



Richard Williams <richard.williams@lacity.org>

SUPPORT for item 19-0145

Emily Derrick <em.l.derrick@gmail.com>
To: Clerk.BudgetandFinanceCommittee@lacity.org

Mon, Mar 18, 2019 at 1:28 PM

I'm writing to show support for the Budget and Finance Committee vote as the City has already approved the motion to retain legal counsel. The City has always been a great supporter of the SSFL cleanup and they should continue with financing the motion. The \$600,000 is only \$0.20 per Los Angeles child to help protect them from the radioactive and toxic contamination at the site. And after the Woolsey fire the community understands how dangerous it is to leave the contamination not cleaned up.

I grew up in Simi Valley and always heard booms in the hills in the 90's. Testing again. It seemed normal and no negativity loomed in the air. Now that I'm older, I've learned about the nuclear disaster that took place there and am here to demand cleanup that was promised.

I'm now raising a family in Newbury park and were in the area when the Woolsey fires burned. I'm terrified of the ramifications of the fire burning in that area.

Thank you for your continued support.

Kindest Regards,
Emily Derrick & family
Newbury Park, CA



Richard Williams <richard.williams@lacity.org>

SUPPORT FOR ITEM 19-0145

Alicia Berg <alijersey.ca@gmail.com>
To: clerk.budgetandfinancecommittee@lacity.org

Mon, Mar 18, 2019 at 1:25 PM

I am writing to ask that you continue to clean up the Santa Susana field lab.

Please vote in favor of spending \$600,000 to retain outside legal council so the city can sue if the U.S. Department of Energy breaks it's legal agreements to 100% clean the Santa Susana Field Lab.

I live in the West Hills/Canoga Park area.

I am a constituent and I urge a yes vote on 19-0145.

The Budget and Finance Committee vote should reflect that has already approved the City to retain legal counsel and that the City has always been a great supporter of the cleanup and they should continue with financing the motion. \$600,000 is only \$0.20 per Los Angeles child to help protect them from the radioactive and toxic contamination at the site. And after the Woolsey fire the community understands how dangerous it is to leave the contamination not cleaned up.



Richard Williams <richard.williams@lacity.org>

Re: Support #19-0145

Ruth Luevanos <ruth15580@gmail.com>
To: clerk.budgetandfinancecommittee@lacity.org

Mon, Mar 18, 2019 at 1:24 PM

Dear Budget and Finance Committee,

I am writing in support of Agenda Item #19-0145. As a resident and City Councilwoman for the City of Simi Valley my residents and I are directly impacted by the Department of Energy's failure to follow through on their promise to clean up the nuclear meltdown and toxic chemicals that Santa Susana Field Lab have failed to clean up. As you know this past Fall's Woolsey fire started at Santa Susana Field Lab and the toxic fumes from the chemicals that have never been cleaned up caused many of our Simi Valley and West San Fernando Valley residents with asthma to go to the emergency room due to serious respiratory conditions. As an asthmatic myself I had to go to the hospital at 2am because I had problems breathing even after having taken all of my inhalers and several at home breathing treatments. When I arrived at the hospital there were at least 10 other people with the same respiratory issues. I am also a PE teacher at John R. Wooden High School in Reseda where I had several students who reside in Canoga Park, West Hills and Chatsworth who also had many respiratory issues during the fires. As a cancer survivor myself I am very concerned about the toxins that have yet to be removed by the Department of Energy or the California Department of Toxic Substances Control despite their promises to have these chemicals cleaned up by 2017. On behalf of my students who live in the West San Fernando Valley and the residents of Simi Valley who are detrimentally impacted by the failure to clean up the Santa Susana Field Lab I urge you to be our voice, our defenders and our advocates.

Thank You,

Ruth Luevanos

Simi Valley City Councilwoman

John R. Wooden High School teacher



Richard Williams <richard.williams@lacity.org>

SUPPORT for item 19-0145

lee2winds <lee2winds@gmail.com>

Mon, Mar 18, 2019 at 1:21 PM

To: "Clerk.BudgetandFinanceCommittee@lacity.org" <Clerk.BudgetandFinanceCommittee@lacity.org>

Good afternoon,

Please add my name to the growing number of concerned citizens.

My name is Lee Sullivan and my family moved to Canoga Park in 1957 when I was two years old. I lived in Canoga Park for 20 years before moving away. Since that time, the residential street that I grew up on (Mobile Street), situated behind the Fallbrook Mall, experienced 11 deaths (that I'm aware of), due to various forms of cancer, be it: Brain, breast, bone, kidney, liver, lung or prostate cancer.

Although I am no longer living in the immediate area, it still remains a point of extreme concern for me; for my mother and neighbors succumbed to a disease that was man induced and then left to fester and foment for years. It is past time to now do the right thing for the families that currently live in that toxic area.

The Budget and Finance Committee vote should reflect that the City has already approved the motion to retain legal counsel and that the City has always been a great supporter of the cleanup and they should continue with financing the motion. \$600,000 is only \$0.20 per Los Angeles child to help protect them from the radioactive and toxic contamination at the site. And after the Woolsey fire the community understands how dangerous it is to leave the contamination not cleaned up.

Thank you for your time and assistance.

Sincerely,

Lee A. Sullivan



Budget Vote >> ITEM 19- 0145

mary jane atkins <mj54@sbcglobal.net>
To: clerk.budgetandfinancecommittee@lacity.org

Dear Budget and Finance Committee,

PLEASE SUPPORT ITEM # 19-0145.

As a SF Valley resident of 40 years, I know from my own experience and many friends in the Valley that we are worried about contamination at Santa Susana Field Laboratory. We need to do ev
We have been grateful for the years of support from the City of Los Angeles to commit 100% to cleanup. Now we are threatened by the Trump Administration's DOE to abandon cleanup of the s
people have signed a petition calling for full cleanup.

We need you to support #19-0145 so that residents can be protected from further contamination. #19-0145 will help to retain outside counsel for potential litigation regarding the Santa Susana Fi
Committee vote should reflect that same where the City has ALREADY APPROVED the motion to retain legal counsel. This makes common economic sense to approve what the City already a

Please vote YES TO SUPPORT ITEM #19-0145

Thank you,
Mary Jane Atkins
Chatsworth, Calif 91311



Richard Williams <richard.williams@lacity.org>

SUPPORT for Item 19-0145

Nikki Fogle <nfogle@gmail.com>

Mon, Mar 18, 2019 at 1:20 PM

To: Clerk.BudgetandFinanceCommittee@lacity.org

I have a young family and we live in the area surrounding the SSFL. I'm writing to urge a YES vote on Item 19-0145.

I'm hoping the vote will continue the work that has been started in approving the motion to retain legal counsel. The City has always been in support of this cleanup and financing should continue for this motion. \$600,000 is only \$0.20 per Los Angeles child to help protect them from the radioactive and toxic contamination at the site. It is important to our community to see this cleaned up. I urge you to listen to the residents in this area that are feeling unsafe and worried about the risk to their children. Please help us work toward a cleaner and safer future!

Thank you,
Nichole Fogle



Richard Williams <richard.williams@lacity.org>

Support for item19-0145

Monique Provance <moniqueprovance@gmail.com>

Mon, Mar 18, 2019 at 12:54 PM

To: "clerk.budgetandfinancecommittee@lacity.org" <clerk.budgetandfinancecommittee@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern

I have lived in the valley for over 20 years and I have two young children that I am very worried will be affected by the SSFL!

The Budget and Finance Committee vote should reflect that the City has already approved the motion to retain legal counsel and that the City has always been a great supporter of the cleanup and they should continue with financing the motion. \$600,000 is only \$0.20 per Los Angeles child to help protect them from the radioactive and toxic contamination at the site. And after the Woolsey fire the community understands how dangerous it is to leave the contamination not cleaned up.

Thank you for your time.

Love is real.
Monique



Richard Williams <richard.williams@lacity.org>

Support SSFL item 19-0145

Leslie Aisenman <leslieaiseman@gmail.com>
To: Clerk.BudgetandFinanceCommittee@lacity.org

Mon, Mar 18, 2019 at 12:50 PM

I stand with the many groups that support a full cleanup to background at Santa Susana Field Lab. The Agreements on Consent (AOCs) must be followed. Please support item 19-0145.

Sincerely,
Leslie Aisenman

Sent from my iPhone



Richard Williams <richard.williams@lacity.org>

SUPPORT for item 19-0145

melissabumstead@sbcglobal.net <melissabumstead@sbcglobal.net>

Mon, Mar 18, 2019 at 12:41 PM

To: Jessica Weihe <mrs.weihe@gmail.com>, Clerk.BudgetandFinanceCommittee@lacity.org
Cc: councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org, councilmember.Krekorian@lacity.org, david.ryu@lacity.org, paul.koretz@lacity.org, councilmember.martinez@lacity.org, Councilmember.Rodriguez@lacity.org, councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org, councilmember.price@lacity.org, councilmember.wesson@lacity.org, councilmember.bonin@lacity.org, councilmember.Smith@lacity.org, councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org, councilmember.huizar@lacity.org, councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org, councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org

Thanks SO much Jess! Though the meeting was postponed until April 1st, they'll know we're watching and will have our views read ahead of time. Thanks again!

Melissa

From: Jessica Weihe <mrs.weihe@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, March 18, 2019 10:57 AM

To: Clerk.BudgetandFinanceCommittee@lacity.org

Cc: councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org; councilmember.Krekorian@lacity.org; david.ryu@lacity.org; paul.koretz@lacity.org; councilmember.martinez@lacity.org; Councilmember.Rodriguez@lacity.org; councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org; councilmember.price@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.Smith@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; councilmember.huizar@lacity.org; councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org

Subject: SUPPORT for item 19-0145

Good Morning,

It's been brought to my attention that the City, specifically the Budget and Finance Committee, will be voting today as to whether or not to approve an expenditure of \$600,000 to retain legal counsel regarding enforcement of the U.S. Department of Energy's promise to clean up the Santa Susana Field Lab. It is my understanding that the City has already approved this motion to retain legal counsel and that the natural (and necessary) next step is to continue supporting the cleanup effort with this financing motion. As a resident of Conejo Valley, born and raised — and currently raising my own family here — I have seen the devastating effects the SSFL site has wreaked upon our community and the immediate surrounding areas. Many are still mourning the loss of sweet Hazel Hammerstein, who passed after a long, lengthy battle with Neuroblastoma last year. So many families in the immediate surrounding area of the SSFL site have experienced abnormal cancer rates, putting our children at risk each and every day. With the recent fires, it's more important than ever to help protect our community from the radioactive and toxic contamination that still exists at the site. While \$600,000 is no small number, when it breaks down to just \$0.20c per child, this doesn't appear to be asking too much to help ensure the safety and health of families in the community are protected.

I am writing to ask that this financial motion be approved and that the City continue to support holding the U.S. Dept. of Energy accountable in cleaning up the SSFL site in its entirety.

Thank you for your time,

3/18/2019

City of Los Angeles Mail - SUPPORT for item 19-0145

Jessica Weihe



Richard Williams <richard.williams@lacity.org>

PEASE SUPPORT item 19-0145,

Deirdre Bolona <deirdreb3000@gmail.com>
To: Clerk.BudgetandFinanceCommittee@lacity.org

Mon, Mar 18, 2019 at 12:39 PM

I live near SSFL and am asking you to SUPPORT item 19-0145, the AOC cleanup agreements to clean up all, 100% of the contamination, and urge the City to do all that it can to ensure the agreements are carried out.

The Budget and Finance Committee vote should reflect that the City already approved the motion to retain legal counsel and the allocation of up to \$600,000 It would only cost \$0.20 per child to fund the litigation that would help protect 3,000,000 children in Los Angeles from the SSFL

The Woolsey fire shows how dangerous it is to leave contamination not cleaned up. Please do the right thing for the people who are affected by this poison daily. We are depends on you to put peoples health before Corrupt corporations.

Thank you,
Deirdre Bolona

Sent from my iPhone



Richard Williams <richard.williams@lacity.org>

SUPPORT for item 19-0145

janet murphy <raven8jm@yahoo.com>

Mon, Mar 18, 2019 at 12:32 PM

To: "Clerk.BudgetandFinanceCommittee@lacity.org" <Clerk.BudgetandFinanceCommittee@lacity.org>, "richard.williams@lacity.org" <richard.williams@lacity.org>

To: LA City Budget and Finance Committee

From: Janet Murphy

Subject: Support for item 19-0145

March 18, 2019

Honorable Members of the Committee,

I would like to express my gratitude for your continuing support for the full and safe cleanup of the Santa Susana Field Lab, as this was recently shown by the City Council's unanimous vote prior to this motion.

I truly believe our State is turning in a good direction, especially with the new leadership of Jared Blumenfeld in the California EPA and also within the Department of Toxic Substance Control. These agencies are committed to the full clean up and have told the Department of Energy (DOE) that it must comply with the original agreement.

Unfortunately, the Trump Administration and the DOE has made it clear that they do not want to comply, and now wants JUST 2% of the contamination to be removed. This is wrong and unjust. We can't let that happen.

It is imperative that we can count on you to provide a funding source for our public defensive, since legal action may be needed if the DOE doesn't uphold the agreements.

I believe your Yes -Vote will be on the side that is working toward the greater good. Please Vote Yes on 19-0145. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Janet Murphy
15308 Seitz Ct
Moorpark, CA
93021



LA City Budget Committee1.docx

95K



Richard Williams <richard.williams@lacity.org>

Support for 19-0145

Melissa Marote <melissa.matthews2005@gmail.com>
To: Clerk.BudgetandFinanceCommittee@lacity.org

Mon, Mar 18, 2019 at 12:08 PM

Hello! I wanted to let you know that I live near SSFL and support a 100% clean up of the contamination. I want the \$600,000 to be set aside for litigation purposes. I am a mother of a 7 and 9 year old daughters and want to keep them safe. The Woolsey fire should have been a huge wake up call as to the potential hazards of this contamination being further spread into our communities. Please keep our community safe!

--

Melissa Marote, PhD, LPCC
740-541-0214



Richard Williams <richard.williams@lacity.org>

SUPPORT for item 19-0145.

'Fimi Shirikjian' via Clerk Budget and Finance Committee

Mon, Mar 18, 2019 at 12:07

<Clerk.BudgetandFinanceCommittee@lacity.org>

PM

Reply-To: Fimi Shirikjian <fimi26@yahoo.com>

To: "Clerk.BudgetandFinanceCommittee@lacity.org" <Clerk.BudgetandFinanceCommittee@lacity.org>

I live in the Tarzana and I'm very concerned about our health. Please ensure the Budget and Finance Committee vote reflects the City approved motion to retain legal counsel. The City has always been a great supporter of the cleanup and should continue with financing the motion. \$600,000 is only \$0.20 per Los Angeles child to help protect them from the radioactive and toxic contamination at the site. Especially after the Woolsey fire we understand how dangerous it is to leave the contamination not cleaned up.

Thank you for your prompt attention to this very serious matter.

Fimi Haddadian



Richard Williams <richard.williams@lacity.org>

Support for item number 19-0145, to retain outside counsel for potential litigation regarding the Santa Susana Field Laboratory

'Lkaufman' via Clerk Budget and Finance Committee

Mon, Mar 18, 2019 at 11:57

<Clerk.BudgetandFinanceCommittee@lacity.org>

AM

Reply-To: Lkaufman <lkaufman_aicp@yahoo.com>

To: "councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org" <councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org>

Cc: "Clerk.BudgetandFinanceCommittee@lacity.org" <Clerk.BudgetandFinanceCommittee@lacity.org>, "councilmember.bonin@lacity.org" <councilmember.bonin@lacity.org>, "paul.koretz@lacity.org" <paul.koretz@lacity.org>, "councilmember.price@lacity.org" <councilmember.price@lacity.org>, "councilmember.Krekorian@lacity.org" <councilmember.Krekorian@lacity.org>

Dear Budget and Finance Committee,

I write today to urge your support for item number 19-0145, to retain outside counsel for potential litigation regarding the Santa Susana Field Laboratory. The City of Los Angeles has long supported the full cleanup of nuclear and chemical contamination at SSFL. We cannot allow the Trump Administration's Dept. of Energy to walk away from its cleanup commitments and leave 98% of the contamination on site, where it will continue to migrate and threaten public health.

Please vote yes on item 19-0145.

Sincerely,

Laura Kaufman, as a private citizen and Woodland Hills resident



Richard Williams <richard.williams@lacity.org>

SUPPORT for item 19-0145

Gladys Aguilar, LCSW <gladysaguilar16@gmail.com>

Mon, Mar 18, 2019 at 11:52 AM

To: "clerk.budgetandfinancecommittee@lacity.org" <clerk.budgetandfinancecommittee@lacity.org>

I live close to SSFL and am greatly concerned for air quality and the lack of clean up that has gone on. This needs to change now! Resident of west hills.

The city has been a supporter of the cleanup and they should continue to finance this motion. It's important. Do what's right! The city has already approved the motion to retain legal counsel. \$600,000 is only 20 cents per LA child to help protect them from radioactive and toxic contamination at the site! After our devastating Woolsey fire, this issue can not be ignored. Help us!!!!

Resident,
Gladys Aguilar



Richard Williams <richard.williams@lacity.org>

SUPPORT for item 19-0145

Natalie Lahiji <natalie.lahiji@gmail.com>
To: Clerk.BudgetandFinanceCommittee@lacity.org

Mon, Mar 18, 2019 at 11:49 AM

I am a resident of Encino and work in Reseda. However, we all know that toxic waste does not stay within arbitrary boundaries. For that reason, I urge support for this item.

The Budget and Finance Committee vote should reflect that the City has already approved the motion to retain legal counsel and the City has always been a supporter of the cleanup. Thus, we should continue with financing the motion in order to enforce the legal agreement already in place.

\$600,000 is only \$0.20 per Los Angeles child to help protect us all -- especially children -- from the radioactive and toxic contamination at the SSFL. After the Woolsey fire, the community understands how dangerous it is not to clean up the contamination.

Sincerely,
Natalie Lahiji

This E-mail is confidential. It may also be legally privileged. If you are not the addressee you may not copy, forward, disclose or use any part of it. If you have received this message in error, please delete it and all copies from your system and notify the sender immediately by return E-mail.



Richard Williams <richard.williams@lacity.org>

SUPPORT for item 19-0145.

Theresa Brady <terriebrady@gmail.com>
To: Clerk.BudgetandFinanceCommittee@lacity.org

Mon, Mar 18, 2019 at 11:38 AM

Dear Councilmembers:

I urge you to support the Item 19-0145. I work in Canoga Park 5 days a week. This community is impacted by the field lab due to being 5 miles from it and downwind.

the city of LA has a long history of support for SSFL cleanup which it must continue.

the Budget and Finance Committee vote should reflect that the City already approved the motion to retain legal counsel and the allocation of up to \$600,000 .

Sincerely
Theresa Brady
[21844 Corvo Way](#)
[Topanga Ca 90290](#)



Richard Williams <richard.williams@lacity.org>

SUPPORT for item 19-0145

Carly Paoli <carly.paoli@gmail.com>
To: Clerk.BudgetandFinanceCommittee@lacity.org

Mon, Mar 18, 2019 at 11:34 AM

I support this item and the initiative to clean up the Santa Susana Field Lab. The city most certainly should spend the money to sue the US Dept of Energy if needed. This is imperative for the health of my community and my children.

Carly Worden
Resident and Tax Payer
[6811 Lena Ave.](#)
[West Hills, CA 91307](#)



Richard Williams <richard.williams@lacity.org>

SUPPORT for item 19-0145

Theresa Brady <terriebrady@gmail.com>

Mon, Mar 18, 2019 at 11:31 AM

To: Clerk.BudgetandFinanceCommittee@lacity.org

Cc: terrie brady <terriebrady@gmail.com>, theresa brady <sfvscsepbasin@gmail.com>, Denise Duffield <dduffield@psr-la.org>

theresa brady, [21844 corvo way topanga ca 90290](#)



Richard Williams <richard.williams@lacity.org>

SUPPORT for item 19-0145

'Lori Hawkins' via Clerk Budget and Finance Committee

Mon, Mar 18, 2019 at 11:28

<Clerk.BudgetandFinanceCommittee@lacity.org>

AM

Reply-To: Lori Hawkins <justasklori@yahoo.com>

To: "Clerk.BudgetandFinanceCommittee@lacity.org" <Clerk.BudgetandFinanceCommittee@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern,
I would appreciate it if you would support 19-0145.

I grew up within a few miles of the SSFL site, along with my cousins. We all moved into the new homes that were built in 1959, not knowing that there was a nuclear meltdown going on right up the street. My Mom passed away at 61, my Aunt at 62, and 2 of my 3 cousins at ages 50 and 57!! ALL from different types of cancer and one of those cousins also had Non Hodgekins Lymphoma in her early 20"s.

The Budget and Finance Committee vote should reflect that the City has already approved the motion to retain legal counsel and that the City has always been a great supporter of the cleanup and they should continue with financing the motion. Please note that \$600,000 is only \$0.20 per Los Angeles child to help protect them from the radioactive and toxic contamination at the site. And after the Woolsey fire the community understands how dangerous it is to leave the contamination not cleaned up.

