



Richard Williams <richard.williams@lacity.org>

---

## I Do Not Support an Increase in Funding for Vision Zero LA

---

Terry Sidell <info@actionnetwork.org>  
Reply-To: terrysidell@hotmail.com  
To: richard.williams@lacity.org

Wed, May 2, 2018 at 10:08 AM

Richard Williams,

RE: Council file No. 18-0600

I understand that the LA City Council is considering Mayor Garcetti's request to increase the budget for Vision Zero to \$91 million dollars.

Based on what was done on Venice Blvd. in Mar Vista, I have zero confidence that this money will be used wisely.

The road diet on Venice Blvd., what our community refers to as the lane thefts, has not made the boulevard any safer. Using 6 month project data from LADOT, we know that collisions per 1 million vehicle miles traveled have gone up from 3.00 pre-project to 3.22 post-project and injury collisions per 1 million vehicle miles traveled have gone up from 1.95 pre-project to 2.33 post-project.

What the lane thefts have done is create numerous collateral effects, among them:

- 1) gridlock on Venice Blvd which is affecting LAFD emergency response times during peak travel hours
- 2) a serious cut through traffic problem in our neighborhoods because the traffic volume on Venice Blvd has been decreased by LADOT from 46,500 to 31,000 cars a day
- 3) small businesses are hurting because reducing the lanes by 33% - from 6 to 4 - has resulted in 33% fewer potential customers driving down Venice Blvd.

Since its implementation in 2015, traffic related fatalities have increased under Vision Zero. I urge you to ask LADOT for an explanation why this program isn't performing to expectations before you give it more money.

I also urge you to join us in asking for the lanes to be restored on Venice Blvd.

Thank you,

Terry Sidell

Terry Sidell  
[terrysidell@hotmail.com](mailto:terrysidell@hotmail.com)



Richard Williams <[richard.williams@lacity.org](mailto:richard.williams@lacity.org)>

---

## I Do Not Support an Increase in Funding for Vision Zero LA

---

Lydia Paul <[milonga5@verizon.net](mailto:milonga5@verizon.net)>  
Reply-To: [milonga5@verizon.net](mailto:milonga5@verizon.net)  
To: [richard.williams@lacity.org](mailto:richard.williams@lacity.org)

Wed, May 2, 2018 at 9:43 PM

Richard Williams,

RE: Council file No. 18-0600

I understand that the LA City Council is considering Mayor Garcetti's request to increase the budget for Vision Zero to \$91 million dollars.

Based on what was done on Venice Blvd. in Mar Vista, I have zero confidence that this money will be used wisely.

The road diet on Venice Blvd., what our community refers to as the lane thefts, has not made the boulevard any safer. Using 6 month project data from LADOT, we know that collisions per 1 million vehicle miles traveled have gone up from 3.00 pre-project to 3.22 post-project and injury collisions per 1 million vehicle miles traveled have gone up from 1.95 pre-project to 2.33 post-project.

What the lane thefts have done is create numerous collateral effects, among them:

- 1) gridlock on Venice Blvd which is affecting LAFD emergency response times during peak travel hours
- 2) a serious cut through traffic problem in our neighborhoods because the traffic volume on Venice Blvd has been decreased by LADOT from 46,500 to 31,000 cars a day
- 3) small businesses are hurting because reducing the lanes by 33% - from 6 to 4 - has resulted in 33% fewer potential customers driving down Venice Blvd.

Since its implementation in 2015, traffic related fatalities have increased under Vision Zero. I urge you to ask LADOT for an explanation why this program isn't performing to expectations before you give it more money.

I also urge you to join us in asking for the lanes to be restored on Venice Blvd.

Thank you,

Lydia Paul  
[milonga5@verizon.net](mailto:milonga5@verizon.net)  
3551 ocean view ave  
[La, California 90066](#)



Richard Williams <richard.williams@lacity.org>

---

## I Do Not Support an Increase in Funding for Vision Zero LA

---

**Garrett Oneal** <info@actionnetwork.org>  
Reply-To: garrettoneal@yahoo.com  
To: richard.williams@lacity.org

Wed, May 2, 2018 at 2:02 PM

Richard Williams,

RE: Council file No. 18-0600

I understand that the LA City Council is considering Mayor Garcetti's request to increase the budget for Vision Zero to \$91 million dollars.

Based on what was done on Venice Blvd. in Mar Vista, I have zero confidence that this money will be used wisely.

The road diet on Venice Blvd., what our community refers to as the lane thefts, has not made the boulevard any safer. Using 6 month project data from LADOT, we know that collisions per 1 million vehicle miles traveled have gone up from 3.00 pre-project to 3.22 post-project and injury collisions per 1 million vehicle miles traveled have gone up from 1.95 pre-project to 2.33 post-project.

What the lane thefts have done is create numerous collateral effects, among them:

- 1) gridlock on Venice Blvd which is affecting LAFD emergency response times during peak travel hours
- 2) a serious cut through traffic problem in our neighborhoods because the traffic volume on Venice Blvd has been decreased by LADOT from 46,500 to 31,000 cars a day
- 3) small businesses are hurting because reducing the lanes by 33% - from 6 to 4 - has resulted in 33% fewer potential customers driving down Venice Blvd.

Since its implementation in 2015, traffic related fatalities have increased under Vision Zero. I urge you to ask LADOT for an explanation why this program isn't performing to expectations before you give it more money.

I also urge you to join us in asking for the lanes to be restored on Venice Blvd.

Thank you,

Garrett Oneal  
[garrettoneal@yahoo.com](mailto:garrettoneal@yahoo.com)  
647 1/2 crestmoore pl  
[CA, California 90291](#)



Richard Williams <[richard.williams@lacity.org](mailto:richard.williams@lacity.org)>

---

## I Do Not Support an Increase in Funding for Vision Zero LA

---

**Kennard Ramsey** <[resee9857@mypavks.net](mailto:resee9857@mypavks.net)>  
Reply-To: [resee9857@mypavks.net](mailto:resee9857@mypavks.net)  
To: [richard.williams@lacity.org](mailto:richard.williams@lacity.org)

Wed, May 2, 2018 at 2:13 PM

Richard Williams,

RE: Council file No. 18-0600

I understand that the LA City Council is considering Mayor Garcetti's request to increase the budget for Vision Zero to \$91 million dollars.

Based on what was done on Venice Blvd. in Mar Vista, I have zero confidence that this money will be used wisely.

The road diet on Venice Blvd., what our community refers to as the lane thefts, has not made the boulevard any safer. Using 6 month project data from LADOT, we know that collisions per 1 million vehicle miles traveled have gone up from 3.00 pre-project to 3.22 post-project and injury collisions per 1 million vehicle miles traveled have gone up from 1.95 pre-project to 2.33 post-project.

