	=	33	
7 Duccell	0	5	
My name is describe LUSSE/, and I am a resident of Dow	1 1 2	and the second	April 1 to
Every day, I witness the plight of Los Angeles' homeless population, and I am ready	to spea	ak out	for the
good of my community and all of its inhabitants.		0	

On March 14, 2016, Mitchell v. City of Los Angeles was filed with the U.S. District Court. The current injunction removes legal limits on the storage of belongings within the legal right of way in Downtown, and allows for the proliferation of homeless encampments and item storage on public sidewalks.

This case arose in the wake of homeless individuals speaking out about civil rights violations committed against them by the city; which is a very real and unfortunate problem. However, the guidelines being set by the Mitchell injunction will only serve to exacerbate the issues faced by the homeless population, rather than alleviating them as the injunction claims.

To begin, the injunction neither defines nor restricts the storage of bulky items, personal belongings, or daytime camping within public spaces. If the Mitchell injunction is settled, encampments similar to those in Skid Row will become permissible throughout the Downtown area. Obviously, this will jeopardize the health, safety, and quality of life for residents like myself to a greater degree than is already occurring. But further than that, this proliferation of encampments will only serve to encourage homelessness and entrench homeless individuals in their living situation; subjecting them to more crime, more instability, and worse living conditions.

Given the rapid spread of hepatitis and typhus, the piles of trash lining our streets, fires, and explosion of encampments, our concerns over health and safety risks are no longer alarmist. Furthermore, any judge who rules on this case will more than likely be acquainted with these realities, and the merit which our concerns hold for every single Downtown resident- homeless or not.

If Mitchell v. City of Los Angeles is settled, encampments will be able to continue as they have on a larger scale. We have the opportunity to appear and ask them to fight this case- and go to the Supreme Court if necessary- or hire outside counsel to fight on behalf of the City of Los Angeles, an option which has been successful in the past. This injunction claims it will alleviate civil rights violations- but allowing our citizens to be homeless is a far greater offence to their rights, and a complete degradation of their humanity.

Sincerely,

Esteemed councilmembers,

Address:

My name is Stephanie Archer, and I am a resident of Downtown Los Angeles. Every day, I witness the plight of Los Angeles' homeless population, and I am ready to speak out for the good of my community and all of its inhabitants.

On March 14, 2016, Mitchell v. City of Los Angeles was filed with the U.S. District Court. The current injunction removes legal limits on the storage of belongings within the legal right of way in Downtown, and allows for the proliferation of homeless encampments and item storage on public sidewalks.

This case arose in the wake of homeless individuals speaking out about civil rights violations committed against them by the city; which is a very real and unfortunate problem. However, the guidelines being set by the Mitchell injunction will only serve to exacerbate the issues faced by the homeless population, rather than alleviating them as the injunction claims.

To begin, the injunction neither defines nor restricts the storage of bulky items, personal belongings, or daytime camping within public spaces. If the Mitchell injunction is settled, encampments similar to those in Skid Row will become permissible throughout the Downtown area. Obviously, this will jeopardize the health, safety, and quality of life for residents like myself to a greater degree than is already occurring. But further than that, this proliferation of encampments will only serve to encourage homelessness and entrench homeless individuals in their living situation; subjecting them to more crime, more instability, and worse living conditions.

Given the rapid spread of hepatitis and typhus, the piles of trash lining our streets, fires, and explosion of encampments, our concerns over health and safety risks are no longer alarmist. Furthermore, any judge who rules on this case will more than likely be acquainted with these realities, and the merit which our concerns hold for every single Downtown resident- homeless or not.

If Mitchell v. City of Los Angeles is settled, encampments will be able to continue as they have on a larger scale. We have the opportunity to appear and ask them to fight this case- and go to the Supreme Court if necessary- or hire outside counsel to fight on behalf of the City of Los Angeles, an option which has been successful in the past. This injunction claims it will alleviate civil rights violations- but allowing our citizens to be homeless is a far greater offence to their rights, and a complete degradation of their humanity.

Sincerely,

Address:

215 W.7th St.#1104,

My name is <u>Byron Gomez</u>, and I am a resident of Downtown Los Angeles. Every day, I witness the plight of Los Angeles' homeless population, and I am ready to speak out for the good of my community and all of its inhabitants.

On March 14, 2016, Mitchell v. City of Los Angeles was filed with the U.S. District Court. The current injunction removes legal limits on the storage of belongings within the legal right of way in Downtown, and allows for the proliferation of homeless encampments and item storage on public sidewalks.

This case arose in the wake of homeless individuals speaking out about civil rights violations committed against them by the city; which is a very real and unfortunate problem. However, the guidelines being set by the Mitchell injunction will only serve to exacerbate the issues faced by the homeless population, rather than alleviating them as the injunction claims.

To begin, the injunction neither defines nor restricts the storage of bulky items, personal belongings, or daytime camping within public spaces. If the Mitchell injunction is settled, encampments similar to those in Skid Row will become permissible throughout the Downtown area. Obviously, this will jeopardize the health, safety, and quality of life for residents like myself to a greater degree than is already occurring. But further than that, this proliferation of encampments will only serve to encourage homelessness and entrench homeless individuals in their living situation; subjecting them to more crime, more instability, and worse living conditions.

Given the rapid spread of hepatitis and typhus, the piles of trash lining our streets, fires, and explosion of encampments, our concerns over health and safety risks are no longer alarmist. Furthermore, any judge who rules on this case will more than likely be acquainted with these realities, and the merit which our concerns hold for every single Downtown resident- homeless or not.

If Mitchell v. City of Los Angeles is settled, encampments will be able to continue as they have on a larger scale. We have the opportunity to appear and ask them to fight this case- and go to the Supreme Court if necessary- or hire outside counsel to fight on behalf of the City of Los Angeles, an option which has been successful in the past. This injunction claims it will alleviate civil rights violations- but allowing our citizens to be homeless is a far greater offence to their rights, and a complete degradation of their humanity.

Sincerely,

Address:

(Signature)

My name is	JENNIFER	CHOE	, and I am a resident of Downtown Los Angeles.
Every day, I	witness the plight o	f Los Angeles	' homeless population, and I am ready to speak out for the
good of my	community and all	of its inhabita	nts.

On March 14, 2016, Mitchell v. City of Los Angeles was filed with the U.S. District Court. The current injunction removes legal limits on the storage of belongings within the legal right of way in Downtown, and allows for the proliferation of homeless encampments and item storage on public sidewalks.

This case arose in the wake of homeless individuals speaking out about civil rights violations committed against them by the city; which is a very real and unfortunate problem. However, the guidelines being set by the Mitchell injunction will only serve to exacerbate the issues faced by the homeless population, rather than alleviating them as the injunction claims.

To begin, the injunction neither defines nor restricts the storage of bulky items, personal belongings, or daytime camping within public spaces. If the Mitchell injunction is settled, encampments similar to those in Skid Row will become permissible throughout the Downtown area. Obviously, this will jeopardize the health, safety, and quality of life for residents like myself to a greater degree than is already occurring. But further than that, this proliferation of encampments will only serve to encourage homelessness and entrench homeless individuals in their living situation; subjecting them to more crime, more instability, and worse living conditions.

Given the rapid spread of hepatitis and typhus, the piles of trash lining our streets, fires, and explosion of encampments, our concerns over health and safety risks are no longer alarmist. Furthermore, any judge who rules on this case will more than likely be acquainted with these realities, and the merit which our concerns hold for every single Downtown resident- homeless or not.