We need your help. Too many children and adults are at risk and we were promised a COMPLETE cleanup years ago and someone dropped the ball and it is not being done.

Please do not allow the Trump Department of Energy to allow less than a 100% cleanup! Would you want YOUR family to be inhaling or ingesting all of these chemicals? Read the reports and vote to support this today!

Thank you,
Lori Hawkins



Richard Williams <richard.williams@lacity.org>

Jose Mendez #19-0242

Carlos Montes <carlosmmontes401@gmail.com>
To: clerk.budgetandfinancecommittee@lacity.org

Mon, Mar 18, 2019 at 11:14 AM

Jose Mendez 16 year old student was shot from the back by LAPD officers while sitting in a car on February 6, 2016, then dragged out of car and down a hill. Officers Josue Merida and Jeremy Wagner did not know an apartment security video cameras was recording their actions. The two officers turned off their own video cameras and had two years earlier been involved in a shooting and death with a similar story "we saw a gun so we had to shoot." I don't believe the officers version. They could have arrested Jose and not killed him with over 10 bullets. This monetary settlement offer is very low considering the age of Jose and circumstances of the shooting. In Boyle Heights at LAPD Hollenbeck police station there were 8 officer involved killings from February 2016 to January 2018 of Mexican-American young men. I ask that you increase the amount of the settlement. This is not justice.

Carlos M. Montes
Member Organizer
Centro CSO: Community Service Organization
Facebook: Centro CSO Cell: (213) 712-0370
carlosmmontes401@gmail.com





Richard Williams <richard.williams@lacity.org>

Jose Mendez #19-0242

Carlos Montes <carlosmmontes401@gmail.com>
To: clerk.budgetandfinancecommittee@lacity.org

Mon, Mar 18, 2019 at 11:14 AM

Jose Mendez 16 year old student was shot from the back by LAPD officers while sitting in a car on February 6, 2016, then dragged out of car and down a hill. Officers Josue Merida and Jeremy Wagner did not know an apartment security video cameras was recording their actions. The two officers turned off their own video cameras and had two years earlier been involved in a shooting and death with a similar story "we saw a gun so we had to shoot." I don't believe the officers version. They could have arrested Jose and not killed him with over 10 bullets. This monetary settlement offer is very low considering the age of Jose and circumstances of the shooting. In Boyle Heights at LAPD Hollenbeck police station there were 8 officer involved killings from February 2016 to January 2018 of Mexican-American young men. I ask that you increase the amount of the settlement. This is not justice.

Carlos M. Montes
Member Organizer
Centro CSO: Community Service Organization
Facebook: Centro CSO Cell: (213) 712-0370
carlosmmontes401@gmail.com





Richard Williams <richard.williams@lacity.org>

SUPPORT council file 19-0145

Gina Thornburg <ginat.cfvn@gmail.com>

Mon, Mar 18, 2019 at 11:07 AM

To: clerk.budgetandfinancecommittee@lacity.org, Councilmember Bob Blumenfeld <councilmember.blumenfeld@lacity.org>

Dear City Clerk and Honorable Councilmember Blumenfeld:

I am a constituent of Councilmember Blumenfeld in Woodland Hills.

I am writing this morning to urge the councilmember to vote in SUPPORT of council file 19-0145. The city of Los Angeles **should allocate** the appropriate funds to retain a law firm to work to prosecute the necessary legal action to ensure that the Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL) is remediated in a manner that is consistent with the 2010 Administrative Order on Consent.

By approving this motion today, the Budget and Finance Committee will reflect the City's stated desire to retain legal counsel in this matter and to allocate these funds.

In the wake of the devastating Woolsey Fire, which was ignited on Boeing's property on the SSFL site, Angelenos deserve protection from further exposure to the toxic contamination situated on the SSFL site. In a matter of hours after that conflagration began, smoke was already wafting over Woodland Hills, having traveled south, south by southwest and south by southeast. I know, because I was in Canoga Park for a few hours that afternoon and observed as the smoke's course was pushed southward by very powerful Santa Ana winds.

Children constitute a class of sensitive receptors to toxic contamination, particularly airborne toxins. When the 3 million children in the City are figured into the equation, this budget allocation amounts to just 20 cents per child. That is a tiny price to pay for a result that benefits millions of children.

Moreover, under the new CalEPA secretary, Mr. Jared Blumenfeld, the state Department of Toxic Substances Control has urged the federal Department of Energy that it must comply with the 2010 consent agreement.

A citizens' petition calling for full cleanup of the SSFL site has garnered more than 600,000 signatures. The city of Los Angeles must stand up and fight for us! This is a matter of environmental justice.

Thank you for your time and attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Gina K. Thornburg, PhD
Founder, Coalition for Valley Neighborhoods
At-large Alternative, Woodland Hills-Warner Center Neighborhood Council

--

Gina K. Thornburg, PhD
Geographer, Writer, Editor, Activist, Speaker
Housing- and Food-Justice Issues
Based in Los Angeles, California

Member, West Valley Neighborhood Alliance on Homelessness, 2018 - present
Board member, Woodland Hills-Warner Center Neighborhood Council, 2017 - present

Founder
Coalition for Valley Neighborhoods, 2017
<https://www.facebook.com/groups/CoalitionforValleyNeighborhoods/>

Co-Founder
Geographies of Food and Agriculture Specialty Group
American Association of Geographers, 2011



Richard Williams <richard.williams@lacity.org>

SUPPORT for item 19-0145

Jessica Weihe <mrs.weihe@gmail.com>

Mon, Mar 18, 2019 at 10:56 AM

To: Clerk.BudgetandFinanceCommittee@lacity.org

Cc: councilmember.blumenfeld@lacity.org, councilmember.Krekorian@lacity.org, david.ryu@lacity.org, paul.koretz@lacity.org, councilmember.martinez@lacity.org, Councilmember.Rodriguez@lacity.org, councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org, councilmember.price@lacity.org, councilmember.wesson@lacity.org, councilmember.bonin@lacity.org, councilmember.Smith@lacity.org, councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org, councilmember.huizar@lacity.org, councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org, councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org

Good Morning,

It's been brought to my attention that the City, specifically the Budget and Finance Committee, will be voting today as to whether or not to approve an expenditure of \$600,000 to retain legal counsel regarding enforcement of the U.S. Department of Energy's promise to clean up the Santa Susana Field Lab. It is my understanding that the City has already approved this motion to retain legal counsel and that the natural (and necessary) next step is to continue supporting the cleanup effort with this financing motion. As a resident of Conejo Valley, born and raised — and currently raising my own family here — I have seen the devastating effects the SSFL site has wreaked upon our community and the immediate surrounding areas. Many are still mourning the loss of sweet Hazel Hammerstein, who passed after a long, lengthy battle with Neuroblastoma last year. So many families in the immediate surrounding area of the SSFL site have experienced abnormal cancer rates, putting our children at risk each and every day. With the recent fires, it's more important than ever to help protect our community from the radioactive and toxic contamination that still exists at the site. While \$600,000 is no small number, when it breaks down to just \$0.20c per child, this doesn't appear to be asking too much to help ensure the safety and health of families in the community are protected.

I am writing to ask that this financial motion be approved and that the City continue to support holding the U.S. Dept. of Energy accountable in cleaning up the SSFL site in its entirety.

Thank you for your time,

Jessica Weihe



Richard Williams <richard.williams@lacity.org>

SUPPORT for Item 19-0145

Lucia Lang <lrajec@gmail.com>

Mon, Mar 18, 2019 at 10:54 AM

To: clerk.BudgetandFinanceCommittee@lacity.org

Hello,

I am writing to request that you will vote today in favor of spending \$600,000 to retain outside legal council so the city can sue if the U.S. Department of Energy breaks it's legal agreements to 100% clean up the Santa Susana Field Lab.

As a parent of 4 children living in Newbury Park, I am very concerned about the toxicity of the SSFL site and the awful impact it can have on their health and the health of all local children, especially those who are unfortunate enough to live even closer to the site. During the Woolsey fire in November, I couldn't help but think of all the toxic, noxious material that was burned at the site and made its way into all of lungs as we inhaled that smoke and its remnants for weeks. It is unacceptable for the Department of Energy to leave the site as is and risk the well-being, health, and lives of citizens living in its vicinity, especially the youngest and most vulnerable. We will continually be at risk, and even more so every time a natural disaster (like the fires this fall) inevitably occurs. It is, therefore, critical that you take the necessary steps to hold the US Dept of Energy accountable and ensure that they conduct the clean up of the SSFL site that they agreed to.

The Budget and Finance Committee vote should reflect that the City has already approved the motion to retain legal counsel. The City has always been a great supporter of the cleanup and your committee should continue with financing the motion. \$600,000 is only \$0.20 per Los Angeles child to help protect them from the radioactive and toxic contamination at the site.

This action is more critical now than ever. Our children deserve to live in a non-toxic and radioactive-free environment! Please vote in favor of item 19-0145.

Thank you,

Lucia Lang

Sent from my iPhone



Richard Williams <richard.williams@lacity.org>

SUPPORT for item 19-0145.

Bradley Young <bradleyyoung21@gmail.com>
To: Clerk.BudgetandFinanceCommittee@lacity.org

Mon, Mar 18, 2019 at 10:50 AM

To Whom It May Concern,

I SUPPORT the motion currently being considered by the Budget and Finance Committee regarding FULL CLEAN UP of the horribly contaminated SANTA SUSANA FIELD LAB in Simi Valley.

The Budget and Finance Committee vote should reflect that the City of Los Angeles has already approved the motion to retain legal counsel and that the City has always been a great supporter of the cleanup and they should continue with financing the motion. \$600,000 is only \$0.20 per Los Angeles child to help protect them from the radioactive and toxic contamination at the site. And after the Woolsey fire, the community understands how dangerous it is to leave the contamination not cleaned up - and this is affecting the ENTIRE STATE, and the whole COUNTRY as well. Toxic particulate matter was blown across the entire country, and millions of Americans are being exposed to CARCINOGENS like Strontium, Cesium, and other radionuclides.

The money MUST be appropriated in case Los Angeles has to sue the federal government.

Thank You,

Bradley Young

--

Bradley Young

310.838.0900

<https://www.youtube.com/user/1bradleyyoung>

<https://www.facebook.com/rhythmagic>

BradleyYoung.com



Richard Williams <richard.williams@lacity.org>

SUPPORT for item 19-0145

Nick Diener <ndiener@gmail.com>
To: Clerk.BudgetandFinanceCommittee@lacity.org

Mon, Mar 18, 2019 at 10:14 AM

Hello-

I live near SSFL and have a one year old son as well as a pregnant wife with a second little boy on the way.

The Budget and Finance Committee vote should reflect that the City has already approved the motion to retain legal counsel and that the City has always been a great supporter of the cleanup and they should continue with financing the motion.

\$600,000 is only \$0.20 per Los Angeles child to help protect them from the radioactive and toxic contamination at the site. After the Woolsey fire the community understands how dangerous it is to leave the contamination not cleaned up.

Thank you,
Nick



Richard Williams <richard.williams@lacity.org>

SUPPORT for item 19-0145

Christina Diener <christinadiener728@gmail.com>
To: Clerk.BudgetandFinanceCommittee@lacity.org

Mon, Mar 18, 2019 at 10:10 AM

Hello-

I live near SSFL and have a one year old son as well as am pregnant with a second little boy.

The Budget and Finance Committee vote should reflect that the City has already approved the motion to retain legal counsel and that the City has always been a great supporter of the cleanup and they should continue with financing the motion.

\$600,000 is only \$0.20 per Los Angeles child to help protect them from the radioactive and toxic contamination at the site. After the Woolsey fire the community understands how dangerous it is to leave the contamination not cleaned up.

Thank you,
Christina



Richard Williams <richard.williams@lacity.org>

SUPPORT for item 19-0145.

'maria dyer' via Clerk Budget and Finance Committee

Mon, Mar 18, 2019 at 10:03

<Clerk.BudgetandFinanceCommittee@lacity.org>

AM

Reply-To: maria dyer <mariadyer920@icloud.com>

To: Clerk.BudgetandFinanceCommittee@lacity.org

Hi my name is maria dyer and I live in canoga park. I am emailing you to show support for item 19-0145.

The Budget and Finance Committee vote should reflect that the City has already approved the motion to retain legal counsel and that the City has always been a great supporter of the cleanup and they should continue with financing the motion. \$600,000 is only \$0.20 per Los Angeles child to help protect them from the radioactive and toxic contamination at the site. after the Woolsey fire the community understands how dangerous it is to leave the contamination not cleaned up.

Please we want to live in a toxic and radioactive-free environment.

Thank you,

Maria dyer

Sent from my iPhone



Richard Williams <richard.williams@lacity.org>

SUPPORT for item 19-0145.

'Teresa Nigro' via Clerk Budget and Finance Committee

Mon, Mar 18, 2019 at 10:01

<Clerk.BudgetandFinanceCommittee@lacity.org>

AM

Reply-To: "teresamarie01@yahoo.com" <teresamarie01@yahoo.com>

To: "Clerk.BudgetandFinanceCommittee@lacity.org" <Clerk.BudgetandFinanceCommittee@lacity.org>

Please help. The Budget and Finance Committee vote should reflect that the City has already approved the motion to retain legal counsel and that the City has always been a great supporter of the cleanup and the clean up should continue with financing the motion. The \$600,000 is only \$0.20 per Los Angeles child to help protect them from the radioactive and toxic contamination at the site. And after the Woolsey fire the community understands how dangerous it is to leave the contamination not cleaned up.

THANK YOU,
TERESA DIAZ



Richard Williams <richard.williams@lacity.org>

Santa Susana Cleanup Vote

Melissa Olen <melissa.olen1@gmail.com>
Reply-To: melissaolen1@gmail.com
To: Clerk.BudgetandFinanceCommittee@lacity.org

Mon, Mar 18, 2019 at 9:30 AM

Hello,

I live near the SSFL site and support the AOC cleanup agreements to clean up ALL contamination. I urge the City to do all that it can to ensure the agreements are carried out, including litigation if necessary.

Thank you,
Melissa Olen
Calabasas, CA 91301



Richard Williams <richard.williams@lacity.org>

SUPPORT FOR 19-0145

'Holly Huff' via Clerk Budget and Finance Committee

<Clerk.BudgetandFinanceCommittee@lacity.org>

Reply-To: Holly Huff <hollyhuff1@aol.com>

To: Clerk.BudgetandFinanceCommittee@lacity.org

Mon, Mar 18, 2019 at 8:55

AM

Dear Budget and Finance Committee,

Please vote yes on 19-0145.

I live next to the SSFL and am in total support of the AOC cleanup agreement. This issue of cleanup has been going on for far too long, 30 years. First it was to be cleaned by 2007. That didn't happen. Then in 2010 the AOC's were signed and the cleanup was to be completed by 2017, that didn't happen. It's not going to happen if it isn't forced. Leaving 98% of the contamination is unacceptable.

This cleanup despartly needs to happen. Many people have become sick from this site including myself. I have lived within 2 miles of the SSFL site for 60 years and was diagnosed with Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia (cll) in 2009. I have been fighting this cleanup fight for 30 years this May.

DTSC has NOT done their job, protecting the community from toxins!

The city of LA has always supported the cleanup and I can only hope and pray you continue.

Thank you,

Holly Huff
1001 Black Canyon Rd.
Simi Valley,



Richard Williams <richard.williams@lacity.org>

SUPPORT for item 19-0145

Anthony Zepeda <aazepeda@gmail.com>
To: Clerk.BudgetandFinanceCommittee@lacity.org

Mon, Mar 18, 2019 at 8:47 AM

Dear Committee Members:

I live near the Santa Susana Field Laboratory and support the AOC cleanup agreements which require a cleanup of all contamination. I urge the City Council and the City of Los Angeles to do all it can to ensure the AOC agreements are fully carried out, and to hold the parties to the agreements accountable, even if such steps require litigation.

Over 600,000 people, including many of your constituents have signed a petition to call for full cleanup as envisioned by the AOC. The vast majority of the community supports full clean up, and the AOC agreements present the best opportunity to accomplish that goal.

Please vote in favor of item 19-0145.

Anthony Zepeda
[24342 Friar Street](mailto:Anthony.Zepeda@lacity.org)
[Woodland Hills, CA 91367](mailto:Anthony.Zepeda@lacity.org)



Richard Williams <richard.williams@lacity.org>

Fwd: COUNCIL FILE 19 - 0145 SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY AND THE WEST HILLS NEIGHBORHOOD COUNCIL

Andrew Choi <andrew.choi@lacity.org>
To: Clerk.BudgetandFinanceCommittee@lacity.org

Mon, Mar 18, 2019 at 8:36 AM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Christine Rowe** <crwhnc@gmail.com>

Date: Sun, Mar 17, 2019 at 9:16 PM

Subject: COUNCIL FILE 19 - 0145 SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY AND THE WEST HILLS NEIGHBORHOOD COUNCIL

To: Mayor Mayor Eric Garcetti <mayor.garcetti@lacity.org>, Herb Wesson (councilmember.wesson@lacity.org) <councilmember.wesson@lacity.org>, Councilmember Bob Blumenfield <Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org>, Jose Huizar (councilmember.huizar@lacity.org) <councilmember.huizar@lacity.org>, Controller Galperin <controller.galperin@lacity.org>, Mike Feuer <Mike.Feuer@lacity.org>

Cc: Councilmember Paul Krekorian <Councilmember.Krekorian@lacity.org>, Curren Price (councilmember.price@lacity.org) <councilmember.price@lacity.org>, Paul Koretz <Paul.Koretz@lacity.org>, Mike Bonin (mike.bonin@lacity.org) <mike.bonin@lacity.org>, Gil Cedillo, Sr. <councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org>, David Ryu (david.ryu@lacity.org) <david.ryu@lacity.org>, Nury Martinez (nury.martinez@lacity.org) <nury.martinez@lacity.org>, <Monica.Rodriguez@lacity.org>, Marqueece Harris-Dawson (Councilmember.Harris-Dawson@lacity.org) <Councilmember.Harris-Dawson@lacity.org>, Mitch O'Farrell (councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org) <councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org>, Joe Buscaino (Councilmember.Buscaino@lacity.org) <Councilmember.Buscaino@lacity.org>, <Andrew.Choi@lacity.org>

Dear Mayor Garcetti, Council President Wesson, Honorable Councilmembers, City Controller Galperin, and City Attorney Feuer,

Some of you have been to the West Hills Neighborhood Council (WHNC). Others have sent their staff members to these meetings.

In August 2011, I was the Environment Committee Chair of the West Hills Neighborhood Council as well as their Public Health Committee Chair.

I prepared the attached PowerPoint presentation to give to the WHNC in their space at Chaminade High School. Historically, we had used the projector in that room, and I had no reason to believe that projector would not work that night. So I only had one hard copy of my power point that day to present. The projector did not work that evening, and I had to read my PowerPoint presentation to the Board and the stakeholders who were present.

I am attaching that PowerPoint which I have made only grammatical (alignment or punctuation) changes to; I pulled a redundant slide and a blank page; and I have added my name to it, etc, to submit this to you.

I am submitting the Resolution that was voted upon that evening. I am attaching the minutes of that meeting that show there was one change to my submitted resolution.

At the time, that Resolution was supposed to be submitted as a Community Impact Statement. I do not know if it was ever attached to a file - that was back in 2011. If you note, the line at the bottom of the resolution shows that this was the Final version of the Resolution - that one line was removed as voted upon by the WHNC.

I wanted you to know the level in which I review documents, and I presented those documents to the WHNC prior to their taking action. I also presented those documents as a Technical stakeholder with

DTSC, the Federal EPA, the Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board, a Stakeholder for "Groundwater U" sponsored by DTSC, a stakeholder at DTSC meetings related to The Boeing Company, NASA SSFL, and the DOE SSFL. I also was a member of the DOE Soil Treatability Investigation Group (STIG), a NASA Section 106 Consulting Party, and I am currently a DOE SSFL Section 106 Consulting Party.

Please see these attachments and please attach this to the Council File.

Respectfully submitted,
Christine L. Rowe

--

Best,

Andrew Choi | Deputy City Clerk
Council and Public Services
Office of the City Clerk
Phone: (213)978-1080



3 attachments

 **SSFL WHNC PRESENTATION DK4 CR8.ppt**
8591K

 **WHNC BOARD MEETING MINUTES AUGUST 3 2011 CR HIGHLIGHTED.pdf**
64K

 **West Hills Neighborhood Council Resolutions regarding the Santa Susana Field Lab AUGUST 3 2011.pdf**
59K

**WEST HILLS
NEIGHBORHOOD COUNCIL**

**Discussion and
Recommendations
regarding the
Santa Susana Field
Laboratory**


August 3, 2011

© Christine L. Rowe

Santa Susana Field Laboratory Site Map

I

NO. BUFFER ZONE



BELL CANYON

The History of the Santa Susana Field Laboratory and Why We Are Talking Today

The **SSFL** must be thought of in its military context.


