Intrusions by city councils into the emerging peer-to-peer economy can only go so far before LA is left behind. The beauty of P2P relations is the convenience to the peers to make their own arrangements with flexibility. While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

- A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental.

- I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property. My home is unique and being able to adjust my listing to my needs is one of the most important features of home sharing.

- I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential or I won't feel comfortable sharing my home.

- Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you

Sincerely,

Camilla Griggers
The proposed air bnb legislation affects women, people of color and LGBTQ individuals

Iris Bainum-Houle <irisironside@gmail.com>  
Sun, Jun 5, 2016 at 12:43 PM

To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

This proposed legislation affects me personally. I am about to separate from my partner. Part of my financial plan to accomplish this is by renting out my back room as well as my whole unit occasionally while I stay with friends. The proposed legislation will affect my ability to stay in my home and have independence from my partner who I no longer want to be in a relationship with. I am sure I am not the only woman in the city of LA in this circumstance.

By hindering individuals from renting out spaces in their homes for supplemental income you are hampering their financial independence. Many people in my community rely on the income they get from air Bnb. Air BnB provides a financial boon to people whose incomes are often hampered by prejudice such as women, people of color (especially women of color), and members of the LGBTQ community. Please do not hinder this resource.

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

- A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental.

- I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property. My home is unique and being able to adjust my listing to my needs is one of the most important features of home sharing.

- I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential or I won't feel comfortable sharing my home.

- Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you

Sincerely,

Iris Bainum-Houle

https://mail.google.com/mail/u/0?ui=2&ik=efee67dbd5&view=pt&q=(in%3Ainbox%20OR%20label%3A%5Eiim)%20is%3Aunread&name=Unread&search=sec
Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

- A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental.

- I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property. My home is unique and being able to adjust my listing to my needs is one of the most important features of home sharing.

- I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential or I won't feel comfortable sharing my home.

- Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you

Sincerely,

Blanca Estela
Good afternoon,

Hope this message finds you well. Attached for your review, please find the Internet Association’s opposition letter to the proposed draft recommendations and ordinance pertaining to short term rental regulation in Los Angeles.

As always, please let me know if you have any questions or concerns, thank you!

Lauren Kimzey

Internet Association

---

Lauren Kimzey
State & Local Government Affairs Manager
916.498.3336 | www.InternetAssociation.org
1115 Eleventh Street
Sacramento, CA 95814

IA LA Homeshare Oppose Letter.pdf
686K
June 4, 2016

Matthew Glesne
City Planner, City of Los Angeles
City Hall
200 N Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012

RE: Internet Association opposition to proposed recommendations relating to the draft short term rental ordinance in Los Angeles (CF#14-1635-S2 / CPC-2016-1243-CA)

Dear Mr. Glesne,

The Internet Association is respectfully, yet strongly OPPOSED to staff recommendations relating to the proposed short-term rental ordinance. The proposed recommendations set a very concerning precedent by requiring internet platforms to act as quasi-enforcement agencies for local governments. We believe the recommendations conflict with well-established federal law that protects internet companies from liability for user-generated content on their platforms. Also, we are deeply concerned about the adverse impacts the proposal would have on consumer protection and privacy.

The Internet Association is a nonprofit trade organization representing nearly 40 of the world's leading internet companies and their global community of users. Our mission is to foster innovation, promote economic growth, and empower people through the free and open internet.

Consumer Privacy and Protection

The concerning precedent established by the proposed recommendations is apparent when consumers' privacy interests are considered. Internet companies cannot innovate and successfully provide beneficial services without the trust of their users. As such, the Internet Association's member companies are deeply committed to protecting user privacy and maintaining their trust. However, a requirement that forces particular online platforms to provide recurring user data access to government officials sets a dangerous precedent that violates a fundamental tenet of online privacy – that a user's information and online activities will not be summarily turned over to law enforcement officials without sufficient legal justification. Our concern is heightened by the fact that the imposition of such a rule could be extrapolated to require further data from online platforms about their users.

