Google Groups

Input on PLUM committee 1/5/19

The SEO Doctors <theseodoctors@gmail.com>   Jan 15, 2019 6:29 AM
Posted in group: **Clerk-PLUM-Committee**

Hello !

I hope you all had a nice holiday :)

Below you will find my input on the following items from yesterday's agenda.

Talk soon,

Korie Schmidt

Item 2 - File# 18-1064

Huge redesign of 9110 De Soto Ave. I don't have a problem with this as long as it doesn't affect the neighbors / they are ok with it. I would like to hear from the neighborhood to see how they feel and what measures were taken by the landholders to satisfy the neighbors that are to be affected by this development.

Item 3 - File# 18-1011
Item 4 - File# 18-1011-S1

Trident Center WestLA whopping remodel. I like that they're interested in allowing the community to use their property. I checked out their proposal. It looks like it could be cool. However, it will absolutely consume the neighborhood. Therefore, I oppose these two files as there needs to be further discussion about the project and how it will affect, as well as fit in with, our neighborhood. (1) There were a few different options to choose from. (2) How will this public nuisance be mitigated, both during construction, and after ?

Item 5 - File# 18-0889-S1

200ft 18 story towers in Skid Row. You know, I'm really not for the giant buildings, but it's in downtown, and it's right in the heart of Skid Row. I think this building, and it's philanthropic division would be really beneficial to this area. Especially if varying socioeconomic backgrounds live in the building.

Item 6 - File# 18-0108, 18-0108-S1

Boxing in Abbott Kinney. Again, I mean, what do you guys think ? Isn't it going to box in Abbott Kinney ? I still oppose this file(s). Nobody's talked to me

Item 7 - File# 18-1170

20 unit condos on Pico/Bedford midcity. The area is surrounded by 1- and 2-story homes. There are a few large buildings being developed in the 4+ range, and it appears with the size of the property that it will require 4 as well. Based on the look of the neighborhood, I don't see that it will affect the neighborhood that much. There are quite a few buildings above 3 stories, even smashed onto smaller lots. However, I would want to hear from the neighbors first to see their opinion. I want to know what the land holder is planning to do, as a member of the community, to not impose, or to rectify any imposition their new building will have, on the neighbors.

Item 8 - File# 18-1035

1 story parking lot turned into 6-story USC graduate housing. This sounds ok with me. As we get east of downtown, there is more free space, and buildings are spread farther apart. I don't foresee this detrimentally affecting the area as there's lots of open space around the location.

Item 9 - File# 18-1217

Appeal of Approval to remove dirt by VA Barrington/Sunset. I'm curious why this guy and his crew are so against this dirt being moved. Is it a way to get a project built by preventing subterranean parking construction ? Or is it something more ? It's creative. I'm not mad at it. I'd like to hear more from them, so I support this file.

Item 10 - File# 18-1231

Appeal of Approval to remove dirt near MacArthur Park. Wow, sounds like the same thing. This area is so gnarly. After looking at the street of the proposition, there's already a blown down dirt lot, and several large buildings around. It sounds as though the guy who lives next door, the last man standing, is the one putting up this fight. Good for him. I completely understand. I oppose this file until the land owner and the complainant can come to some compromise. There's bound to be a way to satisfy both parties.

Item 11 - File# 18-1169

7 Story tower in small neighborhood. After review, this neighborhood is nestled along the freeway, with mainly 2-3 story buildings surrounding it. There are some larger buildings as well. However, 7 stories is too much for this neighborhood. It feels out of place. All of the large buildings in the neighborhood look off. There's no congruency to them, it's all over the place. Clean, stable growth. Not jagged lines of greed.

I'm also opposed to this because it doesn't follow the CEQA. Come on guys. We can do this. We can make projects that protect our environment and don't put 7 stories of people overlooking someone's backyard. I just know we can build better projects. I want to hear from the neighbors majorly impacted.

Item 12 - File# 18-1088

Crossroads Hollywood (Monstrocity?) Project. So, how big is this going to be ? Is this going to be another 13 story megaplex only the wealthy can afford like over on selma and argyle ? Cuz ... not helping anyone. But, it is hollywood. I'd rather you stick em over there. Even though it looks like they'll be blowing down some classic parts of low-key hollywood.

Item 13 - File# 18-1088-S1

Crossroads Hollywood Mega Project. Ok, so I'm reading it further and it looks like a mix between residential, hotel, and clubs. This sounds like the "vacation here, live here, party here" model. Sounds like a cool shuffle to try to get people to cycle. However, I oppose this file because they only designate 11% to affordable housing, and of those, they are limited to Very Low Income. I want to see more variety in socioeconomic backgrounds (VL, L, M). I don't want there to be a "homeless floor". They should be interspersed and blended in so they don't stand out.

The SEO DOCTORS

2442 Barry Ave.
Los Angeles, Ca 90064
(310)600-2278
TheSEODoctors@gmail.com
www.TheSEODoctors.com