- The site was designed as a remote site for research and development after World War II.
- At that time, the Responsible Parties were not The Boeing Company, NASA, and the Department of Energy.
- NASA and the Department of Energy did not exist in 1947 when this site was purchased.

.

History of the SSFL

- This site was used for missile technology after the United States captured many Germans who had been doing that kind of research during World War II.
- Some of the research would later be used for engine tests – first for the Air Force and later for NASA.
- Most of the TCE in the ground went into the ground when the Air Force was using the SSFL site – prior to 1961 – before NASA took possession.


History of the SSFL



Nuclear research was the result of Eisenhower's "Atoms for Peace" program.

- The nuclear reactors at the site were all of a very small scale compared to those that are used for nuclear power today.
- One reactor – SNAP 10 – was designed at Santa Susana and taken to Vandenberg Air Force base where it was launched into space.

History of the SSFL



The Sodium Reactor Experiment (SRE) – the world's first nuclear reactor used for commercial energy purposes; it was used to produce some energy for the City of Moorpark, although not designed expressly for that purpose.

- It was designed to see how a sodium cooled graphite moderator would hold up in a larger scale facility.
- It was specifically designed so that it would not be under pressure and explode as the reactors in Fukushima have in recent months.

History of the SSFL

PUTTING THINGS IN PERSPECTIVE

There is no way to compare a sodium cooled graphite moderated 20 MW thermal , 6.5 MW energy reactor to those in Japan that average 1300 – 3000 MW thermal, and roughly 440 MW to 1000 MW energy. Those reactors are water boiler reactors, they did create pressure, and they also had their spent fuel stored in pools inside of their reactor housing.

History of the SSFL



In August 2009, the Department of Energy had a 7 hour Workshop on the SRE.

- The conclusion of a balanced panel of nuclear physicists was that only the gases Xenon and Krypton were released in the incident in July 1959 when the SRE reactor overheated.
- According to these physicists, there was no harm to the community from that one incident then, and there is no known residual contamination from that one incident today.

History of the SSFL

TODAY

- AREA IV, which is the area for which the DOE is responsible for cleanup, has had close to 275 structures in its almost 60 year history.
- About 25 structures remain.
- Two facilities – the Radiological Materials Handling Facility and a SNAP building – have the most residual radiological contamination on the site.
- They are fenced and restricted from access.
- Some WHNC members toured the SNAP building a few years ago.
- These site tours enable us to see what was there (by historic maps, videos, and photos), and what is left there today.

History of the SSFL



The ongoing question related to this site is: **Is this site causing any harm to the West Hills community today?**


- In December of 2010, we had Dr. Mack, an epidemiologist that is an expert on cancer in Los Angeles and Ventura counties tell us that we have no elevated incidence of cancer in West Hills.
- We have no cancer clusters.
- He could not correlate any cancers with any particular facility – not only the Santa Susana Field Laboratory – but no other facilities in all of Los Angeles County.

History of the SSFL

At the July 2011 WHNC Board meeting, we had Tom Seckington of DTSC speak about groundwater.

- When he was asked if he believed that groundwater had migrated from below the site to West Hills, he said that he “...was unable to answer that question...”
 - **they are still sampling seeps and springs to see if the contaminants have migrated.**
 - **Public health and public safety are the primary reasons that we put so much time into studying SSFL.**
 - **We all want the site to be cleaned up in a manner that is protective of our health offsite as well as for people and animals that visit that site in the future.**

History of the SSFL

- 
- To address public health and public safety as well as to protect the environment, we need to have an Environmental Impact Statement done for AREA IV of the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, **which is currently** under a federal court order.
 - NASA's property will have an EIS done on a voluntary basis under NEPA – the National Environmental Protection Act.
 - Finally, all of SSFL will have environmental studies done under CEQA – the California Environmental Quality Act.

History of the SSFL

Key dates and key issues

- 1) DOE has performed an Environmental Assessment under NEPA.)
- 2) LA City is a plaintiff in that DOE lawsuit; federal Judge Samuel Conti found DOE in violation of NEPA, and he ordered the DOE to complete a full EIS. He also retained jurisdiction until it was completed.
- 3) The EIS has already been funded, and the process for starting the EIS began on July 19, 2007, when the DOE announced: “**DOE Announces Intent to Perform EIS** “.
- 4) The Data Gap Analysis and the Scoping Process for the DOE EIS began in 2008.

History of the SSFL

Key dates and key issues

5) Why are we addressing the DOE EIS? →

To resolve conflicts between the AOC and the EIS→

So that progress will continue...in a safe manner
:

History of the SSFL

The EIS requirements should be modified to allow for all parties to agree

- A) to AOC cleanup/mitigation continuation, and
- B) to allow building destruction/site analysis as required to determine characterization (contamination levels under the remaining buildings, dumps, and related sites), and
- C) to abide by the findings of a completed EIS and a California EIR Process, submitted by CA DTSC, related to analysis and characterization of areas and types of contamination to be mitigated, methods and procedures for remediation of contamination, and
- D) to the standards required by the Nine Balancing Criteria and that future potential usage - with the Nine Balancing Criteria used as a guideline for the EIS, EIR and AOC - AND
- E) that continued monitoring of the surface water, groundwater, air, soil, and structures, and runoffs to ensure proper human and animal/wildlife safety be enforced.

History of the SSFL

Key dates and key issues

- 6) We should also point out that an archaeologist told the PPG meeting that CEPA and NEPA documents **can be done at the same time, and that the EIS should not delay the cleanup any more than CEQA will. John Jones of the DOE stated at the PPG that the DOE is obligated to follow NEPA.**
- 7) **The only thing holding up the completion of the EIS** is the complete characterization that is in process now by the EPA, CDM (the DOE's contractor), and DTSC.
- 8) 8) LA City Council voted in Closed Session regarding the Conflict between the AOC and the EIS. We do not know their decision. We would like WHNC to be in an advisory role to the City of Los Angeles regarding that Conflict.

History of the SSFL

Key dates and key issues

- 9) Chris Rowe was told by Council member Hahn that we need to file Community Impact Statements from Our Neighborhood Councils - elected officials have asked to have the WHNC make a formal comment rather than hear individual comments.
- 10) Also missing from the AOC are the 9 Balancing Criteria.

History of the SSFL

Nine Balancing Criteria

1. Overall protection of human health and the environment
2. Compliance with Applicable, Relevant and Appropriate Requirements
3. Long-term effectiveness and permanence
4. Reduction of toxicity, mobility, or volume
5. Short-term effectiveness
6. Implementability
7. Cost
8. State acceptance
9. Community acceptance

History of the SSFL

Key dates and key issues

Additional discussion items:

- 11) We would like to respectfully request that the Boeing Company reaffirm their Letter of Intent to the State
- 12) We would like to respectfully request that The Boeing Company affirm that they will not sell their SSFL property for residential use.
- 13) We would like to respectfully request NASA and GSA to make that commitment for the future use of their property to not be residential as well.
- 14) We would like to ask The Boeing Company to reaffirm from its Letter of intent to donate the land as parkland - to the State, or to the Federal Government.

History of the SSFL

Summary of Letter of Intent (non-binding) for the Transfer of the Santa Susanna Field Laboratory Property

1. Boeing and NASA own approximately 2,850 acres of Property in Ventura County, the Department of Energy owns facilities on an approximately 90-acre portion of the site.
2. Boeing agrees it will clean up the Property for both radiological and chemical constituents using a risk-based approach for both human health and ecological risk receptors consistent with State law, even if NASA or DOE do not achieve the standard.
3. Boeing agrees to clean up the Property to levels acceptable for residential use and that protect individuals living in the vicinity of the Property.

History of the SSFL

Summary of Letter of Intent (non-binding) for the Transfer of the Santa Susanna Field Laboratory Property

4. The State agrees that the property will not be used in the future for residential, agricultural, commercial or other uses, except for park, recreational or open-space.
5. Boeing agrees to maintain financial assurance mechanisms until the State certifies the Property cleaned up and transferred.
6. Boeing intends to donate the Property to the State and facilitate a no-cost acquisition of the NASA Property to be preserved as park land and/or open space.
7. Boeing intends to transfer the Property on an area-by-area basis after all soil remediation has been completed.

History of the SSFL

Summary of Letter of Intent (non-binding) for the Transfer of the Santa Susanna Field Laboratory Property

8. If the State fails to acquire either the NASA or Boeing Property, Boeing agrees to never the less achieve the residential clean-up standard.
9. Boeing agrees to restore the Property to an unimproved natural state prior to transfer.
10. The State has the right to approve land use covenants or other institutional controls necessary for. approved remediation of the Property.
11. Boeing agrees to pay \$750,000 per year for 30 years to fund conversion of the Property to the State, first payment payable with recording of the first transfer.

History of the SSFL

Summary of Letter of Intent (non-binding) for the Transfer of the Santa Susanna Field Laboratory Property

12. Boeing waives all claims against State connected with hazardous wastes etc., known or unknown that existed or are present on Property prior to transfer.
13. Boeing assumes full responsibility for all costs, expenses and liabilities associated with the performance of environmental remediation of the Property.
14. Boeing agrees to indemnify and defend the State against all claims associated with remediation, third party claims and claims. by federal, State or local governments.
15. Transaction is subject to negotiation and execution of appropriate Acquisition Agreements between Boeing, the State and NASA.

History of the SSFL

Key dates and Key issues

Additional discussion items:

- 15) We would like to respectfully request that the future use of the NASA / GSA property end use to also be parkland or open space as determined by their EIS.
- 16) We would like to request that The Boeing Company, NASA, and the Department of Energy create a fund for 30 years as is mentioned in the Boeing LOI to maintain and to monitor the air, groundwater, the surface water, the soil, and the Best Management Practices that have been implemented at the site.
- 17) We respectfully request that some of the NASA test stands be preserved if they can be done so in a manner that is safe and will not impede the remediation of the soil or groundwater beneath them.

History of the SSFL

Key dates and Key issues

Additional discussion items:

21) The Responsible Parties and agency leaders must recognize the cultural significance of this whole site to the local Native American community.

History of the SSFL

Key dates and Key issues

Additional discussion items:

21) The Responsible Parties and agency leaders must recognize the cultural significance of this whole site to the local Native American community.


History of the SSFL

Key dates and Key issues

Additional discussion items:

21) The Responsible Parties and agency leaders must recognize the cultural significance of this whole site to the local Native American community.

SSFL - Why We Are Talking Today

- 
- It is extremely important to the residents of West Hills that we have
- the EIS, and
 - a full site EIR, and
 - the Nine Balancing Criteria as clean-up guidelines, and
 - Ongoing monitoring of air, surface water, groundwater, soils, and
 - intelligent, safe future use of this land, with the
 - best management in place for the protection of the West Hills and other local residents,

SSFL - Why We Are Talking Today



QUESTIONS ?


?

?

?

DOE ETEC CLEANUP

<http://www.etc.energy.gov/Cleanup/Cleanup-Status.html>



SSFL TIMELINE

Cleanup Status

Environmental Impact Statement

On July 19, 2007, the U.S Department of Energy's (DOE) Office of Environmental Management announced that it will prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for remediation of Area IV. DOE will issue an Advance Notice of Intent to prepare an EIS and include extensive input from the local community and public as well as state and federal regulatory officials in the EIS development. DOE also notified its contractor, The Boeing Company, that cleanup activities at ETEC, which have been halted since May 24, 2007, will be stopped. DOE will restructure the existing contract with the Boeing Company to discontinue planned decontamination and decommissioning (D&D) activities. The restructured contract will include surveillance, maintenance, and environmental monitoring work, including soil and groundwater characterization required under state regulations, while DOE prepares an EIS.

SSFL TIMELINE

Cleanup Status

Administrative Order on Consent

The Department of Energy and NASA both signed Administrative Orders on Consent (AOC) with the California Environmental Protection Agency that define the process for characterization and the cleanup end-state for portions of SSFL.

Last Updated: March 4, 2011

History of the SSFL

- NASA's property will have an Environmental Impact Statement done on a voluntary basis under NEPA – the National Environmental Protection Act.
- Finally, all of Santa Susana will have environmental studies done under CEQA – the California Environmental Quality Act.

DOE TIMELINE

National Aeronautics and Space Administration



Santa Susana Field Laboratory An Overview of NASA's History at SSFL

This provides information on the cleanup of NASA-administered at the Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL).



The SSFL is located on 2,850 acres in the Simi Hills, nearly thirty miles northwest of downtown Los Angeles, California, in southeastern Ventura County (close to the communities of Santa Susana Knolls, Bell Canyon and Simi Valley). The facility opened in 1948 and is divided into four so-called "Administrative Areas." Most of Area I and all of Area III are owned and operated by the Boeing Company. Area II and a 41.7-acre portion of Area I owned by the U.S. Government and administered by NASA. Area IV is owned and operated by Boeing for the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), which has long held a lease on that land.

NASA administers 451.2 acres in two areas of the Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL) and has operated here since 1973, when we first acquired land from the U.S. Air Force (USAF). Prior to 1973, NASA conducted testing at SSFL in cooperation with the USAF. NASA recognizes the importance of communicating directly with the community regarding our properties, current and former operations at SSFL and the ongoing environmental cleanup taking place. Other areas are owned and operated by the Boeing Company. NASA is committed to a cleanup of the areas we administered to a level protective of public health and the environment. This literature is part of our efforts to keep you informed.

The activities conducted throughout the NASA-administered areas of the SSFL have included research, development and testing of rocket engines associated with the Apollo and Space Shuttle Programs, and for Air Force missile programs. The 41.7 acres of Area I formerly administered by the USAF (and since 1976 by NASA) were used for a Liquid Oxygen (LOX) Plant, which operated under the USAF from the early 1950s until the late 1960s. The plant's buildings and tanks were removed in the 1970s. At its peak, our other property, Area II, contained some 125 buildings and other structures, many of which have been demolished during the past three decades. It also included several ponds for containing cooling water that had been used in rocket testing. Area II was used extensively in support of Space Shuttle Main Engine activities and consisted of four testing locations, which are no longer operational.



www.nasa.gov

SEE OTHER SIDE

for your information

BOEING History at SSFL

BOEING History at SSFL

<u>NORTH AMERICAN AVIATION</u> 1947	<u>NORTH AMERICAN AVIATION</u> 1955	<u>ROCKWELL CORP.</u> 1996 / 2005	<u>ROCKWELL CORP.</u> 1973 - 2006
Field Lab established	NAA became Atomics International & Rocketdyne	1996 BOEING acquired Rocketdyne	before 1973 - NASA & DOD/USAF testing at SSFL
Rocket engine devel. In Areas I, II, III		2005 PRATT WHITNEY acquired Rocketdyne; BOEING retained SSFL ownership	1973 - NASA acquired 451.2 acres & continued testing at SSFL
Nuclear research in Area IV			1950 Nov 15 - first US large liquid propellant rocket engine 1950-2006 Redstone 1958 - first satellite, Explorer, launched Redstone-Mercury/Gemini 1961 - first US manned flight F1/J2-Apollo - lunar landings Space Shuttle - first reusable rocket engine Delta/Atlas - satellite launch engine testing ended 3/3/2006 thru 1988 - AI & DOE - energy research at ETEC (Energy Technology Engineering Center) - metal sodium reactor testing

DOE ETEC CLEANUP

<http://www.etc.energy.gov/Cleanup/Cleanup-Status.html>

The screenshot shows a Windows Internet Explorer browser window displaying the DOE ETEC Cleanup Status webpage. The browser's address bar shows the URL <http://www.etc.energy.gov/Cleanup/Cleanup-Status.html>. The webpage features a blue header with the U.S. Department of Energy logo and the text "ETE: Energy Technology Engineering Center Closure Project". Below the header is a navigation menu with links for "ABOUT DOE | ORGANIZATION | NEWS | CONTACT US", "SITE MAP", "SEARCH", and "GOV". The main content area is titled "CLEANUP" and includes a sidebar with "Process and Regulators", "Standards", and "Status" sections. The "Status" section lists various cleanup activities such as "Remaining Building & SWMU Map", "Radiological Facility Map", and "EPA Hazard Ranking 2007". The main text area is titled "Cleanup Status" and provides an overview of the DOE's commitment to the cleanup, including the demolition of radiological facilities and the disposal of waste. To the right of the text is an interactive map showing the site layout with various colored markers representing different areas. A legend below the map identifies "Leachables SWMU" (yellow), "Remaining Buildings" (blue), and "SWMUs" (red). A "You are here" breadcrumb trail indicates the current location: "ETE Home > Cleanup > Status". The page number "36" is visible in the bottom right corner, and the browser's zoom level is set to 125%.

ETE - Cleanup Status - Windows Internet Explorer

http://www.etc.energy.gov/Cleanup/Cleanup-Status.html

File Edit View Favorites Tools Help

Gmail - Inbox - dkarchem@... GOOGLE MODEM Connection NETGEAR Router TRENDNET TE100-P21 Ma... Page Safety Tools

ABOUT DOE | ORGANIZATION | NEWS | CONTACT US SITE MAP SEARCH GOV

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY ETE: Energy Technology Engineering Center Closure Project

ETE BACKGROUND THE PROJECT REGULATION CLEANUP EIS HEALTH & SAFETY HISTORY READING ROOM

CLEANUP

Process and Regulators

Standards

Status

- Remaining Building & SWMU Map
- Radiological Facility Map
- Radiological Facility Decommissioning
- EPA Hazard Ranking 2007
- Consent Order
- Historical Site Assessment
- Groundwater Monitoring
- EPA Building Survey
- Environmental Impact

Cleanup Status

As identified in the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Energy Technology Engineering Center (ETE) [project overview](#), the DOE is committed to the cleanup required to allow unrestricted use of the property at ETE, meet the conditions of the RCRA permits, and maintain groundwater protection. This cleanup includes the demolition of radiological facilities, demolition of most support buildings, cleanup of solid waste management units (SWMUs), and disposal of all waste off site at approved facilities.

Open the map to the right and select the areas identified on the map for more information on this remaining cleanup work.

[CleanUpdate](#)

You are here: ETE Home > Cleanup > Status

Select this picture to open a webpage with an interactive map that provides more information about current cleanup status.

36

125%

SSFL TIMELINE

Cleanup Status

Select this picture to open a webpage with an interactive map that provides more information about current cleanup status.

As identified in the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Energy Technology Engineering Center (ETEC) [project overview](#),

the DOE is committed to the cleanup required to allow unrestricted use of the property at ETEC, meet the conditions of the RCRA permits, and maintain groundwater protection. This cleanup includes the demolition of radiological facilities, demolition of most support buildings, cleanup of solid waste management units (SWMUs), and disposal of all waste off site at approved facilities.

Open the map to the right and select the areas identified on the map for more information on this remaining cleanup work.



<http://www.etec.energy.gov/Cleanup/Cleanup-Status.html>

SSFL TIMELINE

Cleanup Status

CleanUpdate

DOE has initiated a quarterly newsletter providing timely updates on its cleanup status of Area IV of the SSFL.

December 2008 ([PDF](#))

April 2009 ([PDF](#))

August 2009 ([PDF](#))

November 2009 ([PDF](#))

March 2010 ([PDF](#))

June 2010 ([PDF](#))

October 2010 ([PDF](#))

February 2011 ([PDF](#))

June 2011 ([PDF](#))


SSFL TIMELINE

Cleanup Status

[Radiological Facility Decommissioning](#)

Select this picture on the next page to open a webpage with an interactive map that provides more information about radiological facility status. When a facility is contaminated with radioactivity as a result of prior radiological operations and/or research, it is decontaminated, decommissioned and surveyed in order to restore it to a safe, clean status where it can be used for other purposes without exposing future users to hazards or risks from radiation or radioactivity. A variety of reports are prepared to document this process and are provided on the [Radiological Facility Decommissioning page](#).

DOE ETEC CLEANUP



<http://www.etc.energy.gov/Cleanup/Cleanup-Status.html>

SSFL TIMELINE

Cleanup Status

Historical Site Assessment

The ETEC Historical Site Assessment (HSA) was completed in May 2005. The HSA is a detailed investigation of historical information from Area IV that identifies sites that may have contained regulated radioactive material. The HSA describes the final status surveys performed for those sites that have been released for unrestricted use by the appropriate regulatory agencies. Sites requiring further sampling are identified in the [HSA](#).

Groundwater

DOE has installed over 50 wells in and around Area IV. Groundwater is monitored at the Santa Susana Field Laboratory program under the oversight of DTSC. Chemically impacted groundwater has been identified in conjunction with four Solid Waste Management Units, FSDS, 4056 landfill, 4059 and RMHF leachfield, as found on the above map. Radiologically impacted groundwater was found near Building 4010. Visit the [tritium in groundwater](#) page for more information about monitoring in this area.

SSFL TIMELINE

Cleanup Status

EPA Conducts Superfund Evaluation of SSFL

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is conducting a Superfund Evaluation of the Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL), including the site of DOE operations in Area IV.

