7/17/19

The appellants Ms. Kathryn Schorr and Mr. Scott Van Opdrop are husband and wife, owners and residents of a four unit apartment building located at 2461-2463 ½ Purdue Avenue which is immediately north of the subject property at 2465 Purdue Avenue.

I first met the appellants on March 16th 2018. From the very start, Ms. Schorr strongly indicated that she had lived in her residence for many years and that she liked everything to remain exactly the same and did not want any changes around her, <u>including a new building next to her</u>. Since then, to the end of stopping any new construction, the appellants have attended every Neighborhood Council's meeting and have objected to this project in every which way possible.

The project was approved by the city Planning Department on December 19th, 2018 and the appellants quickly appealed the Planning Department's decision to the Planning Commission in January 2019.

During the City's Planning Commission's hearing in April 11, 2019, the appellants continued to object the project; but given the valid merits of the project, The Planning Commission approved the project and published its Determination letter on May 16, 2019.

On May 31st, 2019 the appellants again appealed the CEQA approval of the Planning Commission to the City council and this time did so without presenting any specific reason. Basically the system of appeals it seems, can postpone projects for an indefinite amount of time, even when there is no solid basis for the appeal.

As of today, the PLUM Committee of the City Council is planning to have another hearing about their appeal on August 6, 2019.

It is of utmost importance to note that this project was approved by the Planning Department back in December 2018. The series of meritless appeals by the appellants has translated into a huge financial burden to the owner of the project by inflicting yet another 8 months of delay. The carrying cost of the current building on site, including mortgages, maintenance as well as the optimal time project start date based on annual seasons has caused a great encumbrance and has brought the owner of the project close to financial insolvency.

It is very frustrating as an owner/developer to witness a proposed project getting dragged through the mud on meritless basis, due to the fact that a neighbor that prefers that nothing be built next to her.

All citizens of this city should be afforded the same rights, and a system of appeals should be fair to all, in particular when cases are groundless, the City should exercise protection of both parties equally and justly. We are all citizens and should have equal amounts of protection for our livelihood under the law.

At this juncture, it is a very fair question to ask who in the city government is willing to be take responsibility for the tremendous financial loss and burden to its citizen who merely is trying to build a building, who has addressed every concern and who is getting pulled through the mud, getting crushed by the carrying cost of an expensive property in West Los Angeles for many more months than envisaged due to a truly unjust wish of a neighbor? Who will protect the builder who has risked his livelihood in order to give life to a project that is actually the objective of the City itself?

1- Her claim that the proposed project cannot be built without cutting off electricity to her home and apartment building is <u>totally false</u>.


The truth is that there is an electrical wire that crosses my property at 2465 Purdue to connect to her triplex apartment building which is located at the front of their property at 2461 Purdue. This wire is on the way of my proposed building and needs to be removed. This issue was resolved in a March 2018 meeting with the DWP representatives and the owners of 2461 Purdue. The solution that DWP came up and they agreed to, was by installing an upgraded electrical panel by me at the back of their apartment building, so DWP can reroute that wire within their own property, along the property line. The upgraded electrical panel was installed by me at \$10,000 cost in April 2018 per DWP instructions, with the agreement of the owners of 2461 Purdue. It will take DWP less than 2 hours to disconnect the existing wire and reroute it. The electricity to their home which is a two story building in the back of the property, will not be affected at all by this work at all based on her false claim, as their home has a separate electrical meter, completely separate from the triplex in the front. Now they are refusing DWP to go into their property to do the work, in order to delay my construction.

RESPONSES TO CEQA APPEAL BY SCOTT VAN OPDROP OF 2461 PURDUE (ENV-2018-3412-CE):

1- His claim that the proposed project cannot be built without cutting off electricity to his home and apartment building is totally false.

My reply is the same as above in responding to Kathrynn Schorr's claim. In addition, he claims that the distance from the rerouted electrical wire to the window of his two story house will be less than 3 feet, as required by DWP. This claim is totally false, as the actual distance between his home and the property line is 5 feet, and the actual distance between the rerouted electrical wire along the property line and his home's windows are more than 4 feet, which meets the DWP requirements.

- 2- His claim that project cuts down 17 trees without adequate replacement is false. I hired a certified arborist who identified 11 existing trees at the site, which none of them are protected trees. We are going to plant 12 trees, including 2 street trees, where there are none right now.
- 3- His claim that the 80 year old pine tree on his property will die as a result of our proposed excavation for a subterranean parking garage is totally false. I hired a certified arborist who investigated the site conditions and concluded that the proposed excavation of the subterranean garage will have no harmful effect on the subject tree, since the tree is about 7 feet away from the line of excavation, where there is a concrete driveway on our property at that location. The arborist concluded that the shallow roots of the Pine tree have not grown under the concrete pavement of the driveway, where they don't get any surface water, and therefore their removal will not affect the health of the subject tree.



