TO: <u>clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org</u> Planning Land Use Management (PLUM) Committee **ATTN:** Sharon Dickinson, Legislative Assistant City Hall 200 N. Spring Street, Room 395 Los Angeles, CA 90012

7/1/18 DEM

#### RE: CF 18-0412 - The Montecito II Project

Dear Ms. Dickinson:

Every one of the 120 Montecito residents will be impacted by the unnecessary Montecito II Project. These atrisk seniors will be forced to live on an active construction site—right in it, not just beside it. They'll be personally affected every time they enter or leave their homes. It'll be worse if by car, even a pick-up service. They'll be hemmed in, with windows closed and expensive air-conditioning, as a monstrous building rises 6 plus stories, less than 25 ft. from their units. It'll be 2 of their "golden years".

The small lot means that the new construction will be literally almost right on top of the 87 year-old building. Maintaining adequate support for that 10-story building is a serious concern. There are two lower levels under it for parking. The new construction, with a finished separation of only 15 ft. at the double deep level for its parking, could easily undermine the classic old building during the excavation process. The unusual terrain and tight space will make proper compacting difficult, so that flaws might not show up for several years. Such flaws could be catastrophic for the older building and its residents.

Retro-fitting the 10-story's first floor to connect the elegant old and the boxy new will affect all 120 elderly residents. Two of the three exits will be blocked by the new construction: the garden door and the back door. This situation will become worse when the 25 ft. long connecting structure goes up.

The Applicant's representative admitted at the May 16 Public Hearing that there were no plans for the safety of the seniors—just an outline—and, of course, there'd be a hotline for them to report problems. It was just a "given" that the seniors would be safe. Residents, their families and friends and the community are concerned. This project is a disaster waiting to happen. DENY the project.

Signed:	Michoal Corta
Name:	MICHAEL CORTEZ
Address:	6650 FRANKLIN AVE.
	7605
	LUS ANGELES, CA 90028
Email:	1brave64@gmail.am

MY FRIENDS ARE FRIENDS OF THE MOI	NTECITO
	CF18-0412
PLEASE PRINT INFORMATION REQUESTED. Thank you	
Name MICHAEL CORTEZ	Apt. Number <u>605</u>
Phone 323.769-1133 e-mail 16rave6	togned wy
PLEASE CHECK YOUR ISSUES / CONCERN REGARDING THE PROPOSED A	
Important General Issues That Concern Me: NUT DUING MOVE IN VETERANS WHILE GUY Affordable senior housingHealthy environment / safety for seniors	IT FUR LOW INLUR ERNMENTSURSIDIE Traffic conditions d'ANGEOUS
Avoiding over crowded senior housing $\underline{A}$ Lack of privacy $\underline{P}$ Parking FUC Earthquake safety of new construction on identified fault lines	TTRAFFIC TO AND HULLY WOOD BOWL
(NOTE: The Highland & Franklin project on same fault line required addition The proposed project is on two active fault lines per The State Geo	
Specific Issues: "Montecito II" A 2-year construction project adding 68	units and 34 net parking spaces
No issues; great new project	
Mength of constructionBlocked building entriesBlocked driveway $V$ Too little space on property for residents to live during construction $M_F R O H$ $V$ Dirt, noise, traffic during construction for: $V$ ResidentsSenior Center $V$ Dirt, noise, traffic during construction for: $V$ ResidentsSenior Center $V$ Dirt, noise, traffic during construction for: $V$ ResidentsSenior Center $V$ Dirt, noise, traffic during construction for: $V$ ResidentsSenior Center $V$ Dirt, noise, traffic during construction for: $V$ ResidentsSenior Center $V$ Dirt, noise, traffic during to senior (B1)420 sq.ft.Single (A2) $V$ Add building exits $V$ Tying the two buildings together with 25 ft. connecting $V$ Lack of customary outdoor space $V$ ack of privacyOther $V = F = O \subset D I$ $V = V = V = V$ $V = V = V$ $V = V = V = V$ $V = V = V$ $V = V = V = V$ $V = V = V$ $V = V = V = V$ $V = V = V$ $V = V = V = V$ $V = V = V$ $V = V = V = V$ $V = V = V$ $V = V = V = V$ $V = V = V$ $V = V = V = V$ $V = V = V$ $V = V = V = V$ $V = V = V$ $V = V = V = V$ $V = V$ </td <td>Rersonal/Property Security ARTMENT FACES WE AMY WINDOWS ON THFLOER I HAVE PRE-School EBILITATING HEAL Soom (A1) 520 sq.ft. ITEART STHEOWNER</td>	Rersonal/Property Security ARTMENT FACES WE AMY WINDOWS ON THFLOER I HAVE PRE-School EBILITATING HEAL Soom (A1) 520 sq.ft. ITEART STHEOWNER
Drawings and plans of the proposed project are available from The City of	NG UNSTRUATION, I Los Angeles on CD for \$5.
SIGNED: WARD WITH (So we can send yo	ou OCCASIONAL information)
At the Hearing May 16, 2018 the City Planner stated that most of the letters received ASIT 15, MAINTENCE IS FULLED AV BUILDING TO HELP WITH UTHER PRO IMPORTANT PRIVACY NOTICE	VAY FRUM UVR DERTIES CURP-
, IMPORTANT PRIVACY NOTICE	
This information will <b>NOT</b> be divulged to anyone.	

