CITY OF LOS ANGELES

INTER-DEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

0590-00098-5163

Date: August 1, 2019

To: The Council

From: Richard H. Llewellyn Jr., City Administrative Officer

Subject: 2019-20 APPROPRIATION LIMIT (C.F. 19-0600)

RECOMMENDATIONS

- 1. Provide the public, in accordance with Section 7910 of the Government Code, the opportunity to review the attached documentation for at least 15 days before adopting an appropriations limit for 2019-20. To facilitate this effort, the documentation provided on the attachment to this memorandum will be sent to all certified neighborhood councils.
- Adopt the following factors to be used to calculate the appropriations limit for

2019-20:

Population Percent Change: City 0.05%

Nonresidential New Construction

Percent Change: City 10.68%

3. Adopt \$6,234,016,905 as the appropriations limit for 2019-20.

SUMMARY

Section 7910 of the Government Code of the State of California requires that the governing body of each local jurisdiction establish its appropriations limit for the following fiscal year pursuant to Article XIIIB of the State Constitution (Gann Initiative). The Gann Initiative limits the amount of revenue that can be appropriated in any fiscal year, which is applicable to revenues that are referred to as "proceeds of taxes." The limit adjusts annually for population growth, inflation/per capita income or changes to nonresidential new construction, and other factors. Certain appropriations are exempt from the Gann Limit, such as voter-approved debt, court orders, and federal mandates.

The City of Los Angeles must make the documentation used to calculate the appropriations limit available to the public fifteen days prior to adopting the limit.

Therefore, in addition to submitting this report to the City Clerk for City Council action, this Office is transmitting the documentation to all certified neighborhood councils. Further, Item No. 13 of the 2019-20 Budget Resolution directs this Office to present a report setting forth the calculations necessary to establish the City's appropriations limit. These calculations reflect the 2019-20 Adopted Budget.

When adjusting the annual appropriation limit, the City has the discretion to either apply the inflation/per capita income rate or the change to nonresidential new construction along with the population change and other factors. If the inflation/per capital income rate is applied, the 2019-20 appropriation limit would be \$5,846,638,121. If the change to nonresidential new construction is applied, the 2019-20 appropriation limit would be \$6,234,016,905. Both of these amounts exceed the \$4,585,351,952 in actual appropriations that are subject to the limit in the 2019-20 Budget. After reviewing both approaches, this Office recommends using the nonresidential new construction rate for the calculation of the 2019-20 appropriations limit. The City's annual financial audit will review this calculation in 2019-20.

Attachment 3 to this report includes documentation of the base year and subsequent years' appropriations limit calculations.

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

There is no fiscal impact in adopting the recommendations in this report.

RHL:JWW:JL:01200008c

Attachments

CALCULATION OF 2019-20 APPROPRIATIONS LIMIT

2018-19 APPROPRIATIONS LIMIT ADOPTED BY THE CITY COUNCIL			\$ 5	5,669,148,096	
Factors used - see Attachment 3 as indicated:					
1.	Population (paragraph B.1): City	0.05%			
2.	California per capita personal income or nonresidental new construction assessed valuation (paragraph B.2): Los Angeles non-residential new construction assessed valuation	10.68%			
3.	Total Annual Adjustment Factor*	1.1073			
Annu	al Adjustment			\$	608,316,712
	\$ 5,669,148,096 x 1.1073030	02		\$ 6	5,277,464,808
Redu	ction in limit due to change in financing from othe	er revenues to	user charges:		
 Ambulance Fees Increase: Controller E-Payables: Tobacco Permits Increase: Metropolitan Transportation Authority Reimbursement for Police Services Increase: Local Enforcement Agency Fees: Animal License Canvasser Program Increase: Ground Emergency Medical Transport Fee Increase: Ethics Outreach and Education - LAUSD: Street Vending Permit Fee Revenue Increase: 					(6,000,000) (1,000,000) (400,000) (15,679,374) (150,000) (153,000) (17,523,000) (219,000) (2,323,529)
2019	-20 APPROPRIATIONS LIMIT			<u>\$ 6</u>	5,234,016,905
* Total	Annual Adjustment Factor was derived as follows: Population Change converted to a ratio: Los Angeles Non-Residential New Construction Assessed Valuation Change	(0.05 + 100)/100=		045448
	converted to a ratio: Calculation of Total Annual Adjustment Factor:	(10.68 + 100)/100= .00045448 x 1.10680000		680000 730302
2019-20 APPROPRIATIONS LIMIT 2019-20 BUDGET APPROPRIATIONS SUBJECT TO LIMITATION DIFFERENCE					6,234,016,905 4,585,351,952 1,648,664,952

