Name: Date Submitted: Council File No: Comments for Public Posting:

Apartment Association of Greater Los Angeles 06/12/2019 11:53 AM 18-0462



Danielle Leidner-Peretz Director, Government Affairs & External Relations danielle@aagla.org 213.384.4131; Ext. 309

June 11, 2019

Via Electronic Mail

City of Los Angeles Housing Committee City Hall 200 North Spring Street Room 1010 Los Angeles, California 90012

Re: Source of Income (Agenda Item 5)

Dear Housing Committee Members:

The Apartment Association of Greater Los Angeles' (AAGLA) strongly opposes the proposed "Source of Income" ordinance (Agenda item 5), which would require all rental housing providers in the City to participate in housing voucher programs and, in particular, the severely dysfunctional Section 8 voucher program.

AAGLA represents thousands of smaller, "mom and pop" rental property owners within the City of Los Angeles. Many of our members are retired seniors that worked regular 9-5 jobs for decades, scrimping and saving to afford their small investment in rental property that secures and supplements their retirement and at the same time provides affordable housing to the members of their community. Many of our members are multi-generational immigrants, retired teachers and retired first responders that chose to make a small community investment as their way to house themselves and others. Our members are the types of owners that the City desires all rental property owners to be, responsible, caring and reasonable.

The Section 8 program was established and exists today as a voluntary voucher program administered by the Federal Government. When properly administered, Section 8 adequately serves the needs of both rental housing providers and renters alike. Rental housing providers can fill unit vacancies and renters are housed, which also addresses a greater societal need to reduce homelessness. Glendale is an example of a city where the Section 8 voucher program is properly administered, and as a result, property owners



willingly participate the program. However, in the City of Los Angeles the Section 8 voucher program is not adequately administered. Property owners within the City of Los Angeles have become disenfranchised and complain bitterly about the difficulties they regularly encounter.

Moreover, the reality is that there are many challenges inherent in the Section 8 program. These challenges have also deterred wider participation, especially for our City's smaller rental property owners. The program is difficult to navigate and entails a lengthy process in which a rental property owner must enter into a contractual relationship with the federal government using a non-commercial lease form, requires the unit under consideration to remain vacant throughout the application and inspection process, required inspections often result in further delays, payments may be delayed due to federal budgetary appropriation issues or due to the Housing Authority's inspection process, and there are no supportive, "wrap around" services linked to the program that could provide assistance to recipients with mental health and related supportive needs.

Small rental property owners also do not have attorneys on staff or on retainer to assist them with the program's complicated contractual obligations. Small property owners are reliant on their rental income for their financial livelihood and do not have the financial resources to cover their expenses when faced with administrative or payment delays.

A very common administrative headache occurs during the inspection process. All Section 8 units must be inspected prior to move-in. A unit can fail an inspection for a variety of issues, including minor ones that have nothing to do with habitability, such as a single wall outlet that doesn't work. These minor issues carry the same weight for inspectors as major ones and cause long delays while owners must wait for re-inspections even if the minor issue can be corrected almost immediately. Sadly, while the inspection process causes these protracted delays during which time property owners do not receive rent, and at the same time a homeless veteran or family in need of a place to live are not receiving housing.

While we support the fundamental principles and goals of the Section 8 program, we do not support forcing property owners to participate. When properly administered, the Section 8 voucher program adequately serves owners and renters alike. It is the programmatic shortcomings, outlined herein, not discrimination towards program recipients, that has resulted in a significant reluctance by small property owners to participate in the program.

We strongly urge the Housing Committee to take a different approach in furtherance of its objective to expand owner participation in housing voucher programs, one that supports improving program administration and incentivizing participation. We ask that the Housing Committee thoughtfully engage with the Housing Authority to institute programmatic reforms to streamline the application and inspection process and minimize unnecessary and harmful delays.



AAGLA is opposed to any ordinance that mandates participation in the now voluntary Section 8 program and strongly opposes the Housing Committee's desire to subject property owners to civil penalties and potential other legal exposure for any owner's unwillingness to accept Section 8 vouchers.

Small, "mom and pop" rental property owners should not be forced to take part in a badly broken system that could cause them to jeopardize their livelihood, and ultimately leave them with no other option but to exit the rental housing business. The consequences of more and more over regulation negatively impacts the quantity and quality of rental housing in the City, and the departure of the small, "mom and pop" owners would eliminate the limited affordable rental units currently available to the City's renters. The solution lies in improving administration of the Section 8 voucher program and providing supportive wraparound services, and by doing so, the City's rental property owners would receive the encouragement needed to participate in the program.

If you have any questions, please call me at (213) 384-4131; Ext. 309 or contact me via electronic mail at danielle@aagla.org.

Very truly yours,

Danielle Leidner-Peretz

Name:	Apartment Owner
Date Submitted:	06/11/2019 08:20 AM
Council File No:	18-0462
Comments for Public Posting:	Mandatory Section 8 Comments Having dealt with Section 8 on a number of occasions, it is clear the LA Section 8 has serious issues: 1. Very unresponsive and extremely hostile towards owners. 2. Can take months to get basic items completed. e.g. getting a basic annual 3% increase under rent control can take 3-4 months. 3. Section 8 acts as its own rent control board arguing against rent increase that are permitted under LA rent control. Even when the tenant's rent is obviously under market. 4. Rent control abandons tenants with special needs at properties even when the case workers have been informed multiple times. We have had section 8 tenants, where we had to hire a cleaning crew on a regular basis to help the tenant keep his apartment clean because he was unable to clean up after himself and living in complete and utter squalor. Section 8 did nothing about the situation despite multiple complaints. Section 8 in LA needs to be reformed not mandated!!

Name:	
Date Submitted:	06/07/2019 09:17 PM
Council File No:	18-0462
Comments for Public Posting:	To Whom It May Concern: CAA understands that Section 8 vouchers are an important tool in serving the housing needs of low-income tenants. However, a mandate is the wrong approach. The County should be focusing on increasing housing supply as well as reforming the Section 8 process to make it a program that owners feel they can participate in without the risks that currently make this a burdensome and costly program for owners. Over the years the Section 8 approval process has become time consuming and difficult to understand, with little assistance available to owners who want to participate in the program. It includes a very lengthy document preparation process that owners and tenants must complete. Many small rental property owners simply do not have the time or resources to maneuver the long and complicated process. Adding additional penalties to these owners is simply unfair. We hope that you will work with our industry on solving the many problems with how Section 8 is administered and in turn encourage owners to voluntarily participate. Sincerely, L.G.

Name:Stephanie OrellanaDate Submitted:06/10/2019 01:47 PMCouncil File No:18-0462Comments for Public Posting:



To Whom It May Concern:

Cameron Properties, Inc. understands that Section 8 vouchers are an important tool in serving the housing needs of low-income tenants. However, a mandate is the wrong approach. The County should be focusing on increasing housing supply as well as reforming the Section 8 process to make it a program that owners feel they can participate in without the risks that currently make this a burdensome and costly program for owners.

Over the years the Section 8 approval process has become very difficult to understand, time consuming, with very little assistance available to us the owners who want to participate in the program. The very confusing and lengthy document preparation process that owners and tenants must complete is a big deterrent. Cameron Properties, Inc. being a small rental property owner we simply do not have the time or resources to maneuver the long and complicated process along with the amount of rents Cameron Properties, Inc. would stand to lose daily for unrented units going through the long Section 8 application process. Adding additional penalties to owners is simply unfair.

We hope that you will work with our industry on solving the many problems with how Section 8 is administered and in turn encourage owners to voluntarily participate.

Sincerely,

Stephanie M. Orellana DRE License #02052232 Assistant Property Manager