What the lane thefts have done is create numerous collateral effects, among them:

- 1) gridlock on Venice Blvd which is affecting LAFD emergency response times during peak travel hours
- 2) a serious cut through traffic problem in our neighborhoods because the traffic volume on Venice Blvd has been decreased by LADOT from 46,500 to 31,000 cars a day
- 3) small businesses are hurting because reducing the lanes by 33% - from 6 to 4 - has resulted in 33% fewer potential customers driving down Venice Blvd.

Since its implementation in 2015, traffic related fatalities have increased under Vision Zero. I urge you to ask LADOT for an explanation why this program isn't performing to expectations before you give it more money.

I also urge you to join us in asking for the lanes to be restored on Venice Blvd.

Thank you,

Kennard Ramsey  
[resee9857@mypavks.net](mailto:resee9857@mypavks.net)  
12532 Matteson Ave.  
[Mar Vista, California 90066](#)



Richard Williams <richard.williams@lacity.org>

---

## I Do Not Support an Increase in Funding for Vision Zero LA

---

**Beth Laird** <bethlaird@verizon.net>  
Reply-To: bethlaird@verizon.net  
To: richard.williams@lacity.org

Wed, May 2, 2018 at 3:10 PM

Richard Williams,

RE: Council file No. 18-0600

Please answer WHY is more more money going to go into a program that is FAILING? LADOT has got to come up with an explanation as to why money should be spent on a LOSING project. I live on Charnock and get all the overflow from the gridlock on Venice CAUSED BY THIS DISASTROUS PROJECT. To bottleneck a major thoroughfare that runs all the way from DTLA to the beach is absurd and ludicrous! Certainly will deter tourists from the area, including my family! Common sense should dictate where the money goes. The cart was put before the horse because if there's no viable public transportation, then people are going to continue to drive in their cars, like myself. I can't get to Torrance from Mar Vista in a reasonable time any other way than driving. Change that and I will HAPPILY AND GLADLY change my mode of transportation! The lanes need restoring before the beach traffic starts getting heavy. Please listen to all citizens opposed, which is about 4 to 1. In 25 years, we had our first FATAL accident (TWO deaths) because of road rage that has forced drivers to find alternate routes around the mess LADOT and Zero Vision has caused. I may be moving out of state to escape the situation here. It's become very UNpleasant living anywhere close to Venice Blvd now. Please do something about it!!! We voted you in, so please DO YOUR JOB and represent US, not LADOT. Build our public transportation system FIRST, then you can push us out of our cars!!

I understand that the LA City Council is considering Mayor Garcetti's request to increase the budget for Vision Zero to \$91 million dollars.

Based on what was done on Venice Blvd. in Mar Vista, I have ABSOLUTELY ZERO confidence this money will be used wisely.

The road diet, aka LANE THEFTS, on Venice Blvd., has NOT made the boulevard safer.

Using 6 month project data from LADOT, we know that collisions per 1 million vehicle miles traveled have gone up from 3.00 pre-project to 3.22 post-project and injury collisions per 1 million vehicle miles traveled have gone up from 1.95 pre-project to 2.33 post-project.

What the lane thefts have done is create numerous collateral effects, among them:

- 1) gridlock on Venice Blvd which is affecting LAFD emergency response times during peak travel hours

2) a serious cut through traffic problem in our neighborhoods because the traffic volume on Venice Blvd has been decreased by LADOT from 46,500 to 31,000 cars a day

3) small businesses are hurting because reducing the lanes by 33% - from 6 to 4 - has resulted in 33% fewer potential customers driving down Venice Blvd.

Since its implementation in 2015, traffic related fatalities have increased under Vision Zero. I urge you to ask LADOT for an explanation why this program isn't performing to expectations before you give it more money.

I also urge you to join us in asking for the lanes to be restored on Venice Blvd.

Thank you,  
Beth Laird

Beth Laird  
[bethlaird@verizon.net](mailto:bethlaird@verizon.net)  
11706 Charnock Road  
[Los Angeles, California 90066](#)



Richard Williams <[richard.williams@lacity.org](mailto:richard.williams@lacity.org)>

---

## I Do Not Support an Increase in Funding for Vision Zero LA

---

Julie Martin <[info@actionnetwork.org](mailto:info@actionnetwork.org)>  
Reply-To: [julamartin10@gmail.com](mailto:julamartin10@gmail.com)  
To: [richard.williams@lacity.org](mailto:richard.williams@lacity.org)

Wed, May 2, 2018 at 3:26 PM

Richard Williams,

RE: Council file No. 18-0600

I understand that the LA City Council is considering Mayor Garcetti's request to increase the budget for Vision Zero to \$91 million dollars.

Based on what was done on Venice Blvd. in Mar Vista, I have zero confidence that this money will be used wisely.

The road diet on Venice Blvd., what our community refers to as the lane thefts, has not made the boulevard any safer. Using 6 month project data from LADOT, we know that collisions per 1 million vehicle miles traveled have gone up from 3.00 pre-project to 3.22 post-project and injury collisions per 1 million vehicle miles traveled have gone up from 1.95 pre-project to 2.33 post-project.

What the lane thefts have done is create numerous collateral effects, among them:

- 1) gridlock on Venice Blvd which is affecting LAFD emergency response times during peak travel hours
- 2) a serious cut through traffic problem in our neighborhoods because the traffic volume on Venice Blvd has been decreased by LADOT from 46,500 to 31,000 cars a day
- 3) small businesses are hurting because reducing the lanes by 33% - from 6 to 4 - has resulted in 33% fewer potential customers driving down Venice Blvd.

Since its implementation in 2015, traffic related fatalities have increased under Vision Zero. I urge you to ask LADOT for an explanation why this program isn't performing to expectations before you give it more money.

I also urge you to join us in asking for the lanes to be restored on Venice Blvd.

Thank you,

Julie Martin  
[julamartin10@gmail.com](mailto:julamartin10@gmail.com)  
107 1st Ave N  
Frederic, Wisconsin 54837



Richard Williams <richard.williams@lacity.org>

---

## I Do Not Support an Increase in Funding for Vision Zero LA

---

sal Chavez <salmchavez@verizon.net>  
Reply-To: salmchavez@verizon.net  
To: richard.williams@lacity.org

Wed, May 2, 2018 at 4:05 PM

Richard Williams,

RE: Council file No. 18-0600

I understand that the LA City Council is considering Mayor Garcetti's request to increase the budget for Vision Zero to \$91 million dollars.

Based on what was done on Venice Blvd. in Mar Vista, I have zero confidence that this money will be used wisely.