Consent Order

On August 16, 2007, the Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) issued a "Consent Order for Corrective Action" to The Boeing Company, the Department of Energy (DOE) and the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) to guide the RCRA cleanup at the SSFL.

SSFL TIMELINE

Cleanup Status

EPA Building Survey

Between January 2000 and September 2001, EPA and its contractor, Tetra-Tech, reviewed Boeing's and DOE's final status and verification surveys of 11 prior radiological facilities that had undergone D&D survey and release. EPA and Tetra-Tech also conducted radiological surveys of 8 of these facilities.

Chemical Co-Located Sampling

DOE and DTSC have been in the field working on the chemical co-located sampling effort with EPA. Since the beginning of the co-located sampling effort several documents have been prepared by CDM, DOE's contractor and approved by DTSC. DOE is committed to keeping the community informed in a timely manner of the chemical co-located effort and all other DOE-related efforts on the site. This web page will be kept up to date with future documents and information regarding chemical co-located sampling.



Help Guide the Future of West Hills
West Hills Neighborhood
Council



P.O. Box 4670, West Hills, CA 91308-4670
818-719-8656

<http://www.westhillsnc.org>

mail@westhillsnc.org

FINAL
MEETING MINUTES
August 3, 2011

Chaminade College Preparatory, 23260 Saticoy St., West Hills, CA 91304

Attendance:

Present: Solomon Bash, Sandi Bell, Shelly Bright, Dan Brin, Robert Brostoff, Edwin Dockus, Carolyn Greenwood, Donn Howell, Bonnie Klea, Stephen Lenske, Elliot Maggin, Walter Perfect, Bill Rose, Charlene Rothstein, Chris Rowe, Barry Seybert, Jeffrey Shapiro, Ron Sobel, Bobbi Trantafello, Joanne Yvanek-Garb and Jacquie Young

Absent/Excused: Ruwan Kotuwelle, Yoav Shalev and Daniel Wiseman

Opening Business:

Co-Chair Mr. Stephen Lenske established a quorum, called the meeting to order and asked that all in attendance follow Chaminade's housekeeping rules. He also asked any stakeholders who would like to speak to please fill out a speaker card so that the appropriate amount of time can be allotted for public comment. Mr. Lenske advised that each speaker would have two (2) minutes to speak and requested that each person respect the time limit. Mr. Lenske advised that the council has been putting out a fantastic monthly e-mail newsletter. Mr. Lenske stated that if anyone has information to be included in the newsletter to please get in touch with the Communication Committee. He also advised anyone interested to sign up on the e-mail list and receive WHNC updates.

Mr. Lenske advised that a seat is available on the Board. He encouraged those in attendance to review the list of committees and consider becoming part of the Board.

Mr. Lenske advised that there has been a development that has just come to the board's attention. It is a plan to have advertising on bus benches. There was a hearing on Aug. 3, 2011 at 2 p.m., about which the West Hills Neighborhood Council received no advance notice.

Minutes – the minutes of July 6th were approved as corrected.

Treasurer Ms. Bobbi Trantafello reported that she does not have a Treasurer's Report this month. The only activity was payment on the storage unit. Ms. Trantafello advised that she is still in the process of auditing the entire year. Mr. Lenske advised that the WHNC's equipment has been

moved to a smaller storage unit and effective Aug. 1 we should see a reduction in the monthly cost.

Announcements:

Mr. Ron Rubine, Field Deputy for the 3rd Council District, provided an update on activities in the area. National Night Out on Aug. 2 was a huge success. Thirty-five million people all over the country participated in the event. There is the Clean & Green project going on, which culminates Saturday. This project involved hiring 300 kids and paying them minimum wage to clean up parkways during the summer. Councilman Zine requested that he be part of any ribbon-cutting ceremony for the installation of the West Hills street signage.

Ms. Seme Park, Field Deputy for the 12th Council District, advised that she would have Councilman Mitchell Englander's response regarding contribution to the cost of the West Hills signage in District 12 by next week.

Ms. Park stated that Councilman Englander is looking into just how much money from judgments, as the result of those prosecuted for vandalism, has been recouped. Ms. Park advised that a reception will be held at 5 p.m. on Aug. 14 at Rancho Cordillera del Norte in celebration of the completion of the first phase of the San Fernando Valley Oral History Program.

Mr. Maximillian (Max) Reyes, Senior Field Representative of State Senator Fran Pavley, was introduced. He advised that the senator has authored a bill, SB 586, on elder and dependent adult abuse. All fines for elder abuse will double under this bill.

Ms. Joanne Yvanek-Garb spoke about city redistricting. Under a new plan, the Valley could have more power. Ms. Yvanek-Garb advised that the need for a ratepayer advocate is still a hot issue.

Mr. Sol Bash advised that the Emergency Preparedness Committee plans to hold the next Emergency Preparedness Fair again at Shadow Ranch Park. The date will be between March 31 and April 7. More information will follow.

Mr. Wally Perfect gave an update on upcoming Zoning & Planning meetings. At this time, the only meeting will be the regular meeting at the 911 Call Center.

Ms. Bonnie Klea advised that she attended the meeting on the proposed development between the Topanga and Westfield Malls. Ms. Klea advised that there would be no single-family homes, but there would be a 10,000 sq. ft. community center and the developers are planning to make a presentation to the WHNC Board in September.

Presentation

Mr. Dan Brin introduced Mr. William Vietinghoff. Mr. Vietinghoff is a former engineer at the Santa Susana Field Laboratory. Mr. Vietinghoff provided a brief history of the site and its many owners.

Public Comment:

Council member Bob Brostoff expressed his concern that the revised bylaws have not been

presented for a vote to date. Mr. Brostoff stated that the bylaws were to be read at this meeting and he failed to understand the reason this has not occurred.

Council member Bonnie Klea expressed her displeasure with the new Board committee assignments and not being allowed to be a voting member of the Environmental Committee although she is a former employee of the Santa Susana Field Lab and has been an environmental activist since 1995.

Stakeholder Margery Brown stated that we are running out of time to take action regarding what is happening at the Santa Susana Field Lab.

Board member Jacquie Young advised that she too has an environmental concern. Ms. Young stated that Boeing has been sending trucks of waste from the Santa Susana Field Lab and she is wondering if there have been any accidents or spills that we have not been notified of.

Government Report

Board member Joanne Yvanek-Garb advised that she did not receive any material to be presented at this meeting from Dr. Wiseman, who was unable to attend tonight due to conflicting vacation plans.

Old Business:

02-0003 – Discussion and possible action re West Hills Street Signage

Mr. Wally Perfect advised there is nothing new and rather than take up time now there is going to be a meeting on Tuesday and he will then present the information to the board.

New Business:

11-0018 – Discussion and possible action regarding the West Hills Neighborhood Council conducting its biennial election of open Board seats in March 2012

Mr. Edwin Dockus advised the Board that the City Clerk is not running the Neighborhood Council election next March. We (WHNC) have the option of holding our own election or wait until 2013 for the City Clerk to hold the election, he said. We can hold our own election in a Town Hall meeting format and we again could work with other Neighborhood Councils. As in the past, working with other councils has lowered the cost of putting on an election.

After discussion, Mr. Dockus moved that the WHNC conduct a Town Hall election in 2012, subject to funding. Motion passed with 16 ayes and 3 nays.

11-0019 – Discussion and recommendations regarding the Santa Susana Field Lab

Ms. Chris Rowe provided an overview of the history of the Santa Susana Field Lab, the parties involved and a lawsuit regarding clean-up efforts. Ms. Rowe stated we have even heard from Dr. Mack, noted epidemiologist, who made a presentation to the Board saying he found no evidence of cancer clusters in our area. (His PowerPoint presentation can be found on the Public Health Committee page.) Ms. Rowe presented a Resolution in Support of An Environmental Impact Statement for Area IV of the Santa Susana Field Laboratory from the Environmental Committee (copy attached).

Mr. Dan Hirsch, President of Bridge the Gap, challenged information presented by Ms. Rowe. Mr. Hirsch stated that the City Council voted on the matter two months ago and unless Judge Conti gives relief in this lawsuit it will mean the end of funding for current cleanup efforts and the end of the cleanup.

Board member Bonnie Klea said she disagrees with Ms. Rowe's presentation in its entirety. She stated that every state, federal and local agency is currently involved in this cleanup effort under SB990.

Ms. Margery Brown advised that she has been on the West Hills Neighborhood Council Environment Committee from the beginning. Ms. Brown stated that the resolution presented by Ms. Rowe from the Environment Committee was only verbally presented at a committee meeting that was held on four days' notice and attendees were not given a written copy to review. Ms. Brown went on to state that even though this matter has not come before the Board, Ms. Rowe wrote to Judge Conti and represented herself as speaking for the Board.

Ms. Dorri Raskin advised that she has been an environment activist for 22 years and she opposes Ms. Rowe's recommendation.

Ms. Marie Mason stated she was very confused about the proposed resolution from the Environment Committee. She questioned taking any action that went against what the City Attorney was supporting.

Ms. Holly Huff advised that the vote taken by the West Hills Environment Committee on the resolution was close. It was not provided to the committee in writing before a vote was taken and she objected to that procedure.

Ms. Jeanne Londe stated she was puzzled and confused. Ms. Londe stated she has been involved with the Santa Susana Field Lab problem over 20 years and she has no idea what Ms. Rowe was talking about.

Mr. Ryan Moorman, Teens Against Toxins, stated that an agreement on cleanup efforts has been reached with very few objections. Mr. Moorman questioned whether it was wise to go against a recommendation of the City Attorney.

Ms. Devyu Gortnev, Teens Against Toxins, stated she is concerned about her health and that of others. She stated that the decision on cleanup efforts is affecting thousands of people.

Board member Elliot Maggin thanked Ms. Rowe for all of her work. Mr. Maggin spoke in favor of an Environmental Impact Statement because it would provide information that would be helpful in understanding a complicated issue.

Board member Sandi Bell stated that she finds it offensive that it appears that Ms. Rowe is being criticized by Board members and others in attendance that were using terrorist tactics.

Mr. Alec Uzemeck stated that he agreed with Ms. Klea and said that this cleanup issue is a very complex situation. Mr. Uzemeck stated that what is in place should be left to be completed and the council not get involved taking such action that would set the matter back.

Ms. Rowe made a motion that the Resolution be acted on by the Board. After additional discussion the vote was taken and the motion failed.

Ms. Rowe then read an eight-point recommended Resolution for the General Remediation of and Future Use of the Santa Susana Field Laboratory (copy attached).

After discussion, Board member Ms. Charlene Rothstein moved that the third item on the resolution be stricken. Motion passed without challenge from Ms. Rowe.

Board member, Mr. Bob Brostoff stated that the issue was far too complicated and that many of the board members did not understand it, and that he recommended a “no” vote on the motion.

Subsequent motions by Mr. Bob Brostoff and Mr. Wally Perfect to eliminate item numbers one and two failed.

Motion to accept Resolution as amended passed.

10:10 meeting adjourned

RESOLUTIONS FOR THE REMEDIATION OF AND FUTURE USE OF THE SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY

WHEREAS the West Hills Neighborhood Council is an advisory body to the City of Los Angeles under the City Charter and is the nearest community in the City of Los Angeles to the Santa Susana Field Laboratory site; and

WHEREAS West Hills is the community in Los Angeles that is most likely to be affected by contamination from the Santa Susana Field Laboratory site and by cleanup efforts that include truck traffic to and from the site, airborne contamination and emissions, potential groundwater contamination, and contaminants in the groundwater that may have a potential for vapor intrusion;

LET IT BE RESOLVED that:

[1] The West Hills Neighborhood Council respectfully requests that the future use of the Santa Susana Field Laboratory not be used for residential purposes;

[2] The West Hills Neighborhood Council encourages the use of the Nine Balancing Criteria for the remediation of the Santa Susana Field Laboratory;

[3] The West Hills Neighborhood Council recommends ongoing monitoring of airborne emissions and dust from remediation, monitoring of surface water and groundwater, and monitoring of soils at the Santa Susana Field Laboratory site until it [DTSC]* deems that the site is cleaned according to all relevant and applicable laws;

[4] The West Hills Neighborhood Council recommends the future use of the Santa Susana Field Laboratory as parkland or open space based upon the final characterization of the site;

[5] The West Hills Neighborhood Council recommends the protection of some of the test stands on the NASA property if it can be done in a manner that is protective of public safety and will not impede the cleanup beneath the test stands;

[6] The West Hills Neighborhood Council supports all environmental laws that are applicable to this site that are protective of endangered species and wildlife that uses the site as a major wildlife corridor; and

[7] The West Hills Neighborhood Council supports all laws that are applicable for the protection of the cultural and archaeological aspects of this site, which is considered sacred to the Native American community and has archaeological sites that are on the National Register of Historic Places.

The West Hills Neighborhood Council recommends to the City Council and the City Attorney that this resolution be recorded as part of a Community Impact Statement for West Hills. The West Hills Neighborhood Council also requests that the City Attorney present this resolution with any other letters from elected officials and community groups when submitting any recommendations to the City Council or to Judge Samuel Conti or his peers relating to *NRDC, Committee to Bridge the Gap, and the City of Los Angeles v the Department of Energy*.

*the word DTSC was placed for clarification because the previous paragraph in the original resolution which mentioned the California Department Of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) was voted upon by the West Hills Neighborhood Council to be removed from the resolution.

Respectfully submitted by:

Chris Rowe

West Hills Neighborhood Council

Environment Committee Chair and Public Health Committee Chair

August 3, 2011



Richard Williams <richard.williams@lacity.org>

Hara-Quiroga SUPPORT for item 19-0145

Jimmy Hara, M.D. <jhara@psr-la.org>
To: Clerk.BudgetandFinanceCommittee@lacity.org

Mon, Mar 18, 2019 at 8:35 AM

Attached please find our letter in support of item 19-0145.

Jimmy Hara, MD and Jose Quiroga, MD
Woodland Hills, CA

 **Hara and Quiroga 19-0145.pdf**
352K

*The physician and health advocate voice for a world free from nuclear threats
and a safe, healthy environment for all communities.*



March 18, 2019

**Physicians for Social Responsibility
Los Angeles**

Dear Los Angeles City Council Budget and Finance Committee:

We write today as residents of Woodland Hills and as board members of Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles to express our support of item 19-0145, which authorizes the allocation of funding for outside counsel to assist in potential litigation related to the Santa Susana Field Laboratory cleanup agreements.

PSR-LA has been involved for over 30 years in efforts to cleanup SSFL, the site of a partial nuclear meltdown and numerous other accidents, spills, and releases. We strongly support the Administrative Order on Consent (AOC) that the Dept. of Energy (DOE) and the Dept. of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) signed to clean up all of the contamination at SSFL to background levels.

This standard is of critical importance to public health. SSFL is contaminated with extremely dangerous radionuclides such as cesium-137, strontium-90, plutonium-239 and tritium. In 2012, the EPA found radiation in hundreds of samples at the site, up to 1,000 times background. Strontium-90 concentrates in bone and can cause bone cancer or leukemia. Cesium-137 is a powerful gamma emitter, capable of causing cancer in any organ. Plutonium-239 is one of the most toxic materials on earth; a few millionths of an ounce if inhaled will cause cancer with virtual 100% statistical certainty. In addition, SSFL is also polluted with hazardous chemicals such as TCE, perchlorate, dioxins, heavy metals, and other volatile and semi-volatile organics. These are extremely toxic materials that cause cancers and leukemias, developmental disorders, genetic disorders, neurological disorders, immune system disorders, and much more.

Public health studies have identified elevated rates of certain cancers among the workers onsite associated with their exposures and in the offsite population associated with proximity to the site. An extensive, multi-year study by the UCLA School of Public Health found elevated death rates for lung cancers, lymphoma, and leukemia among workers based on their exposures to radioactivity and chemicals at SSFL. In a 2007 federal study, Professor Hal Morgenstern found rates for key cancers in members of the nearby public increased the closer the person lived to SSFL. In another federal study, Professor Yoram Cohen of UCLA found evidence of toxic exposures to the offsite population in excess of EPA standards. Studies by regional cancer registries found elevated rates of bladder cancer associated with proximity to SSFL.

SSFL contamination continues to migrate off site to communities below it. As long as the site doesn't get cleaned up, that will continue. From a public health perspective the Dept. of Energy must comply with the AOC to clean up all detectable contamination. The Trump Administration's recent actions to breach the legally binding cleanup agreement the federal government executed with California is deeply troubling and must be fought. We applaud the City Council for, a few weeks ago, directing the City Attorney to sue over the matter, which affects the health and safety of hundreds of thousands of Los Angeles residents, and we support the current motion to provide the resources needed for that challenge. We therefore strongly urge you to approve item 19-0145.

Sincerely,

Dr. Jimmy Hara
Dr. Jose Quiroga
Woodland Hills, CA



Richard Williams <richard.williams@lacity.org>

Fwd: COUNCIL FILE 19 - 0145 : SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY

Andrew Choi <andrew.choi@lacity.org>
 To: Clerk.BudgetandFinanceCommittee@lacity.org

Mon, Mar 18, 2019 at 8:35 AM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Christine Rowe** <crwhnc@gmail.com>

Date: Sun, Mar 17, 2019 at 8:40 PM

Subject: COUNCIL FILE 19 - 0145 : SANTA SUSANA FIELD LABORATORY

To: Mayor Mayor Eric Garcetti <mayor.garcetti@lacity.org>, Herb Wesson (councilmember.wesson@lacity.org) <councilmember.wesson@lacity.org>, Councilmember Bob Blumenfield <Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org>, Jose Huizar (councilmember.huizar@lacity.org) <councilmember.huizar@lacity.org>, Controller Galperin <controller.galperin@lacity.org>, Mike Feuer <Mike.Feuer@lacity.org>

Cc: Councilmember Paul Krekorian <Councilmember.Krekorian@lacity.org>, Curren Price (councilmember.price@lacity.org) <councilmember.price@lacity.org>, Paul Koretz <Paul.Koretz@lacity.org>, Mike Bonin (mike.bonin@lacity.org) <mike.bonin@lacity.org>, Gil Cedillo, Sr. <councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org>, David Ryu (david.ryu@lacity.org) <david.ryu@lacity.org>, Nury Martinez (nury.martinez@lacity.org) <nury.martinez@lacity.org>, <Monica.Rodriguez@lacity.org>, Marqueece Harris-Dawson (Councilmember.Harris-Dawson@lacity.org) <Councilmember.Harris-Dawson@lacity.org>, Mitch O'Farrell (councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org) <councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org>, Joe Buscaino (Councilmember.Buscaino@lacity.org) <Councilmember.Buscaino@lacity.org>, <Andrew.Choi@lacity.org>

Dear Mayor Garcetti, Council President Wesson, Honorable Councilmembers, Controller Galperin, and City Attorney Feuer,

Since last fall when I first saw this issue - the cleanup of the Santa Susana Field Laboratory - as proposed litigation, I began reaching out to my Councilmember's office. I am trying to understand what outside experts the City has hired to read and to advise them related to the letter attached signed by the City Engineer.

I believe it was last July when I first found that letter on the Committee to Bridge the Gap website. I sent it to Mr. Moore, and I asked who at the City had read the DTSC Draft Programmatic Environmental Impact Report (Draft PEIR). I never received any communication from him, but I was contacted by staff in his office. I never got an answer as to who read the report. <http://committeetobridgethegap.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/01/Final-Joint-Comment-Letter-on-Draft-PEIR-171207.pdf>

So it appears in this CPRA response from that department - attached, that Councilmember Englander had signed a document appropriating \$100,000 for funding an independent expert to read the Draft PEIR. But as the result of this CPRA, I still have not found out if Engineering ever hired that outside expert. As a result, it appears that Mr. Moore signed onto the letter in the attachment below with the City Seal on letterhead with two non- profits. Why would the City submit comments to DTSC without their own letterhead signed by the Mayor or the City Attorney? Does Mr. Moore believe that he was signing onto a letter authored by a college professor in this area of expertise?

Please find out if the City of Los Angeles expended \$100,000 for a consultant, and who that consultant was, and make that information available to the public before you take any further action.

And please, do as Councilmember Englander requested - seek outside independent experts (no experts affiliated with the parties in the current and past lawsuits) to review the DTSC Draft PEIR, the DOE's Final Environmental Impact Statement, and for the future, the DTSC Final Environmental Impact Statement before you consider any litigation related to the SSFL site.

Those parties in current and historic litigation include the NRDC, Committee to Bridge the Gap (CBG), Physicians for Social Responsibility - Los Angeles (PSR-LA), Consumer Watchdog, and the Southern

California Federation of Scientists.

I have attached the summary of this legal case by PSR - LA, CBG et al below. In that record is their notice of appeal which now further delays the cleanup of the SSFL site.