2019-20 BUDGET APPROPRIATIONS SUBJECT TO LIMITATION

	2019-20 Budget	Non Proceeds of Taxes	Proceeds of Taxes
General Receipts:			
Property Tax and State Replacement - 1% Fund	\$ 2,115,611,000		\$ 2,115,611,000
Property Tax - Ex-CRA Increment	100,386,000		100,386,000
Utility Users' Tax	652,165,000		652,165,000
Licenses, Permits, and Fees	1,226,882,292	1,226,882,292	
Business Tax	657,150,000		657,150,000
Sales Tax	589,790,000		589,790,000
Documentary Transfer Tax	211,960,000		211,960,000
Power Revenue Transfer	235,600,000		235,600,000
Transient Occupancy Tax	326,620,000		326,620,000
Parking Fines	123,785,000	123,785,000	
Parking Occupancy Tax	121,900,000		121,900,000
Franchise Income	80,240,000	80,240,000	
State Motor Vehicle License Fees	1,946,000	-	1,946,000
Grant Receipts	15,729,000	15,729,000	
Tobacco Settlement	10,952,000	10,952,000	
Transfer from Telecommunications Development Account			
Residential Development Tax	5,020,000		5,020,000
Special Parking Revenue Transfer	57,313,246	57,313,246	
Interest	36,700,000	8,333,608	28,366,392
Transfer from Reserve Fund			
Transfer from Budget Stabilization Fund			
Transfer from Tax Reform Fund			
Total General Receipts	\$ 6,569,749,538	\$ 1,523,235,146	\$5,046,514,392

Special Funds:

	2019-20	Non Proceeds	Proceeds of
	Budget	of Taxes	Taxes
Property Tax and State Replacement	\$ 138,339,047		\$ 138,339,047
Sewer Construction and Maintenance Fund	1,140,737,635	1,140,737,635	78
Proposition A Local Transit Assistance Fund	244,620,297	244,620,297	
Proposition C Anti-Gridlock Transit Improvement Fund	83,262,800	83,262,800	
Special Parking Revenue Fund	46,175,754	46,175,754	
Los Angeles Convention and Visitors Bureau Trust Fund	25,124,615	25,124,615	
Solid Waste Resources Revenue Fund	322,019,873	322,019,873	
FAT	-		
FinesState Vehicle Code		4,100,000	
Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund	169,281,971	169,281,971	
Housing Dept. Affordable Housing Trust Fund	1,740,000	1,740,000	
Stormwater Program Funds	72,625,000	72,625,000	
Community Development Trust Fund	21,529,909	21,529,909	==
HOME Investment Partnerships Program Fund	7,169,399	7,169,399	
Mobile Source Air Pollution Reduction Trust Fund	5,250,000	5,250,000	
City Employees Retirement Fund	117,461,561	117,461,561	
Community Services Administration Grant	1,591,140	1,591,140	***
Park and Recreational Sites and Facilities Fund	3,500,000		3,500,000
Convention Center Revenue Fund	29,183,381	29,183,381	
Local Public Safety Fund	47,940,000	47,940,000	
Neighborhood Empowerment Fund	3,187,751	3,187,751	
Street Lighting Maintenance Assessment Fund	74,673,081	74,673,081	
Telecom. Liquidated Damages and Lost Franchise TDA	18,500,000	18,500,000	
Older Americans Act Fund	2,892,529	2,892,529	
Workforce Innovation Opportunity Act	17,252,375	17,252,375	
Rent Stabilization Trust Fund	14,786,000	14,786,000	
Arts and Cultural Facilities and Services Trust Fund	26,512,920	26,512,920	
Arts Development Fee Trust Fund	3,150,000	3,150,000	
City Employees Ridesharing Fund	3,140,500	3,140,500	

