?

PLEASE, STOP this project.

Signature:

Name:

Address:

E-mail

RE: CF 18-0412

It looks like everybody sees only the project, but nobody sees the problems: 120 at risk seniors living on the property while there's 2 years of construction right on top of them. The property is too small. In the end, everybody is too crowded. Why?

The new building would be so close to the original building—especially just 15 ft. apart in the two basements—that there could be a big problem with the 10-story building collapsing. Then, what would happen to the residents?

You need to cut off this terrible project.

4

Signature:	Mali Vanati «11/18
Name:	Mali Janati
Address:	3232 Bennett D'ine JosAngeles, 6 90068
E-mail	Mali Janati @ Hotmail. Com

If you care about seniors, you will DENY this project. Why would you want to let old people—120 of them—be in such danger? It's a small property that already has a beautiful old 10-story building where they live. There's not enough room for the 6-story of 68 tiny apartments.

For 2 years, you'd let these seniors suffer through construction? Can't get out of the building easily. More likely to fall down and maybe break bones. Hard to walk uphill because can't get into the driveway. Their own building cut into to put a 25 ft. connecting building to the 6-story. Trouble breathing, with dust and dirt in the air. Everything right on top of them.

Housing is needed, but not more people on this small property. Busy traffic, narrow streets, a hill to climb, and at-risk seniors don't mix. Why don't you tell the builder to go where his company can build something decent? Not on this property, because it's not suited to further development.

Signature:	de
Name:	CATNE TOCKER
Address:	3600, WILLSHIRE BLVd
E-mail	2ACA 9001006/09/18 908/0

RE: CF 18-0412

It looks like everybody sees only the project, but nobody sees the problems: 120 at risk seniors living on the property while there's 2 years of construction right on top of them. The property is too small. In the end, everybody is too crowded. Why?

The new building would be so close to the original building—especially just 15 ft. apart in the two basements—that there could be a big problem with the 10-story building collapsing. Then, what would happen to the residents?

You need to cut off this terrible project.

Signature:	
Name:	JUAN TOPES
Address:	1639-W12THSTJAC9 900/5
E-mail	CACA 6/11/12

re 12,7018 To Whom It May Concern:

Re: CF 18-0412

The PLUM Committee should STOP this bad project. All these old people will be living on the property at the same time as big construction. It's a small property. What's going to happen to the 120 at-risk seniors?

There's not enough space and that means danger for them. During construction, just getting out of the building will be hard, with two of the three regular exit doors blocked. There won't be room for them to use the driveway. They'd be living on the construction site, not next to it.

It's at the corner of a busy street and a very narrow side street that's downhill.

The developer sees only the project, but not the problems.

Seniors will be living on a construction site.

I, like you, want affordable senior housing.

I, like you, want to help the old people.

How are they going to manage?

PLEASE, STOP this project.

Signature:	Dennin Luna
Name:	Denvils A. Luna
Address:	6650 FRANKLIN AVE# 808 Hollywood, CA 90028
E-mail	

RE: CF 18-0412

It looks like everybody sees only the project, but nobody sees the problems: 120 at risk seniors living on the property while there's 2 years of construction right on top of them. The property is too small. In the end, everybody is too crowded. Why?

The new building would be so close to the original building—especially just 15 ft. apart in the two basements—that there could be a big problem with the 10-story building collapsing. Then, what would happen to the residents?

You need to cut off this terrible project.

STOP this project now.

Signature:

Name:

Address:

E-mail

6/6/18 ADRIGAL Cal

TO: PLUM Committee, Los Angeles City Council

RE: CF 18-0412

RE: for City Planning: ENV-2017-1504-SCEA

The PLUM Committee should REJECT any deliberation on this project until the serious defects of the May 16 Public Hearing are corrected. There is precedent for such action on this project. Recall that the Notice for Public Comment had to be re-issued because the address for the property was wrong. The wrong information for the Public Hearing is much worse.

The name of Thomas Safran and Associates (TSA), who acted during the Hearing as the in-charge entity for the project, was NOT on the Public Hearing Notice. Instead, "Montecito Apartment Housing, LP" was listed as the Applicant.

So, how is the public to know who is responsible for this project? How is the PLUM Committee to know? What is the reputation of "Montecito Apartment Housing, LP"?