If Mitchell v. City of Los Angeles is settled, encampments will be able to continue as they have on a larger scale. We have the opportunity to appear and ask them to fight this case- and go to the Supreme Court if necessary- or hire outside counsel to fight on behalf of the City of Los Angeles, an option which has been successful in the past. This injunction claims it will alleviate civil rights violations- but allowing our citizens to be homeless is a far greater offence to their rights, and a complete degradation of their humanity.

Sincerely,

Address:

(Sitenative)

215 W. 7TH SP #201 90014

My name is DANIEL SANDERS , and I am a resident of Downtown Los Angeles. Every day, I witness the plight of Los Angeles' homeless population, and I am ready to speak out for the good of my community and all of its inhabitants.

On March 14, 2016, Mitchell v. City of Los Angeles was filed with the U.S. District Court. The current injunction removes legal limits on the storage of belongings within the legal right of way in Downtown, and allows for the proliferation of homeless encampments and item storage on public sidewalks.

This case arose in the wake of homeless individuals speaking out about civil rights violations committed against them by the city; which is a very real and unfortunate problem. However, the guidelines being set by the Mitchell injunction will only serve to exacerbate the issues faced by the homeless population, rather than alleviating them as the injunction claims.

To begin, the injunction neither defines nor restricts the storage of bulky items, personal belongings, or daytime camping within public spaces. If the Mitchell injunction is settled, encampments similar to those in Skid Row will become permissible throughout the Downtown area. Obviously, this will jeopardize the health, safety, and quality of life for residents like myself to a greater degree than is already occurring. But further than that, this proliferation of encampments will only serve to encourage homelessness and entrench homeless individuals in their living situation; subjecting them to more crime, more instability, and worse living conditions.

Given the rapid spread of hepatitis and typhus, the piles of trash lining our streets, fires, and explosion of encampments, our concerns over health and safety risks are no longer alarmist. Furthermore, any judge who rules on this case will more than likely be acquainted with these realities, and the merit which our concerns hold for every single Downtown resident- homeless or not.

If Mitchell v. City of Los Angeles is settled, encampments will be able to continue as they have on a larger scale. We have the opportunity to appear and ask them to fight this case- and go to the Supreme Court if necessary- or hire outside counsel to fight on behalf of the City of Los Angeles, an option which has been successful in the past. This injunction claims it will alleviate civil rights violations- but allowing our citizens to be homeless is a far greater offence to their rights, and a complete degradation of their humanity.

215 WEST 70L, ART 404

A IS ALREADY ENOUGH OF A SHITHOLE DON'T MAKE IT

My name is SIBD BIKKANNAMA, and I am a resident of Downtown Los Angeles. Every day, I witness the plight of Los Angeles' homeless population, and I am ready to speak out for the good of my community and all of its inhabitants.

On March 14, 2016, Mitchell v. City of Los Angeles was filed with the U.S. District Court. The current injunction removes legal limits on the storage of belongings within the legal right of way in Downtown, and allows for the proliferation of homeless encampments and item storage on public sidewalks.

This case arose in the wake of homeless individuals speaking out about civil rights violations committed against them by the city; which is a very real and unfortunate problem. However, the guidelines being set by the Mitchell injunction will only serve to exacerbate the issues faced by the homeless population, rather than alleviating them as the injunction claims.

To begin, the injunction neither defines nor restricts the storage of bulky items, personal belongings, or daytime camping within public spaces. If the Mitchell injunction is settled, encampments similar to those in Skid Row will become permissible throughout the Downtown area. Obviously, this will jeopardize the health, safety, and quality of life for residents like myself to a greater degree than is already occurring. But further than that, this proliferation of encampments will only serve to encourage homelessness and entrench homeless individuals in their living situation; subjecting them to more crime, more instability, and worse living conditions.

Given the rapid spread of hepatitis and typhus, the piles of trash lining our streets, fires, and explosion of encampments, our concerns over health and safety risks are no longer alarmist. Furthermore, any judge who rules on this case will more than likely be acquainted with these realities, and the merit which our concerns hold for every single Downtown resident- homeless or not.

If Mitchell v. City of Los Angeles is settled, encampments will be able to continue as they have on a larger scale. We have the opportunity to appear and ask them to fight this case- and go to the Supreme Court if necessary- or hire outside counsel to fight on behalf of the City of Los Angeles, an option which has been successful in the past. This injunction claims it will alleviate civil rights violations- but allowing our citizens to be homeless is a far greater offence to their rights, and a complete degradation of their humanity.

Sincerely, Address:

215 W. 7" ST H 905 LOS ANGELES, CA 90014

My name is ANDREW TAYLOR, and I am a resident of Downtown Los Angeles. Every day, I witness the plight of Los Angeles' homeless population, and I am ready to speak out for the good of my community and all of its inhabitants.

On March 14, 2016, Mitchell v. City of Los Angeles was filed with the U.S. District Court. The current injunction removes legal limits on the storage of belongings within the legal right of way in Downtown, and allows for the proliferation of homeless encampments and item storage on public sidewalks.

This case arose in the wake of homeless individuals speaking out about civil rights violations committed against them by the city; which is a very real and unfortunate problem. However, the guidelines being set by the Mitchell injunction will only serve to exacerbate the issues faced by the homeless population, rather than alleviating them as the injunction claims.

To begin, the injunction neither defines nor restricts the storage of bulky items, personal belongings, or daytime camping within public spaces. If the Mitchell injunction is settled, encampments similar to those in Skid Row will become permissible throughout the Downtown area. Obviously, this will jeopardize the health, safety, and quality of life for residents like myself to a greater degree than is already occurring. But further than that, this proliferation of encampments will only serve to encourage homelessness and entrench homeless individuals in their living situation; subjecting them to more crime, more instability, and worse living conditions.

Given the rapid spread of hepatitis and typhus, the piles of trash lining our streets, fires, and explosion of encampments, our concerns over health and safety risks are no longer alarmist. Furthermore, any judge who rules on this case will more than likely be acquainted with these realities, and the merit which our concerns hold for every single Downtown resident- homeless or not.

If Mitchell v. City of Los Angeles is settled, encampments will be able to continue as they have on a larger scale. We have the opportunity to appear and ask them to fight this case- and go to the Supreme Court if necessary- or hire outside counsel to fight on behalf of the City of Los Angeles, an option which has been successful in the past. This injunction claims it will alleviate civil rights violations- but allowing our citizens to be homeless is a far greater offence to their rights, and a complete degradation of their humanity.

Sincerely,

Address:

Signature)

My name is Dustin Bows , and I am a resident of Downtown Los Angeles. Every day, I witness the plight of Los Angeles' homeless population, and I am ready to speak out for the good of my community and all of its inhabitants.

On March 14, 2016, Mitchell v. City of Los Angeles was filed with the U.S. District Court. The current injunction removes legal limits on the storage of belongings within the legal right of way in Downtown, and allows for the proliferation of homeless encampments and item storage on public sidewalks.

This case arose in the wake of homeless individuals speaking out about civil rights violations committed against them by the city; which is a very real and unfortunate problem. However, the guidelines being set by the Mitchell injunction will only serve to exacerbate the issues faced by the homeless population, rather than alleviating them as the injunction claims.

