

Comments to PLUM Agenda No. 4-Modified Parking

1 message

Joyce Dillard <dillardjoyce@yahoo.com> Tue, Sep 13, 2011 at 2:19 PM

Reply-To: Joyce Dillard <dillardjoyce@yahoo.com>

To: Michael Espinosa <Michael.Espinosa@lacity.org>, The Honorable Carmen Trutanich <CTrutanich@lacity.org>

Comments to PLUM Agenda No. 4-Modified Parking

You state:

(a) Purpose. The purpose of this article is to regulate and restrict the location of certain types of uses whose requirements are difficult to anticipate and cannot adequately be provided for in the "Comprehensive Zoning Plan". These uses, the boundaries of the districts where they are permitted, the limitations governing their operations, and the procedure for the establishment of new districts, are provided. for in this article. Except for the "Supplemental Uses" permitted by this article, all property within the districts hereby established is subject to the provisions of the "Comprehensive Zoning Plan".

You do not take into consideration the General Plan and its Elements and the CEQA mitigation required.

You are required to do planning, not guessing, so there should be no locations "difficult to anticipate and cannot adequately be provided for" as you have to anticipate and plan infrastructure for the City of Los Angeles.

You have no data to justify this change nor are you considering any Housing Element population changes.

Affordable housing cannot be viewed as needed unless studies are presented and inventories are made public.

You also do not show that you have progressed in the 1/1/2009-12/31/2009 Report of the Housing Element with only 423 Very Low and 67 Low Income units.

These results are just too low to change the parking requirements for the City.

Does SAFETEA-LU funding or any other Federal grants accepted justify and satisfy these types of districts or increase public safety risk by the formation of these districts. Gas taxes account for 84%-88% for highways. Civil rights issues are significant.

Commercial Driver Rest and Parking Requirements should be included in this review. Truck parking needs to be included.

Standard Highway Signs Book FHWA and any other guidance should be included in your review.

Schools, libraries and parks and the safe passages for children should be included in this review.

Seniors and disabled persons and their uses should be considered in this review.

You also would need an Environmental Impact Report, not a Negative Declaration.

You have no financial controls for the sale or exchange of parking credits. The City is not a broker nor does it have the capability of enforcement. You do not state what Federal agency would have jurisdiction over this financial transaction and the impacts thereof.

Joyce Dillard
P.O. Box 31377
Los Angeles, CA 90031

Attachment: 2009 Housing Element Report

1616K LA City Annual Report 2009.pdf