	2019-20	Non Proceeds	Proceeds of
	Budget	of Taxes	Taxes
Allocations from other sources	73,001,512	73,001,512	
City Ethics Commission Fund	3,332,855	3,332,855	
Staples Arena Special Fund	4,438,837	4,438,837	
Citywide Recycling Fund	33,857,117	33,857,117	
Special Police Communications/911 System Tax Fund			
Cannabis Regulation Special Revenue Trust Fund	14,124,582	14,124,582	
Local Transportation Fund	3,141,532	3,141,532	
Planning Case Processing Revenue Fund	33,000,481	33,000,481	
Disaster Assistance Trust Fund	10,916,372	10,916,372	
Accessible Housing Fund	7,249,944	7,249,944	
Landfill Maintenance Special Fund			
Household Hazardous Waste Special Fund	3,978,643	3,978,643	
Building and Safety Enterprise Fund	199,656,500	199,656,500	
Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS Fund	553,940	553,940	
Code Enforcement Trust Fund	44,336,000	44,336,000	
El Pueblo de Los Angeles Historical Monument Revenue Fund	4,993,547	4,993,547	
Zoo Enterprise Trust Fund	25,283,818	25,283,818	
Local Law Enforcement Block Grant Fund			
Supplemental Law Enforcement Services Fund	9,381,020	9,381,020	
Street Damage Restoration Fee Special Fund	73,477,514	73,477,514	
Municipal Housing Finance Fund	6,861,000	6,861,000	
Measure R Traffic Relief and Rail	51,669,600	51,669,600	
Efficiency and Police Hires Fund			
Central Recycling and Transfer Fund	12,171,040	12,171,040	
Multi-Family Bulky Item Fund	8,054,741	8,054,741	
Sidewalk Repair Fund	15,551,096	15,551,096	
Measure M Local Return Fund	69,267,491	69,267,491	
Code Compliance Fund	1,594,462	1,594,462	
Available Balances	784,687,123	784,687,123	
Total Special Receipts	\$ 4,140,328,305	\$ 3,998,489,258	\$ 141,839,047
Total	\$ 10,710,077,843	\$5,521,724,404	\$5,188,353,439

Less: Appropriations Not Subject to Limitation

 A. Debt Service on: (sup) 	ported by taxes)
---	------------------

General Obligation Bonds
 Reserve for Special Police/9-1-1 System Bonds
 (138,339,047)
 -

B. Federal Mandates:

Medicare for New Employees	(55,882,729)
2. Fire-Fair Labor Standards Act overtime costs - Firefighters & Civilian	(76,787,686)
3. Police-Fair Labor Standards Act overtime costs - Swom & civilian	(173,252,524)
Unemployment Compensation	(2,800,000)
5. Social Security for employees not in retirement system	(1,980,610)
6. Pensions Savings Plan	(2.729.799)

C. Capital Outlay Projects (fixed assets of \$100,000 or more with a useful life of 10 years or more):

1. Capital Improvement Expenditure Program:

a. General Fund - Municipal Facilities Projects	(21,099,341)
b. Park and Recreational Sites and Facilities Fund	(3,500,000)
c. General Fund - Physical Plant Projects	(4,317,538)
Capital Finance Administration Program	(122,312,213)

Capital Finance Administration Program
 Lease Obligation to Convention Center Authority (General Fund financing)

2019-20 BUDGET APPROPRIATIONS SUBJECT TO LIMITATION

\$4,585,351,952

CITY OF LOS ANGELES

Calculation of Appropriations (Gann) Limit (Article XIIIB of the State Constitution)