To begin, the injunction neither defines nor restricts the storage of bulky items, personal belongings, or daytime camping within public spaces. If the Mitchell injunction is settled, encampments similar to those in Skid Row will become permissible throughout the Downtown area. Obviously, this will jeopardize the health, safety, and quality of life for residents like myself to a greater degree than is already occurring. But further than that, this proliferation of encampments will only serve to encourage homelessness and entrench homeless individuals in their living situation; subjecting them to more crime, more instability, and worse living conditions.

Given the rapid spread of hepatitis and typhus, the piles of trash lining our streets, fires, and explosion of encampments, our concerns over health and safety risks are no longer alarmist. Furthermore, any judge who rules on this case will more than likely be acquainted with these realities, and the merit which our concerns hold for every single Downtown resident- homeless or not.

If Mitchell v. City of Los Angeles is settled, encampments will be able to continue as they have on a larger scale. We have the opportunity to appear and ask them to fight this case- and go to the Supreme Court if necessary- or hire outside counsel to fight on behalf of the City of Los Angeles, an option which has been successful in the past. This injunction claims it will alleviate civil rights violations- but allowing our citizens to be homeless is a far greater offence to their rights, and a complete degradation of their humanity.

Sincerely,

Address:

215 W 7th St. #907

My name is elise fuller	, and I am a resident of Downtown Los Angeles.
Every day, I witness the plight of Los Angeles' hom	eless population, and I am ready to speak out for the
good of my community and all of its inhabitants.	

On March 14, 2016, Mitchell v. City of Los Angeles was filed with the U.S. District Court. The current injunction removes legal limits on the storage of belongings within the legal right of way in Downtown, and allows for the proliferation of homeless encampments and item storage on public sidewalks.

This case arose in the wake of homeless individuals speaking out about civil rights violations committed against them by the city; which is a very real and unfortunate problem. However, the guidelines being set by the Mitchell injunction will only serve to exacerbate the issues faced by the homeless population, rather than alleviating them as the injunction claims.

To begin, the injunction neither defines nor restricts the storage of bulky items, personal belongings, or daytime camping within public spaces. If the Mitchell injunction is settled, encampments similar to those in Skid Row will become permissible throughout the Downtown area. Obviously, this will jeopardize the health, safety, and quality of life for residents like myself to a greater degree than is already occurring. But further than that, this proliferation of encampments will only serve to encourage homelessness and entrench homeless individuals in their living situation; subjecting them to more crime, more instability, and worse living conditions.

Given the rapid spread of hepatitis and typhus, the piles of trash lining our streets, fires, and explosion of encampments, our concerns over health and safety risks are no longer alarmist. Furthermore, any judge who rules on this case will more than likely be acquainted with these realities, and the merit which our concerns hold for every single Downtown resident- homeless or not.

If Mitchell v. City of Los Angeles is settled, encampments will be able to continue as they have on a larger scale. We have the opportunity to appear and ask them to fight this case- and go to the Supreme Court if necessary- or hire outside counsel to fight on behalf of the City of Los Angeles, an option which has been successful in the past. This injunction claims it will alleviate civil rights violations- but allowing our citizens to be homeless is a far greater offence to their rights, and a complete degradation of their humanity.

Sincerely,

Address:

(Signature)

215 W 7th St. #507 105 angeles, CA 90014

My name is North Cape un, and I am a resident of Downtown Los Angeles. Every day, I witness the plight of Los Angeles' homeless population, and I am ready to speak out for the good of my community and all of its inhabitants.

On March 14, 2016, Mitchell v. City of Los Angeles was filed with the U.S. District Court. The current injunction removes legal limits on the storage of belongings within the legal right of way in Downtown, and allows for the proliferation of homeless encampments and item storage on public sidewalks.

This case arose in the wake of homeless individuals speaking out about civil rights violations committed against them by the city; which is a very real and unfortunate problem. However, the guidelines being set by the Mitchell injunction will only serve to exacerbate the issues faced by the homeless population, rather than alleviating them as the injunction claims.

To begin, the injunction neither defines nor restricts the storage of bulky items, personal belongings, or daytime camping within public spaces. If the Mitchell injunction is settled, encampments similar to those in Skid Row will become permissible throughout the Downtown area. Obviously, this will jeopardize the health, safety, and quality of life for residents like myself to a greater degree than is already occurring. But further than that, this proliferation of encampments will only serve to encourage homelessness and entrench homeless individuals in their living situation; subjecting them to more crime, more instability, and worse living conditions.

Given the rapid spread of hepatitis and typhus, the piles of trash lining our streets, fires, and explosion of encampments, our concerns over health and safety risks are no longer alarmist. Furthermore, any judge who rules on this case will more than likely be acquainted with these realities, and the merit which our concerns hold for every single Downtown resident- homeless or not.

If Mitchell v. City of Los Angeles is settled, encampments will be able to continue as they have on a larger scale. We have the opportunity to appear and ask them to fight this case- and go to the Supreme Court if necessary- or hire outside counsel to fight on behalf of the City of Los Angeles, an option which has been successful in the past. This injunction claims it will alleviate civil rights violations- but allowing our citizens to be homeless is a far greater offence to their rights, and a complete degradation of their humanity.

Sincerely,

Address:

(Signature)

215 W. 7th STREAT Los Angeles, (A 90014

My name is ______, and I am a resident of Downtown Los Angeles. Every day, I witness the plight of Los Angeles' homeless population, and I am ready to speak out for the good of my community and all of its inhabitants.

On March 14, 2016, Mitchell v. City of Los Angeles was filed with the U.S. District Court. The current injunction removes legal limits on the storage of belongings within the legal right of way in Downtown, and allows for the proliferation of homeless encampments and item storage on public sidewalks.

This case arose in the wake of homeless individuals speaking out about civil rights violations committed against them by the city; which is a very real and unfortunate problem. However, the guidelines being set by the Mitchell injunction will only serve to exacerbate the issues faced by the homeless population, rather than alleviating them as the injunction claims.

To begin, the injunction neither defines nor restricts the storage of bulky items, personal belongings, or daytime camping within public spaces. If the Mitchell injunction is settled, encampments similar to those in Skid Row will become permissible throughout the Downtown area. Obviously, this will jeopardize the health, safety, and quality of life for residents like myself to a greater degree than is already occurring. But further than that, this proliferation of encampments will only serve to encourage homelessness and entrench homeless individuals in their living situation; subjecting them to more crime, more instability, and worse living conditions.

Given the rapid spread of hepatitis and typhus, the piles of trash lining our streets, fires, and explosion of encampments, our concerns over health and safety risks are no longer alarmist. Furthermore, any judge who rules on this case will more than likely be acquainted with these realities, and the merit which our concerns hold for every single Downtown resident-homeless or not.

If Mitchell v. City of Los Angeles is settled, encampments will be able to continue as they have on a larger scale. We have the opportunity to appear and ask them to fight this case- and go to the Supreme Court if necessary- or hire outside counsel to fight on behalf of the City of Los Angeles, an option which has been successful in the past. This injunction claims it will alleviate civil rights violations- but allowing our citizens to be homeless is a far greater offence to their rights, and a complete degradation of their humanity.

Sincerely,

(Signature)

215 W. 7th St. Apt. 1010 Los Angelo, CA 90014

My name is ______, and I am a resident of Downtown Los Angeles. Every day, I witness the plight of Los Angeles' homeless population, and I am ready to speak out for the good of my community and all of its inhabitants.

On March 14, 2016, Mitchell v. City of Los Angeles was filed with the U.S. District Court. The current injunction removes legal limits on the storage of belongings within the legal right of way in Downtown, and allows for the proliferation of homeless encampments and item storage on public sidewalks.