Respectfully submitted,
Christine L. Rowe
Former West Hills Neighborhood Council Board member

--
Best,

Andrew Choi | Deputy City Clerk
Council and Public Services
Office of the City Clerk
Phone: (213)978-1080



2 attachments

 **CPRA Response Documents - 3-12-19 - Santa Susana Field Laboratory.pdf**
1597K

 **PSR-LA, CBG, ET AL V DTSC AND CDPH ET AL LEGAL CASE 03172019.pdf**
139K



Gary Lee Moore <gary.lee.moore@lacity.org>

Invitation: Conference Call Briefing for Meeting re: Santa Susana PEIR @ Wed Oct 25, 2017 8:30am - 9am (gary.lee.moore@lacity.org)

1 message

Antoinette Barrios <antoinette.barrios@lacity.org>

Tue, Oct 24, 2017 at 3:02 PM

Reply-To: Antoinette Barrios <antoinette.barrios@lacity.org>

To: gary.lee.moore@lacity.org, alfred.mata@lacity.org, maria.martin@lacity.org, tim.mcwilliams@lacity.org

Conference Call Briefing for Meeting re: Santa Susana PEIR[more details »](#)

Call in # TBD

When Wed Oct 25, 2017 8:30am – 9am Pacific Time

Calendar gary.lee.moore@lacity.org

Who

- antoinette.barrios@lacity.org - organizer, optional
- alfred.mata@lacity.org
- gary.lee.moore@lacity.org
- maria.martin@lacity.org
- tim.mcwilliams@lacity.org

Going? **Yes** - **Maybe** - **No** [more options »](#)Invitation from [Google Calendar](#)

You are receiving this email at the account gary.lee.moore@lacity.org because you are subscribed for invitations on calendar gary.lee.moore@lacity.org.

To stop receiving these emails, please log in to <https://www.google.com/calendar/> and change your notification settings for this calendar.

Forwarding this invitation could allow any recipient to modify your RSVP response. [Learn More](#).

invite.ics
2K



Gary Lee Moore <gary.lee.moore@lacity.org>

Updated invitation: Conference Call Briefing for Meeting re: Santa Susana PEIR @ Wed Oct 25, 2017 8:30am - 9am (gary.lee.moore@lacity.org)

1 message

Antoinette Barrios <antoinette.barrios@lacity.org>

Tue, Oct 24, 2017 at 3:19 PM

Reply-To: Antoinette Barrios <antoinette.barrios@lacity.org>

To: gary.lee.moore@lacity.org, tim.mcwilliams@lacity.org, maria.martin@lacity.org, alfred.mata@lacity.org

This event has been changed.

Conference Call Briefing for Meeting re: Santa Susana PEIR

[more details »](#)

Changed: Access from Public Network: (213) 473-7605

Conference Password: 4433

Press the pound (#) sign after entering the password

When Wed Oct 25, 2017 8:30am – 9am Pacific Time

Calendar [gary.lee.moore@lacity.org](#)

Who

- [antoinette.barrios@lacity.org](#) - organizer, optional
- [gary.lee.moore@lacity.org](#)
- [tim.mcwilliams@lacity.org](#)
- [maria.martin@lacity.org](#)
- [alfred.mata@lacity.org](#)

Going? **Yes** - **Maybe** - **No** [more options »](#)

Invitation from [Google Calendar](#)

You are receiving this email at the account [gary.lee.moore@lacity.org](#) because you are subscribed for updated invitations on calendar [gary.lee.moore@lacity.org](#).

To stop receiving these emails, please log in to <https://www.google.com/calendar/> and change your notification settings for this calendar.

Forwarding this invitation could allow any recipient to modify your RSVP response. [Learn More.](#)

invite.ics
2K



Gary Lee Moore <gary.lee.moore@lacity.org>

Re: Updated invitation: Conference Call Briefing for Meeting re: Santa Susana PEIR @ Wed Oct 25, 2017 8:30am - 9am (maria.martin@lacity.org)

1 message

Gary Lee Moore <gary.lee.moore@lacity.org>
 To: Maria Martin <maria.martin@lacity.org>
 Cc: Alfred Mata <alfred.mata@lacity.org>

Tue, Oct 24, 2017 at 8:29 PM

Hi Maria,

Thanks for letting me know.

Gary

On Tue, Oct 24, 2017 at 6:51 PM, Maria Martin <maria.martin@lacity.org> wrote:

I declined this conference call because I am meeting with Joanne Zhang for a conference call at 8:30 am with Debbie Dyrer Harris related to the LA Trans-Pacific Cable Hub Project. I just realized both meetings came in at the same time.

This meeting and conference call is in preparation for the PWGR Agenda Setting meeting which I am also attending tomorrow morning.

Please let me know if you need additional information.

Regards,
 Maria Martin
 Environmental Management Group | Environmental Affairs Officer
 Bureau of Engineering | Department of Public Works
 1149 S. Broadway, Suite 600
 Los Angeles, CA 90015
 Phone: 213 485 5753

ENGINEERING



Check out these sites and links! Go ahead, just click.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Antoinette Barrios** <antoinette.barrios@lacity.org>
 Date: Tue, Oct 24, 2017 at 3:19 PM
 Subject: Updated invitation: Conference Call Briefing for Meeting re: Santa Susana PEIR @ Wed Oct 25, 2017 8:30am - 9am (maria.martin@lacity.org)
 To: maria.martin@lacity.org, alfred.mata@lacity.org, gary.lee.moore@lacity.org, tim.mcwilliams@lacity.org

This event has been changed.

Conference Call Briefing for Meeting re: Santa Susana PEIR

[more details »](#)

Changed: Access from Public Network: (213) 473-7605

Conference Password: 4433

Press the pound (#) sign after entering the password

When Wed Oct 25, 2017 8:30am – 9am Pacific Time

Calendar maria.martin@lacity.org

- Who
- antoinette.barrios@lacity.org - organizer, optional
 - maria.martin@lacity.org
 - alfred.mata@lacity.org
 - gary.lee.moore@lacity.org
 - tim.mcwilliams@lacity.org

Going? **Yes** - **Maybe** - **No** [more options »](#)

Invitation from [Google Calendar](#)

You are receiving this email at the account maria.martin@lacity.org because you are subscribed for updated invitations on calendar maria.martin@lacity.org.

To stop receiving these emails, please log in to <https://www.google.com/calendar/> and change your notification settings for this calendar.

Forwarding this invitation could allow any recipient to modify your RSVP response. [Learn More.](#)

--

Gary Lee Moore, PE, ENV SP
 City Engineer
 Bureau of Engineering | Department of Public Works
 1149 S. Broadway, Suite 700
 Los Angeles, CA 90015
 O: (213) 485-4935 | F: (213) 485-4923





Gary Lee Moore <gary.lee.moore@lacity.org>

Final Letter

1 message

Gary Lee Moore <gary.lee.moore@lacity.org>
To: Norman Mundy <norman.mundy@lacity.org>

Thu, Dec 7, 2017 at 4:43 PM

--
Gary Lee Moore, PE, ENV SP
City Engineer
Bureau of Engineering | Department of Public Works
1149 S. Broadway, Suite 700
Los Angeles, CA 90015
O: (213) 485-4935 | F: (213) 485-4923



 **Final 12-7-17 Joint Comment Letter on Draft PEIR (1).pdf**
189K



December 7, 2017

Secretary Matthew Rodriguez
California Environmental Protection Agency
1001 I Street
Sacramento CA 95812

Director Barbara Lee
California Department of Toxic Substances Control
1001 I Street
Sacramento CA 95812

Re: *Comments on the Draft Program Environmental Impact Report and Draft Program Management Plan for the Santa Susana Field Laboratory*

Dear Secretary Rodriguez and Director Lee:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the September 2017 Draft Program Environmental Impact Report (PEIR) for remediation of contamination at the Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL). We object to the approval of the project as currently proposed based on various deficiencies in the PEIR described here and in other submitted comments.

Background – A Highly Contaminated Site With Half a Million People Living Nearby

SSFL is one of the most contaminated sites in the state.¹ Over the years, the site maintained ten nuclear reactors, a plutonium fuel fabrication facility, a “hot lab” for disassembling highly irradiated nuclear fuel, and open-air “burn pits” where radioactively and chemically contaminated items were burned. The poor environmental and safety practices of the Responsible Parties [the Department of Energy (DOE), NASA, and Boeing] and their predecessors resulted in numerous releases and spills on the site which subsequently

¹ The PEIR (p. 2-1) indicates that the cleanup of SSFL is in part pursuant to State Superfund law, which is for the most contaminated sites in the state.

contaminated soil, groundwater, and surface water with radioactivity and toxic chemicals, as well as numerous buildings. Examples of poor practices and negligence included a partial meltdown in one reactor; three others had accidents; radioactive fires occurred in the hot lab, and decades of open burning of contaminated items.² Tens of thousands of rocket tests and associated activities further contributed to widespread contamination with highly toxic substances such as polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), dioxins and furans, metals, perchlorate, and volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds.³

SSFL was established 70 years ago and was supposed to be a remote field lab for work too dangerous to conduct near populated areas. However, over the decades the nearby population mushroomed so that there are now more than 150,000 people living within 5 miles of the site and more than half a million people living within 10 miles.

Federally funded studies found significant increases in death rates from key cancers among previous SSFL workers associated with occupational exposures(s).⁴ Additionally, studies have measured offsite migration of pollutants at concentrations in excess of U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) levels of concerns, with a greater than 60 percent higher incidence of key cancers among people living near SSFL than those living further away.⁵ Because SSFL is located in hills overlooking the City of Los Angeles and other populated areas below, the contamination migrates downgradient, where neighboring communities can be exposed. Cleanup of the contamination source is therefore critical. However, the Responsible Parties have had a history of resisting those cleanup obligations.

NRDC, City of Los Angeles, CBG v. DOE Lawsuit Blocked DOE's Prior Attempt to Walk Away from Cleaning Up Most of the Contamination

The Responsible Parties have had a history of resisting previous cleanup obligations. Fifteen years ago, DOE proposed cleanup standards for SSFL that would have left the great majority of the contamination not cleaned up. The City of Los Angeles, the Natural Resources Defense Council (NRDC), and the Committee to Bridge the Gap (CBG) filed a lawsuit in U.S. District Court, challenging the legality of DOE's actions under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), 42 U.S.C. §4321, *et seq.* In 2007, in an Order highly critical of DOE,

² HydroGeoLogic, Final Historical Site Assessment, Santa Susana Field Laboratory Site, Area IV Radiological Study, October 2012, prepared for US EPA

³ Draft PEIR §2.2.2, Figure 3-5

⁴ Morgenstern, Proines, Ritz, & Young, UCLA School of Public Health, Epidemiologic Study to Determine Possible Adverse Effects to Rocketdyne/Atomics International Workers from Exposure to Ionizing Radiation June 1997; and, same authors, Epidemiologic Study to Determine Possible Adverse Effects to Rocketdyne/Atomics International Workers from Exposure to Selected Chemicals, January 1999

⁵ Yoram Cohen et al., Center for Environmental Risk Reduction, UCLA, The Potential for Offsite Exposures Associated with Santa Susana Field Laboratory, Ventura County, California, February 2, 2006; and Hal Morgenstern et al., Cancer Incidence in the Community Surrounding the Rocketdyne Facility in Southern California, February 2007; both prepared under contract to the federal Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry

Federal District Judge Samuel Conti, granted summary judgment for the plaintiffs and against DOE.

In 2010, DOE, NASA, and the California Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) executed Administrative Orders on Consent (AOC), legally binding agreements requiring the cleanup of contaminated soil (including the buildings) to background, i.e., to the condition it was in before being polluted. In 2010, DTSC committed that Boeing would be required to clean up its portion of the property to background concentrations so as to be safe for all land use designations allowed under the Ventura County General Plan.

As per the 2007 Consent Order and 2010 AOCs, the soil cleanups were to be completed by 2017. However, *2017 is nearly over and the promised cleanup not only has not been completed, it has not yet even begun.*

The 2017 Draft PEIR Breaches DTSC's Cleanup Commitments

The project description states that the primary objective of the proposed project is to implement the 2007 Consent Order and the 2010 AOCs. The Draft PEIR for the SSFL site cleanup, however, is at odds in numerous respects with this stated primary project objective and DTSC's longstanding commitments in the orders. These defects taint the entire PEIR from the proposed project description and analysis, to the selection and analysis of project alternatives, to the proposed mitigation. Examples are:

1. The AOCs bar consideration of "leave in place" alternatives.
 - a. Yet, in the Draft PEIR, DTSC proposes to leave in place large amounts of contamination in the hope that over long periods of time concentrations would "naturally attenuate."
 - b. DTSC also proposes to leave in place large amounts of contamination based on biological and cultural considerations that appear to go far beyond the tightly delimited exemptions allowed in the AOCs. Proposing to exempt contaminated areas from cleanup for supposed biological reasons would have a contrary effect – allowing biological receptors to be damaged by radioactive and toxic chemical contamination at levels well above concentrations DTSC has already determined to cause adverse effects. It is the failure to clean up the contamination that would place those ecological receptors at risk; yet the Draft PEIR fails to analyze those risks and proposes cleanup levels and cleanup exemptions that would allow exposures far above the level DTSC itself has determined would put them at risk.
2. For the Boeing-controlled areas, DTSC had stated in 2010 that its normal procedures applicable to all cleanups required a cleanup so that all of the land uses allowed by local zoning and General Plan designations would be safe; DTSC noted that those designations for SSFL allow a wide range of suburban residential and rural residential/agricultural uses, the latter of which is the most protective standard; and that cleanup to that standard would be equivalent to the cleanup-to-background standard of the AOCs.

a. However, in the Draft PEIR, DTSC does not propose cleanup to any of these promised standards, and indeed, removes each of them from even consideration. In the Draft PEIR, DTSC declares that the cleanup required will be less rigorous than that required by the AOCs; it removes from consideration cleanup to background; and it does not even mention or in any fashion consider cleanup to the promised rural residential/agricultural standards.

b. Both Boeing and DTSC had long promised that the cleanup of the Boeing-controlled portion of SSFL would at least be to a suburban residential standard, so that the people living nearby would be reassured as to their safety. That standard, which includes risks from consumption of fruit and vegetables from a backyard garden, was established in the Standardized Risk Assessment Methodology (SRAM) prepared by Boeing and approved by DTSC, as the SRAM-based suburban residential garden standard.

- i. However, in the Draft PEIR, DTSC removes from consideration its own SRAM-based suburban residential garden standard and instead asserts that it will only consider cleanup to levels that are more than 25 times less protective. Elsewhere in the Draft PEIR, even weaker standards are put forward, approximately 60 times less protective than DTSC's official SRAM-based suburban residential standard. This would leave the great majority of contamination not cleaned up.
- ii. Furthermore, Boeing has recently announced it is reversing its longstanding promise to a suburban residential standard at all and wants to instead use a recreational standard, even weaker than the extremely lax cleanup levels being considered in the Draft PEIR, that would relieve them of cleaning up virtually any of the contamination.

3. The AOCs require cleanup of all structures, anthropogenic materials, and debris to background and that all wastes with radioactivity above background be disposed of in offsite licensed/authorized Low Level Radioactive Waste disposal facilities. However, in the Draft PEIR, DTSC ignores these requirements and says buildings in the SSFL areas controlled by the AOCs can be demolished and disposed of without DTSC approval and the debris sent to recyclers and disposal sites not licensed or authorized for Low Level Radioactive Waste.

The Draft PEIR is deficient in other ways, including:

4. A draft Environmental Impact Report has at its core the requirement for full disclosure of what project is being proposed, the alternatives, and the proposed mitigation. These requirements under CEQA facilitate the statute's informational role and are to allow the public, not involved in the preparation of the draft document, to understand and consider meaningfully the environmental issues raised by the proposed project. These requirements have not been met by the PEIR. DTSC is years late in issuing the Draft PEIR. It has had plenty of time to include the true program proposal. There is no good reason why what is actually being contemplated and the alternatives to be considered are not specified and properly evaluated in the Draft PEIR. For example,

a. DTSC states in the Draft PEIR that it intends to allow an unspecified amount of soil at unspecified locations that is contaminated with unspecified concentrations of unspecified contaminants to "naturally attenuate" over unspecified times based on unspecified mechanisms of attenuation according to unspecified sources.

b. DTSC additionally says in the Draft PEIR that it intends to allow an unspecified amount of soil at unspecified locations that is contaminated with unspecified concentrations of unspecified contaminants to be exempted for unspecified purported biological or cultural reasons with no attempt to even try to demonstrate how that would comply with the very narrow exemptions allowed in the AOCs.

c. Furthermore, DTSC states that the actual amount of contamination it will consider allowing Boeing to avoid cleaning up will not be disclosed until after finalization of the Draft PEIR, nor will the alternative amounts it will choose from be disclosed in the Draft PEIR. Additionally, the Draft PEIR does not disclose how much contamination is in the Boeing areas, so there is no way to precisely assess how much contamination is being contemplated to not be cleaned up.

d. Particularly troubling is that DTSC has failed to make publicly available the documents referenced in the Draft PEIR as forming the basis for assertions and conclusions therein, making it impossible to meaningfully comment on the Draft PEIR and to ascertain the validity of many of the Draft PEIR claims.

5. An EIR also has as its core the requirement for a thorough examination of the environmental impacts of the alternatives being considered, including the No Action Alternative. However, this Draft PEIR contains hundreds of pages identifying the purported negative impacts of cleaning up contamination at SSFL, but essentially no analysis of the environmental impacts of not cleaning up part or all of it. There is basically no review of the environmental impacts of the radioactive and toxic chemical contamination and the impacts that would ensue were DTSC to break its commitment to a full cleanup. As such, the Draft PEIR becomes not a genuine environmental review but instead a kind of advocacy, attacking the very commitments DTSC had made without a word about the impacts were it to break those promises to remediate the pollution. This results in the extraordinary claim in the Draft PEIR that the environmentally superior alternative is the No Action Alternative, because it supposedly involves no environmental impacts. That assertion is baseless, and can only be made because DTSC failed altogether to analyze any impact from the contamination that is what led to the need for cleanup in the first place.

6. DTSC argues for not requiring the full cleanup it had promised in the AOCs in part based on generalized assertions about protecting biological features, despite the fact that the AOCs already have carefully tailored provisions for such protection, which DTSC now appears to intend to go far beyond. However, DTSC fails in the Draft PEIR to consider impacts on plants and animals from the contamination that DTSC now is contemplating not cleaning up. DTSC has Ecological Risk-Based Screening Levels (EcoRBSLs) for the contaminants at SSFL, levels set to determine what levels one needs to bring concentrations down to in order to protect ecological receptors such as birds, mammals, and various plants. The Draft PEIR needs to use the invertebrate, plant, and Ecological Low TRV-Based RBSLs.

7. The Draft PEIR simply repeats, without critical review, soil volume estimates by DOE and NASA that grossly inflate the actual volume of contaminated soil. At the same time, the Draft PEIR accepts soil volume estimates by Boeing that grossly underestimate the amount of contaminated soil it should clean up.

8. Much of the Draft PEIR appears to be an attempt to inflate the impacts of cleaning up while trivializing the risks of abandoning in perpetuity significant amounts of radioactive and chemical contamination.

9. The Draft PEIR fails to identify and meaningfully evaluate reasonable alternative methods of contaminated soil conveyance and routes, including direct site to rail conveyance and other options including the use of fire roads and routes with less impact to residents and reduced traffic impact. Instead, straw men are put forward and rejected. The Draft PEIR is also silent about the harm from the toxic and radioactive pollution but spends many pages about the inconvenience from the trucks needed to transport the contaminated soil for disposal. Transportation alternatives, including alternative routes and means of conveyance that could reduce truck impacts, are not seriously examined.

Draft Program Management Plan


The Draft Program Management Plan is deficient in that it provides very little detail as to what is actually proposed regarding cleanup and defers to a post-PEIR, post-CEQA phase most identification of actual cleanup proposals.

Conclusion

The Draft PEIR is deficient in that it violates longstanding DTSC commitments for a full cleanup, is not clear in its disclosure of the proposed remediation, and does not address the negative ecological and human health impacts from exposure to the contamination itself and which would occur were the promised full cleanup not to occur. What DTSC appears to be contemplating is to leave in place the great majority of the contamination.

The public that resides in the area surrounding the site will be at continued and perpetual risk if DTSC continues on this course. CEQA also requires that the long term protection of the environment must be the guiding criterion in public decisions. Because the Draft PEIR is so fundamentally and basically inadequate and conclusory in nature, meaningful public review and comment have been precluded. We therefore request extensive revision and recirculation of the Draft PEIR, and careful selection of a responsible remediation approach that is fully in compliance with the DTSC's previous commitments for a complete cleanup of the SSFL contamination.