A. Determination of Base Year

- 1. **Original Determination.** The December 4, 1980 report from the City Administrative Officer (CAO File No. 0230-02771), adopted by City Council December 22, 1980 (C.F. 78-5568-S2) and concurred by the Mayor December 30, 1980 was the basis for the original determination of the base year.
- 2. Contributions to Retirement Santa Barbara Taxpayers Association versus County of Santa Barbara (194 Cal. App. 3d 674--review denied November 18, 1987). Assumption #3, Attachment I of December 4, 1980 report was negated by this case whereby it was determined that contributions to a retirement fund constitute appropriations subject to limitation and are not considered "indebtedness." The City had previously deducted contributions necessary to meet any unfunded liability existing on January 1, 1979. Similarly, payments for leasebacks are not considered "indebtedness." Attachment 3, section D under "LESS APPROPRIATIONS NOT SUBJECT TO LIMITATION" are restored.
- 3. Water and Power Revenue Transfers. Assumption #2, Attachment 1, indicates that transfers of surplus funds from the Water and Power Department are "proceeds of taxes" except for reimbursement for services provided. An annual review is conducted to ascertain the costs of services provided to the Department of Water and Power by the Controller, Office of Finance-Treasurer, and Personnel Department.
- 4. **May Adopted City Budget.** The City adopted the 1978-79 Budget in compliance with Charter requirements that a budget must be adopted by the Council by June 1. Assumption #1, Attachment 1, indicates that the adopted budget was used to determine appropriations subject to limitation for 1978-79. This was the conclusion of the City Attorney in a February 15, 1979 report to the City Administrative Officer (page 19) that "... any authorization which existed during the fiscal year 1978-79, including the Budget in effect until July 24, 1978 and interim appropriations during the same fiscal year from the Reserve Fund subsequent to the adoption of the Budget, would be considered 'appropriations subject to limitation' within the meaning of Subsection (b)" of Section 8.
- 5. **Interim Authorizations added to base.** Council File 78-5568 and S1, adopted by the Council on June 25, 1979, provided for various appropriations that added to the 1978-79 base as follows (see Attachment 3 for additions):

- a. Excess General Fund revenues subject to limitation. The total appropriation of \$40,470,163.89 was reduced to \$30,246,692 by applying a factor of 88.5% to the interest earnings portion.
- b. The end-of-year Reserve Fund transfer of \$971,614.94 was reduced to \$859,879 by applying a factor of 88.5%.
- c. For-Hire Trucking revenues of \$8,310.75 exceeding the budget were appropriated.
- d. Section B is self-explanatory. Section C includes over 30 items that were appropriated from the Reserve Fund throughout the year, exclusive of appropriations for non-limited items (primarily grant advances), for a total of \$9,118,967. Section D is self-explanatory.
- 6. Franchise Fees Santa Barbara County Taxpayers Association versus Santa Barbara County (209 Cal. App. 3d 940 -- review denied 1989). This case determined that franchise fees are not "proceeds of taxes." In light of this decision, the City reduced the base by eliminating the amount budgeted for Franchise Income (\$3,549,000) and excess General Fund revenues attributable to Franchise Income (revenues of \$4,510,290 exceeded the budget estimate of \$3,549,000 by \$961,290). It is noted that these adjustments do not impact the factor of 88.5 percent, which is applied to interest earnings, etc.
- 7. **Revised Base Year.** The base year was revised as follows:

APPROPRIATIONS SUBJECT TO LIMITATION December 4, 1980 Report (C.F. 78-5568-S2)

1978-79 Base Year:	\$763,061,903
Section A.2. (above)	
Restoration of contributions to unfunded liability	
of retirement systems	
 City Employees' Retirement Systems 	28,558,915
Fire and Police Pensions Systems	73,878,573
Restoration of contributions for lease obligations	
 Convention Center Authority 	2,558,130
Public Facilities Corporation	4,700,000
3. Los Angeles Mall	1,966,045
Section A.6. (above)	(4,510,290)
Revised Base: 1978-79	\$870,213,276

B. Annual Adjustments

1. <u>Population</u>. Prior to the passage of Proposition 111 on the June 5, 1990 ballot, the City used the change in the City population as an adjustment factor each year. Proposition 111 provides that the City may choose either the increase in the City or County population. While the City did not retroactively change the adopted appropriations limit for the years prior to 1990-91, the factors were applied for the limits from 1986-87 to 1989-90. This action was taken in order to have a modified base that was consistent with the newly approved legislation to calculate the limit for 1990-91.