In an environment where it is unclear whether and how pre-existing laws apply to new and innovative services that benefit consumers, but often disrupt incumbent business models, regulators may be tempted to collect information regarding those services from the seemingly easiest source, without consideration of the greater implications posed to beneficial Internet platforms and online commerce. In this instance, a recurring data-sharing mandate on internet platforms is an inappropriate way for Los Angeles officials to carry out their mission. Such a requirement could discourage the development of, and participation in, new and innovative internet services. Also, allowing government officials to obtain user data en masse from internet platforms may have an appreciable impact on the way companies are perceived. Consequently, this could impact the frequency with which users participate in their services, as well as impose a burden on the companies through a loss of goodwill with their users. In short, a government policy that relies upon recurring data requests about online users for regulatory enforcement is not the right approach.

Ensuring that every host is in full compliance with local government ordinances is infeasible as major home sharing platforms, like Airbnb and Homeaway, have hosts in tens of thousands of cities around the world. Each city and county maintains separate, unique regulations that may impact home sharing and short-term rentals. These
Internet Association

regulations may include caps on the number of nights per year that a listing may be rented, host registration requirements, and many other specific rules. It would be an impossible and unfair standard to require home sharing platforms to ensure every listing is in 100% compliance with each city and county’s local ordinance. Likewise, Los Angeles should not require home sharing sites to shoulder the fundamental local government responsibility of ensuring local ordinance compliance. Such provisions also serve as a strong disincentive to internet companies and fledging start-ups looking to expand or commence operations in Los Angeles.

Intermediary Liability

Holding Internet companies liable for user-generated content contravenes well-established federal law that has been the linchpin of the vibrant and successful internet we know today. Recognizing that the internet and interactive computer services provide a platform “for a true diversity of political discourse, unique opportunities for cultural development, and myriad avenues for intellectual activity,” Congress passed Section 230 of the Communications Decency Act in 1996. This statute addresses the treatment of online service providers and states in relevant part, “no provider or user of an interactive computer service shall be treated as the publisher or speaker of any information provided by another information content provider.” The Internet Association urges City of Los Angeles not to upend this important legal foundation by establishing a precedent of punitive measures against beneficial internet platforms.

By clarifying that an internet service is not a publisher when facilitating the speech of a third party, Section 230 provides the legal foundation for an interactive internet. Courts have interpreted this provision broadly to bar intermediary liability for user-generated content. This clear protection has enabled the internet’s explosive growth and provided the necessary legal certainty for scores of internet services, including blogs, forums, consumer review sites, social networks, search engines, video hosting services, e-commerce marketplaces, and in the immediate instance, travel and accommodation sites, among others. By allowing individuals with a spare room to connect with people in search of a place to stay, home sharing platforms are another prime example where the free flow of user-generated content is leading to empowered individuals and widespread economic growth.

Internet-enabled home sharing is a positive social and economic development that local consumers, and consumers worldwide, are embracing for good reason. Home sharing platforms empower individuals to monetize their extra space and make it available to a global online community. Hosts can earn extra income to pay their bills, and share their home or apartment with guests who may not otherwise have had the means to visit Los Angeles.

The Internet Association supports smart regulations that promote continued innovation. Unfortunately, the proposed ordinance and recommendations do not support this goal, nor does it demonstrate Los Angeles’s commitment to promoting the city as an internet and innovation hub.

The Internet Association stands ready and willing to work with you and Los Angeles officials to find public policy solutions that continue to allow home sharing to flourish while addressing community needs. For the reasons stated above and more, the Internet Association must respectfully OPPOSE proposed staff recommendation and the draft ordinance. Should you have any questions regarding our position, please feel free to contact me at (916) 498-3316 or callahan@internetassociation.org.

Sincerely,

Robert Callahan
Executive Director, State of California
The proposed home sharing ordinance goes too far.

1 message

Mathew Edwards <Mathewedwards@mac.com> Sun, Jun 5, 2016 at 12:09 PM

To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

- A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental.

- I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property. My home is unique and being able to adjust my listing to my needs is one of the most important features of home sharing.

- I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential or I won't feel comfortable sharing my home.

- Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you

Sincerely,

Mathew Edwards
The proposed home sharing ordinance goes too far.

1 message

Paul Brooks <paul@dnbpromote.com>
To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Sun, Jun 5, 2016 at 12:07 PM

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

-- A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental.

-- I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property. My home is unique and being able to adjust my listing to my needs is one of the most important features of home sharing.

-- I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential or I won't feel comfortable sharing my home.

-- Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you

Sincerely,

Paul Brooks
The proposed home sharing ordinance goes too far.

