


CITY OF LOS ANGELES
CALIFORNIA



ANTONIO R. VILLARAIGOSA
MAYOR

FRANK T. MARTINEZ
City Clerk

KAREN E. KALFAYAN
Executive Officer

When making inquiries
relative to this matter
refer to File No.

Office of the
CITY CLERK
Council and Public Services
Room 395, City Hall
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Council File Information - (213) 978-1043
General Information - (213) 978-1133
Fax: (213) 978-1040

CLAUDIA M. DUNN
Chief, Council and Public Services Division
www.cityclerk.lacity.org

04-0716

CD 14

L. A. County Metropolitan Transit Authority
Attn: Lloyd Boucher
One Gateway Plaza
Los Angeles, CA 90012-2952

May 17, 2007

Councilmember Huizar
City Attorney
Board of Public Works
Bureau of Engineering
Land Development Group
Board of Fire Commissioners
Department of General Services
Asset Management Division

Planning Department
cc: Office of the Zoning Administrator
Department of Transportation
Traffic Division
Department of Water and Power
Attn: Marc Garcia, Real Estate Division
Board of Water and Power Commissioners
Attn: B. Moschos

System Property Development Co., Inc.
355 S. Grand Avenue
Los Angeles, CA 90071

Him Ock & Yuen Yoe Quan
1824 Peterson Avenue
South Pasadena, CA 91030

RE: VACATING DUCOMMUN STREET FROM ALAMEDA STREET TO 18 FEET EASTERLY THEREOF
(VAC E1400902)

At the meeting of the Council held MAY 16, 2007, the following action was taken:

Attached report adopted	<u>X</u>
Attached motion (-) adopted	_____
Attached resolution adopted	_____
FORTHWITH	_____
Mayor concurred	_____
Mitigated Negative Declaration adopted	<u>X</u>
Findings adopted	<u>X</u>
Set for hearing	<u>6-19-07</u>

City Clerk
kw



TO THE COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF LOS ANGELES

Your

PUBLIC WORKS

Committee

reports as follows:

MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION and PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE REPORT relative to vacating Ducommun Street from Alameda Street to 18 feet easterly thereof (VAC E1400902).

Recommendations for Council action:

1. FIND that all mitigation measures that are within the City's control have been imposed, as described in the Final Environmental Impact Report (State Clearinghouse No. 1999081061; PL 362-07) that is associated with the impacts of said street vacation and that other mitigation measures that are not within the City's authority have been or should be imposed as set forth in the findings of the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (MTA), dated February 28, 2002, which is this Council file and incorporated and further FIND that no new information exists to show that said project or circumstances of said project have been changed to require additional environmental review, as described in State California Environmental Quality Act of 1970 Guidelines 15162, and therefore, the existing environmental documents adequately describe the potential impacts for said street vacation.
2. ADOPT the FINDINGS of the City Engineer dated March 31, 2005, as the Findings of the Council.
3. ADOPT the accompanying City Engineer report dated March 31, 2005 to approve the vacation.
4. WAIVE the fees for said vacation proceeding in accordance with Section 7.46 of the Los Angeles Administrative Code (LAAC).
5. INSTRUCT the City Clerk to set a public hearing date for **June 19, 2007**.

Fiscal Impact Statement: The City Engineer reports that an estimated \$3,900.93 has been expended for the investigation and processing of this proceeding, and approximately \$6,000 will be required from the General Fund to complete the process. Since LAAC Section 7.46 exempts all governmental agencies from payment of fees, the Bureau of Engineering will absorb the cost of processing this request. Maintenance of the public easement by City forces will be eliminated.

SUMMARY

At its meeting on June 10, 2005, your Committee considered a March 31, 2005 City Engineer report relative to the vacation of Ducommun Street from Alameda Street to 18 feet easterly thereof. According to the City Engineer, the purpose of this request is to acquire right-of-way for the proposed Eastside Gold Line Light Rail facility. The City Engineer has determined that the vacation area is not needed for nonmotorized transportation or for vehicular circulation or access. Finally,


the vacation area is not necessary for present or prospective public use.

After consideration and having provided an opportunity for public comment, the Committee moved to recommend approval of the report's recommendations as contained in the March 31, 2005 City Engineer report and detailed above in Recommendation Nos. 1-5.

This matter is now submitted to Council for its consideration.

Respectfully submitted,

PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE



<u>MEMBER</u>	<u>VOTE</u>
SMITH:	YES
LABONGE:	YES
PERRY:	ABSENT

ARL
5/8/07
CD 14
#040716.wpd

Mit. Neg. Dec., Rpt. + Findings
ADOPTED

MAY 16 2007

LOS ANGELES CITY COUNCIL

SET FOR HEARING ~~JUNE 19, 2007~~