The State Department of Finance provides a population number and the population percent change factor each May 1. Though the population number is usually adjusted the following year, it creates an erosion as the adjustment is generally upward. Below is a listing of adjusted population amounts through 1989 reported by the State Department of Finance. The amounts after 1989 should be adjusted at such time as the City recalculates the limit for all years after that date (when factors are available for the change in nonresidential new construction - see paragraph 2).

Year	CI	TY	COL	JNTY
(As of January 1)	Amount	% Change	Amount	% Change
1978	2,899,690			
1979	2,930,267	1.05		
1980	2,953,310	0.79		
1981	2,978,607	0.86		
1982	3,010,172	1.06		
1983	3,062,957	1.75.		
1984	3,103,774	1.33		
1985	3,146,314	1.37		
1986	3,249,047	3.27	8,228,477	
1987	3,313,074	1.97	8,400,370	2.09
1988	3,365,380	1.58	8,532,396	1.57
1989	3,395,273	0.89	8,632,069	1.17
1990 Unadj.	3,428,770	0.99	8,749,615	1.36
1991	3,531,757	3.00	8,964,736	2.46
1992	3,574,521	1.21	9,065,427	1.12
1993	3,602,526	0.78	9,139,357	0.81
1994	3,615,698	0.36	9,208,731	0.76
1995	3,590,357	(.71)	9,229,730	0.23
1996	3,635,515	1.28	9,356,784	1.38
1997	3,679,165	1.20	9,476,976	1.28
1998	3,719,925	1.11	9,590,909	1.20
1999	3,778,539	1.58	9,744,931	1.61
2000	3,820,095	1.10	9,871,788	1.30
2001	3,799,854	(.53)	9,790,152	(.83)

Year		CITY	CO	UNTY
(As of January 1)	Amount	% Change	Amount	% Change
2002	3,804,063	0.11	9,811,918	0.22
2003	3,862,134	0.15	9,968,146	0.16
2004	3,910,607	1.26	10,092,305	1.24
2005	3,956,666	1.18	10,216,040	1.18
2006	3,974,892	0.46	10,235,632	0.78
2007	3,991,768	0.99	10,263,866	0.72
2008	4,041,183	1,24	10,352,128	0.86
2009	4,061,730	1.09	10,382,451	0.90
2010	4,090,497	1.08	10,430,366	0.83
2011	3,805,881	(6.96)	9,848,442	(0.06)
2012	3,822,324	0.43	9,874,366	0.26
2013	3,822,324	0.43	9,874,366	0.26
2014	3,901,605	1.00	10,031,664	0.78
2015	3,953,775	1.09	10,126,867	0.82
2016	4,028,043	1.27	10,231,861	0.85
2017	4,038,817	1.06	10,231,933	0.57
2018	4,051,443	0.82	10,274,346	0.51
2019	4,037,190	0.05	10,244,542	-0.01

2. Cost of Living. Prior to the passage of Proposition 111 on the June 5, 1990 ballot, the City used the lesser of the United States cost of living (CPI) or California per capita personal income change for the annual calculation. Proposition 111 provides that the City may choose either the California per capita personal income change or the change in nonresidential new construction on the local assessment roll. While the City did not retroactively change the adopted appropriations limit for the years prior to 1990-91, the factors were applied for the limits from 1986-87 to 1989-90. This action was taken in order to have a modified base that was consistent with the newly approved legislation to calculate the limit for 1990-91. The following is a listing of the annual factors provided by the State Department of Finance:

			Per (Capita
Year	Lesser Factor	% Change	Income	% Change
1979-80	CPI	10.17		
1980-81	Per Capita Income	12.11		
1981-82	Per Capital Income	9.12		
1982-83	CPI		6.79	
1983-84	Per Capita Income	2.35		
1984-85	CPI		4.74	
1985-86	CPI		3.74	
1986-87	CPI		2.30	
1987-88	CPI		3.04	3.47
1988-89	CPI		3.93	4.66

	T et Capita				
Year	Lesser Factor	% Change	Income %	6 Change	
1989-90	CPI		4.98	5.19	