This case arose in the wake of homeless individuals speaking out about civil rights violations committed against them by the city; which is a very real and unfortunate problem. However, the guidelines being set by the Mitchell injunction will only serve to exacerbate the issues faced by the homeless population, rather than alleviating them as the injunction claims.

To begin, the injunction neither defines nor restricts the storage of bulky items, personal belongings, or daytime camping within public spaces. If the Mitchell injunction is settled, encampments similar to those in Skid Row will become permissible throughout the Downtown area. Obviously, this will jeopardize the health, safety, and quality of life for residents like myself to a greater degree than is already occurring. But further than that, this proliferation of encampments will only serve to encourage homelessness and entrench homeless individuals in their living situation; subjecting them to more crime, more instability, and worse living conditions.

Given the rapid spread of hepatitis and typhus, the piles of trash lining our streets, fires, and explosion of encampments, our concerns over health and safety risks are no longer alarmist. Furthermore, any judge who rules on this case will more than likely be acquainted with these realities, and the merit which our concerns hold for every single Downtown resident- homeless or not.

If Mitchell v. City of Los Angeles is settled, encampments will be able to continue as they have on a larger scale. We have the opportunity to appear and ask them to fight this case- and go to the Supreme Court if necessary- or hire outside counsel to fight on behalf of the City of Los Angeles, an option which has been successful in the past. This injunction claims it will alleviate civil rights violations- but allowing our citizens to be homeless is a far greater offence to their rights, and a complete degradation of their humanity.

Sincerely,

Address:

It lor angeles, CA

(Signature)

My name is <u>Onux Hernandez</u>, and I am a resident of Downtown Los Angeles.

Every day, I witness the plight of Los Angeles' homeless population, and I am ready to speak out for the

good of my community and all of its inhabitants.

Esteemed councilmembers,

On March 14, 2016, Mitchell v. City of Los Angeles was filed with the U.S. District Court. The current injunction removes legal limits on the storage of belongings within the legal right of way in Downtown, and allows for the proliferation of homeless encampments and item storage on public sidewalks.

This case arose in the wake of homeless individuals speaking out about civil rights violations committed against them by the city; which is a very real and unfortunate problem. However, the guidelines being set by the Mitchell injunction will only serve to exacerbate the issues faced by the homeless population, rather than alleviating them as the injunction claims.

To begin, the injunction neither defines nor restricts the storage of bulky items, personal belongings, or daytime camping within public spaces. If the Mitchell injunction is settled, encampments similar to those in Skid Row will become permissible throughout the Downtown area. Obviously, this will jeopardize the health, safety, and quality of life for residents like myself to a greater degree than is already occurring. But further than that, this proliferation of encampments will only serve to encourage homelessness and entrench homeless individuals in their living situation; subjecting them to more crime, more instability, and worse living conditions.

Given the rapid spread of hepatitis and typhus, the piles of trash lining our streets, fires, and explosion of encampments, our concerns over health and safety risks are no longer alarmist. Furthermore, any judge who rules on this case will more than likely be acquainted with these realities, and the merit which our concerns hold for every single Downtown resident- homeless or not.

If Mitchell v. City of Los Angeles is settled, encampments will be able to continue as they have on a larger scale. We have the opportunity to appear and ask them to fight this case- and go to the Supreme Court if necessary- or hire outside counsel to fight on behalf of the City of Los Angeles, an option which has been successful in the past. This injunction claims it will alleviate civil rights violations- but allowing our citizens to be homeless is a far greater offence to their rights, and a complete degradation of their humanity.

Sincerely,

Address:

215 w 7th ST. LOS Angeles, CA 90014

My name is <u>Dend's Mr. Huntes</u>, and I am a resident of Downtown Los Angeles. Every day, I witness the plight of Los Angeles' homeless population, and I am ready to speak out for the good of my community and all of its inhabitants.

On March 14, 2016, Mitchell v. City of Los Angeles was filed with the U.S. District Court. The current injunction removes legal limits on the storage of belongings within the legal right of way in Downtown, and allows for the proliferation of homeless encampments and item storage on public sidewalks.

This case arose in the wake of homeless individuals speaking out about civil rights violations committed against them by the city; which is a very real and unfortunate problem. However, the guidelines being set by the Mitchell injunction will only serve to exacerbate the issues faced by the homeless population, rather than alleviating them as the injunction claims.

To begin, the injunction neither defines nor restricts the storage of bulky items, personal belongings, or daytime camping within public spaces. If the Mitchell injunction is settled, encampments similar to those in Skid Row will become permissible throughout the Downtown area. Obviously, this will jeopardize the health, safety, and quality of life for residents like myself to a greater degree than is already occurring. But further than that, this proliferation of encampments will only serve to encourage homelessness and entrench homeless individuals in their living situation; subjecting them to more crime, more instability, and worse living conditions.

Given the rapid spread of hepatitis and typhus, the piles of trash lining our streets, fires, and explosion of encampments, our concerns over health and safety risks are no longer alarmist. Furthermore, any judge who rules on this case will more than likely be acquainted with these realities, and the merit which our concerns hold for every single Downtown resident- homeless or not.

If Mitchell v. City of Los Angeles is settled, encampments will be able to continue as they have on a larger scale. We have the opportunity to appear and ask them to fight this case- and go to the Supreme Court if necessary- or hire outside counsel to fight on behalf of the City of Los Angeles, an option which has been successful in the past. This injunction claims it will alleviate civil rights violations- but allowing our citizens to be homeless is a far greater offence to their rights, and a complete degradation of their humanity.

Sincerely,

(Signature)

Address:

215 W. 7th St. Apt 9/2 Los Angeles, CA 90014

My name is <u>fatherine</u> <u>hee Tomiccek</u>, and I am a resident of Downtown Los Angeles. Every day, I witness the plight of Los Angeles' homeless population, and I am ready to speak out for the good of my community and all of its inhabitants.

On March 14, 2016, Mitchell v. City of Los Angeles was filed with the U.S. District Court. The current injunction removes legal limits on the storage of belongings within the legal right of way in Downtown, and allows for the proliferation of homeless encampments and item storage on public sidewalks.

This case arose in the wake of homeless individuals speaking out about civil rights violations committed against them by the city; which is a very real and unfortunate problem. However, the guidelines being set by the Mitchell injunction will only serve to exacerbate the issues faced by the homeless population, rather than alleviating them as the injunction claims.

To begin, the injunction neither defines nor restricts the storage of bulky items, personal belongings, or daytime camping within public spaces. If the Mitchell injunction is settled, encampments similar to those in Skid Row will become permissible throughout the Downtown area. Obviously, this will jeopardize the health, safety, and quality of life for residents like myself to a greater degree than is already occurring. But further than that, this proliferation of encampments will only serve to encourage homelessness and entrench homeless individuals in their living situation; subjecting them to more crime, more instability, and worse living conditions.

Given the rapid spread of hepatitis and typhus, the piles of trash lining our streets, fires, and explosion of encampments, our concerns over health and safety risks are no longer alarmist. Furthermore, any judge who rules on this case will more than likely be acquainted with these realities, and the merit which our concerns hold for every single Downtown resident- homeless or not.

If Mitchell v. City of Los Angeles is settled, encampments will be able to continue as they have on a larger scale. We have the opportunity to appear and ask them to fight this case- and go to the Supreme Court if necessary- or hire outside counsel to fight on behalf of the City of Los Angeles, an option which has been successful in the past. This injunction claims it will alleviate civil rights violations- but allowing our citizens to be homeless is a far greater offence to their rights, and a complete degradation of their humanity.

