ORIGINAL



APPLICATIONS:

APPEAL APPLICATION

This application is to be used for any appeals authorized by the Los Angeles Municipal Code (LAMC) for discretionary actions administered by the Department of City Planning.

1.	APPELLANT BODY/CASE INFORMATION					
	Appellant Body:					
	☐ Area Planning Commission ☐ City Planning Commission ☐ City Council ☐ Director of Planning					
	Regarding Case Number: VTT No. 74529-1A					
	Project Address: 520 Mateo Street (520, 524, 528, 532 Mateo St; 1310 East 4th Place)					
	Final Date to Appeal: July 30, 2018					
	Type of Appeal: ☐ Appeal by Applicant/Owner ☐ Appeal by a person, other than the Applicant/Owner, claiming to be aggrieved ☐ Appeal from a determination made by the Department of Building and Safety					
2.	APPELLANT INFORMATION					
	Appellant's name (print): Laborer's International Union of North America, Local 300					
	Company: Laborer's International Union of North America, Local 300					
	Mailing Address: 2005 W. Pico Blvd.					
	City: Los Angeles State: CA Zip: 90006					
	Telephone: 510-836-4200 E-mail: richard@lozeaudrury.com					
	 Is the appeal being filed on your behalf or on behalf of another party, organization or company? Self Other: 					
	● Is the appeal being filed to support the original applicant's position? ☐ Yes ☑ No					
3.	REPRESENTATIVE/AGENT INFORMATION					
	Representative/Agent name (if applicable): Richard Drury					
	Company: Lozeau Drury LLP					
	Mailing Address: 410 12th Street, Suite 250					
	City: Oakland State: CA Zip: 94607					
	Telephone: 510-836-4200 E-mail: Richard@lozeaudrury.com					

4.	JUSTIFICATION/REASON FOR APPEAL					
	Is the entire decision, or only parts	of it being appealed?	☑ Entire	☐ Part		
	Are specific conditions of approval	being appealed?	☑ Yes	□ No		
	If Yes, list the condition number(s	s) here: All Conditions		_		
Attach a separate sheet providing your reasons for the appeal. Your reason must state:						
	The reason for the appeal How you are aggrieved by the decision					
	Specifically the points at issue			erred or abused their discretion		
5.	APPLICANT'S AFFIDAVIT					
	certify that the statements contained in this application are complete and true:					
	Appellant Signature:	Dung		Date: <u>July 26, 2018</u>		
6.	6. FILING REQUIREMENTS/ADDITIONAL INFORMATION					
 Eight (8) sets of the following documents are required for <u>each</u> appeal filed (1 original and 7 dup Appeal Application (form CP-7769) 						
	A Filing Fee must be paid at t	he time of filing the appeal p	er LAMC Section	19.01 B.		
	 Original applicants n 		n receipt(s) (required to calculate			
	their 85% appeal filing fee).					
 All appeals require noticing per the applicable LAMC section(s). Original Applicants must provide not the LAMC, pay mailing fees to City Planning's mailing contractor (BTC) and submit a copy of the receivable. Appellants filing an appeal from a determination made by the Department of Building and Safety per 12.26 K are considered Original Applicants and must provide noticing per LAMC 12.26 K.7, pay mail to City Planning's mailing contractor (BTC) and submit a copy of receipt. A Certified Neighborhood Council (CNC) or a person identified as a member of a CNC or as represent CNC may not file an appeal on behalf of the Neighborhood Council; persons affiliated with a CNC not file as an individual on behalf of self. 						
						ants (must have documentation).
					 Appeals to the City Council from a determination on a Tentative Tract (TT or VTT) by the Are Planning Commission must be filed within 10 days of the <u>date of the written determination</u> Commission. 	
	 A CEQA document can only be appealed if a non-elected decision-making body (ZA, APC, CPC, etc.) makes a determination for a project that is not further appealable. [CA Public Resources Code ' 21151 (c)]. 					
14.0		This Section for City Planning				
Bas	e Fee: 89 . °°	Reviewed & Accepted by (DS	C Planner):	Date: 7 / 27 / 2018		
Receipt No:		Deemed Complete by (Project Planner): Date:				
0102922105						
Determination authority notified		☐ Original red	nal receipt and BTC receipt (if original applicant)			

Justification/Reason for Appeal

520 Mateo Project

VTT No. 74529-1A

520 Mateo Street (520, 524, 528, 532 Mateo St; 1310 East 4th Place)

REASON FOR THE APPEAL: The environmental impact report (EIR) prepared for the 520 Mateo Project (CEQA ENV-2016-1795-EIR) (SCH No. 2016111043) ("Project") fails to comply with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). In particular the EIR fails to adequately analyze environmental impacts of the Project, fails to adequately describe the environmental setting of the Project, and fails to propose all feasible mitigation measures and alternatives to reduce Project impacts.

SPECIFICALLY THE POINTS IN ISSUE: The specific points in issue are set forth in the comment letters dated June 13, 2018, and January 26, 2018, and in the expert comment letters attached thereto, that were previously submitted by the appellant.

HOW YOU ARE AGGREIVED BY THE DECISION: Members of appellant Laborers International Union of North America Local 300 (LIUNA) live in the vicinity of the proposed Project. They breathe the air, suffer traffic congestion, and will suffer other environmental impacts of the Project unless it is properly mitigated. Construction workers, such as the members of LIUNA Local 300, will be directly affected by soil contamination, improperly controlled construction equipment, and other risks during Project construction.

WHY YOU BELIEVE THE DECISION-MAKER ERRED OR ABUSED THEIR DISCRETION: The Planning Commission approved the Final EIR for the Project despite the fact that there is substantial evidence demonstrating that the EIR fails to comply with CEQA. The Planning Commission failed to respond to substantial evidence presented concerning the EIR's legal deficiencies. The Planning Commission approved portions of the Project (e.g. the vesting tentative tract map) despite the fact that the CEQA document is still subject to appeal and is in fact being appealed.