Per Canita

Use either Per Capital Income or Nonresidential New Construction

		Per Capita	
Year	Lesser Factor	% Change	Income % Change
1990-91	Per Capita Income		4.21
1991-92	Per Capita Income		4.14
1992-93	Per Capita Income		(0.64)
1993-94	Per Capita Income		2.72
1994-95	Per Capita Income		0.71
1995-96	Per Capita Income		4.72
1996-97	Per Capita Income		4.67
1997-98	Per Capita Income		4.67
1998-99	Per Capita Income		4.15
1999-00	Per Capita Income		4.53
2000-01	Per Capita Income		4.91
2001-02	Per Capita Income		7.82
2002-03	Per Capita Income		(1.27)
2003-04	Per Capita Income		2.31
2004-05	Per Capita Income		3.28
2005-06	Per Capita Income		5.26
2006-07	Per Capita Income		3.96
2007-08	Per Capita Income		4.42
2008-09	Per Capita Income		4.29
2009-10	Per Capita Income		0.62
2010-11	Per Capita Income		(2.54)
2011-12	Per Capita Income		2.51
2012-13	Per Capita Income		3.77
2013-14	Per Capita Income		5.12
2014-15	Per Capita Income		(0.23)
2015-16	Per Capita Income		3.82
2016-17	Per Capita Income		5.37
2017-18	Nonresidential New Con		5.12
2018-19	Nonresidential New Con	struction	5.10
2019-20	Nonresidential New Con	struction	10.68

^{3. &}lt;u>Annexations</u>. The City adjusts the appropriations limit annually to reflect the negotiated property tax transfer with the County or other jurisdiction for annexations, de-annexations, or other jurisdictional changes. Such changes have not been significant to date.

- 4. Transfer from "Proceeds of taxes" to fees. When a new fee is imposed for an existing service or a fee is increased to recover a proportionally greater amount of the cost of a service, the appropriations limit must be reduced since it is presumed that "proceeds of taxes" are being replaced with fees to finance the service. The methodology used to reduce the limit is to deduct the year-to-year increase in the cost of the service from the increase in revenues from fee increase adjustments or the prior year cost of the service from the new fee.
- 5. <u>Transfer of Financial Responsibilities</u>. Whenever the financial responsibility of providing a service is transferred between governmental agencies, they are supposed to adjust their limits by an agreed upon amount. In practice, however, the agency that is to adjust its limit downward very likely will not agree to an amount. The City may be able to adjust its limit for such transfers in the future, e.g., the transfer of the financial responsibility of property tax administration and booking of prisoners, which occurred in 1990-91.

C. Appropriations Subject to Limitation -- Reserve Fund Transfers

The December 4, 1980 report from the City Administrative Officer and subsequent reports through 1988-89 counted transfers from the Reserve Fund either as part of the Budget (proportionately, as a ratio of General Fund "proceeds of taxes" to total General Fund revenues) or on an interim basis against the appropriations limit. In response to a request from the City Administrative Officer, the City Attorney reported (Opinion No. 88:23, January 26, 1989) that transfers from the Reserve Fund (or by inference, the use of any available balance) do not count against the appropriations limit. All excess revenues (the amount above the Budget estimate) continue to be counted against the limit in the year received when they are appropriated.

D. Calculations for Annual Appropriations Limit

Calculations for the annual appropriations limit are as follows:

REVISED BASE 1978-99 (see paragraph A.7.)

\$ 870,213,276

1979-80 Population Change - 1.05% times

CPI Change - 10.17%

11.33%

\$ 968,808,440

Reduction in limit due to change in financing from other revenues to user charges:

 Harbor Fire Protection.
 Budget revenues (\$1,650,000) less difference between 1979-80 cost

