

HOLLY L. WOLCOTT  
CITY CLERK

SHANNON D. HOPPE  
EXECUTIVE OFFICER

City of Los Angeles  
CALIFORNIA



ERIC GARCETTI  
MAYOR

OFFICE OF THE  
CITY CLERK

Election Division  
555 Ramirez Street, Space 300  
Los Angeles, CA 90012  
(213) 978-0444  
FAX: (213) 978-0376

JINNY PAK  
DIVISION MANAGER

[clerk.lacity.org](http://clerk.lacity.org)

August 10, 2018

The Los Angeles City Council  
City Hall, Los Angeles, California

REGARDING: ASSESSMENT BALLOT PROCEEDING FOR  
RESEDA BOULEVARD AND KITTRIDGE STREET LIGHTING DISTRICT  
COUNCIL FILE NUMBER 18-0900-S34

Honorable Councilmembers:

In accordance with Proposition 218, the City of Los Angeles provided notice to the property owners of the parcels in the proposed Reseda Boulevard and Kittridge Street Lighting District, as specified in the subject Council File. Along with that notice, each property owner received an assessment ballot weighted by the amount of the parcel's proposed assessment. I have tabulated the assessment ballot delivered to my office by the final hearing date of August 1, 2018.


The proposed assessment district failed because it received a weighted majority of "no" ballots as defined in Article XIII D (Proposition 218) of the State Constitution. As a result, the City may not impose an assessment for the improvement and maintenance of lighting in the proposed district. The certified results of the tabulations are attached. I have also forwarded a copy of these results to the Department of Public Works, Bureau of Street Lighting, for their information.

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR COUNCIL ACTION:

In accordance with Council policy adopted on December 19, 1997 (CF 97-0843) and the report of the Board of Public Works adopted by the Council on May 9, 1998:

1. FIND that a majority protest exists in the Street Lighting Maintenance Assessment District and therefore the proposed assessment cannot be enacted.
2. ADOPT the abandoning Ordinance attached to the Council File.
3. INSTRUCT the Director, Bureau of Street Lighting, to assure that the streetlights are not installed or are removed from service if previously installed, in accordance with Council policy.

Sincerely,

  
HOLLY L. WOLCOTT  
City Clerk

Attachments

cc: Department of Public Works, Bureau of Street Lighting


STATE OF CALIFORNIA           )  
  ) SS  
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES    )

I, HOLLY L. WOLCOTT, City Clerk of the City of Los Angeles, hereby certify to the City Council that one ballot was submitted on or before August 1, 2018, in connection with the assessment ballot proceeding for the proposed Reseda Boulevard and Kittridge Street Lighting Maintenance Assessment District, as specified in Council File Number 18-0900-S34. I further certify the attached report to be a true, correct and complete tabulation of the returns of that assessment ballot proceeding.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal this  
10<sup>th</sup> day of August 2018.

Sincerely,



 HOLLY L. WOLCOTT  
City Clerk

**Proposition 218 Assessment Ballot Tabulation for  
Reseda Boulevard and Kittridge Street Lighting District**

**Election # 4778**

**Final Public Hearing August 1, 2018**

**Council File # 18-0900-S34**

**Ballot Tally**

Assmnt No.	County APN	Owner	Assessment Weight	Ballot Vote Y/N	Weighted Vote		No Vote/ Challenged
					Yes	No	
1	2126-038-045	GELT UHON DEVELOPMENT HOLDINGS LLC	\$3,319.11	N		\$ 3,319.11	
		TOTAL	\$3,319.11		\$ -	\$ 3,319.11	
	1	TOTAL VOTES		1	0	1	0

**Proposition 218 Assessment Ballot Tabulation for  
Reseda Boulevard and Kittridge Street Lighting District**

**Election # 4778**

**Final Public Hearing August 1, 2018**

**Council File # 18-0900-S34**

<b>Voting/Ballot Summary</b>			
<b>Vote</b>	<b>Weighted Total</b>	<b>Assessment Percent of Votes Cast</b>	<b>Number of Ballots</b>
Yes	\$0.00	0.00%	0
No	\$3,319.11	100.00%	1
No Vote			0
Challenged			0

<b>Assessment District Summary</b>			
	<b>Total</b>	<b>Voted</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Parcels	1	1	100%
Assessment	\$3,319.11	\$3,319.11	100%