1 message

Sylvia Rath <Sylvia@lvns.org>
To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

- A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental.

- I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property. My home is unique and being able to adjust my listing to my needs is one of the most important features of home sharing.

- I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential or I won't feel comfortable sharing my home.

- Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you

Sincerely,

Sylvia Rath
The proposed home sharing ordinance goes too far.

1 message

Sylvia Rath <Sylvia@lvns.org>  Sun, Jun 5, 2016 at 12:04 PM
To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

- A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental.

- I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property. My home is unique and being able to adjust my listing to my needs is one of the most important features of home sharing.

- I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential or I won't feel comfortable sharing my home.

- Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you

Sincerely,

Sylvia Rath
The proposed home sharing ordinance goes too far.

1 message

BRIANNE HARVEY <brianne2012@gmail.com>  
To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org  
Sun, Jun 5, 2016 at 3:56 PM

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

- A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental.

- I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property. My home is unique and being able to adjust my listing to my needs is one of the most important features of home sharing.

- I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential or I won't feel comfortable sharing my home.

- Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

In addition I would like to add that my family and I are low income Venice residents. the only way we have been able to stay in Venice is because we assist our friends with their properties as well. I am a host for several listings, helping my friends. The proposed restrictions would greatly impact us. This is not a one size fits all situation and the restrictions that are being considered would put us on the streets. We are not a million dollar corporation..we are regular people trying to stay afloat in the city in which we are from. We are all regular people working together using the airbnb platform to allow us to make ends meet and creating a global community, meeting visitors from all over the world. Please consider the "little people" that harsh decisions would make and allow flexibility for the out of the box and creative ways that people have utilized the airbnb platform to home sharing.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you

Sincerely,

BRIANNE HARVEY
The proposed home sharing ordinance goes too far.

1 message

Elisa Crispi <happymonkeys@mac.com>  Sun, Jun 5, 2016 at 4:14 PM

To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

-- A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental.

-- I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property. My home is unique and being able to adjust my listing to my needs is one of the most important features of home sharing.

-- I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential or I won't feel comfortable sharing my home.

-- Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you

Sincerely,

Elisa Crispi
The proposed home sharing ordinance goes too far.
1 message

jorge patrono <jorgepatrono@hotmail.com> Sun, Jun 5, 2016 at 4:20 PM
To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

- A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental.

- I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property. My home is unique and being able to adjust my listing to my needs is one of the most important features of home sharing.

- I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential or I won't feel comfortable sharing my home.

- Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you

Sincerely,

Jorge Patrono

Sincerely,

jorge patrono
The proposed home sharing ordinance goes too far.

1 message

Justin Staffer <justin.staffer@gmail.com>  
To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org  
Sun, Jun 5, 2016 at 7:21 PM

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

- A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental.

- I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property. My home is unique and being able to adjust my listing to my needs is one of the most important features of home sharing.

- I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential or I won't feel comfortable sharing my home.

- Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you

Sincerely,

Justin Staffer
The proposed home sharing ordinance goes too far.

1 message

Donna Galdjie <Dgaldjie@gmail.com>  
To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org  

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

-- A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental.

-- I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property. My home is unique and being able to adjust my listing to my needs is one of the most important features of home sharing.

-- I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential or I won't feel comfortable sharing my home.

-- Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you

Sincerely,

Donna Galdjie
The proposed home sharing ordinance goes too far.

1 message

Karen Knab &lt;kalikaren@gmail.com&gt; Sun, Jun 5, 2016 at 6:33 PM
To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

- A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental.

- I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property. My home is unique and being able to adjust my listing to my needs is one of the most important features of home sharing.

- I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential or I won't feel comfortable sharing my home.

- Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you

Sincerely,

Karen Knab
The proposed home sharing ordinance goes too far.

1 message

Susan Johnson <johnson_susan@hotmail.com>  
To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org  
Sun, Jun 5, 2016 at 6:33 PM

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

- A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental.

- I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property. My home is unique and being able to adjust my listing to my needs is one of the most important features of home sharing.

- I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential or I won't feel comfortable sharing my home.

- Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you

Sincerely,

Susan Johnson
The proposed home sharing ordinance goes too far.

Hallie Palsgrove <queenofsalsa52@gmail.com>  
To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org  
Sun, Jun 5, 2016 at 6:31 PM

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

-- A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental.