Sincerely,



Geoffrey H. Fettus
Senior Attorney
Natural Resources Defense Council
1152 15th St. NW, Suite 300
Washington D.C., 20005
(202) 289-2371
gfettus@nrdc.org


Electronically signed by 21866 on 12/07/2017 at 4:40:52 PM

GARY LEE MOORE, P. E.
City Engineer
City of Los Angeles
1149 S. Broadway Street
Los Angeles, CA 90015
(213) 485-4935
gary.lee.moore@lacity.org



DANIEL HIRSCH
President
Committee to Bridge the Gap
PO Box 4
Ben Lomond, CA 95005
(831) 336-8003
dhirsch1@cruzio.com

cc: John Laird, Secretary, California Natural Resources Agency
Councilman Mitchell Englander, City Council District 12, City of Los Angeles



Gary Lee Moore <gary.lee.moore@lacity.org>

Re: Motion attached Re: Santa Susana - \$100,000

1 message

Gary Lee Moore <gary.lee.moore@lacity.org>
To: Avak Keotahian <avak.keotahian@lacity.org>

Fri, Dec 8, 2017 at 11:01 AM

Thanks!

On Fri, Dec 8, 2017 at 9:55 AM, Avak Keotahian <avak.keotahian@lacity.org> wrote:

On Fri, Dec 8, 2017 at 9:48 AM, Robert Kadomatsu <robert.kadomatsu@lacity.org> wrote:
Please see the recommendations below. Thank you.

1. Appropriate \$100,000 from the (Name of the Fund) Fund No. xxx, Department No. xx, Appropriation Unit No. xxxxxx, to a new account within the Engineering Special Services Fund No. 682, Department No. 50, entitled "Santa Susana Draft Program EIR" for the Bureau of Engineering to hire a consultant to review and provide comments on the draft program environmental impact report on the Santa Susana Field Laboratory.
2. Authorize the City Engineer, or designee, to make any accounting and/or technical corrections as necessary to effectuate the intent of the City Council.

Robert Kadomatsu
Administration Division | Chief Management Analyst
Bureau of Engineering | Department of Public Works
1149 S. Broadway, Suite 700
Los Angeles, CA 90015
Phone: (213) 485-4944

ENGINEERING



--
Gary Lee Moore, PE, ENV SP
City Engineer
Bureau of Engineering | Department of Public Works
1149 S. Broadway, Suite 700
Los Angeles, CA 90015
O: (213) 485-4935 | F: (213) 485-4923



AMENDMENT

1. Authorize the City Engineer, to immediately negotiate and execute a prequalified on-call (PQOC) consultant agreement from the Bureau of Engineering's Environmental Documentation Consultant Services List, for an amount of up to \$100,000, for specialized environmental consultant services to review and provide comments on the draft program environmental impact report (EIR) on the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, and instruct the City Administrative Officer (CAO) to identify a source of funds within 30 days for this purpose;

2. Direct the City Engineer to issue a Notice to Proceed to the selected PQOC Environmental Documentation consultant prior to the appropriation of the \$100,000 into a new Santa Susana Draft Program EIR account within the Engineering Special Services Fund so that the consultant can immediately begin their review of the draft program environmental impact report on the Santa Susana Field Laboratory; and,

3. Authorize the CAO to make technical corrections as necessary to these recommendations to implement Council's intentions.

Date: 10/30/17

Submitted in BTK Committee

Council File No: 17-0600-513

Item No.: 6

~~By~~ Communication from
Council member Englander



Gary Lee Moore <gary.lee.moore@lacity.org>

Fwd: Motion attached Re: Santa Susana - \$100,000

1 message

Gary Lee Moore <gary.lee.moore@lacity.org>
To: Joanne Zhang <joanne.zhang@lacity.org>

Fri, Dec 8, 2017 at 11:02 AM

Hi Joanne,

FYI.

Thanks, Gary

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Avak Keotahian** <avak.keotahian@lacity.org>

Date: Fri, Dec 8, 2017 at 9:55 AM

Subject: Motion attached Re: Santa Susana - \$100,000

To: Robert Kadomatsu <robert.kadomatsu@lacity.org>

Cc: Nicole Bernson <nicole.bernson@lacity.org>, "Moore, Gary Lee" <gary.lee.moore@lacity.org>

On Fri, Dec 8, 2017 at 9:48 AM, Robert Kadomatsu <robert.kadomatsu@lacity.org> wrote:

Please see the recommendations below. Thank you.

1. Appropriate \$100,000 from the (Name of the Fund) Fund No. xxx, Department No. xx, Appropriation Unit No. xxxxxx, to a new account within the Engineering Special Services Fund No. 682, Department No. 50, entitled "Santa Susana Draft Program EIR" for the Bureau of Engineering to hire a consultant to review and provide comments on the draft program environmental impact report on the Santa Susana Field Laboratory.
2. Authorize the City Engineer, or designee, to make any accounting and/or technical corrections as necessary to effectuate the intent of the City Council.

Robert Kadomatsu
Administration Division | Chief Management Analyst
Bureau of Engineering | Department of Public Works
1149 S. Broadway, Suite 700
Los Angeles, CA 90015
Phone: (213) 485-4944

ENGINEERING

--
Gary Lee Moore, PE, ENV SP
City Engineer
Bureau of Engineering | Department of Public Works
1149 S. Broadway, Suite 700
Los Angeles, CA 90015
O: (213) 485-4935 | F: (213) 485-4923



12amenditem04a.pdf
40K

MOTION

IMOVE that the matter of the Communication from the City Administrative Officer (CAO) relative to the Second Financial Status Report (FSR) for Fiscal Year 2017-18, Item No. 29 on today's Council Agenda (CF 17-0600-S105), **BE AMENDED** to transfer / appropriate \$100,000 from the Reserve for Mid-Year Adjustments line item in the Unappropriated Balance Fund No. 100-58 to a new account in the Engineering Special Services Fund No. 682-50, entitled "Santa Susana Draft Program EIR" for the Bureau of Engineering to hire a consultant to review and provide comments on the draft program environmental impact report on the Santa Susana Field Laboratory; and to authorize the Bureau of Engineering to make any corrections, clarifications or revisions to the above fund transfer instructions, including any new instructions, in order to effectuate the intent of this Motion, and including any corrections and changes to fund or account numbers; said corrections / clarifications / changes may be made orally, electronically or by any other means.

PRESENTED BY _____

MITCHELL ENGLANDER
Councilman, 12th District

SECONDED BY _____

Civil Case Details

Case Information

Case Title

Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles vs. Department of Toxic Substances Control

Case Number

34-2013-80001589-CU-WM-GDS

Case Type

Writ of Mandate

Filing Date

08/06/2013

Case Category

Civil - Unlimited

Participants

Participant Name	Role	Represented By
Committee to Bridge the Gap	Petitioner	
Consumer Watchdog	Petitioner	Harvey Rosenfield
Department of Public Health	Respondent	Jeffery P Reusch
Department of Public Health	Respondent	Kamala Devi Harris
Department of Toxic Substances Control	Respondent	David Zaft
Does 1-100	Respondent	
Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles	Petitioner	Michael Jay Strumwasser
Roes 1-100	Real Party In Interest (Rpii)	
Southrn California Federation of Scientists	Petitioner	
The Boeing Company	Real Party In Interest (Rpii)	Peter C Meier

Scheduled Hearings

Event Date	Event Time	Event Type	Department	Status
No Scheduled Hearings Found...				


Past Hearings

**** NOTE:** There is a cost associated with **court reporter transcripts**. When you request a court reporter transcript, you will be contacted by the court reporter regarding the cost and method of payment accepted.

Event Date	Event Time	Event Type	Department	Status	**Request Transcript



Event Date	Event Time	Event Type	Department	Status	**Request Transcript
11/09/2018	11:00 AM	Petition for Writ of Mandate - Writ of Mandate	28	Heard	Request (/PublicCaseAccess/Civil/RequestCourtReporterTranscript?dept=28&eventDate=
06/15/2018	11:00 AM	Motion - Other - Writ of Mandate	28	Heard	Request (/PublicCaseAccess/Civil/RequestCourtReporterTranscript?dept=28&eventDate=
05/04/2018	9:00 AM	Petition for Writ of Mandate - Writ of Mandate	28	Heard	Request (/PublicCaseAccess/Civil/RequestCourtReporterTranscript?dept=28&eventDate=
12/08/2017	9:00 AM	Motion - Other - Writ of Mandate	31	Heard	Request (/PublicCaseAccess/Civil/RequestCourtReporterTranscript?dept=31&eventDate=
12/08/2017	9:00 AM	Motion - Other - Writ of Mandate	31	Heard	Request (/PublicCaseAccess/Civil/RequestCourtReporterTranscript?dept=31&eventDate=
09/15/2017	1:30 PM	Motion - Other - Writ of Mandate	44	Heard	Request (/PublicCaseAccess/Civil/RequestCourtReporterTranscript?dept=44&eventDate=
09/15/2017	1:30 PM	Motion - Other - Writ of Mandate	44	Heard	Request (/PublicCaseAccess/Civil/RequestCourtReporterTranscript?dept=44&eventDate=
11/21/2014	1:30 PM	Motion - Other - Writ of Mandate	42	Heard	Request (/PublicCaseAccess/Civil/RequestCourtReporterTranscript?dept=42&eventDate=
10/25/2013	2:00 PM	Motion - Other - Writ of Mandate	42	Heard	Request (/PublicCaseAccess/Civil/RequestCourtReporterTranscript?dept=42&eventDate=

 Register of Actions

Click the Preview button to see a preview of the document. Previewed documents contain every other page, up to a maximum of five pages. To purchase a full version containing all pages, check the checkbox for the document(s) you want and then click the Document Cart link at the top of the page to review your cart / check out.

ROA#	ROA Entry	Filed Date	Filed By	Pages
293	Judgment (Updated with Costs Posted per Memo of Costs)	02/19/2019		7
292	Notice of Filing Notice of Appeal	01/29/2019		2

ROA#	ROA Entry	Filed Date	Filed By	Pages
290	Proof of Service - MAILING filed.	01/28/2019	The Boeing Company(Real Party In Interest (RpII))	3
289	Memorandum of Costs (Summary) filed.	01/28/2019	The Boeing Company(Real Party In Interest (RpII))	4
291	Notice of Appeal	01/24/2019		38
288	Memorandum of Costs (Summary) filed.	01/23/2019	Department of Public Health(Respondent)	6
287	Notice - Other (of Filing a Corrected Proof of Service) filed.	01/14/2019	Department of Toxic Substances Control(Respondent)	9
286	Notice of Entry of Order filed.	01/10/2019	Department of Toxic Substances Control(Respondent)	33
285	Notice of Entry of Judgment filed.	01/10/2019	Department of Toxic Substances Control(Respondent)	14
284	Receipt - Other (Acknowledgment and Receipt of Administrative Record) filed.	01/08/2019	Strumwasser, Michael(Attorney)	1
283	Judgment filed.	01/02/2019	Department of Toxic Substances Control(Respondent)	7
282	Motion - Other - Writ of Mandate scheduled for 01/08/2019 at 10:00:00 AM in Department 28 at Gordon D Schaber Courthouse was vacated .	01/02/2019		
281	Order - Other (Order Denying Petitioners' First Amended Verified Petition for Writ of Mandate and Complaint for Injunctive and Declaratory Relief) filed.	01/02/2019	Department of Toxic Substances Control(Respondent)	28
280	Receipt - Other (Acknowledgement and Receipt of Administrative Record) filed.	12/26/2018	Strumwasser, Michael(Attorney)	1
279	Proposed Judgment filed.	12/20/2018	Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner)	7
278	Proposed Order (Denying Petitioners' First Amended Writ) filed.	12/20/2018	Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner)	28
277	Declaration - Other (of David Zaft) filed.	12/20/2018	Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner)	4
275	Receipt - Other (Receipt for Release of the Administrative Record) filed.	12/10/2018	Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner)	3
274	Motion - Other - Writ of Mandate scheduled for 01/08/2019 at 10:00:00 AM in Department 28 at Gordon D Schaber Courthouse .	12/07/2018		
273	Order - Other filed.	11/28/2018		3
272	RULING ON SUBMITTED MATTER RE: PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDATE AND COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE AND DECLARATORY RELIEF	11/19/2018		21
269	Minutes finalized for Petition for Writ of Mandate - Writ of Mandate heard on 11/09/2018 11:00:00 AM .	11/09/2018		1
	Physicians for Social Responsibility - Los Angeles v. Department of Toxic Substances Control - Petition	11/08/2018		2
270	Tentative Ruling Filed	11/08/2018		2
268	Response (to Objection to New Issues Raised in Reply Briefs) filed.	11/02/2018	Committee to Bridge the Gap(Petitioner); Consumer Watchdog(Petitioner); Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner); Southern California Federation of Scientists(Petitioner)	7

ROA#	ROA Entry	Filed Date	Filed By	Pages
267	Proof of Service - MAILING filed.	11/02/2018	The Boeing Company(Real Party In Interest (RpII))	3
266	Objection (Boeing Company's Joinder in Objection) filed.	11/02/2018	The Boeing Company(Real Party In Interest (RpII))	2
271	Notice of Lodging filed.	11/02/2018	Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner)	4
265	Objection (to New Issues Raised in Reply Briefs) filed.	11/01/2018	Department of Public Health(Respondent)	4
264	Reply to Opposition ((Supplemental) of Boeing) filed.	10/25/2018	Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner)	17
263	Reply to Opposition (to Petitioner Points and Authorities) filed.	10/25/2018	Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner)	17
262	Notice of Lodging (of Supplemental Administrative Record) filed.	10/25/2018	Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner)	8
261	Proof of Service (via email) filed.	10/15/2018	The Boeing Company(Real Party In Interest (RpII))	3
260	Opposition (to 1st Amended Petition) filed.	10/15/2018	The Boeing Company(Real Party In Interest (RpII))	19
259	Supplemental - Other (Opposition to Points & Authorities) filed.	10/15/2018	Department of Public Health(Respondent)	20
258	Notice - Other (of Certification of Admin Record) filed.	10/02/2018	Department of Public Health(Respondent)	8
257	Proof of Service - MAILING filed.	07/16/2018	The Boeing Company(Real Party In Interest (RpII))	3
256	Answer (First Amended) filed.	07/16/2018	The Boeing Company(Real Party In Interest (RpII))	23
255	Answer (to 1st Amended Petition) filed.	07/16/2018	Department of Toxic Substances Control(Respondent)	21
254	Answer filed.	07/13/2018	Department of Public Health(Respondent)	16
253	Certificate of Service by Mailing	06/25/2018		1
252	Ruling on Submitted Matter: Motion for Leave to File First Amended Petition and Complaint	06/25/2018		6
251	Minutes finalized for Motion - Other - Writ of Mandate heard on 06/15/2018 11:00:00 AM .	06/15/2018		1
250	Minute Order Re. Status of Scheduled Hearing	06/14/2018		
249	Tentative Ruling Filed	06/14/2018		4
	Physicians for Social Responsibility - Los Angeles v. Department of Toxic Substances Control - Motion for Leave to File First Amended Petition	06/14/2018		4
248	Proof of Service (via Email) filed.	06/08/2018	Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner)	3
247	Reply (Declaration of Beverly Grossman in Support of Motion) filed.	06/08/2018	Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner)	17
246	Reply to Opposition of Noticed Motion filed.	06/08/2018	Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner)	11
245	Reply to Opposition of Noticed Motion filed.	06/08/2018	Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner)	3
244	Reply to Opposition filed.	06/08/2018	Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner)	13

ROA#	ROA Entry	Filed Date	Filed By	Pages
243	Proof of Service - MAILING filed.	06/04/2018	The Boeing Company(Real Party In Interest (RpII))	3
242	Declaration - Other (of Gordon Hart in Support of Oppo to Mtn to Leave to File first Amended) filed.	06/04/2018	The Boeing Company(Real Party In Interest (RpII))	2
241	Opposition (to Mtn to Leave to File First Amended) filed.	06/04/2018	The Boeing Company(Real Party In Interest (RpII))	20
240	Opposition (to Motion) filed.	06/04/2018	Department of Toxic Substances Control(Respondent)	6
239	Declaration - Other (of Roger Lupo Supporting Opposition to Motion) filed.	06/04/2018	Department of Public Health(Respondent)	9
238	Declaration - Other (of Gonzalo L Perez Supporting Opposition to Motion) filed.	06/04/2018	Department of Public Health(Respondent)	4
237	Declaration - Other (of Ted Ward Supporting Opposition to Motion) filed.	06/04/2018	Department of Public Health(Respondent)	3
236	Declaration - Other (of Jeffrey Reusch Supporting Opposition to Motion) filed.	06/04/2018	Department of Public Health(Respondent)	51
235	Opposition (to Motion) filed.	06/04/2018	Department of Public Health(Respondent)	20
234	Ex Parte Application - Other - Writ of Mandate scheduled for 06/01/2018 at 02:00:00 PM in Department 28 at Gordon D Schaber Courthouse was vacated .	06/01/2018		
233	Notice - Other (of Withdrawal of Ex Parte App to Specially Set Hearing) filed.	05/31/2018	Committee to Bridge the Gap(Petitioner); Consumer Watchdog(Petitioner); Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner); Southern California Federation of Scientists(Petitioner)	10
232	Declaration - Other (Supplemental, of Beverly Grossman re: Ex Parte Notice) filed.	05/30/2018	Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner)	12
231	Ex Parte Application - Other - Writ of Mandate scheduled for 06/01/2018 at 02:00:00 PM in Department 28 at Gordon D Schaber Courthouse .	05/24/2018		
230	Proof of Service - MAILING filed.	05/23/2018	Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner)	3
229	Ex Parte Application - Other (to Specially Set Hearing; Memo of P&A; Dec of Palmer) filed.	05/23/2018	Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner)	21
228	Proof of Service (via Email) filed.	05/21/2018	Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner)	3
227	Declaration - Other (of Beverly Grossman in Support of Motion) filed.	05/21/2018	Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner)	115
226	Motion for Leave to Amend (First Amended Petition) filed.	05/21/2018	Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner)	13
225	Petition for Writ of Mandate - Writ of Mandate scheduled for 12/21/2018 at 10:00:00 AM in Department 28 at Gordon D Schaber Courthouse .	05/18/2018		
224	Motion - Other - Writ of Mandate scheduled for 06/15/2018 at 11:00:00 AM in Department 28 at Gordon D Schaber Courthouse .	05/04/2018		
222	Minutes finalized for Petition for Writ of Mandate - Writ of Mandate heard on 05/04/2018 09:00:00 AM .	05/04/2018		1
221	Tentative Ruling Filed	05/03/2018		2

ROA#	ROA Entry	Filed Date	Filed By	Pages
	Physicians for Social Responsibility v. Department of Toxic Substances Control - Petition	05/03/2018		2
223	Correspondence (Letter to the Court From Christine L. Rowe) filed.	05/01/2018		68
276	Notice of Lodging filed.	04/30/2018	Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner)	7
220	Proof of Service - MAILING filed.	04/30/2018	Committee to Bridge the Gap(Petitioner); Consumer Watchdog(Petitioner); Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner); Southrn California Federation of Scientists(Petitioner)	3
219	Response (to Respondents' Joint Objections to Evidence Submitted) filed.	04/30/2018	Committee to Bridge the Gap(Petitioner); Consumer Watchdog(Petitioner); Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner); Southrn California Federation of Scientists(Petitioner)	3
218	Response (to RPI's Objections to Evidence Submitted) filed.	04/30/2018	Committee to Bridge the Gap(Petitioner); Consumer Watchdog(Petitioner); Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner); Southrn California Federation of Scientists(Petitioner)	3
217	Response (to RPI's Objections to RJN) filed.	04/30/2018	Committee to Bridge the Gap(Petitioner); Consumer Watchdog(Petitioner); Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner); Southrn California Federation of Scientists(Petitioner)	3
216	Proposed Order (Regarding Objections to Evidence) filed.	04/26/2018	The Boeing Company(Real Party In Interest (RpII))	11
215	Proof of Service filed.	04/26/2018	The Boeing Company(Real Party In Interest (RpII))	3
214	Statement - Other (Joinder in Joint Objections to Evidence) filed.	04/26/2018	The Boeing Company(Real Party In Interest (RpII))	2
213	Objection (to Request for Judicial Notice) filed.	04/26/2018	The Boeing Company(Real Party In Interest (RpII))	6
212	Objection (to Evidence) filed.	04/26/2018	The Boeing Company(Real Party In Interest (RpII))	12
211	Objection (Respondent's Joinder in RPI's Ojbections to Petitioner's Evidence & Reques for Judicitional Notice) filed.	04/26/2018	Department of Public Health(Respondent); Department of Toxic Substances Control(Respondent)	4
210	Objection (Joint Objections to Petitioners' Evidence) filed.	04/26/2018	Department of Public Health(Respondent)	13
209	Objection (Joinder in Boeing's Objections to Evidence) filed.	04/26/2018	Department of Public Health(Respondent)	3
208	Proof of Service filed.	04/19/2018	Committee to Bridge the Gap(Petitioner); Consumer Watchdog(Petitioner); Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner); Southrn California Federation of Scientists(Petitioner)	4
207	Response (to Objections to Evidence) filed.	04/19/2018	Committee to Bridge the Gap(Petitioner); Consumer Watchdog(Petitioner); Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner); Southrn California Federation of Scientists(Petitioner)	4

