

**Subject: Vote NO on SB50 (LA Council File: 19-0002-S38 & 18-1226)**

---

**'Jennifer A. Esselman' via Clerk-PLUM-Committee** <clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org>

Sat, Mar 30, 2019 at 12:34 AM

Reply-To: "Jennifer A. Esselman" &lt;granamiere@aol.com&gt;

To: holly.mitchell@sen.ca.gov, assemblymember.kamlager-dove@assembly.ca.gov, councilmember.wesson@lacity.org, mayor.garcetti@lacity.org, markridley-thomas@bos.lacounty.gov, senator.mcguire@senate.ca.gov, senator.wieckowski@senate.ca.gov, senator.galgiani@senate.ca.gov, senator.beall@senate.ca.gov, senator.roth@senate.ca.gov, assemblymember.jones-sawyer@assembly.ca.gov, assemblymember.gipson@assembly.ca.gov  
Cc: cityclerk@lacity.org, clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, kimani.black@lacity.org, andrew.westall@lacity.org, david.price@lacity.org, 2PreserveLA@gmail.com

Dear Elected Representatives,

As a **homeowner AND resident** of Crenshaw Manor in Los Angeles, I am writing to express my strong opposition to SB50, unless amended to exclude the City of Los Angeles from its provisions.

SB50 does not respect the integrity of single-family zoning and other local characteristics of residential neighborhoods. Although SB50 allows sensitive communities to postpone implementation by five years, this only temporarily delays our neighborhood homes from succumbing to encroachment by apartment complexes towering up to 85 feet, if the Density Bonus is included. This will result in incredible land flipping as developers buy and demolish residential homes, potentially wiping out the entire Crenshaw Corridor Community along the LAX/Crenshaw Metro Line.

The City of Los Angeles' Chief Legislative Analyst recommends "OPPOSITION to SB50 unless the bill is amended to exclude the City of Los Angeles from its provisions." I support LA City Council's resolution to oppose SB50.

Sincerely,

Jennifer A. Esselman

[3939 Virginia Rd, Los Angeles, CA 90008](https://www.google.com/maps/place/3939+Virginia+Rd,+Los+Angeles,+CA+90008)

**VOTE NO ON SB 50****'Kerry Roberts' via Clerk-PLUM-Committee <clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org>**

Sat, Mar 30, 2019 at 8:45 AM

Reply-To: Kerry Roberts &lt;kerryfrob@yahoo.com&gt;

To: holly.mitchell@sen.ca.gov, assemblymember.kamlager-dove@assembly.ca.gov, councilmember.wesson@lacity.org, mayor.garcetti@lacity.org, markridley-thomas@bos.lacounty.gov, senator.mcguire@senate.ca.gov, senator.wieckowski@senate.ca.gov, senator.galgiani@senate.ca.gov, senator.beall@senate.ca.gov, senator.roth@senate.ca.gov, assemblymember.jones-sawyer@assembly.ca.gov, assemblymember.gipson@assembly.ca.gov  
Cc: cityclerk@lacity.org, clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, andrew.westall@lacity.org, david.price@lacity.org, 2PreserveLA@gmail.com

(Los Angeles City Clerk: Reference Council Files 19-0002-S38 and 18-1226)

The purpose of this email is to implore you to Vote NO on SB 50, unless it were to clearly exempt Los Angeles for the entirety of SB50.

SB 50, State Sen. Scott Weiner's newest version of last year's terrible SB 827 bill, is yet another deeply undemocratic, disrespectful and destructive attempt to take away from Los Angeles and all other California towns and cities (and their residents) their fundamental and important role to control local zoning and shape the future of their communities.

SB 50 and related bills are authoritarian power grabs by Sacramento legislators which would, if they were enacted, cause our communities and neighborhoods to be wrecked with helter-skelter, out of scale, out of compatibility and unstoppable development. Just as perniciously, they would eliminate the democratic participation of ordinary citizens in our city's affairs. There is nothing more important to Los Angeles' property owners, renters and all taxpayers than what happens where they live, what happens to the quality of life in their city and neighborhoods, and what happens to the value of their properties. Los Angeles residents are presently able to influence the decisions of their city officials and have a meaningful voice in shaping the policies that impact our lives, homes, neighborhoods and our property investments. We can go lobby our city councilperson's in their district offices, or drive downtown to City Hall for City Council hearings, as well as commission and committee hearings, so our voices can be heard.

If zoning decisions are moved to Sacramento, how can any of us effectively make our concerns or positions heard?

Sacramento is hundreds of miles away. It would be an unreasonable burden for citizens to have to take an entire day off to travel to the Capitol, and the loss of income and the cost of travel is prohibitive to all except professional lobbyists. This is profoundly wrong and unjust.

Another Point: The City of Los Angeles is already doing its part to address the need for more housing through the passage of Measure JJJ and the creation of Transit Oriented Communities. As it is doing its part, L.A. does not deserve a cannon shot at it by a legislator looking to make a name for himself and have his political ambitions funded by real estate development lobbyists.