-- I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property. My home is unique and being able to adjust my listing to my needs is one of the most important features of home sharing.

-- I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential or I won't feel comfortable sharing my home.

-- Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you

Sincerely,

Hallie Palsgrove
The proposed home sharing ordinance goes too far.

1 message

Alisa Loftin <alisa.loftin@gmail.com>                    Sun, Jun 5, 2016 at 6:28 PM
To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

- A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental.

- I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property. My home is unique and being able to adjust my listing to my needs is one of the most important features of home sharing.

- I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential or I won't feel comfortable sharing my home.

- Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me, my friends and my family.

Thank you

Sincerely,

Alisa Loftin
The proposed home sharing ordinance goes too far.

Marissa Cramer <marissa@madeco.la>
To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

- A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental.

- I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property. My home is unique and being able to adjust my listing to my needs is one of the most important features of home sharing.

- I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential or I won't feel comfortable sharing my home.

- Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you

Sincerely,

Marissa Cramer
The proposed home sharing ordinance goes too far.

1 message

Ashley Laughlin <sivhead@gmail.com>
To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Sun, Jun 5, 2016 at 6:09 PM

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

- A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental.

- I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property. My home is unique and being able to adjust my listing to my needs is one of the most important features of home sharing.

- I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential or I won't feel comfortable sharing my home.

- Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you
Sincerely,

Ashley Laughlin
The proposed home sharing ordinance goes too far.

1 message

Amanda Miller <Amandamm434@outlook.com> Sun, Jun 5, 2016 at 6:01 PM
To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

- A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental.

- I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property. My home is unique and being able to adjust my listing to my needs is one of the most important features of home sharing.

- I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential or I won't feel comfortable sharing my home.

- Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you

Sincerely,

Amanda Miller
The proposed home sharing ordinance goes too far.

1 message

Randy Fretz <Casasun@sbcglobal.net>                      Sun, Jun 5, 2016 at 5:56 PM
To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

- A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental.

- I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property. My home is unique and being able to adjust my listing to my needs is one of the most important features of home sharing.

- I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential or I won't feel comfortable sharing my home.

- Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you

Sincerely,

Randy Fretz
The proposed home sharing ordinance goes too far.

1 message

Shannon Funk <shannon@xposepr.com>  Sun, Jun 5, 2016 at 5:55 PM
To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

- A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental.

- I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property. My home is unique and being able to adjust my listing to my needs is one of the most important features of home sharing.

- I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential or I won't feel comfortable sharing my home.

- Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you

Sincerely,

Shannon Funk
The proposed home sharing ordinance goes too far.

1 message

Asher Arom <ashermar@roadrunner.com>  Sun, Jun 5, 2016 at 5:49 PM

To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

- A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental.

- I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property. My home is unique and being able to adjust my listing to my needs is one of the most important features of home sharing.

- I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential or I won't feel comfortable sharing my home.

- Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

I don't see that property rights are respected. It is not the way America is meant to be. Also, the inspectors needed will get lifetime pensions and benefits. This too is not fair to us citizens of this great city.

Sincerely,

Asher Arom

Sincerely,

Asher Arom
The proposed home sharing ordinance goes too far.

1 message

Jamie Engelman <Jamie.engelman@gmail.com>  Sun, Jun 5, 2016 at 5:44 PM
To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

- A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental.

- I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property. My home is unique and being able to adjust my listing to my needs is one of the most important features of home sharing.

- I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential or I won't feel comfortable sharing my home.

- Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you

Sincerely,

Jamie Engelman
The proposed home sharing ordinance goes too far.

1 message

Meredith Kendrick <Meredith.Kendrick@gmail.com>  
To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Sun, Jun 5, 2016 at 5:44 PM

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

- A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental.

- I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property. My home is unique and being able to adjust my listing to my needs is one of the most important features of home sharing.

- I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential or I won't feel comfortable sharing my home.

- Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you

Sincerely,

Meredith Kendrick
The proposed home sharing ordinance goes too far.

1 message

Norm Green <nhgreen@sbcglobal.net> Sun, Jun 5, 2016 at 5:43 PM

To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

- A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental.

- I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property. My home is unique and being able to adjust my listing to my needs is one of the most important features of home sharing.

- I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential or I won't feel comfortable sharing my home.

- Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you

Sincerely,

Norm Green
The proposed home sharing ordinance goes too far.

1 message

Tina DiGeorge <tdigeorge@gmail.com>
To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

- A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental.

- I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property. My home is unique and being able to adjust my listing to my needs is one of the most important features of home sharing.

- I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential or I won't feel comfortable sharing my home.

- Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you

Sincerely,

Tina DiGeorge
The proposed home sharing ordinance goes too far.
1 message

Christian Schulze <Christian.W.Schulze@gmail.com>  Sun, Jun 5, 2016 at 5:37 PM

To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

- A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental.

- I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property. My home is unique and being able to adjust my listing to my needs is one of the most important features of home sharing.

- I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential or I won't feel comfortable sharing my home.

- Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

In addition to all the above my partner and I truly LOVE sharing our home and with all the wonderful new people we meet through Airbnb. We provide a different view of our neighborhood and of the city and have actually encouraged past guests to permanently relocate to LA. It's not just about helping us continue to afford living in the neighborhood we live but also about sharing our own personal journey with others.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you

Sincerely,

Christian Schulze
The new home sharing ordinance is way too much!
1 message

Reign Johnson <Reignrjohnson@gmail.com> Sun, Jun 5, 2016 at 5:19 PM
To:etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

- A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental.

- I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property. My home is unique and being able to adjust my listing to my needs is one of the most important features of home sharing.

- I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential or I won't feel comfortable sharing my home.

- Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you

Sincerely,

Reign Johnson
The proposed home sharing ordinance goes too far.

1 message

Colton Dearing <Coltoncurtdearing@gmail.com>  Sun, Jun 5, 2016 at 5:15 PM

To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

- A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental.

- I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property. My home is unique and being able to adjust my listing to my needs is one of the most important features of home sharing.

- I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential or I won't feel comfortable sharing my home.

- Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you

Sincerely,

Colton Dearing
The proposed home sharing ordinance goes too far.

1 message

Michael Miller <mkennanmiller@gmail.com> Sun, Jun 5, 2016 at 1:34 AM

To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

- A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental.

- I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property. My home is unique and being able to adjust my listing to my needs is one of the most important features of home sharing.

- I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential or I won't feel comfortable sharing my home.

- Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you

Sincerely,

Michael Miller
Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

- A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental.

- I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property. My home is unique and being able to adjust my listing to my needs is one of the most important features of home sharing.

- I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential or I won't feel comfortable sharing my home.

- Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you

Sincerely,

Boaz Hepner
The proposed home sharing ordinance goes too far.

1 message

Maria Banks <mfbanks123@hotmail.com>                         Sun, Jun 5, 2016 at 2:22 AM
To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

- A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental.

- I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property. My home is unique and being able to adjust my listing to my needs is one of the most important features of home sharing.

- I don’t feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential or I won’t feel comfortable sharing my home.

- Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you

Sincerely,

Maria Banks
The proposed home sharing ordinance goes too far.
1 message

RICK SILVER <MR.RICKSILVER@gmail.com> Sun, Jun 5, 2016 at 3:43 AM
To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

- A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental.

- I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property. My home is unique and being able to adjust my listing to my needs is one of the most important features of home sharing.

- I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential or I won't feel comfortable sharing my home.

- Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you
Sincerely,
RICK SILVER
The proposed home sharing ordinance goes too far.

1 message

David Bookbinder <dabookbinder@gmail.com>  Sun, Jun 5, 2016 at 3:44 AM
To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

- A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental.

- I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property. My home is unique and being able to adjust my listing to my needs is one of the most important features of home sharing.

- I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential or I won't feel comfortable sharing my home.

- Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you

Sincerely,

David Bookbinder
The proposed home sharing ordinance goes too far.
1 message

Vaughan Trammell <vtrammell@vtrammell.com>  
To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Sun, Jun 5, 2016 at 11:08 AM

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

-- A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental.

-- I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property. My home is unique and being able to adjust my listing to my needs is one of the most important features of home sharing.

-- I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential or I won't feel comfortable sharing my home.

-- Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you

Sincerely,

Vaughan Trammell
Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

- A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental.

- I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property. My home is unique and being able to adjust my listing to my needs is one of the most important features of home sharing.

- I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential or I won't feel comfortable sharing my home.

- Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you

Sincerely,

amy brownson