Perhaps the PLUM Committee could REJECT the proposal of the "Montecito Apartment Housing, LP" and do a favor to the entire community. If TSA still wants to pursue the folly of this project, a danger for the 120 aged residents squeezed for 2 years of construction, let the Public Hearing be circularized again, this time using the TSA name and reputation.

Signed: Side, Marcal	
Name: ENVLY MAVERICIC	9/
Address: 357 S. Curzon Aviz, 9K	
L H- 100×6	

12/18

Email:

Re: CF 18-0412

The PLUM Committee should STOP this bad project. All these old people will be living on the property at the same time as big construction. It's a small property. What's going to happen to the 120 at-risk seniors?

There's not enough space and that means danger for them. During construction, just getting out of the building will be hard, with two of the three regular exit doors blocked. There won't be room for them to use the driveway. They'd be living on the construction site, not next to it.

It's at the corner of a busy street and a very narrow side street that's downhill.

The developer sees only the project, but not the problems.

Seniors will be living on a construction site.

I, like you, want affordable senior housing.

I, like you, want to help the old people.

How are they going to manage?

PLEASE, STOP this project Signature: Name: John Methodskip Met

Re: CF 18-0412

The PLUM Committee should STOP this bad project. All these old people will be living on the property at the same time as big construction. It's a small property. What's going to happen to the 120 at-risk seniors?

There's not enough space and that means danger for them. During construction, just getting out of the building will be hard, with two of the three regular exit doors blocked. There won't be room for them to use the driveway. They'd be living on the construction site, not next to it.

It's at the corner of a busy street and a very narrow side street that's downhill.

The developer sees only the project, but not the problems.

Seniors will be living on a construction site.

I, like you, want affordable senior housing.

I, like you, want to help the old people.

How are they going to manage?

PLEASE, STOP this project.

E-mail

Parvin Now?	6/11/10
Parvin NonRi	
6650 Franklin Ave #103 Hollywoo	d (a 90028
	Parvin Nonor

. Lulia

If you care about seniors, you will DENY this project. Why would you want to let old people—120 of them—be in such danger? It's a small property that already has a beautiful old 10-story building where they live. There's not enough room for the 6-story of 68 tiny apartments.

For 2 years, you'd let these seniors suffer through construction? Can't get out of the building easily. More likely to fall down and maybe break bones. Hard to walk uphill because can't get into the driveway. Their own building cut into to put a 25 ft. connecting building to the 6-story. Trouble breathing, with dust and dirt in the air. Everything right on top of them.

Housing is needed, but not more people on this small property. Busy traffic, narrow streets, a hill to climb, and at-risk seniors don't mix. Why don't you tell the builder to go where his company can build something decent? Not on this property, because it's not suited to further development.

X
122
aderto feddelo
1271 WUNIOUST.900/5
JACA6-09-18 70015

Re: CF 18-0412

The PLUM Committee should STOP this bad project. All these old people will be living on the property at the same time as big construction. It's a small property. What's going to happen to the 120 at-risk seniors?

There's not enough space and that means danger for them. During construction, just getting out of the building will be hard, with two of the three regular exit doors blocked. There won't be room for them to use the driveway. They'd be living on the construction site, not next to it.

It's at the corner of a busy street and a very narrow side street that's downhill.

The developer sees only the project, but not the problems.

Seniors will be living on a construction site.

I, like you, want affordable senior housing.

I, like you, want to help the old people.

How are they going to manage?

PLEASE, STOP this project.

Signature:	Juciefation
Name:	Juch Perci
Address:	1318 5 Vermont Ave Los Angeles (A 900
E-mail	06/68/2018

11

Re: CF 18-0412

The PLUM Committee should STOP this bad project. All these old people will be living on the property at the same time as big construction. It's a small property. What's going to happen to the 120 at-risk seniors?

There's not enough space and that means danger for them. During construction, just getting out of the building will be hard, with two of the three regular exit doors blocked. There won't be room for them to use the driveway. They'd be living on the construction site, not next to it.

It's at the corner of a busy street and a very narrow side street that's downhill.

The developer sees only the project, but not the problems.

Seniors will be living on a construction site.

I, like you, want affordable senior housing.

I, like you, want to help the old people.

How are they going to manage?

PLEASE, STOP this project.

Signature:	Charger
Name:	Gustavo Rames
Address:	1318 X/ Pico Blud Los Angeles CA9003
E-mail	06/10/2018

7

If you care about seniors, you will DENY this project. Why would you want to let old people—120 of them—be in such danger? It's a small property that already has a beautiful old 10-story building where they live. There's not enough room for the 6-story of 68 tiny apartments.