Here in the Leimert Park and adjacent areas of Los Angeles, where we live, we already have a host of density housing developments underway under TOC. Over 700 housing units will be built soon. More are coming. While we may not be happy about all this change, especially the parking and traffic woes it will create, at least we can go to our City Councilmember and pressure him or her to encourage developers to sit down with the community and try to work out changes in design and colors to make their projects more in keeping with neighborhoods. There are some developers who have done this, and the results for the community are more positive. None of this would happen if SB 50 and similar bills were to pass.

Again, I strongly urge you to Vote NO unless it were to clearly exempt Los Angeles for the entirety of SB 50.

Respectfully,

Kerry Roberts

[4000 Welland Ave](#)

[Los Angeles, CA 90008](#)

4/2/2019

City of Los Angeles Mail - VOTE NO ON SB 50

Sent from my iPhone

**Vote NO on SB50 (LA Council File: 19-0002-S38 & 18-1226)**

---

'cloe' via Clerk-PLUM-Committee <clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org>

Sat, Mar 30, 2019 at 11:20 AM

Reply-To: cloe &lt;keenwitted@aol.com&gt;

To: holly.mitchell@sen.ca.gov, assemblymember.kamlager-dove@assembly.ca.gov, councilmember.wesson@lacity.org, mayor.garcetti@lacity.org, markridley-thomas@bos.lacounty.gov, senator.mcguire@senate.ca.gov, senator.wieckowski@senate.ca.gov, senator.galgiani@senate.ca.gov, senator.beall@senate.ca.gov, senator.roth@senate.ca.gov, assemblymember.jones-sawyer@assembly.ca.gov, assemblymember.gipson@assembly.ca.gov  
Cc: cityclerk@lacity.org, clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, kimani.black@lacity.org, andrew.westall@lacity.org, david.price@lacity.org, 2PreserveLA@gmail.com

Dear Elected Representatives,

As a single mom who worked hard to provide a home and a backyard for my child as well as a homeowner and resident of Crenshaw Manor in Los Angeles, I am writing to express my strong opposition to SB50, unless amended to exclude the City of Los Angeles from its provisions.

SB50 does not respect the integrity of single-family zoning and other local characteristics of residential neighborhoods. Although SB50 allows sensitive communities to postpone implementation by five years, this only temporarily delays our neighborhood homes from succumbing to encroachment by apartment complexes towering up to 85 feet, if the Density Bonus is included. This will result in incredible land flipping as developers buy and demolish residential homes, potentially wiping out the entire Crenshaw Corridor Community along the LAX/Crenshaw Metro Line.

The City of Los Angeles' Chief Legislative Analyst recommends "OPPOSITION to SB50 unless the bill is amended to exclude the City of Los Angeles from its provisions." I support LA City Council's resolution to oppose SB50.

Sincerely,

Cloe Jazwinski  
3800 West Blvd  
LA 90008

**Vote NO on SB50 (LA Council File: 19-0002-S38 & 18-1226)****Nathaniel Blackmon** <nkblackmon@gmail.com>

Sat, Mar 30, 2019 at 6:25 PM

To: holly.mitchell@sen.ca.gov, assemblymember.kamlager-dove@assembly.ca.gov, councilmember.wesson@lacity.org, mayor.garcetti@lacity.org, markridley-thomas@bos.lacounty.gov, senator.mcguire@senate.ca.gov, senator.wieckowski@senate.ca.gov, senator.galgiani@senate.ca.gov, senator.beall@senate.ca.gov, senator.roth@senate.ca.gov, assemblymember.jones-sawyer@assembly.ca.gov, assemblymember.gipson@assembly.ca.gov  
Cc: cityclerk@lacity.org, clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, kimani.black@lacity.org, andrew.westall@lacity.org, david.price@lacity.org, 2PreserveLA@gmail.com

Dear Elected Representatives:

As a homeowner AND resident of Crenshaw Manor in Los Angeles, I am writing to express my strong opposition to SB50, unless amended to exclude the City of Los Angeles from its provisions. SB50 does not respect the integrity of single-family zoning and other local characteristics of residential neighborhoods.

Although SB50 allows sensitive communities to postpone implementation by five years, this only temporarily delays our neighborhood homes from succumbing to encroachment by apartment complexes towering up to 85 feet, if the Density Bonus is included. This will result in incredible land flipping as developers buy and demolish residential homes, potentially wiping out the entire Crenshaw Corridor Community along the LAX/Crenshaw Metro Line. The City of Los Angeles' Chief Legislative Analyst recommends "OPPOSITION to SB50 unless the bill is amended to exclude the City of Los Angeles from its provisions." I support LA City Council's resolution to oppose SB50. Thank you for taking action to protect our community!

Sincerely,

--

**Nathaniel Blackmon**  
**4565 W. Martin Luther King Blvd #363**  
**Los Angeles, CA 90016-5983**