	(\$4,203,704 and 1978-79 cost (\$3,847,469) (2) Animal Regulation - dog license late payment fee (new)	(1,293,795) (260,000) \$ 967,254,675
1980-81	Population Change - 0.79% times Per Capita Personal Income Change - 12.11%	13.00% \$ 1,092,997,783
1981-82	Add Property Tax transfers for annexations Population Change - 0.86% times Per Capita Personal Income Change - 9.12%	3,224 \$ 1,093,001,007 10.6% \$ 1,202,956,908
	Reduction in limit due to change in financing from other revenues to user charges:	
	 (1) Harbor Fire Protection. Increased Budget revenues (\$2,350,000) less difference between 1981-82 cost (\$5,100,000) and 1980-81 cost (\$4,412,619) (2) Additional Planning fees (new) 	\$ (1,662,619)
1982-83	Population Change - 1.06% times CPI Change - 6.79%	7.92% \$ 1,295,961,949
	Add Property Tax transfer for annexation. Reduction in limit due to change in financing from other revenues to user charges:	
	 (1) Harbor Fire Protection. Increased revenues (\$1,652,000) less difference between 1982-83 cost (\$5,652,000) and 1981-82 cost (\$5,429,378) (2) Police Burglar Alarm Fees 	(1,429,378) (500,000) \$ 1,294,040,525
1983-84	Population Change - 1.75% times Per Capita Personal Income Change - 2.35%	4.14% \$ 1,347,613,803

	Add Property Tax transfer for annexations Reduction in limit due to change in financing from other revenues to user charges	\$ 23,376
	Police Burglar Alarm Fees	(1,500,000) \$_1,346,137,179
1984-85	Population Change - 1.33% times CPI Change - 4.74%	6.13% 1,428,655,388
	Adjust for Property Tax transfers for annexations	(14,153) \$ 1,428,641,235
1985-86	Population Change - 1.37% times CPI Change - 3.74%	<u>5.16</u> % \$ 1,502,359,123
1986-87	Population Change - 3.27% times CPI Change - 2.30%	<u>5.65</u> % \$ 1,587,242,413
1991-92	City Population Change - 3% times Per Capita Personal Income Change - 4.14%	7.26% \$ 2,149,597,445
	Reduction in limit due to change in financing from other revenues to user charges - Stormwater Pollution Abatement Charge for flood control program	(5,217,509) \$ 2,144,379,936
1992-93	City Population Change - 1.21% times Per Capita Personal Income Change - (-0.64)%	0.56% \$ 2,156,388,427
1993-94	County Population Change - 0.81% times Per Capita Personal Income Change - 2.72%	3.55% \$ 2,232,940,188

Reduction in limit due to change in financing from other revenues to user charges:

	(1) Sanitation Equipment Charge increase(2) Water and Electricity -	(20,900,000)
	 (a) Transfer of Fire Hydrant Service to Department of Water and Power (b) Transfer of Traffic Signal and Utilitarian Lighting costs to Department of Water and Power (c) Transfer of General Benefit Lighting costs to Department of Water and Power 	(5,164,000)
		(6,134,129)
		(763,875) \$ 2,199,978,184
1994-95	County Population Change - 0.76% times Per Capita Personal Income Change - 0.71%	1.48% \$ 2,232,537,877
	Reduction in limit due to change in financing from other revenues to user charges: Water and Electricity -	
	 a. Transfer of General Benefit Lighting Costs to Department of Water and Power b. Transfer of Public Property Lighting to Street Lighting Assessments 	(763,875) (670,000) \$ 2,231,104,002
		<u>\$ 2,231,104,002</u>
1995-96	County Population Change - 0.23% times Per Capita Personal Income Change - 4.72%	4.96% \$ 2,341,766,758
1996-97	County Population Change - 1.38% times Per Capita Personal Income Change - 4.67%	6.11% \$ 2,475,949,932
	Reduction in limit due to change in financing from other revenues to user charges: Street Deterioration Fees	(15,000,000)
		\$ 2,460,949,932
1997-98	County Population Change - 1.28% times Per Capita Personal Income Change - 4.67%	6.01% \$ 2,601,962,363