LADWP SERVICE PLANNING

City of Los Angeles Department of Water & Power

Metro or Valley Service Planning: (213) 367-6937 Email: ConnectionCenter@ladwp.com Metro West Fax: (213) 367-6089 Metro East Fax: (213) 367-6027 Valley Fax: (818) 771-406

Complete

	Address		THE RESERVE THE PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PA	Work Request #		Main Main			Service #																	
2461 Purdue Av Triplex				1800998		100	F00009		04123																	
LOS ANGELES, CA 90064							1241435		04123	6 RSL																
Contacts			Type	Telephone 310-429-9397			Type Telephor			OH																
Michael			GC			Type			one 2 Type																	
	ervice Representative	THE PERSON NAMED AND POST OF THE PARTY OF	Telepha	ne Hours	Plannin	n Office	Council Dis	at what																		
Randy Quan 213-367-8008		7.00444 00044		West				Date																		
Thomas Guide	Trans. Station #	Customers	KVA	Pole		DWP WI	re Size	Мар	04/23/2018 P# Project ID																	
	Da									PINHU																
The state of the s	1861	marks		The state of the s	Commence of the second	A	dditional Ren	narks		- 21110																
REQUIRES DWP B	RIDLE TO CLEAR NE	IGHSORING P	ROPERTY																							
			OWP BA	ille		PARTICULAR SECURISION CONSIDERA	THE STATE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 2	CON THE STREET,	-																	
	Elec	tric Service	Location			The same of the sa			Manager to the same of																	
Electric Service Location						Typical Overhead Service																				
						Mark neu		- 26	7																	
		11				11 :	1	111	Inches a	bove root line																
						1	1			vanizad min.																
		11				1	11 14	- Brace	periscope	e twice with																
			Annesses and the second	THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PERSON O	-	1				X 2" steel olts, Braces																
2461-2463						Must be secured to studs with 3/8"X3" lags. Keep periscope within 18" of corner where service drop will cross more than 4' of roof to reach serving pole.																				
											overhead services. See															
															sketch and ESR's notes for installation installation installation installation as: 3'X3' clear and level work space											
											For single-family residential, overhead services that are 200 amps and less, call only the Dept, of Building & Safety for inspection. (See permit for details.)															
																				• For al	• For all other services, both the DWP ESR and					
																										insper
								-	nine and a companies of the contract of the co	THE R. P. LEWIS CO., LANSING MICHIGAN						THE THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY										
						Purdue Av						existing electric service, an application for														
						Votes:				Legend		I creditio service must be obtained con rena														
												DIAL DWP, visit a payment center or go to www.ladwo.com to apply. If more than two weeks have passed since final inspection and the DWP work has not occurred, call the Connection Center at (213) 387-6937 to inquire about job status or visit:														
										Existing	Service															
											Service															
								The same of the sa	NOT THE REAL PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY	50		http://v	wmisweb.ladw	p.com/r	ob status	nis										
										X - Optional	Lagration		Lack Ring Rei													
											Location	(Metro) or (818) 771	-2750 (Valley)	7-8340										
												and other particular sections of the section of the	No. of Concession, Name of Street, or other Desires, Name of Street, or other Desires, Name of Street, Original Street, Origi	The state of the s	The state of the s	-										

To: City of Los Angeles Planning Department

RE: Tree Report for 2465 S. Purdue Avenue

This Tree Evaluation Report is for the property owned by Franklin Views LLC located at 2465 S. Purdue Avenue, LA 90064. This property is currently occupied by a one story four unit apartment building.

The survey area associated with this report is limited to the trees that occur within the property lines of this property. Please refer to the attached site plan.

I visited the site on May 27 2018, to document the type and quantity of trees that exist in the survey area. All my observations were from ground level and dimensions were estimated. My inspection was of a preliminary nature and did not involve any climbing or detailed investigation beyond what was visible from accessible points at ground level.

The trunk, branches, and foliage were examined for each tree during the site visit, and the following observations were recorded: tree species and trunk diameter at breast height.

Description of Trees:

Eleven (11) trees representing 7 species were observed within the property. No street trees existed.

None of the trees in the survey area for this report are "protected trees" as defined in the City's Municipal Code.


The observed 11 trees at the property are all along the South side of the property, as follows:

Two 10" Cypress trees
One 5" Golden Crown tree
One 8' Carolina Cherry tree
Three 5" Eugenia trees
One 8" Ash tree
Two 10" Queen Palm trees
One 6" Ash tree

All the above trees are proposed to be removed to allow construction of a subterranean parking garage at the site.

Report Prepared by:

Arturo Davila, ISA Certified Arborist Certification Number WE-9263AT



* THE ACTUAL DISTANCE FROM THE NEW ELECTRICAL WIRE

TO THE WINDOWS AT 2463 1/2 PURDUE ARE 4-0" \$ 4-6"

AS SHOWN ABOVE, THERFORE COMPLY WITH DWP'S MIN. 3-0" DISTANCE

PURDUE AVENUE

Z-> SCALE: 3/32=1-0"

(* NEW DWP ELECTRICAL WIRE)

T0: Michael Ghodsi

RE: The existing Pine tree near the Southeast corner

Of 2461 S. Purdue Avenue, Los Angeles

I went by 2461 S. Purdue this morning to look at the existing Pine tree that is located near the Southeast corner of this property.

The purpose of this field investigation was to determine if the proposed excavation of approximately 14 feet for a subterranean parking garage at the Southern adjacent property at 2465 S. Purdue Avenue, will have an adverse effect on this existing Pine tree.

Based on my observation, the proposed excavation will not have an adverse effect on this Pine tree, since there has been a concrete driveway at 2465 S. Purdue Avenue adjacent to this tree, which prevents any major roots of the subject Pine tre to substantially grow under the concrete driveway, due to lack of adequate rain water penetrating the concrete driveway and reaching the roots.

Therefore, I don't see a problem for the subject Pine tree due to excavation for the subterranean garage t 2465 S. Purdue Avenue.


Sincerely,

Arturo Davila, ISA

Certified Arborist

Certification Number WE-9263AT

sturo Danla





Meetings with Neighbors & Attending Neighborhood Council's Public Hearings Presenting the Project