Sincerely,

Address.

215 West 7th Street, Apt. 1208 Los Angeles, LA 90014

My name is _______, and I am a resident of Downtown Los Angeles. Every day, I witness the plight of Los Angeles' homeless population, and I am ready to speak out for the good of my community and all of its inhabitants.

On March 14, 2016, Mitchell v. City of Los Angeles was filed with the U.S. District Court. The current injunction removes legal limits on the storage of belongings within the legal right of way in Downtown, and allows for the proliferation of homeless encampments and item storage on public sidewalks.

This case arose in the wake of homeless individuals speaking out about civil rights violations committed against them by the city; which is a very real and unfortunate problem. However, the guidelines being set by the Mitchell injunction will only serve to exacerbate the issues faced by the homeless population, rather than alleviating them as the injunction claims.

To begin, the injunction neither defines nor restricts the storage of bulky items, personal belongings, or daytime camping within public spaces. If the Mitchell injunction is settled, encampments similar to those in Skid Row will become permissible throughout the Downtown area. Obviously, this will jeopardize the health, safety, and quality of life for residents like myself to a greater degree than is already occurring. But further than that, this proliferation of encampments will only serve to encourage homelessness and entrench homeless individuals in their living situation; subjecting them to more crime, more instability, and worse living conditions.

Given the rapid spread of hepatitis and typhus, the piles of trash lining our streets, fires, and explosion of encampments, our concerns over health and safety risks are no longer alarmist. Furthermore, any judge who rules on this case will more than likely be acquainted with these realities, and the merit which our concerns hold for every single Downtown resident- homeless or not.

If Mitchell v. City of Los Angeles is settled, encampments will be able to continue as they have on a larger scale. We have the opportunity to appear and ask them to fight this case- and go to the Supreme Court if necessary- or hire outside counsel to fight on behalf of the City of Los Angeles, an option which has been successful in the past. This injunction claims it will alleviate civil rights violations- but allowing our citizens to be homeless is a far greater offence to their rights, and a complete degradation of their humanity.

Sincerely,

Signature)

215 W. 7th St. #801 LA CA 90014

My name is ________, and I am a resident of Downtown Los Angeles. Every day, I witness the plight of Los Angeles' homeless population, and I am ready to speak out for the good of my community and all of its inhabitants.

On March 14, 2016, Mitchell v. City of Los Angeles was filed with the U.S. District Court. The current injunction removes legal limits on the storage of belongings within the legal right of way in Downtown, and allows for the proliferation of homeless encampments and item storage on public sidewalks.

This case arose in the wake of homeless individuals speaking out about civil rights violations committed against them by the city; which is a very real and unfortunate problem. However, the guidelines being set by the Mitchell injunction will only serve to exacerbate the issues faced by the homeless population, rather than alleviating them as the injunction claims.

To begin, the injunction neither defines nor restricts the storage of bulky items, personal belongings, or daytime camping within public spaces. If the Mitchell injunction is settled, encampments similar to those in Skid Row will become permissible throughout the Downtown area. Obviously, this will jeopardize the health, safety, and quality of life for residents like myself to a greater degree than is already occurring. But further than that, this proliferation of encampments will only serve to encourage homelessness and entrench homeless individuals in their living situation; subjecting them to more crime, more instability, and worse living conditions.

Given the rapid spread of hepatitis and typhus, the piles of trash lining our streets, fires, and explosion of encampments, our concerns over health and safety risks are no longer alarmist. Furthermore, any judge who rules on this case will more than likely be acquainted with these realities, and the merit which our concerns hold for every single Downtown resident- homeless or not.

If Mitchell v. City of Los Angeles is settled, encampments will be able to continue as they have on a larger scale. We have the opportunity to appear and ask them to fight this case- and go to the Supreme Court if necessary- or hire outside counsel to fight on behalf of the City of Los Angeles, an option which has been successful in the past. This injunction claims it will alleviate civil rights violations- but allowing our citizens to be homeless is a far greater offence to their rights, and a complete degradation of their humanity.

Sincerely,

(Signature)

Address: #80/

LOS ANGELES, CA 90014

My name is _____, and I am a resident of Downtown Los Angeles. Every day, I witness the plight of Los Angeles' homeless population, and I am ready to speak out for the good of my community and all of its inhabitants.

On March 14, 2016, Mitchell v. City of Los Angeles was filed with the U.S. District Court. The current injunction removes legal limits on the storage of belongings within the legal right of way in Downtown, and allows for the proliferation of homeless encampments and item storage on public sidewalks.

This case arose in the wake of homeless individuals speaking out about civil rights violations committed against them by the city; which is a very real and unfortunate problem. However, the guidelines being set by the Mitchell injunction will only serve to exacerbate the issues faced by the homeless population, rather than alleviating them as the injunction claims.

To begin, the injunction neither defines nor restricts the storage of bulky items, personal belongings, or daytime camping within public spaces. If the Mitchell injunction is settled, encampments similar to those in Skid Row will become permissible throughout the Downtown area. Obviously, this will jeopardize the health, safety, and quality of life for residents like myself to a greater degree than is already occurring. But further than that, this proliferation of encampments will only serve to encourage homelessness and entrench homeless individuals in their living situation; subjecting them to more crime, more instability, and worse living conditions.

Given the rapid spread of hepatitis and typhus, the piles of trash lining our streets, fires, and explosion of encampments, our concerns over health and safety risks are no longer alarmist. Furthermore, any judge who rules on this case will more than likely be acquainted with these realities, and the merit which our concerns hold for every single Downtown resident- homeless or not.

If Mitchell v. City of Los Angeles is settled, encampments will be able to continue as they have on a larger scale. We have the opportunity to appear and ask them to fight this case- and go to the Supreme Court if necessary- or hire outside counsel to fight on behalf of the City of Los Angeles, an option which has been successful in the past. This injunction claims it will alleviate civil rights violations- but allowing our citizens to be homeless is a far greater offence to their rights, and a complete degradation of their humanity.

Sincerely,

Signature)

Address:

CA 9017

My name is _______, and I am a resident of Downtown Los Angeles. Every day, I witness the plight of Los Angeles' homeless population, and I am ready to speak out for the good of my community and all of its inhabitants.

On March 14, 2016, Mitchell v. City of Los Angeles was filed with the U.S. District Court. The current injunction removes legal limits on the storage of belongings within the legal right of way in Downtown, and allows for the proliferation of homeless encampments and item storage on public sidewalks.

This case arose in the wake of homeless individuals speaking out about civil rights violations committed against them by the city; which is a very real and unfortunate problem. However, the guidelines being set by the Mitchell injunction will only serve to exacerbate the issues faced by the homeless population, rather than alleviating them as the injunction claims.

To begin, the injunction neither defines nor restricts the storage of bulky items, personal belongings, or daytime camping within public spaces. If the Mitchell injunction is settled, encampments similar to those in Skid Row will become permissible throughout the Downtown area. Obviously, this will jeopardize the health, safety, and quality of life for residents like myself to a greater degree than is already occurring. But further than that, this proliferation of encampments will only serve to encourage homelessness and entrench homeless individuals in their living situation; subjecting them to more crime, more instability, and worse living conditions.