This information will NOT be divided to <u>anyone</u>. Its sole use is to keep you informed of issues related to the proposed addition to the Montecito.

++

CHC@lacity.org Cultural Heritage Commission ATTN: Etta Armstrong, Commission Executive Assistant I 2000 N. Spring Street, Room 532 Los Angeles, CA 90012

Case No.: CHC-2018-3233-HCM ENV-2018-3234-CE

18-0412 7/1/18

Dear Cultural Heritage Commissioners:

I urge you to SUPPORT Historic –Cultural Monument status for the Montecito property. This stately Art Deco is a fine representation of the grand optimism of early Hollywood. Its soaring majesty is emphasized by the open space around it.

The National Register of Historic Places recognized the logical connection between the building and its land components, describing the property as:

"a 150'x225' parcel at the southwest corner of Franklin and Cherokee Avenues. Boundaries are drawn to encompass the building and its historic lot."

Los Angeles architect Marcus P. Miller, a specialist in Art Deco architecture, designed the Montecito in the late 1920's. It was completed in 1931, the same year as one of his commercial structures, Los Angeles Convalescent Hospital in Montebello. At Temple Street and Bunker Hill Avenue, he designed the now demolished building for the Jewish Welfare Organizations. The Depression and World War II limited his output. He died at the age of 57 in 1949.

He left a treasure: the Montecito. He made good use of the small property, emphasizing the building's stately height, while avoiding the temptation to clutter its elegance with an ungainly large foot print. He further limited the building by designing it in the shape of the letter "H", twice eliminating 10 stories of "missing" potential units for the two indentations of the "H". Therefore, an increased number of actual residents could enjoy more open views and have a cross breeze. He really sought to enrich the lives of residents who would live in his building. He achieved that goal. We, today, are thankful to Mr. Miller.

The property is still small. Even though several slender lots were added over the years, including converting a resident maintenance worker's bungalow to additional parking. Today's total property is only 150'x225'. Thanks to Mr. Miller's wisdom, the illusion that the property appears bigger than it is remains intact. The Art Deco design elements he used on the Montecito make a significant contribution to the Hollywood community today and in the far distant future.

Please SUPPORT Historical-Cultural Monument status for the Montecito. - Botton live More Negatives than positives 1 (FOR CHANGES) Signed: builson vere th Name: Franklin Address: The Montecto 1410000 Email:

## clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org

ATTN: Sharon Dickinson, Legislative Assistant City Hall 200 N. Spring St., Rm. 395 Los Angeles, CA 90012

# RE: CF 18-0412 - Montecito II Project

Dear Council Members of the PLUM Committee:

The actual and potential damage from the Montecito II Project needs to be examined. The basic problem is that the 150 ft. x 225 ft. lot is too small for what's intended for it. The odd layout and difficult topography increase the problems. Even its setting in the neighborhood makes further "development" of the property hazardous to the community. Ignore, for this discussion, that 120 elderly residents will be living on the construction site in the 10-story, 87year-old historic building during the 2 –year project.

It's an unfortunate illusion that there's "extra" land just waiting to be developed on the site. To squeeze in 68 units, with net 34 new parking spaces, the 6-story box with balconies will destroy an urban forest of 27 trees, some 5 stories high, clearly visible to the community. In place of the "quiet garden", current residents and future occupants will look in one another's windows a mere 25 ft. away. The community will see more stucco with a few token bushes. How bland for Hollywood!

