

Nan Waldman

Aug 15, 2018 2:32 PM

Posted in group: **Clerk-PLUM-Committee**

**to:** [Clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org](mailto:Clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org), [Sharon.dickinson@lacity.org](mailto:Sharon.dickinson@lacity.org)  
[Councilmember.huizar@lacity.org](mailto:Councilmember.huizar@lacity.org), [councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org](mailto:councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org), [councilman.englander@lacity.org](mailto:councilman.englander@lacity.org),[councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org](mailto:councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org),[councilmember.price@lacity.org](mailto:councilmember.price@lacity.org)

**cc:** [paul.koretz@lacity.org](mailto:paul.koretz@lacity.org), [mike.bonin@lacity.org](mailto:mike.bonin@lacity.org),[dylan.sittig@lacity.org](mailto:dylan.sittig@lacity.org)

**bcc:** [wowhoa@ca.rr.com](mailto:wowhoa@ca.rr.com)

**RE: Council File: 18-0437 - Exposition Corridor Transit Neighborhood Plan  
OPPOSE City Planning Commission Modifications**

I live in the community adjacent to the Westwood and Sepulveda Expo Light Rail stations and **I SUPPORT the Exposition Corridor Transit Neighborhood Plan (ECTNP) Proposed Project as presented to the City Planning Commission October 2017** by the Planning Department. The Planning Department's staff's dedication to overseeing an inclusive and highly participatory process is to be commended.

However, I strongly **OPPOSE the modifications made to the Exposition Plan by the City Planning Commission** in November 2017. Those changes include additional upzoning of Pico Boulevard between Sepulveda and Overland (Plan Sub-Area 26) and upzoning of existing residential R 2.1 properties on Exposition Boulevard (Plan Sub-Area 25).

I oppose the CPC modifications because:

- They contradict the City Planning Department's recommendations (the Proposed Plan dated October 2017), which were based on four years of careful research, study and significant community engagement.

- They completely ignore input from the residential and business communities, gathered at numerous community meetings, and via hundreds of comments, letters and petition signatures. In short, they undermine a democratic process.

- Most importantly: They are not justified. The Planning Department's Proposed Plan (October 2017) met **all** the objectives of the ECTNP and exceeded all housing and jobs goals by many thousands each.

I therefore urge you to vote to remove the CPC modifications, including Sub-Areas 25 and 26, from the ECTNP.

Thank you for your consideration,

Nan Waldman