Given the rapid spread of hepatitis and typhus, the piles of trash lining our streets, fires, and explosion of encampments, our concerns over health and safety risks are no longer alarmist. Furthermore, any judge who rules on this case will more than likely be acquainted with these realities, and the merit which our concerns hold for every single Downtown resident- homeless or not.

If Mitchell v. City of Los Angeles is settled, encampments will be able to continue as they have on a larger scale. We have the opportunity to appear and ask them to fight this case- and go to the Supreme Court if necessary- or hire outside counsel to fight on behalf of the City of Los Angeles, an option which has been successful in the past. This injunction claims it will alleviate civil rights violations- but allowing our citizens to be homeless is a far greater offence to their rights, and a complete degradation of their humanity.

Sincerely

(Signature)

Address

#95 LA CA 9017

My name is _____, and I am a resident of Downtown Los Angeles. Every day, I witness the plight of Los Angeles' homeless population, and I am ready to speak out for the good of my community and all of its inhabitants.

On March 14, 2016, Mitchell v. City of Los Angeles was filed with the U.S. District Court. The current injunction removes legal limits on the storage of belongings within the legal right of way in Downtown, and allows for the proliferation of homeless encampments and item storage on public sidewalks.

This case arose in the wake of homeless individuals speaking out about civil rights violations committed against them by the city; which is a very real and unfortunate problem. However, the guidelines being set by the Mitchell injunction will only serve to exacerbate the issues faced by the homeless population, rather than alleviating them as the injunction claims.

To begin, the injunction neither defines nor restricts the storage of bulky items, personal belongings, or daytime camping within public spaces. If the Mitchell injunction is settled, encampments similar to those in Skid Row will become permissible throughout the Downtown area. Obviously, this will jeopardize the health, safety, and quality of life for residents like myself to a greater degree than is already occurring. But further than that, this proliferation of encampments will only serve to encourage homelessness and entrench homeless individuals in their living situation; subjecting them to more crime, more instability, and worse living conditions.

Given the rapid spread of hepatitis and typhus, the piles of trash lining our streets, fires, and explosion of encampments, our concerns over health and safety risks are no longer alarmist. Furthermore, any judge who rules on this case will more than likely be acquainted with these realities, and the merit which our concerns hold for every single Downtown resident- homeless or not.

If Mitchell v. City of Los Angeles is settled, encampments will be able to continue as they have on a larger scale. We have the opportunity to appear and ask them to fight this case- and go to the Supreme Court if necessary- or hire outside counsel to fight on behalf of the City of Los Angeles, an option which has been successful in the past. This injunction claims it will alleviate civil rights violations- but allowing our citizens to be homeless is a far greater offence to their rights, and a complete degradation of their humanity.

Sincerely,

Address:

127 W. 7m St#915, LACA 90017

(Signature)

My name is NEVA CHEVAUBL, and I am a resident of Downtown Los Angeles. Every day, I witness the plight of Los Angeles' homeless population, and I am ready to speak out for the good of my community and all of its inhabitants.

On March 14, 2016, Mitchell v. City of Los Angeles was filed with the U.S. District Court. The current injunction removes legal limits on the storage of belongings within the legal right of way in Downtown, and allows for the proliferation of homeless encampments and item storage on public sidewalks.

This case arose in the wake of homeless individuals speaking out about civil rights violations committed against them by the city; which is a very real and unfortunate problem. However, the guidelines being set by the Mitchell injunction will only serve to exacerbate the issues faced by the homeless population, rather than alleviating them as the injunction claims.

To begin, the injunction neither defines nor restricts the storage of bulky items, personal belongings, or daytime camping within public spaces. If the Mitchell injunction is settled, encampments similar to those in Skid Row will become permissible throughout the Downtown area. Obviously, this will jeopardize the health, safety, and quality of life for residents like myself to a greater degree than is already occurring. But further than that, this proliferation of encampments will only serve to encourage homelessness and entrench homeless individuals in their living situation; subjecting them to more crime, more instability, and worse living conditions.

Given the rapid spread of hepatitis and typhus, the piles of trash lining our streets, fires, and explosion of encampments, our concerns over health and safety risks are no longer alarmist. Furthermore, any judge who rules on this case will more than likely be acquainted with these realities, and the merit which our concerns hold for every single Downtown resident- homeless or not.

If Mitchell v. City of Los Angeles is settled, encampments will be able to continue as they have on a larger scale. We have the opportunity to appear and ask them to fight this case- and go to the Supreme Court if necessary- or hire outside counsel to fight on behalf of the City of Los Angeles, an option which has been successful in the past. This injunction claims it will alleviate civil rights violations- but allowing our citizens to be homeless is a far greater offence to their rights, and a complete degradation of their humanity.

Sincerely

Address:

215 W 7M ST #606

(Signature)

My name is Phylocheck, and I am a resident of Downtown Los Angeles. Every day, I witness the plight of Los Angeles' homeless population, and I am ready to speak out for the good of my community and all of its inhabitants.

On March 14, 2016, Mitchell v. City of Los Angeles was filed with the U.S. District Court. The current injunction removes legal limits on the storage of belongings within the legal right of way in Downtown, and allows for the proliferation of homeless encampments and item storage on public sidewalks.

This case arose in the wake of homeless individuals speaking out about civil rights violations committed against them by the city; which is a very real and unfortunate problem. However, the guidelines being set by the Mitchell injunction will only serve to exacerbate the issues faced by the homeless population, rather than alleviating them as the injunction claims.

To begin, the injunction neither defines nor restricts the storage of bulky items, personal belongings, or daytime camping within public spaces. If the Mitchell injunction is settled, encampments similar to those in Skid Row will become permissible throughout the Downtown area. Obviously, this will jeopardize the health, safety, and quality of life for residents like myself to a greater degree than is already occurring. But further than that, this proliferation of encampments will only serve to encourage homelessness and entrench homeless individuals in their living situation; subjecting them to more crime, more instability, and worse living conditions.

Given the rapid spread of hepatitis and typhus, the piles of trash lining our streets, fires, and explosion of encampments, our concerns over health and safety risks are no longer alarmist. Furthermore, any judge who rules on this case will more than likely be acquainted with these realities, and the merit which our concerns hold for every single Downtown resident- homeless or not.

If Mitchell v. City of Los Angeles is settled, encampments will be able to continue as they have on a larger scale. We have the opportunity to appear and ask them to fight this case- and go to the Supreme Court if necessary- or hire outside counsel to fight on behalf of the City of Los Angeles, an option which has been successful in the past. This injunction claims it will alleviate civil rights violations- but allowing our citizens to be homeless is a far greater offence to their rights, and a complete degradation of their humanity.

Sincerely,

Address:

(Signature)

LOS ANGELES CA 90014

My name is _	Joel Umbaugh	, and I am a resident of Downtown Los Angeles.
Every day, I w	itness the plight of Los Angele	es' homeless population, and I am ready to speak out for the
good of my co	mmunity and all of its inhabit	ants.

On March 14, 2016, Mitchell v. City of Los Angeles was filed with the U.S. District Court. The current injunction removes legal limits on the storage of belongings within the legal right of way in Downtown, and allows for the proliferation of homeless encampments and item storage on public sidewalks.

This case arose in the wake of homeless individuals speaking out about civil rights violations committed against them by the city; which is a very real and unfortunate problem. However, the guidelines being set by the Mitchell injunction will only serve to exacerbate the issues faced by the homeless population, rather than alleviating them as the injunction claims.