The available land is so small that there'll be only 15 ft. between buildings at the finished double deep underground parking levels, running along nearly the entire west side of the building. How close will the excavation have to be to the 10-story building? 10 ft.? 7 ft.? What if there are supporting stabilizers that were placed by those construction workers almost 90 years ago that weren't precisely detailed in the plans? Could those unknowns be compromised by such close excavation?

If you've seen the property, you know about narrow Cherokee and busy Franklin. You'd be familiar with that big downward slope from the Franklin corner down to the only vehicle entry on Cherokee. It's already a dangerous area, which will be magnified during 2-years of construction.

What will be the results, IF this project is built? The new units will be smaller than those in the 10-story. All the actual / potential damage for units that are 420 sq. ft., 440 sq. ft., and 520 sq. ft.? Not worth it.

7/1/18

Please vote AGAINST this poorly thought out project.

rease role realition time poorly inought out project.		
Signed:	G. Dip	
Name:	Everett 5. Davidson	
Address:	the montecito Apts.	
	6650 Franklin Ave # 209	
	Hollywood, CA 90028	
Email:	evere # 1423@ gmail, com	

# clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org

**ATTN:** Sharon Dickinson, Legislative Assistant City Hall 200 N. Spring Street, Room 395 Los Angeles, CA 90012

7/1/18

# RE: CF 18-0412 - Montecito II Project

Dear Council Members:

The City has asked for the general public's input on the Montecito II Project. The comments were heavily **AGAINST** the project for a variety of reasons. Even the owner's behavior over the years gives a strong indication that there are challenges in further development of this property. Challenges that are so great that actually warrant his abandonment of the project as being economically infeasible when compared to more useful and lucrative ventures that have recently become available.

The owner, the driving force and public face of the company bearing his name, Thomas Safran, of Thomas Safran and Associates (TSA), has been in control of the property for almost a quarter of a century. He's done an admirable job of restoring and maintaining this architectural gem. He is, and should be, quite proud of it. 120 senior low income residents call the 10-story building "home".

He said that he always intended to build more on the 150 ft. x 225 ft. rather small lot. Why has it taken him 24 years? Maybe it's difficult, expensive, and time-consuming to accomplish. Of course we could give him 4 years credit, since 2014, for the time already spent trying to plan and gain support for the project, leading up to the very recent Public Notice for Comment (March, then April, 2018, for re-circulation for putting a wrong address on the Notice). That would make it a mere 20 year wait.

This time line assumes that there will not be a re-circulation because the Public Hearing Notice did not mention his or his company's name. Instead, the Applicant was listed as "Montecito Apartment Housing, LP (Limited Partnership)", which was never mentioned during the Hearing. The only entity cited was TSA, whose Vice-President, Tyler Monroe, proudly noted the company's 40 year history with senior housing and control of 6,000 units in 58 buildings, many self-developed. "The lion's share of residential property holding in the Los Angeles area" is the way he referred to TSA's stature.

The proposed 6-story, 68 unit, with 34 net new parking spaces, would represent a mere 1% of TSA's holdings. Is it a worthy project for TSA? No, it is not. It wastes time, assets, and talent that could be better used on a property that actually has room for development. Please, in the name of more affordable housing, DENY this costly boondoggle.

Signed: KIChOr Name: Address: 1112051 Email:

# **MY FRIENDS ARE FRIENDS OF THE MONTECITO**

	PLEASE PRINT INFORMATION REQUESTED. Thank you CF18-0412
	Name Kathleen M Law Apt. Number 704
	Phone 1(323)839-7987 e-mail Katospherett Qyahoa, Com
	PLEASE CHECK YOUR ISSUES / CONCERN REGARDING THE PROPOSED ADDITION TO THE MONTECITO
	Important General Issues That Concern Me:
	Affordable senior housing Healthy environment / safety for seniors Traffic conditions
	🔨 Avoiding over crowded senior housing 🖄 Lack of privacy 📐 Parking
	Earthquake safety of new construction on identified fault lines
1.	(NOTE: The Highland & Franklin project on same fault line required additional setback. The proposed project is on two active fault lines per The State Geologist)
	Specific Issues: "Montecito II" A 2-year construction project adding 68 units and 34 net parking spaces
	No issues; great new project
	Length of construction 🔨 Blocked building entries 📐 Blocked driveway Security
	Too little space on property for residents to live during construction
	Tiny new units: Bachelor (B1)420 sq.ft. Single (A2)440 sq.ft. 1 Bedroom (A1) 520 sq.ft.
	Codd building exits Tying the two buildings together with 25 ft. connecting structure
	Lack of customary outdoor space Lack of privacy Other Security Safety
	Drawings and plans of the proposed project are available from The City of Los Angeles on CD for \$5.
SI	GNED: Kathleengr Fann (So we can send you OCCASIONAL information)

At the Hearing May 16, 2018 the City Planner stated that most of the letters received were against the proposal.