1998-99	County Population Change - 1.20% times Per Capita Personal Income Change - 4.15%	5.40% \$ 2,774,065,184
1999-00	County Population Change - 1.61% times Per Capita Personal Income Change - 4.53%	6.21% \$ 2,931,130,793
2000-01	County Population Change - 1.30% times Per Capita Personal Income Change - 4.91%	6.27% \$ 3,114,912,694
2001-02	City Population Change - (0.53%) times Per Capita Personal Income Change - 7.82%	7.25% \$ 3,340,743,864
2002-03	City Population Change - 0.11% times Per Capita Personal Income Change - (1.27%)	(0.0098%) \$ 3,301,991,235
2003-04	City Population Change - 1.53% times Per Capita Personal Income Change - 2.31%	3.88% \$3,430,108,495
2004-05	City Population Change - 1.26% times Per Capita Personal Income Change - 3.28%	4.58% \$3,587,207,464
2005-06	City Population Change - 1.18% times Per Capita Personal Income Change - 5.26%	6.50% \$3,820,375,949
2006-07	City Population Change – 0.46% times Per Capita Personal Income Change – 3.96%	<u>4.44%</u> \$3,989,932,486
2007-08	City Population Change – 0.99% times Per Capita Personal Income Change – 4.42%	<u>5.45%</u> \$4,207,533,748
2008-09	City Population Change – 1.24% times Per Capita Personal Income Change – 4.29%	5.58% \$4,442,448,604

2009-10	City Population Change – 1.09% times Per Capita Personal Income Change – 0.62%	1.02% \$4,518,714,696
2010-11	City Population Change – 1.08% times Per Capita Personal Income Change – (2.54)%	0.98% \$4,451,501,888
Reduction in	limit due to change in financing from other revenues to user charges: (1) Solid Waste Fees - (2) Fire Fees -	(165,537,537)
	(a) Brush Clearance Initial Inspection(b) Brush Clearance Reinspection	(1,400,000) _(650,000) \$4,283,914,632
2011-12	City Population Change – (6.96)% times Per Capita Personal Income Change – 2.51%	1.022% \$4,388,385,333
2012-13	City Population Change – 0.43% times Per Capita Personal Income Change – 3.77%	1.037% \$4,554,024,205
2013-14	City Population Change – 1.00% times Per Capita Personal Income Change – 5.12%	1.051% \$4,787,671,114
	Reduction in limit due to change in financing from other revenues to user charges: (1) Public Right-of-Way Construction (2) Zoo – Entrance Fee Increase	(333,000) <u>(750,000)</u> \$4,786,591,114
2014-15	City Population Change – 1.00% times Per Capita Personal Income Change –(0.23%)	1.007% \$4,555,372,559
2015-16	City Population Change – 1.09% times Per Capita Personal Income Change – 3.82%	1.05% \$4,780,938,118

	Reduction in limit due to change in financing from other revenues to user charges: (1) Paycheck Fee (2) New Engineering Fees	(100,000) (92,470) \$4,780,745,648
2016-17	City Population Change – 1.27% times Per Capita Personal Income Change – 5.37%	
2017-18	City Population Change – 1.06% times Nonresidential New Construction Change – 5.12%	1.06% \$5,419,631,599
	Reduction in limit due to change in financing from other revenues to user charges: (1) Increased Fire Fees (2) Increased Planning Fees (3) Public Works - Tree Replacement Fee (4) Public Works - Collection Fee	(980,000) (2,800,000) (12,000) (20,000) \$ 5,415,819,599
2018-19	City Population Change – 0.82% times Nonresidential New Construction Change – 5.10%	1.06% \$ 5,738,548,722
	Reduction in limit due to change in financing from other revenues to user charges: (1) Increased Fire Fees (2) Metropolitan Transportation Authority Reimbursement for Police Services	(5,188,000) (64,212,626) \$ 5,669,148,096
2019-20	City Population Change – 0.05% times Nonresidential New Construction Change – 10.68%	1.1073% \$ 6,277,464,808
	Reduction in limit due to change in financing from other revenues to user charges: (1) Ambulance Fee Increase (2) Controller E-Payables (3) Tobacco Permits Increase (4) Metropolitan Transportation Authority Reimbursement for Police Services Increase (5) Local Enforcement Agency Fees (6) Animal License Canvasser Program Increase	(6,000,000) (1,000,000) (400,000) (15,679,374) (150,000) (153,000)

(7) Ground Emergency Medical Transport	
Fee Increase	(17,523,000)
(8) Ethics Outreach and Education – LAUSD	(219,000)
(9) Street Vending Permit Fee Increase	(2,323,529)
	\$ 6,234,016,905