To begin, the injunction neither defines nor restricts the storage of bulky items, personal belongings, or daytime camping within public spaces. If the Mitchell injunction is settled, encampments similar to those in Skid Row will become permissible throughout the Downtown area. Obviously, this will jeopardize the health, safety, and quality of life for residents like myself to a greater degree than is already occurring. But further than that, this proliferation of encampments will only serve to encourage homelessness and entrench homeless individuals in their living situation; subjecting them to more crime, more instability, and worse living conditions.

Given the rapid spread of hepatitis and typhus, the piles of trash lining our streets, fires, and explosion of encampments, our concerns over health and safety risks are no longer alarmist. Furthermore, any judge who rules on this case will more than likely be acquainted with these realities, and the merit which our concerns hold for every single Downtown resident- homeless or not.

If Mitchell v. City of Los Angeles is settled, encampments will be able to continue as they have on a larger scale. We have the opportunity to appear and ask them to fight this case- and go to the Supreme Court if necessary- or hire outside counsel to fight on behalf of the City of Los Angeles, an option which has been successful in the past. This injunction claims it will alleviate civil rights violations- but allowing our citizens to be homeless is a far greater offence to their rights, and a complete degradation of their humanity.

Address:

215 W. 7th 5t. # 107

Los Angeles, CA 90014

My name is GA I'NA GEGENAVA, and I am a resident of Downtown Los Angeles. Every day, I witness the plight of Los Angeles' homeless population, and I am ready to speak out for the good of my community and all of its inhabitants.

On March 14, 2016, Mitchell v. City of Los Angeles was filed with the U.S. District Court. The current injunction removes legal limits on the storage of belongings within the legal right of way in Downtown, and allows for the proliferation of homeless encampments and item storage on public sidewalks.

This case arose in the wake of homeless individuals speaking out about civil rights violations committed against them by the city; which is a very real and unfortunate problem. However, the guidelines being set by the Mitchell injunction will only serve to exacerbate the issues faced by the homeless population, rather than alleviating them as the injunction claims.

To begin, the injunction neither defines nor restricts the storage of bulky items, personal belongings, or daytime camping within public spaces. If the Mitchell injunction is settled, encampments similar to those in Skid Row will become permissible throughout the Downtown area. Obviously, this will jeopardize the health, safety, and quality of life for residents like myself to a greater degree than is already occurring. But further than that, this proliferation of encampments will only serve to encourage homelessness and entrench homeless individuals in their living situation; subjecting them to more crime, more instability, and worse living conditions.

Given the rapid spread of hepatitis and typhus, the piles of trash lining our streets, fires, and explosion of encampments, our concerns over health and safety risks are no longer alarmist. Furthermore, any judge who rules on this case will more than likely be acquainted with these realities, and the merit which our concerns hold for every single Downtown resident- homeless or not.

If Mitchell v. City of Los Angeles is settled, encampments will be able to continue as they have on a larger scale. We have the opportunity to appear and ask them to fight this case- and go to the Supreme Court if necessary- or hire outside counsel to fight on behalf of the City of Los Angeles, an option which has been successful in the past. This injunction claims it will alleviate civil rights violations- but allowing our citizens to be homeless is a far greater offence to their rights, and a complete degradation of their humanity.

Sincerely,

Address:

(Signature)

My name is <u>Clava Lavgh in</u>, and I am a resident of Downtown Los Angeles. Every day, I witness the plight of Los Angeles' homeless population, and I am ready to speak out for the good of my community and all of its inhabitants.

On March 14, 2016, Mitchell v. City of Los Angeles was filed with the U.S. District Court. The current injunction removes legal limits on the storage of belongings within the legal right of way in Downtown, and allows for the proliferation of homeless encampments and item storage on public sidewalks.

This case arose in the wake of homeless individuals speaking out about civil rights violations committed against them by the city; which is a very real and unfortunate problem. However, the guidelines being set by the Mitchell injunction will only serve to exacerbate the issues faced by the homeless population, rather than alleviating them as the injunction claims.

To begin, the injunction neither defines nor restricts the storage of bulky items, personal belongings, or daytime camping within public spaces. If the Mitchell injunction is settled, encampments similar to those in Skid Row will become permissible throughout the Downtown area. Obviously, this will jeopardize the health, safety, and quality of life for residents like myself to a greater degree than is already occurring. But further than that, this proliferation of encampments will only serve to encourage homelessness and entrench homeless individuals in their living situation; subjecting them to more crime, more instability, and worse living conditions.

Given the rapid spread of hepatitis and typhus, the piles of trash lining our streets, fires, and explosion of encampments, our concerns over health and safety risks are no longer alarmist. Furthermore, any judge who rules on this case will more than likely be acquainted with these realities, and the merit which our concerns hold for every single Downtown resident- homeless or not.

If Mitchell v. City of Los Angeles is settled, encampments will be able to continue as they have on a larger scale. We have the opportunity to appear and ask them to fight this case- and go to the Supreme Court if necessary- or hire outside counsel to fight on behalf of the City of Los Angeles, an option which has been successful in the past. This injunction claims it will alleviate civil rights violations- but allowing our citizens to be homeless is a far greater offence to their rights, and a complete degradation of their humanity.

Sincerely,

Address:

215 W7th St. #1105 90014, LA

On March 14, 2016, Mitchell v. City of Los Angeles was filed with the U.S. District Court. The current injunction removes legal limits on the storage of belongings within the legal right of way in Downtown, and allows for the proliferation of homeless encampments and item storage on public sidewalks.

This case arose in the wake of homeless individuals speaking out about civil rights violations committed against them by the city; which is a very real and unfortunate problem. However, the guidelines being set by the Mitchell injunction will only serve to exacerbate the issues faced by the homeless population, rather than alleviating them as the injunction claims.

To begin, the injunction neither defines nor restricts the storage of bulky items, personal belongings, or daytime camping within public spaces. If the Mitchell injunction is settled, encampments similar to those in Skid Row will become permissible throughout the Downtown area. Obviously, this will jeopardize the health, safety, and quality of life for residents like myself to a greater degree than is already occurring. But further than that, this proliferation of encampments will only serve to encourage homelessness and entrench homeless individuals in their living situation; subjecting them to more crime, more instability, and worse living conditions.

Given the rapid spread of hepatitis and typhus, the piles of trash lining our streets, fires, and explosion of encampments, our concerns over health and safety risks are no longer alarmist. Furthermore, any judge who rules on this case will more than likely be acquainted with these realities, and the merit which our concerns hold for every single Downtown resident- homeless or not.

If Mitchell v. City of Los Angeles is settled, encampments will be able to continue as they have on a larger scale. We have the opportunity to appear and ask them to fight this case- and go to the Supreme Court if necessary- or hire outside counsel to fight on behalf of the City of Los Angeles, an option which has been successful in the past. This injunction claims it will alleviate civil rights violations- but allowing our citizens to be homeless is a far greater offence to their rights, and a complete degradation of their humanity.

Sincerely,

Address:

(Signature)

215 W 7th St. #1105 Los Angeles, CA 90019

My name is MARK FELDMAN, and I am a resident of Downtown Los Angeles. Every day, I witness the plight of Los Angeles' homeless population, and I am ready to speak out for the good of my community and all of its inhabitants.

On March 14, 2016, Mitchell v. City of Los Angeles was filed with the U.S. District Court. The current injunction removes legal limits on the storage of belongings within the legal right of way in Downtown, and allows for the proliferation of homeless encampments and item storage on public sidewalks.