ROA#	ROA Entry	Filed Date	Filed By	Pages
206	Objection (& Proposed Order) filed.	04/19/2018	Committee to Bridge the Gap(Petitioner); Consumer Watchdog(Petitioner); Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner); Southrn California Federation of Scientists(Petitioner)	19
205	Reply (Declaration of Dr Bemnet Alemayehu in Support of Petition) filed.	04/19/2018	Committee to Bridge the Gap(Petitioner); Consumer Watchdog(Petitioner); Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner); Southrn California Federation of Scientists(Petitioner)	31
204	Request - Judicial Notice filed.	04/19/2018	Committee to Bridge the Gap(Petitioner); Consumer Watchdog(Petitioner); Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner); Southrn California Federation of Scientists(Petitioner)	79
203	Reply to Opposition (in Support of Petition) filed.	04/19/2018	Committee to Bridge the Gap(Petitioner); Consumer Watchdog(Petitioner); Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner); Southrn California Federation of Scientists(Petitioner)	26
202	Reply to Opposition (in Support of Petition) filed.	04/19/2018	Committee to Bridge the Gap(Petitioner); Consumer Watchdog(Petitioner); Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner); Southrn California Federation of Scientists(Petitioner)	25
201	Reply to Opposition (in Support of Petition) filed.	04/19/2018	Committee to Bridge the Gap(Petitioner); Consumer Watchdog(Petitioner); Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner); Southrn California Federation of Scientists(Petitioner)	26
199	Rosenfield, Harvey added as a effective 04/10/2018 .	04/12/2018		
200	Notice of Change of Address/Telephone No. filed.	04/10/2018	Consumer Watchdog(Petitioner)	4
198	Notice - Other filed.	04/09/2018	Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner)	4
197	Declaration - Other (of Juanita Bacey in Support of Opposition) filed.	03/22/2018	Department of Toxic Substances Control(Respondent)	6
196	Declaration - Other (of Paul Carpenter in Support of Opposition) filed.	03/22/2018	Department of Toxic Substances Control(Respondent)	8
195	Statement - Other (Joinder in Objections to Evidence) filed.	03/22/2018	Department of Toxic Substances Control(Respondent)	4
194	Opposition (to Opening Memorandum of Points & Authorities in Support of Petition) filed.	03/22/2018	Department of Toxic Substances Control(Respondent)	41
193	Proposed Order (Re: Joint Objections to Evidence) filed.	03/22/2018	The Boeing Company(Real Party In Interest (RpII))	6
192	Proof of Service filed.	03/22/2018	The Boeing Company(Real Party In Interest (RpII))	3
191	Objection (to Evidence Submitted) filed.	03/22/2018	The Boeing Company(Real Party In Interest (RpII))	9
190	Declaration - Other (of Lisa A Lowry in Support of Memorandum) filed.	03/22/2018	The Boeing Company(Real Party In Interest (RpII))	185

ROA#	ROA Entry	Filed Date	Filed By	Pages
189	Declaration - Other (of Dr Christopher G Wipple in Support of Memorandum) filed.	03/22/2018	The Boeing Company(Real Party In Interest (RpII))	177
188	Statement - Other (Memorandum in Opposition to Opening Brief) filed.	03/22/2018	The Boeing Company(Real Party In Interest (RpII))	40
187	Declaration - Other (of Jeffrey Reusch Supporting Opposition Brief) filed.	03/22/2018	Department of Public Health(Respondent)	36
186	Declaration - Other (of Gonzalo L. Perez Supporting Opposition Brief) filed.	03/22/2018	Department of Public Health(Respondent)	8
185	Joinder in Opposition (to Evidence Submitted in Petitioner's Memorandum of Point's and Authorities) filed.	03/22/2018	Department of Public Health(Respondent)	3
184	Opposition (to Petitioner's Points and Authorities) filed.	03/22/2018	Department of Public Health(Respondent)	39
183	Notice - Other (of Amended Certification) filed.	02/23/2018	Department of Toxic Substances Control(Respondent)	
182	Proof of Service (via Email) filed.	02/22/2018	Committee to Bridge the Gap(Petitioner); Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner); Southrn California Federation of Scientists(Petitioner)	3
181	Declaration - Other (of Dr. Bennet Alemayehu in Support of) filed.	02/22/2018	Committee to Bridge the Gap(Petitioner); Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner); Southrn California Federation of Scientists(Petitioner)	24
180	Motion - Other (and Points and Authorities in Support of Petition for Writ of Mandate) filed.	02/22/2018	Committee to Bridge the Gap(Petitioner); Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner); Southrn California Federation of Scientists(Petitioner)	43
179	Order - Other (Stipulation and Order Re Exhibit List for the Second and Third Causes of Action and Page Limits for Opening and Opposition Briefs) filed.	02/22/2018		6
178	Stipulation and Order (Re: Exhibit List) filed.	02/21/2018	Department of Toxic Substances Control(Respondent)	6
177	Petition for Writ of Mandate - Writ of Mandate scheduled for 05/04/2018 at 09:00:00 AM in Department 28 at Gordon D Schaber Courthouse .	02/05/2018		
176	Case reassigned to 28 effective 01/22/2018 .	01/24/2018		
175	Order - Other (Minute Order Dated 01/24/2018 RE Order and Notice Reassigning Case) filed.	01/24/2018		3
174	Notice of Entry - Other (of Order) filed.	01/03/2018	Department of Toxic Substances Control(Respondent)	16
173	Order After Hearing (Denying Petitioners' Motion to Augment the Administrative Records or, in the Alternative, for Judicial Notice) filed.	12/28/2017		12
172	Declaration - Other (Rule 3.1312(b) Declaration of David Zaft) filed.	12/27/2017	Department of Toxic Substances Control(Respondent)	3
171	Proposed Order (Denying Petitioner's Motion) filed.	12/21/2017	Department of Toxic Substances Control(Respondent)	12
170	Minutes finalized for Multiple Events heard on 12/08/2017 09:00:00 AM .	12/08/2017		7
	Physicians for Social Responsibility - Los Angeles v. Department of Toxic Substances Control - Motions to Augment the Record	12/07/2017		9

ROA#	ROA Entry	Filed Date	Filed By	Pages
169	Order - Other (Stipulation and Order) filed.	11/01/2017		7
168	Notice of Change of Address/Telephone No. filed.	10/26/2017	Department of Toxic Substances Control(Respondent)	3
167	Stipulation and Proposed Order - Other filed.	10/19/2017	Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner)	7
166	Motion - Other - Writ of Mandate scheduled for 12/08/2017 at 09:00:00 AM in Department 31 at Gordon D Schaber Courthouse .	10/12/2017		
165	Motion - Other - Writ of Mandate scheduled for 12/08/2017 at 09:00:00 AM in Department 31 at Gordon D Schaber Courthouse .	09/28/2017		
164	Proof of Service - MAILING filed.	09/27/2017	Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner)	3
163	Notice of Motion (Amended Motion) filed.	09/27/2017	Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner)	3
162	Notice of Motion (Amended Notice) filed.	09/27/2017	Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner)	3
161	Case reassigned to 31 effective 09/15/2017 .	09/18/2017		
158	Petition for Writ of Mandate - Writ of Mandate scheduled for 12/15/2017 at 01:30:00 PM in Department 44 at Gordon D Schaber Courthouse was vacated .	09/15/2017		
156	Minutes finalized for Multiple Events heard on 09/15/2017 01:30:00 PM .	09/15/2017		1
160	Minute Order re: Recusal Pursuant to CCP 170.1(a)(6)(A) (iii) + Reassignment of Case to One Judge for All Purposes	09/15/2017		2
159	Notice of Case Re-Assignment	09/15/2017		1
152	Tentative Ruling Filed	09/14/2017		12
	Physicians for Social Responsibility v. Dept. of Toxic Substances Control et al., tentative ruling on motion to augment record	09/14/2017		12
151	Proof of Service (Electronic Service) filed.	09/08/2017	Committee to Bridge the Gap(Petitioner); Consumer Watchdog(Petitioner); Southrn California Federation of Scientists(Petitioner)	3
150	Reply (Reply Declaration) filed.	09/08/2017	Committee to Bridge the Gap(Petitioner); Consumer Watchdog(Petitioner); Southrn California Federation of Scientists(Petitioner)	14
149	Reply (Reply Briefs) filed.	09/08/2017	Committee to Bridge the Gap(Petitioner); Consumer Watchdog(Petitioner); Southrn California Federation of Scientists(Petitioner)	14
148	Motion - Other - Writ of Mandate scheduled for 09/15/2017 at 01:30:00 PM in Department 44 at Gordon D Schaber Courthouse .	09/07/2017		
143	Declaration - Other (of J Reusch) filed.	09/01/2017	Department of Public Health(Respondent)	6
142	Declaration - Other (of G L Perez) filed.	09/01/2017	Department of Public Health(Respondent)	75
141	Opposition filed.	09/01/2017	Department of Public Health(Respondent)	18
145	Proof of Service - MAILING filed.	09/01/2017	The Boeing Company(Real Party In Interest (RpII))	2

ROA#	ROA Entry	Filed Date	Filed By	Pages
144	Declaration - Other (of Mark Malinowski in Support of Opposition to Motion for Preliminary Injunction) filed.	09/01/2017	The Boeing Company(Real Party In Interest (RpII))	11
140	Declaration - Other (of Paul Carpenter in Support of Opposition to Motion) filed.	09/01/2017	The Boeing Company(Real Party In Interest (RpII))	3
139	Declaration - Other (of Arthur J Lenox in Support of Memorandum of Opposition to Motion for Preliminary Injunction) filed.	09/01/2017	The Boeing Company(Real Party In Interest (RpII))	9
138	Declaration - Other (of Paul Carpenter in Support of Opposition to Motion) filed.	09/01/2017	Department of Toxic Substances Control(Respondent)	14
137	Opposition (to Motion to Augment the Administrative Record) filed.	09/01/2017	Department of Toxic Substances Control(Respondent)	18
136	Notice of Appearance filed.	09/01/2017	Department of Toxic Substances Control(Respondent)	2
147	Proof of Service - MAILING filed.	09/01/2017	The Boeing Company(Real Party In Interest (RpII))	3
146	Opposition (To Motion to Augment) filed.	09/01/2017	The Boeing Company(Real Party In Interest (RpII))	12
135	Notice of Errata (in Motion to Augment) filed.	08/28/2017	Committee to Bridge the Gap(Petitioner); Consumer Watchdog(Petitioner); Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner); Southern California Federation of Scientists(Petitioner)	29
134	Notice - Other (of Certification & Certification of Administrative Record) filed.	08/21/2017	Department of Toxic Substances Control(Respondent)	56
124	Strumwasser, Michael Jay added as a effective 08/18/2017	08/18/2017		
133	Proof of Service (Electronic mail) filed.	08/18/2017	Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner)	4
132	Paper Exhibits (Vol 5 of 5(Exhibits 4-9)) filed.	08/18/2017	Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner)	578
131	Paper Exhibits (Vol 4 of 5 (Exhibit 4 Continued)) filed.	08/18/2017	Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner)	532
130	Paper Exhibits (Vol 3 of 5 (Exhibit 4 continued)) filed.	08/18/2017	Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner)	580
129	Paper Exhibits (Vol 2 of 5 (Exhibit 4 continued)) filed.	08/18/2017	Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner)	617
128	Paper Exhibits (Vol 1 of 5(Exhibits 1-4)) filed.	08/18/2017	Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner)	618
127	Declaration - Other (Of B.Palmer) filed.	08/18/2017	Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner)	12
126	Motion - Other (To Augment the DTSC Admin.Record) filed.	08/18/2017	Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner)	20
125	Motion - Other (To Augment the DPH Admin. Records) filed.	08/18/2017	Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner)	22
122	Reusch, Jeffery P added as a effective 08/18/2017 .	08/18/2017		
123	Notice - Other (of Certification and Certification of Administrative Record BY Respondent California Department of Public Health) filed.	08/18/2017	Department of Public Health(Respondent)	14

ROA#	ROA Entry	Filed Date	Filed By	Pages
121	Notice of Change of Address/Telephone No. filed.	08/18/2017	Department of Public Health(Respondent)	3
120	Order - Other (Stipulation and Order Re Continuance of Hearing Dates) filed.	05/04/2017	Committee to Bridge the Gap(Petitioner); Consumer Watchdog(Petitioner); Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner); Southrn California Federation of Scientists(Petitioner)	10
119	Petition for Writ of Mandate - Writ of Mandate scheduled for 12/15/2017 at 01:30:00 PM in Department 44 at Gordon D Schaber Courthouse .	04/11/2017		
118	Petition for Writ of Mandate - Writ of Mandate rescheduled to 12/15/2017 at 01:30:00 PM in Department 44 at Gordon D Schaber Courthouse .	04/11/2017		
117	Motion - Other - Writ of Mandate scheduled for 09/15/2017 at 01:30:00 PM in Department 44 at Gordon D Schaber Courthouse .	04/11/2017		
116	Notice of Hearing filed.	02/09/2017	Committee to Bridge the Gap(Petitioner); Consumer Watchdog(Petitioner); Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner); Southrn California Federation of Scientists(Petitioner)	4
115	Petition for Writ of Mandate - Writ of Mandate scheduled for 10/06/2017 at 01:30:00 PM in Department 42 at Gordon D Schaber Courthouse .	01/31/2017		
113	Order After Hearing (ORDER AFTER HEARING DENYING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT) filed.	01/05/2015		11
114	Order - Other (Minute Order Re: Reassigning Writ for all Purposes) filed.	12/17/2014		2
112	Minutes finalized for Motion - Other - Writ of Mandate heard on 11/21/2014 01:30:00 PM .	11/21/2014		1
111	Motion - Other - Writ of Mandate scheduled for 11/21/2014 at 01:30:00 PM in Department 42 at Gordon D Schaber Courthouse .	11/20/2014		
110	TENTATIVE RULING ON MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT	11/20/2014		8
	Physicians for Social Responsibility v. Department of Toxic Substances Control, Tentative Ruling on Motion for Summary Judgment	11/20/2014		8
109	Proof of Service - MAILING filed.	11/18/2014	The Boeing Company(Real Party In Interest (RpII))	3
108	Notice of Errata (Regarding Reply in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment/Adjudication) filed.	11/18/2014	The Boeing Company(Real Party In Interest (RpII))	2
107	Reply (Corrected in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment/Adjudication) filed.	11/18/2014	The Boeing Company(Real Party In Interest (RpII))	14
106	Proof of Service filed.	11/14/2014	The Boeing Company(Real Party In Interest (RpII))	3
105	Reply (in Support) filed.	11/14/2014	The Boeing Company(Real Party In Interest (RpII))	25
104	Response (to Separate Statement of Undisputed Facts) filed.	11/14/2014	The Boeing Company(Real Party In Interest (RpII))	55

ROA#	ROA Entry	Filed Date	Filed By	Pages
103	Supplemental - Other (Request for Judicial Notice) filed.	11/14/2014	The Boeing Company(Real Party In Interest (RpII))	35
102	Response (to Consolidated Evidentiary Objections to Evidence) filed.	11/14/2014	The Boeing Company(Real Party In Interest (RpII))	9
101	Reply (Declaration in Support of Motion) filed.	11/14/2014	The Boeing Company(Real Party In Interest (RpII))	3
100	Declaration - Other (of Beverly Grossman Palmer Volume 2 of 2) filed.	11/07/2014	Committee to Bridge the Gap(Petitioner); Consumer Watchdog(Petitioner); Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner); Southern California Federation of Scientists(Petitioner)	391
99	Declaration - Other (of Beverly Grossman Palmer) filed.	11/07/2014	Committee to Bridge the Gap(Petitioner); Consumer Watchdog(Petitioner); Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner); Southern California Federation of Scientists(Petitioner)	399
98	Response (to Separate Statement of Undisputed Facts) filed.	11/07/2014	Committee to Bridge the Gap(Petitioner); Consumer Watchdog(Petitioner); Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner); Southern California Federation of Scientists(Petitioner)	35
97	Request - Judicial Notice filed.	11/07/2014	Committee to Bridge the Gap(Petitioner); Consumer Watchdog(Petitioner); Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner); Southern California Federation of Scientists(Petitioner)	25
96	Statement of Undisputed Facts filed.	11/07/2014	Committee to Bridge the Gap(Petitioner); Consumer Watchdog(Petitioner); Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner); Southern California Federation of Scientists(Petitioner)	31
95	Opposition (to the Boeing Company's MSJ) filed.	11/07/2014	Committee to Bridge the Gap(Petitioner); Consumer Watchdog(Petitioner); Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner); Southern California Federation of Scientists(Petitioner)	28
94	Objection (to Real Party's Evidence in support of its MSJ) filed.	11/07/2014	Committee to Bridge the Gap(Petitioner); Consumer Watchdog(Petitioner); Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner); Southern California Federation of Scientists(Petitioner)	8
93	Notice - Other (of Non-Opposition to the Motion for Summary Judgment) filed.	09/08/2014	Department of Toxic Substances Control(Respondent)	4
92	Notice - Other (of Non Opp to the Boeing Co MSJ) filed.	09/03/2014	Department of Public Health(Respondent)	3
91	Response (Petitioner's Response to Unsolicited Letter of Christine L. Rowe) filed.	07/03/2014	Committee to Bridge the Gap(Petitioner); Consumer Watchdog(Petitioner); Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner); Southern California Federation of Scientists(Petitioner)	4
90	Letter to the Court from Christine Rowe	06/26/2014		17
89	Notice of Hearing filed.	06/19/2014	The Boeing Company(Real Party In Interest (RpII))	4

ROA#	ROA Entry	Filed Date	Filed By	Pages
88	Reply (Brief in Support of Motion) filed.	06/04/2014	The Boeing Company(Real Party In Interest (RpII))	8
87	Opposition filed.	05/30/2014	Committee to Bridge the Gap(Petitioner); Consumer Watchdog(Petitioner); Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner); Southern California Federation of Scientists(Petitioner)	10
86	Declaration - Other (in Support of Opposition to Motion) filed.	05/30/2014	Committee to Bridge the Gap(Petitioner); Consumer Watchdog(Petitioner); Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner); Southern California Federation of Scientists(Petitioner)	8
85	Proof of Service filed.	05/28/2014	The Boeing Company(Real Party In Interest (RpII))	3
84	Declaration - Other (of Peter C Meier in support of Motion to Set Hearing Date for MSJ) filed.	05/28/2014	The Boeing Company(Real Party In Interest (RpII))	5
83	Proof of Service filed.	05/23/2014	The Boeing Company(Real Party In Interest (RpII))	4
82	Paper Exhibits (Non-California Authorities Cited in Support) filed.	05/23/2014	The Boeing Company(Real Party In Interest (RpII))	3
81	Request - Judicial Notice (in Support) filed.	05/23/2014	The Boeing Company(Real Party In Interest (RpII))	81
80	Statement of Undisputed Facts filed.	05/23/2014	The Boeing Company(Real Party In Interest (RpII))	27
79	Declaration - Other (in Support) filed.	05/23/2014	The Boeing Company(Real Party In Interest (RpII))	106
78	Declaration - Other (in Support) filed.	05/23/2014	The Boeing Company(Real Party In Interest (RpII))	38
77	Memorandum of Points and Authorities (in Support) filed.	05/23/2014	The Boeing Company(Real Party In Interest (RpII))	20
76	Motion for Summary Judgment and/or Adjudication filed.	05/23/2014	The Boeing Company(Real Party In Interest (RpII))	4
75	Motion - Other (to Set Hearing Date for Motion for Summary Judgment) filed.	05/23/2014	The Boeing Company(Real Party In Interest (RpII))	18
74	Stipulation and Order re Preparation of Administrative Record	12/13/2013		6
73	ORDER AFTER HEARING GRANTING, IN PART, MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION	12/11/2013		17
72	Objection (to Petitioners' Second Supplemental Request for Judicial Notice in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction) filed.	12/03/2013	The Boeing Company(Real Party In Interest (RpII))	8
71	Opposition (Joint Opposition to petitioners Second supplemental Request for Judicial Notice in support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction) filed.	12/03/2013	Department of Toxic Substances Control(Respondent)	10
70	Request - Other (2nd for judicial notice) filed.	11/26/2013	Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner)	21
69	Request - Other (for Hearing) filed.	11/08/2013	Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner)	3
67	Correspondence filed.	10/28/2013	The Boeing Company(Real Party In Interest (RpII))	7