The road diet on Venice Blvd., what our community refers to as the lane thefts, has not made the boulevard any safer. Using 6 month project data from LADOT, we know that collisions per 1 million vehicle miles traveled have gone up from 3.00 pre-project to 3.22 post-project and injury collisions per 1 million vehicle miles traveled have gone up from 1.95 pre-project to 2.33 post-project.

What the lane thefts have done is create numerous collateral effects, among them:

- 1) gridlock on Venice Blvd which is affecting LAFD emergency response times during peak travel hours
- 2) a serious cut through traffic problem in our neighborhoods because the traffic volume on Venice Blvd has been decreased by LADOT from 46,500 to 31,000 cars a day
- 3) small businesses are hurting because reducing the lanes by 33% - from 6 to 4 - has resulted in 33% fewer potential customers driving down Venice Blvd.

Since its implementation in 2015, traffic related fatalities have increased under Vision Zero. I urge you to ask LADOT for an explanation why this program isn't performing to expectations before you give it more money.

I also urge you to join us in asking for the lanes to be restored on Venice Blvd.

Thank you,

sal Chavez  
[salmchavez@verizon.net](mailto:salmchavez@verizon.net)  
11706 Charnock rd.  
[Los Angeles, California 90066](#)





Richard Williams <richard.williams@lacity.org>

---

## I Do Not Support an Increase in Funding for Vision Zero LA

---

**Robert Borunda** <info@actionnetwork.org>  
Reply-To: borundarj@gmail.com  
To: richard.williams@lacity.org

Wed, May 2, 2018 at 4:06 PM

Richard Williams,

RE: Council file No. 18-0600

I understand that the LA City Council is considering Mayor Garcetti's request to increase the budget for Vision Zero to \$91 million dollars.

Based on what was done on Venice Blvd. in Mar Vista, I have zero confidence that this money will be used wisely.

The road diet on Venice Blvd., what our community refers to as the lane thefts, has not made the boulevard any safer. Using 6 month project data from LADOT, we know that collisions per 1 million vehicle miles traveled have gone up from 3.00 pre-project to 3.22 post-project and injury collisions per 1 million vehicle miles traveled have gone up from 1.95 pre-project to 2.33 post-project.

What the lane thefts have done is create numerous collateral effects, among them:

- 1) gridlock on Venice Blvd which is affecting LAFD emergency response times during peak travel hours
- 2) a serious cut through traffic problem in our neighborhoods because the traffic volume on Venice Blvd has been decreased by LADOT from 46,500 to 31,000 cars a day
- 3) small businesses are hurting because reducing the lanes by 33% - from 6 to 4 - has resulted in 33% fewer potential customers driving down Venice Blvd.

Since its implementation in 2015, traffic related fatalities have increased under Vision Zero. I urge you to ask LADOT for an explanation why this program isn't performing to expectations before you give it more money.

I also urge you to join us in asking for the lanes to be restored on Venice Blvd.

Thank you,

Robert Borunda  
[borundarj@gmail.com](mailto:borundarj@gmail.com)

Grandview  
Mar Vista, California 90066



Richard Williams <richard.williams@lacity.org>

---

## I Do Not Support an Increase in Funding for Vision Zero LA

---

**Merle Berry** <info@actionnetwork.org>  
Reply-To: merleberry@gmail.com  
To: richard.williams@lacity.org

Wed, May 2, 2018 at 4:27 PM

Richard Williams,

RE: Council file No. 18-0600

I understand that the LA City Council is considering Mayor Garcetti's request to increase the budget for Vision Zero to \$91 million dollars.

Based on what was done on Venice Blvd. in Mar Vista, I have zero confidence that this money will be used wisely.

The road diet on Venice Blvd., what our community refers to as the lane thefts, has not made the boulevard any safer. Using 6 month project data from LADOT, we know that collisions per 1 million vehicle miles traveled have gone up from 3.00 pre-project to 3.22 post-project and injury collisions per 1 million vehicle miles traveled have gone up from 1.95 pre-project to 2.33 post-project.

What the lane thefts have done is create numerous collateral effects, among them:

- 1) gridlock on Venice Blvd which is affecting LAFD emergency response times during peak travel hours
- 2) a serious cut through traffic problem in our neighborhoods because the traffic volume on Venice Blvd has been decreased by LADOT from 46,500 to 31,000 cars a day
- 3) small businesses are hurting because reducing the lanes by 33% - from 6 to 4 - has resulted in 33% fewer potential customers driving down Venice Blvd.

Since its implementation in 2015, traffic related fatalities have increased under Vision Zero. I urge you to ask LADOT for an explanation why this program isn't performing to expectations before you give it more money.

I have lived in Mar Vista for 25 years and have never seen traffic on Venice Blvd worse than it is now. We also have so many people cutting through our neighborhood driving like maniacs in order to avoid the changed Venice Blvd.

I also urge you to restore streets back on Venice Blvd it was much much n Better before and there are barely any cyclists on Venice anyway.

Thank you,

Merle Berry  
[merleberry@gmail.com](mailto:merleberry@gmail.com)  
3635 Wasatch Avenue  
Los Angeles , California '90066



Richard Williams <[richard.williams@lacity.org](mailto:richard.williams@lacity.org)>

---

## I Do Not Support an Increase in Funding for Vision Zero LA

---

Frank Defurio <[defurio@msn.com](mailto:defurio@msn.com)>  
Reply-To: [defurio@msn.com](mailto:defurio@msn.com)  
To: [richard.williams@lacity.org](mailto:richard.williams@lacity.org)

Wed, May 2, 2018 at 4:57 PM

Richard Williams,

RE: Council file No. 18-0600

I understand that the LA City Council is considering Mayor Garcetti's request to increase the budget for Vision Zero to \$91 million dollars.

Based on what was done on Venice Blvd. in Mar Vista, I have zero confidence that this money will be used wisely.

The road diet on Venice Blvd., what our community refers to as the lane thefts, has not made the boulevard any safer. Using 6 month project data from LADOT, we know that collisions per 1 million vehicle miles traveled have gone up from 3.00 pre-project to 3.22 post-project and injury collisions per 1 million vehicle miles traveled have gone up from 1.95 pre-project to 2.33 post-project.

What the lane thefts have done is create numerous collateral effects, among them:

- 1) gridlock on Venice Blvd which is affecting LAFD emergency response times during peak travel hours
- 2) a serious cut through traffic problem in our neighborhoods because the traffic volume on Venice Blvd has been decreased by LADOT from 46,500 to 31,000 cars a day
- 3) small businesses are hurting because reducing the lanes by 33% - from 6 to 4 - has resulted in 33% fewer potential customers driving down Venice Blvd.

Since its implementation in 2015, traffic related fatalities have increased under Vision Zero. I urge you to ask LADOT for an explanation why this program isn't performing to expectations before you give it more money.

I also urge you to join us in asking for the lanes to be restored on Venice Blvd.

Thank you,

Frank Defurio  
[defurio@msn.com](mailto:defurio@msn.com)

Los Angeles, California 90291



Richard Williams <richard.williams@lacity.org>

---

## I Do Not Support an Increase in Funding for Vision Zero LA

---

**DEMETRIOS MAVROMICHALIS** <info@actionnetwork.org>  
Reply-To: dememavro@gmail.com  
To: richard.williams@lacity.org

Wed, May 2, 2018 at 6:28 PM

Richard Williams,

RE: Council file No. 18-0600

To whom it may concern,

I understand that the LA City Council is considering Mayor Garcetti's request to increase the budget for Vision Zero to \$91 million dollars.

Based on what was done on Venice Blvd. in Mar Vista, I have zero confidence that this money will be used wisely.

The road diet on Venice Blvd., what our community refers to as the lane thefts, and Bonin's broken blvd has not made the boulevard any safer. Using 6 month project data from LADOT, we know that collisions per 1 million vehicle miles traveled have gone up from 3.00 pre-project to 3.22 post-project and injury collisions per 1 million vehicle miles traveled have gone up from 1.95 pre-project to 2.33 post-project.

What the lane thefts have done is create numerous collateral effects, among them:

- 1) gridlock on Venice Blvd which is affecting LAFD emergency response times during peak travel hours
- 2) a serious cut through traffic problem in our neighborhoods because the traffic volume on Venice Blvd has been decreased by LADOT from 46,500 to 31,000 cars a day
- 3) small businesses are hurting because reducing the lanes by 33% - from 6 to 4 - has resulted in 33% fewer potential customers driving down Venice Blvd.

Since its implementation in 2015, traffic related fatalities have increased under Vision Zero. I urge you to ask LADOT for an explanation why this program isn't performing to expectations before you give it more money.

I also urge you to join us in asking for the lanes to be restored on Venice Blvd.

Thank you,

Demetrios Mavromichalis  
Venice Grind

DEMETRIOS MAVROMICHALIS

[dememavro@gmail.com](mailto:dememavro@gmail.com)

12224 VENICE BLVD

MAR VISTA, California 90066



Richard Williams <[richard.williams@lacity.org](mailto:richard.williams@lacity.org)>

---

## I Do Not Support an Increase in Funding for Vision Zero LA

---

Richard Hunter <[info@actionnetwork.org](mailto:info@actionnetwork.org)>  
Reply-To: [rehunterjr80@gmail.com](mailto:rehunterjr80@gmail.com)  
To: [richard.williams@lacity.org](mailto:richard.williams@lacity.org)

Wed, May 2, 2018 at 8:50 PM

Richard Williams,

RE: Council file No. 18-0600

I understand that the LA City Council is considering Mayor Garcetti's request to increase the budget for Vision Zero to \$91 million dollars.

Based on what was done on Venice Blvd. in Mar Vista, I have zero confidence that this money will be used wisely.

The road diet on Venice Blvd., what our community refers to as the lane thefts, has not made the boulevard any safer. Using 6 month project data from LADOT, we know that collisions per 1 million vehicle miles traveled have gone up from 3.00 pre-project to 3.22 post-project and injury collisions per 1 million vehicle miles traveled have gone up from 1.95 pre-project to 2.33 post-project.

What the lane thefts have done is create numerous collateral effects, among them:

- 1) gridlock on Venice Blvd which is affecting LAFD emergency response times during peak travel hours
- 2) a serious cut through traffic problem in our neighborhoods because the traffic volume on Venice Blvd has been decreased by LADOT from 46,500 to 31,000 cars a day
- 3) small businesses are hurting because reducing the lanes by 33% - from 6 to 4 - has resulted in 33% fewer potential customers driving down Venice Blvd.

Since its implementation in 2015, traffic related fatalities have increased under Vision Zero. I urge you to ask LADOT for an explanation why this program isn't performing to expectations before you give it more money.

I also urge you to join us in asking for the lanes to be restored on Venice Blvd.

Thank you,

Richard Hunter  
[rehunterjr80@gmail.com](mailto:rehunterjr80@gmail.com)  
2334 Wilson Ave.  
California, California 90291-4739



Richard Williams <[richard.williams@lacity.org](mailto:richard.williams@lacity.org)>

---

## I Do Not Support an Increase in Funding for Vision Zero LA

---

**Janae Polish** <[info@actionnetwork.org](mailto:info@actionnetwork.org)>  
Reply-To: [pebsprecious@yahoo.com](mailto:pebsprecious@yahoo.com)  
To: [richard.williams@lacity.org](mailto:richard.williams@lacity.org)

Wed, May 2, 2018 at 9:04 PM

Richard Williams,

RE: Council file No. 18-0600

I understand that the LA City Council is considering Mayor Garcetti's request to increase the budget for Vision Zero to \$91 million dollars.

Based on what was done on Venice Blvd. in Mar Vista, I have zero confidence that this money will be used wisely.

The road diet on Venice Blvd., what our community refers to as the lane thefts, has not made the boulevard any safer. Using 6 month project data from LADOT, we know that collisions per 1 million vehicle miles traveled have gone up from 3.00 pre-project to 3.22 post-project and injury collisions per 1 million vehicle miles traveled have gone up from 1.95 pre-project to 2.33 post-project.

What the lane thefts have done is create numerous collateral effects, among them:

- 1) gridlock on Venice Blvd which is affecting LAFD emergency response times during peak travel hours
- 2) a serious cut through traffic problem in our neighborhoods because the traffic volume on Venice Blvd has been decreased by LADOT from 46,500 to 31,000 cars a day
- 3) small businesses are hurting because reducing the lanes by 33% - from 6 to 4 - has resulted in 33% fewer potential customers driving down Venice Blvd.

Since its implementation in 2015, traffic related fatalities have increased under Vision Zero. I urge you to ask LADOT for an explanation why this program isn't performing to expectations before you give it more money.

I also urge you to join us in asking for the lanes to be restored on Venice Blvd.

Thank you,

Janae Polish  
[pebsprecious@yahoo.com](mailto:pebsprecious@yahoo.com)

Los Angeles, California 90291





Richard Williams <richard.williams@lacity.org>

---

## I Do Not Support an Increase in Funding for Vision Zero LA

---

Anita Zubere <info@actionnetwork.org>  
Reply-To: amzubere@gmail.com  
To: richard.williams@lacity.org

Wed, May 2, 2018 at 11:04 AM

Richard Williams,

RE: Council file No. 18-0600

I understand that the LA City Council is considering Mayor Garcetti's request to increase the budget for Vision Zero to \$91 million dollars.

Based on what was done on Venice Blvd. in Mar Vista, I have zero confidence that this money will be used wisely.

The road diet on Venice Blvd., what our community refers to as the lane thefts, has not made the boulevard any safer. Using 6 month project data from LADOT, we know that collisions per 1 million vehicle miles traveled have gone up from 3.00 pre-project to 3.22 post-project and injury collisions per 1 million vehicle miles traveled have gone up from 1.95 pre-project to 2.33 post-project.

What the lane thefts have done is create numerous collateral effects, among them:

- 1) gridlock on Venice Blvd which is affecting LAFD emergency response times during peak travel hours
- 2) a serious cut through traffic problem in our neighborhoods because the traffic volume on Venice Blvd has been decreased by LADOT from 46,500 to 31,000 cars a day
- 3) small businesses are hurting because reducing the lanes by 33% - from 6 to 4 - has resulted in 33% fewer potential customers driving down Venice Blvd.

Since its implementation in 2015, traffic related fatalities have increased under Vision Zero. I urge you to ask LADOT for an explanation why this program isn't performing to expectations before you give it more money.

I also urge you to join us in asking for the lanes to be restored on Venice Blvd.

Thank you,  
Anita Zubere  
19 - 29th Avenue  
[Venice 90291](#)

Anita Zubere  
[amzubere@gmail.com](mailto:amzubere@gmail.com)

19 - 29th Avenue  
Venice, California 90291



Richard Williams <richard.williams@lacity.org>

---

## I Do Not Support an Increase in Funding for Vision Zero LA

---

David Wire <info@actionnetwork.org>  
Reply-To: espeefan@hotmail.com  
To: richard.williams@lacity.org

Wed, May 2, 2018 at 11:35 AM

Richard Williams,

RE: Council file No. 18-0600

I understand that the LA City Council is considering Mayor Garcetti's request to increase the budget for Vision Zero to \$91 million dollars.

Based on what was done on Venice Blvd. in Mar Vista, I have zero confidence that this money will be used wisely.

The road diet on Venice Blvd., what our community refers to as the lane thefts, has not made the boulevard any safer. Using 6 month project data from LADOT, we know that collisions per 1 million vehicle miles traveled have gone up from 3.00 pre-project to 3.22 post-project and injury collisions per 1 million vehicle miles traveled have gone up from 1.95 pre-project to 2.33 post-project.

What the lane thefts have done is create numerous collateral effects, among them:

- 1) gridlock on Venice Blvd which is affecting LAFD emergency response times during peak travel hours
- 2) a serious cut through traffic problem in our neighborhoods because the traffic volume on Venice Blvd has been decreased by LADOT from 46,500 to 31,000 cars a day. Even after 8 P.M. traffic crossing Venice Blvd. on Centinela Avenue is now backed up. This is a recent development, and did not happen before the lane closures on Venice Blvd.
- 3) small businesses are hurting because reducing the lanes by 33% - from 6 to 4 - has resulted in 33% fewer potential customers driving down Venice Blvd.

Since its implementation in 2015, traffic related fatalities have increased under Vision Zero. I urge you to ask LADOT for an explanation why this program isn't performing to expectations before you give it more money.

Furthermore, although I do not know how much was spent on Venice Blvd. to implement the changes, but I'm sure, including the new mid block traffic signals and pedestrian cut throughs that it was at least a couple of million dollars. How many homeless could have been housed or otherwise helped for that kind of money?

This scheme is robbing thousands of people of their time (aka their parts of their lives). Sure, it's a few minutes a day spread over thousands, but it adds up.

I also urge you to join us in asking for the lanes to be restored on Venice Blvd.

Thank you,

David Wire

David Wire

[espeefan@hotmail.com](mailto:espeefan@hotmail.com)

3945 Frances Ave.

Los Angeles, California 90066

2338 Cloy Avenue  
Venice, California 90291



Richard Williams <[richard.williams@lacity.org](mailto:richard.williams@lacity.org)>

---

## I Do Not Support an Increase in Funding for Vision Zero LA

---

Joel Polis <[info@actionnetwork.org](mailto:info@actionnetwork.org)>  
Reply-To: [joelyman1@yahoo.com](mailto:joelyman1@yahoo.com)  
To: [richard.williams@lacity.org](mailto:richard.williams@lacity.org)

Wed, May 2, 2018 at 7:59 AM

Richard Williams,

RE: Council file No. 18-0600

I understand that the LA City Council is considering Mayor Garcetti's request to increase the budget for Vision Zero to \$91 million dollars.

Based on what was done on Venice Blvd. in Mar Vista, I have zero confidence that this money will be used wisely.

The road diet on Venice Blvd., what our community refers to as the lane thefts, has not made the boulevard any safer. Using 6 month project data from LADOT, we know that collisions per 1 million vehicle miles traveled have gone up from 3.00 pre-project to 3.22 post-project and injury collisions per 1 million vehicle miles traveled have gone up from 1.95 pre-project to 2.33 post-project.

What the lane thefts have done is create numerous collateral effects, among them:

- 1) gridlock on Venice Blvd which is affecting LAFD emergency response times during peak travel hours
- 2) a serious cut through traffic problem in our neighborhoods because the traffic volume on Venice Blvd has been decreased by LADOT from 46,500 to 31,000 cars a day
- 3) small businesses are hurting because reducing the lanes by 33% - from 6 to 4 - has resulted in 33% fewer potential customers driving down Venice Blvd.

Since its implementation in 2015, traffic related fatalities have increased under Vision Zero. I urge you to ask LADOT for an explanation why this program isn't performing to expectations before you give it more money.

I also urge you to join us in asking for the lanes to be restored on Venice Blvd.

Thank you,

Joel Polis  
[joelyman1@yahoo.com](mailto:joelyman1@yahoo.com)  
2700 Abbot kinney Blvd.  
Venice, California 90291



Richard Williams <[richard.williams@lacity.org](mailto:richard.williams@lacity.org)>

---

## I Do Not Support an Increase in Funding for Vision Zero LA

---

Laura Smith <[info@actionnetwork.org](mailto:info@actionnetwork.org)>  
Reply-To: [akasmith63@yahoo.com](mailto:akasmith63@yahoo.com)  
To: [richard.williams@lacity.org](mailto:richard.williams@lacity.org)

Wed, May 2, 2018 at 8:02 AM

Richard Williams,

RE: Council file No. 18-0600

I understand that the LA City Council is considering Mayor Garcetti's request to increase the budget for Vision Zero to \$91 million dollars.

Based on what was done on Venice Blvd. in Mar Vista, I have zero confidence that this money will be used wisely.

The road diet on Venice Blvd., what our community refers to as the lane thefts, has not made the boulevard any safer. Using 6 month project data from LADOT, we know that collisions per 1 million vehicle miles traveled have gone up from 3.00 pre-project to 3.22 post-project and injury collisions per 1 million vehicle miles traveled have gone up from 1.95 pre-project to 2.33 post-project.

What the lane thefts have done is create numerous collateral effects, among them:

- 1) gridlock on Venice Blvd which is affecting LAFD emergency response times during peak travel hours
- 2) a serious cut through traffic problem in our neighborhoods because the traffic volume on Venice Blvd has been decreased by LADOT from 46,500 to 31,000 cars a day
- 3) small businesses are hurting because reducing the lanes by 33% - from 6 to 4 - has resulted in 33% fewer potential customers driving down Venice Blvd.

Since its implementation in 2015, traffic related fatalities have increased under Vision Zero. I urge you to ask LADOT for an explanation why this program isn't performing to expectations before you give it more money.

I also urge you to join us in asking for the lanes to be restored on Venice Blvd.

Thank you,

Laura Smith  
[akasmith63@yahoo.com](mailto:akasmith63@yahoo.com)  
4273 Lyceum Avenue  
[Los Angeles, California 90066](http://Los Angeles, California 90066)



Richard Williams <richard.williams@lacity.org>

---

## I Do Not Support an Increase in Funding for Vision Zero LA

---

Jay Garacochea <info@actionnetwork.org>  
Reply-To: unknownmotor@hotmail.com  
To: richard.williams@lacity.org

Wed, May 2, 2018 at 8:15 AM

Richard Williams,

RE: Council file No. 18-0600

I understand that the LA City Council is considering Mayor Garcetti's request to increase the budget for Vision Zero to \$91 million dollars.

Based on what was done on Venice Blvd. in Mar Vista, I have zero confidence that this money will be used wisely.

The road diet on Venice Blvd., what our community refers to as the lane thefts, has not made the boulevard any safer. Using 6 month project data from LADOT, we know that collisions per 1 million vehicle miles traveled have gone up from 3.00 pre-project to 3.22 post-project and injury collisions per 1 million vehicle miles traveled have gone up from 1.95 pre-project to 2.33 post-project.

What the lane thefts have done is create numerous collateral effects, among them:

- 1) gridlock on Venice Blvd which is affecting LAFD emergency response times during peak travel hours
- 2) a serious cut through traffic problem in our neighborhoods because the traffic volume on Venice Blvd has been decreased by LADOT from 46,500 to 31,000 cars a day
- 3) small businesses are hurting because reducing the lanes by 33% - from 6 to 4 - has resulted in 33% fewer potential customers driving down Venice Blvd.

Since its implementation in 2015, traffic related fatalities have increased under Vision Zero. I urge you to ask LADOT for an explanation why this program isn't performing to expectations before you give it more money.

I also urge you to join us in asking for the lanes to be restored on Venice Blvd.

Thank you,

Jay Garacochea

Jay Garacochea  
[unknownmotor@hotmail.com](mailto:unknownmotor@hotmail.com)



3959 Globe Ave

CULVER CITY, California 902304602



Richard Williams <richard.williams@lacity.org>

---

## Vision Zero has been a bust

---

**Paula Davis** <info@actionnetwork.org>  
Reply-To: pjday@yahoo.com  
To: richard.williams@lacity.org

Wed, May 2, 2018 at 8:24 AM

Richard Williams,

RE: Council file No. 18-0600

Vision zero was an experiment that failed. It was a decent idea, but was implemented badly. I understand that the LA City Council is considering Mayor Garcetti's request to increase the budget for Vision Zero to \$91 million dollars.

Based on what was done on Venice Blvd. in Mar Vista, I have zero confidence that this money will be used wisely.

The road diet on Venice Blvd., what our community refers to as the lane thefts, has not made the boulevard any safer. Using 6 month project data from LADOT, we know that collisions per 1 million vehicle miles traveled have gone up from 3.00 pre-project to 3.22 post-project and injury collisions per 1 million vehicle miles traveled have gone up from 1.95 pre-project to 2.33 post-project.

What the lane thefts have done is create numerous collateral effects, among them:

- 1) gridlock on Venice Blvd which is affecting LAFD emergency response times during peak travel hours
- 2) a serious cut through traffic problem in our neighborhoods because the traffic volume on Venice Blvd has been decreased by LADOT from 46,500 to 31,000 cars a day
- 3) small businesses are hurting because reducing the lanes by 33% - from 6 to 4 - has resulted in 33% fewer potential customers driving down Venice Blvd.

Since its implementation in 2015, traffic related fatalities have increased under Vision Zero. I urge you to ask LADOT for an explanation why this program isn't performing to expectations before you give it more money.

I also urge you to join us in asking for the lanes to be restored on Venice Blvd.

Thank you,

Paula Davis  
[pjday@yahoo.com](mailto:pjday@yahoo.com)  
3807 lyceum ave  
[Los angeles, California 90066](#)



Richard Williams <richard.williams@lacity.org>

---

## I Do Not Support an Increase in Funding for Vision Zero LA

---

Alexandra Gucovsky <info@actionnetwork.org>  
Reply-To: beachbubbles94@gmail.com  
To: richard.williams@lacity.org

Wed, May 2, 2018 at 8:35 AM

Richard Williams,

RE: Council file No. 18-0600

I understand that the LA City Council is considering Mayor Garcetti's request to increase the budget for Vision Zero to \$91 million dollars.

Based on what was done on Venice Blvd. in Mar Vista, I have zero confidence that this money will be used wisely.

The road diet on Venice Blvd., what our community refers to as the lane thefts, has not made the boulevard any safer. Using 6 month project data from LADOT, we know that collisions per 1 million vehicle miles traveled have gone up from 3.00 pre-project to 3.22 post-project and injury collisions per 1 million vehicle miles traveled have gone up from 1.95 pre-project to 2.33 post-project.

What the lane thefts have done is create numerous collateral effects, among them:

- 1) gridlock on Venice Blvd which is affecting LAFD emergency response times during peak travel hours
- 2) a serious cut through traffic problem in our neighborhoods because the traffic volume on Venice Blvd has been decreased by LADOT from 46,500 to 31,000 cars a day
- 3) small businesses are hurting because reducing the lanes by 33% - from 6 to 4 - has resulted in 33% fewer potential customers driving down Venice Blvd.

Since its implementation in 2015, traffic related fatalities have increased under Vision Zero. I urge you to ask LADOT for an explanation why this program isn't performing to expectations before you give it more money.

I also urge you to join us in asking for the lanes to be restored on Venice Blvd.

Thank you,

Alexandra Gucovsky  
[beachbubbles94@gmail.com](mailto:beachbubbles94@gmail.com)  
2909 Grayson avenue  
Venice, California 90291



Richard Williams <[richard.williams@lacity.org](mailto:richard.williams@lacity.org)>

---

## I Do Not Support an Increase in Funding for Vision Zero LA

---

**M Rosenberg** <[rosenbergconsulting@mac.com](mailto:rosenbergconsulting@mac.com)>  
Reply-To: [rosenbergconsulting@mac.com](mailto:rosenbergconsulting@mac.com)  
To: [richard.williams@lacity.org](mailto:richard.williams@lacity.org)

Wed, May 2, 2018 at 8:36 AM

Richard Williams,

RE: Council file No. 18-0600

GET RID OF BONIN

I understand that the LA City Council is considering Mayor Garcetti's request to increase the budget for Vision Zero to \$91 million dollars.

Based on what was done on Venice Blvd. in Mar Vista, I have zero confidence that this money will be used wisely.

The road diet on Venice Blvd., what our community refers to as the lane thefts, has not made the boulevard any safer. Using 6 month project data from LADOT, we know that collisions per 1 million vehicle miles traveled have gone up from 3.00 pre-project to 3.22 post-project and injury collisions per 1 million vehicle miles traveled have gone up from 1.95 pre-project to 2.33 post-project.

What the lane thefts have done is create numerous collateral effects, among them:

- 1) gridlock on Venice Blvd which is affecting LAFD emergency response times during peak travel hours
- 2) a serious cut through traffic problem in our neighborhoods because the traffic volume on Venice Blvd has been decreased by LADOT from 46,500 to 31,000 cars a day
- 3) small businesses are hurting because reducing the lanes by 33% - from 6 to 4 - has resulted in 33% fewer potential customers driving down Venice Blvd.

Since its implementation in 2015, traffic related fatalities have increased under Vision Zero. I urge you to ask LADOT for an explanation why this program isn't performing to expectations before you give it more money.

I also urge you to join us in asking for the lanes to be restored on Venice Blvd.

Thank you,

M Rosenberg  
[rosenbergconsulting@mac.com](mailto:rosenbergconsulting@mac.com)

12405 Venice Blvd, Suite 260  
Los Angeles, California 90066



Richard Williams <richard.williams@lacity.org>

---

## I Do Not Support an Increase in Funding for Vision Zero LA

---

Jean Pappalardo <info@actionnetwork.org>  
Reply-To: injeanius71@yahoo.com  
To: richard.williams@lacity.org

Wed, May 2, 2018 at 8:42 AM

Richard Williams,

RE: Council file No. 18-0600

I understand that the LA City Council is considering Mayor Garcetti's request to increase the budget for Vision Zero to \$91 million dollars.

Based on what was done on Venice Blvd. in Mar Vista, I have zero confidence that this money will be used wisely.

The road diet on Venice Blvd., what our community refers to as the lane thefts, has not made the boulevard any safer. Using 6 month project data from LADOT, we know that collisions per 1 million vehicle miles traveled have gone up from 3.00 pre-project to 3.22 post-project and injury collisions per 1 million vehicle miles traveled have gone up from 1.95 pre-project to 2.33 post-project.

What the lane thefts have done is create numerous collateral effects, among them:

- 1) gridlock on Venice Blvd which is affecting LAFD emergency response times during peak travel hours
- 2) a serious cut through traffic problem in our neighborhoods because the traffic volume on Venice Blvd has been decreased by LADOT from 46,500 to 31,000 cars a day
- 3) small businesses are hurting because reducing the lanes by 33% - from 6 to 4 - has resulted in 33% fewer potential customers driving down Venice Blvd.

Since its implementation in 2015, traffic related fatalities have increased under Vision Zero. I urge you to ask LADOT for an explanation why this program isn't performing to expectations before you give it more money.

I also urge you to join us in asking for the lanes to be restored on Venice Blvd.

Thank you,

Jean Pappalardo  
[injeanius71@yahoo.com](mailto:injeanius71@yahoo.com)  
12621 Mitchell Ave., Apt. 7  
Los Angeles, California 90066



Richard Williams <[richard.williams@lacity.org](mailto:richard.williams@lacity.org)>

---

## I Do Not Support an Increase in Funding for Vision Zero LA

---

Richard Wafer <[info@actionnetwork.org](mailto:info@actionnetwork.org)>  
Reply-To: [richwafer@hotmail.com](mailto:richwafer@hotmail.com)  
To: [richard.williams@lacity.org](mailto:richard.williams@lacity.org)

Wed, May 2, 2018 at 8:55 AM

Richard Williams,

RE: Council file No. 18-0600

I understand that the LA City Council is considering Mayor Garcetti's request to increase the budget for Vision Zero to \$91 million dollars.

Based on what was done on Venice Blvd. in Mar Vista, I have zero confidence that this money will be used wisely.

The road diet on Venice Blvd., what our community refers to as the lane thefts, has not made the boulevard any safer. Using 6 month project data from LADOT, we know that collisions per 1 million vehicle miles traveled have gone up from 3.00 pre-project to 3.22 post-project and injury collisions per 1 million vehicle miles traveled have gone up from 1.95 pre-project to 2.33 post-project.

What the lane thefts have done is create numerous collateral effects, among them:

- 1) gridlock on Venice Blvd which is affecting LAFD emergency response times during peak travel hours
- 2) a serious cut through traffic problem in our neighborhoods because the traffic volume on Venice Blvd has been decreased by LADOT from 46,500 to 31,000 cars a day
- 3) small businesses are hurting because reducing the lanes by 33% - from 6 to 4 - has resulted in 33% fewer potential customers driving down Venice Blvd.

Since its implementation in 2015, traffic related fatalities have increased under Vision Zero. I urge you to ask LADOT for an explanation why this program isn't performing to expectations before you give it more money.

I also urge you to join us in asking for the lanes to be restored on Venice Blvd.

Thank you,

Richard Wafer  
[richwafer@hotmail.com](mailto:richwafer@hotmail.com)  
3635 MOuntain View Ave  
[LA, California 90066](#)



Richard Williams <[richard.williams@lacity.org](mailto:richard.williams@lacity.org)>

---

## I Do Not Support an Increase in Funding for Vision Zero LA

---

Trinidad Centeno <[info@actionnetwork.org](mailto:info@actionnetwork.org)>  
Reply-To: [lkrfant81@hotmail.com](mailto:lkrfant81@hotmail.com)  
To: [richard.williams@lacity.org](mailto:richard.williams@lacity.org)

Wed, May 2, 2018 at 9:04 AM

Richard Williams,

RE: Council file No. 18-0600

I understand that the LA City Council is considering Mayor Garcetti's request to increase the budget for Vision Zero to \$91 million dollars.

Based on what was done on Venice Blvd. in Mar Vista, I have zero confidence that this money will be used wisely.

The road diet on Venice Blvd., what our community refers to as the lane thefts, has not made the boulevard any safer. Using 6 month project data from LADOT, we know that collisions per 1 million vehicle miles traveled have gone up from 3.00 pre-project to 3.22 post-project and injury collisions per 1 million vehicle miles traveled have gone up from 1.95 pre-project to 2.33 post-project.

What the lane thefts have done is create numerous collateral effects, among them:

- 1) gridlock on Venice Blvd which is affecting LAFD emergency response times during peak travel hours
- 2) a serious cut through traffic problem in our neighborhoods because the traffic volume on Venice Blvd has been decreased by LADOT from 46,500 to 31,000 cars a day
- 3) small businesses are hurting because reducing the lanes by 33% - from 6 to 4 - has resulted in 33% fewer potential customers driving down Venice Blvd.

Since its implementation in 2015, traffic related fatalities have increased under Vision Zero. I urge you to ask LADOT for an explanation why this program isn't performing to expectations before you give it more money.

I also urge you to join us in asking for the lanes to be restored on Venice Blvd.

Thank you,

Trinidad Centeno  
[lkrfant81@hotmail.com](mailto:lkrfant81@hotmail.com)  
3770 Beethoven Street  
Los Angeles Ca., California 90066





Richard Williams <richard.williams@lacity.org>

---

## I Do Not Support an Increase in Funding for Vision Zero LA

---

Jay E Garacochea <info@actionnetwork.org>  
Reply-To: xboomer@yahoo.com  
To: richard.williams@lacity.org

Wed, May 2, 2018 at 9:17 AM

Richard Williams,

RE: Council file No. 18-0600

I understand that the LA City Council is considering Mayor Garcetti's request to increase the budget for Vision Zero to \$91 million dollars.

Based on what was done on Venice Blvd. in Mar Vista, I have zero confidence that this money will be used wisely.

The road diet on Venice Blvd., what our community refers to as the lane thefts, has not made the boulevard any safer. Using 6 month project data from LADOT, we know that collisions per 1 million vehicle miles traveled have gone up from 3.00 pre-project to 3.22 post-project and injury collisions per 1 million vehicle miles traveled have gone up from 1.95 pre-project to 2.33 post-project.

What the lane thefts have done is create numerous collateral effects, among them:

- 1) gridlock on Venice Blvd which is affecting LAFD emergency response times during peak travel hours
- 2) a serious cut through traffic problem in our neighborhoods because the traffic volume on Venice Blvd has been decreased by LADOT from 46,500 to 31,000 cars a day
- 3) small businesses are hurting because reducing the lanes by 33% - from 6 to 4 - has resulted in 33% fewer potential customers driving down Venice Blvd.

Since its implementation in 2015, traffic related fatalities have increased under Vision Zero. I urge you to ask LADOT for an explanation why this program isn't performing to expectations before you give it more money.

I also urge you to join us in asking for the lanes to be restored on Venice Blvd.

Thank you,  
Jay E Garacochea

Jay E Garacochea  
[xboomer@yahoo.com](mailto:xboomer@yahoo.com)  
720 11th St  
Santa Monica, California 90402



Richard Williams <[richard.williams@lacity.org](mailto:richard.williams@lacity.org)>

---

## I Do Not Support an Increase in Funding for Vision Zero LA

---

**Judy Esposito** <[judy.esposito@msn.com](mailto:judy.esposito@msn.com)>  
Reply-To: [judy.esposito@msn.com](mailto:judy.esposito@msn.com)  
To: [richard.williams@lacity.org](mailto:richard.williams@lacity.org)

Wed, May 2, 2018 at 9:26 AM

Richard Williams,

RE: Council file No. 18-0600

I understand that the LA City Council is considering Mayor Garcetti's request to increase the budget for Vision Zero to \$91 million dollars.

Based on what was done on Venice Blvd. in Mar Vista, I have zero confidence that this money will be used wisely.

The road diet on Venice Blvd., what our community refers to as the lane thefts, has not made the boulevard any safer. Using 6 month project data from LADOT, we know that collisions per 1 million vehicle miles traveled have gone up from 3.00 pre-project to 3.22 post-project and injury collisions per 1 million vehicle miles traveled have gone up from 1.95 pre-project to 2.33 post-project.

What the lane thefts have done is create numerous collateral effects, among them:

- 1) gridlock on Venice Blvd which is affecting LAFD emergency response times during peak travel hours
- 2) a serious cut through traffic problem in our neighborhoods because the traffic volume on Venice Blvd has been decreased by LADOT from 46,500 to 31,000 cars a day
- 3) small businesses are hurting because reducing the lanes by 33% - from 6 to 4 - has resulted in 33% fewer potential customers driving down Venice Blvd.

Since its implementation in 2015, traffic related fatalities have increased under Vision Zero. I urge you to ask LADOT for an explanation why this program isn't performing to expectations before you give it more money.

I also urge you to join us in asking for the lanes to be restored on Venice Blvd.

Thank you,

Judy Esposito  
[judy.esposito@msn.com](mailto:judy.esposito@msn.com)

Los Angeles, California 90291



Richard Williams <richard.williams@lacity.org>

---

## I Do Not Support an Increase in Funding for Vision Zero LA

---

jason szabo <info@actionnetwork.org>  
Reply-To: nevicej@yahoo.com  
To: richard.williams@lacity.org

Wed, May 2, 2018 at 10:05 AM

Richard Williams,

RE: Council file No. 18-0600

I understand that the LA City Council is considering Mayor Garcetti's request to increase the budget for Vision Zero to \$91 million dollars.

Based on what was done on Venice Blvd. in Mar Vista, I have zero confidence that this money will be used wisely.

The road diet on Venice Blvd., what our community refers to as the lane thefts, has not made the boulevard any safer. Using 6 month project data from LADOT, we know that collisions per 1 million vehicle miles traveled have gone up from 3.00 pre-project to 3.22 post-project and injury collisions per 1 million vehicle miles traveled have gone up from 1.95 pre-project to 2.33 post-project.

What the lane thefts have done is create numerous collateral effects, among them:

- 1) gridlock on Venice Blvd which is affecting LAFD emergency response times during peak travel hours
- 2) a serious cut through traffic problem in our neighborhoods because the traffic volume on Venice Blvd has been decreased by LADOT from 46,500 to 31,000 cars a day
- 3) small businesses are hurting because reducing the lanes by 33% - from 6 to 4 - has resulted in 33% fewer potential customers driving down Venice Blvd.

Since its implementation in 2015, traffic related fatalities have increased under Vision Zero. I urge you to ask LADOT for an explanation why this program isn't performing to expectations before you give it more money.

I also urge you to join us in asking for the lanes to be restored on Venice Blvd.

Thank you,

jason szabo  
[nevicej@yahoo.com](mailto:nevicej@yahoo.com)  
2432 mckinley ave  
[venice, California 90291](#)