HOLLY L. WOLCOTT

PETTY F. SANTOS EXECUTIVE OFFICER CITY OF LOS ANGELES

CALIFORNIA



ERIC GARCETTI MAYOR OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK ELECTION DIVISION

SPACE 300 555 RAMIREZ STREET LOS ANGELES, CA 90012 (213) 978-0444 FAX: (213) 978-0376

> JINNY PAK DIVISION MANAGER

clerk.lacity.org

May 15, 2020

The Los Angeles City Council City Hall, Los Angeles, California

REGARDING: ASSESSMENT BALLOT PROCEEDING FOR CHANDLER BOULEVARD AND IRVINE AVENUE LIGHTING DISTRICT COUNCIL FILE NUMBER 20-0900-S3

Honorable Councilmembers:

In accordance with Proposition 218, the City of Los Angeles provided notice to the property owners of the parcels in the proposed Chandler Boulevard and Irvine Avenue Lighting District, as specified in the subject Council File. Along with that notice, each property owner received an assessment ballot weighted by the amount of the parcel's proposed assessment. I have tabulated the assessment ballots delivered to my office by the final hearing date of May 5, 2020.

The Street Lighting Maintenance Assessment District received a majority of weighted ballots in favor of the assessment. As a result, the City may impose the proposed additional annual maintenance assessments for the District. The certified results of the tabulations are attached. I have also forwarded a copy of these results to the Department of Public Works, Bureau of Street Lighting, for their information.

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR COUNCIL ACTION:

1. DENY the protests and confirm the assessments.

2. ADOPT the Ordinance levying the assessments and ordering the maintenance of the lighting system.

Sincerely,

for

HOLLY L. WOLCOTT City Clerk

Attachments

cc: Department of Public Works, Bureau of Street Lighting

STATE OF CALIFORNIA)) SS COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES)

I, HOLLY L. WOLCOTT, City Clerk of the City of Los Angeles, hereby certify to the City Council that two ballots were submitted on or before May 5, 2020, in connection with the assessment ballot proceeding for the proposed Chandler Boulevard and Irvine Avenue Lighting Maintenance Assessment District, as specified in Council File Number 20-0900-S3. I further certify the attached report to be a true, correct and complete tabulation of the returns of that assessment ballot proceeding.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal this day of May 2020.

Sincerely,

HOLLY L. WOLCOTT City Clerk

Proposition 218 Assessment Ballot Tabulation for Chandler Boulevard and Irvine Avenue Lighting District

Election # 5099 Council File # 20-0900-S3

Final Public Hearing May 5, 2020

Ballot Tally

| Assmni No. | County APN | Owner | Assessment Weight | Ballot Vote Y/N | Weighte Yes | ed Vote No | No Vote/ Challenged |
|---------------|---------------|---|----------------------|--------------------|----------------|---------------|------------------------|
| 1 | 2348-001-904 | LACMTA ATTN: VELMA MARSHALL, REAL ESTATE DIVISION | \$1,088.16 | Y | \$ 1,088.16 | | |
| 2 | 2348-006-055 | CHANDLER LEMP PROPERTIES LLC | \$680.10 | N | | \$ 680.10 | |
| 3 | 2348-006-056 | FASTEAU, CHARLES AND ANNETTE TRS | \$680.10 | | Not Cast | Not Cast | |
| 4 | 2348-006-057 | R AND F INVESTMENTS | \$680.10 | | Not Cast | Not Cast | |
| 5 | 2348-005-022 | MARQUEZ, MARTINO | \$149.62 | | Not Cast | Not Cast | |
| | 5 | TOTAL | \$3,278.08 | | \$ 1,088.16 | \$ 680.10 | |
| | 5 | TOTAL VOTES | | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 |

Proposition 218 Assessment Ballot Tabulation for Chandler Boulevard and Irvine Avenue Lighting District

Election # 5099 Council File # 20-0900-S3

Final Public Hearing May 5, 2020

| Voting/Ballot Summary | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|
| Vote | Weighted Total | Assessment Percent of Votes Cast | Number of Ballots | | | |
| Yes | \$1,088.16 | 61.54% | 1 | | | |
| No | \$680.10 | 38.46% | 1 | | | |
| No Vote | | A STATE OF STATE OF STATE | 0 | | | |
| Challenged | | | 0 | | | |

| | Asses | sment District Summa | ary |
|------------|------------|----------------------|---------|
| | Total | Voted | Percent |
| Parcels | 5 | 2 | 40% |
| Assessment | \$3,278.08 | \$1,768.26 | 54% |