ROA#	ROA Entry	Filed Date	Filed By	Pages
68	Minutes finalized for Motion - Other - Writ of Mandate heard on 10/25/2013 02:00:00 PM .	10/25/2013		1
66	TENTATIVE RULING ON MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION	10/24/2013		16
	Physicians for Social Responsibility v. Dept. of Toxic Substances Control, Tentative Ruling on Motion for Preliminary Injunction	10/24/2013		16
65	Motion - Other - Writ of Mandate scheduled for 10/25/2013 at 02:00:00 PM in Department 42 at Gordon D Schaber Courthouse .	10/24/2013		
64	Objection (to Supplemental Evidence Submitted with Reply Brief on Motion for Preliminary Injunction) filed.	10/23/2013	Department of Public Health(Respondent)	17
63	Proof of Service - MAILING filed.	10/22/2013	The Boeing Company(Real Party In Interest (RpII))	3
62	Objection (to Evidence Submitted in Support of Reply Brief in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction) filed.	10/22/2013	The Boeing Company(Real Party In Interest (RpII))	23
61	Response (to Consolidated Objections to Evidence in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction) filed.	10/22/2013	The Boeing Company(Real Party In Interest (RpII))	93
60	Objection (to Evidence) filed.	10/18/2013	Committee to Bridge the Gap(Petitioner); Consumer Watchdog(Petitioner); Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner); Southrn California Federation of Scientists(Petitioner)	68
59	Response (to Evidentiary Objections) filed.	10/18/2013	Committee to Bridge the Gap(Petitioner); Consumer Watchdog(Petitioner); Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner); Southrn California Federation of Scientists(Petitioner)	18
58	Reply (Briefs to Opposition) filed.	10/18/2013	Committee to Bridge the Gap(Petitioner); Consumer Watchdog(Petitioner); Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner); Southrn California Federation of Scientists(Petitioner)	36
57	Supplemental - Other (Request for Judicial Notice) filed.	10/18/2013	Committee to Bridge the Gap(Petitioner); Consumer Watchdog(Petitioner); Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner); Southrn California Federation of Scientists(Petitioner)	69
56	Reply (Declaration in Support of Motion) filed.	10/18/2013	Committee to Bridge the Gap(Petitioner); Consumer Watchdog(Petitioner); Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner); Southrn California Federation of Scientists(Petitioner)	67
55	Proof of Service filed.	10/15/2013	The Boeing Company(Real Party In Interest (RpII))	3
54	Declaration - Other filed.	10/15/2013	The Boeing Company(Real Party In Interest (RpII))	31
53	Notice of Errata filed.	10/15/2013	The Boeing Company(Real Party In Interest (RpII))	2

ROA#	ROA Entry	Filed Date	Filed By	Pages
52	STIPULATION AND ORDER RE 1) RESPONSIVE PLEADINGS TO THE PETITION 2) BRIEFING SCHEDULE FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION 3) PREPARATION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD	10/15/2013		5
51	Statement - Other (Corrected Brief in Opposition to Motion) filed.	10/10/2013	Department of Toxic Substances Control(Respondent)	22
50	Notice of Errata (Re: Opposition to Motion) filed.	10/10/2013	Department of Toxic Substances Control(Respondent)	4
49	Declaration - Other (of Service) filed.	10/09/2013	Department of Toxic Substances Control(Respondent)	6
48	Answer filed.	10/08/2013	The Boeing Company(Real Party In Interest (RpII))	23
47	Proof of Service filed.	10/08/2013	The Boeing Company(Real Party In Interest (RpII))	4
46	Declaration - Other (in Support of Memorandum of Opposition) filed.	10/08/2013	The Boeing Company(Real Party In Interest (RpII))	679
45	Statement - Other (Ordinance & Non-California Authorities in Support of Memorandum of Opposition) filed.	10/08/2013	The Boeing Company(Real Party In Interest (RpII))	8
44	Declaration - Other (in Support of Memorandum of Opposition) filed.	10/08/2013	The Boeing Company(Real Party In Interest (RpII))	229
43	Declaration - Other (in Support of Memorandum of Opposition) filed.	10/08/2013	The Boeing Company(Real Party In Interest (RpII))	63
42	Response (to Request for Judicial Notice) filed.	10/08/2013	The Boeing Company(Real Party In Interest (RpII))	3
41	Request - Judicial Notice (in Support of Opposition) filed.	10/08/2013	The Boeing Company(Real Party In Interest (RpII))	85
40	Objection (to Evidence) filed.	10/08/2013	The Boeing Company(Real Party In Interest (RpII))	26
39	Declaration - Other (in Support of Memorandum of Opposition to Motion) filed.	10/08/2013	The Boeing Company(Real Party In Interest (RpII))	28
38	Statement - Other (Memorandum in Opposition to Motion) filed.	10/08/2013	The Boeing Company(Real Party In Interest (RpII))	19
37	Declaration - Other (in Support of Memorandum in Opposition to Motion) filed.	10/08/2013	The Boeing Company(Real Party In Interest (RpII))	176
32	Potter, James R added as a effective 10/08/2013 .	10/08/2013		
36	Declaration - Other (in Support of Opposition) filed.	10/08/2013	Department of Toxic Substances Control(Respondent)	136
35	Declaration - Other (in Support of Opposition to Motion) filed.	10/08/2013	Department of Toxic Substances Control(Respondent)	148
34	Opposition (Brief in Opposition to Motion) filed.	10/08/2013	Department of Toxic Substances Control(Respondent)	20
33	Answer filed.	10/08/2013	Department of Toxic Substances Control(Respondent)	17
31	Proof of Service filed.	10/08/2013	Department of Public Health(Respondent); Department of Toxic Substances Control(Respondent)	3
30	Declaration - Other filed.	10/08/2013	Department of Public Health(Respondent); Department of Toxic Substances Control(Respondent)	5

ROA#	ROA Entry	Filed Date	Filed By	Pages
29	Request - Judicial Notice filed.	10/08/2013	Department of Public Health(Respondent); Department of Toxic Substances Control(Respondent)	47
28	Declaration - Other (of L. Robert Greger) filed.	10/08/2013	Department of Public Health(Respondent); Department of Toxic Substances Control(Respondent)	9
27	Proof of Service filed.	10/08/2013	Department of Public Health(Respondent); Department of Toxic Substances Control(Respondent)	2
26	Answer filed.	10/08/2013	Department of Public Health(Respondent); Department of Toxic Substances Control(Respondent)	14
25	Memorandum of Points and Authorities filed.	10/08/2013	Department of Public Health(Respondent); Department of Toxic Substances Control(Respondent)	19
24	Objection filed.	10/08/2013	Department of Public Health(Respondent); Department of Toxic Substances Control(Respondent)	21
23	Stipulation and Order (Re: Responsive Pleadings / Briefing Schedule for Preliminary Injunction / Preparation of the Administrative Record) filed.	09/20/2013	Department of Toxic Substances Control(Respondent)	5
22	Correspondence filed.	09/11/2013	Department of Toxic Substances Control(Respondent)	2
21	Correspondence with the Court and Counsel via Email	09/06/2013		1
20	Declaration - Other (of Arnold Gundersen) filed.	09/04/2013	Committee to Bridge the Gap(Petitioner); Consumer Watchdog(Petitioner); Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner)	144
19	Declaration - Other (of Beverly Grossman) filed.	09/04/2013	Committee to Bridge the Gap(Petitioner); Consumer Watchdog(Petitioner); Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner)	39
18	Request - Judicial Notice filed.	09/04/2013	Committee to Bridge the Gap(Petitioner); Consumer Watchdog(Petitioner); Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner)	386
17	Declaration - Other (of Arnold Gundersen) filed.	09/04/2013	Committee to Bridge the Gap(Petitioner); Consumer Watchdog(Petitioner); Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner)	145
15	Motion for Preliminary Injunction filed.	09/04/2013	Committee to Bridge the Gap(Petitioner); Consumer Watchdog(Petitioner); Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner)	23
14	Case reassigned to 42 effective 08/08/2013 .	08/28/2013		
13	Notice - Other (of Settlement Meeting) filed.	08/27/2013	Department of Public Health(Respondent)	3
12	Proof of Service - MAILING filed.	08/21/2013	The Boeing Company(Real Party In Interest (RpII))	3
10	Meier, Peter C added as a effective 08/19/2013 .	08/19/2013		
11	Notice of Appearance filed.	08/19/2013	The Boeing Company(Real Party In Interest (RpII))	2

ROA#	ROA Entry	Filed Date	Filed By	Pages
9	Order - Other (Peremptory Disqualification CCP 170.6; Notice of Re-Assignment of Petition for Writ of Mandate to One Judge for All Purposes; Certificate of Service my Mail) filed.	08/08/2013		3
8	Declaration of Prejudice CCP 170.6 filed.	08/07/2013	Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner)	3
6	Notice of Case Assignment Sac generated .	08/06/2013		1
5	Case assigned to Department 14 .	08/06/2013		
3	Summons filed.	08/06/2013	Committee to Bridge the Gap(Petitioner); Consumer Watchdog(Petitioner); Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner); Southrn California Federation of Scientists(Petitioner)	3
2	Civil Case Cover Sheet filed.	08/06/2013	Committee to Bridge the Gap(Petitioner); Consumer Watchdog(Petitioner); Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner); Southrn California Federation of Scientists(Petitioner)	1
1	Petition for Writ of Mandate filed.	08/06/2013	Committee to Bridge the Gap(Petitioner); Consumer Watchdog(Petitioner); Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner); Southrn California Federation of Scientists(Petitioner)	37
4	Declaration - Other (Election to Prepare Administrative Record) filed.	08/06/2013	Committee to Bridge the Gap(Petitioner); Consumer Watchdog(Petitioner); Physicians for Social Responsibility-Los Angeles(Petitioner); Southrn California Federation of Scientists(Petitioner)	2



Richard Williams <richard.williams@lacity.org>

Please Support Item Number 19-0145

zack@petplanetrealty.com <zack@petplanetrealty.com>

Mon, Mar 18, 2019 at 8:22 AM

To: Clerk.BudgetandFinanceCommittee@lacity.org, councilmember.price@lacity.org, paul.koretz@lacity.org, councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org, councilmember.bonin@lacity.org

Dear Budget and Finance Committee,

I write today to urge your support for item number 19-0145, to retain outside counsel for potential litigation regarding the Santa Susana Field Laboratory. The City of Los Angeles has long supported the full cleanup of nuclear and chemical contamination at SSFL. We cannot allow the Trump Administration's Dept. of Energy to walk away from its cleanup commitments and leave 98% of the contamination on site, where it will continue to migrate and threaten public health. Please vote yes on item 19-0145.

Sincerely,

Zack



Richard Williams <richard.williams@lacity.org>

Please Support Item Number 19-0145

Zack King <zking1@live.com>

Mon, Mar 18, 2019 at 8:20 AM

To: "Clerk.BudgetandFinanceCommittee@lacity.org" <Clerk.BudgetandFinanceCommittee@lacity.org>

Cc: "councilmember.Krekorian@lacity.org" <councilmember.Krekorian@lacity.org>, "councilmember.price@lacity.org" <councilmember.price@lacity.org>, "paul.koretz@lacity.org" <paul.koretz@lacity.org>, "councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org" <councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org>, "councilmember.bonin@lacity.org" <councilmember.bonin@lacity.org>

Dear Budget and Finance Committee,

I write today to urge your support for item number 19-0145, to retain outside counsel for potential litigation regarding the Santa Susana Field Laboratory. The City of Los Angeles has long supported the full cleanup of nuclear and chemical contamination at SSFL. We cannot allow the Trump Administration's Dept. of Energy to walk away from its cleanup commitments and leave 98% of the contamination on site, where it will continue to migrate and threaten public health. Please vote yes on item 19-0145.

Sincerely,

Zack



Richard Williams <richard.williams@lacity.org>

SUPPORT for item 19-0145.

'william bowling' via Clerk Budget and Finance Committee
<Clerk.BudgetandFinanceCommittee@lacity.org>
Reply-To: william bowling <williamprestonbowling@yahoo.com>
To: Clerk.BudgetandFinanceCommittee@lacity.org

Mon, Mar 18, 2019 at 8:14
AM

Dear Los Angeles Budget and Finance Committee,

I urge a yes vote on 19-0145.

I support the AOC cleanup agreements to clean up all contamination at the Santa Susana Field LAB and urge the City to do all that it can to ensure the agreements are carried out, including litigation if necessary.

- the city of LA has a long history of support for SSFL cleanup which it must continue
- the Budget and Finance Committee vote should reflect that the City already approved the motion to retain legal counsel and the allocation of up to \$600,000
- Under new CalEPA Secretary Jared Blumenfeld, DTSC has also told DOE it must comply with agreements
- we cannot allow the Trump Administration's DOE to get away with leaving 98% of the contamination at SSFL not cleaned up.
- the Woolsey fire shows how dangerous it is to leave contamination not cleaned up.
- over 600,000 people have signed a petition calling for full cleanup.
- The majority of the community supports full cleanup and needs the City of Los Angeles to fight for a proper clean up

William Preston Bowling
Founder ACME
Aerospace Contamination Museum of Education

Sent from my iPhone
310-428-5085



Richard Williams <richard.williams@lacity.org>

Santa Susana

bonnie Klea <bonnie1@dslextreme.com>
To: Clerk.BudgetandFinanceCommittee@lacity.org

Mon, Mar 18, 2019 at 7:24 AM

I am in favor of allocating \$600,000 to fund a lawsuit against the Department of Energy if their cleanup agreement is not met. I live near Santa Susana and was a worker who got cancer. We are included in a federal program for the sick workers. We have over 4,000 workers with cancer.



Richard Williams <richard.williams@lacity.org>

Support for item 19-0145

saigephotovia Clerk Budget and Finance Committee

<Clerk.BudgetandFinanceCommittee@lacity.org>

Reply-To: saigephotovia@aol.com

To: Clerk.BudgetandFinanceCommittee@lacity.org

Mon, Mar 18, 2019 at 1:35

PM

The budget and finance committee vote should reflect that the city has already approved the motion to retain legal counsel and the city has always supported the cleanup. Please continue financing. 600,000 is only \$0.20 per La child to help protect them from radioactive and toxic contamination at the site. We can't leave it contaminated. It must be cleaned up. I lived below the facility and one of my children had Childhood Cancer. This site must be cleaned up. Thank you
Milissa Ospina



Richard Williams <richard.williams@lacity.org>

Please Support Item Number 19-0145

West Valley Resistance <wvresistance@gmail.com>
To: Clerk.BudgetandFinanceCommittee@lacity.org

Mon, Mar 18, 2019 at 6:47 AM

Dear Budget and Finance Committee,

I write today to urge your support for item number 19-0145, to retain outside counsel for potential litigation regarding the Santa Susana Field Laboratory. The City of Los Angeles has long supported the full cleanup of nuclear and chemical contamination at SSFL. We cannot allow the Trump Administration's Dept. of Energy to walk away from its cleanup commitments and leave 98% of the contamination on site, where it will continue to migrate and threaten public health. Please vote yes on item 19-0145.

Sincerely,

The West Valley Resistance



Richard Williams <richard.williams@lacity.org>

SSFL Cleanup

'Martha Waite' via Clerk Budget and Finance Committee

Mon, Mar 18, 2019 at 6:46

<Clerk.BudgetandFinanceCommittee@lacity.org>

AM

Reply-To: Martha Waite <mswmermaid@yahoo.com>

To: Clerk.BudgetandFinanceCommittee@lacity.org

Please note that many of us who live near SSFL support the AOC clean up agreements to clean up all contamination. We want the City to do all it can to ensure the agreements are carried out. We strongly support item 19-0145. Please do not let this opportunity to do the right thing slip away. We need the clean up to happen in order that these agreements proceed as originally planned.

Sincerely,
Martha S. Waite

Sent from my iPad



Richard Williams <richard.williams@lacity.org>

SUPPORT for item 19-0145

Rebekah Berger <rebekahberger@gmail.com>
To: Clerk.BudgetandFinanceCommittee@lacity.org

Mon, Mar 18, 2019 at 5:47 AM

Dear people:

My family and I live near the Santa Susanna spill. We have been promised clean-up by the government for years. We urge your support for item number 19-0145, to retain outside counsel for potential litigation regarding the Santa Susana Field Laboratory. The City of Los Angeles has long supported the full cleanup of nuclear and chemical contamination at SSFL. We cannot allow the Trump Administration's Dept. of Energy to walk away from its cleanup commitments and leave 98% of the contamination on site, where it will continue to migrate and threaten public health. Please vote yes on item 19-0145.
Sincerely,

Rebekah Berger
[8951 Rhea Avenue](mailto:rebekahberger@gmail.com)
[Northridge, CA 91324](mailto:rebekahberger@gmail.com)



Richard Williams <richard.williams@lacity.org>

Budget Vote >> ITEM 19- 0145

'janeen pedersen' via Clerk Budget and Finance Committee <Clerk.BudgetandFinanceCommittee@lacity.org>
Reply-To: janeen pedersen <janeenrae1@icloud.com>
To: Clerk.BudgetandFinanceCommittee@lacity.org

Dear Budget and Finance Committee,

I write today to urge your support for item number 19-0145, to retain outside counsel for potential litigation regarding the Santa Susana Field Laboratory. The supported the full cleanup of nuclear and chemical contamination at SSFL. We cannot allow the Trump Administration's Dept. of Energy to walk away from i 98% of the contamination on site, where it will continue to migrate and threaten public health. Please vote yes on item 19-0145.

Sincerely,

Janeen Pedersen
7333 Sylvia Reseda
91335
STAND STRONG LA INDIVISIBLE



Richard Williams <richard.williams@lacity.org>

SUPPORT for item 19-0145 Santa Susana Field Laboratory

Christina Walsh <christina@peoplepolicy.org>

Mon, Mar 18, 2019 at 12:15 AM

To: West Valley Resistance <wvresistance@gmail.com>

Cc: "Clerk.BudgetandFinanceCommittee@lacity.org" <Clerk.BudgetandFinanceCommittee@lacity.org>, Bobbi Rubinstein <bobbi.rubinstein@gmail.com>, Melissa Bumstead <melissabumstead@sbcglobal.net>, "deniseanneduffield@gmail.com" <deniseanneduffield@gmail.com>, janeen pedersen <janeenrae1@icloud.com>, Isabel Frischman <isabelwf@sbcglobal.net>, "mbregsan.2018@gmail.com" <mbregsan.2018@gmail.com>, Lisa Rosenfield Podolsky <lisarosenfield@icloud.com>

<https://youtu.be/qLH9HDomyQs>

<https://www.dropbox.com/s/mjiftfmswd06nab/Motion%20to%20City%20Council--SUPPORT%20for%20item%2019-0145%20from%20Christina%20Walsh.pdf?dl=0>

Sent from my iPhone

[Quoted text hidden]



Richard Williams <richard.williams@lacity.org>

SSFL: Support item 19-0145

North Valley Democratic Club <info@nvdemclub.org>
To: Clerk.BudgetandFinanceCommittee@lacity.org

Mon, Mar 18, 2019 at 12:09 AM

Members of the Budget and Finance Committee:

On behalf of myself and of North Valley Democratic Club, I ask that you support item 19-0145 regarding Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL).

We remind you that the City Council has repeatedly supported cleanup to background as called for in the Agreements on Consent. The Ventura County Supervisors and the Simi Valley City Council have also supported cleanup to background. This is also the position of the Democratic Party of the San Fernando Valley, the Los Angeles County Democratic Party, and the California Democratic Party.

- * The city of LA has a long history of support for SSFL cleanup, which it must continue.
- * The Budget and Finance Committee vote should reflect that the City already approved the motion to retain legal counsel and the allocation of up to \$600,000.
- * Under new CalEPA Secretary Jared Blumenfeld, DTSC has also told DOE it must comply with agreements.
- * We cannot allow DOE to get away with leaving 98% of the contamination at SSFL not cleaned up. They signed a contract and have a moral duty to make whole the residents damaged by their pollution.
- * The Woolsey fire shows how dangerous it is to leave contamination not cleaned up.
- * The vast majority of the community supports full cleanup and needs the City to fight for us. In the public comment on the AOCs, 2000 people support the agreements and only 20 questioned or opposed them.

Richard M. Mathews

President, North Valley Democratic Club
818-625-8506

attachment: a copy of this letter on Club letterhead

 **SSFL-ToLACityBudget.pdf**
134K



North Valley Democratic Club *of Los Angeles*

Richard Mathews, President

818-921-NVDC (6832)

<http://nvdemclub.org/>

March 18, 2019

Members of the Budget and Finance Committee:

On behalf of myself and of North Valley Democratic Club, I ask that you support item 19-0145 regarding Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL).

We remind you that the City Council has repeatedly supported cleanup to background as called for in the Agreements on Consent. The Ventura County Supervisors and the Simi Valley City Council have also supported cleanup to background. This is also the position of the Democratic Party of the San Fernando Valley, the Los Angeles County Democratic Party, and the California Democratic Party.

- * The city of LA has a long history of support for SSFL cleanup, which it must continue.
- * The Budget and Finance Committee vote should reflect that the City already approved the motion to retain legal counsel and the allocation of up to \$600,000.
- * Under new CalEPA Secretary Jared Blumenfeld, DTSC has also told DOE it must comply with agreements.
- * We cannot allow DOE to get away with leaving 98% of the contamination at SSFL not cleaned up. They signed a contract and have a moral duty to make whole the residents damaged by their pollution.
- * The Woolsey fire shows how dangerous it is to leave contamination not cleaned up.
- * The vast majority of the community supports full cleanup and needs the City to fight for us. In the public comment on the AOCs, 2000 people support the agreements and only 20 questioned or opposed them.

Richard M. Mathews

President, North Valley Democratic Club
818-625-8506