For 2 years, you'd let these seniors suffer through construction? Can't get out of the building easily. More likely to fall down and maybe break bones. Hard to walk uphill because can't get into the driveway. Their own building cut into to put a 25 ft. connecting building to the 6-story. Trouble breathing, with dust and dirt in the air. Everything right on top of them.

Housing is needed, but not more people on this small property. Busy traffic, narrow streets, a hill to climb, and at-risk seniors don't mix. Why don't you tell the builder to go where his company can build something decent? Not on this property, because it's not suited to further development.

Signature:	- gig Rr
Name:	Guadalupe Reyes
Address:	321 N Cvenshaw Blvd La. ca. 90037
E-mail	6-9-18

RE: CF 18-0412

It looks like everybody sees only the project, but nobody sees the problems: 120 at risk seniors living on the property while there's 2 years of construction right on top of them. The property is too small. In the end, everybody is too crowded. Why?

The new building would be so close to the original building—especially just 15 ft. apart in the two basements-that there could be a big problem with the 10-story building collapsing. Then, what would happen to the residents?

You need to cut off this terrible project.

Signature: Name: Address: E-mail

4/12/18

TO: PLUM Committee, Los Angeles City Council

RE: CF 18-0412

RE: for City Planning: ENV-2017-1504-SCEA

The PLUM Committee should REJECT any deliberation on this project until the serious defects of the May 16 Public Hearing are corrected. There is precedent for such action on this project. Recall that the Notice for Public Comment had to be re-issued because the address for the property was wrong. The wrong information for the Public Hearing is much worse.

The name of Thomas Safran and Associates (TSA), who acted during the Hearing as the in-charge entity for the project, was NOT on the Public Hearing Notice. Instead, "Montecito Apartment Housing, LP" was listed as the Applicant.

So, how is the public to know who is responsible for this project? How is the PLUM Committee to know? What is the reputation of "Montecito Apartment Housing, LP"?

Perhaps the PLUM Committee could REJECT the proposal of the "Montecito Apartment Housing, LP" and do a favor to the entire community. If TSA still wants to pursue the folly of this project, a danger for the 120 aged residents squeezed for 2 years of construction, let the Public Hearing be circularized again, this time using the TSA name and reputation.

	1	10
Signed:	App In Words	X
Name:	ANDREW E. Washing	20)
Address:	28 NONTA PLYMOUTH	Encin
1	Los Argeres 90004	£ -

6/12/18

Email:

Re: CF 18-0412

The PLUM Committee should STOP this bad project. All these old people will be living on the property at the same time as big construction. It's a small property. What's going to happen to the 120 at-risk seniors?

There's not enough space and that means danger for them. During construction, just getting out of the building will be hard, with two of the three regular exit doors blocked. There won't be room for them to use the driveway. They'd be living on the construction site, not next to it.

It's at the corner of a busy street and a very narrow side street that's downhill.

The developer sees only the project, but not the problems.

Seniors will be living on a construction site.

I, like you, want affordable senior housing.

I, like you, want to help the old people.

How are they going to manage?

PLEASE, STOP this project.

Signature:

Name:

Address:

6/12/18

E-mail

RE: CF 18-0412 also known as ENV-2017-1504-SCEA

This project needs an ADEQUATE Public Hearing before the PLUM Committee is forced to spend precious time on it.

The May 16 "Hearing" had the wrong name as Applicant: Montecito Apartment Housing, LP, which was never mentioned during the Hearing! Instead, Thomas Safran and Associates (TSA) was the only entity cited by TSA's representative, Dana Sayles of Three6ixty. Tyler Monroe, TSA Vice-President, also spoke on behalf of his firm.

Who is responsible for this project? Is it TSA, which touted its experience? Or, is it the unknown and unmentioned "Montecito Apartment Housing, LP"?

Besides re-doing a map to satisfy Engineering, perhaps whoever the Applicant is could produce an actual plan, not just an unseen "outline", to provide for the safety of the 120 at-risk elderly forced to live on the same postage stamp of a property while their 10-story nearly 90 year old building is under attack by this project.

While I support affordable senior housing, I am AGAINST this project. It's a waste of time, talent, and money for TSA. It is a disaster waiting to happen for its elderly residents and life in a small box for those unlucky enough to be new occupants if it is built.

Signed: Name: Address:

Email: