

Reid Andersen <info@actionnetwork.org> Reply-To: reidandersen@gmail.com To: richard.williams@lacity.org Fri, May 4, 2018 at 11:42 PM

Richard Williams,

RE: Council file No. 18-0600

I understand that the LA City Council is considering Mayor Garcetti's request to increase the budget for Vision Zero to \$91 million dollars.

Based on what was done on Venice Blvd. in Mar Vista, I have zero confidence that this money will be used wisely.

The road diet on Venice Blvd., what our community refers to as the lane thefts, has not made the boulevard any safer. Using 6 month project data from LADOT, we know that collisions per 1 million vehicle miles traveled have gone up from 3.00 pre-project to 3.22 post-project and injury collisions per 1 million vehicle miles traveled have gone up from 1.95 pre-project to 2.33 post-project.

What the lane thefts have done is create numerous collateral effects, among them:

- 1) gridlock on Venice Blvd which is affecting LAFD emergency response times during peak travel hours
- 2) a serious cut through traffic problem in our neighborhoods because the traffic volume on Venice Blvd has been decreased by LADOT from 46,500 to 31,000 cars a day
- 3) small businesses are hurting because reducing the lanes by 33% from 6 to 4 has resulted in 33% fewer potential customers driving down Venice Blvd.

Since its implementation in 2015, traffic related fatalities have increased under Vision Zero. I urge you to ask LADOT for an explanation why this program isn't performing to expectations before you give it more money.

I also urge you to join us in asking for the lanes to be restored on Venice Blvd.

Thank you,

Reid Andersen
reidandersen@gmail.com
12440 Barbara Ave.
Los Angeles, California 90066



Stephen Patrick Schiller <info@actionnetwork.org> Reply-To: sps2spocko@aol.com To: richard.williams@lacity.org

Fri, May 4, 2018 at 7:57 AM

Richard Williams,

RE: Council file No. 18-0600

I understand that the LA City Council is considering Mayor Garcetti's request to increase the budget for Vision Zero to \$91 million dollars.

Based on what was done on Venice Blvd. in Mar Vista, I have zero confidence that this money will be used wisely.

The road diet on Venice Blvd., what our community refers to as the lane thefts, has not made the boulevard any safer. Using 6 month project data from LADOT, we know that collisions per 1 million vehicle miles traveled have gone up from 3.00 pre-project to 3.22 post-project and injury collisions per 1 million vehicle miles traveled have gone up from 1.95 pre-project to 2.33 post-project.

What the lane thefts have done is create numerous collateral effects, among them:

- 1) gridlock on Venice Blvd which is affecting LAFD emergency response times during peak travel hours
- 2) a serious cut through traffic problem in our neighborhoods because the traffic volume on Venice Blvd has been decreased by LADOT from 46,500 to 31,000 cars a day
- 3) small businesses are hurting because reducing the lanes by 33% from 6 to 4 has resulted in 33% fewer potential customers driving down Venice Blvd.

Since its implementation in 2015, traffic related fatalities have increased under Vision Zero. I urge you to ask LADOT for an explanation why this program isn't performing to expectations before you give it more money.

I also urge you to join us in asking for the lanes to be restored on Venice Blvd.

Thank you,

Stephen Patrick Schiller sps2spocko@aol.com 3947 Keeshen Drive Los Angeles, California 90066



Denise Horn <info@actionnetwork.org> Reply-To: deniseehorn@yahoo.com To: richard.williams@lacity.org Fri, May 4, 2018 at 8:16 AM

Richard Williams,

RE: Council file No. 18-0600

I understand that the LA City Council is considering Mayor Garcetti's request to increase the budget for Vision Zero to \$91 million dollars.

Based on what was done on Venice Blvd. in Mar Vista, I have zero confidence that this money will be used wisely.

The road diet on Venice Blvd., what our community refers to as the lane thefts, has not made the boulevard any safer. Using 6 month project data from LADOT, we know that collisions per 1 million vehicle miles traveled have gone up from 3.00 pre-project to 3.22 post-project and injury collisions per 1 million vehicle miles traveled have gone up from 1.95 pre-project to 2.33 post-project.

What the lane thefts have done is create numerous collateral effects, among them:

- 1) gridlock on Venice Blvd which is affecting LAFD emergency response times during peak travel hours
- 2) a serious cut through traffic problem in our neighborhoods because the traffic volume on Venice Blvd has been decreased by LADOT from 46,500 to 31,000 cars a day
- 3) small businesses are hurting because reducing the lanes by 33% from 6 to 4 has resulted in 33% fewer potential customers driving down Venice Blvd.

Since its implementation in 2015, traffic related fatalities have increased under Vision Zero. I urge you to ask LADOT for an explanation why this program isn't performing to expectations before you give it more money.

I also urge you to join us in asking for the lanes to be restored on Venice Blvd.

Thank you,

Denise Horn deniseehorn@yahoo.com 4065 McLaughlin Ave apt 2 Los Angeles, California 90066



Fwd: Provide LAPD with Greater Resources and Support in the East Valley

Gloria Pinon <gloria.pinon@lacity.org> To: Richard Williams <richard.williams@lacity.org> Cc: Anna Martinez <anna.martinez@lacity.org>

Fri, May 4, 2018 at 8:35 AM

Please see below email.

Gloria Piñon Office of the City Clerk Tel. (213) 978-1022 Fax (213) 978-1027 Mail Stop #160-01



----- Forwarded message ------

From: Patty Kirby <Patty@bluecanh2o.com>

Date: Fri. May 4, 2018 at 12:40 AM

Subject: Provide LAPD with Greater Resources and Support in the East Valley

To: "mayor.garcetti@lacity.org" <mayor.garcetti@lacity.org>, "councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org" <councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org>, Paul Krekorian <councilmember.Krekorian@lacity.org>,

"councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org" <councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org>, "david.ryu@lacity.org"

<david.ryu@lacity.org>, "paul.koretz@lacity.org" <paul.koretz@lacity.org>, "Councilmember.Rodriguez@lacity.org" <Councilmember.Rodriguez@lacity.org>, "councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org" <councilmember.harris-dawson@

lacity.org>, "councilmember.price@lacity.org" <councilmember.price@lacity.org>, "councilmember.wesson@lacity.org"

<councilmember.wesson@lacity.org>, "councilmember.bonin@lacity.org" <councilmember.bonin@lacity.org" <councilmember.englander@lacity.org" <councilmember.englander@lacity.org", "councilmember.englander@lacity.org"</pre>

<councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org>, "councilmember.huizar@lacity.org" <councilmember.huizar@lacity.org>, "councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org" <councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org>, "CityClerk@lacity.org"

<CityClerk@lacity.org>

Cc: Jackie Keene <jackie.keene@lacity.org>, geoffrey yazzetta <geoffrey.yazzetta@lacity.org>, "sgrest@aol.com" <sgrest@aol.com>, "matt.hale@lacity.org" <matt.hale@lacity.org>, Craig Radow <cradow@studiocitync.org>, Shawn Smith <33751@lapd.online>, "26994@lapd.lacity.org" <26994@lapd.lacity.org>, "31260@lapd.lacity.org" <31260@lapd.lacity.org>, "donald.graham@lapd.online" <donald.graham@lapd.online>, "jessica.fugate@lacity.org" <jessica.fugate@lacity.org>, "ncsupport@lacity.org" <ncsupport@lacity.org>, "craiglally@lappl.org" <craiglally@lappl.org>, Patty Kirby <patty.a.kirby@gmail.com>

Dear City Council Members & Mayor Garcetti,

In the area of crime and public safety the city of Los Angeles is headed in the wrong direction. East Valley neighborhoods are facing a wide-range of issues that require the greater resources and attention of the LAPD.

Therefore, in the section labeled Los Angeles Police Protective League (LAPPL), I strongly support the work of the

Neighborhood Council Budget Advocates and their 2018-19 budget recommendations. As I agree with their following recommendations, my support should be filed under "Communication from Public" in Council file 18-0600.

In advance of the 2018-19 fiscal city budget, I support the Neighborhood Council's Budget Advocates proposed recommendations to protect existing officers, improve their working environment and provide maximum benefit to the people whose taxes pay police salaries. The Budget Advocates recommends the City work with the LAPD on the following:

- · Raise the recruitment budget to \$500,000.00 and give authority for greater outreach for candidates.
- · Raise police salaries to discourage sworn officers from quitting to work in neighboring jurisdictions where the pay is better.
- · Increase the sworn personnel to 12,500 as soon as practical.
- · Mandate a minimum number of sworn personnel for each division.
- · Make the LAPD's unused budgeted funds available for officer overtime instead of returning them to the General Fund.
- · Hire civilians to cover all non-essential desk jobs, to free up officers for policing.

Upon approval of 2018-19 budget, we immediately need greater resources directed to the North Hollywood and Van Nuys police divisions.

We demand action.

Thank you Patty & Terry Kirby 4434 Carpenter Ave. Studio City, CA 91607



Sarah Furlong <info@actionnetwork.org> Reply-To: sarahfurlongcasting@gmail.com To: richard.williams@lacity.org Fri, May 4, 2018 at 9:27 AM

Richard Williams,

RE: Council file No. 18-0600

I understand that the LA City Council is considering Mayor Garcetti's request to increase the budget for Vision Zero to \$91 million dollars.

Based on what was done on Venice Blvd. in Mar Vista, I have zero confidence that this money will be used wisely.

The road diet on Venice Blvd., what our community refers to as the lane thefts, has not made the boulevard any safer. Using 6 month project data from LADOT, we know that collisions per 1 million vehicle miles traveled have gone up from 3.00 pre-project to 3.22 post-project and injury collisions per 1 million vehicle miles traveled have gone up from 1.95 pre-project to 2.33 post-project.

What the lane thefts have done is create numerous collateral effects, among them:

- 1) gridlock on Venice Blvd which is affecting LAFD emergency response times during peak travel hours
- 2) a serious cut through traffic problem in our neighborhoods because the traffic volume on Venice Blvd has been decreased by LADOT from 46,500 to 31,000 cars a day
- 3) small businesses are hurting because reducing the lanes by 33% from 6 to 4 has resulted in 33% fewer potential customers driving down Venice Blvd.

Since its implementation in 2015, traffic related fatalities have increased under Vision Zero. I urge you to ask LADOT for an explanation why this program isn't performing to expectations before you give it more money.

I also urge you to join us in asking for the lanes to be restored on Venice Blvd.

Thank you,

Sarah Furlong sarahfurlongcasting@gmail.com 11343 BIONA DRIVE LOS ANGELES, California 90066



Madelaine Kolisnyk <info@actionnetwork.org> Reply-To: madelainekproperties@gmail.com To: richard.williams@lacity.org Fri, May 4, 2018 at 9:45 AM

Richard Williams,

RE: Council file No. 18-0600

I understand that the LA City Council is considering Mayor Garcetti's request to increase the budget for Vision Zero to \$91 million dollars.

Based on what was done on Venice Blvd. in Mar Vista, I have zero confidence that this money will be used wisely.

The road diet on Venice Blvd., what our community refers to as the lane thefts, has not made the boulevard any safer. Using 6 month project data from LADOT, we know that collisions per 1 million vehicle miles traveled have gone up from 3.00 pre-project to 3.22 post-project and injury collisions per 1 million vehicle miles traveled have gone up from 1.95 pre-project to 2.33 post-project.

What the lane thefts have done is create numerous collateral effects, among them:

- 1) gridlock on Venice Blvd which is affecting LAFD emergency response times during peak travel hours
- 2) a serious cut through traffic problem in our neighborhoods because the traffic volume on Venice Blvd has been decreased by LADOT from 46,500 to 31,000 cars a day
- 3) small businesses are hurting because reducing the lanes by 33% from 6 to 4 has resulted in 33% fewer potential customers driving down Venice Blvd.

Since its implementation in 2015, traffic related fatalities have increased under Vision Zero. I urge you to ask LADOT for an explanation why this program isn't performing to expectations before you give it more money.

I also urge you to join us in asking for the lanes to be restored on Venice Blvd.

Thank you,

Madelaine Kolisnyk madelainekproperties@gmail.com 3783 Wasatch Ave Los Angeles, California 90066



Renee Khoury <renee@astatic.net>
Reply-To: renee@astatic.net
To: richard.williams@lacity.org

Fri, May 4, 2018 at 10:18 PM

Richard Williams,

RE: Council file No. 18-0600

I understand that the LA City Council is considering Mayor Garcetti's request to increase the budget for Vision Zero to \$91 million dollars.

I have zero confidence that this money will be used wisely.

The road diet on Venice Blvd. has not made the boulevard any safer, if anything, we have MORE accidents since they took our lane away.

Using 6 month project data from LADOT, we know that collisions per 1 million vehicle miles traveled have gone up from 3.00 pre-project to 3.22 post-project and injury collisions per 1 million vehicle miles traveled have gone up from 1.95 pre-project to 2.33 post-project.

What the lane thefts have done is create numerous collateral effects, among them:

- 1) gridlock on Venice Blvd which is affecting LAFD emergency response times during peak travel hours
- 2) a serious cut through traffic problem in our neighborhoods, cars speeding down residential streets.
- 3) small businesses are hurting

Since its implementation in 2015, traffic related fatalities have increased under Vision Zero. I urge you to ask LADOT for an explanation why this program isn't performing to expectations before you give it more money.

I also urge you to join us in asking for the lanes to be restored on Venice Blvd.

Thank you,

Renee Khoury renee@astatic.net 3744 Ocean View Avenue Los Angeles, California 90066



Laura Smith <info@actionnetwork.org> Reply-To: akasmith63@yahoo.com To: richard.williams@lacity.org Fri, May 4, 2018 at 11:12 AM

Richard Williams,

RE: Council file No. 18-0600

I understand that the LA City Council is considering Mayor Garcetti's request to increase the budget for Vision Zero to \$91 million dollars.

Based on what was done on Venice Blvd. in Mar Vista, I have zero confidence that this money will be used wisely.

The road diet on Venice Blvd., what our community refers to as the lane thefts, has not made the boulevard any safer. Using 6 month project data from LADOT, we know that collisions per 1 million vehicle miles traveled have gone up from 3.00 pre-project to 3.22 post-project and injury collisions per 1 million vehicle miles traveled have gone up from 1.95 pre-project to 2.33 post-project.

What the lane thefts have done is create numerous collateral effects, among them:

- 1) gridlock on Venice Blvd which is affecting LAFD emergency response times during peak travel hours
- 2) a serious cut through traffic problem in our neighborhoods because the traffic volume on Venice Blvd has been decreased by LADOT from 46,500 to 31,000 cars a day
- 3) small businesses are hurting because reducing the lanes by 33% from 6 to 4 has resulted in 33% fewer potential customers driving down Venice Blvd.

Since its implementation in 2015, traffic related fatalities have increased under Vision Zero. I urge you to ask LADOT for an explanation why this program isn't performing to expectations before you give it more money.

I also urge you to join us in asking for the lanes to be restored on Venice Blvd.

Thank you,

Laura Smith akasmith63@yahoo.com 4273 Lyceum Avenue Los Angeles, California 90066