This case arose in the wake of homeless individuals speaking out about civil rights violations committed against them by the city; which is a very real and unfortunate problem. However, the guidelines being set by the Mitchell injunction will only serve to exacerbate the issues faced by the homeless population, rather than alleviating them as the injunction claims.

To begin, the injunction neither defines nor restricts the storage of bulky items, personal belongings, or daytime camping within public spaces. If the Mitchell injunction is settled, encampments similar to those in Skid Row will become permissible throughout the Downtown area. Obviously, this will jeopardize the health, safety, and quality of life for residents like myself to a greater degree than is already occurring. But further than that, this proliferation of encampments will only serve to encourage homelessness and entrench homeless individuals in their living situation; subjecting them to more crime, more instability, and worse living conditions.

Given the rapid spread of hepatitis and typhus, the piles of trash lining our streets, fires, and explosion of encampments, our concerns over health and safety risks are no longer alarmist. Furthermore, any judge who rules on this case will more than likely be acquainted with these realities, and the merit which our concerns hold for every single Downtown resident- homeless or not.

If Mitchell v. City of Los Angeles is settled, encampments will be able to continue as they have on a larger scale. We have the opportunity to appear and ask them to fight this case- and go to the Supreme Court if necessary- or hire outside counsel to fight on behalf of the City of Los Angeles, an option which has been successful in the past. This injunction claims it will alleviate civil rights violations- but allowing our citizens to be homeless is a far greater offence to their rights, and a complete degradation of their humanity.

Sincerely,

Address:

(Signature)

My name is <u>KURT</u> MAXEY, and I am a resident of Downtown Los Angeles. Every day, I witness the plight of Los Angeles' homeless population, and I am ready to speak out for the good of my community and all of its inhabitants.

On March 14, 2016, Mitchell v. City of Los Angeles was filed with the U.S. District Court. The current injunction removes legal limits on the storage of belongings within the legal right of way in Downtown, and allows for the proliferation of homeless encampments and item storage on public sidewalks.

This case arose in the wake of homeless individuals speaking out about civil rights violations committed against them by the city; which is a very real and unfortunate problem. However, the guidelines being set by the Mitchell injunction will only serve to exacerbate the issues faced by the homeless population, rather than alleviating them as the injunction claims.

To begin, the injunction neither defines nor restricts the storage of bulky items, personal belongings, or daytime camping within public spaces. If the Mitchell injunction is settled, encampments similar to those in Skid Row will become permissible throughout the Downtown area. Obviously, this will jeopardize the health, safety, and quality of life for residents like myself to a greater degree than is already occurring. But further than that, this proliferation of encampments will only serve to encourage homelessness and entrench homeless individuals in their living situation; subjecting them to more crime, more instability, and worse living conditions.

Given the rapid spread of hepatitis and typhus, the piles of trash lining our streets, fires, and explosion of encampments, our concerns over health and safety risks are no longer alarmist. Furthermore, any judge who rules on this case will more than likely be acquainted with these realities, and the merit which our concerns hold for every single Downtown resident- homeless or not.

If Mitchell v. City of Los Angeles is settled, encampments will be able to continue as they have on a larger scale. We have the opportunity to appear and ask them to fight this case- and go to the Supreme Court if necessary- or hire outside counsel to fight on behalf of the City of Los Angeles, an option which has been successful in the past. This injunction claims it will alleviate civil rights violations- but allowing our citizens to be homeless is a far greater offence to their rights, and a complete degradation of their humanity.

Sincerely,

Address

(Signature)

My name is ANDREW ICK, and I am a resident of Downtown Los Angeles. Every day, I witness the plight of Los Angeles' homeless population, and I am ready to speak out for the good of my community and all of its inhabitants.

On March 14, 2016, Mitchell v. City of Los Angeles was filed with the U.S. District Court. The current injunction removes legal limits on the storage of belongings within the legal right of way in Downtown, and allows for the proliferation of homeless encampments and item storage on public sidewalks.

This case arose in the wake of homeless individuals speaking out about civil rights violations committed against them by the city; which is a very real and unfortunate problem. However, the guidelines being set by the Mitchell injunction will only serve to exacerbate the issues faced by the homeless population, rather than alleviating them as the injunction claims.

To begin, the injunction neither defines nor restricts the storage of bulky items, personal belongings, or daytime camping within public spaces. If the Mitchell injunction is settled, encampments similar to those in Skid Row will become permissible throughout the Downtown area. Obviously, this will jeopardize the health, safety, and quality of life for residents like myself to a greater degree than is already occurring. But further than that, this proliferation of encampments will only serve to encourage homelessness and entrench homeless individuals in their living situation; subjecting them to more crime, more instability, and worse living conditions.

Given the rapid spread of hepatitis and typhus, the piles of trash lining our streets, fires, and explosion of encampments, our concerns over health and safety risks are no longer alarmist. Furthermore, any judge who rules on this case will more than likely be acquainted with these realities, and the merit which our concerns hold for every single Downtown resident- homeless or not.

If Mitchell v. City of Los Angeles is settled, encampments will be able to continue as they have on a larger scale. We have the opportunity to appear and ask them to fight this case- and go to the Supreme Court if necessary- or hire outside counsel to fight on behalf of the City of Los Angeles, an option which has been successful in the past. This injunction claims it will alleviate civil rights violations- but allowing our citizens to be homeless is a far greater offence to their rights, and a complete degradation of their humanity.

Sincerely,

(Signature)

Address:

215 W. 7th ST., #908, LA, CA 90014

My name is ______, and I am a resident of Downtown Los Angeles. Every day, I witness the plight of Los Angeles' homeless population, and I am ready to speak out for the good of my community and all of its inhabitants.

On March 14, 2016, Mitchell v. City of Los Angeles was filed with the U.S. District Court. The current injunction removes legal limits on the storage of belongings within the legal right of way in Downtown, and allows for the proliferation of homeless encampments and item storage on public sidewalks.

This case arose in the wake of homeless individuals speaking out about civil rights violations committed against them by the city; which is a very real and unfortunate problem. However, the guidelines being set by the Mitchell injunction will only serve to exacerbate the issues faced by the homeless population, rather than alleviating them as the injunction claims.

To begin, the injunction neither defines nor restricts the storage of bulky items, personal belongings, or daytime camping within public spaces. If the Mitchell injunction is settled, encampments similar to those in Skid Row will become permissible throughout the Downtown area. Obviously, this will jeopardize the health, safety, and quality of life for residents like myself to a greater degree than is already occurring. But further than that, this proliferation of encampments will only serve to encourage homelessness and entrench homeless individuals in their living situation; subjecting them to more crime, more instability, and worse living conditions.

Given the rapid spread of hepatitis and typhus, the piles of trash lining our streets, fires, and explosion of encampments, our concerns over health and safety risks are no longer alarmist. Furthermore, any judge who rules on this case will more than likely be acquainted with these realities, and the merit which our concerns hold for every single Downtown resident- homeless or not.

If Mitchell v. City of Los Angeles is settled, encampments will be able to continue as they have on a larger scale. We have the opportunity to appear and ask them to fight this case- and go to the Supreme Court if necessary- or hire outside counsel to fight on behalf of the City of Los Angeles, an option which has been successful in the past. This injunction claims it will alleviate civil rights violations- but allowing our citizens to be homeless is a far greater offence to their rights, and a complete degradation of their humanity.

Sincerely,

Address:

(Signature)

3/1/19

10014