# **IMPORTANT PRIVACY NOTICE**

è.

This information will **NOT** be divulged to <u>anyone</u>. Its sole use is to keep you informed of issues related to the proposed addition to the Montecito. <u>clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org</u> **ATTN:** Sharon Dickinson, Legislative Assistant City Hall 200 N. Spring St., Rm. 395 Los Angeles, CA 90012

## RE: CF 18-0412 - Montecito II Project

Dear Council Members of the PLUM Committee:

The actual and potential damage from the Montecito II Project needs to be examined. The basic problem is that the 150 ft. x 225 ft. lot is too small for what's intended for it. The odd layout and difficult topography increase the problems. Even its setting in the neighborhood makes further "development" of the property hazardous to the community. Ignore, for this discussion, that 120 elderly residents will be living on the construction site in the 10-story, 87year-old historic building during the 2 –year project.

It's an unfortunate illusion that there's "extra" land just waiting to be developed on the site. To squeeze in 68 units, with net 34 new parking spaces, the 6-story box with balconies will destroy an urban forest of 27 trees, some 5 stories high, clearly visible to the community. In place of the "quiet garden", current residents and future occupants will look in one another's windows a mere 25 ft. away. The community will see more stucco with a few token bushes. How bland for Hollywood!

The available land is so small that there'll be only 15 ft. between buildings at the finished double deep underground parking levels, running along nearly the entire west side of the building. How close will the excavation have to be to the 10-story building? 10 ft.? 7 ft.? What if there are supporting stabilizers that were placed by those construction workers almost 90 years ago that weren't precisely detailed in the plans? Could those unknowns be compromised by such close excavation?

If you've seen the property, you know about narrow Cherokee and busy Franklin. You'd be familiar with that big downward slope from the Franklin corner down to the only vehicle entry on Cherokee. It's already a dangerous area, which will be magnified during 2-years of construction.

What will be the results, IF this project is built? The new units will be smaller than those in the 10-story. All the actual / potential damage for units that are 420 sq. ft., 440 sq. ft., and 520 sq. ft.? Not worth it.

Please vote AGAINST this poorly thought out project.

Lex Signed: Name: Kathleen Fronk I in Ave Address: 6650 Mensord CA 90028 Katosphere H Quahonrom Email:

6/29/18

TO: <u>clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org</u> Planning Land Use Management (PLUM) Committee **ATTN:** Sharon Dickinson, Legislative Assistant City Hall 200 N. Spring Street, Room 395 Los Angeles, CA 90012

7/2/18

# RE: CF 18-0412 -The Montecito II Project

# Dear Council Members:

Every one of the 120 Montecito residents will be impacted by the unnecessary Montecito II Project. These atrisk seniors will be forced to live on an active construction site—right in it, not just beside it. They'll be personally affected every time they enter or leave their homes. It'll be worse if by car, even a pick-up service. They'll be hemmed in, with windows closed and expensive air-conditioning, as a monstrous building rises 6 plus stories, less than 25 ft. from their units. It'll be 2 of their "golden years".

The small lot means that the new construction will be literally almost right on top of the 87 year-old building. Maintaining adequate support for that 10-story building is a serious concern. There are two lower levels under it for parking. The new construction, with a finished separation of only 15 ft. at the double deep level for its parking, could easily undermine the classic old building during the excavation process. The unusual terrain and tight space will make proper compacting difficult, so that flaws might not show up for several years. Such flaws could be catastrophic for the older building and its residents.

Retro-fitting the 10-story's first floor to connect the elegant old and the boxy new will affect all 120 elderly residents. Two of the three exits will be blocked by the new construction: the garden door and the back door. This situation will become worse when the 25 ft. long connecting structure goes up.

The Applicant's representative admitted at the May 16 Public Hearing that there were no plans for the safety of the seniors—just an outline—and, of course, there'd be a hotline for them to report problems. It was just a "given" that the seniors would be safe. Residents, their families and friends and the community are concerned. This project is a disaster waiting to happen. DENY the project.

Signed:	Min
Name:	Inna Shvartsur
Address:	6650 Franklin ave
	apt. 602
	LA CA 90028

Email: