Contact Information

Neighborhood Council: Hollywood Hills West Neighborhood Council

Name: Anastasia Mann

Phone Number: (310) 854-0600 Email: president@hhwnc.org

The Board approved this CIS by a vote of: Yea(2) Nay(13) Abstain(0) Ineligible(0) Recusal(0)

Date of NC Board Action: 07/18/2018 Type of NC Board Action: Against

Impact Information Date: 07/24/2018

Update to a Previous Input: No

Directed To: City Council and Committees

Council File Number: 18-0467

Agenda Date: Item Number:

Summary: • ITEM #4 One time review process, overseen by BONC to evaluate NC Bylaws to determine if board seats are equitably allocated amongst stakeholder types and provide formal suggestions to NCs on ways to adjust as needed to increase equity.

VOTE: (2) YAY; (13) NAY.

Rationale: During the board discussion on this item, the majority felt that this item should not pertain to HHWNC or other NCs that follow the same designation of Board Chair positions as HHWNC. HHWNC does not designate seats by status of resident vs business stakeholder, etc. HHWNC board seats are designated by Areas (we have nine within our boundaries) and issues (the major issues encompassing our areas). A candidate for an Area Seat must be a stakeholder of that area, be they a resident, business owner/employee, worshipper, etc. The majority of the board feels that this item should be more specifically addressed to relate to those NCs that use a different method of determining board chair positions. Those board members who disagreed with the majority felt it was not necessary to distinguish our formula from the general NC community. The board feels that our system fairly represents the community and offers an opportunity for all stakeholders to participate.



July 23, 2018

Councilmember David Ryu Los Angeles City Hall 200 N. Spring Street, Room 425 Los Angeles, CA 90012

Dear Councilmember Ryu,

On Wednesday, July 18th the Hollywood Hills West Neighborhood Council met and discussed and voted on Council File #18-0467 regarding your recommendations for NC System's reforms. Our Board considered each item individually and voted on each item respectively. Please note that two items (#4 and #12) require explanations requested by the board for your consideration as the item may or may not apply to HHWNC in the reference intended by the motion. These explanations follow. $\Box\Box$ The board voted **unanimously**, (17) YAY and (0) Nay in favor of all recommendations with the exception of two. As there is no numerical sequence to the items, only bullet points, I will list the two items and the votes and commentary separately herein. Also I am listed a third item (#12) as requested by the board for clarification purposes only.

- ITEM #1: Recommendations and next steps for amending City Charter Article IX to change the name of DONE to NCD...etc.
- VOTE (16) YAY; (1) NAY.
- **Rationale:** None. One member thought it was an unnecessary change. The vast majority agreed with the recommended changes.

• ITEM #4 One time review process, overseen by BONC to evaluate NC Bylaws to determine if board seats are equitably allocated amongst stakeholder types and provide formal suggestions to NCs on ways to adjust as needed to increase equity.

VOTE: (2) YAY; (13) NAY.

Rationale: During the board discussion on this item, the majority felt that this item should not pertain to HHWNC or other NCs that follow the same designation of Board Chair positions as HHWNC. HHWNC does not designate seats by status of resident vs business stakeholder, etc. HHWNC board seats are designated by Areas (we have nine within our boundaries) and issues (the major issues encompassing our areas). A candidate for an Area Seat must be a stakeholder of that area, be they a resident, business owner/employee, worshipper, etc. The majority of the board feels that this item should be more specifically addressed to relate to those NCs that use a different method of determining board chair positions. Those board members who disagreed with the majority felt it was not necessary to distinguish our formula from the general NC community. The board feels that our system fairly represents the community and offers an opportunity for all stakeholders to participate.

• ITEM #12: (Last item in the motion) Develop point of contact lists for Key City Departments and agencies, including DCP, DPT etc.....

VOTE: (17) YAY; (0) NAY.

Rationale: This item has the full support of the board and was passed with unanimous approval. However, the board does request that the item include the CITY COUNCIL offices in the list. While this request may seem obvious, the board felt it was an error to leave off the Council offices. HHWNC enjoys excellent relations with its two council districts, #4 and #13, however, we are aware all NCs are not as fortunate as HHWNC in this regard. The Council District offices should be included rather than assumed.

This completes are report on this very important motion and our HHWNC board positions and votes. We want to express our appreciation for Councilmember David Ryu's support for Neighborhood Councils as well as his teams. $\Box\Box$

Respectfully submitted,

Anastasia Mann

President, Hollywood Hills West Neighborhood Council